#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Risk perception and avoidance of preventive behavior on the COVID-19 among cancer patients

Mehdi Khezeli<sup>1</sup> | Asghar Tavan<sup>2</sup> | Sajjad Narimani<sup>3,4</sup> | Vahideh Hoseini<sup>5</sup> | Elham Zare Hosseinzadeh<sup>6</sup> | Parisa Motamedi<sup>7</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Social Development and Health Promotion Research Center, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Health in Disasters and Emergencies Research Center, Institute for Futures Studies in Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health in Disasters and Emergencies, School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Nursing and Midwifery, School of Nursing, Social Determinant of Health Research Center, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Alavi Hospital, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>6</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Alborz University of Medical of Sciences, Alborz Iran

<sup>7</sup>Department of Gynecology, Ardabil University of Medical Science, Ardabil, Iran

## Correspondence

Sajjad Narimani, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran.

Email: sn.narimani@gmail.com

## Funding information

Social Determinant of Health Research Center of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences

#### **Abstract**

**Background and Aims:** Willingness to engage in preventive behaviors against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) depends on people's risk perception. This is especially important in cancer patients who are at risk of complications from the disease. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate avoidance of COVID-19 preventive behavior of in cancer patients.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional analytical study was done with 200 cancer patients who were selected by convenience sampling method. The study was conducted in Imam Khomeini Hospital of Ardabil, Iran from July to August 2020. A researchermade questionnaire was used to investigate the risk perception of cancer patients towards COVID-19 with seven subscales according to the Extended Parallel Process Model. Data were analyzed by SPSS 20 using Pearson correlation and linear regression tests.

**Results:** The mean and standard deviation of the age of 200 participants (including 109 men and 91 women) was  $48 \pm 17$ . Results showed that the response efficacy (12.6  $\pm$  2.2) had the highest mean and defensive avoidance (8  $\pm$  2.8) had the lowest mean score among EPPM constructs. Linear regression results showed that fear ( $\beta$  = 0.242, p > 0.001), and perceived severity ( $\beta$  = 0.191, p = 0.008) were significant predictors of defensive avoidance.

**Conclusion:** Perceived severity and fear were significant predictors of defensive avoidance, and providing accurate and reliable news and information can be effective in reducing fear and promoting preventive behaviors.

#### KEYWORDS

cancer patients, COVID-19, defensive avoidance, preventive behaviors, risk perception

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Health Science Reports published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

A novel coronavirus was first reported in Wuhan, China in December 2019, and following its rapid spread, the World Health Organization declared coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) global health emergency in January 2020. <sup>1,2</sup> From the beginning of the disease outbreak, Iran was one of the countries with high number of patients and deaths caused by COVID-19 and faced many challenges in controlling the disease. <sup>3</sup> Worldwide studies have shown that the mortality rate of COVID-19 among hospitalized patients is 2%–5%, <sup>4-6</sup> while in Iran, according to the Ministry of Health reports, the mortality rate of hospitalized patients is up to 15%. <sup>7,8</sup> The high mortality rate among hospitalized COVID-19 patients is likely due to the presence of underlying chronic diseases in the general population. <sup>9</sup>

COVID-19 is highly contagious and can be transmitted through aerosols, droplets and direct contact, and people with COVID-19 may also have latent symptoms for up to 14 days. <sup>10</sup> The highly contagious nature of disease threatens cancer patients, <sup>11</sup> because most patients are immunosuppressed due to the disease and its treatment. Cancer patients have higher rates of severe illness, ICU admission, and mortality after COVID-19 than the general population. <sup>12,13</sup> In Iranian culture, cancer patients usually expect their relatives to visit them. This reduces social distancing and can increase the risk of contracting a novel coronavirus. <sup>14</sup> Attending the hospital for treatment and increasing the risk of covid-19 is one of the most important problems for cancer patients. <sup>15</sup>

In the present study, it was somewhat differently from the previous studies and preventive behaviors were not investigated, but rather the effect of risk perception and efficacy appraisal on defensive avoidance in relation to protective behaviors of COVID-19 was investigated. Risk perception is one of the determinants of disease prevention and treatment, and studies have shown that in the case of COVID-19, risk perception has a significant relationship with compliance with preventive behaviors. 2,16,17 One of the most widely used models in the field of risk perception is the extended parallel process model (EPPM), 18,19 which is commonly used in health communication campaigns when a message is trying to persuade an audience to adopt a healthy behavior. 20 EPPM has seven constructs including perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, self-efficacy, response efficacy, fear, defensive avoidance, and protection motivation. According to the EPPM, feararousing messages may initiate two appraisals, (1) appraisal of the threat and (2) appraisal of the efficacy. The threat appraisal involves the severity and susceptibility to the risk. The efficacy appraisal also includes self-efficacy and response efficacy. In situations where appraisal efficacy is low, risk perception is not in a favorable state and fear overcomes both, defense mechanisms (defensive avoidance) are activated against healthy behavior. In such a situation, the possibility of protective motivation and perform preventive behavior decreases.<sup>21</sup>

Considering the special problems of cancer patients, especially during the covid-19 pandemic, and the importance of risk

perception in performing/not performing preventive behaviors against covid-19, the present study investigated the role of risk perception and efficacy appraisal on COVID-19 defensive avoidance in contrast to preventive behaviors in cancer patients.

#### 2 | METHODS

## 2.1 | The study setting and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted with 200 cancer patients admitted to the oncology ward of Imam Khomeini Hospital in Ardabil, Iran. Patients were included in the study based on convenience sampling method from July to August 2020. The sample conceived for this study was calculated using the results of study by Rezaei et al.<sup>2</sup> According to the standard deviation of 3.04 in the mentioned study, considering  $\alpha = 0.05$ , d = 0.5 and the effect size of 1.4, the sample size was determined as 200 people.

$$n = \left(\frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \delta}{d}\right)^2.$$

The inclusion criteria were having cancer, and not being infected with COVID-19 at the time of the study. Data were collected using a researcher-made questionnaire on risk perception of cancer patients towards COVID-19 based on an extended parallel process model (EPPM). The process of data collection was done after explaining the objectives of the study and obtaining oral informed consent from the patients.

#### 2.2 | Measurements and scoring

The questionnaire consisted of three parts: 16 questions about demographic variables; 18 questions related to COVID-19 risk perception based on EPPM included six subscales: perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, self-efficacy, response efficacy, fear and defensive avoidance, each of which was evaluated with three questions; and finally, three questions to measure behavioral intention. The questions of the EPPM constructs and behavioral intention were scored with a 5-point Likert scale. The content validity of the questionnaire was checked using content validity ratio (CVR) and content validity index (CVI) through a panel of experts, including six health education experts, two epidemiologists, and one oncologist. In the CVR evaluation, experts commented on the necessity of the questions, and in the CVI, the simplicity, relevance, and clarity of the questions were evaluated. All questions of EPPM constructs and behavioral intention scale were approved by experts with CVI of 92% and 94%, and CVR of 94% and 88%, respectively. Internal consistency of the questions was approved with Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.75 and 0.90, for the EPPM scale and behavioral intention scale, respectively.

**TABLE 1** Demographic status of participants.

·		
Variables		N (%)
Age	40-50	35 (17.5)
	51-60	100 (50)
	>60	65 (32.5)
Gender	Male	109 (54.5%)
	Female	91 (45.5)
Education	Academic	124 (62%)
	Nonacademic	76 (38%)
Residency	Rural	54 (27%)
	Town	35 (17.5%)
	City	111 (55.5%)
Involved organ	GI	65 (32.5%)
	Blood	40 (20%)
	Lung	37 (18.5%)
	Breast	32 (16%)
	Skin	11 (5.5%)
	Other	15 (7.5%)

### 2.3 | Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS-20). Mean ± SD or rate (%) were used to present the descriptive statistics. To ensure the quality of the data, the normality of the data was checked. Also, outlier data were searched and managed through boxplot and scatterplot. Linear regression was also used to determine predictors of defensive avoidance behaviors in which DA was the dependent variable and other constructs of EPPM, intention, and demographic and contextual characteristics were independent variables. First, the univariate regression showed that the model constructs separately met the conditions to be included in the multiple regression model. Visual inspection of the observations showed that the data were independent. The normality of the data was confirmed by histogram and its results. Durbin-Watson (DW) statistics rejected the autocorrelation of data (DW = 2.140). Linear regression was used to identify the factors related to defensive avoidance, so that the demographic variables, constructs of EPPM, and intention entered into the stepwise model. In this study, a 95% (p < 0.05) significance level was adopted.

# 2.4 | Ethical aspect of the study

This study obtained ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences (IR.ARUMS.REC.1399.164). Oral informed consent was obtained from all of the participants.

TABLE 2 Mean and SD of Covid-19 EPPM questions.

TABLE 2 Mean and SD of Covid-19 EPPM q	uestior	ıs.	
Questions	N	М	SD
Perceived sensitivity It is possible that I also get covid-19 If I do not follow health protocols, I may get covid-19 I have a high level of immunity and it is not possible for me to get coronavirus	200	11.4	2.4
Perceived severity Covid-19 is a fetal disease If I get covid-19, I may lose my life Coronavirus only kills people who do not follow health protocols.	200	10	2.4
Self-efficacy I can easily use a face mask and gloves. I can stay home during the coronavirus outbreak and avoid unnecessary traveling. I can always wash my hands.	200	12.3	2.7
Fear Thinking about the coronavirus and its side effects scares me. Seeing and hearing the news about Coronavirus scares me. I feel panic and despair when I think of Coronavirus.	200	9.3	3.5
Response efficacy Wearing a face mask can prevent Covid-19. Frequent hand washing and implementing social distancing can prevent Covid-19. Staying home can help prevent Covid-19.	200	12.6	2.2
Defensive avoidance I do not want to think about the dangers of the Coronavirus I do not want to do anything to prevent the coronavirus I think that home quarantine is unlikely to be required to prevent covid-19.	200	8	2.8
Intention I am going to use gloves and a face mask. I am going to wash my hands regularly. I am going to stay at home as much as possible to reduce the risk of Coronavirus.	200	12.4	2.2

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; EPPM, extended parallel process model; SD, standard deviation.

# 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Descriptive results

In the present study, 200 cancer patients participated with mean  $\pm$  SD of age of 48  $\pm$  17. Half of the participants had an age range of 51–60 years old, 54.5% (n = 109) were men, 38% (n = 76) had nonacademic education, 27% (n = 54) lived in rural area and about one-third of patients (32.5%, n = 65) had gastrointestinal cancer. More details on demographic and contextual variables are provided (Table 1).

The mean and standard deviation of the EPPM constructs were as follow: perceived susceptibility (11.4  $\pm$  2.4), perceived severity (10.0  $\pm$  2.4), self-efficacy (12.3  $\pm$  2.7), response efficacy (12.6  $\pm$  2.2), fear (9.3  $\pm$  3.5), and defensive avoidance (8  $\pm$  2.8). Also mean and standard deviation of intention was (12.4  $\pm$  2.2). According to these results, response efficacy had the highest mean and defensive avoidance had the lowest mean score. The questions related to each subscale and the obtained score are presented (Table 2).

# 3.2 | Regression results

In the regression model, R squared ( $R^2$ ), and adjusted R squared (adj- $R^2$ ) were 0.282 and 0.28, respectively, according to which, about 28% of the changes in the defensive avoidance behaviors explained by significant dependent variables (Table 3).

As shown in Table 3, among the EPPM constructs in addition to the behavioral intention variable, fear ( $\beta$  = 0.242, p-value > 0.1), and perceived severity ( $\beta$  = 0.191, p-value = 0.008), were significant predictors of defensive avoidance behaviors.

## 4 | DISCUSSION

This study was conducted to investigate risk perception toward COVID-19 and defensive avoidance against COVID-19 preventive behavior in cancer patients. Main results showed that fear and perceived severity as two main components of risk perception were significant predictors of defensive avoidance.

Risk perception plays a central role in many models and theories of health behavior, including the Health Belief Model (HBM), Protection Motivation Theory, the Theory of Reasoned Action, and EPPM.<sup>22</sup> In the present study, which was based on EPPM, risk perception was measured directly by the constructs of perceived sensitivity and severity and implicitly by the constructs of self-efficacy, response efficacy, and fear. A study in Iran by Motayerzadeh

et al.<sup>23</sup> based on EPPM showed that perceived severity was a significant predictor of 5% for performing preventive behaviors against COVID-19. Rezaei et al.<sup>2</sup> also showed that for each unit of increase in risk perception (perceived susceptibility and severity), preventive behaviors against COVID-19 increase by about 5%. A study in United States by Garfin et al.,<sup>24</sup> also showed that greater perceptions of the risks from Coronavirus were associated with greater frequency of social-distancing behaviors.

Some studies have investigated both variables of risk perception and fear. For example, the study by Yıldırım et al.<sup>25</sup> in Turkey suggested that perceived risk and fear can significantly increase engagement in preventive behaviors during the novel coronavirus pandemic.

Fear in the present study was significant strongest predictor of defensive avoidance in cancer patients. Some studies have shown that people with underlying medical conditions report more fear of COVID-19.<sup>26</sup> Qian et al.<sup>27</sup> in China also found that higher COVID-19 risk perception was associated with increased fear and anxiety. Musche et al.<sup>28</sup> in Germany also showed that diabetic patients had a greater risk perception and fear of COVID-19 than the general population.

Some studies, however, have dealt with the issue from another aspect. They have shown that high risk perception is not always associated with positive results and sometimes leads to increased fear and adoption of defensive and avoidance behaviors. For example, a study showed that the risk perception of the epidemic disease is related to different perceptions, which can be referred to the reduction of fear or the exaggeration of the risk around COVID-19.<sup>29</sup> The results of a qualitative study by Alqahtani et al.<sup>30</sup> in Saudi Arabia also showed that risk perception is the basis of the tendency to deny risks or react with exaggeration in terms of precautionary reactions related to COVID-19. This is a vicious cycle because the higher risk perception of contracting COVID-19 can lead to an increase in anxiety and fear of chronic patients and subsequently cause postponement of treatment sessions and increase in avoidance behaviors.<sup>16</sup>

**TABLE 3** Regression analysis of EPPM constructs for COVID-19.

	Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients			
Model	В	Std. error	β	t	Sig.	
Perceived severity	0.222	0.083	0.191	-2.669	0.008	
Fear	0.192	0.056	0.242	3.414	0.001	
Intention	-0.069	0.099	-0.055	-0.702	0.483	
Response efficacy	-0.010	0.123	-0.008	-0.079	0.937	
Perceived susceptibility	0.099	0.081	0.087	1.221	0.224	
Self-efficacy	-0.059	0.097	-0.057	-0.611	0.542	

Note: Dependent variable: Defensive avoidance. R squared ( $R^2$  = 282), and adjusted R squared (adj- $R^2$  = 0.28).

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; EPPM, extended parallel process model.

5 of 6



In the present study, defensive avoidance was dependent variables influenced by perceived severity and fear. According to psychoanalytic theory, a defense mechanism is a psychological strategy that aims to reduce anxiety caused by potentially threatening impulses.<sup>31</sup> Perceived stress and tension caused by preventive measures related to the COVID-19 pandemic can stimulate psychological defense mechanisms.<sup>32</sup> Defensive avoidance occurs when people block out feelings and thoughts related to a threat or ignore further information about it, for example, changing the TV channel or ignoring news related to COVID-19.33

The results of this study can be used in designing health messages to reduce defensive avoidance and increase preventive behaviors against covid-19 in cancer patients. By understanding that reducing fear and increasing perceived severity can reduce defensive avoidance, health messages can be designed and presented in a targeted manner.

This study was limited by the reliance on self-report data. Participants in this research may have over-reported or low-reported their risk perception. However, the present study provided us with significant results regarding the effect of fear and perceived severity of Covid-19 on defense avoidance mechanisms.

# CONCLUSION

The defense mechanisms against covid-19 prevention behaviors were significantly predicted by fear and the perceived severity of the disease. This demonstrates that fear and disease severity perceptions may not always correspond to preventive behavior. It is acclaimed that authentic and reliable news, information, and health education be delivered by the mass media and healthcare professionals to diminution fear and augment preventative behaviors of COVID-19 in cancer patients

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Mehdi Khezeli: Conceptualization. Asghar Tavan: Methodology. Sajjad Narimani: Formal analysis; investigation; supervision; validation. Vahideh Hoseini: Writing-original draft. Elham Zare Hosseinzadeh: Writing-review & editing. Parisa Motamedi: Data curation; formal analysis.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

All authors would like to acknowledge the social determinant of health center of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Iran for supporting this project. This study supported by Social Determinant of Health Research Center of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article and can be requested from the corresponding author.

#### TRANSPARENCY STATEMENT

The lead author Sajjad Narimani affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained.

#### ORCID

Mehdi Khezeli http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9372-4224 Asghar Tavan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1090-2214 Sajjad Narimani http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7257-8184 Vahideh Hoseini http://orcid.org/0009-0002-2593-6289 Elham Zare Hosseinzadeh http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5255-4676 Parisa Motamedi http://orcid.org/0009-0009-9187-8532

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Yoosefi Lebni J. Pavee S. Saki M. Ziapour A. Ahmadi A. Khezeli M. Determinants of observing health protocols related to preventing COVID-19 in adult women: a qualitative study in Iran. Front Public Health, 2022:10:969658.
- 2. Rezaei M, Gilan NR, Almasi A, et al. The role of risk perception, risk communication, and demographic factors in COVID-19 preventive behaviors: an online survey in Iran. Osong Public Health Res Perspect. 2022:13(4):282-289.
- 3. Ariapooran S, Khezeli M. Symptoms of anxiety disorders in Iranian adolescents with hearing loss during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry. 2021;21(1):1-5.
- 4. Nachtigall I, Lenga P, Jóźwiak K, et al. Clinical course and factors associated with outcomes among 1904 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in Germany: an observational study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020:26(12):1663-1669.
- 5. Casas-Rojo JM, Antón-Santos JM, Millán-Núñez-Cortés J, et al. Características clínicas de los pacientes hospitalizados con COVID-19 en España: resultados del Registro SEMI-COVID-19. Rev Clin Esp. 2020;220(8):480-494.
- 6. Baud D, Qi X, Nielsen-Saines K, Musso D, Pomar L, Favre G. Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(7):773.
- Pourghasemi HR, Pouyan S, Heidari B, et al. Spatial modeling, risk mapping, change detection, and outbreak trend analysis of coronavirus (COVID-19) in Iran (days between February 19 and June 14, 2020). Int J Infect Dis. 2020;98:90-108.
- Mamishi S, Heydari H, Aziz-Ahari A, et al. Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in children in Iran: atypical CT manifestations and mortality risk of severe COVID-19 infection. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2021;54(5):839-844.
- Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. The Lancet Global Health. 2020;8(8):e1003-e1017.
- 10. Hassan SA, Sheikh FN, Jamal S, Ezeh JK, Akhtar A. Coronavirus (COVID-19): a review of clinical features, diagnosis, and treatment. Cureus. 2020;12(3):e7355.
- 11. Venkatesulu BP, Chandrasekar VT, Girdhar P, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of cancer patients affected by a novel coronavirus. JNCI Cancer Spectrum. 2021;5(2):102.
- 12. Silverman DA, Lin C, Tamaki A, et al. Respiratory and pulmonary complications in head and neck cancer patients: evidence-based review for the COVID-19 era. Head Neck. 2020;42(6): 1218-1226.

- Sengar M, Chinnaswamy G, Ranganathan P, et al. Outcomes of COVID-19 and risk factors in patients with cancer. *Nature Cancer*. 2022;3:547-551.
- Kazemian A, Ghalehtaki R, Rezaei S, et al. The incidence of clinical Covid-19 infection in patients undergoing radiotherapy during the two first waves in a university hospital in Iran. Basic Clin Cancer Res. 2022;13(2):127-132.
- Tremble K, Fox L, Moss C, et al. The impact of hospital attendance on COVID-19 infection in cancer patients: an assessment of data from Guy's cancer. Future Oncol. 2022;18(10):1211-1218.
- Nouri M, Hanifi N, Babaeivahed N, Mortaghy Ghasemy M. COVID-19 risk perception and its related factors and outcomes in vulnerable groups: a systematic review. Prev Care Nursing Midwifery J. 2023;13(1):1-13.
- Alegria KE, Fleszar-Pavlović SE, Ngo DD, et al. The role of risk perceptions and affective consequences in COVID-19 protective behaviors. Int J Behav Med. 2021;28:801-807.
- Jahangiry L, Bakhtari F, Sohrabi Z, et al. Risk perception related to COVID-19 among the Iranian general population: an application of the extended parallel process model. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1571.
- Zarghami F, Allahverdipour H, Jafarabadi MA. Extended parallel process model (EPPM) in evaluating lung cancer risk perception among older smokers. BMC Public Health. 2021;21:1872.
- Popova L. Extended parallel process model. The International Encyclopedia of Media Psychology. Wiley; 2020:1-6.
- Witte K. Putting the fear back into fear appeals: the extended parallel process model. Commun Monogr. 1992;59(4):329-349.
- Breakwell G. The Psychology of Risk. Cambridge University Press; 2018.
- Motayerzadeh S, Tahmasebi R, Darabi A, Noroozi A. Predictor factors of preventive behaviors based on extended parallel process model (EPPM) in residents of Bushehr province in 2021. *Iranian* J Health Educ Health Promot. 2022;10(4):399-411.
- Garfin DR, Fischhoff B, Holman EA, Silver RC. Risk perceptions and health behaviors as COVID-19 emerged in the United States: results from a probability-based nationally representative sample. J Exp Psychol Appl. 2021;27(4):584-598.

- Yıldırım M, Geçer E, Akgül Ö. The impacts of vulnerability, perceived risk, and fear on preventive behaviours against COVID-19. Psychol Health Med. 2021;26(1):35-43.
- Kohler H, Bäuerle A, Schweda A, et al. Increased COVID-19-related fear and subjective risk perception regarding COVID-19 affects behavior in individuals with internal high-risk diseases. J Primary Care Commun Health. 2021;12:215013272199689.
- Qian D, Li O. The relationship between risk event involvement and risk perception during the COVID-19 outbreak in China. Appl Psychol: Health Well-Being. 2020;12(4):983-999.
- Musche V, Kohler H, Bäuerle A, et al. COVID-19-Related fear, risk perception, and safety behavior in individuals with diabetes. Healthcare. 2021:9(4):480.
- Lohiniva AL, Pensola A, Hyökki S, Sivelä J, Tammi T. COVID-19 risk perception framework of the public: an infodemic tool for future pandemics and epidemics. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1):2124.
- Alqahtani MMJ, Arnout BA, Fadhel FH, Sufyan NSS. Risk perceptions of COVID-19 and its impact on precautionary behavior: A qualitative study. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2021;104(8):1860-1867.
- 31. Vaillant GE. Involuntary coping mechanisms: a psychodynamic perspective. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2011;13(3):366-370.
- Marcinko D, Jakovljevic M, Jaksic N, Bjedov S, Mindoljevic Drakulic A. The importance of psychodynamic approach during COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatr Danubina*. 2020;32:15-21.
- Vijeth SB, Tejasvi HT, Kotresh M, Bhoovanachandaran M. Extended parallel process model in Covid-19 risk perception and risk assessment among general population. Eur J Mol Clin Med. 2021;8(4): 1597-1608.

How to cite this article: Khezeli M, Tavan A, Narimani S, Hoseini V, Hosseinzadeh EZ, Motamedi P. Risk perception and avoidance of preventive behavior on the COVID-19 among cancer patients. *Health Sci Rep.* 2023;6:e1401. doi:10.1002/hsr2.1401