Inorganic Chemistry

New Reactivity Patterns in 3H-Phosphaallene Chemistry [Aryl-P=C=C(H)-tBu]: Hydroboration of the C=C Bond, Deprotonation and Trimerisation

Jonas C. Tendyck,^[a] Alexander Hepp,^[a] Ernst-Ulrich Würthwein,^{*[b]} and Werner Uhl^{*[a]}

Abstract: 3H-Phosphaallenes, R-P=C=C(H)C-R' (**3**), are accessible in a multigram scale on a new and facile route and show a fascinating chemical reactivity. $BH_3(SMe_2)$ and **3a** ($R=Mes^*$, R'=tBu) afforded by hydroboration of the C=C bonds of two phosphaallene molecules an unprecedented borane (**7**) with the B atom bound to two P=C double bonds. This compound represents a new FLP based on a B and two P atoms. The increased Lewis acidity of the B atom led to a different reaction course upon treatment of **3a** with $H_2B-C_6F_5(SMe_2)$. Hydroboration of a C=C bond of a first phosphaallene is followed in a typical FLP reaction by the coordination of a second phosphaallene molecule via B–C and P–

B bond formation to yield a BP₂C₂ heterocycle (8). Its B–P bond is short and the B-bound P atom has a planar surrounding. Treatment of **3** a with tBuLi resulted in deprotonation of the β -C atom of the phosphaallene (9). The Li atom is bound to the P atom as demonstrated by crystal structure determination, quantum chemical calculations and reactions with HCl, Cl-SiMe₃ or Cl-PtBu₂. The thermally unstable phosphaallene Ph–P=C=C(H)-tBu gave a unique trimeric secondary product by P–P, P–C and C–C bond formation. It contains a P₂C₄ heterocycle and was isolated as a W(CO)₄ complex with two P atoms coordinated to W (15).

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Socletles Publishing

Introduction

Phosphaallenes, R-P=C=CR'₂, form a fascinating class of compounds.^[1,2] They have cumulated P=C and C=C double bonds, are highly reactive and only isolable with bulky substituents R such as Mes* (2,4,6-tBu₃C₆H₂) to prevent decomposition. They were generated for the first time about 40 years ago by the seminal work of Yoshifuji^[3,4] and further studied by the groups of Appel^[5,6] and Märkl.^[7,8] The latter group reported in 1988 on the first 3H-phosphaallenes, R-P=C=C(H)-R' (e.g. R=Mes*, R'= tBu), which had a H atom bound to their β -C atom and were obtained by rearrangement upon treatment of R-P(H)-C=C-R' with a strong base.^[7] With the Lewis basic P atom, the P=C

 [a] J. C. Tendyck, Dr. A. Hepp, Prof. Dr. W. Uhl Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster Corrensstrasse 30, 48149 Münster (Germany) E-mail: uhlw@uni-muenster.de

[b] Prof. Dr. E.-U. Würthwein
Organisch-chemisches Institut and
Center for Multiscale Theory and Computation (CMTC)
Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster
Corrensstrasse 40, 48149 Münster (Germany)
E-mail: wurthwe@uni-muenster.de

- Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002506.
- © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

and C=C bonds and the C-H bond in β -position to phosphorus these molecules have four different functionalities and are applicable as promising starting materials in secondary processes. Reports on the reactivity of phosphaallenes are limited, and only few secondary products are reported such as transition metal complexes (η^{1} - and η^{2} -coordination)^[1,2,9] and cyclic dimers.^[7d, 10, 11] Few reactions with Main Group elements or their compounds, such as *n*-butyllithium, sulfur, hydrogen peroxide, protic reagents or dichlorocarbene, are known.^[7e, 12] The central C atom of the P=C=C moiety bears a partial negative charge and is attacked by protons.^[1,13,14] Recently, we found facile access to various 3H-phosphaallenes (3) in a multigram scale and excellent yields by treatment of dialkynylphosphines (1) with commercially available dialkylaluminium hydrides (e.g. *i*Bu₂AlH; Scheme 1).^[2] The products are formed via hydroaluminiation of an alkynyl group, generation of mixed alkenyl-alkynyl aluminium intermediates (2)^[15] and elimination of aluminium alkynides. This method is suitable for the synthesis of persis-



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3H-phosphaallenes: (i) + H-AlR"₂; (ii) - R"₂Al-C=C-R'; R = Mes, Trip, Mes*, CH(SiMe₃)₂; R' = tBu, Ph, Adamantyl, C₆H₁₁; R" = Et, *i*Bu, CH(SiMe₃)₂.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988

Wiley Online Library

 $\ensuremath{^{\odot}}$ 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Chemistry Europe European Chemical Societies Publishing

tent and transient species, the latter were trapped by coordination to transition metal atoms (η^1 - and η^2 -coordination).^{[2]}

The facile accessibility of 3H-phosphaallenes enabled extensive studies on their chemical properties. A new dimer (4; Scheme 2) was isolated that had a P-P single bond and an alkynyl and an alkenyl group bound to the P atoms.^[2] Irradiation of a transient species afforded a tricyclic compound (5) with a strained three-membered phosphirane ring.^[14] It represents an unprecedented isomer of the starting phosphaallene. Thermolysis of two room temperature stable Mes* compounds afforded 1-benzo-dihydrophosphetes (6) with annulated C₆ and PC₃ rings.^[14] Their formation proceeds by an unusual intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution with the replacement of an alkyl group at the aromatic ring. The phosphorus-bound H atom of 6 allowed catalyst-free hydrophosphination reactions and the generation of bulky phosphine ligands.^[14] In this paper we focus on reactions at the vinylidene part of the phosphaallenes and report on the isolation of a novel trimeric product of an unstable derivative.



Scheme 2. Secondary products of 3H-phosphaallenes (R' = tBu, adamantyl).

Results and Discussion

Hydroboration

Hydroboration is a powerful method for the reduction of unsaturated compounds and the generation of functional molecules^[16,17] and has been applied also for the reduction of P-C double or triple bonds.^[18-20] Phosphaalkenes, R-P=CR'₂, afforded preferably products with P–H and C–B bonds. $^{\scriptscriptstyle [18, 19]}$ In contrast, treatment of phosphaalkynes, $P \equiv C-R$, with secondary boranes yielded both possible products with the formation of P-H or P-B bonds.^[20] Hydroboration of phosphaallenes has not been reported previously. We treated Mes*-P=C=C(H)-tBu (3a) with equimolar quantities of $H_3B(SMe_2)$ in *n*-hexane at room temperature, but based on NMR data and crystal structure determination the unexpected insertion of two phosphaallene moieties into different B-H bonds of a BH₃ molecule gave the di(phosphaalkenyl)borane (7). The reaction in the correct molar ratio of 2:1 afforded 7 in a high yield of 87% (Scheme 3). Interestingly, hydroboration of the C=C bonds is preferred over the hydroboration of the heteronuclear P=C bonds (Figure 1). The P=C distances of 1.688 Å on average correspond to standard values of phosphaalkenes.^[21] Caused by the different hybridization of the central C atoms (sp² versus sp) they are slightly longer than in phosphaallene 3a [1.651(3) Å].^[2] The B atoms have a trigonal planar surrounding



Scheme 3. Hydroboration of phosphaallene 3 a.



Figure 1. Molecular structure and numbering Scheme of **7**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40 % level. H atoms with exception of H1, H2A/ H2B and H5A/H5B and methyl groups of the Mes* substituents are omitted. Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P1–C1 1.686(2), P2–C4 1.690(2), B1–C1 1.544(2), B2–C4 1.549(2), C1–C2 1.514(2), C4–C5 1.514(2), C1-B1-C4 126.7(1), B1-C1-P1 109.2(1), B1-C1-C2 120.5(1), B1-C4-P2 110.0(1), B1-C4-C5 128.0(1).

(sum of angles = 360°), and the B–C distances are with 1.547(av) Å in the normal range of B–C single bonds to sp^2-C atoms. $^{[22]}$

7 showed a singlet at a low field ($\delta = 326.8$) of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. The chemical shift corresponds to values found for phosphaalkenes that have a relatively electropositive atom (Si of SiMe₃, Sn of SnR₃ or B atoms in various groups) attached to their central C atom.^[23] The ¹³C NMR signal of the P=C moiety ($\delta = 200.8$) is in the normal range of phosphaalkenes, and the ¹¹B NMR spectrum shows a broad resonance in the range expected for three-coordinate B atoms ($\delta = 60.4$).^[24] A sharp absorption of the B-H stretching vibration was observed for the terminal B-H bond in the characteristic range of the IR spectrum at 2413 cm⁻¹. 7 has an interesting molecular structure with a coordinatively unsaturated Lewis acidic B atom and two Lewis basic P atoms in a single molecule. It represents a rare example of a frustrated Lewis pair,^[25] in which the presence of two basic atoms may allow the chelating activation of substrates.^[26] However, the B atom of 7 is not activated by an



electron-withdrawing group, hence, its Lewis acidity may not be high enough to act as an efficient $FLP^{[27]}$ and its reactivity may be somewhat limited. We, therefore, applied the borane $H_2B-C_6F_5$ with the electron-withdrawing C_6F_5 group attached to boron.^{[28]}

Treatment of 3a with $H_2B-C_6F_5(SMe_2)$ in a molar ratio of 2:1 in *n*-hexane at -78°C afforded after recrystallization of the crude product from CH₂Cl₂ yellow crystals of compound 8 in 70% yield. Crystal structure determination (Figure 2) revealed an unexpected molecular structure which is different from that one of 7. Once again two formula units of the phosphorus compound reacted with one equivalent of the borane. But now the formation of three new bonds (B-P, P-C, B-C) afforded a five-membered P₂C₂B heterocycle, which adopted a slightly distorted envelope conformation with the atom P2 0.57 Å above the average plane of the four remaining ring atoms. Both P=C bonds of the phosphaallenes and a C=C bond were reduced to single bonds, one of the C=C bonds [1.345(9) Å] is retained and is an exocyclic position of the P₂C₂B ring. The atom P2 has the expected trigonal pyramidal surrounding with sum of the bond angles = 325.9° and shows normal endocyclic P-C bond lengths of 1.853(2) and 1.846(2) Å. In contrast, P1 has surprisingly a trigonal planar coordination sphere (sum of the angles = 359.0°) with short P1–C4 [1.787(2) Å] and P1–B1 distances [1.797(2) Å]. These observations support the assumption of a π -interaction between the lone pair at phosphorus and the empty *p*-orbital at boron and the occurrence of a P=Bdouble bond, which may be favored by the strong electronwithdrawing character of the C_6F_5 group at boron. Few structurally authenticated compounds containing a P=B bond with planar surrounded P atoms and short P-B bond lengths are reported in the literature, in two cases the B atoms are also bound to C_6F_5 groups.^[29] Quantum chemical calculations on the latter compounds suggested a highly polar bonding character of the B-P bond.^[29b,c] These compounds have been used



Figure 2. Molecular structure and numbering Scheme of **8**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% level. H atoms with exception of H2A/H2B and H5 and the *t*Bu groups of the Mes* substituents are omitted. Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P1–C4 1.787(2), P1–B1 1.797(2), P2–C1 1.853(2), P2–C4 1.846(2), B1–C1 1.596(2), C4–C5 1.345(2), C4-P1-B1 104.76(8), P1-B1-C1 113.6(1), B1-C1-P2 105.7(1), C1-P2-C4 103.64(7), P1-C4-P2 103.14(8).

for the heterolytic cleavage of $\rm H_2$ molecules and for the dehydrogenation of ammineboranes.

Two resonances were observed in a narrow range of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at δ = 30.3 and 25.3. The first one is broad and did not allow the identification of a coupling pattern. It results from the atom P1, which is bound to the boron atom, and its broadness is caused by the quadrupole moment of the boron nuclei. The second P atom (P2) shows a doublet with a coupling constant ²J_{PP} of 89.2 Hz. A broad resonance was observed in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum at δ = 53.2. B and P NMR shifts are similar to those reported for B=P compounds,⁽²⁹⁾ but are not really indicative for this specific bonding situation. The ¹³C NMR signals of the exocyclic C=C bond were detected in the expected range at δ = 142.2 and 136.2 as doublets of doublets due to the coupling to two chemically different P atoms.

The different reaction pathways observed for the hydroboration of phosphaallene 3a (Scheme 3) are clearly influenced by the electron withdrawing C₆F₅ group at boron. The first step of both reactions may be the same and comprise the addition of a B–H bond to the C=C double bond of the P=C=C group. The postulated intermediate has an intact P=C bond, and the B atom is bound to the inner C atom, similar to the structure of compound 7. The resulting constitution resembles the situation in geminal Frustrated Lewis pairs.^[25] In contrast to the synthesis of ${\bf 7}$ with the insertion of a C=C double bond into the second B-H bond, the Lewis acidity of the B atom is considerably enhanced in the C₆F₅ borane. It is a strongly polarizing acceptor and favors the approach of the nucleophilic P atom of a second phosphaallene. The P=C bond becomes polarized by the P–B interaction, and the α -C atom reacts as an electrophile with the P atom of the FLP-type intermediate to form the fivemembered heterocycle of 8. A similar reaction has been postulated based on quantum chemical calculations and comprises the trapping of a highly unstable phosphaallene by a transient Al/P-based FLP analogous to 2 (Scheme 1) to yield an AlP₂C₂ heterocycle (see below for further discussion).^[15c] In other cases, hydroalumination of sterically less shielded alkynylphosphines with dialkylaluminum hydrides resulted in the formation of geminal AI/P FLPs, which could not be isolated, but reacted spontaneously with the triple bond of a second equivalent of the starting alkynylphosphine by generation of fivemembered heterocycles.^[30] In the final step of the formation of 8 1,2-hydrogen shift from boron to the C atom of the P-C-B group is required.

Deprotonation

While the ligand properties and the reactivity of the P=C and C=C bonds of phosphaallenes have been reported in the literature, the reactivity of the C–H bond is almost unexplored and to the best of our knowledge lithium compounds derived from 3H-phosphaallenes by deprotonation are not known. Yoshifuji treated a 3H-phosphaallene with 0.5 equivalents of *n*-butyllithium and isolated a 3,4-diphosphinidenecyclobutene.^[10, 11a] In some cases a transient phosphaallene was postulated to be deprotonated by a strong base.^[11c,d] But no spectroscopic or



theoretical evidences were provided to support such a mechanism. A persistent phosphaallene, R-P=C=CR'₂, was reported to react with *n*-butyllithium by addition of the Li–C moiety to the P=C bond.^[12a] The product was not isolated and characterized, but guenched by addition of methanol. We treated 3a with equimolar quantities of tert-butyllithium and isolated a colorless highly viscous liquid of 9a (Scheme 4) which could not be purified and isolated in a crystalline form. It showed very broad resonances in the NMR spectra, which could not be assigned completely. Deprotonation of the C-H group is confirmed by the missing signal of the vinylic H atom in the characteristic range of ¹H NMR spectrum at about $\delta = 5.70$.^[2] The P atom shows a broad resonance in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at $\delta = -136$, which is considerably shifted to a higher field compared to **3a** and in the typical range of lithium phosphides.^[31] Two ¹³C NMR signals at $\delta = 97.7$ and 117.1 confirm the presence of a C=C triple bond and the missing phosphaallene unit. A suggestion for the molecular constitution of 9a is given in Scheme 4, it is based on the structures of the TMEDA adduct 9b and of some secondary products (see below).

A similar lithium phosphide, $Li[P(Mes^*)-C=C-R']$ (R' = SiMe₃), was claimed to be formed by deprotonation of the corresponding P-H alkynylphosphine with methyllithium in the presence of TMEDA.^[8] It was not isolated or characterized, but immediately trapped by addition of CI-SiMe₃.^[8] A phosphaallene was isolated, and from the constitution of the product an equilibrium was postulated between lithium phosphide and a deprotonated phosphaallene. A similar equilibrium has been postulated for a phenyl substituted species (R' = Ph), because quenching with CI-SiMe₃ resulted in the formation of a mixture of the phosphaallene and the corresponding alkynylphosphine.^[10a] NMR spectroscopy or the generation of secondary products did not confirm such an equilibrium in our case. In addition, quantum chemical calculations with 9a (TPSSTPSS/ def2tzvp+GD3BJ)^[32a-g] showed that the Li phosphide structure depicted in Scheme 4 is energetically highly preferred over the isomer with Li bound to the β -C atom. Similar to the results of crystal structure determination of 9b (see below) the optimized structure has the Li atom additionally coordinated to the α - and β -C atoms of the ethynyl substituent. The shift of the Li atom to the β -C atom does not result in an energetic minimum; it is in contrast energetically highly unfavorable and can definitely be excluded. Interestingly, the H compounds behave different. The phosphallene structure is slightly favoured over the P-H phosphine tautomer by 4.32 kcalmol⁻¹. These results confirm that an equilibrium between Li alkynylphosphide (**9 a**, experimentally observed) and a deprotonated compound with an intact phosphaallene moiety is at least in our case not to be expected.

To unambiguously characterize the deprotonated compound 9a, we treated the reaction mixture with an equimolar quantity of N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA, Scheme 4) and isolated yellow crystals of the TMEDA adduct 9b in 82% yield. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR signal was slightly shifted to a lower field ($\delta = -104.9$) compared to **9a**; a coupling to the Li atom was not observed.^[33] The C atoms of the ethynyl unit resonated in a relatively narrow range at $\delta = 111.1$ and 114.1 and confirm the presence of a C=C triple bond. The stretching vibration of the C=C group was observed in the IR spectrum at 1994 cm⁻¹, which is slightly outside of the characteristic range and may reflect the Li-ethynyl interaction (see below). The Li atom is coordinated by the P atom with a P-Li distance of 2.618(3) Å, which is in the upper range of values reported for lithium phosphides in the literature (Figure 3).^[33,34] It is additionally coordinated in a chelating manner by the N atoms of the TMEDA ligand and shows short contacts to the C atoms of the ethynyl group with Li1-C1=2.120(3) and Li1-C2= 2.344(3) Å, which are in the characteristic range of Li–C interactions in organolithium derivatives.^[35] The shorter one may reflect the importance of electrostatic interactions and charge separation with a relatively high negative partial charge at the α -C atom of the ethynyl group. These Li–C interactions may cause the deviation of the C-C≡C-P group from linearity [P-C≡ C 163.4(1) and C=C-C 161.5(5) $^{\circ}$; main component of the disordered group].



Scheme 4. Deprotonation of the 3H-phosphaallene 3a.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988

www.chemeurj.org

15980



Figure 3. Molecular structure and numbering Scheme of **9b**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% level. H atoms are omitted. Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P1–C1 1.753(2), C1–C2 1.217(2), P1–Li1 2.618(3), C1–Li1 2.120(3), C2–Li1 2.344(3), P1-C1-C2 163.4(1), C1-C2-C3 161.5.

Crystal structure determination confirms the phosphide character of **9** and excludes the originally anticipated phosphaallene nature. We conducted some secondary reactions with **9a** and were particularly interested in clearly excluding the equilibrium between both possible isomers in solution. Treatment of **9a** with HCl, Cl-SiMe₃ and Cl-PtBu₂ (Scheme 5)



Scheme 5. Secondary reactions of compound 9a.

did not result in traces of products with a phosphaallene structural motif. HCl yielded the P-H phosphine 10, which has been obtained previously on another route^[2,7a] and is easily identified by it characteristically large ¹J_{PH} coupling constant of 245.0 Hz. Cl-SiMe₃ afforded the silylphosphine 11 in 70% yield, which showed relatively large coupling constants of all SiMe₃ atoms to phosphorus, ²⁹Si satellites in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum and the typical resonances of an ethynyl group in the ¹³C NMR spectrum at $\delta = 118.0$ (P-C=C) and 76.8 (P-C=C). All observations are in accordance with the phosphine structure given in Scheme 5. 11 was isolated as a highly viscous liquid and could not be crystallized. P-P bond formation was observed upon treatment of 9a with CI-PtBu₂. The crystalline compound 12 was isolated in 88% yield. It showed two doublets in the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum at $\delta = 42.5$ (PtBu₂) and -65.0 with a ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ coupling constant of 190.5 Hz. The high field resonance is in the typical range of aryl-ethynylphosphines,^[2, 15c] while the signal at a lower field resembles values of dialkyldiphosphines, for example, P₂tBu₄.^[36] The stretching vibration of the C=C bond was detected in the normal range of the IR spectrum at 2149 cm⁻¹. The P–P bond length of 2.245(av) Å corresponds to standard values (Figure 4).[37] The P-C distances correlate to the respective hybridization of the C atoms and are 1.755(av) (P1-C1; alkynyl), 1.865(av) (P1-C41; aryl) and 1.902(av) Å (P-tBu). Both P atoms have a trigonal pyramidal surrounding with sum of the angles of 317.6 (P1) and 308.3° (P2; average values of two independent molecules). The difference may reflect the different bulk of the substituents.



Figure 4. Molecular structure and numbering Scheme of **12**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% level. H atoms are omitted. Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°), average values of two independent molecules: P1–P2 2.245, P1–C1 1.755, C1–C2 1.202, P1–C41 1.865(3), P2–tBu 1.902, C1-P1-C41 107.0, C5-P2-C6 109.5.

A novel trimeric secondary product of an unstable phosphaallene

Unstable phosphaallenes or persistent derivatives form various secondary products upon irradiation or in the heat. Dimers are known since many years which resulted from [2+2]- or [2+3]cycloaddition reactions and have four-membered P₂C₂ or C₄ rings (head-to-tail^[6,7e] and head-to-head dimerization^[10a,b,11a,b,d]) or adopt diphosphafulvene structures with five-membered P_2C_3 heterocycles.^[11b-d] Only recently we observed the formation of two unprecedented secondary products which are shown in Scheme 2 (4 and 5). The tricyclic phosphirane 5 is an isomer of a phosphaallene and was obtained by rearrangement, C-H bond activation and P-C bond formation.^[14] Compound 4 represents an unusual, asymmetric dimer with a P-P bond.^[2] One P atom bears an alkynyl group, while the second one is bound to an alkenyl group. The generation of 3H-phosphaallenes 3 by hydroalumination of dialkynylphosphines and elimination of aluminium alkynides^[2] allows the generation of persistent (R=Mes*) and transient species [R=Trip, Mes, CH(SiMe₃)₂], the latter could be trapped by coordination to transition metal atoms or showed a selective rearrangement reaction to yield compound 4 (Scheme 2). Ph-P=C=C(H)-tBu (3b) with the relatively small Ph group attached to P is accessible on a similar route (Scheme 6) and was identified by its characteristic ³¹P NMR shift of $\delta = 64.6$.^[15a] It is very reactive and starts to decompose before the intermediately formed hydroalumination product similar to 2 was completely consumed, as was shown by thorough NMR investigations into the kinetics of these reactions.^[15a] Decomposition results in the formation of several products (see Experimental Part). One of these crystallized from the reaction mixture and was identified as compound 13 (Scheme 6) which contains a five-membered AlP₂C₂ heterocycle and results from the reaction of an intermediately formed FLP (2, Scheme 1) with the transient phosphaallene 3 b.^[15] Another secondary product (14) showed resonances of three different P atoms with three coupling con-

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 – 15988





Scheme 6. Trimeric secondary product of phosphaallene **3 b** and its W(CO)₄ complex [(*i*) + HAIR₂, -R₂AI-C=C-*t*Bu; (*ii*) + W(CO)₅(THF), -THF, -CO; $R = CH(SiMe_3)_2$].

stants of 12.6, 7.8 and 168.1 Hz. The latter is characteristic of a ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ coupling and indicates the presence of a P–P bond. The concentration of **14** increased continuously, while the resonances of the phosphaallene **3b** disappeared after two days.^[15a] The mixture of products with overlapping NMR signals did not allow the further characterization or unambiguous identification of **14**.

We, therefore, treated the reaction mixture with in situ generated W(CO)₅(THF)^[38] in order to favor crystallization and to isolate 14 by complexation (Scheme 6). Chromatographic work-up and crystallization of the oily residue from *n*-hexane afforded yellow crystals of 15 in an expectedly low yield of 17% based on diethynylphosphine. Crystal structure determination revealed a unique molecular structure with a six-membered P₂C₄ heterocycle and an exocyclic P–P bond to one of the P atoms of the heterocycle. A trimer of the starting phosphaallene is formed which represents a new type of secondary products. The P-P bond length is with 2.252(2) Å in the normal range (Figure 5).^[37] The central P₂C₄ ring adopts an envelope conformation with the sp²-hybridized C atoms C51, C52 and C6 and the P atoms P2 and P3 almost in a plane (maximum deviation 0.13 Å), the vinylic C atom C11 is 0.89 Å above the plane. The trimer coordinates to the W atom in a chelating manner via bonds to P1 and P3 [2.503(av) Å]. These P atoms have a distorted tetrahedral coordination sphere, while the atom P2 has a trigonal pyramidal surrounding. A benzene solution of the crystallized compound 15 showed three very similar sets of resonances in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum with an intensity ratio of 7:2:1 (see Experimental Part). The three P atoms have a chiral surrounding, and we assume that diastereomeric molecules are formed. Few data are discussed exclusively for the main component. The chemical shift of the P atom P2, which is not coordinated to W, is in the range of resonances observed for 14 ($\delta = -35.1$). In accordance with their increased coordination numbers, the signals of the other P atoms are



Figure 5. Molecular structure and numbering Scheme of the W complex **15**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% level. H atoms with exception of the vinylic ones and CO ligands are omitted. Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P1–W1 2.496(1), P3–W1 2.506(1), P1–P2 2.252(1), P2–C11 1.818(4), P3–C11 1.838(4), C51–C52 1.408(6), P1-C11-P3 113.0(2), C11-P2-C51 97.8(2), C11-P3-C6 104.1(2).

shifted to a lower field (δ = 52.6 and 12.1). The ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ coupling constant remains almost unchanged, but the ${}^{2}J_{PP}$ and ${}^{3}J_{PP}$ values increase to 20.9 and 26.9 Hz.

The mechanism for the unexpected formation of trimer 14 was studied in detail by quantum chemical DFT model calculations.^{[32]} On the basis of B3LYP/6-31G(d)^{[32b,c]} + GD3BJ^{[32d,e]} geometry optimizations TPSSTPSS/def2tzvp^[32f,g]+GD3BJ^[32d,e] calculations including the experimentally used solvent *n*-hexane (PCM^[32h]) were performed. Trimerisation proceeds also in the dark, and the reaction outcome seems not to be influenced by light. Thus, in accord with the reaction conditions (thermal reactions) only closed shell calculations were performed. Discussion of relative Gibbs free energies (ΔG_{298K}) is based on the PCM-n-hexane solvent sphere model (kcalmol⁻¹) with respect to the sum of two molecules of 1-phosphallene A and one molecule of B (activated form of A; Scheme 7, see also Supporting Information for details). In all model calculations the tBu group was replaced by a Me group. We used a retro-synthetic approach, starting from the final product K (the methyl analogue of 14) employing reaction path calculations (stepwise varying the interatomic distances of the respective atoms) to localize transition states and minima. Their interconnections were checked by IRC-calculations.

Initial calculations showed that reactions of neutral species led to high kinetic barriers. Thus, in accordance with the reaction conditions, electrophilic catalysis was considered using Lewis acidic AIMe₃ as a catalyst, which represents any electrophilic AI species present in the reaction mixture. Our suggested reaction mechanism starts with the highly reactive Lewis acid-base complex **B**, which reacted overall via several steps with two neutral phosphaallenes **A** to yield the trimeric compound **K**.

In the first step, we suggest an approach of the activated form **B** to the 1-phosphaallene **A** leading via transition state **C** and P-C bond formation to the P-C-P species **D**. **D** contains a

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002506



Scheme 7. Mechanism for the formation of **K** (methyl analogue of 14); [TPSSTPSS/def2tzvp+GD3BJ+PCM (*n*-hexane); $\Delta G_{_{298K}}$ in kcalmol⁻¹ with respect to the sum of 2**A**+**B**].

vinylidenephosphonium cation with cumulated P=C and C=C bonds and a highly reactive, electron rich central allene C atom (NBO charge: -0.3603). This C atom approaches the ortho-C atom of a P-phenyl group to form a six-membered P_2C_4 heterocycle F via transition state E (NBO-charge at the ortho-arene C atom: -0.2067) in an unusual electrocyclization reaction. After intra- or intermolecular proton shift the intermediate G is formed, which already has the central structural motif of the trimer with two annulated six-membered rings, the aromatic C_6 ring and the P_2C_4 heterocyle. The activating Lewis acid is coordinated by a P atom. Intermediate G reacts with a second 1-phosphaallene molecule **B**, which is activated by migration of AIMe₃. Interestingly, we were able to localize a stationary point for the van der Waals complex H, which is close in energy to the transition state I. Relaxation and P-P bond formation results in the intermediate diphosphine J in a highly exothermic reaction. Compound K, as the analogue of the experimentally obtained trimer 14, is finally formed after proton shift and release of AIMe₃. As impressively shown, the formation of the trimeric phosphaallene requires a complicated multistep process and proceeds via relatively low activation barriers (maximum 12.4 kcal mol⁻¹) which are in accordance with the mild reaction conditions. Overall, the trimeric formula unit (14) is thermodynamically highly favoured over the starting material (3 b), but its selective formation requires activation by a Lewis acid.

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Conclusions

3H-Phosphaallenes are highly functional molecules with Lewis basic P atoms, P=C and C=C double bonds and a C-H bond in β -position to phosphorus. They are known since the eighties of the last century, but their reativity was scarcely elucidated. Recently we found facile access to persistent and reactive species in a multigram scale, which allows systematic investigations into their fascinating chemical properties. In this contribution we report on two hydroboration reactions with the persistent compound Mes*-P=C=C(H)-tBu. The insertion of C=C bonds into two B-H bonds was observed for BH₃, while the electron-withdrawing C₆F₅ groups of H₂B-C₆F₅ gave hydroboration followed by coordination of a second phosphaallene molecule and generation of a BP2C2 heterocycle. The first compound represents a frustrated Lewis pair with the chelating arrangement of two P atoms and has intact P=C bonds, while in the second case an intermediate FLP with an activated Lewis acidic B atom favoured adduct formation. The B-P bond of the latter product is short, and its P atom has a planar environment. Its polarity should result in a nice reactivity as shown for a related molecule in the literature. Deprotonation of the C-H bond resulted in formation of a lithium alkynylphosphide with the Li atom coordinated to phosphorus and the P=C double bond replaced by a P–C single bond. The reactivity of the P=C bonds was demonstrated with the isolation of a trimeric secondary product of a sterically low shielded phosphaallene. It represents a nice addition to known decomposition products of phosphaallenes and results from P-P, P-C and C-C bond formation on a multistep pathway with low activation barriers, as shown by DFT calculations. Once more, these results confirm the exceptional and highly promising reactivity of phosphaallenes and their secondary products.

Experimental Section

General methods: All manipulations were carried out under purified argon, using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were distilled from drying agents and degassed (THF and toluene over sodium/benzophenone; n-pentane and n-hexane over LiAlH₄; pentafluorobenzene and dichloromethane over molecular sieves). NMR spectra were recorded in [D₆]benzene and C₆D₅-CD₃ at ambient probe temperature using the following Bruker instruments: Avance I (¹H, 400.13; ¹³C, 100.62; ³¹P, 161.98; ²⁹Si, 79.49; ¹¹B, 128.38; ⁷Li, 155.51; ¹⁵N, 40.55 MHz), Avance III (¹H, 400.03; ¹³C, 100.60; ³¹P, 161.93; $^{\rm 29}{\rm Si},~79.47~{\rm MHz})$ and referenced internally to residual solvent resonances (chemical shift data in δ). ¹³C, ³¹P, ⁷Li, ¹¹B and ¹⁵N NMR spectra were all proton-decoupled. The assignment of NMR spectra is based on HSQC, HMBC, DEPT135, DEPT19.5 and H,H-ROESY data. Elemental analyses were determined by the microanalytic laboratory of the Westfälische Wilhelms Universität Münster. IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Shimadzu Prestige 21

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988

www.chemeurj.org

15983



spectrometer, electron impact mass spectra on a Finnigan MAT 95 mass spectrometer, HRMS (ESI-TOF) on Orbitrap LTQXL. The phosphaallene **3** $\mathbf{a}^{[2]}$ and the borane H₂B-C₆F₅(SMe₂)^[28] were synthesized according to literature procedures. Improved procedures for the syntheses of Ph-P(C=C-tBu)₂ and H-AI[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂ are given below. BH₃(SMe₂), Ph-PCl₂, tBu₂PCl, solutions of *tert*-butyl- and *n*-butyllithium in *n*-pentane, TMEDA, Me₃SiCl and a solution of HCl in diethyl ether are commercially available and were used as purchased.

Ph-P(C=C-tBu)₂:^[39] A cooled (0 °C) solution of 3,3-dimethyl-1butyne (6.42 g, 78.3 mmol) in 100 mL of diethyl ether was treated with a solution of *n*-butyllithium in *n*-hexane (1.6 m, 48.9 mL, 78.3 mmol). The solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. The resulting solution was added to a cooled (0 °C) solution of Ph-PCl₂ (7.00 g. 39.1 mmol) in 20 mL of diethyl ether. The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. All volatiles were removed in vacuum. The residue was extracted with 100 mL of *n*-pentane. After filtration the solvent was removed in vacuum, and the remaining highly viscous liquid was distilled in vacuum (70 °C, 10⁻³ torr) (7.18 g, 68%). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = -60.7$.

H-Al[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂^[40] Al[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃^[41] (23.9 g, 47.4 mmol) was cooled with liquid N₂ and treated with AlH₃·1.49NMe₂Et (4.12 mL, 3.29 g, 23.7 mmol) without a solvent. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and heated to 120 °C for 2 h. The amine was removed in vacuum (10⁻³ torr) at 100 °C. The residue was treated with hot *n*-hexane. Filtration and cooling afforded colorless needles of the hydride (23.5 g, 96%).

Hydroboration of 3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene 3 a with BH₃·SMe₂; synthesis of 7: A solution of 3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene 3a (0.35 g, 0.98 mmol) in 10 mL of n-hexane was cooled to $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and treated with BH₃·SMe₂ (46 μ L, 0.037 g, 0.49 mmol). The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. All volatiles were removed in vacuum. The residue was recrystallized from 1,2difluorobenzene at 3 °C to obtain 7 as yellow crystals (0.36 g, 87%). The crystals enclosed one molecule of difluorobenzene per formula unit of **7**. M.p. 210 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene, 300 K): $\delta =$ 7.48 (s, 4H; m-H), 6.30 (s br., 1H; B-H), 2.08 (overlap, m, 4H; CH₂) 1.63 (s br., 36H; o-CMe₃), 1.36 (s, 18H; p-CMe₃), 0.96 ppm (s, 18H; CH₂-tBu); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, [D₈]toluene, 300 K): $\delta = 200.8$ (m br.; P=C), 154.6 (o-C Mes*), 149.8 (p-C Mes*), 141.2 (m br.; i-C Mes*), 121.4 (m-C Mes*), 53.3 (pseudo-t, ²J_{C,P}=⁴J_{C,P}=6.8 Hz; CH₂), 38.4 (br.; o-CMe₃), 35.0 (p-CMe₃), 34.0 (br.; o-CMe₃), 33.8 (CH₂-CMe₃), 31.6 (*p*-CMe₃), 31.1 ppm (CH₂-CMe₃); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, [D₈]toluene, 300 K): $\delta = 60.4$ ppm; ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene, 300 K): $\delta = 326.8 \text{ ppm}$; IR (KBr): $\bar{v} = 2957$ (vs.), 2901 (vs.), 2866 (vs.) v(CH)); 2509 (vw), 2413 (m) v(BH); 1778 (vw), 1751 (vw), 1616 (w), 1589 (m), 1508 (s) v(C=C), aryl; 1476 (s), 1464 (m), 1393 (s), 1362 (vs.), 1258 (vs.), 1240 (s) $\delta(\rm CH_3);$ 1202 (m), 1128 (w), 1090 (m), 1045 (m), 1024 (vw), 1001 (vw), 984 (vw), 930 (vw), 903 (w), 874 (m), 845 (m), 756 (s) v(CC), v(BC); 646 (vw), 596 (w) aryl; 569 (vw), 488 (vw), 471 (vw), 451 (vw) cm⁻¹ ν (PC), δ (CC); MS (EI⁺, 20 eV, 323 K): m/z (%): 731 (15) [M]⁺, 674 (21) [M-tBu]⁺, 617 (9) [M-2tBu]⁺, 485 (22) [M-Mes-H]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{48}H_{81}P_{2}B + C_{6}H_{4}F_{2}$: C 76.7, H 10.1; found: C 76.5, H 10.0.

Hydroboration of 3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene 3 a with H₂BC₆F₅·SMe₂; synthesis of 8: A cooled (-78 °C) solution of 3H-3tert-butylphosphaallene 3a (0.39 g, 1.09 mmol) in 10 mL of *n*hexane was treated with BH₂C₆F₅·SMe₂ (0.13 g, 0.54 mmol). The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. All volatiles were removed in vacuum. Compound 8 crystallized from a saturated solution in dichloromethane at 3 °C as yellow crystals (0.37 g, 70%). The crystals enclosed one molecule of CH₂Cl₂ per formula of 8. M.p. 137 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ =7.70 (overlap, dd, ⁴J_{H,P}=2.1 Hz, ⁴J_{H,H}=2.1 Hz, 1 H; *m*-H Mes* PB), 7.70 (overlap, dd, ${}^{4}J_{H,P} = 3.3 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J_{H,H} = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; m-\text{H} \text{ Mes}^{*} \text{ PC}_{2}), 7.64 \text{ (dd, } {}^{4}J_{H,P} = 3.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{$ 4.6 Hz, ⁴J_{H,H}=2.1 Hz, 1 H; *m*-H PC₂), 7.49 (dd, ⁴J_{H,P}=4.6 Hz, ⁴J_{H,H}= 2.1 Hz, 1 H; *m*-H Mes* PB), 6.08 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 50.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 12.6$ Hz, 1 H; C=CH), 2.85 (dd, ²J_{H,P}=25.4 Hz, ³J_{H,H}=5.3 Hz, 1 H; P-CH-B), 2.08 (ddd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 24.1 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{2}J_{H,H} = 14.8 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 5.3 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H; CH₂), 1.92 (s, 9H; o-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 1.91 (s, 9H; o-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 1.89 (overlap, 1H; CH₂), 1.80 (s, 9H; o-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 1.53 (s, 9H; o-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 1.31 (s, 9H; p-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 1.18 (s, 9H; p-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 0.93 (s, 9H; C=C-CMe₃), 0.45 ppm (s, 9H; CH₂-CMe₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, $C_6D_{6'}$ 300 K): $\delta = 161.8$ (dd, ${}^2J_{CP} = 35.8$ Hz, ${}^4J_{CP} = 2.3$ Hz; o-C Mes* PC₂), 158.0 (d, ²J_{C,P}=2.8 Hz; o-C Mes* PB), 157.6 (d, ²J_{C,P}= 6.2 Hz; o-C Mes* PC₂), 156.2 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 10.2$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 2.5$ Hz; o-C Mes* PB), 153.3 (d, ⁴J_{C,P}=3.4 Hz; *p*-C Mes* PB), 151.3 (d, ⁴J_{C,P}= 2.0 Hz; p-C Mes* PC₂), 142.2 (dd, ²J_{CP}=15.1 and 9.4 Hz; C=CH), 136.2 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 53.8$ and 27.8 Hz; C=CH), 131.2 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 60.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 5.8$ Hz; *i*-C Mes* PC₂), 127.3 (*m*-C Mes* PC₂), 126.0 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} =$ 10.8 Hz; m-C Mes* PB), 124.6 (d, ³J_{C,P} = 13.0 Hz; m-C Mes* PB), 123.1 (d, ³J_{CP}=13.4 Hz; *m*-C Mes* PC₂), 120.8 (d, ¹J_{CP}=39.9 Hz; *i*-C Mes* PB), 116 (s. br.; *i*-C C₆F₅), 50.2 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 9.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 39.7$ Hz, CH₂), 41.1 (o-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 40.6 (d, ³J_{C,P}=2.0 Hz; o-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 40.1 (d, ³J_{CP}=9.6 Hz; o-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 39.4 (d, ³J_{CP}=3.1 Hz, o-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 37.6 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{C,P}$ = 6.0 and 4.2 Hz, C=CH-CMe₃), 35.4 (o-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 35.1 (d, ⁵J_{C,P} = 1.0 Hz; p-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 34.9 (overlap; p-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 34.9 (overlap, d, ⁴J_{CP} = 13.2 Hz; o-CMe₃ Mes* PC₂), 34.6 (overlap; o-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 34.5 (overlap; o-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 32.0 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 3.4 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.3 \text{ Hz}$; CH₂-CMe₃), 31.2 (*p*-CMe₃) Mes* PC₂), 31.0 (p-CMe₃ Mes* PB), 30.8 (br., overlap; P-CH-B), 30.3 $(C=CH-CMe_2)$, 29.0 ppm (CH_2-CMe_2) ; with the exception of *i*-C the resonances of the C_6F_5 group are poorly resolved and could not be identified and assigned unambiguously; ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128 MHz, C_6D_{67} 300 K): $\delta = 53.2$ ppm; ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (376 MHz, C_6D_{67} 300 K): $\delta =$ -127.2 (dd, $J_{\text{FF}} = 23$ and 10 Hz, 1F; o-F), -128.9 (dd, $J_{\text{FF}} = 24$ and 8 Hz, 1F; o-F), -154.9 (td, J_{FF}=20 and 4 Hz, 1F; p-F), -162.1 (ddd, $J_{\rm EF} = 25$, 20 and 9 Hz, 1F; *m*-F), -163.7 ppm (ddd, $J_{\rm EF} = 24$, 20 and 9 Hz, 1F; *m*-F); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ=30.3 (br.; B-*P*), 25.3 ppm (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 89.2 \text{ Hz}$; *P*C₂); IR (KBr): $\bar{v} = 2957$ (vs.), 2905 (vs.), 2868 (vs.) v(CH); 1643 (m), 1597 (s), 1514 (vs.) v(C=C), aryl; 1474 (vs.), 1393 (vs.), 1362 (vs.), 1298 (m), 1279 (vw), 1234 (s) δ (CH₃); 1211 (s), 1194 (m), 1136 (s), 1123 (s), 1061 (w), 1013 (m), 974 (vs.), 901 (vw), 880 (m), 820 (w), 770 (m), 741 (s), 704 (vw) $\nu(\text{CC}),$ v(CF), v(CB); 665 (w), 600 (w) aryl; 581 (vw), 525 (vw), 503 (vw), 484 (vw), 447 (vw) cm⁻¹ ν (PC), ν (BP), δ (CC); MS (EI⁺, 20 eV, 353 K): m/z(%)=897 (25) [M]⁺, 651 (100) [M-Mes^{*}-H]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{54}H_{80}P_2BF_5 + CH_2CI_2$: C 67.3, H 8.4; found: C 67.6, H 8.5.

Deprotonation of 3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene 3a, synthesis of 9: A solution of 3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene 3a (0.10 g, 0.28 mmol) in 5 mL of *n*-hexane was cooled to -78 °C and treated with a solution of tert-butyllithium (147 μL, 1.9 м, 0.28 mmol) in npentane. The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. Alternatively *n*-butyllithium may be used, but requires warming to 75 °C. The intermediate lithium compound 9a was isolated as a yellow highly viscous liquid, which could not be crystallized from different solvents. It showed broad resonances in the NMR spectra, which did not allow the complete assignment. Selected NMR data: ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 117.1 (P-C=C), 97.7 ppm (P- $C \equiv C$; ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = -136.0$ ppm. *N*,*N*,*N'*,*N'*-Tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) (42 μL, 0.28 mmol) was, therefore, added to the reaction mixture. The solution was concentrated and cooled to 3°C to obtain yellow crystals of 9b (0.11 g, 82%). M.p. 137 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 300 K): $\delta = 7.34$ (d, ⁴J_{H,P} = 1.7 Hz, 2 H; *m*-H), 2.01 (s, 18 H; o-CMe₃), 1.71 (s, 12 H;

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988



NMe₂), 1.67 (s, 4H; N-C₂H₄-N), 1.41 (s, 9H; p-CMe₃), 1.26 ppm (s, 9H; C=C-tBu); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 152.3 (d, ²J_{CP} = 6.3 Hz; o-C Mes*), 146.2 (d, ¹J_{C,P}=79.1 Hz; i-C Mes*), 142.0 (s; p-C Mes*), 121.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.8$ Hz; *m*-C Mes*), 114.1 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 8.8$ Hz; C= C-tBu), 111.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,P} = 89.1$ Hz; C=C-tBu), 56.6 (*N*-C₂H₄-N), 45.8 (NMe₂), 39.2 (d, ³J_{C,P}=1.1 Hz; o-CMe₃), 34.4 (p-CMe₃), 33.8 (d, ⁴J_{C,P}= 11.3 Hz; o-CMe₃), 32.7 (d, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 2.6$ Hz; C=C-CMe₃), 32.0 (p-CMe₃), 31.3 ppm (d, ³J_{CP}=2.2 Hz; C=C-CMe₃); ⁷Li{¹H} NMR (105 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = 0.98$ ppm; ¹⁵N{¹H} HMBC-NMR (41 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta =$ 19 ppm; ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, MHz, 300 K): $\delta =$ -104.9 ppm; IR (KBr): v=2970 (vs.), 2947 (vs.), 2901 (vs.), 2864 (vs.), 2793 (s) v(CH); 1994 (s) v(C=C); 1722 (vw), 1653 (vw), 1589 (m), 1528 (w) v(C=C), aryl; 1464 (vs.), 1391 (s), 1360 (vs.), 1285 (s), 1240 (vs.) δ (CH₃); 1198 (s), 1179 (m), 1157 (m), 1128 (w), 1098 (vw), 1078 (vw), 1063 (w), 1034 (s), 1020 (m), 947 (m), 930 (w), 901 (vw), 878 (m), 808 (vw), 791 (w), 770 (w), 743 (m) v(CC), v(CN); 648 (vw), 623 (w), 596 (w) aryl; 544 (w), 482 (m), 438 (w) cm $^{-1}$ $\nu(\text{PC}),$ $\delta(\text{CC});$ MS (El⁺, 20 eV, 298 K): *m/z* (%) = 357 (14) [M-Li(TMEDA)]⁺; elemental analysis calcd for C₃₀H₅₄PLiN₂: C 74.9, H 11.3, N 5.8; found: C 74.5, H 11.2, N 5.4.

Reaction of 9a with hydrogen chloride; synthesis of 10: ^[2,7a] A solution of 3H-3-*tert*-butylphosphaallen **3a** (0.10 g, 0.28 mmol) in 5 mL of *n*-hexane was treated at -78 °C with a solution of *tert*-butyllithium (147 µL, 1.9 м, 0.28 mmol) in *n*-pentane. The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. After cooling to -78 °C, a solution of HCl in diethyl ether (280 µL, 1 м, 0.28 mmol) was added. The mixture was warmed to room temperature. All volatiles were removed in vacuum. The solid residue was treated with 10 mL of *n*-pentane. After filtration and removal of all volatiles from the filtrate compound **10**^[2] was obtained as a colorless oil (0.092 g, 92%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 7.60 (d, ³J_{H,P} = 2.5 Hz, 2H; *m*-H), 5.94 (d, ¹J_{H,P} = 245.0 Hz, 1H; P-H), 1.75 (s, 18 H; *o*-CMe₃), 1.23 (s, 9H; *p*-CMe₃), 1.02 ppm (s, 9H; C=C-CMe₃); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = -102.1 ppm.

Reaction of 9a with chlorotrimethylsilane, synthesis of 11: A solution of 3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene 3a (0.39 g, 1.09 mmol) in 10 mL of *n*-hexane was cooled to -78 °C and treated with a solution of *tert*-butyllithium in *n*-pentane (570 µL, 1.9 м, 1.09 mmol). The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. Chlorotrimethylsilane (0.12 g, 137 µL, 1.09 mmol) was added to the cooled (-78 °C) solution. Warming to room temperature, filtration and evaporation afforded a yellowish oil of 11 (0.33 g, 70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = 7.49$ (d, ⁴J_{HP} = 2.7 Hz, 2 H; m-H), 1.76 (s, 18H; o-CMe₃), 1.30 (s, 9H; p-CMe₃), 1.22 (s, 9H; C=C-tBu), 0.06 ppm (d, ²J_{HP} = 5.5 Hz, 9H; TMS); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = 157.1$ (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 12.8$ Hz; o-C Mes*), 149.2 (d, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} =$ 2.7 Hz; p-C Mes*), 129.7 (d, ¹J_{C,P}=39.2 Hz; *i*-C Mes*), 122.1 (d, ³J_{C,P}= 8.5 Hz; *m*-C Mes*), 118.0 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 4.4$ Hz; C=C-tBu), 76.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,P} = 23.5$ Hz; C=C-tBu), 39.0 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 4.5$ Hz; *o*-CMe₃), 34.9 (d, ${}^{5}J_{C,P} = 4.5$ Hz; *o*-CMe₃), 34.9 (d, {}^ 0.9 Hz; p-CMe₃), 34.4 (d, ⁴J_{C,P}=7.4 Hz; o-CMe₃), 31.4 (p-CMe₃), 31.0 (d, ${}^{4}J_{CP} = 1.7 \text{ Hz}$; C=C-CMe₃), 29.4 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 1.6 \text{ Hz}$; C=C-CMe₃), -0.1 ppm (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 15.4 \text{ Hz}$; TMS); ${}^{29}\text{Si}\{{}^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (79 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$, 300 K): $\delta = 8.5$ ppm (d, ${}^{1}J_{PSi} = 15.2$ Hz); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = -109.8$ ppm; MS (El⁺, 20 eV, 303 K): m/z (%)=430 (77) [M]⁺, 357 (32) [M-TMS]⁺.

Reaction of 9 a with di-*tert***-butylchlorophosphine; synthesis of 12**: A solution of 3H-3-*tert*-butylphosphaallen **3 a** (0.39 g, 1.09 mmol) in 10 mL of *n*-hexane was cooled to -78 °C and treated with a solution of *tert*-butyllithium in *n*-pentane (570 µL, 1.9 м, 1.09 mmol. The mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight. After cooling to -78 °C, di-*tert*-butylchlorophosphine (0.20 g, 205 µL, 1.11 mmol) was added. Warming to room temperature, filtration, removal of the solvents in vacuum and recrystallization of the residue from a saturated solution in prentafluorobenzene afforded colorless crystals of 12 at -30 °C (0.48 g, 88%). M.p. 137 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 7.50 (s br., 2 H; *m*-H), 1.90 (s br., 18H; o-CMe₃), 1.53 (d, ³J_{H,P}=10.5 Hz, 9H; P-CMe₃), 1.28 (s, 9H; p-CMe₃), 1.23 (s, 9H; C=C-tBu), 0.83 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,P}$ = 10.3 Hz, 9H; P-CMe₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 159.6 (br.; o-C Mes*), 150.5 (d, ⁴J_{C,P}=2.5 Hz; p-C Mes*), 128.8 (dd, ¹J_{C,P}=48.5 Hz, ²J_{CP}=13.3 Hz; *i*-C Mes*), 125.9 (br.; *m*-C Mes*), 122.9 (br.; *m*-C Mes*), 116.5 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 2.7 \text{ Hz}$; C=C-tBu), 81.4 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{C,P} = 29.1 \text{ Hz}$, $^{2}J_{CP} = 6.5$ Hz; C=C-tBu), 41.9 and 40.1 (br.; o-CMe₃), 35.3 (dd, $^{1}J_{CP} =$ 35.1 Hz, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 4.7$ Hz; P-CMe₃), 35.1 (br.; o-CMe₃), 34.7 (d, ${}^{5}J_{CP} =$ 0.9 Hz; p-CMe₃), 34.3 (br.; o-CMe₃), 33.6 (dd, ¹J_{C,P}=33.8 Hz, ²J_{C,P}= 22.8 Hz; P-CMe₃), 31.5 (dd, ²J_{C,P}=4.1 Hz, ³J_{C,P}=13.0 Hz; P-CMe₃),31.3 $(p-CMe_3)$, 30.5 (d, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 1.8$ Hz; C=C-CMe₃), 30.0 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 5.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 13.8 \text{ Hz}; P-CMe_{3}), 29.2 \text{ ppm} (d, {}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.6 \text{ Hz}; C=C-CMe_{3});$ $^{31}P{^{1}H}$ NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = 42.5$ (d, $^{1}J_{P,P} = 190.5$ Hz; $PtBu_2$), -65.0 ppm [d, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 190.5 \text{ Hz}$, P(Mes*)(ethynyl)]; IR (KBr): 2970 (vs.), 2949 (vs.), 2899 (vs.), 2860 (vs.), 2706 (vw) v(CH); 2149 (w) v(C≡C); 1707 (w), 1690 (vw), 1653 (vw), 1589 (s), 1530 (m), 1514 (w) v(C=C), aryl; 1476 (vs.), 1460 (s), 1391 (s), 1362 (vs.), 1310 (w), 1254 (vs.), 1238 (sh) δ (CH₃); 1198 (m), 1175 (s), 1123 (m), 1070 (vw), 1018 (w), 984 (m), 939 (w), 926 (w), 895 (w), 872 (m), 806 (w), 770 (m), 752 (w), 716 (vw) v(CC); 648 (w), 596 (m) aryl; 561 (w), 519 (w), 471 (vw), 453 (vw) cm⁻¹ ν (PC), δ (CC); MS (EI⁺, 20 eV, 313 K): m/z(%) = 502 (18) [M]⁺, 445 (16) [M-*t*Bu]⁺, 389 (61) [M-*t*Bu-butene]⁺, 357 (69) [M-PtBu₂]⁺; elemental analysis calcd for C₃₂H₅₆P₂: C 76.4, H 11.2; found: C 76.0; H 10.8.

Trimer of phenyl-3H-3-tert-butylphosphaallene (14): Phenyldi-(*tert*-butylethinyl)phosphine **1a** (0.64 g, 2.37 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of *n*-hexane and treated with a solution of H-Al[CH(-SiMe₃)₂]₂ (0.82 g, 2.37 mmol) in 45 mL of *n*-hexane. The mixture was stirred for 4 d at room temperature. Several compounds were detected in its ³¹P NMR spectrum: the phosphaallene trapping product **13**⁽¹⁵⁾ (δ =-10.3 and -95.0 ppm), the intermediate FLP **2** [R=Ph, R'=tBu, R''=CH(SiMe₃)₂] shown in Scheme 1 (δ = -43.3 ppm)^(15a) and the starting dialkynylphosphine **1a** (δ = -60.8 ppm).^[39] A signal at δ =-59.6 ppm could not be assigned. The trimer **14** of the phosphaallene **3b** was identified by the characteristic coupling pattern in the ³¹P NMR spectrum: ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ =-17.6 (J_{PP} =12.6 and 7.8 Hz; P3), -25.1 (¹ J_{PP} =168.1 Hz, $J_{P,P}$ =7.8 Hz), -43.3 ppm (¹ $J_{P,P}$ =168.1 Hz, $J_{P,P}$ = 12.6 Hz).

W(CO)₄ complex (15) of the phosphaallene trimer: A solution of impure 14 in *n*-hexane was synthesized as described in the previous section. It was treated with a solution W(CO)₅(THF) which was in situ generated by irradiation of W(CO)₆ (1.11 g, 3.15 mmol) in 40 mL of THF. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. All volatiles were removed in vacuum, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, eluent: *n*-hexane/ EtOAc). The water content of the usually employed silica gel is sufficient to completely destroy the organoaluminum by-products by hydrolysis. A yellow oil of compound 15 remained after removal of the solvents. Pure 15 was obtained as yellow crystals by crystallization from a saturated solution in *n*-hexane at -45 °C (0.35 g, 17%). M.p. 172°C. Three very similar sets of resonances were observed in the NMR spectra, which probably result from diastereomers of 15. The ratio of the isomers is 7:2:1. Only the NMR spectra of the main isomer could be assigned completely, the remaining two isomers were identified by their characteristic ³¹P{¹H} NMR data. Assignment is based on the numbering Scheme of the atoms in the molecular structure (Figure 5): Phenyl group at P1: C41 to C46; phenyl group at P3: C91 to C96, hindered rotation results in six ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR signals; bridging aryl group: C51 to C56 with C51 and

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988



C52 in the P_2C_4 ring; vinyl group at P1: C1-C2-C3-C31/C32/C33; vinyl group bridging P2 and P3: C11 to C133; vinyl group bridging P3 and C52: C6 to C83. Main diastereomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 300 K): $\delta = 8.65$ (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 12.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.4$ Hz, 1 H; C92-H), 8.33 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 11.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H; C42/C46-H), 7.71 (ddd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 16.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.3$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{H,H} = 1.6$ Hz, 1 H; C56-H), 7.56 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.3$ Hz, 1H; C53-H), 7.48 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 8.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.8$ Hz, 1H; C96-H), 7.21 (overlap, 1H; C93-H), 7.20 (overlap, 3H; C43/C45-H and C94-H), 7.19 (overlap, 1H; C54-H), 7.11 (ddd, ⁴J_{H,P}=1.4 Hz, ⁵J_{H,P}=1.4 Hz, ³J_{H,H}=7.3 Hz, 1H; C55-*H*), 7.06 (overlap, 2H; C44-*H* and C95-H), 6.51 (d, ³J_{H,P}=32.1 Hz, 1 H; C7-H), 5.55 (overlap, dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 30.9 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J_{H,P} = 4.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{ C2-}H), 5.53 (overlap, dd, {}^{2}J_{H,P} =$ 20 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 15$ Hz, 1 H; C1-H), 5.48 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 30.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} =$ 18.0 Hz, 1H; C12-H), 1.17 (s, 9H; C8-tBu), 0.88 (s, 9H; C3-tBu), 0.72 ppm (s, 9H; C13-tBu); $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$, 300 K): $\delta=$ 209.8 (dd, ²J_{CP}=26.3 Hz, ²J_{CP}=5.6 Hz; CO), 207.0 (dd, ²J_{CP}=27.8 Hz, $^{2}J_{C,P} = 6.6$ Hz; CO), 203.6 (dd, $^{2}J_{C,P} = 6.8$ Hz, $^{2}J_{C,P} = 3.5$ Hz; CO), 202.4 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 8.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 7.2$ Hz; CO), 158.7 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 11.8$ Hz; C7), 158.2 (ddd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 22.3$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 10.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 3.5$ Hz; C12), 157.1 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 2.5 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.8 \text{ Hz}$; C2), 148.2 (ddd, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 4.2 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{2}J_{C,P$ 1.5 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.5$ Hz; C52), 138.9 (dd, $J_{C,P} = 59.0$ and 4.5 Hz; C56), 138.8 (ddd, ${}^{1}J_{C,P}$ =30.7 Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,P}$ =23.7 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{C,P}$ =3.9 Hz; C41), 138.3 (overlap, dd, ¹J_{C,P} = 14 Hz, ¹J_{C,P} = 12 Hz; C11), 137.0 (d, ²J_{C,P} = 22.3 Hz; C92), 136.1 (ddd, ${}^{1}J_{C,P} = 29.5 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 8.0 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 1.5 \text{ Hz}$; C91), 135.7 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 7.3 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 12.8 \text{ Hz}$; C42), 133.3 (ddd, ${}^{1}J_{CP} =$ 27.7 Hz, ²J_{CP}=4.0 Hz, ²J_{CP}=4.0 Hz; C51), 132.5 (C96), 131.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 24.6 \text{ Hz}$; C6), 131.4 (overlap; C54 and C94), 131.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} =$ 2.3 Hz; C95), 130.5 (d, ${}^{4}J_{C,P}$ = 2.4 Hz; C44), 129.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P}$ = 13.1 Hz; C93), 129.2 (C53), 128.8 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 10.4 \text{ Hz}$; C43), 127.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} =$ 18.0 Hz; C55), 116.9 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 28.0$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 6.5$ Hz; C1), 37.4 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 1.7$ Hz; C8), 35.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 2.5$ Hz; C3), 35.3 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 9.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 1.3$ Hz; C13), 31.6 (dd, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 9.5$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 0.9$ Hz; C131-C133), 31.1 (C81-C83), 29.9 ppm (C31-C33); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta =$ 52.6 (dd, ${}^2J_{pp} =$ 26.9 Hz, ${}^3J_{pp} =$ 20.9 Hz, $^{1}J_{P,W} = 241.3$ Hz; P3), 12.1 (dd, $^{1}J_{P,P} = 161.8$ Hz, $^{3}J_{P,P} = 20.9$ Hz, $^{1}J_{P,W} = 161.8$ Hz, $^{3}J_{P,P} = 20.9$ Hz, $^{3}J_{P,W} = 161.8$ Hz, $^{3}J_{P,W} = 161.8$ 223.1 Hz; P1), -35.1 ppm (dd, ${}^{1}J_{p,p} = 161.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{p,p} = 26.9$ Hz; P2). Second diastereomer: $^{31}\text{P}\{^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (162 MHz, C_6D_6, 300 K): $\delta\!=\!51.4$ (dd, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 27.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7(dd, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7(dd, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7(dd, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 17.7(dd, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 14.8$ Hz; P3), 18.8 14.8 Hz; P1), -44.7 ppm (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 138.2$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 27.0$ Hz; P2). Less abundant diastereomer: ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): $\delta = 65.3$ (dd, ${}^{2}J_{pp} = 26.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{pp} = 26.6$ Hz; P3), 16.2 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{pp} =$ 163.6 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{P,P} = 26.6$ Hz; P1), -25.3 ppm (dd, ${}^{1}J_{P,P} = 163.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} =$ 26.8 Hz; P2). IR (KBr) v=2961 (w), 2930 (vw), 2903 (vw), 2866 (vw) v(CH); 2068 (s), 2016 (w), 1977 (m), 1925 (vs.), 1869 (s) v(CO); 1634 (vw), 1589 (vw), 1557 (vw) v(C=C), aryl; 1474 (vw), 1460 (vw), 1435 (vw), 1362 (vw), 1250 (vw) δ (CH); 1196 (vw), 1090 (vw), 941 (vw), 891 (vw), 872 (vw), 781 (vw), 758 (vw), 745 (w), 725 (vw) v(CC); 694 (vw), 644 (vw), 627 (vw) aryl; 596 (m), 577 (m), 538 (w), 482 (vw) cm⁻¹ ν (PC), δ (CC); MS (El⁺, 20 eV, 453 K): m/z (%) = 866 (71) [M]⁺, 838 (100) [M-CO]⁺, 810 (45) [M-2CO]⁺, 782 (72) [M-3CO]⁺, 754 (88) $[M-4CO]^+$; HRMS (ESI-ORBITRAP): m/z: calcd for $C_{40}H_{46}O_4P_3W + H$: 867.2120; found 867.2008; elemental analysis gave the correct hydrogen content, the carbon content was always found too low.

X-ray crystallography: Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by crystallisation from 1,2-difluorobenzene (3 °C; **7**), dichloromethane (3 °C; **8**), the reaction mixture (3 °C; **9**b), pentafluorobenzene (-30 °C; **12**) or *n*-hexane (-45 °C; **15**). Intensity data was collected on Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation. The collection method involved ω -scans. Data reduction was carried out using the program *SAINT* +.^[42] The crystal structures were solved by direct methods using *SHELXTL*.^[43] Non-hydrogen atoms were first refined isotropically followed by anisotropic refinement by full matrix least-squares calculation based on F^2 using SHELXTL.^[43] H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms. A tBu group of **7**, **9b** and **15** and two tBu groups of **8** were disordered; the respective atoms were refined on split positions. The crystals of **8** enclosed a molecule of CH₂Cl₂ per formula unit, which was disordered over three positions (0.22:0.49:0.29). **12** crystallized with two independent moleculecules in the unit cell.

Deposition numbers 2001342, 2001344, 2001343, 2001341, and 2001340 (**7**, **8**, **9 b**, **12**, and **15**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Herbert Mayr (LMU München) for very helpful discussions. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: frustrated Lewis pairs \cdot hydroboration \cdot lithium phosphides \cdot phosphaallenes \cdot trimerisation

- Reviews: a) J. Escudié, H. Ranaivonjatovo, L. Rigon, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3639–3696; b) J. Escudié, H. Ranaivonjatovo, Organometallics 2007, 26, 1542–1559; c) M. Yoshifuji, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1997, 70, 2881–2893.
- [2] H. Klöcker, J. C. Tendyck, L. Keweloh, A. Hepp, W. Uhl, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 4793–4807; cited references.
- [3] M. Yoshifuji, K. Toyota, K. Shibayama, N. Inamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 1809–1812.
- [4] M. Yoshifuji, K. Toyota, K. Shibayama, T. Hashida, N. Inamoto, *Phosphorus Sulfur* 1987, 30, 527–530.
- [5] R. Appel, P. Fölling, B. Josten, M. Siray, V. Winkhaus, F. Knoch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 619–620; Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 620– 621.
- [6] R. Appel, V. Winkhaus, F. Knoch, Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 2466-2472.
- [7] a) G. Märkl, S. Reitinger, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 463–466; further examples: b) A. I. Arkhypchuk, Y. V. Svyaschenko, A. Orthaber, S. Ott, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6484–6487; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 6612–6615; c) G. Märkl, U. Herold, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2935–2938; d) S. Ito, S. Sekiguchi, M. Yoshifuji, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 4838–4841; e) G. Märkl, P. Kreitmeier, H. Nöth, K. Polborn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 927–929; *Angew. Chem.* **1990**, *102*, 958–960.
- [8] G. Märkl, P. Kreitmeier, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 963–965; Angew. Chem. 1988, 100, 1411–1412.
- [9] a) M. Yoshifuji, K. Toyota, T. Sato, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu, *Heteroat. Chem.* 1990, 1, 339–342; b) R. Appel, F. Knoch, V. Winkhaus, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1986, 307, 93–95; c) M.-A. David, J. B. Alexander, D. S. Glueck, G. P. A. Yap, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics* 1997, 16, 378–383; d) M.-A. David, S. N. Paisner, D. S. Glueck, *Organometallics* 1995, 14, 17–19; phosphaallene generated at the metal atom: e) L. Weber, G. Noveski, T. Braun, H.-G. Stammler, B. Neumann, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 562–567.
- [10] a) S. Ito, S. Sekiguchi, M. Freytag, M. Yoshifuji, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2005, 78, 1142–1144; see also: b) R. Appel, V. Winkhaus, F. Knoch, Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 243–245.
- [11] a) S. Ito, M. Freytag, M. Yoshifuji, *Dalton Trans.* 2006, 710-713; b) S. Ito, S. Hashino, N. Morita, M. Yoshifuji, D. Hirose, M. Takahashi, Y. Kawazoe, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 10246-10252; c) A. Nakamura, K. Toyota, M.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988

www.chemeurj.org

15986



Yoshifuji, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 5223–5228; d) S. Ito, S. Sekiguchi, M. Yoshifuji, *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 4181–4184.

- [12] a) M. Hafner, T. Wegemann, M. Regitz, *Synthesis* 1993, 1247–1252; b) J.-C. Guillemin, T. Janati, J.-M. Denis, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1992, 415–416; c) J.-C. Guillemin, T. Janati, J.-M. Denis, P. Guernot, P. Savignac, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, *35*, 245–248; d) M. Yoshifuji, K. Toyota, H. Yoshimura, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* 1993, *76*, 67–70; e) K. Hirotsu, A. Okamoto, K. Toyota, M. Yoshifuji, *Heteroat. Chem.* 1990, *1*, 251–254; f) M. Yoshifuji, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Rel. Elem.* 1993, *74*, 373–379; g) M. Yoshifuji, K. Toyota, H. Yoshimura, K. Hirotsu, A. Okamoto, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1991, 124–125.
- [13] a) M. Yoshifuji, K. Toyota, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu, T. Higuchi, S. Nagase, Phosphorus Sulfur 1985, 25, 237–243; b) M. T. Nguyen, A. F. Hegarty, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1985, 1999–2004.
- [14] J. C. Tendyck, H. Klöcker, L. Schürmann, E.-U. Würthwein, A. Hepp, M. Layh, W. Uhl, J. Org. Chem. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.9b03056.
- [15] a) H. Klöcker, S. Roters, A. Hepp, W. Uhl, *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 12670–12679; see also: b) H. Klöcker, M. Layh, A. Hepp, W. Uhl, *Dalton Trans.* 2016, 45, 2031–2043; c) H. Westenberg, J. C. Slootweg, A. Hepp, J. Kösters, S. Roters, A. W. Ehlers, K. Lammertsma, W. Uhl, *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 1323–1330.
- [16] G. Zweifel, H. C. Brown, Hydration of Olefins, Dienes and Acetylenes via Hydroboration, in Organic reactions, Wiley Online Library, Hoboken, USA, 2011, p. 1–54.
- [17] Hydroboration of allenes: a) D. S. Sethi, G. C. Joshi, D. Devaprabhakara, *Can. J. Chem.* **1969**, *47*, 1083–1086; b) W. R. Moore, H. W. Anderson, S. D. Clark, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 835–844; c) D. Devaprabhakara, P. D. Gardner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 1458–1460.
- [18] M. Yoshifuji, H. Takahashi, K. Toyota, Heteroat. Chem. 1999, 10, 187-196.
- [19] a) A. S. Ionkin, S. N. Ignateva, V. M. Nekhoroshkov, J. J. Efremov, B. A. Arbuzov, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1990**, *53*, 1–5; b) L. V. Ermolaeva, A. S. Ionkin, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) **1992**, *276*, 25–33.
- [20] a) A. S. Ionkin, S. N. Ignat'eva, B. A. Arbuzov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Khim.* **1990**, *6*, 1452–1453 (English translation: *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **1990**, *39*, 1315); b) P. Binger, F. Sandmeyer, C. Krüger, J. Kuhnigk, R. Goddard, G. Erker, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 197–198; *Angew. Chem.* **1994**, *106*, 213–215; c) L. E. Longobardi, T. C. Johnstone, R. L. Falconer, C. A. Russell, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 12665–12669.
- [21] a) G. Becker, O. Mundt, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1978, 443, 53–69; b) G. Becker, G. Gresser, W. Uhl, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1980, 463, 144–148; c) R. Appel, F. Knoll, I. Ruppert, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 731–744; Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 771–784; d) L. N. Markovski, V. D. Romanenko, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 6019–6090.
- [22] F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, L. Brammer, A. G. Orpen, R. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1987, S1-S19.
- [23] a) K. Issleib, H. Schmidt, C. Wirkner, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1981, 473, 85–90; b) R. Appel, J. Peters, A. Westerhaus, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4957–4960; c) R. Appel, U. Kündgen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 219–220; Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 227–227; d) S. Aldridge, C. Jones, P. C. Junk, A. F. Richards, M. Waugh, J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 665, 127–134; e) F. Lavigne, E. Maerten, G. Alcarez, N. Saffon-Merceron, C. Acosta-Silva, V. Branchadell, A. Baceiredo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8864–8865; f) F. Lavigne, E. Maerten, G. Alcaraz, N. Saffon-Merceron, A. Baceiredo, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 297–303; g) B. Breit, M. Regitz, Chem. Ber. 1996, 129, 489–494.
- [24] a) R. A. Bartlett, H. V. R. Dias, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, K. J. Weese, Organometallics 1990, 9, 146–150; b) T. Murosaki, S. Kaneda, R. Maruhashi, K. Sadamori, Y. Shoji, K. Tamao, D. Hashizume, N. Hayakawa, T. Matsuo, Organometallics 2016, 35, 3397–3405.
- [25] a) Frustrated Lewis Pairs, Vol. I and II, Topics in Current Chemistry (Eds.: G. Erker, D. W. Stephan), Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; b) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46–76; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 50–81; c) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6400–6441; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 6498–6541; d) W. Uhl, E.-U. Würthwein, Frustrated Lewis Pairs, Vol. I and II, Topics in Current Chemistry (Eds.: G. Erker, D. W. Stephan), Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2013, Vol. 334, 101–119; e) M. Layh, W. Uhl, G. Bouhadir, D. Bourissou, PATAI's Chemistry of Functional Groups—The Chemistry of Organoaluminium Compounds (Ed.: I. Marek), Wiley, Chichester, 2017, p. 379–423.

- [26] J. Backs, M. Lange, J. Possart, A. Wollschläger, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld, W. Uhl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3094–3097; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 3140–3143.
- [27] J. Possart, W. Uhl, Organometallics 2018, 37, 1314-1323.
- [28] A.-M. Fuller, D. L. Hughes, S. J. Lancaster, C. M. White, Organometallics 2010, 29, 2194–2197.
- [29] a) J. H. Barnard, P. A. Brown, K. L. Shuford, C. D. Martin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12083–12086; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12251– 12254; b) S. J. Geier, T. M. Gilbert, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12632–12633; c) S. J. Geier, T. M. Gilbert, D. W. Stephan, Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 336–344; d) D. C. Pestana, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8426–8437.
- [30] W. Uhl, C. Appelt, J. Backs, H. Westenberg, A. Wollschläger, J. Tannert, Organometallics 2014, 33, 1212–1217.
- [31] Few recent examples: a) K. Izod, P. Evans, P. G. Waddell, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, 46, 13824–13834; b) K. Izod, J. Stewart, E. R. Clark, W. Clegg, R. W. Harrington, *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, 49, 4698–4707.
- [32] a) Gaussian 16, Revision B.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016; b) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652; c) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789; d) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456-1465; e) S. Grimme, A. Hansen, J. G. Brandenburg, C. Bannwarth, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 5105-5154; f) J. M. Tao, J. P. Perdew, V. N. Staroverov, G. E. Scuseria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 91, 146401; g) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297-3305; h) J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2999-3093.
- [33] a) C. Appelt, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, W. Uhl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5911–5914; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 6013–6016; b) W. Weng, L. Yang, B. M. Foxman, O. V. Ozerov, Organometallics 2004, 23, 4700–4705; c) M. Winkler, M. Lutz, G. Müller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2279–2281; Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 2372–2374.
- [34] a) J. Langer, K. Wimmer, H. Görls, M. Westerhausen, Dalton Trans. 2009, 2951–2957; b) A. Pape, M. Lutz, G. Müller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2281–2284; Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 2375–2377.
- [35] Few examples: a) T. Kottke, D. Stalke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 580–582; Angew. Chem. 1993, 105, 619–621; b) R. J. Wehmschulte, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2847–2852; c) W. Uhl, D. Kovert, M. Layh, A. Hepp, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 13553–13561; d) Z. Rappoport, I- Marek, The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds, Wiley, Chichester, 2004.
- [36] a) D. L. Dodds, M. F. Haddow, A. G. Orpen, P. G. Pringle, G. Woodward, Organometallics 2006, 25, 5937–5945; b) S. Aime, R. K. Harris, E. M. McVicker, M. Fild, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1974, 426–427.
- [37] Selected examples: a) C. J. Harlan, R. A. Jones, S. U. Koschmieder, C. M. Nunn, *Polyhedron* **1990**, *9*, 669–679; b) S. Wang, K. Samedov, S. C. Serin, D. P. Gates, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, 4144–4151; c) Z. Hu, Z. Li, K. Zhao, R. Tian, Z. Duan, F. Mathey, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 3518–3520; d) A. Dashti-Mommertz, B. Neumüller, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1999**, *625*, 954–960.
- [38] a) W. Strohmeier, K. Gerlach, Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 398–406; b) F.E. Hahn, M. Tamm, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 456, C11–C14.
- [39] J. Skolimowski, R. Skowronski, M. Simalty, Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 1984, 19, 159–166.
- [40] a) W. Uhl, L. Cuypers, R. Graupner, J. Molter, A. Vester, B. Neumüller, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 607–614; b) W. Uhl, M. Matar, Z. Naturforsch. B 2004, 59, 1214–1222; c) W. Uhl, E. Er, A. Hepp, J. Kösters, J. Grunenberg, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3346–3351.
- [41] W. Uhl, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1989, 579, 75-86.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15977 - 15988



- [42] a) Saint+; Version 6.02 (includes Xprep and Sadabs), Bruker AXS INC., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, **1999**; b) G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, University of Göttingen, Germany, **1996**.
- [43] a) Shelxtl-Plus, REL. 4.1; Siemens Analytical X-RAY Instruments Inc.: Madison, WI, 1990; b) G. M. Sheldrick, SHEXL-97 and SHELXL-2013, Program

for the Refinement of Structures; Universität Göttingen, Germany, **1997** and **2013**; c) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122.

Manuscript received: May 22, 2020 Revised manuscript received: July 2, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: July 3, 2020 Version of record online: October 19, 2020