i:S



Clinical Kidney Journal, 2019, vol. 12, no. 5, 663–672

doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfz046 Advance Access Publication Date: 24 May 2019 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Patterns of medication use and the burden of polypharmacy in patients with chronic kidney disease: the German Chronic Kidney Disease study

Insa M. Schmidt¹, Silvia Hübner², Jennifer Nadal³, Stephanie Titze², Matthias Schmid³, Barbara Bärthlein^{4,5}, Georg Schlieper **1**⁶, Thomas Dienemann², Ulla T. Schultheiss⁷, Heike Meiselbach², Anna Köttgen⁷, Jürgen Flöge⁶, Martin Busch⁸, Reinhold Kreutz¹, Jan T. Kielstein **1**⁹ and Kai-Uwe Eckardt^{2,10}; on behalf of the German Chronic Kidney Disease study investigators

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ²Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany, ³Department of Medical Biometry, Informatics, and Epidemiology, University Hospital, Bonn, Germany, ⁴Department of Medical Informatics, Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany, ⁵Medical Centre for Information and Communication Technology, University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany, ⁶Division of Nephrology and Clinical Immunology, RWTH Aachen University Hospital, Aachen, Germany, ⁷Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ⁸Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital Jena, Jena, Germany, ⁹Medical Clinic V – Nephrology, Rheumatology, Blood Purification, Academic Teaching Hospital Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany and ¹⁰Department of Nephrology and Medical Intensive Care, Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Insa M. Schmidt; E-mail: ischmidt1@bwh.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT

Background. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) bear a substantial burden of comorbidities leading to the prescription of multiple drugs and a risk of polypharmacy. However, data on medication use in this population are scarce.

Methods. A total of 5217 adults with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) between 30 and 60 mL/min/1.73 m² or an eGFR \geq 60 mL/min/1.73m² and overt proteinuria (>500 mg/day) were studied. Self-reported data on current medication use were assessed at baseline (2010–12) and after 4 years of follow-up (FU). Prevalence and risk factors associated with polypharmacy (defined as the regular use of five or more drugs per day) as well as initiation or termination of polypharmacy were evaluated using multivariable logistic regression.

Received: 24.10.2018; Editorial decision: 22.3.2019

[©] The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of ERA-EDTA.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Results. The prevalence of polypharmacy at baseline and FU was almost 80%, ranging from 62% in patients with CKD Stage G1 to 86% in those with CKD Stage G3b. The median number of different medications taken per day was eight (range 0–27). β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and statins were most frequently used. Increasing CKD G stage, age and body mass index, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and a history of smoking were significantly associated with both the prevalence of polypharmacy and its maintenance during FU. Diabetes mellitus was also significantly associated with the initiation of polypharmacy [odds ratio (OR) 2.46, (95% confidence interval 1.36–4.45); P = 0.003].

Conclusions. Medication burden in CKD patients is high. Further research appears warranted to address the implications of polypharmacy, risks of drug interactions and strategies for risk reduction in this vulnerable patient population.

Keywords: chronic kidney disease, GCKD study, medication use, polypharmacy, prescription patterns

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) represents a global public health burden with increasing prevalence worldwide. Studies estimate that 23–36% of people \geq 64 years of age have CKD [1, 2]. Patients with CKD suffer from a high number of comorbidities, including underlying diseases and consequences of impaired kidney function, such as hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease (CVD), CKD-related bone and mineral disease and anaemia [3, 4]. This in turn leads to the need for multiple medications to mitigate symptoms and progression of the consequences and comorbidities associated with CKD.

Polypharmacy, usually defined as the regular intake of five or more medications per day, is of growing importance in ageing populations [5, 6]. Combination of prescription- and overthe-counter (OTC) drugs increases the probability of adverse drug reactions and interactions, which are leading causes of hospitalization and death [7-9]. Alterations in pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic parameters in patients with renal insufficiency further complicate the situation [10]. The simultaneous need for multiple medications and appropriate dosing considerations make pharmacological treatment of patients with CKD and its related comorbidities challenging [10, 11]. In fact, uncertainties and increased risks of adverse drug reactions and interactions may prompt some to withhold specific therapies due to the fear of adverse effects [12-14]. In addition, since CKD patients are frequently underrepresented in large outcome trials, the risk-benefit ratio for many pharmacological interventions is less certain in the presence of CKD [15].

Despite the recognized challenging circumstances of pharmacotherapy in CKD patients, surprisingly little is known about current practice patterns, the prevalence of polypharmacy and predisposing factors. In order to address this knowledge gap, we analysed medication data of patients enrolled into the German CKD (GCKD) study, a prospective observational cohort study of patients with moderately severe CKD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and design

The GCKD study is a prospective observational study of 5217 patients 18–74 years of age under routine care by nephrologists. The study design was previously described in detail [4]. Patient enrolment was based on an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of 30–60 mL/min/1.73 m² or an eGFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m² in the presence of 'overt' albuminuria/proteinuria (albuminuria >300 mg/g creatinine or proteinuria >500 mg/g creatinine). Exclusion criteria were defined as non-Caucasian ethnicity, active malignancies, previous transplantations, New York Heart Association heart failure stage IV and legal attendance. Patients

who gave written informed consent were enrolled across nine study centres in Germany. The study was approved by the local ethics committees and registered in the national registry for clinical studies [German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS) 00003971].

Data collection and baseline variables

Baseline assessment included physical examination, measurements of body weight and height, heart rate, single-lead electrocardiogram and resting blood pressure. Trained and certified personnel applied standardized questionnaires to collect information on co-morbidities, lifestyle, sociodemographic factors and symptoms of heart failure and gout status. Blood samples were obtained at baseline and at each follow-up (FU) visit every 2 years. Kidney function was categorized according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes clinical practice guideline [16], based on eGFR using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula [17] and urinary albumin:urinary creatinine ratio (<30, 30–<300 and \geq 300 mg/g).

Smoking status was defined as never, former and current smoker. Education level was categorized as \leq 9, 10 and \geq 10 years of schooling. The absence or presence of diabetes mellitus was defined as haemoglobin A1c >6.5% or use of antidiabetic medication. Anaemia was defined as haemoglobin <12 g/dL (females) and <13 g/dL (males). According to the Guidelines of the European Society of Hypertension and the European Society of Cardiology, hypertension was coded if systolic blood pressure was ${\geq}140\,mmHg$ or diastolic blood pressure was ${\geq}\,90\,mmHg$ or if the patient was on antihypertensive medication. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight (kg)/height(m)² and further classified into overweight $(BMI \ge 25 - < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ or obese $(BMI \ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$. Patients with total serum cholesterol levels ≥200 mg/dL or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol >100 mg/dL and triglycerides >150 mg/dL or patients who were taking lipidlowering drugs were considered dyslipidaemic [18].

Measures and definitions

Medication intake including the use of prescribed drugs as well as OTC medication was assessed as a patient-reported item. The start of a new medication was taken into account at each FU visit; the reported medication intake was validated using the individual medical record. All substances were classified using the Anatomical Therapeutics Chemical (ATC) Classification System of the World Health Organization. If drugs combined two or more pharmacologically active substances, then ATC codes for each individual substance were abstracted. Polypharmacy was defined as the daily use of five or more active substances, including the intake of non-oral and OTC medications [5]. Initiation or i:S

termination of polypharmacy as previously described by Abolhassani *et al.* [19] served as grouping variables classifying the use of multiple medications into two groups in relation to time: initiation (no polypharmacy at baseline but at FU) and termination (polypharmacy at baseline but not at FU).

Statistical analysis

Data are described using means \pm standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and frequency distributions with percentages for categorical variables. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression were used to analyse the relationship of polypharmacy with a number of variables, including CKD stage, age, sex, education, BMI, smoking status, diabetes mellitus, hypertension and dyslipidaemia. Thus we accounted for demographic factors as well as the most common comorbidities as confounding factors. Associations between polypharmacy and these variables are expressed in terms of odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), as obtained from the coefficient estimates of the logistic regression models. P-values <0.05 were considered significant. Calculations were carried out using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Cohort characteristics, comorbidities and medication prescriptions

Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The majority of patients had CKD Stage G3a [n=1717 (33.3%)] or G3b [n=1865 (36.1%)]. Hypertension, diabetes, CVD and dyslipidaemia were the most frequent comorbid conditions showing an increase in prevalence with lower eGFR. The median number of different medications per day was 8 (7 at FU), whereas the range of daily intake in the whole cohort varied between 0 and 27 individual substances (Figure 1). Antihypertensives and lipid-lowering drugs were the most frequently used medication classes, followed by diuretics, platelet aggregation inhibitors and uratelowering therapy. Overall, β-blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors were the most frequently administered drug classes. The use of at least one antihypertensive agent significantly reduced blood pressure (Supplementary data, Table S4). Prescription rates of different medication classes (Table 1 and Supplementary data, Figure S1) increased with advanced stages of CKD, with the exception of ACE inhibitors (60% in CKD Stage G1 versus 47.7% in CKD Stage G4/5). As shown in Figure 2, the most commonly prescribed individual substances were simvastatin (38.4%), ramipril (31.7%) and acetylsalicylic acid (32.6%), followed by allopurinol (31%), torasemide (28.3%) and hydrochlorothiazide (26.6%). Only 11% of patients received vitamin K antagonists and 13% received psychopharmacological treatment (Supplementary data, Table S3). Furthermore, patients reported a substantial amount of OTC medication intake. The most commonly used drugs in this group were vitamins (D and B), as well as magnesium and calcium supplements. Thirty-one percent of patients received vitamin D supplements; supplementary iron was taken by 5% and folic acid by 2% of the study participants. Intake of reported homeopathic agents was relatively small, varying between 3% and 5% in different CKD stages.

Polypharmacy at baseline

The prevalence of polypharmacy in our cohort was high. At baseline, almost 80% of patients received polypharmacy and 20% were prescribed >10 different medications per day (Figure 1). An increase of drug prescription rates was observed with lower eGFR (Table 1): 62% of patients with CKD Stage G1 received polypharmacy, whereas this number rose to 92% for patients with CKD Stage G4/5.

In univariate logistic regression analysis, a stage of lower GFR was associated with greater odds to receive polypharmacy. In addition, patients who received polypharmacy were older, had a higher BMI, were less educated and were more likely to have a history of smoking (Table 2).

In multivariate logistic regression, male sex was associated with reduced odds of receiving polypharmacy [OR 0.71 (95% CI 0.60–0.84); P < 0.0001]. Comorbid conditions such as diabetes mellitus [OR 3.58 (95% CI 2.83–4.52); P < 0.0001], CVD [OR 3.21 (95% CI 2.37–4.35); P < 0.0001], hypertension [OR 6.02 (95% CI 4.56–8.52); P < 0.0001] and dyslipidaemia [OR 1.36 (95% CI 1.01–1.86); P = 0.0494] were significantly and positively associated with polypharmacy.

Change of polypharmacy patterns during FU

Medication data of 3128 patients were available for longitudinal analysis comparing baseline and FU results. Sample characteristics at FU were similar compared with baseline data (Supplementary data, Table S2). During the 4-year period, the overall prevalence of polypharmacy decreased slightly from 80% (2515/3128) at baseline to 76% (2389/3128) at FU. In 13% of patients who received five or more medications at baseline, polypharmacy was not present at FU. In contrast, in 33% of 604 patients who received fewer than five medications at baseline, polypharmacy had been initiated during FU (Table 3).

Univariate logistic regression analysis identified diabetes mellitus, increased BMI and a lower GFR stage to be significantly associated with initiation of polypharmacy (Supplementary data, Table S1). After adjustment for confounders, comorbid diabetes mellitus remained a significant risk factor to initiate polypharmacy in CKD patients (OR 2.46, P = 0.003).

In addition, older age, higher BMI as well as lower eGFR and prevalent comorbid conditions such as diabetes, CVD or a history of smoking were significantly associated with lower odds of terminating polypharmacy (see Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Main findings

This study documents a heavy burden of polypharmacy in patients with moderate CKD; 8 of 10 participants of the GCKD study cohort were exposed to polypharmacy and 2 of 10 took >10 different substances per day. Older age, higher BMI and CKD stage as well as comorbid CVD, diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidaemia significantly increased the odds of receiving polypharmacy. In addition, a history of smoking and a lower level of education were identified as risk factors for exposure to polypharmacy.

Comparison with other reports

Most studies investigating polypharmacy have so far been conducted in cohorts of older adults without CKD, or at least not enriched for CKD. In such studies, prevalence rates of

Table 1. Sample characteristics, comorbidities and medications by eGFR categories at baseline (n=5217).

Clinical characteristics		eGFR categories (ml/min/1.73 ²)			
	\geq 90, CKD stage	60-89, CKD stage	45-59, CKD stage	30-44, CKD stage	<30, CKD stage
	G1 (n=233)	G2 (n=883)	G3a (n=1717)	G3b (n=1865)	G4/5 (n=461)
UACR categories (mg/g), n (%)					
< 30	33 (14.2)	440 (49.8)	962 (56.0)	855 (45.8)	155 (33.6)
30-299	78 (33.5)	214 (24.2)	435 (25.3)	592 (31.7)	145 (31.5)
\geq 300	122 (52.4)	216 (24.5)	289 (16.8)	397 (21.3)	155 (33.6)
Age, years; Mean (SD)	41.8 (±12.9)	55.6 (±12.6)	61.3 (±10.4)	62.6 (±10.6)	63.5 (±10.1)
Sex, n (%)	(<i>'</i>	(<i>'</i>	· · · · ·	()	(<i>'</i>
Male	120 (51.5)	480 (54.4)	1064 (62.0)	1147 (61.5)	288 (62.5)
Female	114 (48.9)	403 (45.6)	653 (38.0)	718 (38.5)	173 (37.5)
BMI, kg/m ² ; Mean (SD)	28.5 (±6.8)	29.3 (±6.0)	29.8 (±5.8)	30.1 (±5.9)	30.4 (±6.2)
Comorbidities, n (%)		· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·
Diabetes mellitus	50 (21.5)	249 (28.2)	607 (35.4)	722 (38.7)	209 (45.3)
Heart failure	11 (4.7)	133 (15.1)	296 (17.2)	367 (19.7)	109 (23.6)
Hypertension	217 (93.1)	816 (92.4)	1645 (95.8)	1833 (98.3)	458 (99.3)
Coronary heart disease ^a	8 (3.4)	114 (12.9)	342 (19.9)	433 (23.2)	130 (28.2)
Cerebrovascular disease ^b	5 (2.1)	74 (8.4)	145 (8.4)	221 (11.8)	60 (13.0)
Peripheral vascular disease	6 (2.6)	60 (6.8)	147 (8.6)	212 (11.4)	62 (13.4)
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) ^c	11 (4 7)	132 (14 9)	297 (17.3)	414 (22.2)	119 (25.8)
Dyslipidemia	210 (90.1)	835 (94.6)	1631 (95.0)	1776 (95.2)	443 (96.1)
Anemia	20 (8.6)	60 (6.8)	122 (7.1)	198 (10.6)	78 (16.9)
Gout	18 (7.7)	160 (18 1)	400 (23 3)	518 (27.8)	164 (35.6)
Smoking status n (%)	20 (/ ./)	100 (10.1)	100 (2010)	510 (2710)	101 (0010)
current smoker	82 (35 2)	163 (18 5)	240 (14 0)	269 (14 4)	67 (14 5)
former smoker	67 (28 8)	346 (39.2)	763 (44 4)	816 (43.8)	231 (50 1)
never smoker	84 (36 1)	371 (42.0)	709 (41 3)	775 (41.6)	161 (34 9)
Educational level years: n (%)	01 (30.1)	571 (12.0)	, 05 (11.5)	,,,,,(11.0)	101 (51.5)
	72 (30.9)	396 (44.8)	885 (51 5)	1110 (59 5)	290 (62 9)
10	74 (31.8)	280 (31.7)	521 (30 3)	458 (24 6)	107 (23.2)
_10 ∖10	79 (33.9)	185 (21.0)	275 (16.0)	266 (14 3)	57 (12 4)
Polypharmacy ^d n (%)	, 5 (55.5)	105 (21.0)	275 (10.0)	200 (11.5)	57 (12.1)
Yes	144 (61 8)	649 (73 5)	1364 (79 4)	1599 (85 7)	422 (91 5)
No	89 (38 2)	234 (26 5)	353 (20.6)	266 (14 3)	39 (8 5)
Medication n (%)-most commonly prescribed classes-	05 (50.2)	251 (20.5)	555 (20.0)	200 (11.5)	35 (0.5)
Beta blockers	44 (18 9)	404 (45 8)	925 (53 9)	1147 (61 5)	308 (66.8)
HMG-CoA-reductase inhibitors	78 (33 5)	341 (38.6)	829 (48 3)	943 (50.6)	263 (57.0)
ACF inhibitors	141 (60 5)	385 (43.6)	818 (47.6)	878 (47 1)	200 (37.0)
Angiotensin II recentor blockers	92 (39 5)	347 (39 3)	686 (40 0)	813 (43 6)	220 (47.7) 214 (46.4)
Dividential in receptor blockers	34 (14 6)	243 (27 5)	549 (32.0)	880 (47.2)	282 (61 2)
Ca channel blockers, dibudropyridine	43 (18 5)	258 (29.2)	636 (37 0)	793 (42 5)	202 (01.2)
Platelet aggregation inhibitors		253 (22.2)	623 (36 3)	691 (37 1)	191 (41 A)
Urate lowering therapy	20 (11.2)	176 (10.0)	512 (20.0)	742 (20.8)	224 (50.8)
Vitamin D analoguos	23 (J.J) 66 (J2 2)	226 (26 7)	149 (26.2)	640 (24.2)	234 (30.8)
	52 (22.3)	230 (20.7)	449 (20.2)	509 (09 2)	213 (1 0.2) 150 (22.5)
rri Diurotice (thiozidoe)	JJ (22.7)	200 (20.7)	456 (20.7)	528 (28.5)	127 (20 7)
Thursid hormonos	44 (10.9) 27 (1E 0)	209 (23.7)	201 (22.1)	202 (21.1)	137(29.7)
OTC modication n (%) most frequently used	57 (15.9)	178 (20.2)	561 (22.2)	595 (21.1)	96 (21.5)
Vitaminor	77 (22 0)	202 (22 2)	551 (22 1)	721 (20 2)	220 (40 0)
Mamagium	10 (0 0)	293 (33.2) 104 (14 0)	222 (22.1)	7 JI (JZ.Z)	230 (47.7) 50 (10 0)
Calcium	19 (0.2) 42 (19 0)	129 (14.0)	223 (13.0) 192 (11.2)	20 4 (14.2) 207 (11 1)	55 (12.0) 55 (11 0)
Diotary cumploment	42 (10.0) 19 (7 7)	133 (13.7) 88 (10.0)	172 (11.2) 175 (87)	207 (11.1)	29 (6 1)
Homoopathic agonts	10 (/./)	(U.UI) 22 (2 c)	140 (0.4) 61 (2 7)	102 (7.1) 50 (2.7)	20 (0.1)
nomeopaulic agents	12 (3.2)	SS (3.7)	04 (3.7)	50 (2.7)	∠⊥ (4 .0)

eGFR data on 58 patients were missing and those were excluded.

^aCoronary heart disease is defined as a history of myocardial infarcion, bypass surgery or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

^bCVD is defined as cardiac valve replacement, aortic aneurysm or coronary heart disease.

^cCerebrovascular disease is defined as a history of carotic surgery or intervention or stroke.

^dPolypharmacy is defined as intake of five or more medications per day, OTC medication included.



FIGURE 1: Total number of medication intakes (prescribed and OTC) per patient at baseline (2010-12) (N = 5217).

polypharmacy between 41% and 60%, and thus markedly <80% as in our study, have been reported in different settings and age groups [20–22]. Many treatment considerations for the geriatric population are also applicable to the CKD setting [23]; older adults as well as CKD patients suffer from multiple comorbid diseases with high rates of cardiovascular events triggering strategies for secondary prevention. Thus the very high medication burden in our study population could reflect a particularly high number of comorbidities. In line with this assumption, almost two-thirds of the 12 most commonly used drugs in our study were related to treatment of cardiovascular and cardiometabolic co-morbid conditions. A recent study published by Laville *et al.* [24] showed a similar medication burden in the French Chronic Kidney Disease-Renal Epidemiology and Information Network cohort study.

Appropriateness of medication

The prescription pattern observed in our study presumably reflects the dilemma between evidence-based treatment recommendations for single disease entities or comorbidities and the overall feasibility, appropriateness and benefit of pharmacotherapy, which probably tend to plateau with an increasing number of prescriptions. In fact, it is likely that the observed treatment regimens are still below strict implementation of guidelines. Despite the high burden of CVD in CKD, the use of cardioprotective medications in kidney disease patients has been reported as lower than in non-CKD patients [3, 13, 25]. In a study of 619 CKD patients conducted in 2005 prior to the Study of Heart and Renal Protection [26], Bailie et al. [27] showed that 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors were only received by 16% of patients and ACE inhibitors were prescribed to 44% of CKD patients. These findings are contrasted by our results, since almost 50% of the GCKD study participants received an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor as well as an ACE inhibitor at baseline, suggesting that the prescription paradigm might have changed over time. However, ACE inhibitor prescriptions decreased with higher CKD stage, which might be due to the fear of hyperkalaemia or because

patients had experienced it. According to the most recent guideline for lipid management, 88% of patients who participated in the GCKD study would fulfil criteria for statin prescription [28]. Recent studies also suggested an additional benefit of dual treatment with ezetimibe with decreasing GFR [26, 29]. Implementation of this evidence would further increase medication load and polypharmacy prevalence. Similar considerations hold true for antihypertensive agents. Although blood pressure was reasonably controlled in the overall cohort, only slightly >50% of patients had an office blood pressure <140/ 90 mmHg [30]. These measurements were obtained during a single office visit and may have been affected by the 'white-coat' effect. On the other hand, this population also shows a high prevalence of masked uncontrolled hypertension [31]. Nonetheless, our findings suggest that according to current guidelines, a substantial proportion of patients would require more intense blood pressure-lowering therapy, which in at least some patients would presumably require the prescription of additional antihypertensives and thus a further increase in medication load. In addition, we have previously shown that a high proportion of patients in the GCKD study cohort had hyperuricaemia despite treatment. Implementing a target of <6 mg/dL would further increase the medication burden [32].

In contrast, there is clear evidence that the use of multiple medications correlates with several negative health outcomes, including higher health care costs, severe adverse drug reactions and medication non-adherence [33]. However, it is still not clear whether the higher risk of receiving inappropriate medication with an increasing number of medications or the number of medications per se associates with these outcomes [33]. Laville et al. [24] found that exposure to polypharmacy significantly increased the odds of receiving at least one inappropriate medication. In addition, O'Hare et al. [34] recently showed that older patients with CKD were less likely to benefit from medications to prevent end-stage renal disease than younger patients. We are not aware of any studies that have tested the benefits and risks of strategies limiting the exposure to polypharmacy in patients who already have CKD, but our findings suggest that such approaches deserve to be tested.

i S 668 | I.M. Schmidt et al.



FIGURE 2: Most commonly prescribed individual drugs at (A) baseline (2010-12, N = 5217) and (B) after FU (2014-16, N = 3128).

We observed a frequent use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Explanations for this may include the high number of study participants on antiplatelet drugs and the increasing risk for gastrointestinal bleeding with more advanced CKD stages, the high hospitalization rates in this study population (with PPIs possibly started during hospitalization and then not discontinued) as well as the high proportion with a metabolic syndrome, which increases the risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease [35]. Gastrointestinal symptoms related to reduced kidney function may also play a role. Given the emerging evidence for an association between PPI use and the development as well as the progression of CKD [36–38], avoiding long-term PPI use may potentially improve outcomes.

Risk factors for polypharmacy

Our analyses revealed that older age, higher BMI and a history of smoking were significantly associated with exposure to more

Table 2. Bivariate and multivariate ana	vsis of factors associated with	polypharmacy ^a in	patients with CKD at baseline (N = 5217
	1		1	

Clinical characteristics	Bivariate analysis,		Multivariate analysis,		
	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	
eGFR categories (mL/min/1.73 m ²)		<0.0001		<0.0001	
≥90	Ref.		Ref.		
_ ≥60–<90	1.71 (1.27–2.32)		1.15 (0.81–1.63)		
	2.39 (1.79–3.19)		1.18 (0.84–1.65)		
_ ≥30−<45	3.72 (2.77–4.99)		1.56 (1.11–2.21)		
<30	6.69 (4.39–10.19)		2.36 (1.48–3.77)		
Sex, n (%)	, , ,	<0.1091		< 0.0001	
Male	1.12 (0.97–1.29)		0.71 (0.60–0.84)		
Female	Ref.		Ref.		
Age group (years)		<0.0001		< 0.0001	
<50	Ref.		Ref.		
>50-<60	2.02 (1.66–2.46)		1.25 (1.0–1.57)		
_ >60–<70	3.53 (2.94–4.24)		1.62 (1.30–2.02)		
_ >70–<80	5.35 (4.29–6.67)		2.21 (1.70–2.88)		
BMI (kg/m ²)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<0.0001		<0.0001	
<25	Ref.		Ref.		
>25-<30	1.61 (1.37–1.9)		1.13 (0.93–1.36)		
>30	4.48 (3.71–5.42)		2.21 (1.79–2.75)		
Diabetes mellitus		< 0.0001		< 0.0001	
Yes	6.50 (5.24-8.07)		3.58 (2.83-4.52)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Hypertension		<0.0001		<0.0001	
Yes	9.96 (7.30–13.57)		6.02 (4.26-8.51)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
CVD ^b		< 0.0001		< 0.0001	
Yes	4.99 (3.75–6.64)		3.21 (2.37-4.35)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Dyslipidaemia		< 0.0001		0.0494	
Yes	2.0 (1.55–2.6)		1.36 (1.00–1.86)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Gout		< 0.0001		0.0076	
Yes	2.15 (1.78–2.60)		1.38 (1.11–1.71)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Smoking status		< 0.0001		0.0002	
Never smoker	Ref.		Ref.		
Former smoker	1 76 (1 51–2 06)		1 46 (1 22–1 75)		
Current smoker	0.99(0.82-1.2)		1 15 (0 92–1 43)		
Education level (vears)	0.00 (0.02 1.2)	< 0.0001	1.10 (0.02 1.10)	0.0042	
<9	Ref		Ref	0.0012	
 10	0.56 (0.47– 0.66)		0.89 (0.74–1.07)		
>10	0 34 (0 28–0 41)		0.68 (0.55–0.84)		
/ 10	0.34 (0.20-0.41)		0.00 (0.0-0.0)		

^aPolypharmacy is defined as intake of five or more medications per day, OTC medication included.

^bCVD is defined as cardiac valve replacement, aortic aneurysm or coronary heart disease.

than five medications per day as well as the risk to maintain polypharmacy over the observation period of 4 years. Similar associations as well as lower odds for polypharmacy in men have previously been observed in the general and the elderly population [19, 39, 40].

Maintaining polypharmacy over time was associated with lower GFR, comorbid CVD and a history of smoking. Patients with a lower level of education were at greater risk of receiving polypharmacy. Such an association has also previously been reported in a non-CKD population in Sweden [41] and might be related to higher health risks of a lower socio-economic status. Our results show that diabetes mellitus is a prominent risk factor for the initiation of polypharmacy over time. This goes along with studies in the general population showing that diabetes, a higher BMI, hypertension and dyslipidaemia are associated with polypharmacy in patients with normal renal function [19, 21, 42].

Limitations and strengths

Our study has several limitations. First, a certain recall bias might have occurred since participants may tend to report only the 'most important' medications rather than the total number. We attempted to reduce this bias through validation of medical records. Second, despite the fact that direct patient interviews allow more accurate assessment than the use of administrative data, we could not always clearly distinguish whether a medication was taken based on a prescription or obtained OTC. Third, since certain drugs belong to more than one medication class, the classification might not have been perfectly in line with the Table 3. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with initiation and termination of polypharmacy^a in patients with CKD between baseline (2010–12) and FU (2014–16)

Clinical characteristics	Initiation ($n = 202$),		Termination ($n = 321$),	1 = 321),	
	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	
eGFR categories (mL/min/1.73 m ²)		0.0621		< 0.0001	
≥90	Ref.		Ref.		
≥60–<90	1.28 (0.57–2.89)		0.79 (0.45–1.39)		
≥45-<60	1.54 (0.7–3.41)		0.54 (0.31–0.94)		
≥30–<45	2.47 (1.09–5.58)		0.36 (0.20-0.64)		
<30	1.99 (0.65–6.1)		0.32 (0.15–0.68)		
Sex	. ,	0.0941		0.0815	
Male	0.72 (0.49–1.06)		1.27 (0.97–1.66)		
Female	Ref.		Ref.		
Age group (years)		0.7600		< 0.0001	
<50	Ref.		Ref.		
>50-<60	1.28 (0.79–2.09)		1.52 (1.04–2.22)		
>60-<70	1.1 (0.67–1.81)		1.08 (0.75–1.57)		
_ >70–<80	1.25 (0.67–2.33)		0.54 (0.35–0.86)		
$BMI (kg/m^2)$		0.1021	(,)	< 0.0001	
<25	Ref.		Ref.		
>25-<30	1.15 (0.76–1.76)		0.86 (0.63-1.18)		
>30	1.72 (1.04–2.87)		0.43 (0.31–0.61)		
Diabetes mellitus	()	0.003		< 0.0001	
Yes	2.46 (1.36-4.45)		0.32 (0.23-0.45)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Hypertension		0.2274		0.0856	
Yes	1.47 (0.79–2.77)		0.54 (0.27-1.09)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
CVD ^b		0.504		0.0019	
Yes	1.3 (0.60-2.82)		0.53 (0.35-0.79)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Dvslipidaemia		0.3466		0.2757	
Yes	1.37 (0.71–2.61)		0.74 (0.42-1.28)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Gout		0.2362		0.0629	
Yes	1.43 (0.87-2.35)		0.69 (0.49-0.96)		
No	Ref.		Ref.		
Smoking status		0.1214		0.0006	
Never smoker	Ref.		Ref.		
Former smoker	1 39 (0 92–2 10)		0.68 (0.52–0.9)		
Current smoker	1 58 (0 96–2 6)		0 49 (0 32–0 74)		
Education level (years)	1.50 (0.50 2.0)	0 6948		0 3544	
<9	Ref	0.05 10	Ref	0.0011	
	1.29 (0.83–1 99)		1.09 (0.81–1.46)		
>10	1 06 (0 67–1 68)		1 28 (0 91–1 8)		

Results are described as ORs as obtained from multivariable logistic regression analysis (95% CI).

^aPolypharmacy is defined as intake of five or more medications per day, OTC medication included.

^bCVD is defined as cardiac valve replacement, aortic aneurysm or coronary heart disease.

Initiation is no polypharmacy at baseline, but at FU-4. Termination is polypharmacy at baseline, no polypharmacy at FU-4.

reasons for use. Fourth, in the absence of a control group of patients without CKD, we cannot determine to which extent medication patterns are related to CKD or the comorbidities observed in our cohort. Fifth, all our patients were under nephrological care, so our findings may not be generalizable to a larger group of CKD patients not receiving specialist care. Finally, conclusions about adherence to the reported medication cannot be drawn. Significant strengths of our study include its large sample size and the fact that all patients were studied in a system of 'free' health care, based on mandatory insurance, thus reducing the influence of differences in access to health care. The use of in-person data collection performed by trained study personnel presumably helped to directly assess all medications that the patient was aware of, irrespective of whether it was prescribed by different physicians and including non-prescribed medications.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our data highlight that CKD patients are prone to polypharmacy, indicating a need for future research to address the implications of polypharmacy and the lack of evidence for pharmacological interventions in this population. Despite a clinical rationale for the use of most, if not all, individual drugs prescribed, the risk:benefit ratio for the entirety of all prescribed drugs in one individual may need to be considered in the context of the disadvantages of polypharmacy. Drug prioritization strategies could potentially be helpful to optimize pharmacological intervention [43]. Implementation of interprofessional and patient-personalized tools to guide a deprescribing process in kidney disease patients such as the Screening Tool to Alert to Right Treatment (START)/Screening Tool for Older Persons' Prescriptions (STOPP) criteria, the PRISCUS (latin for 'timehonored') and Fit for the Aged (FORTA) scores or electronic alert systems [44–47] may help to better balance the benefits and risks of pharmacological treatment in this vulnerable population.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at ckj online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful for the willingness and time of all study participants of the GCKD study. The enormous effort of the study personnel at the regional centres is highly appreciated. We also thank the large number of nephrologists for their support of the GCKD study (list of nephrologists currently collaborating with the GCKD study is available at http://www.gckd.org). Current GCKD investigators and collaborators with the GCKD study are University of Erlangen-Nürnberg: Kai-Uwe Eckardt, Heike Meiselbach, Markus Schneider, Mario Schiffer, Thomas Dienemann, Hans-Ulrich Prokosch, Barbara Bärthlein, Andreas Beck, Detlef Kraska, André Reis, Arif B. Ekici, Susanne Avendaño, Dinah Becker-Grosspitsch, Ulrike Alberth-Schmidt, Birgit Hausknecht, Anke Weigel; University of Freiburg: Gerd Walz, Anna Köttgen, Ulla T. Schultheiß, Fruzsina Kotsis, Simone Meder, Erna Mitsch, Ursula Reinhard; RWTH Aachen University: Jürgen Floege, Georg Schlieper, Turgay Saritas; Charité, University Medicine Berlin: Elke Schaeffner, Seema Baid-Agrawal, Kerstin Theisen; Hannover Medical School: Hermann Haller, Jan Menne; University of Heidelberg: Martin Zeier, Claudia Sommerer, Rebecca Woitke; University of Jena: Gunter Wolf, Martin Busch, Rainer Paul; Ludwig-Maximilians University of München: Thomas Sitter; University of Würzburg: Christoph Wanner, Vera Krane, Antje Börner-Klein, Britta Bauer; Medical University of Innsbruck, Division of Genetic Epidemiology: Florian Kronenberg, Julia Raschenberger, Barbara Kollerits, Lukas Forer, Sebastian Schönherr, Hansi Weissensteiner; University of Regensburg, Institute of Functional Genomics: Peter Oefner, Wolfram Gronwald, Helena Zacharias; Department of Medical Biometry, Informatics and Epidemiology (IMBIE), University Hospital of Bonn: Matthias Schmid, Jennifer Nadal.

FUNDING

The GCKD study is supported by the German Ministry of Education and Research (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, FKZ 01ER 0804, 01ER 0818, 01ER 0819, 01ER 0820, and 01ER 0821) and the KfH Foundation for Preventive Medicine (Kuratorium für Heimdialyse und Nierentransplantation e.V. –Stiftung Präventiv-medizin) and corporate sponsors (www.gckd.org).

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

I.M.S., S.H., S.T., G.S., T.D., U.T.S., A.K., J.F., J.T.K., R.K. and K.U.E. made contributions to the concept and design of the study. B.B.

and J.N. cleaned and provided the routine data. U.T.S. helped with medication coding. J.N., M.S., B.B. and I.M.S. analysed the data. I.M.S. and K.U.E. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, which was subsequently reviewed and approved by all the authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest pertinent to this work.

REFERENCES

- Zhang QL, Rothenbacher D. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in population-based studies: systematic review. BMC Public Health 2008; 8: 117
- 2. Khan UA, Garg AX, Parikh CR *et al*. Prevention of chronic kidney disease and subsequent effect on mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e71784
- 3. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D et al. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 1296–1305
- Titze S, Schmid M, Kottgen A et al. Disease burden and risk profile in referred patients with moderate chronic kidney disease: composition of the German Chronic Kidney Disease (GCKD) cohort. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015; 30: 441–451
- Morin L, Johnell K, Laroche M-L et al. The epidemiology of polypharmacy in older adults: register-based prospective cohort study. Clin Epidemiol 2018; 10: 289–298
- Fincke BG, Snyder K, Cantillon C et al. Three complementary definitions of polypharmacy: methods, application and comparison of findings in a large prescription database. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2005; 14: 121–128
- Lazarou J, Pomeranz BH, Corey PN. Incidence of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. JAMA 1998; 279: 1200–1205
- Niclos G, Olivar T, Rodilla V. A cross-sectional evaluation of the prevalence and detection of predictors of polypharmacy amongst adult in Spain. Int J Pharm Pract 2018; 26: 242–249
- Masnoon N, Shakib S, Kalisch-Ellett L et al. What is polypharmacy? A systematic review of definitions. BMC Geriatr 2017; 17: 230
- Matzke GR, Aronoff GR, Atkinson AJ et al. Drug dosing consideration in patients with acute and chronic kidney disease-a clinical update from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 2011; 80: 1122–1137
- Sutaria A, Liu L, Ahmed Z. Multiple medication (polypharmacy) and chronic kidney disease in patients aged 60 and older: a pharmacoepidemiologic perspective. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 2016; 10: 242–250
- Madero M, Gul A, Sarnak MJ. Cognitive function in chronic kidney disease. Semin Dial 2008; 21: 29–37
- Roy P, Bouchard J, Amyot R et al. Prescription patterns of pharmacological agents for left ventricular systolic dysfunction among hemodialysis patients. AmJ Kidney Dis 2006; 48: 645–651
- Jones SA, Bhandari S. The prevalence of potentially inappropriate medication prescribing in elderly patients with chronic kidney disease. Postgrad Med J 2013; 89: 247–250
- 15. Coca SG, Krumholz HM, Garg AX et al. Underrepresentation of renal disease in randomized controlled trials of cardiovascular disease. JAMA 2006; 296: 1377–1384
- Levey AS, Eckardt K-U, Tsukamoto Y et al. Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: a position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 2005; 67: 2089–2100

- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med 2009; 150: 604–612
- Tonelli M, Wanner C. Lipid management in chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes 2013 clinical practice guideline. Ann Intern Med 2014; 160: 182
- Abolhassani N, Castioni J, Marques-Vidal P et al. Determinants of change in polypharmacy status in Switzerland: the population-based CoLaus study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2017; 73: 1187–1194
- 20. Moriarty F, Hardy C, Bennett K et al. Trends and interaction of polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate prescribing in primary care over 15 years in Ireland: a repeated crosssectional study. *BMJ Open* 2015; 5: e008656
- Castioni J, Marques-Vidal P, Abolhassani N et al. Prevalence and determinants of polypharmacy in Switzerland: data from the CoLaus study. BMC Health Serv Res 2017; 17: 840
- 22. Blozik E, Rapold R, von Overbeck J et al. Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medication in the adult, community-dwelling population in Switzerland. Drugs Aging 2013; 30: 561–568
- Rifkin DE, Winkelmayer WC. Medication issues in older individuals with CKD. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2010; 17: 320–328
- 24. Laville SM, Metzger M, Stengel B et al. Evaluation of the adequacy of drug prescriptions in patients with chronic kidney disease: results from the CKD-REIN cohort. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2018; 84: 2811–2823
- Tonelli M, Bohm C, Pandeya S et al. Cardiac risk factors and the use of cardioprotective medications in patients with chronic renal insufficiency. Am J Kidney Dis 2001; 37: 484–489
- 26. Sharp Collaborative Group. Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP): randomized trial to assess the effects of lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol among 9,438 patients with chronic kidney disease. Am Heart J 2010; 160: 785–794.e10
- 27. Bailie GR, Eisele G, Liu L et al. Patterns of medication use in the RRI-CKD study: focus on medications with cardiovascular effects. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2005; 20: 1110–1115
- Schneider MP, Hübner S, Titze SI et al. Implementation of the KDIGO guideline on lipid management requires a substantial increase in statin prescription rates. Kidney Int 2015; 88: 1411–1418
- Stanifer JW, Charytan DM, White J et al. Benefit of ezetimibe added to simvastatin in reduced kidney function. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017; 28: 3034–3043
- 30. Schneider MP, Hilgers KF, Schmid M et al. Blood pressure control in chronic kidney disease: a cross-sectional analysis from the German Chronic Kidney Disease (GCKD) study. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0202604
- Scheppach JB, Raff U, Toncar S et al. Blood pressure pattern and target organ damage in patients with chronic kidney disease. Hypertension 2018; 72: 929–936

- 32. Jing J, Kielstein JT, Schultheiss UT et al. Prevalence and correlates of gout in a large cohort of patients with chronic kidney disease: the German Chronic Kidney Disease (GCKD) study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015; 30: 613–621
- 33. Fried TR, O'Leary J, Towle V et al. Health outcomes associated with polypharmacy in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc 2014; 62: 2261–2272
- 34. O'Hare AM, Hotchkiss JR, Kurella Tamura M et al. Interpreting treatment effects from clinical trials in the context of real-world risk information: end-stage renal disease prevention in older adults. JAMA Intern Med 2014; 174: 391–397
- Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Haas JS et al. Trends in prescription drug use among adults in the United States from 1999-2012. JAMA 2015; 314: 1818–1831
- Lazarus B, Chen Y, Wilson FP et al. Proton pump inhibitor use and the risk of chronic kidney disease. JAMA Intern Med 2016; 176: 238–246
- Xie Y, Bowe B, Li T et al. Proton pump inhibitors and risk of incident CKD and progression to ESRD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2016; 27: 3153–3163
- Xie Y, Bowe B, Li T et al. Long-term kidney outcomes among users of proton pump inhibitors without intervening acute kidney injury. Kidney Int 2017; 91: 1482–1494
- Jokanovic N, Tan ECK, Dooley MJ et al. Prevalence and factors associated with polypharmacy in long-term care facilities: a systematic review. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2015; 16: 535.e1–535.e12
- 40. Fulton MM, Allen ER. Polypharmacy in the elderly: a literature review. J Am Acad Nurse Pract 2005; 17: 123–132
- Haider SI, Johnell K, Weitoft GR et al. The influence of educational level on polypharmacy and inappropriate drug use: a register-based study of more than 600,000 older people. J Am Geriat Soc 2009; 57: 62–69
- Veehof L, Stewart R, Haaijer-Ruskamp F et al. The development of polypharmacy. A longitudinal study. Fam Pract 2000; 17: 261–267
- 43. Whittaker CF, Fink JC. Deprescribing in CKD: the proof is in the process. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2017; 70: 596–598
- 44. Gallagher P, Ryan C, Byrne S et al. STOPP (Screening Tool of Older Person's Prescriptions) and START (Screening Tool to Alert doctors to Right Treatment). Consensus validation. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008; 46: 72–83
- 45. Wilson FP. Information technology and acute kidney injury: alerts, alarms, bells, and whistles. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* 2017; 24: 241–245
- Holt S, Schmiedl S, Thurmann PA. Potentially inappropriate medications in the elderly: the PRISCUS list. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2010; 107: 543–551
- 47. Pazan F, Weiss C, Wehling M. The FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List 2015: update of a validated clinical tool for improved pharmacotherapy in the elderly. Drugs Aging 2016; 33: 447–449