

Complete Genome Sequences of the Endophytic *Streptomyces* Strains EN16, EN23, and EN27, Isolated from Wheat Plants

Christopher M. M. Franco,^a Ricardo Araujo,^{a,b} Eric Adetutu,^a Shanan S. Tobe,^{c,d} Sandeep Mallya,^e Bobby Paul,^e Kapaettu Satyamoorthy^e

Medical Biotechnology, School of Medicine, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia^a; i3S, Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde and IPTIMUP, Institute Molecular Pathology and Immunology, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal^b; School of Biological Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia^c; Department of Chemistry and Physics, Arcadia University, Glenside, Pennsylvania, USA^d; School of Life Sciences, Manipal University, Manipal, India^e

The complete genome sequences of three endophytic *Streptomyces* species were compared. Strains EN16, EN23, and EN27 were isolated from surface-sterilized roots of wheat plants from South Australia. In field trials, these strains are effective in suppressing fungal root diseases of wheat when added as spore coatings to wheat seed.

Received 9 October 2016 Accepted 14 October 2016 Published 8 December 2016

Citation Franco CMM, Araujo R, Adetutu E, Tobe SS, Mallya S, Paul B, Satyamoorthy K. 2016. Complete genome sequences of the endophytic *Streptomyces* strains EN16, EN23, and EN27, isolated from wheat plants. *Genome Announc* 4(6):e01342-16. doi:10.1128/genomeA.01342-16.

Copyright © 2016 Franco et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Christopher M. M. Franco, chris.franco@flinders.edu.au.

Actinobacteria are an important component of the plant microbiome, especially colonizing the roots (1, 2), with *Streptomyces* by far the most common endophytic actinobacterium (3). *Streptomyces* strains EN16, EN23, and EN27 were isolated as endophytes of wheat plants (4). Their spores when added as seed coatings colonized the wheat seedlings on germination (5) but did not significantly interfere with the indigenous endophytic populations within the wheat plants (6). They are capable of suppressing fungal pathogens, such as *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Pythium* spp., and *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*, and this treatment offers large advantages in controlling fungal root diseases in broadacre crops, resulting in higher grain yields in field trials (7, 8). Endophytic *Streptomyces* spp. act by promoting plant growth, facilitating nutrient acquisition, phytohormone production, antibiosis, and induction of systemic defense responses (7, 9). In the last instance, these species compete with plant pathogens and restrict pathogen colonization within the plant (10).

Here, we describe the complete genome sequences of *Streptomyces* sp. strains EN16, EN23, and EN27 obtained using the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform (AGRF, Sydney, Australia). A total of 1.05 Gb of data were produced with next-generation sequencing technology for all three strains. The genome library contained different fragment length insertions (500 bp and 500 Mbp). The *de novo* assembly was performed using A5-miseq (11) and consisted of 236, 131, and 162 contigs for strains EN16, EN23 and EN27, respectively; the number of subsystems described was 443, 424, and 423 for the three strains, respectively, with a genome coverage of 69%. The Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST) server was used for gene prediction and annotation and the SEED viewer platform for the curation of genomic data (12).

The complete genomes of these three strains of *Streptomyces* sp. showed circular chromosomes. Strain EN16 presented 8,577,085 bp and a G+C content of 71.5%, the EN23 genome comprised 7,443,744 bp and a G+C content of 71.6%, and the genome of EN27 strain displayed 7,555,876 bp and a G+C content

of 71.6%. The number of coding sequences in these strains ranged from 6,800 (for EN23) to 7,783 (for EN16); EN27 comprised 6,856 coding sequences, including sequences for the 13-kb plasmid described previously (13). The number of RNA genes reported was 85, 82, and 80 for EN16, EN23, and EN27, respectively.

A comparison of the *Streptomyces* genomes with the RAST database revealed the closest neighbors for EN16 and EN23 to be *Streptomyces griseus* subsp. *griseus* NBRC 13350 (genome ID455632.3); the EN27 genome was closer to *Streptomyces roseosporus* NRRL 11379 (genome ID457430.0). Further analysis of the 16S rRNA gene revealed the nearest-neighbor type strains for EN16 to be *Streptomyces fulvissimus* and *Streptomyces griseus*, and for EN23 and EN27, they are *Streptomyces badius* and *Streptomyces parvus*.

Accession number(s). These whole-genome shotgun projects for *Streptomyces* EN16, EN23, and EN27 have been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers [MJAF00000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MJAF00000000), [MJAI00000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MJAI00000000), and [MJAG00000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MJAG00000000), respectively, and the corresponding versions described in this paper are MJAF01000000, MJAI01000000, and MJAG01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.A. is supported by an Australian Endeavour fellowship. These strains were obtained from an Australian Grains Research and Development Corporation-funded project to C.M.M.F. K.S. was supported by an infrastructure grant from DST-FIST, Government of India.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work, including the efforts of Ricardo Araujo, was funded by the Australian Endeavour Fellowship. This work, including the efforts of Kapaettu Satyamoorthy, was funded by India DST-FIST. This work, including the efforts of Christopher M.M. Franco, was funded by the Australian Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) (UF00007).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Edwards J, Johnson C, Santos-Medellin C, Lurie E, Podishetty NK, Bhatnagar S, Eisen JA, Sundaresan V. 2015. Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated microbiomes of rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112:E911–E920. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414592112>.
2. Bulgarelli D, Rott M, Schlaeppi K, Ver Loren van Themaat E, Ahmadinejad N, Assenza F, Rauf P, Huettel B, Reinhardt R, Schmelzer E, Peplies J, Gloeckner FO, Amann R, Eickhorst T, Schulze-Lefert P. 2012. Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature* 488:91–95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11336>.
3. Govindasamy V, Franco CMM, Gupta VVSR. 2014. Endophytic *Actinobacteria*: diversity and ecology, p 27–59. In Verma VC, Gange A (ed), *Advances in endophytic research*. Springer Verlag, New Delhi, India.
4. Coombs JT, Franco CM. 2003. Isolation and identification of *Actinobacteria* from surface-sterilized wheat roots. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69:5603–5608. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.9.5603-5608.2003>.
5. Coombs JT, Franco CM. 2003. Visualization of an endophytic *Streptomyces* species in wheat seed. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69:4260–4262. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.7.4260-4262.2003>.
6. Conn VM, Franco CM. 2004. Effect of microbial inoculants on the indigenous actinobacterial endophyte population in the roots of wheat as determined by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70:6407–6413. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.11.6407-6413.2004>.
7. Coombs JT, Michelsen PP, Franco CMM. 2004. Evaluation of endophytic *Actinobacteria* as antagonists of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* in wheat. *Biol Contr* 29:359–366. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2003.08.001>.
8. Franco C, Michelsen P, Percy N, Conn V, Listiana E, Moll S, Loria R, Coombs J. 2007. Actinobacterial endophytes for improved crop performance. *Austral Plant Pathol* 36:524–531. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AP07067>.
9. Conn VM, Walker AR, Franco CM. 2008. Endophytic *Actinobacteria* induce defense pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 21:208–218. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-2-0208>.
10. Schrey SD, Tarkka MT. 2008. Friends and foes: streptomycetes as modulators of plant disease and symbiosis. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 94:11–19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10482-008-9241-3>.
11. Coil D, Jospin G, Darling AE. 2015. A5-miseq: an updated pipeline to assemble microbial genomes from Illumina MiSeq data. *Bioinformatics* 31:587–589. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu661>.
12. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics* 9:75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75>.
13. Coombs JT, Franco CM, Loria R. 2003. Complete sequencing and analysis of pEN2701, a novel 13-kb plasmid from an endophytic *Streptomyces* sp. *Plasmid* 49:86–92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0147-619X\(02\)00153-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0147-619X(02)00153-1).