OPEN BIOLOGY

rsob.royalsocietypublishing.org

Review



Cite this article: Lozano G, Francisco-Velilla R, Martinez-Salas E. 2018 Deconstructing internal ribosome entry site elements: an update of structural motifs and functional divergences. *Open Biol.* **8**: 180155. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsob.180155

Received: 30 August 2018 Accepted: 30 October 2018

Subject Area:

molecular biology/microbiology

Keywords:

translation control, IRES elements, RNA structure

Author for correspondence:

Encarnacion Martinez-Salas e-mail: emartinez@cbm.csic.es

Deconstructing internal ribosome entry site elements: an update of structural motifs and functional divergences

Gloria Lozano, Rosario Francisco-Velilla and Encarnacion Martinez-Salas

Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas—Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Nicolás Cabrera 1, 28049 Madrid, Spain

D EM-S, 0000-0002-8432-5587

Beyond the general cap-dependent translation initiation, eukaryotic organisms use alternative mechanisms to initiate protein synthesis. Internal ribosome entry site (IRES) elements are cis-acting RNA regions that promote internal initiation of translation using a cap-independent mechanism. However, their lack of primary sequence and secondary RNA structure conservation, as well as the diversity of host factor requirement to recruit the ribosomal subunits, suggest distinct types of IRES elements. In spite of this heterogeneity, conserved motifs preserve sequences impacting on RNA structure and RNAprotein interactions important for IRES-driven translation. This conservation brings the question of whether IRES elements could consist of basic building blocks, which upon evolutionary selection result in functional elements with different properties. Although RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) perform a crucial role in the assembly of ribonucleoprotein complexes, the versatility and plasticity of RNA molecules, together with their high flexibility and dynamism, determines formation of macromolecular complexes in response to different signals. These properties rely on the presence of short RNA motifs, which operate as modular entities, and suggest that decomposition of IRES elements in short modules could help to understand the different mechanisms driven by these regulatory elements. Here we will review evidence suggesting that model IRES elements consist of the combination of short modules, providing sites of interaction for ribosome subunits, eIFs and RBPs, with implications for definition of criteria to identify novel IRES-like elements genome wide.

1. RNA structural elements and gene expression regulation

In recent years, the impact of RNA structure in multiple steps affecting gene expression control has become increasingly evident. Key to understanding the relevance of RNA in biological processes has been the development of RNA structure-related methodologies, which has shown the flexible conformation of RNA, and also the modular nature of RNA three-dimensional (3D) architecture [1]. In particular, the advent of highly potent techniques, such as cryo-EM, provided critical insights into the 3D structure of reconstituted ribosome–RNA complexes [2,3]. In addition, implementation of novel techniques to analyse the RNA structure of ribonucleoprotein (RNP) macromolecules at the level of nucleotide resolution *in vitro* and also inside cells by selective 2'OH acylation analysed by primer extension (SHAPE) has revolutionized the field [4,5]. In this methodology, the availability of distinct probing reagents has been aided by the development of faster, reliable methods of analysis either via capillary electrophoresis or next-generation sequencing [6].

The molecular basis of RNA conformational flexibility has been extensively studied in viral RNAs. Generally, SHAPE models largely recapitulate RNA structures predicted by other methods, but also allow identifying unpredicted

 \bigcirc 2018 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING



Figure 1. (*a*) Switch from cap-dependent to cap-independent translation. (*b*) Main features and secondary structure of the picornavirus type II IRES, the HCV IRES, and the dicistrovirus intergenic region (IGR) are represented. The location of domains referred to in the text is indicated. Green stars denote the location of sequences with modified conformational flexibility upon IRES incubation with ribosomal subunits. For type II IRES, the binding site of PTB, PCBP2 and eIF4G are indicated, while for HCV the binding site of eIF3 and the 40S recognition site are depicted.

structural elements, with novel regulatory properties [7-9]. This type of study is instrumental to explore new RNA motifs in RNA viruses, which despite apparent similar genomic architecture are divergent structurally. RNA structural elements, and their interactions with RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) and other ligands, control many stages of virus life cycle [10-13]. Along this line, structural studies combined with covariation analysis provided strong evidence suggesting selection pressures for functional elements in RNA viruses, designated internal ribosome entry site (IRES) elements, usually located in untranslated regions (UTR) of the mRNA [14,15]. However, evidence for structural elements within the open-reading frame (ORF) of viral RNAs has also been reported [16,17]. Conversely, structural divergence during evolution can generate new functional features, such as those shaping interactions with the host immune system or coevolving with viral proteins [18]. Thus, although several RNA elements critical for the viral life cycle have been experimentally determined, the structure-function relationship of RNA motifs still remains to be understood.

Here we will focus on RNA motifs conserved in model IRES elements and how this information can be crucial to understand the modular organization of these RNAs, eventually contributing to the accurate prediction of IRES-like motifs in genomes. More detailed information on non-canonical and cap-independent translation mechanisms can be found in previous reviews [19,20].

2. Translation control. Evidence for capindependent translation mechanisms

In all organisms, translation control is a key step in gene expression regulation. Eukaryotic mRNAs usually contain the $m^7G(5')ppp(5')N$ modification (or cap) at the 5' end (figure 1*a*). This structure mediates initiation of translation via

the so-called cap-dependent mechanism that involves the binding of the translation initiation factor (eIF)-4E in a complex with eIF4G and eIF4A to the 5' end of mRNAs [21]. This complex recruits the 40S ribosomal subunit, in turn bound to the multimeric factor eIF3, eIF2 and the initiator met-tRNA_i, scanning the 5' UTR until an AUG triplet is found in the appropriate context to start protein synthesis. Joining of the 60S ribosomal subunit follows this step, producing a translation competent complex. It is well documented that strong cellular stresses (such as apoptosis, nutrient deprivation or oxidative stress) severely compromise cap-dependent translation [22]. However, under adverse situations specific subsets of cellular mRNAs remain associated to polysomes achieving efficient translation [23,24].

Viral mRNAs have developed distinct strategies to overcome the shut-off of cap-dependent protein synthesis induced in virus-infected cells [25]. Hence, although the cap-dependent mechanism was considered the predominant manner to initiate translation, several alternatives have been documented explaining selective translation of specific subsets of mRNAs [26]. In addition to RNA modification by methylation [27], selective translation of mRNAs enabling initiation at downstream codons encoding small ORFs in some cases bypassing upstream open-reading frames (uORFs), as in circular mRNAs and long non-coding RNAs, would rely on cap-independent mechanisms [28,29]. Moreover, while the monocistronic nature of eukaryotic mRNAs was historically considered the main source of protein coding genes, an increasing number of reports provided evidence for the expression of more than one ORF from a single transcriptional unit [30]. The presence of polycistronic RNAs is particularly evident in Drosophila melanogaster [31,32]. Therefore, as the annotation of genes in higher eukaryotes gains completeness and accuracy, initiation of protein synthesis using cap-independent mechanisms is becoming more frequent than initially thought.

Alternative manners to initiate translation received support from experimental evidence for distinct ribosome composition within the large population of ribosomal particles [33,34], also consistent with changes in the protein pattern detected by bidimensional gel electrophoresis in yeast with altered levels of the stalk proteins [35]. Specifically, analysis of the composition of ribosomal particles demonstrated the involvement of RACK1-containing ribosomes in the stimulation of translation promoted by two different viral IRES, hepatitis C virus (HCV) and cricket paralysis virus (CrPV) [36]. In agreement with the role of RACK1 in selective translation, this protein interacts with eIF3j, a peripheral subunit of eIF3 present in sub-stoichiometric quantities and subjected to post-translational modifications. More recently, implementation of improved hybrid mass spectrometry methods, in conjunction with advanced high-resolution cryo-EM, demonstrated that chloroplastic 70S and human 40S and 60S ribosomal particles are heterogeneous both in protein association and post-translational modification [37]. The heterogeneity of the human ribosomal particles influences their differential association to prototype viral IRES elements. Indeed, experiments conducted with conditional knock-down ribosomal stalk proteins, P1 and P2, revealed enhanced activity of the picornavirus foot-andmouth disease virus (FMDV) IRES, but had no significant effect on the HCV IRES function [38]. Hence, it may be envisioned that the heterogeneous composition of ribosomes would also affect cellular IRES activity. Furthermore, not only modification of the ribosomal proteins but also modification of the ribosomal RNA impacts on the translation capacity of ribosomes [39]. Conversely, post-transcriptional modification of the mRNA has contributed to propose the need for distinct translation initiation mechanisms as well [27,40]. In these cases, the use of mRNA harbouring IRES elements has been instrumental to illustrate the differences between conventional and alternative mechanisms of protein synthesis initiation.

3. Viral IRES elements: impact of RNA structure on IRES activity

The pioneering work performed 30 years ago with picornavirus RNAs, which are naturally uncapped, provided the foundations for mRNA regions termed IRES elements (figure 1b). Picornavirus RNAs contain long, highly structured 5' UTRs able to recruit the 40S subunit using a cap-independent mechanism [41,42]. This property was later extended to other RNA viruses [43]. Cumulative data obtained over the last decade by different laboratories provided evidence for the relationship between RNA structure and function of viral IRES elements [15,44]. Notwithstanding, IRES elements lack conserved primary sequence, secondary RNA structure, and host factor requirement to recruit the ribosomal subunits. This heterogeneity opens the question of how such a variety of diverse RNA regions perform the same function. This issue has been studied at the molecular level in prototype viral IRES elements. Currently, there is experimental evidence for different mechanisms to initiate translation internally. The simplest one operates in the dicistrovirus intergenic region (IGR) and involves direct interaction of the IRES with the 40S subunit. A more complex mechanism relies on the recognition of the IRES by translation initiation factors, which mediate the recruitment of the 40S ribosomal subunit. Representative members of the latter group are the HCV IRES and the diverse IRES elements present in the RNA genome of picornaviruses. Yet there are important differences among them, which will be discussed below.

The secondary structure and protein interactions of picornavirus IRES elements have been extensively analysed although high-resolution 3D structures are still lacking. Because of their heterogeneity, picornavirus IRES elements are classified into different types, such that each type harbours a common RNA structure core maintained by evolutionary conserved covariant substitutions. Due to their high efficiency and complex requirement of factors, type I and II IRES are prototypes to study internal initiation mechanisms. Type I IRES occurs in enterovirus (poliovirus, PV), and type II IRES in cardiovirus (encephalomyocarditis virus, EMCV) and aphthovirus (FMDV) (figure 1b). Both types I and II are independent of eIF4E but require the Cterminal region of eIF4G, eIF4A, eIF2 and eIF3 to assemble 48S initiation complexes [45]. Specifically, type II IRES elements are arranged in modular domains designated 2 to 5, or H to L, in FMDV and EMCV, respectively [46]. Domain 2 contains a conserved pyrimidine tract at the tip of a hairpin that provides a binding site for the polypyrimidine-binding protein (PTB) protein [47,48]. Domain 3 is a self-folding cruciform structure; the apical region of this domain harbours a conserved and essential GNRA tetraloop that mediates tertiary interactions [49,50]. Domain 4 is arranged in two hairpin loops held by a conserved stem and an A-rich bulge, which contain the binding site for eIF4G [51,52]. Domain 5 consists of a conserved hairpin, followed by a conserved pyrimidine tract and a variable single-stranded stretch of nucleotides at its 3' end [53]. This domain provides the binding site for eIF4B and PTB [54], besides other RBPs such as Gemin5 and G3BP1 [55,56].

In further support of the modular organization of picornavirus IRES elements, structural analysis of the region of type II IRES interacting with eIF4G revealed that the conserved A-pentaloop serves as a docking site for base-pair receptors that requires the concerted action of all subdomains, since subtle changes in the orientation abrogate the interaction with eIF4G [57]. Interestingly, the configuration of the A-pentaloop resembles the GNRA tetraloop [58], where the G is substituted by A-A dinucleotide. The similarity of the RNA structure of these essential motifs, present in two different RNA domains [52], raises the possibility that they could be derived from RNA modules subjected to evolutionary changes to acquire novel functions.

The HCV 5' UTR (figure 1*b*) contains conserved sequences and stem-loops controlling viral RNA translation, replication and stability [43,59–61]. Specifically, the HCV IRES consists of three domains (II, III and IV) [62]. Domain II is involved in eIF5-induced GTP hydrolysis of eIF2, while domain III binds eIF3 and the 40S subunit using two distinct subdomains, IIIabc and IIId, respectively [63]. A distinctive feature of the HCV IRES is the lack of eIF4G need for function [64]. In addition, the HCV IRES 3D structure includes a pseudoknot, besides a series of stem-loops connected by 3- and 4-way junctions [3]. Remarkably, this IRES element adopts a flexible RNA structure in solution, composed of an ensemble of conformers made of rigid parts that can move relative to each other [65].

The structure-function relationship of the HCV IRES has been studied in great detail using transcripts corresponding to the IRES region alone, in RNA replicons or inserted into artificial constructs within reporter genes [66]. However, in the context of the viral genome, HCV IRES activity is influenced by far downstream sequences involving long-distant interactions [67]. Concerning upstream sequences, recent data showed that the liver-specific microRNA-122 (miR-122), which is complementary to two adjacent sequences in the spacer between domains I and II [68], assists the folding of the IRES by suppressing energetically favourable alternative secondary structures involving the miR-122 binding region adjacent to the IRES region [69]. Interestingly, coevolution between miR122 and HCV-related viruses affecting cattle with liver tropism and miR122 binding sites have been reported [70], suggesting that this could be a widespread feature of the hepacivirus group.

Various RNA viruses have been described to contain HCV-like IRES elements that, however, display subtle differences in their RNA conformation, as illustrated by pestivirus, hepacivirus, and type IV picornavirus IRES elements [71–74]. Moreover, the advent of massive sequencing methodologies, and therefore the discovery of novel RNA viruses worldwide, greatly increased the so-called HCV-like IRES. For instance, the IRES reported in the 5' UTR of the Equine non-primate hepacivirus (EHcV) RNA consists of three domains which are homologous to domains I, II and III of HCV, albeit structural differences on domain III correlate with a lower IRES activity [75].

Contrary to hepacivirus and picornavirus, whose positivestrand RNA genome encodes a single long polyprotein, the genome of dicistroviruses is a natural dicistronic mRNA, in which translation of each ORF is governed by distinct IRES with different RNA structure organization and mode of action [76]. Activity of the 5' IRES depends upon eIF3, resembling the HCV IRES. In contrast, the IGR adopts a 3D structure consisting of a triple-pseudoknot (PK I, II, and III) that functionally substitutes for the initiator met-tRNA_i directing translation initiation at a non-AUG triplet [14] (figure 1b). The 3D structure of the IGR-ribosome shows that PKI resembles a tRNA/mRNA interaction in the decoding centre of the A site, mimicking a pre-translocation rather than the initiation state of the ribosome [2]. Pseudo-translocation of the IGR by elongation factor 2 (eEF2) in the absence of peptide bond formation brings the first codon of the mRNA into the A site to start translation. Remarkably, structural studies of the IGR-ribosome complex have shown the active role of the IRES in manipulating the ribosome. Indeed, the inchworm-like movement of the IGR suggests that this mRNA suffers cyclic conformational changes coupled with ribosomal inter-subunit rotation and 40S head swivel [77].

IRES activity was also suggested to mediate initiation of translation of some retroviral mRNAs such as HIV-1 gag mRNA [78,79]. Again, it is worth noting the diversity of sequences, secondary structures and mechanisms promoting translation initiation in retroviral RNAs [80,81]. Not surprisingly, this diversity challenges the criteria by which IRES elements are defined. However, during evolution distinct types of RNA elements have been selected in nature to promote initiation of protein synthesis not only in dicistronic but also in polycistronic RNA viruses [82]. In fact, the implementation of potent sequencing methodologies is allowing the identification of novel viruses infecting all type of organisms, thereby increasing the number of different gene expression systems. This is also the case for RNA viruses infecting plants, which promote initiation of translation by cap-independent mechanisms, in many cases depending upon sequences located on the 3' end of the viral RNA [83].

4. Conformational flexibility of IRES domains: building blocks for ribosome interaction

Very soon after the discovery of IRES elements, functional analysis showed that RNA structure determines the function of the vast majority of viral IRES [14,15,62]. Indeed, IRES elements harbour distinct secondary structure motifs connected by junctions that play an essential role in RNA folding [3]. Both the sequence of motifs exposed on loops and the junctions are conserved in the IRES region of field isolates of highly variable RNA viruses [84–86], implying that the secondary structure is evolutionary constrained to deliver its function. Fully consistent with the biological relevance of the flexibility of IRES elements, perturbation of the local flexibility of specific IRES domains by RNA ligands inhibits RNA translation [87,88].

Although the presence of stable stem-loops in IRES elements has been determined by several RNA probing methodologies [89], the nucleotides involved in the dynamic folding and in tertiary interactions of IRES elements remain poorly known, presumably due to the inherent flexibility of the molecules and the lack of easy-to-use reliable methods to detect weak transient interactions. Notwithstanding, identification of RNA junctions is a key step in the structural characterization of flexible RNA molecules [3,50,90,91]. In this regard, the development of novel di-metallic chemical compounds, based on di-ruthenium, allowed the identification of fourway and three-way junctions within the FMDV (figure 2a) and HCV IRES conformation in solution [92], which were consistent with results derived from independent experimental approaches [65]. Therefore, di-metallic chemical reagents offer a new tool to determine regions controlling the folding of flexible RNA molecules.

The function of RNA molecules depends on their 3D structure and their ability to acquire distinct conformations [93]. Conversely, in response to specific signals conformational transitions could be spatially and temporally tuned, enabling the assembly of RNP complexes in a hierarchical ordered manner [94]. As such, the RNA reactivity towards slow- and fast-reacting SHAPE compounds can provide information on nucleotides that undergo local conformational changes on long timescales and those involved in tertiary interactions, respectively [95,96]. In this regard, differential SHAPE analysis on the free FMDV IRES showed that nucleotides reaching the final conformation on long time scales are placed on domains 4-5 upstream of the start codon, while nucleotides candidate to be involved in tertiary interactions are placed on the apical region of domain 3 [97]. Subsequent analysis of the IRES conformational flexibility conducted in the presence of various ribosomal fractions illustrated two key features of the IRES region: ribosomes free of factors (salt-washed) modified the conformational flexibility of domains 2 and 3 of the IRES element (figure 2b), while native ribosomes induced additional structural changes within domains 4 and 5 on long timescales (figure 2c). Furthermore, supplementing salt-washed ribosomes with soluble factors, including eIFs and RBPs, restored the RNA conformation of the IRES incubated with native ribosomes [97], reinforcing the role of host factors in mediating IRES function [98-100]. Therefore, individual structural modules of the IRES could perform a different role in the recruitment of ribosomes and host factors.



Figure 2. Conformational changes on the FMDV IRES induced by ribosomal fractions. Three-dimensional structure models for the IRES were predicted imposing SHAPE reactivity values obtained for the free RNA. The junctions defined by statistically significant reactivity towards di-ruthenium compound footprint are highlighted in pink (*a*), while the statistically significant reduction of SHAPE reactivity (protections) upon incubation with the ribosomal fractions is highlighted in cyan (*b*). Conformational changes observed by differential SHAPE, using isatoic anhydride (IA) treatment, upon incubation of the FMDV IRES with salt-washed 80S ribosomes (*b*), and native 80S (*c*). (*d*) Conformational changes observed in domain 2 upon incubation with purified 40S or 60S subunits. Domains 2, 3, 4 and 5, subdomains J and K of domain 4, as well as the GNRA tetraloop, loops and bulges referred to in the text, are indicated.

Experimental data accumulated over the years from many different laboratories have provided strong evidence for the involvement of RBPs on IRES function [101,102]. One of the putative roles played by RBPs on IRES activity is RNA chaperoning, stabilizing specific RNA conformations and thus allowing the interaction of eIFs with the IRES, as shown for PTB and Ebp1 on type II IRES elements [100]. In other cases, RBPs contribute to IRES activity removing RNA secondary structure near or at the start codon [103], or titrating IRES ligands as shown for Far upstream element-binding protein 1 (FBP1) [104], FBP2 [105] or SRp20 [106]. This is consistent with the fact that viruses inactivate the function of factors required for translation of the cellular mRNA competitors [107–109], as well as to inactivate negative regulators of IRES activity [110,111].

The conformational flexibility changes observed by differential SHAPE raise the possibility that the type II IRES contain separate sites for ribosome interaction and eIF binding. In support of this, direct interactions between 40S subunit and the EMCV IRES have been described [112]. These interactions are consistent with predicted base pairing between the HCV IRES and the 18S ribosomal RNA [113,114]. Interestingly, dissociated 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits, prepared from cells, induced fast structural changes within domain 2 (figure 2*d*) and the apical region of domain 3 of the FMDV IRES element *in vitro*. The presence of the FMDV IRES in mRNA expressed in living cells enhanced its association to the ribosomal subunits relative to a cap-mRNA. The enhanced IRES–ribosome association was observed both in normal conditions [97], and also upon stress induced by siRNA targeting eIF5B or poly-I:C



Figure 3. Ribosomal subunits association to cap-RNA or IRES-RNA expressed in human HEK293 cells. Stress cells refer to as siRNA targeting eIF5B or poly I:C treated cells.

treatment (figure 3), consistent with the capacity of the FMDV IRES to operate in normal cells but also upon strong stresses inhibitory for cap-dependent translation [25,101,102].

Therefore, taking into account that short conserved motifs within the type II IRES harbour the capacity to interact with the ribosomal particles, it could be concluded that RNA motifs present in domains 2 and 3 could define a functional building block responsible for the recruitment of ribosomal subunits. The remaining domains 4 and 5 would be mainly responsible for providing the binding sites for eIFs and RBPs, in agreement with previous reports [51,52,57,115]. Based on this data, we suggest that IRES elements could be derived from the association of distinct building blocks with specific features, such as those containing RNA motifs able to contact the ribosomal subunits. This module alone would not be sufficient to promote IRES activity, unless it is linked to other motifs facilitating the interaction with eIFs and RBPs. Individually, none of these building blocks contain full IRES activity, consistent with the observation that viral IRES elements function as single entities [116,117].

The finding that conserved RNA modules can provide direct IRES-ribosome interaction suggests that it could be possible to design synthetic RNAs with novel functional features, built from a combination of conserved building blocks connected via linker sequences to RNA motifs able to recruit transacting factors. These building blocks, however, should operate in concerted action to provide the correct and hierarchical orientation of the RNA motifs involved in ribosome and trans-acting factors recruitment. In support of the possibility to design synthetic RNAs behaving as IRES-like elements, it is worth mentioning synthetic RNA nanostructures recently designed by relying on the hierarchical formation of recurrent sequence-dependent networks of tertiary interactions [118]. These networks could specify RNA structural modules enabling orientation and topological control of helices to form larger self-folding domains.

Attempts to generate artificial IRES-like elements were previously reported [119,120]. In the first case, RNAs containing multiple copies of the motif carrying variations of the sequence (CCU)(n) function as artificial internal ribosome entry segments (AIRESs) in the presence of PTB. A different example of an artificial engineered RNA carrying five copies of a 9-nt motif (CCGGCGGGU) promoted capindependent translation [120]. This motif, present in the cellular Gtx and RBM3 RNAs, was proposed to recruit ribosomes by binding directly to ribosomal proteins [121], using a mechanism similar to hepacivirus and pestivirus IRES elements [64,122].

5. Cellular and viral IRES elements: similar RNA motifs with different regulatory functions?

Not surprisingly, the existence of cellular IRES elements is expected from the observation that novel mechanisms initially discovered in viruses have been invariably extended to the host cells. Hence, IRES activity has been claimed for a subset of cellular mRNAs [123,124]. Beyond atypical mRNAs characterized by having long and highly structured 5-UTRs, exhibiting translation under repressive conditions for general protein synthesis, the IRES elements of HOX cellular mRNAs were upregulated in different steps of embryonic development [23], therefore suggesting a role in normal gene expression programmes. This is also the case of the cofilin RNA, which is involved in the regulation of the axonal growth cone extension and turning [125]. Remarkably, the presence of distinct mechanisms to initiate translation could be instrumental to generate tools for therapeutic intervention, as illustrated by the treatment of spinocerebellar ataxia type 6, targeting the CACNA1A IRES element [126].

Yet the number of cellular IRES elements is rather reduced [127], especially relative to the potential ORFs in the genomes of high eukaryotic organisms. The lack of well-defined criteria to identify functional IRES elements in mRNAs promoting cap-independent translation could be due to several reasons. IRES-like elements may remain undetectable in genomes due to the lack of reliable tools and accurate methods to predict them [128,129], but also due to the difficulties to measure their activity, which might be detectable only under certain conditions. This was illustrated in a recent study focused to identify the RNA partners of eIF3, which allowed the identification of a selective group of cellular mRNAs translated in eIF3-depending manner [130]. In addition, identification of IRES elements in newly sequenced genomes of distinct organisms and their pathogens depend on the accurate annotation of coding genes, beyond the development of high-throughput methods to detect IRES activity. For instance, functional IRES elements were identified in viruses infecting filamentous fungi using a luciferase dual reporter system, including positive-sense RNA viruses belonging to the picornavirus-like group, non-segmented and tetra-segmented dsRNA viruses [131].

Worth mentioning are the efforts to implement specific methods for the computational search of sequences in mRNAs promoting cap-independent translation [132–134]. Early works to identify IRES elements widespread in cellular mRNAs took advantage of highly active viral proteases, which cleave eIF4G, and thus induce the shut-off of cap-dependent translation [135,136]. Likewise, the proteolytic activity of caspases in apoptotic cells provided a useful tool for the identification of mRNAs translated in a cap-independent manner [137]. In recent years researchers took advantage of mRNA display, a cell-free system for covalently linking newly translated proteins to their encoding RNA message [138]. Another study exploited the expression of bicistronic RNAs containing a combinatorial library of human sequences on the intercistronic space, followed by flow cytometry

separation of cells expressing tagged fluorescent proteins and deep sequencing of the mRNAs present in the fluorescent selected cells [139]. While these high-throughput works have tried to validate the accuracy of the method with a short list of the RNAs identified, there is still a long way to go to fix the criteria defining functional IRES elements.

A follow-up work aimed to develop methodologies for genome-wide computational prediction of IRES elements, which relied on the sequences shared by cap-independent translated mRNAs [139], resulted in the identification of very short motifs (C/U k-mers, 4 nt long) [134], thereby unlikely to be unique predictors for IRES elements given the size of mammalian mRNAs and the expected frequency of 4-nt motifs. These pyrimidine-rich motifs presumably provide binding sites for PTB and poly(rC)-binding protein 2 (PCBP2), frequently found interacting with viral IRES elements albeit with different functional relevance for IRES activity [98,99,115,140-142] (figure 1b). Moreover, a number of cellular 5' UTRs that harbour (CCU)_n sequences were reported to contain PTBdependent IRES elements, raising the possibility that PTB or its interacting protein partners could provide a bridge between the IRES and the ribosome [119]. As such, it could be proposed that identification of RNA-binding sites for proteins involved in IRES function could be a clue to predict novel IRES-like motifs. Yet it is well established that various RBPs interact in a concerted manner with IRES elements, such that binding of one factor is not enough to promote IRES activity [143-145]. Hence, more work needs to be done to understand the function and structural organization of the diverse catalogue of IRES elements to better define the criteria in order to improve the accuracy for genome-wide prediction of IRES-like motifs.

6. Conclusion

Recent studies on IRES-ribosome complex formation have shown the active role of the IRES RNA in manipulating the ribosome. However, the ongoing IRES research is challenging mostly due to the structural diversity of the established viral IRES. In turn, the heterogeneity of IRES elements points to different strategies developed by viruses to exploit the host translation machinery. Data reported over the years have provided insights for different IRES-driven mechanisms to initiate translation. The simplest one involves direct recruitment of the ribosomal subunits mimicking features of the translation machinery, such as tRNAmet_i. However, the vast majority of IRES elements use more complex strategies involving the concerted action of eIFs and distinct RBPs to capture the 40S subunit, followed by the assembly of the 60S subunit. We would like to propose that complex IRES elements share conserved motifs which could behave as building blocks, enabling interaction with the ribosome. Eventually assisted by eIFs and RBPs, these motifs could contribute to assemble ribosomal subunits in a translationally active complex. Given that RNA is a versatile molecule in structure and function, the presence of distinct conserved motifs opens new avenues for designing engineered IRES elements with novel translation regulation features.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data. Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests. Funding. This work was supported by MINECO (BFU2017-84492-R) and an Institutional grant from Fundación Ramón Areces.

References

- Denny SK, Bisaria N, Yesselman JD, Das R, Herschlag D, Greenleaf WJ. 2018 High-throughput investigation of diverse junction elements in RNA tertiary folding. *Cell* **174**, 377–390. (doi:10.1016/j. cell.2018.05.038)
- Fernandez IS, Bai XC, Murshudov G, Scheres SH, Ramakrishnan V. 2014 Initiation of translation by cricket paralysis virus IRES requires its translocation in the ribosome. *Cell* 157, 823–831. (doi:10.1016/j. cell.2014.04.015)
- Yamamoto H *et al.* 2015 Molecular architecture of the ribosome-bound hepatitis C virus internal ribosomal entry site RNA. *EMBO J.* 34, 3042 – 3058. (doi:10.15252/embj.201592469)
- Smola MJ, Weeks KM. 2018 In-cell RNA structure probing with SHAPE-MaP. *Nat. Protoc.* 13, 1181–1195. (doi:10.1038/nprot.2018.010)
- Spitale RC *et al.* 2015 Structural imprints in vivo decode RNA regulatory mechanisms. *Nature* 519, 486–490. (doi:10.1038/nature14263)
- Bevilacqua PC, Ritchey LE, Su Z, Assmann SM. 2016 Genome-wide analysis of RNA secondary structure. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 50, 235–266. (doi:10.1146/ annurev-genet-120215-035034)
- Fricke M, Dunnes N, Zayas M, Bartenschlager R, Niepmann M, Marz M. 2015 Conserved RNA secondary structures and long-range interactions in

hepatitis C viruses. *RNA* **21**, 1219–1232. (doi:10. 1261/rna.049338.114)

- Diaz-Toledano R, Lozano G, Martinez-Salas E. 2017 In-cell SHAPE uncovers dynamic interactions between the untranslated regions of the foot-andmouth disease virus RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 1416–1432.
- Steckelberg AL, Akiyama BM, Costantino DA, Sit TL, Nix JC, Kieft JS. 2018 A folded viral noncoding RNA blocks host cell exoribonucleases through a conformationally dynamic RNA structure. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 6404–6409. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1802429115)
- Gao F, Simon AE. 2016 Multiple Cis-acting elements modulate programmed — 1 ribosomal frameshifting in Pea enation mosaic virus. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 878–895. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1241)
- Bidet K, Dadlani D, Garcia-Blanco MA. 2014 G3BP1, G3BP2 and CAPRIN1 are required for translation of interferon stimulated mRNAs and are targeted by a dengue virus non-coding RNA. *PLoS Pathog.* 10, e1004242. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004242)
- Friebe P, Boudet J, Simorre JP, Bartenschlager R. 2005 Kissing-loop interaction in the 3' end of the hepatitis C virus genome essential for RNA replication. J. Virol. **79**, 380–392. (doi:10.1128/JVI. 79.1.380-392.2005)

- de Borba L, Villordo SM, Iglesias NG, Filomatori CV, Gebhard LG, Gamarnik AV. 2015 Overlapping local and long-range RNA-RNA interactions modulate dengue virus genome cyclization and replication. *J. Virol.* 89, 3430–3437. (doi:10.1128/JVI.02677-14)
- Filbin ME, Kieft JS. 2009 Toward a structural understanding of IRES RNA function. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **19**, 267–276. (doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2009. 03.005)
- Lozano G, Martinez-Salas E. 2015 Structural insights into viral IRES-dependent translation mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **12**, 113–120. (doi:10.1016/j. coviro.2015.04.008)
- Pirakitikulr N, Kohlway A, Lindenbach BD, Pyle AM.
 2016 The coding region of the HCV genome contains a network of regulatory RNA structures. *Mol. Cell* 62, 111–120. (doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016. 01.024)
- Watters KE, Choudhary K, Aviran S, Lucks JB, Perry KL, Thompson JR. 2018 Probing of RNA structures in a positive sense RNA virus reveals selection pressures for structural elements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 2573–2584. (doi:10.1093/nar/qkx1273)
- Kutchko KM *et al.* 2018 Structural divergence creates new functional features in alphavirus genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 3657–3670. (doi:10.1093/nar/gky012)

- Hoang HD, Graber TE, Alain T. 2018 Battling for ribosomes: translational control at the forefront of the antiviral response. *J. Mol. Biol.* 430, 1965–1992. (doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.04.040)
- Kwan T, Thompson SR. 2018 Noncanonical translation initiation in eukaryotes. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.*, pii: a032672. (doi:10.1101/ cshperspect.a032672)
- Hinnebusch AG, Ivanov IP, Sonenberg N. 2016 Translational control by 5'-untranslated regions of eukaryotic mRNAs. *Science* **352**, 1413–1416. (doi:10.1126/science.aad 9868)
- Marques-Ramos A, Candeias MM, Menezes J, Lacerda R, Willcocks M, Teixeira A, Locker N, Romao L. 2017 Cap-independent translation ensures mTOR expression and function upon protein synthesis inhibition. *RNA* 23, 1712–1728. (doi:10.1261/rna. 063040.117)
- Leppek K, Das R, Barna M. 2018 Functional 5' UTR mRNA structures in eukaryotic translation regulation and how to find them. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 158–174. (doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.103)
- Thakor N, Holcik M. 2012 IRES-mediated translation of cellular messenger RNA operates in elF2alphaindependent manner during stress. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 541–552. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkr701)
- Stern-Ginossar N, Thompson SR, Mathews MB, Mohr I. 2018 Translational control in virus-infected cells. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.*, pii: a033001. (doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a033001)
- Thakor N, Smith MD, Roberts L, Faye MD, Patel H, Wieden HJ, Cate JHD, Holcik M. 2017 Cellular mRNA recruits the ribosome via elF3-PABP bridge to initiate internal translation. *RNA Biol.* 14, 553–567. (doi:10.1080/15476286.2015.1137419)
- Coots RA, Liu XM, Mao Y, Dong L, Zhou J, Wan J, Zhang X, Qian SB. 2017 m6A facilitates elF4Findependent mRNA translation. *Mol. Cell* 68, 504-514. (doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.10.002)
- Zhang M *et al.* 2018 A novel protein encoded by the circular form of the SHPRH gene suppresses glioma tumorigenesis. *Oncogene* **37**, 1805–1814. (doi:10.1038/s41388-017-0019-9)
- Couso JP, Patraquim P. 2017 Classification and function of small open reading frames. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 18, 575–589. (doi:10.1038/nrm. 2017.58)
- Karginov TA, Pastor DP, Semler BL, Gomez CM. 2016 Mammalian polycistronic mRNAs and disease. *Trends Genet.* 33, 129–142. (doi:10.1016/j.tig.2016. 11.007)
- Crosby MA et al. 2015 Gene model annotations for Drosophila melanogaster: the rule-benders. G3 (Bethesda) 5, 1737–1749. (doi:10.1534/g3.115. 018937)
- Hernandez G, Vazquez-Pianzola P, Sierra JM, Rivera-Pomar R. 2004 Internal ribosome entry site drives cap-independent translation of reaper and heat shock protein 70 mRNAs in *Drosophila* embryos. *RNA* 10, 1783–1797. (doi:10.1261/rna.7154104)
- Simsek D, Tiu GC, Flynn RA, Byeon GW, Leppek K, Xu AF, Chang HY, Barna M. 2017 The mammalian ribo-interactome reveals ribosome functional

diversity and heterogeneity. *Cell* **169**, 1051–1065. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.022)

- Shi Z, Fujii K, Kovary KM, Genuth NR, Rost HL, Teruel MN, Barna M. 2017 Heterogeneous ribosomes preferentially translate distinct subpools of mRNAs genome-wide. *Mol. Cell* 67, 71–83. (doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.05.021)
- Remacha M, Jimenez-Diaz A, Bermejo B, Rodriguez-Gabriel MA, Guarinos E, Ballesta JP. 1995 Ribosomal acidic phosphoproteins P1 and P2 are not required for cell viability but regulate the pattern of protein expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **15**, 4754–4762. (doi:10.1128/MCB.15.9.4754)
- Majzoub K *et al.* 2014 RACK1 controls IRESmediated translation of viruses. *Cell* **159**, 1086–1095. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.041)
- van de Waterbeemd M *et al.* 2018 Dissecting ribosomal particles throughout the kingdoms of life using advanced hybrid mass spectrometry methods. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 2493. (doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04853-x)
- Martinez-Azorin F, Remacha M, Martinez-Salas E, Ballesta JP. 2008 Internal translation initiation on the foot-and-mouth disease virus IRES is affected by ribosomal stalk conformation. *FEBS Lett.* 582, 3029 – 3032. (doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2008.07.039)
- Erales J *et al.* 2017 Evidence for rRNA 2'-0methylation plasticity: control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 12 934–12 939. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1707674114)
- Meyer KD, Patil DP, Zhou J, Zinoviev A, Skabkin MA, Elemento O, Pestova TV, Qian SB, Jaffrey SR. 2015 5' UTR m⁶A promotes cap-independent translation. *Cell* 163, 999–1010. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.10. 012)
- Jang SK, Krausslich HG, Nicklin MJ, Duke GM, Palmenberg AC, Wimmer E. 1988 A segment of the 5' nontranslated region of encephalomyocarditis virus RNA directs internal entry of ribosomes during in vitro translation. J. Virol. 62, 2636–2643.
- Pelletier J, Sonenberg N. 1988 Internal initiation of translation of eukaryotic mRNA directed by a sequence derived from poliovirus RNA. *Nature* 334, 320–325. (doi:10.1038/334320a0)
- Tsukiyama-Kohara K, Iizuka N, Kohara M, Nomoto A. 1992 Internal ribosome entry site within hepatitis C virus RNA. J. Virol. 66, 1476–1483.
- Yamamoto H, Unbehaun A, Spahn CM.T. 2017 Ribosomal chamber music: toward an understanding of IRES mechanisms. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 42, 655–668. (doi:10.1016/j.tibs. 2017.06.002)
- Pestova TV, Kolupaeva VG, Lomakin IB, Pilipenko EV, Shatsky IN, Agol VI, Hellen CU. 2001 Molecular mechanisms of translation initiation in eukaryotes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 7029–7036. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.111145798)
- Lozano G, Fernandez N, Martinez-Salas E. 2014 Magnesium-dependent folding of a picornavirus IRES element modulates RNA conformation and eIF4G interaction. *FEBS J.* 281, 3685–3700. (doi:10. 1111/febs.12890)

- Jang SK, Wimmer E. 1990 Cap-independent translation of encephalomyocarditis virus RNA: structural elements of the internal ribosomal entry site and involvement of a cellular 57-kD RNAbinding protein. *Genes Dev.* 4, 1560–1572. (doi:10. 1101/gad.4.9.1560)
- Luz N, Beck E. 1991 Interaction of a cellular 57-kilodalton protein with the internal translation initiation site of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *J. Virol.* 65, 6486–6494.
- Fernandez N, Garcia-Sacristan A, Ramajo J, Briones C, Martinez-Salas E. 2011 Structural analysis provides insights into the modular organization of picornavirus IRES. *Virology* **409**, 251–261. (doi:10. 1016/j.virol.2010.10.013)
- Fernandez-Miragall O, Ramos R, Ramajo J, Martinez-Salas E. 2006 Evidence of reciprocal tertiary interactions between conserved motifs involved in organizing RNA structure essential for internal initiation of translation. *RNA* 12, 223–234. (doi:10.1261/rna.2153206)
- Kolupaeva VG, Pestova TV, Hellen CU, Shatsky IN. 1998 Translation eukaryotic initiation factor 4G recognizes a specific structural element within the internal ribosome entry site of encephalomyocarditis virus RNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 18 599 – 18 604. (doi:10.1074/jbc.273.29.18599)
- Lopez de Quinto S, Martinez-Salas E. 2000 Interaction of the eIF4G initiation factor with the aphthovirus IRES is essential for internal translation initiation in vivo. *RNA* 6, 1380–1392. (doi:10.1017/ S1355838200000753)
- Fernandez-Chamorro J, Lozano G, Garcia-Martin JA, Ramajo J, Dotu I, Clote P, Martinez-Salas E. 2016 Designing synthetic RNAs to determine the relevance of structural motifs in picornavirus IRES elements. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 24243. (doi:10.1038/ srep24243)
- Lopez de Quinto S, Lafuente E, Martinez-Salas E. 2001 IRES interaction with translation initiation factors: functional characterization of novel RNA contacts with eIF3, eIF4B, and eIF4GII. *RNA* 7, 1213–1226. (doi:10.1017/S1355838201010433)
- Pineiro D, Fernandez N, Ramajo J, Martinez-Salas E. 2013 Gemin5 promotes IRES interaction and translation control through its C-terminal region. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 1017–1028. (doi:10.1093/ nar/gks1212)
- Galan A, Lozano G, Pineiro D, Martinez-Salas E. 2017 G3BP1 interacts directly with the FMDV IRES and negatively regulates translation. *FEBS J.* 284, 3202–3217. (doi:10.1111/febs.14184)
- Imai S, Kumar P, Hellen CU, D'Souza VM, Wagner G. 2016 An accurately preorganized IRES RNA structure enables elF4G capture for initiation of viral translation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 23, 859–864. (doi:10.1038/nsmb.3280)
- Fernandez-Miragall O, Martinez-Salas E. 2003 Structural organization of a viral IRES depends on the integrity of the GNRA motif. *RNA* 9, 1333–1344. (doi:10.1261/rna.5950603)
- 59. Niepmann M, Shalamova LA, Gerresheim GK, Rossbach O. 2018 Signals involved in regulation of

rsob.royalsocietypublishing.org Open Biol. 8: 180155

9

hepatitis C virus RNA genome translation and replication. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, 395. (doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2018.00395)

- Kim YK, Kim CS, Lee SH, Jang SK. 2002 Domains I and II in the 5' nontranslated region of the HCV genome are required for RNA replication. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **290**, 105–112. (doi:10. 1006/bbrc.2001.6167)
- Friebe P, Bartenschlager R. 2009 Role of RNA structures in genome terminal sequences of the hepatitis C virus for replication and assembly. *J. Virol.* 83, 11 989–11 995. (doi:10.1128/JVI. 01508-09)
- Fraser CS, Doudna JA. 2007 Structural and mechanistic insights into hepatitis C viral translation initiation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 5, 29–38. (doi:10. 1038/nrmicro1558)
- Kieft JS, Zhou K, Jubin R, Doudna JA. 2001 Mechanism of ribosome recruitment by hepatitis C IRES RNA. *RNA* 7, 194–206. (doi:10.1017/ S1355838201001790)
- Pestova TV, Shatsky IN, Fletcher SP, Jackson RJ, Hellen CU. 1998 A prokaryotic-like mode of cytoplasmic eukaryotic ribosome binding to the initiation codon during internal translation initiation of hepatitis C and classical swine fever virus RNAs. *Genes Dev.* 12, 67–83. (doi:10.1101/gad.12.1.67)
- Perard J, Leyrat C, Baudin F, Drouet E, Jamin M. 2013 Structure of the full-length HCV IRES in solution. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1612. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms2611)
- Niepmann M. 2013 Hepatitis C virus RNA translation. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 369, 143–166.
- 67. Romero-Lopez C, Berzal-Herranz A. 2009 A longrange RNA-RNA interaction between the 5' and 3' ends of the HCV genome. *RNA* **15**, 1740–1752. (doi:10.1261/rna.1680809)
- Sarnow P, Sagan SM. 2016 Unraveling the mysterious interactions between hepatitis C virus RNA and liver-specific microRNA-122. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* 3, 309–332. (doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-110615-042409)
- Schult P, Roth H, Adams RL, Mas C, Imbert L, Orlik C, Ruggieri A, Pyle AM, Lohmann V. 2018 microRNA-122 amplifies hepatitis C virus translation by shaping the structure of the internal ribosomal entry site. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 2613. (doi:10.1038/ s41467-018-05053-3)
- Baechlein C *et al.* 2015 Identification of a novel hepacivirus in domestic cattle from Germany. *J. Virol.* 89, 7007 – 7015. (doi:10.1128/JVI.00534-15)
- Asnani M, Kumar P, Hellen CU. 2015 Widespread distribution and structural diversity of Type IV IRESs in members of Picornaviridae. *Virology* 478, 61–74. (doi:10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.016)
- Hashem Y, des Georges A, Dhote V, Langlois R, Liao HY, Grassucci RA, Pestova TV, Hellen CU, Frank J. 2013 Hepatitis-C-virus-like internal ribosome entry sites displace eIF3 to gain access to the 40S subunit. *Nature* 503, 539–543. (doi:10.1038/nature12658)
- 73. Willcocks MM *et al.* 2017 Distinct roles for the IIId2 sub-domain in pestivirus and picornavirus internal

ribosome entry sites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 13 016-13 028. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkx991)

- Pisarev AV, Chard LS, Kaku Y, Johns HL, Shatsky IN, Belsham GJ. 2004 Functional and structural similarities between the internal ribosome entry sites of hepatitis C virus and porcine teschovirus, a picornavirus. J. Virol. **78**, 4487–4497. (doi:10.1128/ JVI.78.9.4487-4497.2004)
- Tanaka T, Otoguro T, Yamashita A, Kasai H, Fukuhara T, Matsuura Y, Moriishi K. 2018 Roles of the 5' untranslated region of nonprimate hepacivirus in translation initiation and viral replication. *J. Virol.* 92, e01997-17. (doi:10.1128/JVI.01997-17)
- Gross L *et al.* 2017 The IRES5'UTR of the dicistrovirus cricket paralysis virus is a type III IRES containing an essential pseudoknot structure. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 8993–9004. (doi:10.1093/ nar/gkx622)
- Abeyrathne PD, Koh CS, Grant T, Grigorieff N, Korostelev AA. 2016 Ensemble cryo-EM uncovers inchworm-like translocation of a viral IRES through the ribosome. *Elife* 5, e14874. (doi:10.7554/ eLife.14874)
- Deforges J, de Breyne S, Ameur M, Ulryck N, Chamond N, Saaidi A, Ponty Y, Ohlmann T, Sargueil B. 2017 Two ribosome recruitment sites direct multiple translation events within HIV1 Gag open reading frame. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 7382–7400. (doi:10.1093/nar/qkx303)
- Locker N, Chamond N, Sargueil B. 2011 A conserved structure within the HIV gag open reading frame that controls translation initiation directly recruits the 40S subunit and eIF3. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 2367–2377. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkq1118)
- Carvajal F *et al.* 2016 Structural domains within the HIV-1 mRNA and the ribosomal protein S25 influence cap-independent translation initiation. *FEBS J.* 283, 2508–2527. (doi:10.1111/febs.13756)
- Herbreteau CH, Weill L, Decimo D, Prevot D, Darlix JL, Sargueil B, Ohlmann T. 2005 HIV-2 genomic RNA contains a novel type of IRES located downstream of its initiation codon. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12, 1001–1007. (doi:10.1038/nsmb1011)
- Olendraite I, Lukhovitskaya NI, Porter SD, Valles SM, Firth AE. 2017 Polycipiviridae: a proposed new family of polycistronic picorna-like RNA viruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* 98, 2368–2378. (doi:10.1099/jgv.0. 000902)
- Miller WA, Jackson J, Feng Y. 2015 Cis- and transregulation of luteovirus gene expression by the 3' end of the viral genome. *Virus Res.* 206, 37–45. (doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2015.03.009)
- Fernandez N, Fernandez-Miragall O, Ramajo J, Garcia-Sacristan A, Bellora N, Eyras E, Briones C, Martinez-Salas E. 2011 Structural basis for the biological relevance of the invariant apical stem in IRES-mediated translation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 8572–8585. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkr560)
- Barria MI *et al.* 2009 Analysis of natural variants of the hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site reveals that primary sequence plays a key role in cap-independent translation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 957–971. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkn1022)

- van Leeuwen HC, Reusken CB, Roeten M, Dalebout TJ, Riezu-Boj JI, Ruiz J, Spaan WJ. 2004 Evolution of naturally occurring 5' non-translated region variants of hepatitis C virus genotype 1b in selectable replicons. J. Gen. Virol. 85, 1859–1866. (doi:10. 1099/vir.0.79924-0)
- Boerneke MA, Dibrov SM, Gu J, Wyles DL, Hermann T. 2014 Functional conservation despite structural divergence in ligand-responsive RNA switches. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 15 952 – 15 957. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1414678111)
- Lozano G, Trapote A, Ramajo J, Elduque X, Grandas A, Robles J, Pedroso E, Martinez-Salas E. 2015 Local RNA flexibility perturbation of the IRES element induced by a novel ligand inhibits viral RNA translation. *RNA Biol.* 12, 555-568. (doi:10.1080/ 15476286.2015.1025190)
- Francisco-Velilla R, Fernandez-Chamorro J, Lozano G, Diaz-Toledano R, Martinez-Salas E. 2015 RNA-protein interaction methods to study viral IRES elements. *Methods* 91, 3 12. (doi:10.1016/j.ymeth. 2015.06.023)
- Jung S, Schlick T. 2014 Interconversion between parallel and antiparallel conformations of a 4H RNA junction in domain 3 of foot-and-mouth disease virus IRES captured by dynamics simulations. *Biophys. J.* **106**, 447–458. (doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2013. 12.008)
- Hao Y, Kieft JS. 2016 Three-way junction conformation dictates self-association of phage packaging RNAs. *RNA Biol.* **13**, 635-645. (doi:10. 1080/15476286.2016.1190075)
- Lozano G, Jimenez-Aparicio R, Herrero S, Martinez-Salas E. 2016 Fingerprinting the junctions of RNA structure by an open-paddlewheel diruthenium compound. *RNA* 22, 330–338. (doi:10.1261/rna. 054353.115)
- Cruz JA, Westhof E. 2009 The dynamic landscapes of RNA architecture. *Cell* **136**, 604–609. (doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2009.02.003)
- Mustoe AM, Brooks CL, Al-Hashimi HM. 2014 Hierarchy of RNA functional dynamics. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 83, 441–466. (doi:10.1146/annurevbiochem-060713-035524)
- Mortimer SA, Weeks KM. 2009 C2'-endo nucleotides as molecular timers suggested by the folding of an RNA domain. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 15 622–15 627. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0901319106)
- Steen KA, Rice GM, Weeks KM. 2012 Fingerprinting noncanonical and tertiary RNA structures by differential SHAPE reactivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134, 13 160–13 163. (doi:10.1021/ja304027m)
- Lozano G, Francisco-Velilla R, Martinez-Salas E. 2018 Ribosome-dependent conformational flexibility changes and RNA dynamics of IRES domains revealed by differential SHAPE. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 5545. (doi:10.1038/s41598-018-23845-x)
- Andreev DE, Fernandez-Miragall O, Ramajo J, Dmitriev SE, Terenin IM, Martinez-Salas E, Shatsky IN. 2007 Differential factor requirement to assemble translation initiation complexes at the alternative start codons of foot-and-mouth disease virus RNA. *RNA* 13, 1366–1374. (doi:10.1261/rna.469707)

- Kafasla P, Morgner N, Poyry TA, Curry S, Robinson CV, Jackson RJ. 2009 Polypyrimidine tract binding protein stabilizes the encephalomyocarditis virus IRES structure via binding multiple sites in a unique orientation. *Mol. Cell* **34**, 556–568. (doi:10.1016/j. molcel.2009.04.015)
- 100. Yu Y, Abaeva IS, Marintchev A, Pestova TV, Hellen CU. 2011 Common conformational changes induced in type 2 picornavirus IRESs by cognate trans-acting factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, 4851–4865. (doi:10. 1093/nar/gkr045)
- Martinez-Salas E, Francisco-Velilla R, Fernandez-Chamorro J, Lozano G, Diaz-Toledano R. 2015 Picornavirus IRES elements: RNA structure and host protein interactions. *Virus Res.* 206, 62–73. (doi:10. 1016/j.virusres.2015.01.012)
- Lee KM, Chen CJ, Shih SR. 2017 Regulation mechanisms of viral IRES-driven translation. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 546-561. (doi:10.1016/j.tim. 2017.01.010)
- 103. Yu Y, Sweeney TR, Kafasla P, Jackson RJ, Pestova TV, Hellen CU. 2011 The mechanism of translation initiation on Aichivirus RNA mediated by a novel type of picornavirus IRES. *EMBO J.* **30**, 4423–4436. (doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.306)
- 104. Hung CT, Kung YA, Li ML, Brewer G, Lee KM, Liu ST, Shih SR. 2016 Additive promotion of viral internal ribosome entry site-mediated translation by far upstream element-binding protein 1 and an enterovirus 71-induced cleavage product. *PLoS Pathog.* **12**, e1005959. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat. 1005959)
- 105. Chen LL, Kung YA, Weng KF, Lin JY, Horng JT, Shih SR. 2013 Enterovirus 71 infection cleaves a negative regulator for viral internal ribosomal entry sitedriven translation. *J. Virol.* 87, 3828–3838. (doi:10. 1128/JVI.02278-12)
- 106. Fitzgerald KD, Chase AJ, Cathcart AL, Tran GP, Semler BL. 2013 Viral proteinase requirements for the nucleocytoplasmic relocalization of cellular splicing factor SRp20 during picornavirus infections. *J. Virol.* 87, 2390–2400. (doi:10.1128/JVI.02396-12)
- 107. Gradi A, Foeger N, Strong R, Svitkin YV, Sonenberg N, Skern T, Belsham GJ. 2004 Cleavage of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4GII within foot-and-mouth disease virus-infected cells: identification of the L-protease cleavage site in vitro. J. Virol. 78, 3271–3278. (doi:10.1128/JVI.78.7.3271-3278.2004)
- 108. Gingras AC, Svitkin Y, Belsham GJ, Pause A, Sonenberg N. 1996 Activation of the translational suppressor 4E-BP1 following infection with encephalomyocarditis virus and poliovirus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 5578–5583. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 93.11.5578)
- Rodriguez PM, Serrano P, Saiz M, Martinez-Salas E.
 2007 Foot-and-mouth disease virus infection induces proteolytic cleavage of PTB, eIF3a,b, and PABP RNA-binding proteins. *Virology* 364, 466–474. (doi:10.1016/j.virol.2007.03.013)
- Pineiro D, Ramajo J, Bradrick SS, Martinez-Salas E. 2012 Gemin5 proteolysis reveals a novel motif to identify L protease targets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 4942–4953. (doi:10.1093/nar/gks172)

- 111. Fernandez-Chamorro J, Pineiro D, Gordon JM, Ramajo J, Francisco-Velilla R, Macias MJ, Martinez-Salas E. 2014 Identification of novel non-canonical RNA-binding sites in Gemin5 involved in internal initiation of translation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 5742–5754. (doi:10.1093/nar/gku177)
- 112. Chamond N, Deforges J, Ulryck N, Sargueil B. 2014 40S recruitment in the absence of eIF4G/4A by EMCV IRES refines the model for translation initiation on the archetype of Type II IRESs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, 10 373 – 10 384. (doi:10.1093/nar/ gku720)
- 113. Matsuda D, Mauro VP. 2014 Base pairing between hepatitis C virus RNA and 18S rRNA is required for IRES-dependent translation initiation in vivo. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 15 385–15 389. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1413472111)
- 114. Angulo J, Ulryck N, Deforges J, Chamond N, Lopez-Lastra M, Masquida B, Sargueil B. 2015 LOOP IIId of the HCV IRES is essential for the structural rearrangement of the 40S-HCV IRES complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 1309–1325. (doi:10.1093/ nar/qkv1325)
- 115. Stassinopoulos IA, Belsham GJ. 2001 A novel protein-RNA binding assay: functional interactions of the foot-and-mouth disease virus internal ribosome entry site with cellular proteins. *RNA* 7, 114–122. (doi:10.1017/S1355838201001170)
- Terenin IM, Smirnova VV, Andreev DE, Dmitriev SE, Shatsky IN. 2017 A researcher's guide to the galaxy of IRESs. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 74, 1431–1455. (doi:10. 1007/s00018-016-2409-5)
- Martinez-Salas E, Francisco-Velilla R, Fernandez-Chamorro J, Embarek AM. 2017 Insights into structural and mechanistic features of viral IRES elements. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 2629. (doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2017.02629)
- Geary C, Chworos A, Verzemnieks E, Voss NR, Jaeger L. 2017 Composing RNA nanostructures from a syntax of RNA structural modules. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 7095-7101. (doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b03842)
- Mitchell SA, Spriggs KA, Bushell M, Evans JR, Stoneley M, Le Quesne JP, Spriggs RV, Willis AE.
 2005 Identification of a motif that mediates polypyrimidine tract-binding protein-dependent internal ribosome entry. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 1556-1571. (doi:10.1101/gad.339105)
- 120. Chappell SA, Edelman GM, Mauro VP. 2004 Biochemical and functional analysis of a 9-nt RNA sequence that affects translation efficiency in eukaryotic cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 9590–9594. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0308759101)
- 121. Chappell SA, Mauro VP. 2003 The internal ribosome entry site (IRES) contained within the RNA-binding motif protein 3 (Rbm3) mRNA is composed of functionally distinct elements. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 33 793–33 800. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M303495200)
- Pestova TV, Hellen CU. 1999 Internal initiation of translation of bovine viral diarrhea virus RNA. *Virology* 258, 249–256. (doi:10.1006/viro. 1999.9741)
- 123. Du X, Wang J, Zhu H, Rinaldo L, Lamar KM, Palmenberg AC, Hansel C, Gomez CM. 2013 Second

cistron in CACNA1A gene encodes a transcription factor mediating cerebellar development and SCA6. *Cell* **154**, 118–133. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013. 05.059)

- 124. Xue S, Tian S, Fujii K, Kladwang W, Das R, Barna M. 2015 RNA regulons in Hox 5' UTRs confer ribosome specificity to gene regulation. *Nature* 517, 33–38. (doi:10.1038/nature14010)
- Choi JH, Wang W, Park D, Kim SH, Kim KT, Min KT.
 2018 IRES-mediated translation of cofilin regulates axonal growth cone extension and turning. *EMBO J.* 37, e95266. (doi:10.15252/embj.201695266)
- 126. Pastor PDH, Du X, Fazal S, Davies AN, Gomez CM. 2018 Targeting the CACNA1A IRES as a treatment for spinocerebellar ataxia type 6. *Cerebellum* **17**, 72–77. (doi:10.1007/s12311-018-0917-6)
- Martinez-Salas E, Pineiro D, Fernandez N. 2012 Alternative mechanisms to initiate translation in eukaryotic mRNAs. *Comp. Funct. Genomics* **2012**, 391546. (doi:10.1155/2012/391546)
- 128. Dotu I, Lozano G, Clote P, Martinez-Salas E. 2013 Using RNA inverse folding to identify IRES-like structural subdomains. *RNA Biol.* **10**, 1842–1852. (doi:10.4161/rna.26994)
- 129. Van Eden ME, Byrd MP, Sherrill KW, Lloyd RE. 2004 Demonstrating internal ribosome entry sites in eukaryotic mRNAs using stringent RNA test procedures. *RNA* **10**, 720–730. (doi:10.1261/rna. 5225204)
- Lee AS, Kranzusch PJ, Cate JH. 2015 eIF3 targets cell-proliferation messenger RNAs for translational activation or repression. *Nature* 522, 111–114. (doi:10.1038/nature14267)
- Chiba S, Jamal A, Suzuki N. 2018 First evidence for internal ribosomal entry sites in diverse fungal virus genomes. *MBio* 9, e02350-17. (doi:10.1128/mBio. 02350-17)
- Kolekar P, Pataskar A, Kulkarni-Kale U, Pal J, Kulkarni A. 2016 IRESPred: Web server for prediction of cellular and viral internal ribosome entry site (IRES). *Sci. Rep.* 6, 27436. (doi:10.1038/srep27436)
- Peguero-Sanchez E, Pardo-Lopez L, Merino E. 2015 IRES-dependent translated genes in fungi: computational prediction, phylogenetic conservation and functional association. *BMC genomics* 16, 1059. (doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2266-x)
- 134. Gritsenko AA, Weingarten-Gabbay S, Elias-Kirma S, Nir R, de Ridder D, Segal E. 2017 Sequence features of viral and human Internal ribosome Entry Sites predictive of their activity. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **13**, e1005734. (doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005734)
- 135. Johannes G, Carter MS, Eisen MB, Brown PO, Sarnow P. 1999 Identification of eukaryotic mRNAs that are translated at reduced cap binding complex elF4F concentrations using a cDNA microarray. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 13 118–13 123. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.96.23.13118)
- Macejak DG, Sarnow P. 1991 Internal initiation of translation mediated by the 5' leader of a cellular mRNA. *Nature* 353, 90–94. (doi:10.1038/ 353090a0)
- 137. Holcik M, Sonenberg N, Korneluk RG. 2000 Internal ribosome initiation of translation and the control of

cell death. *Trends Genet.* **16**, 469-473. (doi:10. 1016/S0168-9525(00)02106-5)

- Wellensiek BP *et al.* 2013 Genome-wide profiling of human cap-independent translation-enhancing elements. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 747–750. (doi:10. 1038/nmeth.2522)
- 139. Weingarten-Gabbay S, Elias-Kirma S, Nir R, Gritsenko AA, Stern-Ginossar N, Yakhini Z, Weinberger A, Segal E. 2016 Comparative genetics: systematic discovery of cap-independent translation sequences in human and viral genomes. *Science* **351**, aad4939. (doi:10.1126/science. aad4939)
- 140. Sweeney TR, Abaeva IS, Pestova TV, Hellen CU. 2014 The mechanism of translation initiation on Type 1 picornavirus IRESs. *EMBO J.* 33, 76–92. (doi:10. 1002/embj.201386124)
- Walter BL, Nguyen JH, Ehrenfeld E, Semler BL. 1999 Differential utilization of poly(rC) binding protein 2 in translation directed by picornavirus IRES elements. *RNA* 5, 1570–1585. (doi:10.1017/S1355838299991483)
- 142. Asnani M, Pestova TV, Hellen CU. 2016 Initiation on the divergent Type I cadicivirus IRES: factor requirements and interactions with the translation apparatus. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 3390–3407. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkw074)
- Lampe S *et al.* 2018 Identification of the TXNIP IRES and characterization of the impact of regulatory IRES trans-acting factors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1861**, 147–157. (doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2018.01.010)
- 144. Spriggs KA, Bushell M, Willis AE. 2010 Translational regulation of gene expression during conditions of cell stress. *Mol. Cell* **40**, 228–237. (doi:10.1016/j. molcel.2010.09.028)
- 145. Mitchell SA, Spriggs KA, Coldwell MJ, Jackson RJ, Willis AE. 2003 The Apaf-1 internal ribosome entry segment attains the correct structural conformation for function via interactions with PTB and unr. *Mol. Cell* **11**, 757–771. (doi:10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00093-5)