

# Proximity labeling identifies LOTUS domain proteins that promote the formation of perinuclear germ granules in *C. elegans*

Ian F Price<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Hannah L Hertz<sup>1,2</sup>, Benjamin Pastore<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jillian Wagner<sup>1,4</sup>, Wen Tang<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry and Pharmacology, Columbus, United States; <sup>2</sup>Center for RNA Biology, Columbus, United States; <sup>3</sup>Ohio State Biochemistry Program, Columbus, United States; <sup>4</sup>Department of Molecular Genetics, Ohio State University, Columbus, United States

**Abstract** The germ line produces gametes that transmit genetic and epigenetic information to the next generation. Maintenance of germ cells and development of gametes require germ granules—well-conserved membraneless and RNA-rich organelles. The composition of germ granules is elusive owing to their dynamic nature and their exclusive expression in the germ line. Using *Caenor-habditis elegans* germ granule, called P granule, as a model system, we employed a proximity-based labeling method in combination with mass spectrometry to comprehensively define its protein components. This set of experiments identified over 200 proteins, many of which contain intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs). An RNA interference-based screen identified factors that are essential for P granule assembly, notably EGGD-1 and EGGD-2, two putative LOTUS-domain proteins. Loss of *eggd-1* and *eggd-2* results in separation of P granules from the nuclear envelope, germline atrophy, and reduced fertility. We show that IDRs of EGGD-1 are required to anchor EGGD-1 to the nuclear periphery while its LOTUS domains are required to promote the perinuclear localization of P granules. Taken together, our work expands the repertoire of P granule constituents and provides new insights into the role of LOTUS-domain proteins in germ granule organization.

\*For correspondence: tang.542@osu.edu

**Competing interest:** The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 29

Received: 17 July 2021 Preprinted: 27 July 2021 Accepted: 01 November 2021 Published: 03 November 2021

**Reviewing Editor:** Michael Buszczak, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, United States

© Copyright Price et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

## **Editor's evaluation**

The authors use proximately labeling and genetic experiments to identify and functionally characterize new components of *C. elegans* P granules. The conclusions of the paper are well-supported by the data. This work will be of broad interest to developmental biologists, particularly those interested in the formation and function of germ cells.

## Introduction

Germ cells are unique stem cells that give rise to eggs and sperm, and ultimately to an entire organism. Germ cells of diverse species are characterized by the accumulation of electron-dense and membraneless structures referred to as germ granules (*Lehtiniemi and Kotaja, 2018*; *Trcek and Lehmann, 2019*; *Voronina et al., 2011*). Germ granules are widely distributed throughout the animal kingdom. For example, they are known as 'P granules' in worms (*Strome and Wood, 1982*), 'nuage and polar granules' in fruit flies (*Mahowald, 1968*), 'intermitochondrial cement' in frogs (*Bilinski et al., 2004*), and 'chromatoid bodies' in mice (*Parvinen, 2005*).

CC

Genetic and molecular analyses uncovered common classes of proteins that are enriched in germ granules across phyla (Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Trcek and Lehmann, 2019; Updike and Strome, 2010; Voronina et al., 2011). These include Piwi proteins and their small RNA cofactors— piRNAs that recognize and silence transposable elements Aravin et al., 2006; Brennecke et al., 2007; Lau et al., 2006; Vagin et al., 2006; ATP-dependent helicases such as Vasa proteins that are involved in RNA metabolism (Gustafson and Wessel, 2010; Spike et al., 2008), and LOTUS-domain proteins (Limkain /MARF1, Oskar and Tudor domain-containing proteins 5 and 7) (Anantharaman et al., 2010; Callebaut and Mornon, 2010; Kubíková et al., 2020). Metazoan LOTUS domain proteins promote germ cell development. For example, Drosophila Oskar interacts with Vasa through its LOTUS domain and serves as scaffold for germ plasm assembly (Jeske et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2004; Tanaka et al., 2011; Yabuta et al., 2011). Recent work revealed the identity of three LOTUS-domain proteins in C. elegans and has begun to explore their role in germ granule organization and small RNA biogenesis (Cipriani et al., 2021; Marnik et al., 2021).

*C. elegans* germ granules—P granules—provide an exceptional in vivo model to study granule formation and function (*Seydoux, 2018; Strome and Wood, 1982; Updike and Strome, 2010*). Biophysical studies combined with high-resolution microscopy describe P granules as phase-transitioned condensates (*Brangwynne et al., 2009*). P granules are present in germ cells throughout the entire *C. elegans* life cycle. Yet strikingly, they appear in different forms. In the adult gonad where germ cells undergo mitosis and differentiation, abundant P granules are associated with the cytoplasmic face of nuclear pores (*Pitt et al., 2000; Sheth et al., 2010; Updike et al., 2011*). During oogenesis, P granules detach from the nuclear membrane and become diffuse in the cytoplasm. Passed on to progeny, P granules partition to the posterior of one-cell embryos. During following cell divisions, P granules are segregated into the germline blastomeres and begin to coalesce and attach to the nuclear periphery. P granules ultimately become perinuclear in the primordial germ cells which give rise to the adult germ line (*Updike and Strome, 2010*).

More than 40 protein components are enriched in P granules (Updike and Strome, 2010). Genetic analyses have revealed an assembly pathway that involves core proteins including DEPS-1, GLH-1, PGL-1, and IFE-1. DEPS-1 is a nematode-specific protein that is required for GLH-1 accumulation (Spike et al., 2008). GLH-1 belongs to a conserved Vasa family that contributes to germ line development and fertility (Gustafson and Wessel, 2010; Spike et al., 2008). In addition to the conserved DEAD-box helicase domains, GLH-1 and some of its paralogs contain phenylalanineglycine (FG) repeats which are postulated to promote perinuclear localization of P granules (Chen et al., 2020; Marnik et al., 2019; Updike et al., 2011). PGL-1 contains both RNA binding and dimerization domains and serves as a P granule scaffold protein (Aoki et al., 2016; Kawasaki et al., 1998). Loss of either DEPS-1 or GLH-1 causes dispersal of PGL-1 into the cytoplasm, suggesting DEPS-1 and GLH-1 act upstream of PGL-1 (Kawasaki et al., 2004; Kawasaki et al., 1998). IFE-1 is a C. elegans homolog of eIF4E, an mRNA cap-binding protein (Keiper et al., 2000). IFE-1 and PGL-1 interact directly and the association of IFE-1 with P granules depends on PGL-1 (Amiri et al., 2001). So far, P granule components are primarily identified by genetic approaches (Updike and Strome, 2010). Due to their perinuclear localization and the nature of membraneless compartments, P granules cannot be easily purified via a conventional fractionation-based approach. Therefore, P granule composition and the molecular rules underlying its assembly and migration remain largely unknown.

Our current study used a proximity-based labeling method in conjunction with mass spectrometry to define the P granule proteome. This uncovered over 200 protein candidates. We show that EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 (embryonic and germline P granule detached), referred to as MIP-1 and MIP-2 (MEG-3 interacting protein), respectively, in a related study (*Cipriani et al., 2021*), play a key role in promoting perinuclear localization of P granules. EGGD-1 associates with the nuclear periphery and its localization depends on its intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs). EGGD-1 recruits the Vasa protein GLH-1 possibly through its LOTUS domains. Loss of *eggd-1* and *eggd-2* causes detachment of P granules from the nuclear periphery, germ line atrophy, and infertility. Taken together, our findings define the germ granule proteome and shed light on the organization principles of germ granules.

## **Results** A proximity labeling system to enrich P granule proteins

To probe the composition of P granules, we employed a biotin ligase-based proximity labeling approach to label P granule proteins. TurboID—an engineered promiscuous biotin ligase derived from bacterial BirA—generates reactive biotin derivatives that label proteins in close proximity to the enzyme (**Branon et al., 2018**). TurboID is active from 20°C to 25°C, a range of temperature suitable for *C. elegans* cultivation (**Branon et al., 2018**). We thus sought to target TurboID to P granules (**Figure 1A**). To this end, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to introduce TurboID sequences to genomic loci of deps-1, glh-1, pgl-1, or ife-1, which encode proteins known to reside in P granules (**Figure 1A and B**).

We first assessed if tagged alleles generate functional proteins by examining the fertility of animals expressing individual TurboID-tagged P granule proteins. Loss of *deps-1*, *glh-1*, *pgl-1*, or *ife-1* results in reduced fertility (**Updike and Strome**, **2010**). Under normal growth conditions, wild-type strains produced ~209 progeny/animal. We found that strains expressing DEPS-1::TurboID and TurboID::GLH-1 yielded ~88 and ~167 progeny/animal, respectively (*Figure 1B*). The brood size of *TurboID::ife-1* animals exhibited a large variation. A small portion of animals became completely sterile and each animal on average produced ~77 progeny (*Figure 1B*). The strain expressing PGL-1::TurboID was completely sterile, a phenotype that is more severe than *pgl-1* null mutants (*Figure 1B*; *Kawasaki et al., 1998*). This finding suggests that the expression of TurboID could cause toxicity. We used the healthiest strains, expressing DEPS-1::TurboID or TurboID::GLH-1, for the proximity labeling experiments.

To determine if P granules are properly assembled in *deps-1::TurboID* and *TurboID::glh-1 strains*, we first examined the subcellular localization of PGL-1 and Argonaute protein CSR-1, two wellcharacterized P granule proteins (*Claycomb et al., 2009; Kawasaki et al., 1998*). Using genetic crosses, we generated *deps-1::TurboID*, and *TurboID::glh-1* strains expressing PGL-1::TagRFP or GFP:CSR-1. Similar to wild-type animals, PGL-1::TagRFP and GFP::CSR-1 are primarily perinuclear in both TurboID animals (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*).

We next examined biotinylation of proteins to assess the activity of TurbolD. Two assays were employed: streptavidin blot analysis of whole-animal lysate and immunofluorescence staining of dissected gonads. For the first assay, we lysed adult animals, prepared protein lysates, and visualized biotinylated proteins using streptavidin–horseradish peroxidase blot analysis. In the lane with the untagged control, we detected a few signals which presumably corresponded to biotinylated endogenous proteins (*Figure 1C; Watts et al., 2018*). In strains expressing DEPS-1::TurbolD and TurbolD::GLH-1, more proteins were biotinylated (*Figure 1C*).

For the second assay, we stained dissected gonads with fluorescently labeled streptavidin to examine the subcellular localization of biotinylated proteins. We observed weak cytoplasmic signals in the stained wild-type gonad (*Figure 1D*, upper panel). In the *deps-1::TurboID* and *TurboID::glh-1* gonads, signals of biotinylated proteins were observed in the cytoplasm, but highly enriched in perinuclear structures (*Figure 1D*, middle and bottom panels). These findings indicate that TurboID can be applied to label proteins in *C. elegans* germ line.

## Proteomic analysis of P granules

We next carried out streptavidin affinity pull-down to enrich TurboID-biotinylated proteins. In brief, adult animal lysate was prepared under a denaturing condition. After incubating with streptavidin beads, samples were washed under stringent and denaturing conditions to reduce nonbiotinylated protein contaminants and enrich proteins covalently tagged by TurboID (*Branon et al., 2018*). We found that biotinylated proteins were depleted from the flow-through and efficiently enriched in the pull-down (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*).

Biotinylated proteins from untagged control, *TurbolD::glh-1* and *deps-1::TurbolD* strains were enriched in three independent biological replicates, and identified by mass spectrometry (*Figure 2A* and *B*). As compared to untagged control, 155 and 127 proteins were significantly enriched by labeling with TurbolD::GLH-1 and DEPS-1::TurbolD, respectively (fold change  $\geq$ 8, p<0.05) (*Figure 2A*). *Supplementary file 1* provides a detailed overview of candidate proteins identified in TurbolD strains. Of the combined 204 candidates, 38.2% (78/204) were recovered from both *deps-1::TurbolD* and *TurbolD::glh-1* strains (*Figure 2B* and *Supplementary file 1*).

eLife Research article



**Figure 1.** A proximity labeling system for specific biotin labeling of P granule proteins. (**A**) Schematic of proximity-based labeling. Known P granule bait proteins are tagged with the promiscuous biotin ligase—TurboID—to label proteins present in P granules. (**B**) Brood sizes of strains endogenously tagged at the loci encoding *deps-1*, *glh-1*, *ife-1*, *pgl-1*, and N2 control. Five independent lines of *pgl-1*::*TurboID* heterozygotes are sterile. ns: not significant, \*\*\* p<0.0005, two-tailed Student's t-test. (**C**) Streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase blotting. The left panel shows whole animal lysates prepared from N2 (untagged control), and strains expressing DEPS-1::TurboID or TurboID::GLH-1 blotted with streptavidin-HRP to visualize biotinylated proteins. The right panel shows Coomassie blue stain of the same membrane. An asterisk marks endogenously biotinylated protein (Based on its size, the protein likely is PCCA-1). (**D**) Streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488 staining of gonad dissected from N2 (untagged control), and animals expressing DEPS-

Figure 1 continued on next page

Figure 1 continued

1::TurboID and TurboID::GLH-1. Scale bar=10 μm.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Source data 1. Brood counts of N2(untagged) and TurboID-tagged strains.

Source data 2. Uncropped blots of Figure 1C.

Figure supplement 1. Streptavidin pull-down of biotinylated proteins.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Uncropped blots of Figure 1—figure supplement 1B.

Germ cells in *C. elegans* possess P granules, Z granules, and Mutator foci, three membraneless and perinuclear organelles (*Phillips et al., 2012; Strome and Wood, 1982; Wan et al., 2018*). Genetic and biochemical approaches identified several components localizing to these three compartments (*Supplementary file 2; Manage et al., 2020; Updike and Strome, 2010; Wan et al., 2018*). Our streptavidin affinity enrichment recovered 90% (18/20) of known P granule proteins and all Z granule proteins—ZNFX-1 and WAGO-4 (*Figure 2B* and *Supplementary file 2; Wan et al., 2018*). In contrast, none of the proteins (0/11) in Mutator foci were enriched (*Figure 2B* and *Supplementary file 2*). Our result is consistent with the previous finding that P granules physically contact Z granules, but not Mutator foci (*Wan et al., 2018*).

We inspected candidates identified in both TurbolD experiments and found that some are known P granules proteins (*Supplementary file 1*). For example, the PGL family members PGL-1 and PGL-3, and four Vasa family members GLH-1, GLH-2, GLH-3, and GLH-4 were enriched (*Gruidl et al., 1996*; *Kawasaki et al., 2004*; *Kawasaki et al., 1998*; *Spike et al., 2008*). We also identified a subset of Argonaute proteins. These include WAGO-1, WAGO-4, CSR-1, and PRG-1 localized to P granules as well as PPW-1/WAGO-7 and PPW-2/WAGO-3 whose localization requires further investigation (*Batista et al., 2008*; *Claycomb et al., 2009*; *Das et al., 2008*; *Xu et al., 2018*; *Yigit et al., 2006*). Of note, HRDE-1/WAGO-9, a germline nuclear Argonaute protein was not enriched, suggesting TurbolD preferentially labeled P granule proteins (*Buckley et al., 2012*). Consistent with the idea that germ granules are hubs for RNA metabolism (*Trcek and Lehmann, 2019*), many factors involved in RNA synthesis, processing, and decay were enriched. These include the Dicer-related helicase DRH-3, Tudor-domain protein EKL-1, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase EGO-1, terminal nucleotidyl transferase CDE-1, helicase domain containing proteins ZNFX-1 and RDE-12, decapping related proteins DCAP-1 and EDC-4, and 5'–3' exonuclease XRN-1 (*Ishidate et al., 2009*; *Wan et al., 2018*).

To assess the specificity of proximity labeling, we examined labeled components of nuclear pore complexes. Nuclear pore complexes are among the largest protein structures in cells and comprised of multiple copies of ~30 different proteins known as nucleoporins (Nups) in humans or nuclear pore proteins (NPPs) in *C. elegans* (*Strambio-De-Castillia et al., 2010*; *Updike et al., 2011*). The structure of the nuclear pore complex contains two main functional regions: the central structure which is embedded within the nuclear envelope, and the peripheral structures which extend to both the nuclear interior and cytoplasm termed the nuclear basket and the cytoplasmic filaments, respectively (*Figure 2C; Strambio-De-Castillia et al., 2010*). In *C. elegans* germ cells, P granules are associated with the cytoplasmic face of nuclear pore complexes (*Pitt et al., 2000; Sheth et al., 2010*; *Updike et al., 2011*). Under stringent purification conditions, we expected to preferentially enrich cytoplasmic filaments NPP-9 and NPP-14, and cytoplasmic ring component NPP-6 were significantly enriched in both TurboID experiments (*Figure 2B and C, Supplementary file 3; Strambio-De-Castillia et al., 2010*). In summary, we demonstrate that TurboID proximity labeling can be applied to enrich proteins within P granules.

#### Properties of the P granule proteome

We next proceeded to characterize the properties of the P granule proteome. First, we conducted gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis (**Ashburner et al., 2000**; **Raudvere et al., 2019**). As expected, the top three enriched GO terms in the domain of cellular component were 'cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein granule,' 'ribonucleoprotein granule,' and 'P granule' (*Figure 2D* and *Supplementary file 4*). In the domain of biological process, the top GO terms are 'negative regulation of



**Figure 2.** Proteomic analysis of P granules and properties of P granule proteome. (A) Volcano plots showing statistically significant enriched proteins from strains expressing TurbolD::GLH-1 and DEPS-1::TurbolD in green. One-tailed Student's t-test, p<0.05,  $log_2$ (fold change) $\geq$ 3. GLH-1 and DEPS-1 proteins are shown in red on the respective plots. (B) Venn diagram showing overlapping proteins recovered from strains expressing TurbolD::GLH-1 and DEPS-1::TurbolD, along with previously reported P granule, Z granule, and mutator foci proteins. The list shows proteins enriched by both pull-

Figure 2 continued on next page

#### Figure 2 continued

down experiments. Argonaute proteins (purple), nuclear pore proteins (NPPs, green), and core P granule components GLH and PGL-1 family proteins (red). P granule and Z granule proteins are marked with an asterisk and two asterisks, respectively. No reported mutator foci proteins were significantly enriched. (**C**) Schematic of nuclear pores and P granules. Pull-down experiments enrich NPPs (NPP-6, NPP-9, and NPP-14) composing either the cytoplasmic filaments or outer ring (purple). NPPs and their vertebrate homologs are shown. (**D**) Functional gene set enrichment analysis of proteins significantly enriched in both pull-down experiments organized by molecular function, biological processes, and cellular compartments. Top three enriched categories (based on p-value) are labeled. (**E**) Boxplot showing the average disorder of proteins enriched in both *TurboID::glh-1* and *deps-1::TurboID* (n=78) as predicted using IUPRED compared to a random control set (n=78). The average disorder of proteins was derived by comparing the total IUPRED score of each protein to its length. Wilcoxon rank-sum test (p<0.01 \*\*). (**F**) The same analysis as in (**E**), but with proteins labeled in either *TurboID::glh-1* or *deps-1::TurboID* pull-down experiments (n=204). Wilcoxon rank-sum test (p<0.0001 \*\*\*\*).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Source data 1. Normalized spectral counts for N2 (untagged) and TurboID tagged strains.

**Source data 2.** Average IUPred disorder score for each *Caenorhabditis elegans* protein.

Figure supplement 1. Network analysis of proteins enriched from TurboID.

gene expression,' 'gene silencing by RNA,' and 'posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression' (*Figure 2D* and *Supplementary file 4*). Additionally, GO terms including 'oocyte construction' and 'oocyte anterior/posterior axis specification' were highly enriched (*Supplementary file 4*), consistent with the notion that P granules are essential for gametogenesis and germ line maintenance. In the domain of molecular function, GO terms including 'RNA binding,' 'nucleic acid binding' and 'helicase activity' were significantly enriched, suggesting P granule proteins interact extensively with RNAs (*Figure 2D* and *Supplementary file 4*).

We constructed the P granule protein interaction network using publicly available protein-protein interaction (PPI) data (*Jensen et al., 2009*). When examining proteins labeled by both DEPS-1::TurbolD and TurbolD::GLH-1, we found the resulting network contained 78 nodes and 200 non-redundant edges (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*). The number of edges was significantly higher than expected by chance (p<1 ×10<sup>-16</sup>), implying that the proteins are at least partially biologically connected as a group and multiple PPIs may act in P granule assembly. We found that multiple P granule proteins showed high betweenness centrality within the network (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*). At least three additional clusters were formed (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*). These clusters consist of NPPs such as NPP-6, NPP-9, and NPP-14 (*Strambio-De-Castillia et al., 2010*); kinetochore components such as HCP-1 (*Cheeseman, 2014; Kitagawa, 2009*); or proteasome components such as RPN-2 (*Marshall and Vierstra, 2019*). Taken together, these findings suggest that known and unknown P granule proteins identified by TurboID form a dense protein interaction network.

Proteins containing IDRs are often found in biomolecular condensates (*Markmiller et al., 2018*; *Molliex et al., 2015*; *Nott et al., 2015*). IDRs themselves fail to form stable structures. Yet they participate in multivalent protein–protein, protein–DNA, and/or protein–RNA interactions (*Oldfield and Dunker, 2014*; *Uversky, 2017*). We next determined if IDR-containing proteins were enriched in the P granule proteome defined by TurboID. We employed the IUPred algorithm which predicts protein disorder by estimating the total pairwise inter-residue interaction energy of amino acids (*Dosztányi et al., 2005*). A probabilistic score of each residue ranging from 0 (complete order) to 1 (complete disorder) was generated. We calculated the sum of probabilistic scores and further normalized it to the protein length (*Supplementary file 1*). Compared to a randomly selected control group the P granule proteome displayed a higher degree of disorder (*Figure 2E and F*). These data suggest that IDR-containing proteins are overrepresented in P granules.

# An RNAi-based screen to identify factors required for P granule formation

P granule proteomic data obtained by TurbolD provided a unique opportunity to identify factors that directly participate in P granule assembly. To this end, we have begun to conduct an RNA interference (RNAi)-based screen using a reporter strain expressing PGL-1::TagRFP. Different from a previous genome-wide RNAi screen (**Updike and Strome, 2009**), the reporter in this study had a null allele of *rrf-3*, which renders the strain hypersensitive to RNAi (*Simmer et al., 2002*). We used fluorescence microscopy to search for changes in PGL-1::TagRFP expression in animals in which candidate genes were depleted. Out of 31 genes, we screened so far 11 genes upon depletion caused PGL-1::TagRFP

phenotypes in adult animals (*Figure 3A*). Among these 11 genes, *cpf-2* has been reported to be required for proper PGL-1 localization (*Updike and Strome, 2009*). A recent study showed that depletion of *cey-2* and *cey-3*, two genes encoding Y-box binding proteins, induces PGL-1 aggregation (*Calculli et al., 2021*). We placed PGL-1::TagRFP phenotypes into broad categories including detached from nuclear envelope, reduced expression, diffuse, large aggregates, and none observed (*Figure 3A*). This search identified C38D4.4 which is required for proper PGL-1::TagRFP localization in the pachytene region (*Figure 3B*). Depletion of C38D4.4 resulted in the formation of large PGL-1-containing aggregates, many of which are separated from the nuclear envelope of germ cells. Based on this phenotype, we named C38D4.4 as *eggd-1* for <u>embryonic and germline P granule detached</u>.

F58G11.3 is a predicted paralog of EGGD-1. It is 33.3% identical to EGGD-1 at the amino acid level. Interestingly, F58G11.3 was also identified from TurbolD experiments (*Figure 2B* and *Supplementary file 1*). We named F58G11.3 as *eggd-2*, although RNAi against *F58G11.3* did not yield noticeable change in PGL-1::TagRFP localization (*Figure 3A*). Of note, an independent study refers to EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 as <u>MEG-3</u> interacting proteins MIP-1 and MIP-2, respectively (*Cipriani et al., 2021*).

# EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 promote perinuclear localization of P granules and fertility

Using CRISPR/CAS9 editing, we generated a null allele of eggd-1 by deleting the full open reading frame. Consistent with the result from RNAi experiments, PGL-1::TagRFP was dispersed into the cytoplasm in eggd-1 mutants (Figure 3B and C). We generated a null allele of eggd-2 by deleting its full open reading frame, and another allele of eggd-2 bearing a 17-nucleotide insertion downstream of the start codon. Neither of eggd-2 alleles yielded noticeable change in PGL-1::TagRFP localization in the pachytene region (Figure 3B, Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Thereafter, we further characterized the phenotype of eggd-2 that bears the 17-nucleotide insertion. In C. elegans, germ cell nuclei are situated along the outer surface of the gonadal tube and share a central cytoplasmic core, termed rachis (Amini et al., 2015). We inspected PGL-1::TagRFP fluorescence on the surface and core of the germ line. In wild-type animals, fluorescence signal was primarily associated with the periphery of germ cell nuclei. In eggd-1 mutants, however, fewer PGL-1 foci were perinuclear, and most diffused into the rachis (Figure 3C). Loss of eggd-2 appeared to have a minor effect on PGL-1 localization (Figure 3C). Deletion of both eggd-1 and eggd-2 caused dispersal of perinuclear PGL-1::TagRFP and accumulation of large cytoplasmic PGL-1 aggregates (Figure 3C). We used ImageJ to quantify PGL-1::TagRFP signal at the germline edge and rachis (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). Compared to that in wild-type, the rachis/edge ratio was increased in eggd-1 mutants, and further increased in eggd-1; eggd-2 double mutants (Figure 3D).

During the first embryonic cell division, P granules are partitioned to the germ lineage of embryos (Strome and Wood, 1982). During following cell divisions, they are selectively eliminated in somatic cells, and begin to coalesce and attach to the nuclear periphery of germ cells (Seydoux, 2018; Updike and Strome, 2010; Zhang et al., 2009). Consistent with previous findings (Strome and Wood, 1982), PGL-1::TagRFP foci were detected in germ cells as well as in somatic cells around 28 cell stage wild-type embryos. By the comma stage, PGL-1::TagRFP became predominantly perinuclear (Figure 3—figure supplement 1C). In contrast, PGL-1 failed to concentrate in the germ lineage in eggd-1, eggd-2, and eggd-1; eggd-2 embryos (Figure 3—figure supplement 1C). Furthermore, perinuclear P granules were not formed in comma stage embryos upon loss of eggd-1 and eggd-2 (Figure 3—figure supplement 1C). Taken together, these findings suggest that EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 promote the perinuclear localization of P granules in both adult germ lines and embryos.

Disruption of germ granule formation causes defects in germ line development and infertility in diverse organisms (Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Trcek and Lehmann, 2019; Voronina et al., 2011). Using PGL-1::TagRFP as a germ cell marker, we examined whether eggd-1 and eggd-2 mutants exhibit defects in germ line proliferation. Both eggd-1 and eggd-2 mutants displayed a diminutive germ line compared to wild-type animals (Figure 3E, Figure 3—figure supplement 1D). Additive genetic effects were observed in eggd-1; eggd-2 double mutants (Figure 3E, Figure 3E, Figure 3—figure supplement 1D).

We next evaluated the fertility of *C. elegans* strains upon loss of eggd-1 and/or eggd-2 using two approaches. In the first approach, we outcrossed mutants with wild-type animals and measured the



**Figure 3.** EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 promote perinuclear localization of P granules. (**A**) PGL-1::TagRFP phenotypes. Summarized PGL-1::TagRFP phenotypes from an RNAi-based screen. RNAi targets are grouped by the observed PGL-1::TagRFP phenotypes in *rrf-3*; *pgl-1::TagRFP* adult germ lines. (**B**) Fluorescence micrographs of dissected gonads from *pgl-1::TagRFP* reporter animals after two successive generations of control RNAi or C38D4.4/eggd-1 RNAi. Scale bar=10 µm. (**C**) Single confocal slices of the edge and rachis of the germ line in live adult animals expressing PGL-

Figure 3 continued on next page



#### Figure 3 continued

1::TagRFP. Wild-type animals, and animals bearing mutations in *eggd-1*, *eggd-2*, and *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* are shown. Scale bar=10 µm. Images are representative of at least four animals. (**D**) Boxplot of quantified rachis versus edge PGL-1::TagRFP signal intensity in *eggd-1*, *eggd-2*, and *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* mutant backgrounds. ns: not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, two-tailed Student's t-test (n=4). (**E**) Germ line morphology in adult *eggd-1*, *eggd-2*, and *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* mutants compared to wild-type animals. All strains express PGL-1::TagRFP. Representative images of absent, atrophy, small, and normal classifications are shown in *Figure 3—figure supplement 1D*. (**F**) Brood counts of wild-type, *eggd-1*, *eggd-2*, and *eggd-1*; *eggd-2*, animals. All strains express PGL-1::TagRFP. \*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, two-tailed Student's t-test.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Source data 1. Gray value measurements used to quantify rachis/edge signal ratio.

Source data 2. Categorization of germ line atrophy in eggd-1, eggd-2, and eggd-1; eggd-2 mutants.

Source data 3. Brood counts of eggd-1, eggd-2, and eggd-1; eggd-2 mutants.

Figure supplement 1. Embryonic PGL-1:: TagRFP localization and images of diminutive germ line.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Percentage of plates with progeny over successive generations.

brood sizes of outcrossed strains at approximately generation 12. The wild-type strain produced ~263 progeny/animal (*Figure 3F*). As compared to wild-type, *eggd-1* and *eggd-2* animals displayed moderate reduction in brood size, generating ~170 and ~204 progeny/animal, respectively. Strikingly, *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* double mutants exhibited an additive fertility deficit and produced only ~37 progeny/ animal (*Figure 3F*). In the second approach, we outcrossed mutant animals with wild-type, tracked 10 lines of *eggd-1*, *eggd-2*, and double mutants, and scored whether or not each line generated offspring every two generations. Wild-type animals were fertile in the course of the experiment (~21 generations) (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1E*). Animals deficient for EGGD-1 or EGGD-2 exhibited decline in fertility over generations (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1E*). The *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* double mutants became sterile more rapidly when compared to single mutants (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1E*). Altogether, these observations suggest that EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 are required for the maintenance and immortality of *C. elegans* germ line.

# EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 contain two IDRs and two putative LOTUS domains

We next characterized EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 amino acid sequences. First, both EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 were relatively disordered (*Supplementary file 1*). Based on IUPred algorithm, two IDRs were identified in EGDD-1 and EGGD-2, one close to their N-termini and the other located at their C-termini (*Figure 4A and B; Dosztányi et al., 2005*).

We next searched for conserved domains within EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 using the HHpred program (*Zimmermann et al., 2018*). HHpred is one of the most sensitive methods for remote homology detection (*Zimmermann et al., 2018*). The HHpred search identified two regions in EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 that are homologous to the LOTUS domain of *D. melanogaster* Oskar and *H. sapiens* TDRD5 and TDRD7. LOTUS domains are divided into two subclasses depending on the absence or presence of a C-terminal extension: minimal LOTUS (mLOTUS) and extended LOTUS (eLOTUS) which contains an extra C-terminal alpha-helix (*Jeske et al., 2017*). Similar to the LOTUS domains in Oskar, TDRD5 or TDRD7, LOTUS domains in EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 are predicted to contain the C-terminal alpha-helix extension (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1A*; *Anantharaman et al., 2010*; *Callebaut and Mornon, 2010*; *Kubíková et al., 2020*). We conclude that EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 harbor four domains: two eLOTUS domains and two IDRs (*Figure 4A and B*).

# Roles of EGGD-1 LOTUS domains and IDRs in perinuclear P granule formation

We next examined EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 protein localization. CRISPR/CAS9 was used to generate fluorescently tagged alleles of *eggd-1* and *eggd-2*. Both proteins are expressed in the adult germ line and germ lineage of embryos (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1B and C*). Consistent with the TurbolD data, both EGGD-1::GFP and mCherry::EGGD-2 localize to perinuclear P granules (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1B and C*). Of note, the fluorescent signal of EGGD-2 was much weaker than EGGD-1::GFP or PGL-1::TagRFP. Considering EGGD-1 has a stronger impact on P granule assembly in the adult germ line (*Figure 3C*), we decided to focus on EGGD-1 for further characterization.



**Figure 4.** EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 contain IDRs and LOTUS domains. (**A**, **B**). Domain architecture and IUPRED score for EGGD-1 (**A**) and EGGD-2 (**B**). Regions of proteins with an IUPRED score above 0.5 are predicted to be disordered, while regions below 0.5 are predicted to be ordered. Intrinsically disordered regions are shown in blue and abbreviated as 'IDR.' LOTUS domains are shown in green. (**C**) Spinning disc confocal images (100× objective) showing the localization of EGGD-1::GFP protein, and a series of EGGD-1::GFP domain deletion mutants in pachytene germ cells of live animals

Figure 4 continued on next page



expressing PGL-1::TagRFP. Images are representative of over five animals. Scale bar=10 µm. (**D**) Super-resolution Zeiss Airyscan image of a single pachytene nucleus in animals co-expressing EGGD-1::GFP and PGL-1::TagRFP. Scale bar=2 µm. (**E**) Intensity profile of EGGD-1::GFP and PGL-1::TagRFP signals along the dotted arrow in panel (**D**). AU, arbitrary unit; IDR, intrinsically disordered region.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. IUPred score for each amino acid in EGGD-1 and EGGD-2.

Source data 2. Gray value intensity along the dotted line in Figure 4D.

Figure supplement 1. Localization of EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 proteins.

To interrogate the role of IDRs and LOTUS domains, we employed CRISPR/CAS9 to generate a series of *eggd-1* alleles that delete individual IDRs, individual LOTUS domains, both IDRs, or both LOTUS domains (*Figure 4C*). We next examined the localization of EGGD-1 and PGL-1::tagRFP in live animals using spinning disk confocal microscopy. In the adult germ line, full-length EGGD-1::GFP partly co-localized with PGL-1::TagRFP foci (*Figure 4C*). Super-resolution imaging revealed that EGGD-1::GFP foci surround PGL-1::TagRFP foci in some instances, but largely are enriched at the base of P granules (*Figure 4D and E*).

When the first LOTUS domain was deleted ( $\Delta$ LOTUS 1), EGGD-1 displayed prominent perinuclear localization. In contrast, PGL-1::tagRFP was mislocalized. Upon removal of the second LOTUS domain ( $\Delta$ LOTUS 2), EGGD-1 localized to the nuclear periphery while perinuclear PGL-1 foci were modestly lost. Deletion of both LOTUS one and LOTUS two did not appear to affect EGGD-1 localization. However, PGL-1 became predominantly cytoplasmic (*Figure 4C*). When the first IDR was deleted ( $\Delta$ IDR 1), perinuclear EGGD-1 foci remained, but some EGGD-1 became dispersed into the cytoplasm. When the second IDR was deleted ( $\Delta$ IDR 2), both EGGD-1 and PGL-1::tagRFP foci were largely attached to the nuclear periphery albeit the presence of some large aggregates. When both IDR one and IDR two were deleted, we found that EGGD-1 was almost completely dispersed in the cytoplasm in the pachytene region. And similarly, PGL-1::TagRFP became cytoplasmic (*Figure 4C*). These findings imply that LOTUS domains and IDRs in EGGD-1 have distinct roles in promoting perinuclear P granules: IDRs are required to anchor EGGD-1 protein to the nuclear periphery, and LOTUS domains likely recruit additional P granule proteins.

## EGGD-1 acts upstream of GLH-1 in P granule assembly

Previous studies showed one conserved function of eLOTUS domains is to interact with Vasa (Jeske et al., 2015; Jeske et al., 2017). C. elegans Vasa protein GLH-1 is known to localize to P granules and promote their assembly (Chen et al., 2020; Marnik et al., 2019; Updike et al., 2011). We thus tested the interaction between EGGD-1/2 and GLH-1. In particular, we performed epistasis analyses to order EGGD-1/2 and GLH-1 in the P granule assembly pathway. As expected, GFP::GLH-1 localized to P granules in wild-type animals. However, when eggd-1 was deleted, GFP::GLH-1 became diffuse and most of the protein was no longer associated with the nuclear periphery (Figure 5A). Deletion of eggd-2 did not appear to affect GFP::GLH-1 localization. There was a striking change in GFP:GLH-1 distribution in eggd-1; eggd-2 double mutant animals, with the majority of fluorescence signals appearing throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 5A). These findings suggest perinuclear GFP::GLH-1 primarily depends on EGGD-1.

We next used RNAi to deplete GLH-1 from EGGD-1::GFP; PGL-1::TagRFP expressing worms. Of note, *glh-1* RNAi likely depleted other GLH family members such as GLH-2 due to the sequence similarity. Consistent with previous studies (*Spike et al., 2008*), PGL-1::TagRFP became diffused into the cytoplasm upon depletion of GLH protein(s). In contrast, EGGD-1::GFP remained at the nuclear periphery (*Figure 5B*). These observations suggest that unlike other P granule proteins, perinuclear localization of EGGD-1 does not rely on the GLH family.

A subset of NPPs, such as NPP-10, localize to P granules and are indispensable for their integrity (**Updike and Strome, 2009**; **Voronina and Seydoux, 2010**). We next sought to determine if EGGD-1 localization depends on NPPs. Indeed, depletion of *npp-10* caused diffuse PGL-1::TagRFP (**Updike and Strome, 2009**; **Voronina and Seydoux, 2010**). Similarly, EGGD-1::GFP became detached from the nuclear membrane and formed aggregates in the cytoplasm upon depletion of *npp-10* (**Figure 5B**).



**Figure 5.** EGGD-1 acts upstream of GLH-1 in P granule assembly and localization. (**A**) Micrographs showing pachytene nuclei of wild-type and mutant animals expressing GFP::GLH-1 (60× objective). The contrast of images in *eggd-1*, and *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* mutants is manually adjusted to visualize localization of GFP::GLH-1. Images are representative of at least four animals. Scale bar=10 µm. (**B**) Fluorescence micrographs of pachytene nuclei from live animals expressing EGGD-1::GFP and PGL-1::TagRFP under the indicated RNAi conditions (60× objective). The contrast in images upon *glh-1* RNAi and *npp-10* RNAi is manually adjusted to visualize EGGD-1::GFP. Scale bar=10 µm.

Taken together, the epistasis analysis demonstrates perinuclear EGGD-1 requires intact nuclear pores and recruitment of GLH-1 to the nuclear periphery depends on EGGD-1.

#### Ectopic expression of EGGD-1 drives formation of perinuclear granules

So far, our data suggest that EGGD-1 is necessary for the perinuclear localization of P granules. We wondered if EGGD-1 alone is sufficient to recruit GLH-1 to form perinuclear granules. To test this idea, an ectopic expression system was employed. EGGD-1 and/or GLH-1 were expressed under the muscle-specific *myo-3* promoter so that these proteins were expressed in somatic cells. This enabled us to examine the relationship between these proteins and granule assembly independently of other P granule or germline proteins.

Consistent with previous findings that wild-type GLH-1 cannot form granules by itself (**Updike** et al., 2011), mCherry::GLH-1 was diffuse throughout the cytoplasm in muscle cells (*Figure 6A*). In contrast, EGGD-1::GFP self-aggregated into granules when it was expressed ectopically. While a few cytoplasmic granules were observed, the majority of EGGD-1::GFP granules appeared to associate with the nuclear periphery (*Figure 6B*). We next drove the ectopic expression of EGGD-1::GFP and mCherry::GLH-1 simultaneously. Strikingly, both proteins co-localized and formed perinuclear foci (*Figure 6C*). Taken together, these findings indicate that EGGD-1 is intrinsically capable of forming perinuclear granules, and drives the formation of perinuclear granules by recruiting GLH-1.

## Discussion

Since the discovery of perinuclear P granules, the molecular mechanisms for their assembly have been under intensive investigation (**Strome and Wood**, **1982**; **Updike and Strome**, **2010**). Previous studies showed that P granule formation requires core components including PGL family members PGL-1 and PGL-3, and Vasa family members GLH-1 and GLH-4 (**Gruidl et al.**, **1996**; **Kawasaki et al.**, **2004**; **Kawasaki et al.**, **1998**; **Spike et al.**, **2008**; **Updike et al.**, **2011**). Zygotic P granule assembly further requires intrinsically disordered proteins MEG-3 and MEG-4 (**Smith et al.**, **2016**; **Wang et al.**, **2014**). However, it remains unclear how P granules are formed and retained at the nuclear periphery.

Using proximity labeling and genetic approaches, we discovered and characterized EGGD-1 and EGGD-2—LOTUS-domain and IDR-containing proteins. The same proteins were identified as MEG-3 interactors and referred to as MIP-1 and MIP-2 (MEG-3 interacting protein) respectively (*Cipriani et al., 2021*). Both studies reveal that LOTUS-domain proteins are required for *C. elegans* germline development and fertility. More importantly, both studies provide insight into the molecular function of LOTUS-domain proteins in promoting formation of perinuclear P granules. Using in vitro pull-down and yeast two-hybrid assays, Cipriani et al show that MIPs physically interact with GLH-1, a member of the Vasa family (*Cipriani et al., 2021*). Here we show that IDRs are required to anchor EGGD-1 protein to the nuclear periphery, and that the LOTUS domains are essential for perinuclear localization of P granules. Ikely by recruiting GLH-1. Remarkably, EGGD-1 is capable of self-assembling into perinuclear granules. Thus, EGGD-1 is not only necessary but also sufficient for recruiting GLH-1 to the nuclear periphery.

Based on these findings, we propose a model for the molecular function of EGGD proteins (*Figure 6D*). IDRs of EGGD proteins may form multivalent interactions with cytoplasmic filaments of the nuclear pore complex. It is possible that IDRs preferentially associate with phenylalanine/glycinerich NPPs that are intrinsically disordered (*Marnik et al., 2019; Updike et al., 2011*). Dimerization of LOTUS domains may serve as an interface for the binding of Vasa protein GLH-1 (*Cipriani et al., 2021; Jeske et al., 2017*). Association of GLH-1 with the nuclear periphery initiates the recruitment of PGL-1 and additional P granule proteins. More experiments, both in vitro and in vivo, will be required to dissect this complex PPI network.

### Interplay between EGGD-1 and EGGD-2

The interplay between EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 seems complex. In the adult germ line, EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 function partially redundantly. EGGD-1 plays a more dominant role in promoting the perinuclear localization of P granules (*Figure 3C*). In the embryos, however, both proteins are required for P granule partitioning and attachment to the nuclear periphery (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1B*).



**Figure 6.** EGGD-1 intrinsically localizes to the nuclear envelope and is sufficient to recruit GLH-1 to the nuclear periphery. (**A–C**) Maximum intensity projection of a z stack spanning the head of fixed adult animals ectopically expressing mCherry::GLH-1 (**A**), EGGD-1::GFP (**B**), or mCherry::GLH-1 and EGGD-1::GFP (**C**) under the muscle-specific *myo-3* promoter. Top panel shows the entire head. Scale bar=20  $\mu$ m. Bottom panel shows individual nuclei outlined by a dashed box in the top panel. Scale bar=2  $\mu$ m. Images are representative of at least six animals ( 60× objective). (**D**) Model illustrating the proposed role of EGGD-1 in P granule assembly.

One speculative explanation is that EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 interact with different Vasa proteins and thus make distinct contributions to P granule assembly in the germ line and zygotes.

Most LOTUS domain proteins, including Oskar, TDRD5, and TDRD7, harbor a single eLOTUS domain. In contrast, EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 are predicted to contain two eLOTUS domains (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1A*). The eLOTUS domain of *Drosophilla* Oskar is capable of forming dimers (*Jeske et al., 2015; Jeske et al., 2017*). In vitro pull-down assays revealed that recombinant MIP-1 physically interacts with itself and MIP-2 (*Cipriani et al., 2021*). Here we show EGGD-1 self-aggregates into granules when expressed ectopically (*Figure 6*). It is possible that MIP-1/EGGD-1 and MIP-2/EGGD-2 form homodimers, heterodimers, or even oligomers in vivo through their eLOTUS domains. Of note, an independent study identified a third LOTUS domain protein LOTR-1 which is homologous to mammalian TDRD5/7 (*Marnik et al., 2021*). Interestingly, similar to EGGD-1 and EGGD-2, LOTR-1 was also enriched from our TurboID experiments (*Figure 2B* and *Supplementary file 1*). Future genetic experiments will be required to determine the interplay of these three LOTUS domain proteins in regulating perinuclear P granule formation.

## LOTUS domain proteins as scaffolds for germ granule assembly

LOTUS domains are found in bacteria, plants, and animals (Anantharaman et al., 2010; Callebaut and Mornon, 2010). LOTUS domains exhibit minimum sequence homology (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A; Anantharaman et al., 2010; Callebaut and Mornon, 2010). Yet they adopt a common helix-turn-helix conformation (Anantharaman et al., 2010; Callebaut and Mornon, 2010; Jeske et al., 2015; Jeske et al., 2017). The fact that diverse sequences yield a similar structure implies that the LOTUS domain acts as a structural scaffold. Indeed, the conserved function of eLOTUS domains is to bind to Vasa (Jeske et al., 2017).

Consistent with the idea the structure of a protein largely determines its functional properties, LOTUS proteins are essential for the development of metazoan germ cells. In *Drosophila*, Oskar is required for germ plasm assembly and germ cell formation (*Jeske et al., 2017; Lehmann, 2016*). In mice, TDRD7 localizes to chromatoid bodies (P granule counterpart), and is required for spermatogenesis (*Lachke et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2004; Tanaka et al., 2011; Yabuta et al., 2011*). In this study, we show that *C. elegans* EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 are key components for germ granule assembly on the nuclear periphery. Taken together, these findings suggest that LOTUS domain proteins belong to a unique family that has low sequence identity, but high structure homology and functional similarity. It will be important to use structure-based, but not sequence-based, search programs to identify more LOTUS domain proteins across phyla.

## Advantage and limitation of proximity labeling in this study

Proximity labeling is a powerful approach to map the proteome composition of organelles in living cells. Compared to conventional affinity purification, the key advantage lies in its ability to capture weak and transient interaction. In addition, the strong binding of biotin to streptavidin permits stringent protein extraction which reduces background contaminants. It is therefore an ideal tool to define the composition of phase-separated membraneless organelles that are formed by weak multivalent interactions (**Bracha et al., 2019**). Proximity labeling uses a promiscuous enzyme such as BioID, APEX, or TurboID (**Branon et al., 2018; Rhee et al., 2013; Roux et al., 2012**). TurboID appears to be the best choice for *C. elegans* labeling for several reasons: (1) TurboID is active from 20°C to 25°C, a range of temperature suitable for *C. elegans* cultivation (**Branon et al., 2018**). (2) TurboID uses ATP and biotin as substrates which are readily available in cells, while APEX requires exogenous cofactors which may not be easily transported into worms (**Branon et al., 2018; Rhee et al., 2013**). (3) TurboID catalyzes biotinylation with much greater efficiency than BioID (**Branon et al., 2018**).

In this study, we employed TurboID in combination with mass spectrometry analysis to define the constituents of P granules. While this approach identified many known and unknown P granule proteins, it has some limitations. First, TurboID fusion proteins can be toxic. We found strains expressing TurboID::IFE-1 and PGL-1::TurboID proteins either unhealthy or infertile (*Figure 1B*). Second, TurboID labeled cytoplasmic proteins as revealed by streptavidin staining (*Figure 1D*). It is possible that some proteins are biotinylated by TurboID when passing through P granules and shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm. Consistent with this idea, TurboID enriched IMA-2, a member of importin  $\alpha$  family of nuclear-cytoplasmic transport factors (*Supplementary file 1*). Alternatively, because P granules are membraneless and highly dynamic, the bait proteins (GLH-1 and DEPS-1) may constantly mix and de-mix with surrounding cytoplasmic components.

While we continue to optimize the protocol for TurbolD labeling, several approaches can be used to overcome these limitations. For example, an auxin-inducible degradation system can be applied to deplete the toxic TurbolD fusion proteins (*Zhang et al., 2015*). Removing worms from auxincontaining plates enables the transient expression of TurbolD proteins and thus proximity labeling. More recently, a split-TurbolD method was developed, in which TurbolD is split into two inactive fragments, but can be reconstituted in vivo (*Cho et al., 2020*). It is conceivable to fuse individual fragments of TurbolD into two P granule proteins. In this case, TurbolD will become active only when two bait proteins interact within the compartment. We envision this approach will greatly improve the specificity in labeling P granule proteins.

In addition to P granules, C. elegans germ cells possess other membraneless organelles such as Z granules and Mutator foci (*Phillips et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2018*). These granules are adjacent to one another, but each has distinct functions in RNAi and transgenerational epigenetic inheritance (*Phillips et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2018*). We speculate that they share some common proteins, but also contain unique components. Proximity labeling described in this study can be applied to unravel the proteome of Z granules and Mutator foci, and thus will provide new insight into the organization and function of germ granules.

## Materials and methods

#### Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background (Caenorhabditis elegans)	N2	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC)	N2	Wildtype C. <i>elegans,</i> RRID:WB-STRAIN: WBStrain00000001
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	deps-1::TurboID	This study	WHY14	deps-1(how1[deps-1::TurboID])
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	TurbolD::ife-1	This study	WHY12	ife-1(how2[TurboID::ife-1]) III
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	TurbolD::glh-1	This study	WHY10	glh-1(how3[TurboID::glh-1])
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	pgl-1::TurbolD	This study	N/A	pgl-1(how4[pgl-1::TurboID]) IV this strain is sterile and cannot be grown
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY100	pgl-1(gg547[pgl1::3x flag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	rrf-3; pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY134	rrf-3(pk1426)II; pgl-1 (gg547[pgl-1::3xflag::tag RFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	eggd-1; pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY219	eggd-1(how5) III; pgl- 1(gg547[pgl-1::3x flag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	eggd-2(17nt insertion); pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY178	pgl-1(gg547[pgl- 1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV; eggd-2(how6) V
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	eggd-2(deletion);pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY297	eggd-1(how7[eggd- 1::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547[pgl-1::3x flag::tagRFP]) IV; eggd-2(how14) V

#### Continued

Reagent type		Source or		
(species) or resource	Designation	reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> )	eggd-1; eggd-2; pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY285	eggd-1(how5) III; pgl-1 (gg547[pgl-1::3xflag::tag RFP]) IV; eggd-2(how6) V
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	eggd-1::GFP; pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY170	eggd-1(how7[eggd- 1::GFP::TEV:3xFLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547[pgl-1::3x flag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ΔLOTUS1	This study	WHY203	eggd-1(how8[eggd- 1(ΔLOTUS 1)::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547 [pgl-1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ΔLOTUS2	This study	WHY180	eggd-1(how9[eggd- 1(ΔLOTUS 2)::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547 [pgl-1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ΔLOTUS1; ΔLOTUS2	This study	WHY182	eggd-1(how10[eggd- 1(ΔLOTUS 1&2)::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547 [pgl-1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ΔIDR1	This study	WHY186	eggd-1(how11[eggd- 1(ΔIDR 1)::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547 [pgl-1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ΔIDR2	This study	WHY216	eggd-1(how12 eggd- 1(ΔIDR 2)::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg 547[pgl-1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	ΔIDR1; ΔIDR2	This study	WHY282	eggd-1(how13[eggd- 1(ΔIDR 1&2)::GFP::TEV::3x FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547 [pgl-1::3xflag::tagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	gfp::glh-1	Gift from Craig Mello	WM704	glh-1(ne4816[GFP::glh-1]) I
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	gfp::glh-1; eggd-1	This study	WHY273	glh-1(ne4816[GFP::glh-1]) I; eggd-1(how5) III
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	gfp::glh-1; eggd-2	This study	WHY274	glh-1(ne4816[GFP::glh-1]) l; eggd-2(how6) V
Strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> )	gfp::glh-1; eggd-1; eggd-2	This study	WHY275	glh-1(ne4816[GFP::glh-1]) I; eggd-1(how5) III; eggd-2(how-6) V
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	unc-119	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC)	EG4322	ttTi5605 II; unc-119(ed9) III
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	myo-3p::mCherry::glh-1	This study	WHY276	ttTi5605 II; unc-119(ed9) III; howEx1[myo- 3p::mCherry::glh- 1::unc-54 3'UTR+ Cbr-unc-119(+)]
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	mvo-3p::eqad-1::GFP	This study	WHY277	ttTi5605 ll; unc-119(ed9) lll; howEx2[myo-3p::eggd- 1::GFP::unc-54 3'UTR+ Cbr-unc-119(+)]

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	myo-3p::mCherry::glh-1; myo-3p::eggd- 1::GFP	This study	WHY278	ttTi5605 II; unc-119(ed9) III; howEx3[myo-3p::mCherry::glh- 1::unc-54 3'UTR+ myo-3p::eggd- 1::gfp::unc-54 3'UTR+ Cbr-unc-119(+)]
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	GFP::csr-1	Gift from Craig Mello	WM343	csr-1(GFP::csr-1) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	TurbolD::deps-1; GFP::csr-1	This study	WHY304	deps-1(how1[deps- 1::TurbolD])  ; csr-1 (GFP::csr-1) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	TurbolD::deps-1; pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY305	deps-1(how1[deps- 1::TurbolD]) l; eggd-1(how7[eggd- 1::GFP::TEV::3X FLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547[pgl- 1::3xFLAG::TagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	glh-1::TurboID; GFP::csr-1	This study	WHY312	glh-1(how3[TurboID::glh-1]) I; csr-1(GFP::csr-1) IV
Strain, strain background (C. elegans)	glh-1::TurboID; pgl-1::TagRFP	This study	WHY313	glh-1(how3[TurbolD::glh-1])  ; eggd-1(how7[eggd-::GFP::TEV::3XFLAG::AID]) III; pgl-1(gg547[pgl-1::3x FLAG::TagRFP]) IV
Strain, strain background (Escherichia coli)	OP50	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC)	OP50	Bacteria. Uracil auxotroph. <i>E. coli</i> B.
Strain, strain background (E. <i>coli</i> )	HT115	Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC)	HT115	E. coli [F-, mcrA, mcrB, IN(rmD-rmE)1, rnc14::Tn10(DE3 lysogen: lacUV5 promoter) -T7 polymerase]., RRID:WB-STRAIN:WBStrain00041080
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	Control RNAi	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	L4440	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	C38D4.4	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00008005	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	wago-1	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00011061	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	hsp-110	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00016250	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	cpf-2	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00000774	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	puf-5	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00004241	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	ran-2	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00004303	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	сеу-2	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00000473	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)

#### Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	cey-3	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00000474	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E.	ubh-4	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00006724	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	ani-1	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00013038	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	ifg-1	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00002066	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	maph-1.2	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00009113	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	F56C9.6	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00018950	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	ppw-2	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00004094	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	M01H9.3	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00019719	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	Y37E11B.10	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00021381	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	F01G4.4	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00008503	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	hmg-12	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00001977	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	edc-4	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00021551	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	ppw-1	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00004093	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	frm-4	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00001491	(C. <i>elegans</i> RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	haf-9	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00001819	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	prg-1	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00004178	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	F52B5.3	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00009922	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	hip-1	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00010281	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	F58G11.3	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00008385	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	lotr-1	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00008399	Vidal RNAi Library

#### Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (E. colî)	D2005.4	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00004143	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	pqn-59	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00002263	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	lea-1	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00001029	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	dnj-11	DOI: 10.1101/ gr.2505604	WBGene00011735	Vidal RNAi Library
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	npp-10	DOI: 10.1016/s1046- 2023(03)00050-1	WBGene00003796	(C. elegans RNAi Collection (Ahringer), RRID:SCR_017064)
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	glh-1	doi: 10.1534/ genetics. 107.083469	WBGene00001598	
Recombinant DNA reagent (Plasmid)	TurboID HDR donor template	DOI: 10.1038/ nbt.4201	pAS31	RRID:Addgene_118220
Recombinant DNA reagent (Plasmid)	pCFJ104	DOI: 10.1038/ng.248	pCFJ104	RRID:Addgene_19328
Recombinant DNA reagent (Plasmid)	pCFJ151	DOI: 10.1038/ng.248	pCFJ151	RRID:Addgene_19330
Recombinant DNA reagent (Plasmid)	myo-3p::eggd-1::gfp	This study	pIP1	myo-3p::eggd- 1::gfp::unc-54 3' UTR
Recombinant DNA reagent (Plasmid)	myo-3p::mCherry::glh-1	This study	pIP12	myo-3p::mCherry::glh- 1::unc-54 3' UTR
Commercial assay or kit	18×18 Cover Glass # 1	MedSupply Partners	Cat# G07-140110	
Commercial assay or kit	Microscope Slides, Diamond White Glass, 25×75 mm², 90° Ground Edges, Plain	MedSupply Partners	Cat# G07-1380-10	
Commercial assay or kit	Fisherbrand Fluorescent Antibody Microscope Slides w/ two 10 mm diameter circles	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 22-339408	
Commercial assay or kit	Nail Polish	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat# 72180	
Commercial assay or kit	cOmplete, Mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 11836170001	
Commercial assay or kit	Streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate	Life Technologies	Cat# \$11223	
Commercial assay or kit	Streptavidin-Horseradish Peroxidase conjugate	Life Technologies	Cat# \$911	
Commercial assay or kit	Streptavidin magnetic beads	NEB	Cat# \$1421\$	
Commercial assay or kit	NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris Gel	Invitrogen	cat# NP0323BOX	
Commercial assay or kit	Immobilon-FL PVDF membrane	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# IPFL00010	
Commercial assay or kit	Vectashield antifade mounting medium with DAPI	Vector Labs	Cat# H-1200-10	
Commercial assay or kit	NOVEX colloidal blue staining kit	Invitrogen	Cat# LC6025	
Commercial assay or kit	Lysing Matrix D	mpbio	Cat# 6913100	

#### Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Chemical compound, drug	Agarose	Genesee Scientific	Cat# 20-102GP	
Chemical compound, drug	Paraformaldehyde	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 04042-500	
Chemical compound, drug	Methanol	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A408-4	
Chemical compound, drug	Acetone	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A929-1	
Chemical compound, drug	Sodium Chloride	VWR	Cat# BDH9286	
Chemical compound, drug	Agar	Genesee Scientific	Cat# 20-248	
Chemical compound, drug	Chloesterol	VWR	Cat# 0433-250	
Chemical compound, drug	Magnesium Chloride	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# M35-500	
Chemical compound, drug	Potassium Phosphate Monobasic	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# BP362-1	
Chemical compound, drug	Potassium Phosphate Dibasic	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# BP363-1	
Chemical compound, drug	Sodium Citrate	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# \$297-500	
Chemical compound, drug	HEPES	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# H4034-500	
Chemical compound, drug	Potassium Hydroxide	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# P250-1	
Chemical compound, drug	Urea	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# AC140750010	
Chemical compound, drug	Trition X-100	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# BP151-500	
Chemical compound, drug	Tween 20	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# BP337-500	
Software, algorithm	R version 4.0.3	The R Project for Statistical Computing	https://www.r-project. org/	RRID:SCR_001905
Software, algorithm	ggplot2 version 3.3.2	Tidyverse	https://www.tidyverse. org/	RRID:SCR_019186
Software, algorithm	ImageJ	National Institutes of Health	https://imagej.nih. gov/ij/	RRID:SCR_003070
Software algorithm	Adobe Illustrator	Adobe	https://www.adobe. com/products/illustrator. html	RRID-SCR 010279
		DOI: 10.1017/	https://toolkit.	
Software, algorithm	HHPRED	jmb.2017.12.007	tools/hhpred	RRID:SCR_010276
Software, algorithm	Zen Blue 3.0	Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH	https://www.zeiss.com/ microscopy/int/home. html	RRID:SCR_013672
Software, algorithm	MetaMorph Premier Acquisition version 7.8.1.0	Molecular Devices	https://www. moleculardevices.com/	RRID:SCR_002368
Software, algorithm	g:Profiler	doi:10.1093/nar/ gkz369	https://biit.cs.ut.ee/ gprofiler/gost	RRID:SCR_006809

#### Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Software algorithm	III IProd 24	DOI: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/	https://wprod2a.alta.bu/	
	IOI IEUZA			NND.3C/_014032
Software, algorithm	STRING	DOI: 10.1093/nar/ gki005	https://string-db.org/	RRID:SCR_005223
Software, algorithm	PROMALS3D	doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkn072	http://prodata.swmed. edu/promals3d/ promals3d.php	RRID:SCR_018161
Peptide, recombinant protein	Alt-R S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3 Cat# 1081058	Integrated DNA Technologies	Cat# 1081058	
Commercial assay or kit	Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 tracrRNA, 20 nmol	Integrated DNA Technologies	Cat# 1072533	
Sequence-based reagent	ife-1 5' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	TTGAGAAGCTGAAAATCTCT
Sequence-based reagent	deps-1 3' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	gtatatatttaaTTAGACCC
Sequence-based reagent	glh-1 5' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	ttttctgcgaaaATGTCTGA
Sequence-based reagent	pgl-1 3' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	tagaaattattaaaggcgcA
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 5' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	GACATTCACTTGGCAAATGA
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 3' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	CACCAACTATCCTTATCCGA
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-2 5' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	TGAAAAATGTCTGAAGAAGA
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-2 3' guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	GCACTGCTTCAACTACGCCT
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 5' ΔLOTUS1 guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	CGACCCCAAATCAAGTAGAA
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 3' ΔLOTUS1 guide RNA, 5' ΔIDR1 guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	GCTTTGAGATCAGATTGATT
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 5' ΔLOTUS2 guide RNA, 3' ΔIDR1 guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	TGGCTGCAACTCGGAACAGA
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 3' ΔLOTUS2 guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	ATACACTTCGAGTCAATCCC
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 5' ΔIDR2 guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	GGAACTCCAAAAGATCTTCC
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1 3' ΔIDR2 guide RNA	Integrated DNA Technologies	Guide RNA oligo	CTCCAGCTGTCTTTGTCTGA
Sequence-based reagent	turbolD::ife-1 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	cacgattagttggcgttttccccagttgtt ctcggcttctcagatcagtcctgtttttgcc ttgccagttgtcgaggtgc gaaaatttta agcgcaATG tacccatacga CgtCccaga
Sequence-based reagent	turbolD::ife-1 3' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	tgaataatttatagtactcaaacga taatgaaaaagggaatggctcac CTTCTTTCTCCCAGAG ATTTTCAGCTTCTCAAAT GCTATTTCAGAATCTGA CTTCTCGGCGGAACGAAGGG

#### Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequence-based reagent	deps-1::turboID 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	CAGTGAGCTCAAACgtaag tttatttttaaggttggaagatgataaaa acaagttttcagCGATTCGTT GGCCCTTCAA GCCGCA GAACTCCATCTGGTACTC CACAAAGCTCAACATCTT CCAGGGTC tacccatacga CgtCccaga
Sequence-based reagent	deps-1::turboID 3' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	gaatgggatggtggtggaacttga agtttaaataaataaatgtttggttg gataacgggtagattaaaaatga gcagaacatttgaaacacaaat acgggggaaaacgggatgcgt atatatttaaTTA CTTCTCG GCGGAACGAAGGG
Sequence-based reagent	turbolD::glh-1 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	acctcgacacactcatctacta aattttggggacagttcctaattctt tttgctgttttcaactcaatttctg gaaaaat cttaattttctgcgaa aATG tacccatacga CgtCccaga
Sequence-based reagent	turbolD::glh-1 3' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	CTACCGAATCCAGT TTTGGctgaaataaagtttt taatcaaaataaaaccggtgg aaagttcaaaataaaacccac CCTTAGCAGCACTTT CGCTATCACTCCAAC CATCAGA CTTCTCG GCGGAACGAAGGG
Sequence-based reagent	pgl-1::turboID 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	tctataaaatctataacaagt taaacatattatttaattataa aaccccgcattgattaaacat attttgaattaaaaaaaaac tagaaataggtaaaataaatc tggaaatagttcagaaac ttagaaattattaaaggcgc ATGtacccatacgaCgtCccaga
Sequence-based reagent	pgl-1::turboID 3' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	ttcgagattagaattcaaaaa aacgcaaaatttacCCAAA AAAGTAAGAAAACGG AAAAGAAAATTGGG ACGAGATCGAAA TTGCAACTTCCG CGTTCGCGTCGAG TTGTTCGTTTCGAGA CCCGTAGATC TGAAACTTC CTTCTCGGCG GAACGAAGGG
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1::FLAG::AID::GFP::TEV 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	TACAAAAGTGCCAT CCACGACTAGAAG TGTAGTTCTCCCAC CAATGTCAAAAGGA CCAGGATTGGCAC GTTCTCGTAACT TTTCACCACAACAA TCGACTACATCTTCA ATTGATAATGAGTGT CTAGAAGCTATCAAT GCTGCGTTGCCG TCaGAcAAa GAcAGC TGGAGATCCAGTAA AGGAGAAGA

#### Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
(1)				tgaatgactcgcatccaaa atataaaaaaaacaatgtt actattaaaactaattaaa aaataatttacaaaaaac acata aacaqqatatttt
Sequence-based reagent	eggd-1::FLAG::AID::GFP::TEV 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	aaagcacgtaaaatttcga TCActtcacgaacgccgccgcct
Sequence-based reagent	mCherry::eggd-2 5' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	acttctgccacgattttgac atttttaagttttaaatcatttt tttgtattcgttatttcagatt tccgttttctgaata tttaa agtcattcaactgattgttttac tgtttccagcatttgcctgaaaa ATGGTCTCAAAGG GTGAAGAAGA
Sequence-based reagent	mCherry::eggd-2 3' homology arm	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' primer to amplify dsDNA donor	AAGAAGAACACTATA AGCGTCCCGTTCGA TGCGCTTACGCATTT TGTTCATTTTTTCTTT GCCcTCcTCcTCtGAA GCTC CACCTCCACCTCCCTT
Sequence-based reagent	ΔLOTUS1 single strand donor	Integrated DNA Technologies	Single-stranded repair template	AGAAAATACGCGCG CGCATCGAGCGCGA CGTGTACAGTGTTCT GCTATCAAAGAAAAAA AAGAAAGGTGGAAA AGGTGCA AAGCCCA TTCGTGCAGCTAC
Sequence-based reagent	ΔLOTUS2 single-strand donor	Integrated DNA Technologies	Single-stranded repair template	TTGTTCAAAGACTGT CGTCTACAGTGGCTGT TCCAGTTTTGCAACCCG GGAAGGAACCCTGGTTC ACAAATTTTGGAGCT GC GTTAAAGAAATCAATGCC
Sequence-based reagent	ΔIDR1 single strand donor	Integrated DNA Technologies	Single-stranded repair template	caatacattcgttttcagCGCA AATGAGGACAATCA AGAtCTAATtAAcCTtA TtTCtAAACAaAAaA AgAAgAAaAAgG GaGGAAAgGGaACaG TcGTgCAgAGACTtTC tTCaACtGTtGCaGTgC CgGTcTTaCAgCCaG GaATcGAtTCaAAaTGc ATGCCTTCGATCGTT GATTTTTCGAACAA CGTTAAGCGCA
Sequence-based reagent	ΔIDR2 single strand donor	Integrated DNA Technologies	Single-stranded repair template	ttttagGACTCACAGTG AGTGCCCGTAGCGTA ATGAGATCCAGTAAA GGAGAAGAACTTT TCACTGGAGT
Sequence-based reagent	5' amplify eggd-1::GFP for cloning	Integrated DNA Technologies	PCR primer	CTTCTT CCTAGG ATG ACGGAAGCTGA CGATCCCAA
Sequence-based reagent	3' amplify eggd-1::GFP for cloning	Integrated DNA Technologies	PCR primer	CTTCTT GAGCTC TCA CGATTGG AAGTAGAGGTTCT
Sequence-based reagent	5' amplify mCherry::GLH-1 for cloning	Integrated DNA Technologies	PCR primer	CTTCTT CCTAGG ATGGTCTCAAAGG GTGAAGAAGATAACATG
Sequence-based reagent	3' amplify mCherry::GLH-1 for cloning	Integrated DNA Technologies	PCR primer	CTTCTT GAGCTC CTACCAGCCTT CTTCATCTTGA

#### Strains

Worms were cultured according to standard methods at 20°C unless otherwise indicated (**Brenner**, **1974**). N2 strain serves as untagged control. *pgl-1::TagRFP* strain serves as wild-type in germ line atrophy characterization, brood size counting, and germ line mortality assay. Mutant animals were generated using CRISPR editing or obtained from the CGC. All strains used in this study are listed in the Key resources table.

## **CRISPR** genome editing

TurbolD strains, eggd-1::GFP::TEV::FLAG::AID, and mCherry::eggd-2 were generated by the co-CRISPR strategy (Kim et al., 2014). A vector containing a dominant allele of rol-6 was used as a co-injection marker. Repair templates for insertion were made by PCR and purified by agarose gel purification. Prior to injection, repair templates were melted to improve HDR efficiency (Ghanta and Mello, 2020). Roller F1 were picked and presence of insertions at edited loci were screened for by PCR. Domain deletion alleles of eggd-1 were generated by co-injecting guide RNAs targeting the region flanking the deletion. Single-stranded DNA donors served as a repair template for precise mutations as described (Paix et al., 2017). Guide RNA sequences, repair oligo sequences, and primer sequences for repair template amplification can be found in the Key resources table.

## Molecular cloning and generation of ectopic expression strains

Endogenously tagged loci of *eggd-1::GFP*, and *mCherry::glh-1* were amplified by PCR. pCFJ104 (Pmyo-3::mCherry::unc-54) was digested with Xbal and Sacl, the plasmid backbone was separated by gel purification. PCR products were digested with Xbal or AvrII and Sacl and ligated into the pCFJ104 backbone. Plasmids were isolated by miniprep and sequence-verified by sanger sequencing. *unc-119(ed9)* animals were injected with a plasmid mixture including the genes of interest and pCFJ151 that contains C.Briggsae unc-119 rescue gene (*Frøkjaer-Jensen et al., 2008*). non-unc F1 progeny were single-picked. non-unc F2 progeny were used to establish at least three independent transmission lines that carry extrachromosomal arrays.

## **RNAi** by feeding

The HT115 RNAi feeding strains were picked from the *C. elegans* RNAi Collections (Key resources table) (*Kamath and Ahringer, 2003; Lamesch et al., 2004*). All RNAi experiments were performed on NGM plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml ampicillin and 5 mM IPTG. For the genetic analysis, L4 larvae were transferred to plates seeded with HT115 bacteria expressing dsRNAs against the gene of interest. After 4–5 days, their progeny were imaged and scored.

## Brood size counting

TurbolD animals were assayed at 15°C. Wild-type, eggd-1, eggd-2, and eggd-1; eggd-2 animals were assayed at 20°C at approximately generation 12 after outcrossing one time to wild-type. Newly hatched L1 larvae were placed singly on plates. Halfway through egg-laying, animals were transferred to fresh plates until egg-laying stopped. The brood size for each animal was calculated by adding the progeny on the original and transferred plates.

## Germ line mortality assay

Prior to starting the assay, animals were freshly outcrossed once to wild-type animals. 10 lines of wild-type, eggd-1, eggd-2, and eggd-1; eggd-2 were grown continuously at 20°C on OP50. Four L1 larvae for each line were transferred to fresh plates seeded with OP50 bacteria every two generations (approximately every 4–5 days). Lines were recorded as fertile until animals no longer produce viable progeny.

#### Streptavidin staining

Animals were synchronized by hypochlorite lysis. Synchronized L1 were transferred to NGM seeded with OP50 and incubated at 15°C until L4 stage. Animals were then transferred to 25°C overnight for biotin labeling. Worms were suspended in M9 and washed three times. Animals were then transferred to M9 supplemented with 0.25 mM levamisole and dissected on slides coated with poly-L-Lysine. Slides were submerged in – 20°C methanol for 10 min, followed by 15 min in 3% paraformaldehyde

solution in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature. Paraformaldehyde solution was removed, and slides were washed  $3\times$  in PBS and then 1:2000 streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488 in PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 was added to the slides. Slides were kept in a humidified chamber overnight at 4°C. The slides were then washed four times for 30 min with PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 and two times with PBS. 5  $\mu$ l of antifade media with DAPI was added to the slides and covered with a coverslip. The slides were sealed with nail polish and kept at 4°C until imaging.

## Whole worm fixation

Animals carrying *myo-3p::glh-1* and/or *myo-3p::eggd-1* extrachromosomal arrays were resuspended in M9 and washed two times to remove bacteria. M9 was replaced with – 20°C methanol. Animals were incubated at – 20°C for 15 min and then briefly centrifuged at  $100 \times g$ . methanol was removed and replaced with – 20°C acetone. Tubes were kept at – 20°C for 20 min, and animals were centrifuged as before. Acetone was replaced with a solution of 50% acetone, 50% ultrapure water, and animals were incubated at – 20°C for 15 min. This process was repeated with 25% acetone at 4°C. Fixed animals were centrifuged and supernatant was removed. 30 µl of antifade medium+ DAPI was added to the tube. Fixed animals were transferred to slides using a glass Pasteur pipette, covered with a coverslip, and sealed with nail polish.

#### Microscopy

Live animals were suspended in M9 buffer, immobilized using 0.5 mM levamisole, and mounted on fresh 5% agar pads. Live embryos were dissected from gravid adult worms in M9 buffer and transferred to agar pads for imaging. Spinning disc confocal images were acquired using a Nikon TiE inverted microscope equipped with an Andor Revolution WD spinning disc system. Images were taken using a CFI Plan Apo VC  $60\times/1.2$ NA water immersion objective, or a CFI Plan Apo VC  $100\times/1.4$ NA oil immersion objective with an Andor Neo sCMOS detector. Airyscan images were acquired using a Zeiss Axio Observer microscope equipped with an Airyscan two detector and a Plan Apo  $63\times/1.4$ NA objective. Image processing was performed using standard 3D Airyscan processing.

## Quantification of PGL-1::TagRFP in the rachis and edge of the germ line

Single-plane 54×37.8 µm<sup>2</sup> rectangular optical cross-section images of the adult germ line in the pachytene region were obtained for four separate animals. Due to the heterogeneity of PGL-1::tagRFP foci in *eggd-1* and *eggd-1*; *eggd-2* mutants, it was challenging to accurately quantify PGL-1::tagRFP signals that are associated with germ cell nuclei or rachis. Instead, we took an unbiased approach. ROI (region of interest) was drawn about each edge of the germ line, and the rachis (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1B*). The background fluorescence of the image was determined by drawing an ROI outside of where the animal was located. The mean intensity of each ROI was determined using the measure function in ImageJ and the ratio of rachis and edge PGL-1::TagRFP was calculated as follows:

 $\frac{2*(\textit{rachis}-\textit{background})}{(\textit{edge1}+\textit{edge2})-(2*\textit{background})}$ 

## Germ line atrophy characterization

Wild-type animals and *eggd* mutants expressing PGL-1::TagRFP were synchronized by hypochlorite lysis and plated to NGM on day 1. Animals were maintained at 20°C until day 4 and imaged using a Leica DMIRE2 inverted microscope equipped with a Zeiss Axiocam 305 mono camera using a dry 40× objective. Germ line defects were broadly sorted into four categories: normal, small, atrophy, and absent/few cells.

## Streptavidin-HRP blotting

100 synchronized L1 animals were plated to NGM. Animals were grown at 15°C until they reached the L4 stage. Animals were then incubated overnight at 25°C and harvested. Worm lysates were prepared by boiling animals at 100°C, and then were separated on precast denaturing polyacrylamide gels, transferred onto PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad), and probed with HRP-Conjugated Streptavidin 1:4000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for detection using Clarity ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Bio-Rad). The blot then was stained by Coomassie blue (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

## **TurboID proximity-based labeling**

TurbolD strains and N2 animals were synchronized by hypochlorite lysis. 40,000 synchronized L1 animals were plated to NGM seeded with concentrated OP50 food. Animals were grown at 15°C until they reached the L4 stage. Animals were then incubated overnight at 25°C, collected in M9 and washed two times in M9, once in ddH<sub>2</sub>O and once in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.125% SDS, 0.125% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100 in ddH<sub>2</sub>O). Animals were then resuspended in RIPA buffer supplemented with cOmplete mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablets (Sigma-Aldrich). Resuspended pellets of animals were flash-frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub> until further use. Worm pellets were lysed using a bead mill homogenizer. Lysate was centrifuged at 14,000× RPM. The supernatant was mixed with 80 µl Streptavidin magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated overnight at 4°C with constant rotation. Beads were then washed for 5 min, two times with RIPA buffer, once with 1 M KCl, once with 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and once with 2 M urea in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Beads were resuspended in PBS and subjected to on-beads trypsin digestion.

## On beads digestion and mass spectrometry analysis

Streptavidin magnetic beads were washed with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate three times. After the third wash, DTT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added and the sample was incubated at 4°C for 15 min. After the incubation, iodoacetamide was added and the sample was kept in dark at room temperature for 30 min. 250 ng of sequencing grade-modified trypsin (Promega) prepared in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate was mixed with sample at 37°C overnight. The reaction was quenched by adding acetic acid for acidification. Supernatant was taken out and concentrated for LC/MSMS analysis.

Capillary-liquid chromatography-nanospray tandem mass spectrometry of protein identification was performed on an orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer equipped with an EASY-Spray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequence information from the MS/MS data was processed by converting the raw files into a merged file (.mgf) using MSConvert (ProteoWizard). Isotope distributions for the precursor ions of the MS/MS spectra were deconvoluted to obtain the charge states and monoisotopic m/z values of the precursor ions during the data conversion. The resulting.mgf files were searched using Mascot Daemon by Matrix Science version 2.5.1 and the database was searched against *C. elegans* Uniprot database.

## **TurboID protein enrichment analysis**

Following pre-processing, the data were further analyzed using custom R scripts. Briefly, the mean spectral count (n=3) was compared between TurboID tagged strains and wild-type using a pseudo-count of 0.01 to account for zeros in the data; p-values were derived using one-tailed t-test. Volcano plots were generated using ggplot2 and Venn diagrams of enriched proteins were generated using BioVenn.

## Protein domain identification

Putative LOTUS domains of EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 were first identified using HHpred (*Zimmermann et al., 2018*). To confirm the presence of LOTUS domains in EGGD-1 and EGGD-2, secondary structure prediction was performed using 103 amino acid long windows about the putative LOTUS domains along with the known extended LOTUS domains from *Drosophila melanogaster* Oskar, and *Mus musculus* TDRD5 and TDRD7 using PROMALS3D (*Pei et al., 2008*). EGGD-1 and EGGD-2 LOTUS domains were determined to be extended LOTUS domains by the presence of an alpha-helical C-terminal extension ( $\alpha$ 5) (*Jeske et al., 2017*). IDRs were defined using the IUPRED server (*Dosztányi et al., 2005*). Regions with sustained IUPRED scores over 0.5 were classified as disordered regions.

## **Protein-protein interaction network**

Proteins enriched in both DEPS-1 and GLH-1 TurbolD pull-down experiments were submitted for PPI network analysis to STRING (string-db.org) using a high confidence and full interaction method with all active interaction sources selected (*Jensen et al., 2009*). The resulting network was exported to Cytoscape for visualization (*Shannon et al., 2003*).

### Protein disorder analysis

Using a custom shell script, the longest protein isoform for each protein in the *C. elegans* proteome was parsed from a protein annotation fasta file (*C. elegans* release WS230). The per-residue disorder for each protein was then calculated using IUPRED (*Dosztányi et al., 2005*). A custom python script was then used to calculate the mean disorder for each protein by dividing total IUPRED score by protein length. A custom R script was used to compare the mean disorder of proteins between proteins enriched in either/both turboID tagged strain with that in a random control. The random sample of proteins used for comparison was generated using the sample\_n() R function from the dplyr R package. A Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to statistically compare the disorder of tagged proteins with that of the randomized control group.

## Gene ontology analysis

Enriched proteins present in both turbolD tagged strains were used in GO analysis using g:Profiler (*Raudvere et al., 2019*). Results from g:Profiler GO analysis were plotted using a custom R script.

## **Data availability**

The full data set including raw data and metadata files is deposited to Dryad (https://doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.q2bvq83k9). All scripts used in this report are available at GitHub (https://github.com/benpastore/TurboID; **Pastore, 2021**; copy archived at swh:1:rev:24fd901d6cb08c2689d08cd4d7c09173a-90decb9). Raw mass spectrometry data are available via PRIDE and ProteomeXchange under the accession number PXD027998.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank D Schoenberg for discussion and comments; L Zhang for Mass spectrometry analysis, OSU Proteomics core for equipment (S10OD018056); A Brown at OSU Neuroscience Imaging Core for advice and instruments (S10OD010383, S10OD026842, and P30NS104177); Ohio Supercomputer Center for computing resources; C Mello for providing *gfp::glh-1* and *gfp::csr-1* strains; D Updike for providing *glh-1* RNAi construct; K McDougal for initial analyses of TurboID data. Some of the *C. elegans* strains were provided by the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center supported by NIH (P40-OD010440). This work was supported by NIH Pathway to Independence Award (R00GM124460) and Maximizing Investigators' Research Award (R35GM142580) to WT.

## **Additional information**

#### Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institutes of Health	R00GM124460	Wen Tang
National Institutes of Health	R35GM142580	Wen Tang

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### **Author contributions**

Ian F Price, Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - review and editing; Hannah L Hertz, Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review and editing; Benjamin Pastore, Data curation, Methodology, Visualization; Jillian Wagner, Investigation; Wen Tang, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft

#### Author ORCIDs

Ian F Price (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7820-8958 Wen Tang (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6684-5258 Decision letter and Author response Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.72276.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.72276.sa2

## **Additional files**

#### **Supplementary files**

• Supplementary file 1. P granule proteins revealed by TurboID and their IUPRED score.

• Supplementary file 2. Known components of P granules, Z granules and Mutator foci in hermaphrodite germ line.

- Supplementary file 3. Nuclear pore complex proteins that are enriched by proximity labeling.
- Supplementary file 4. Significantly enriched gene ontology terms for TurboID hits.
- Transparent reporting form

#### Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting file. Source data files are uploaded to Dryad: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.q2bvq83k9. Scripts for data analysis are uploaded to Github https://github.com/benpastore/TurboID copy archived at https://archive.softwareheritage.org/swh:1:rev:24fd901d6cb08c2689d08cd4d7c09173a90decb9.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Tang W	2021	Data from: Proximity labeling identifies LOTUS domain proteins that promote the formation of perinuclear germ granules in C. elegans	https://doi.org/ 10.5061/dryad. q2bvq83k9	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/dryad.q2bvq83k9
Pastore B 2021 TurboID Mass Spectrometry https://archi Analysis softwareheri swh:1:dir:1c6 73a31fbd28e 394c4915d90		https://archive. softwareheritage.org/ swh:1:dir:1c6dc7f1 73a31fbd28ef1939 394c4915d900cfd1	TurboID, github	

## References

- Amini R, Chartier NT, Labbé J-C. 2015. Syncytium biogenesis: It's all about maintaining good connections. *Worm* 4: e992665. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4161/21624054.2014.992665, PMID: 26430559
- Amiri A, Keiper BD, Kawasaki I, Fan Y, Kohara Y, Rhoads RE, Strome S. 2001. An isoform of eIF4E is a component of germ granules and is required for spermatogenesis in *C. elegans. Development* **128**: 3899–3912 PMID: 11641215.,
- Anantharaman V, Zhang D, Aravind L. 2010. OST-HTH: a novel predicted RNA-binding domain. *Biology Direct* 5: 13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6150-5-13, PMID: 20302647
- Anderson P, Kedersha N. 2009. RNA granules: post-transcriptional and epigenetic modulators of gene expression. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology 10: 430–436. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2694, PMID: 19461665
- Aoki ST, Kershner AM, Bingman CA, Wickens M, Kimble J. 2016. PGL germ granule assembly protein is a base-specific, single-stranded RNase. PNAS 113: 1279–1284. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524400113, PMID: 26787882
- Aravin A, Gaidatzis D, Pfeffer S, Lagos-Quintana M, Landgraf P, Iovino N, Morris P, Brownstein MJ, Kuramochi-Miyagawa S, Nakano T, Chien M, Russo JJ, Ju J, Sheridan R, Sander C, Zavolan M, Tuschl T. 2006. A novel class of small RNAs bind to MILI protein in mouse testes. *Nature* 442: 203–207. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature04916, PMID: 16751777
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. 2000. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nature Genetics* **25**: 25–29. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/75556
- Batista PJ, Ruby JG, Claycomb JM, Chiang R, Fahlgren N, Kasschau KD, Chaves DA, Gu W, Vasale JJ, Duan S, Conte D Jr, Luo S, Schroth GP, Carrington JC, Bartel DP, Mello CC. 2008. PRG-1 and 21U-RNAs interact to

- form the piRNA complex required for fertility in *C. elegans. Molecular Cell* **31**: 67–78. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.molcel.2008.06.002, PMID: 18571452
- Bilinski SM, Jaglarz MK, Szymanska B, Etkin LD, Kloc M. 2004. Sm proteins, the constituents of the spliceosome, are components of nuage and mitochondrial cement in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Experimental Cell Research* 299: 171–178. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.05.016, PMID: 15302584
- Bracha D, Walls MT, Brangwynne CP. 2019. Probing and engineering liquid-phase organelles. Nature Biotechnology 37: 1435–1445. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0341-6, PMID: 31792412
- Brangwynne CP, Eckmann CR, Courson DS, Rybarska A, Hoege C, Gharakhani J, Jülicher F, Hyman AA. 2009. Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science* **324**: 1729–1732. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172046, PMID: 19460965
- Branon TC, Bosch JA, Sanchez AD, Udeshi ND, Svinkina T, Carr SA, Feldman JL, Perrimon N, Ting AY. 2018. Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and organisms with TurbolD. *Nature Biotechnology* **36**: 880–887. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4201, PMID: 30125270
- Brennecke J, Aravin AA, Stark A, Dus M, Kellis M, Sachidanandam R, Hannon GJ. 2007. Discrete small RNAgenerating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **128**: 1089–1103. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.043, PMID: 17346786
- Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77: 71–94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/ genetics/77.1.71, PMID: 4366476
- Buckley BA, Burkhart KB, Gu SG, Spracklin G, Kershner A, Fritz H, Kimble J, Fire A, Kennedy S. 2012. A nuclear Argonaute promotes multigenerational epigenetic inheritance and germline immortality. *Nature* 489: 447–451. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11352, PMID: 22810588
- Calculli G, Lee HJ, Shen K, Pham U, Herholz M, Trifunovic A, Dillin A, Vilchez D. 2021. Systemic regulation of mitochondria by germline proteostasis prevents protein aggregation in the soma of *C. elegans. Science Advances* 7: eabg3012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg3012, PMID: 34172445
- Callebaut I, Mornon JP. 2010. LOTUS, a new domain associated with small RNA pathways in the germline. Bioinformatics 26: 1140–1144. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq122, PMID: 20305267
- Cheeseman IM. 2014. The kinetochore. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 6: a015826. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a015826, PMID: 24984773
- Chen W, Hu Y, Lang CF, Brown JS, Schwabach S, Song X, Zhang Y, Munro E, Bennett K, Zhang D, Lee H-C. 2020. The Dynamics of P Granule Liquid Droplets Are Regulated by the Caenorhabditis elegans Germline RNA Helicase GLH-1 via Its ATP Hydrolysis Cycle. Genetics 215: 421–434. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics. 120.303052, PMID: 32245789
- Cho KF, Branon TC, Rajeev S, Svinkina T, Udeshi ND, Thoudam T, Kwak C, Rhee H-W, Lee I-K, Carr SA, Ting AY. 2020. Split-TurboID enables contact-dependent proximity labeling in cells. *PNAS* **117**: 12143–12154. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1919528117, PMID: 32424107
- Cipriani PG, Bay O, Zinno J, Gutwein M, Gan HH, Mayya VK, Chung G, Chen J-X, Fahs H, Guan Y, Duchaine TF, Selbach M, Piano F, Gunsalus KC. 2021. Novel LOTUS-domain proteins are organizational hubs that recruit *C. elegans* Vasa to germ granules. *eLife* 10: e60833. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.60833, PMID: 34223818
- Claycomb JM, Batista PJ, Pang KM, Gu W, Vasale JJ, van Wolfswinkel JC, Chaves DA, Shirayama M, Mitani S, Ketting RF, Conte D Jr, Mello CC. 2009. The Argonaute CSR-1 and its 22G-RNA cofactors are required for holocentric chromosome segregation. *Cell* **139**: 123–134. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.014, PMID: 19804758
- Das PP, Bagijn MP, Goldstein LD, Woolford JR, Lehrbach NJ, Sapetschnig A, Buhecha HR, Gilchrist MJ, Howe KL, Stark R, Matthews N, Berezikov E, Ketting RF, Tavaré S, Miska EA. 2008. Piwi and piRNAs act upstream of an endogenous siRNA pathway to suppress Tc3 transposon mobility in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline. *Molecular Cell* 31: 79–90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.06.003, PMID: 18571451
- **Dosztányi Z**, Csizmok V, Tompa P, Simon I. 2005. IUPred: web server for the prediction of intrinsically unstructured regions of proteins based on estimated energy content. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3433–3434. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti541, PMID: 15955779
- Frøkjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Hopkins CE, Newman BJ, Thummel JM, Olesen S-P, Grunnet M, Jorgensen EM. 2008. Single-copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature Genetics 40: 1375–1383. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.248, PMID: 18953339
- Ghanta KS, Mello CC. 2020. Melting dsDNA Donor Molecules Greatly Improves Precision Genome Editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* **216**: 643–650. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.120.303564, PMID: 32963112
- Gruidl ME, Smith PA, Kuznicki KA, McCrone JS, Kirchner J, Roussell DL, Strome S, Bennett KL. 1996. Multiple potential germ-line helicases are components of the germ-line-specific P granules of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PNAS* **93**: 13837–13842. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.24.13837, PMID: 8943022
- Gustafson EA, Wessel GM. 2010. Vasa genes: emerging roles in the germ line and in multipotent cells. *BioEssays* 32: 626–637. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201000001, PMID: 20586054
- Ishidate T, Ozturk AR, Durning DJ, Sharma R, Shen EZ, Chen H, Seth M, Shirayama M, Mello CC. 2018. ZNFX-1 Functions within Perinuclear Nuage to Balance Epigenetic Signals. *Molecular Cell* **70**: 639-649.. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.04.009, PMID: 29775580
- Jensen LJ, Kuhn M, Stark M, Chaffron S, Creevey C, Muller J, Doerks T, Julien P, Roth A, Simonovic M, Bork P, von Mering C. 2009. STRING 8--a global view on proteins and their functional interactions in 630 organisms. *Nucleic Acids Research* **37**: D41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn760, PMID: 18940858

- Jeske M, Bordi M, Glatt S, Müller S, Rybin V, Müller CW, Ephrussi A. 2015. The Crystal Structure of the Drosophila Germline Inducer Oskar Identifies Two Domains with Distinct Vasa Helicase- and RNA-Binding Activities. Cell Reports 12: 587–598. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.055, PMID: 26190108
- Jeske M, Müller CW, Ephrussi A. 2017. The LOTUS domain is a conserved DEAD-box RNA helicase regulator essential for the recruitment of Vasa to the germ plasm and nuage. *Genes & Development* **31**: 939–952. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.297051.117
- Kamath RS, Ahringer J. 2003. Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods 30: 313–321. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1046-2023(03)00050-1, PMID: 12828945
- Kawasaki I, Shim YH, Kirchner J, Kaminker J, Wood WB, Strome S. 1998. PGL-1, a predicted RNA-binding component of germ granules, is essential for fertility in *C. elegans. Cell* 94: 635–645. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/s0092-8674(00)81605-0, PMID: 9741628
- Kawasaki I, Amiri A, Fan Y, Meyer N, Dunkelbarger S, Motohashi T, Karashima T, Bossinger O, Strome S. 2004. The PGL family proteins associate with germ granules and function redundantly in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline development. *Genetics* 167: 645–661. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.103.023093, PMID: 15238518
- Keiper BD, Lamphear BJ, Deshpande AM, Jankowska-Anyszka M, Aamodt EJ, Blumenthal T, Rhoads RE. 2000. Functional characterization of five eIF4E isoforms in Caenorhabditis elegans. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 275: 10590–10596. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.14.10590, PMID: 10744754
- Kim H, Ishidate T, Ghanta KS, Seth M, Conte D, Shirayama M, Mello CC. 2014. A co-CRISPR strategy for efficient genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 197: 1069–1080. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics. 114.166389, PMID: 24879462
- Kitagawa R. 2009. Key players in chromosome segregation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Frontiers in Bioscience 10: 1529. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2741/3323
- Kubíková J, Reinig R, Salgania HK, Jeske M. 2020. LOTUS-domain proteins developmental effectors from a molecular perspective. *Biological Chemistry* 402: 7–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2020-0270, PMID: 33544496
- Lachke SA, Alkuraya FS, Kneeland SC, Ohn T, Aboukhalil A, Howell GR, Saadi I, Cavallesco R, Yue Y, Tsai AC-H, Nair KS, Cosma MI, Smith RS, Hodges E, Alfadhli SM, Al-Hajeri A, Shamseldin HE, Behbehani A, Hannon GJ, Bulyk ML, et al. 2011. Mutations in the RNA granule component TDRD7 cause cataract and glaucoma. *Science* 331: 1571–1576. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1195970, PMID: 21436445
- Lall S, Piano F, Davis RE. 2005. *Caenorhabditis elegans* decapping proteins: localization and functional analysis of Dcp1, Dcp2, and DcpS during embryogenesis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 16: 5880–5890. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e05-07-0622, PMID: 16207815
- Lamesch P, Milstein S, Hao T, Rosenberg J, Li N, Sequerra R, Bosak S, Doucette-Stamm L, Vandenhaute J, Hill DE, Vidal M. 2004. C. elegans ORFeome version 3.1: increasing the coverage of ORFeome resources with improved gene predictions. Genome Research 14: 2064–2069. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2496804, PMID: 15489327
- Lau NC, Seto AG, Kim J, Kuramochi-Miyagawa S, Nakano T, Bartel DP, Kingston RE. 2006. Characterization of the piRNA complex from rat testes. *Science* 313: 363–367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1130164, PMID: 16778019
- Lehmann R. 2016. Germ Plasm Biogenesis--An Oskar-Centric Perspective. Current Topics in Developmental Biology 116: 679–707. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.11.024, PMID: 26970648
- Lehtiniemi T, Kotaja N. 2018. Germ granule-mediated RNA regulation in male germ cells. *Reproduction* **155**: R77–R91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0356, PMID: 29038333
- Mahowald AP. 1968. Polar granules of *Drosophila* II Ultrastructural changes during early embryogenesis. *The Journal of Experimental Zoology* **167**: 237–261. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401670211, PMID: 5676182
- Manage KI, Rogers AK, Wallis DC, Uebel CJ, Anderson DC, Nguyen DAH, Arca K, Brown KC, Cordeiro Rodrigues RJ, de Albuquerque BF, Ketting RF, Montgomery TA, Phillips CM. 2020. A tudor domain protein, SIMR-1, promotes siRNA production at piRNA-targeted mRNAs in *C. elegans. eLife* 9: e56731. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56731, PMID: 32338603
- Markmiller S, Soltanieh S, Server KL, Mak R, Jin W, Fang MY, Luo E-C, Krach F, Yang D, Sen A, Fulzele A, Wozniak JM, Gonzalez DJ, Kankel MW, Gao F-B, Bennett EJ, Lécuyer E, Yeo GW. 2018. Context-Dependent and Disease-Specific Diversity in Protein Interactions within Stress Granules. *Cell* 172: 590-604.. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.12.032
- Marnik EA, Fuqua JH, Sharp CS, Rochester JD, Xu EL, Holbrook SE, Updike DL. 2019. Germline Maintenance Through the Multifaceted Activities of GLH/Vasa in *Caenorhabditis elegans* P Granules. *Genetics* 213: 923–939. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302670, PMID: 31506335
- Marnik EA, Almeid MV, Cipriani G, Chung G, Caspani E, Karaulanov E, Butter F, Sharp CS, Zinno J, Gan HH, Piano F, Ketting RF, Gunsalus KC, Updike DL. 2021. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* TDRD5/7-like Protein, LOTR-1, Interacts with the Helicase ZNFX-1 to Balance Epigenetic Signals in the Germline. *bioRxiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2021.06.18.448978
- Marshall RS, Vierstra RD. 2019. Dynamic Regulation of the 26S Proteasome: From Synthesis to Degradation. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences 6: 40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2019.00040, PMID: 31231659
- Molliex A, Temirov J, Lee J, Coughlin M, Kanagaraj AP, Kim HJ, Mittag T, Taylor JP. 2015. Phase separation by low complexity domains promotes stress granule assembly and drives pathological fibrillization. *Cell* 163: 123–133. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.015, PMID: 26406374

- Nott TJ, Petsalaki E, Farber P, Jervis D, Fussner E, Plochowietz A, Craggs TD, Bazett-Jones DP, Pawson T, Forman-Kay JD, Baldwin AJ. 2015. Phase Transition of a Disordered Nuage Protein Generates Environmentally Responsive Membraneless Organelles. *Molecular Cell* 57: 936–947. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel. 2015.01.013, PMID: 25747659
- Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK. 2014. Intrinsically disordered proteins and intrinsically disordered protein regions. Annual Review of Biochemistry 83: 553–584. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-072711-164947, PMID: 24606139
- Paix A, Folkmann A, Goldman DH, Kulaga H, Grzelak MJ, Rasoloson D, Paidemarry S, Green R, Reed RR, Seydoux G. 2017. Precision genome editing using synthesis-dependent repair of Cas9-induced DNA breaks. PNAS 114: E10745–E10754. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711979114, PMID: 29183983
- Parvinen M. 2005. The chromatoid body in spermatogenesis. Ternational Journal of Andrology 28: 189–201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2005.00542.x, PMID: 16048630
- Pastore B. 2021. TurbolD. swh:1:rev:24fd901d6cb08c2689d08cd4d7c09173a90decb9. Software Heritage. https://archive.softwareheritage.org/swh:1:dir:1c6dc7f173a31fbd28ef1939394c4915d900cfd1;origin=https:// github.com/benpastore/TurbolD;visit=swh:1:snp:edeea7834db93838982d31097f49b51355dfbdb4;anchor= swh:1:rev:24fd901d6cb08c2689d08cd4d7c09173a90decb9
- Pei J, Kim BH, Grishin NV. 2008. PROMALS3D: a tool for multiple protein sequence and structure alignments. *Nucleic Acids Research* **36**: 2295–2300. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn072, PMID: 18287115
- Phillips CM, Montgomery TA, Breen PC, Ruvkun G. 2012. MUT-16 promotes formation of perinuclear mutator foci required for RNA silencing in the *C. elegans* germline. *Genes & Development* 26: 1433–1444. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1101/gad.193904.112, PMID: 22713602
- Pitt JN, Schisa JA, Priess JR. 2000. P granules in the germ cells of Caenorhabditis elegans adults are associated with clusters of nuclear pores and contain RNA. Developmental Biology 219: 315–333. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1006/dbio.2000.9607, PMID: 10694425
- Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, Arak T, Adler P, Peterson H, Vilo J. 2019. g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**: W191–W198. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz369, PMID: 31066453
- Rhee HW, Zou P, Udeshi ND, Martell JD, Mootha VK, Carr SA, Ting AY. 2013. Proteomic mapping of mitochondria in living cells via spatially restricted enzymatic tagging. *Science* 339: 1328–1331. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1230593, PMID: 23371551
- **Roux KJ**, Kim DI, Raida M, Burke B. 2012. A promiscuous biotin ligase fusion protein identifies proximal and interacting proteins in mammalian cells. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **196**: 801–810. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1083/jcb.201112098, PMID: 22412018
- Seydoux G. 2018. The P Granules of *C. elegans*: A Genetic Model for the Study of RNA-Protein Condensates. Journal of Molecular Biology 430: 4702–4710. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.08.007, PMID: 30096346
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T. 2003. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Research* 13: 2498–2504. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303, PMID: 14597658
- Sheth U, Pitt J, Dennis S, Priess JR. 2010. Perinuclear P granules are the principal sites of mRNA export in adult C. elegans germ cells. Development 137: 1305–1314. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.044255, PMID: 20223759
- Shirayama M, Gu W, Seth M, Mello CC. 2014. The Vasa Homolog RDE-12 engages target mRNA and multiple argonaute proteins to promote RNAi in C. elegans. Current Biology 24: 845–851. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cub.2014.03.008, PMID: 24684931
- Simmer F, Tijsterman M, Parrish S, Koushika SP, Nonet ML, Fire A, Ahringer J, Plasterk RHA. 2002. Loss of the putative RNA-directed RNA polymerase RRF-3 makes C. elegans hypersensitive to RNAi. Current Biology 12: 1317–1319. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(02)01041-2, PMID: 12176360
- Smardon A, Spoerke JM, Stacey SC, Klein ME, Mackin N, Maine EM. 2000. EGO-1 is related to RNA-directed RNA polymerase and functions in germ-line development and RNA interference in *C. elegans. Current Biology* 10: 169–178. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00323-7, PMID: 10704412
- Smith JM, Bowles J, Wilson M, Teasdale RD, Koopman P. 2004. Expression of the tudor-related gene Tdrd5 during development of the male germline in mice. Gene Expression Patterns 4: 701–705. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.modgep.2004.04.002, PMID: 15465492
- Smith J, Calidas D, Schmidt H, Lu T, Rasoloson D, Seydoux G. 2016. Spatial patterning of P granules by RNA-induced phase separation of the intrinsically-disordered protein MEG-3. *eLife* **5**: e21337. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21337, PMID: 27914198
- Spike C, Meyer N, Racen E, Orsborn A, Kirchner J, Kuznicki K, Yee C, Bennett K, Strome S. 2008. Genetic analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans GLH family of P-granule proteins. Genetics 178: 1973–1987. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.083469, PMID: 18430929
- Strambio-De-Castillia C, Niepel M, Rout MP. 2010. The nuclear pore complex: bridging nuclear transport and gene regulation. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology 11: 490–501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2928, PMID: 20571586
- Strome S, Wood WB. 1982. Immunofluorescence visualization of germ-line-specific cytoplasmic granules in embryos, larvae, and adults of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PNAS 79: 1558–1562. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.79.5.1558, PMID: 7041123

- Tanaka T, Hosokawa M, Vagin VV, Reuter M, Hayashi E, Mochizuki AL, Kitamura K, Yamanaka H, Kondoh G, Okawa K, Kuramochi-Miyagawa S, Nakano T, Sachidanandam R, Hannon GJ, Pillai RS, Nakatsuji N, Chuma S. 2011. Tudor domain containing 7 (Tdrd7) is essential for dynamic ribonucleoprotein (RNP) remodeling of chromatoid bodies during spermatogenesis. PNAS 108: 10579–10584. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1015447108, PMID: 21670278
- Trcek T, Lehmann R. 2019. Germ granules in *Drosophila*. *Traffic* **20**: 650–660. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/tra. 12674, PMID: 31218815
- Updike DL, Strome S. 2009. A genomewide RNAi screen for genes that affect the stability, distribution and function of P granules in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **183**: 1397–1419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.109.110171, PMID: 19805813
- Updike D, Strome S. 2010. P granule assembly and function in Caenorhabditis elegans germ cells. Journal of Andrology 31: 53–60. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2164/jandrol.109.008292, PMID: 19875490
- Updike DL, Hachey SJ, Kreher J, Strome S. 2011. P granules extend the nuclear pore complex environment in the *C. elegans* germ line. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **192**: 939–948. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb. 201010104, PMID: 21402789
- Uversky VN. 2017. Intrinsically disordered proteins in overcrowded milieu: Membrane-less organelles, phase separation, and intrinsic disorder. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **44**: 18–30. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.sbi.2016.10.015, PMID: 27838525
- Vagin VV, Sigova A, Li C, Seitz H, Gvozdev V, Zamore PD. 2006. A distinct small RNA pathway silences selfish genetic elements in the germline. *Science* **313**: 320–324. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1129333, PMID: 16809489
- van Wolfswinkel JC, Claycomb JM, Batista PJ, Mello CC, Berezikov E, Ketting RF. 2009. CDE-1 affects chromosome segregation through uridylation of CSR-1-bound siRNAs. *Cell* **139**: 135–148. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.012, PMID: 19804759
- Voronina E, Seydoux G. 2010. The *C. elegans* homolog of nucleoporin Nup98 is required for the integrity and function of germline P granules. *Development* **137**: 1441–1450. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.047654, PMID: 20335358
- Voronina E, Seydoux G, Sassone-Corsi P, Nagamori I. 2011. RNA granules in germ cells. *Cold Spring Harbor* Perspectives in Biology **3**: a002774. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a002774, PMID: 21768607
- Wan G, Fields BD, Spracklin G, Shukla A, Phillips CM, Kennedy S. 2018. Spatiotemporal regulation of liquid-like condensates in epigenetic inheritance. *Nature* 557: 679–683. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0132-0, PMID: 29769721
- Wang JT, Smith J, Chen BC, Schmidt H, Rasoloson D, Paix A, Lambrus BG, Calidas D, Betzig E, Seydoux G. 2014. Regulation of RNA granule dynamics by phosphorylation of serine-rich, intrinsically disordered proteins in C. elegans. eLife 3: e04591. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04591, PMID: 25535836
- Watts JS, Morton DG, Kemphues KJ, Watts JL. 2018. The biotin-ligating protein BPL-1 is critical for lipid biosynthesis and polarization of the Caenorhabditis elegans embryo. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 293: 610–622. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.798553, PMID: 29158261
- Xu F, Feng X, Chen X, Weng C, Yan Q, Xu T, Hong M, Guang S. 2018. A Cytoplasmic Argonaute Protein Promotes the Inheritance of RNAi. Cell Reports 23: 2482–2494. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.04. 072, PMID: 29791857
- Yabuta Y, Ohta H, Abe T, Kurimoto K, Chuma S, Saitou M. 2011. TDRD5 is required for retrotransposon silencing, chromatoid body assembly, and spermiogenesis in mice. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **192**: 781–795. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201009043, PMID: 21383078
- Yigit E, Batista PJ, Bei Y, Pang KM, Chen C-CG, Tolia NH, Joshua-Tor L, Mitani S, Simard MJ, Mello CC. 2006. Analysis of the *C. elegans* Argonaute family reveals that distinct Argonautes act sequentially during RNAi. *Cell* 127: 747–757. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.033, PMID: 17110334
- Zhang Y, Yan L, Zhou Z, Yang P, Tian E, Zhang K, Zhao Y, Li Z, Song B, Han J, Miao L, Zhang H. 2009. SEPA-1 mediates the specific recognition and degradation of P granule components by autophagy in *C. elegans. Cell* 136: 308–321. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.022, PMID: 19167332
- Zhang L, Ward JD, Cheng Z, Dernburg AF. 2015. The auxin-inducible degradation (AID) system enables versatile conditional protein depletion in C. elegans. Development 142: 4374–4384. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 129635, PMID: 26552885
- Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam S-Z, Rau D, Kübler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Söding J, Lupas AN, Alva V. 2018. A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a New HHpred Server at its Core. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 430: 2237–2243. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007, PMID: 29258817