

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Girard C, Bastelica T, Léone J, Epinat-Duclos J, Longo L, Prado J (2021) The relation between home numeracy practices and a variety of math skills in elementary school children. PLoS ONE 16(9): e0255400. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0255400

Editor: Madelon van den Boer, Universiteit van Amsterdam, NETHERLANDS

Received: December 1, 2020

Accepted: July 16, 2021

Published: September 20, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255400

Copyright: © 2021 Girard et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data underlying this study are publicly available at <u>https://osf.io/</u>vty3c/files/.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The relation between home numeracy practices and a variety of math skills in elementary school children

Cléa Girard *, Thomas Bastelica, Jessica Léone, Justine Epinat-Duclos, Léa Longo, Jérôme Prado*

Lyon Neuroscience Research Center (CRNL), Experiential Neuroscience and Mental Training Team, INSERM U1028—CNRS UMR5292, University of Lyon, Lyon, France

* clea.girard@etu.univ-lyon1.fr (CG); jerome.prado@univ-lyon1.fr (JP)

Abstract

A growing number of studies suggest that the frequency of numeracy experiences that parents provide at home may relate to children's mathematical development. However, the relation between home numeracy practices and children's numerical skills is complex and might depend upon both the type and difficulty of activities, as well as the type of math skills. Studies have also argued that this relation may be driven by factors that are not systematically controlled for in the literature, including socio-economic status (SES), parental math skills and children's IQ. Finally, as most prior studies have focused on preschoolers, it remains unclear to what extent there remains a relation between the home numeracy environment and math skills when children are in elementary school. In the present study, we tested an extensive range of math skills in 66 8-year-olds, including non-symbolic quantity processing, symbolic number understanding, transcoding, counting, and mental arithmetic. We also asked parents to complete a questionnaire about their SES, academic expectations, academic attitudes, and the numeracy practices that they provide at home. Finally, we measured their arithmetic fluency as a proxy for parental math skills. Over and above differences in socio-economic status, parental arithmetic fluency, child's IQ, and time spent with the child, we found a positive relation between the frequency of formal numeracy practices that were at or above grade level and two separate measures of mental arithmetic. We further found that the frequency of these advanced formal numeracy practices was related to parents' academic expectations. Therefore, our study shows that home numeracy experiences predict arithmetic skills in elementary school children, but only when those activities are formal and sufficiently challenging for children.

Introduction

Numeracy is fundamental to many aspects of professional and personal life in modern society [1]. Yet, there are substantial individual differences in math skills among children [2]. A growing body of research indicates that these individual differences can be observed even before the beginning of formal schooling [3–6]. This raises the possibility that disparities in numerical

Funding: This research was supported by grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-14-CE30-0002 and ANR-17-CE28-0014) to JP.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

skills among children might (at least in part) come from their experiences with math at home [7-12].

The idea that children's home numeracy experiences may provide a foundation for math learning in school is motivated by decades of research showing that children's early literacy activities at home (e.g., reading and conversing with their caregivers) relate to future literacy skills [13-17]. Thus, in the same way as early home literacy activities define what has been termed the "home literacy environment" (HLE), activities and resources that relate to numeracy learning at home may define a "home numeracy environment" (HNE) [7–10]. Although research on the HNE remains more limited than research on the HLE, a growing number of studies for the most part relying on parental questionnaires [18–20], suggests associations between home numeracy practices and children's math outcomes [21–24]. However, the relation between math skills and the HNE appears to be relatively complex and sometimes inconsistent (for a review, see [25, 26]). For example, several studies have found a relation between higher quality HNE (i.e., more frequent home numeracy activities) and better numerical skills (e.g., [8, 10, 19, 20, 22, 24, 27–32]). However, other studies have failed to find such an association (e.g., [33–36]) and some have even found a negative relation [22, 37]. How can this relative inconsistency be explained?

The HNE may involve practices that differ in type and difficulty

As a first possible way to explain the inconsistent relation between the HNE and math skills, LeFevre and colleagues have argued that home numeracy activities may have different effects depending on whether they are formal and informal [20, 22, 38]. Both formal and informal activities involve manipulating math concepts. However, formal activities are those in which parents explicitly intend to teach numerical skills to their child. For instance, parents clearly intend to teach math concepts when they engage in counting activities or explain the meaning of Arabic numerals. In contrast, informal activities are those whose purpose is not to teach numerical skills. Rather, these activities represent informal opportunities for children to be exposed to various math concepts, such as fraction when cooking or numerical ordering when playing a linear board game [20, 22]. Consistent with a conceptual dissociation between formal and informal numeracy activities, a few studies have found that the relation between the HNE and math skills differs as a function of the type of activity [20, 22, 39-41]. Note, however, that the pattern of differences is not necessarily consistent between samples, with some studies reporting a unique relation between formal numeracy practices and math skills [19, 39] and others reporting a unique relation between informal numeracy practices and math skills [20].

It is also possible that the inconsistent relation between the HNE and math skills may be explained by differences in the level of complexity of home activities. Skwarchuk [42] proposed that a distinction should be made between (a) formal activities that are basic and typically do not challenge children (e.g., counting a small number of objects in preschoolers) and (b) formal activities that are more advanced and typically do challenge them (e.g., count by twos in preschoolers). Overall, this conceptual distinction has been broadly supported in the literature. For instance, studies in which basic and advanced formal activities are distinguished have found a positive relation between children's math skills and the frequency of advanced formal numeracy activities [22, 23, 42, 43]. In contrast, the frequency of basic numeracy activities has been found to be either negatively correlated or not associated with children's math skills [44]. Overall, then, both differences in type (formal versus informal) and difficulty (basic versus advanced) of numeracy activities need to be considered when investigating a potential link between the HNE and math skills.

The HNE may relate to some math skills and not others

The relation between the HNE and math skills may not only vary with the heterogeneity of home numeracy practices, but also with the type of children's math skills. In other words, the HNE might relate to some aspects of mathematical skills but not others. Although the large majority of studies on the HNE have used composite math scores (e.g., [10, 20, 30, 31, 35, 43, 45, 46]), there is growing evidence that the relation between the HNE and children's math skills may depend upon the type of skill measured [22, 24, 41, 47]. For example, Skwarchuk and colleagues [22] found that the frequency of formal home numeracy practices relates to symbolic number knowledge, but not to non-symbolic arithmetic skills. In contrast, the frequency of informal home numeracy practices relates to non-symbolic arithmetic skills, but not to symbolic number knowledge. More recent studies also found that the relation between the HNE and children's math skills depends upon the type of math ability (e.g., symbolic number processing, mapping, non-symbolic number processing, and calculation) as well as the type of numeracy practice (i.e., formal versus informal) [24, 41]. Therefore, in addition to differences in the type and difficulty of numeracy activities, differences in the type of math skills investigated may be an important factor when investigating the HNE [25]. Yet, many studies on the HNE lack a comprehensive assessment of a range of numerical skills in children [41].

HNE and math skills in elementary school

Another dimension that might affect the relation between the HNE and math skills is the age of children. To date, the large majority of studies have examined the link between HNE and math performance in pre-schoolers and kindergarten-aged children [19, 20, 22, 40, 42]. Furthermore, the few studies that have focused on the HNE of older children do not allow for a detailed examination of the relations between numeracy practices and math achievement. For instance, Tamis-LeMonda and colleagues [11] have examined the relation between learning environment and math problem-solving skills in 5th-graders. Yet, quality of the environment was exclusively defined by literacy activities and general mother-child interactions. Sammons and colleagues [48] also related the frequency of educational practices at home to students' math achievement in secondary school. However, numeracy practices were not examined independently from literacy practices, making it impossible to distinguish the specific effects of the HNE. Finally, Lefevre and colleagues [20] gathered a more extensive measure of numeracy practices in parents of 2nd-graders. However, these children were grouped with kindergarten-aged and 1st-graders in the analyses. This again makes it difficult to assess the relation in older children (for a similar conclusion, see [25]). Therefore, little is known about the relation between math skills and the HNE beyond 1st grade (i.e., when children learn formal math in school). Yet, studies on academic socialization of children within the family context suggest that parental involvement in children's academic achievement continues well into elementary school (before it may decrease as children move to middle and high school; [49, 50]). For example, parents of elementary school children may vary in the extent to which they volunteer at school, attend school events, attend to meetings with teachers or engage in learning activities at home [51]. Such behaviors and beliefs towards schooling may be influenced by parents' own experiences [49] and shape children's academic development. Indeed, studies have found a relationship between parents' involvement in children's schooling and academic performance [52].

The relation between HNE and math skills might be confounded by other environmental and genetic factors

Finally, previous studies have not always examined the relation between home numeracy practices and math skills while controlling from other potentially confounding factors. For example, the development of numeracy skills is related to the development of literacy skills [53–57]. Although several studies have measured the influence of the home environment through general measures of both literacy and numeracy (i.e., the Home Learning Environment; [9, 58, 59]), little research has investigated the influence of both literacy and numeracy practices on children's math skills. Results from these studies are also mixed [24, 32, 35, 60]. For example, Anders and colleagues [27] found that measures of both home numeracy and literacy practices are related to young children's numerical development. Lehrl and colleagues [60] also found that book exposure during the preschool years predicted math skills later on. However, other studies showed more domain-specific literacy and numeracy practices [39, 61] or failed to show an effect of the home literacy practices on children's numerical skills [19, 35]. Therefore, it remains unclear whether children's numeracy skills specifically relate to home numeracy practices or depend more generally on the quality of the broad learning environment at home.

It is also important to note that the relation between quality of the home learning environment and academic skills is often interpreted as reflecting a purely environmental influence on children's abilities. However, this association might also be due (at least in part) to genetic heritability [62, 63]. Genetic predispositions to high math or reading achievement from parents may be passed on to children. These parents may also be more likely to maintain high quality learning environments [29, 64, 65]. In the case of literacy skills, van Bergen and colleagues [63] have recently shown that many (but not all) associations between home literacy practices and children's reading fluency were accounted for by parental reading fluency. This suggests that the relation between the HLE and literacy skills may reflect a mixture of environmental and genetic effects. Hart and colleagues have also argued that relations between HNE and children's math skills should be controlled for parental math skills, as it may serve as a genetic proxy for predisposition to high math achievement [62, 66]. To date, only a limited number of studies have included parents' math skills when studying the relation between the HNE and children's math achievement [29, 62, 67–69]. Therefore, it is possible that this relation reflects (at least in part) a passive gene–environment correlation.

The current study

The present study investigates the relation between home numeracy practices and math skills of a sample of French early elementary schoolers (2nd and 3rd grades) who underwent more comprehensive behavioral testing than what is usually achieved in the HNE literature [10, 30, 41, 46]. Specifically, children were tested on a range of standardized math competences (non-symbolic quantity processing, symbolic number understanding, transcoding, counting, arithmetic calculation, and arithmetic fluency), as well as on measures of general cognitive functioning. Parents were also tested on a proxy measure of math skills (i.e., arithmetic fluency) and reported the frequency of informal, formal basic and formal advanced home numeracy (and literacy) practices.

This extensive data collection allowed us to address two main questions. First, we asked whether there remains a relation between home numeracy practices and math skills in these children, who are older than children typically tested in the HNE literature. Critically, our range of measures allowed us to (a) control for parental math skills, (b) assess which numeracy practices (informal versus formal, basic versus advanced) relate to specific math skills, and (c) evaluate whether the relation would be specific to numeracy practices (i.e., not observed with comparable literacy practices). In keeping with the previous literature on younger children, we expected to observe a relation between the HNE and math skills that would depend upon the type and difficulty of the practice. That is, we anticipated that informal practices would be associated with non-symbolic skills while formal practices would be associated with symbolic skills, especially when those were relatively advanced with respect to children's age.

Second, we also aimed to investigate which parental traits (e.g., expectations, attitudes, skills) predict more frequent numeracy practices. Indeed, several studies have investigated the role of parental math attitude and academic expectations in shaping the HNE [see 25, 26]. Parental attitudes include their level of math anxiety (i.e., their tendency to avoid situations that involve math [70]) but also their use of math in everyday life as well as their evaluation of their own math skills when they were in school [71, 72]. Academic expectations are typically measured by asking parents how important it is for them that their child acquires a given skill within some time frame (e.g., [22]). Overall, although an association between parental attitudes and home numeracy practices remains to be clearly demonstrated (e.g., [20, 22]), studies have more consistently found a relation between higher academic expectations and increased frequency of numeracy practices at home [18, 19, 22, 30, 43]. Thus, we expected that the frequency of math activities reported by parents would depend on their academic expectations.

Material and methods

Participants

Seventy-three elementary school children of approximately 8 years of age and one of their parents were recruited for the experiment in the Lyon area in France. Participants were involved in a large project involving MRI scanning, which is why they all underwent extensive behavioral testing (at least as compared to what is typically achieved in studies on the HNE [25, 26]). All participants were contacted through flyers sent to schools and advertisements on social media. All parents and children came to the lab for a 2-hour long session during which they were given tests and questionnaires. Because studies suggest that the home learning environment may differ in children with low intelligence quotient (IQ) [73] and attention deficit disorder [74], we excluded children who had an IQ lower than the 25th percentile (n = 2) and were diagnosed with attention deficit disorder (n = 1). Because we also anticipated differences in the learning environment of children who were seeing a speech-language pathologist on a regular basis (n = 3) and had a delay in speech and language acquisition (n = 1).

Therefore, 66 typically-developing 8-year-olds (M = 8.46, min = 7.51, max = 9.22) with no history of neurological disease, mental disorders of attention deficits were included in the final analyses. Out of the 66 children, 20 were in 2nd grade and 46 were in 3rd grade. A meta-analysis of the relations between children's math skills and predictors such as home numeracy practices, parental attitudes, and academic expectations estimated that the size of the correlation between correlations range from r = 0.31 to r = 0.46, with the smallest effect for academic expectations and the largest effect for practices (see Table 7.4 in [21]). Considering the smallest estimate of that range (r = 0.31), G*Power 3.1 [76] indicated that our final sample (n = 66) would provide an achieved power of 84% to detect a similar positive association in a linear regression at $\alpha = 0.05$ (one tailed).

All children and parents included in the study were native French speakers. Children's fullscale IQ was normal to high-normal, ranging from 83 to 135 (average = 112, Standard Deviation [SD] = 11.8). Eighty-nine percent of the parents whose children were included in the analyses were mothers. Parents completed a questionnaire evaluating their SES. Parental income ranged from less than $\leq 12,000$ to more than $\leq 60,000$ per year. Fourteen percent of parents reported to only have a secondary degree, 50% reported to have an undergraduate degree, and 36% a master degree or higher. One parent did not go to high school. Therefore, SES ranged from low to high. Parents gave written informed consent and children gave their assent to participate in the study. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (Comité pour la Protection des Personnes Sud-Est II, n°2014-041- AM2-2). Families were paid 40 euros for their participation in the testing session.

Materials

Child measures. Children's math skills were mainly assessed using the Neuropsychological Test Battery for Number Processing and Calculation in Children (Zareki-R, [77]). The battery includes 13 core subtests (see **S1 Appendix**). To reduce the number of variables, we grouped these subtests according to the corresponding math skill. Quantity estimation was measured using the "numerosity estimation" and "quantity in context" subtests. Symbolic number understanding was assessed using the "written comparison", "oral comparison", and "number-to-position mapping" subtests. Counting was assessed using the "dot counting" and "oral reverse counting" subtests. Transcoding was assessed using the "number reading" and "number writing" subtests. Finally, arithmetic calculation was assessed using the "oral problem-solving", "addition", "subtraction", and "multiplication" subtests. A standardized score was obtained for each of these subtests and an average standardized score was obtained for each math skill (see Table 1). Norms were based on a sample of 249 French children from 6 to 11.5 (i.e., Grade 1 to 5) [77]. In the norming sample, the Zareki-R battery has been shown to

Table 1. Children's standardized (mean = 100 , SD = 15) scores on the Zareki-R and V	WJ-III subtests.
---	------------------

Subtest	Mean (SD)	Min	Max
Quantity estimation (Zareki-R)			
Numerosity estimation	106 (19)	57	123
Quantity in context	109 (13)	75	122
Average	107 (12)	66	123
Symbolic number understanding (Zareki-R)			
Written comparison	106 (10)	66	112
Oral comparison	110 (12)	66	122
Number-to-position mapping	107 (11)	73	129
Average	108 (7)	90	121
Counting (Zareki-R)			
Dot counting	103 (11)	58	111
Oral reverse counting	104 (2)	68	114
Average	104 (7)	80	113
Transcoding (Zareki-R)			
Number writing	107 (2)	52	117
Number reading	105 (8)	65	115
Average	106 (8)	59	116
Arithmetic calculation (Zareki-R)			
Oral problem-solving	110 (13)	84	127
Addition	107 (14)	54	121
Subtraction	111 (12)	83	128
Multiplication	107 (12)	72	114
Average	109 (9)	87	122
Arithmetic fluency (WJ-III)			
Math Fluency	104 (24)	45	155

Notes. N = 66 The type of skill measured by each test is indicated in italics.

predict both teachers' evaluations of student's math skills, as well as to children's academic achievement in math [77]. A high internal consistency has also been reported in European samples ($\alpha = .93$ to $\alpha = .97$) [78].

In addition to the Zareki, children were also tested on the Math Fluency subtest of the Woodcock-Johnson Test of achievement (WJ III; [79]). In this test, participants solve simple addition, subtraction, and multiplication problems within a 3-min time limit. The test consists of 2 pages of 80 problems involving operands from 0 to 10. Addition, subtraction, and multiplication problems are only introduced after Item 60. Because this subtest is timed, it provides a more accurate measure of arithmetic *fluency* than the arithmetic subtests of the Zareki-R (which are untimed). Norms were based on a sample of 74 8-year-old French children [80]. The Math Fluency subtest of the WJ-II has been shown to have very high reliability ($r_{11} = 0.90$) [81].

In addition to math skills, children's IQ was estimated using the NEMI-2 standardized intelligence test [82]. The test uses measures of verbal intelligence (i.e., "general knowledge", "vocabulary", and "comparison" subtests) and matrix reasoning (i.e., "Raven's matrices" subtest) to provide a standardized score of full-scale IQ.

Home numeracy practices. Home numeracy practices were assessed through an electronic questionnaire given to parents on a tablet. Parents were asked how often they engaged in home learning activities that involved math with their child. To reduce the math-focus of the study, parents were also asked about language or reading activities and activities involving other academic and non-academic domains (e.g., music, biology). The latter, however, were

Item	Mean (SD)	Min	Max
Weighing / counting shopping ²	0.94 (1.03)	0	5
Counting out money ¹	1.2 (1.05)	0	4
Paying for shopping ²	1.06 (1.09)	0	5
Comparing magnitudes ¹	1 (1.03)	0	4
Playing board games with a numerical dice ¹	1.29 (0.83)	0	5
Playing number card games ¹	0.92 (0.82)	0	5
Playing computer / tablet games involving numbers ¹	0.71 (0.75)	0	3
Making/sorting collections ¹	0.68 (0.86)	0	4
Measuring lengths/widths ¹	0.8 (0.7)	0	3
Talking about temperature or speed ²	3 (1.54)	0	5
Measuring speeds ¹	2.65 (1.6)	0	5
Using a calculator ¹	0.76 (1.02)	0	5
Measuring ingredients while cooking ¹	1.44 (0.99)	0	5
Talking about the time with a watch or a clock ¹	3.77 (1.4)	1	5
Talking about the date with a calendar ¹	2.98 (1.53)	0	5
Dialing phone numbers ²	1.06 (1.37)	0	5
Singing songs with numbers ²	0.79 (0.86)	0	5
Average	1.47 (1.47)		

Table 2. Ratings associated with frequencies of informal home numeracy practices.

All parents (N = 66) were presented with all of the items. Rating: Did not occur/Activity is not relevant to my child was coded 0, Child is doing the activity without parent was coded 1, Parents used to engage in the activity in the past was coded 1, 1–3 times per month was coded 1, Once per week was coded 2, 2–4 times per week was coded 3, Almost daily was coded 4, Daily was coded 5.

¹Items directly translated from LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire.

²Items adapted from LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire to account for the fact that children in the present study are older.

considered filler items and were not analyzed in the present study. Activities were taken from the questionnaire used in LeFevre et al. [20, 22], which is widely used in studies on studies of the HNE (for a review see [25]). Because this questionnaire [20] was designed for parents of children in kindergarten, activities were adapted so that they were appropriate for parents of children in 2nd and 3rd grade (see Tables 2 and 3 for a list of activities and whether they were directly taken or adapted from the original questionnaire). For each activity, parents could choose among 8 response options. If they engaged in the activity with their child at home during the past month, they could indicate the frequency among 5 options: 1-3 times per month (scored 1), Once per week (scored 2), 2–4 times per week (scored 3), Almost daily (scored 4), Daily (scored 5). If they did not engage in the activity with the child within the past month, they had the choice between 3 response options. First, they could indicate that the child did practice the activity within the past month, but without parental involvement. Second, they could indicate that they used to engage in the activity with the child in the past but no longer did at the time of testing (as in [83]). Adding these response options is important because children in the present study were older than in most previous studies on the HNE, which raises the possibility that they might engage in numeracy activity by themselves at home or that some activities in our questionnaires might no longer be relevant [19, 62, 83]. It is thus critical to account for the reasons that might have led parents to not explicitly indicate that they engage in a given activity. In our main analyses, these two response options were each scored 1 because they still point to a somewhat supportive HNE, but we did not want them to weigh excessively on the overall scoring (as our study is focused on present practices that are shared between parents and children). However, we also present supplemental analyses in which these responses are kept separate to disentangle between present activities, past activities, and activities that children are doing alone. Third, the parents could also simply indicate that they did not engage in the activity or that the activity is not relevant. This last option was arguably not indicative of a supportive HNE and was therefore scored 0.

Overall, there were 77 home learning activities mentioned in the questionnaire. In order to make the present study comparable to previous studies on the HNE (see [26]), we used a theoretical rather than data-driven definition of practices [22]. Thirty-six of these activities were math-related, of which 17 were considered informal (see Table 2) and 19 were considered formal (see Table 3) [20, 38]. Following Skwarchuk et al. [22], formal activities were also considered either basic or advanced, depending on the complexity of the shared activity. As shown in S1 Table, level of complexity was determined based on the school curriculum (i.e., activities were considered advanced if they were for the most part not practiced in the classroom before Grade 2) Each advanced activity was also related to a more basic activity. For example, subtracting, multiplying or dividing numbers were considered either basic or advanced depending on the size of the operands (i.e., single-digit versus double-digit). Similarly, whereas activities such as reading and writing numbers up to 100 were considered relatively basic, reading and writing numbers up to 1,000 were considered more *advanced* in 8-year-olds. To disguise the goal of the experiment, the same structure was applied to activities that were not math-related. For instance, S2 and S3 Tables show how literacy activities were also divided into formal versus informal and basic versus advanced.

This design, however, implied that parents had to repeatedly answer questions that were about the same topic but only differed in terms of the complexity of the activity (e.g., "Did you count up to 100 with your child?" followed by "Did you count up to 1,000 with your child?"). Pilot testing revealed that this can make participants feel uncomfortable if they had never engaged in those activities (because they would have had to say so multiple times). To avoid such situations, and because the questionnaire was presented in an electronic format, advanced formal activities (both math and non-math-related) were not presented to parents if

Skill level	Item	Mean (SD)	Min	Max	N
Basic	Counting objects ²	1.74 (1.44)	0	5	66
	Counting without objects ²	1.03 (0.94)	0	4	66
	Memorizing results of simple addition problems ²	1.7 (1.38)	0	5	66
	Memorizing multiplication tables ²	$1.74 (1.44)$ $1.74 (1.44)$ $1.03 (0.94)$ blems² $1.7 (1.38)$ $2.03 (1.4)$ $1.86 (1.54)$ $2.38 (1.3)$ $1.^2$ $2.41 (1.51)$ $3)^2$ $2.26 (1.34)$ $1.17 (1.29)$ $1.17 (0.98)$ $1.17 (0.98)$ $1.17 (1.05)$ $1.68 (1.41)$ $1.52 (1.42)$ $2x6)^2$ $0.94 (1.09)$ $4)^2$ $0.29 (0.62)$	0	5	66
	Comparing quantities ²	1.86 (1.54)	0	5	66
	Adding numbers ²	2.38 (1.3)	0	5	66
	Subtracting single-digit numbers (e.g., 8-1) ²	2.41 (1.51)	0	5	66
	Multiplying single-digit numbers (e.g., 2x3) ²	2.26 (1.34)	0	5	66
	Talking about sharing ¹	2.39 (1.81)	0	5	66
	Dividing small numbers (e.g., $6 \div 2$) ²	1.17 (1.29)	0	5	66
	Writing numbers up to 20 ²	1.14 (0.92)	0	5	66
	Writing numbers up to 100 ²	1.17 (0.98)	0	5	66
	Reading numbers up to 20 ²	1 (1.13)	0	5	66
	Reading numbers up to 100 ²	1.21 (1.05)	0	5	66
	Average	1.68 (1.41)			66
Advanced	Subtracting double-digit numbers (e.g., 34–16) ²	1.52 (1.42)	0	5	61
	Multiplying double-digit numbers (e.g., 12x6) ²	0.94 (1.09)	0	4	59
	Dividing double-digit numbers (e.g., $12 \div 4$) ²	0.29 (0.62)	0	3	39
1	Writing numbers up to 1,000 ²	0.89 (0.94)	0	4	57
	Reading numbers up to 1,000 ²	1.12 (1.01)	0	5	56
	Average	0.95 (1.12)			

Table 3. Ratings associated with frequencies of formal home numeracy practices.

N, number of parents who were presented with the item. Rating: Did not occur/Activity is not relevant to my child was coded 0, Child is doing the activity without parent was coded 1, Parents used to engage in the activity in the past was coded 1, 1–3 times per month was coded 1, Once per week was coded 2, 2–4 times per week was coded 3, Almost daily was coded 4, Daily was coded 5.

¹Items directly translated from LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire.

²Items adapted from the LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire to account for the fact that children in the present study are older.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255400.t003

they stated that the corresponding more basic activity had never occurred at home. In other words, we assumed that parents who had never engaged in a basic activity in the past would be unlikely to now engage in the same activity with a more advanced content (i.e., questions that were not presented were thus considered indicative of activities that parents were not engaged in at present). Table 3 indicates the number of parents who were presented with each item. Frequency ratings associated with informal and formal home numeracy practices are listed on Tables 2 and 3.

Parent measures. Parents' math skills as well as attitudes and expectations towards math were assessed using tests and questionnaires. First, arithmetic fluency was assessed using the Math Fluency subtest of the Woodcock-Johnson-III Tests of Achievement (WJ-III) [79]. A standardized score was obtained for each parent using norms from a large sample of adults in the US.

Second, parents completed an electronic questionnaire aimed at evaluating their expectations and attitudes towards math. The questionnaire, which was adapted from LeFevre et al. [20], also included questions about domains other than math (e.g., reading, history, geography). As for the home numeracy practices, this was done to reduce the math focus of the study and these items were not analyzed. After responding to demographic questions and questions about their family (e.g., number of books and games at home, number of hours per day they typically spend with their child, whether the child attended preschool before the age of 3), parents used a five-point rating scale (i.e., Not sure, Strongly disagree, disagree, Agree, Strongly agree) to describe their current attitudes toward math (e.g. "I find math enjoyable", "I avoid situations that involve math" or "My job involves using math") and their past school experiences ("When I was at school, I was good at math"). These questions were directly taken from the LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire [20] and were simply translated into French. Using a six-point rating scale, they were then asked to provide a subjective estimate of their child's skills in math and other domains (i.e., Not sure, Severe difficulty, Difficulty, Average skills, Good skills, Very good skills). Again, this was directly taken from the LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire [20] and simply translated into French.

Parental expectations regarding math learning for their child were also explored using an adaptation of the LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire [20], in which parents originally rated how important it was for them that their child acquires a given skill by the end of kindergarten. Specifically, we made 3 modifications to the original questionnaire. First, because our questionnaire was presented in an electronic format, parents were forced to give an answer to each item. Therefore, we added a "no opinion" option to the original scale to give them the option to skip an item if they wished to do so. In other words, there were 6 options for each item: Really not important (coded -3), Not important (coded -1), No opinion (coded 0), Important (coded 1), Very important (coded 2), Extremely important (coded 3). Second, parents rated how important it was that their child acquires a given skill by the end of elementary school (rather than kindergarten). Third, although we kept all of the original items from the LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire [20] (with the exception of "count to 10" and "count to 20" which were deemed no longer relevant for elementary school children), we added several items that were more appropriate for elementary school children according to the French math curriculum. These are indicated in Table 4. These expectations were considered either basic or advanced, depending on the corresponding grade level in the French curriculum. Ratings associated with parental expectations are listed on Table 4.

The data and the parental questionnaire can be found on OSF (https://osf.io/vty3c/files/).

Skill level	Item	Mean (SD)	Min	Max
Basic	Count up to 100 ¹	2.27 (.93)	-1	3
	Count up to 1,000 ¹	1.94 (1.04)	-1	3
	Read numbers up to 100 ¹	2.20 (0.89)	-1	3
	Read numbers up to 1,000 ¹	2.02 (1.02)	-1	3
	Know simple sums without counting on fingers (e.g., 2+2) ¹	2.36 (0.75)	-1	3
	Know how to solve complex addition problems (e.g., 15+12) ¹	2 (0.78)	1	3
	Know simple multiplication problems (e.g., 2x6) ¹	2.32 (0.70)	1	3
	Average	2.14 (0.75)		
Advanced	Know complex multiplication problems (e.g., 14x7) ²	1.85 (.96)	-1	3
	Know fractions and how to use them (e.g., $2/3$) ²	1.23 (1.16)	-1	3
	Know how to solve division problems (e.g., $30 \div 5$) ²	1.72 (0.98)	-1	3
	Know decimal numbers (e.g., 3.2) ²	1.38 (1.00)	-1	3
	Know how to calculate with decimal numbers ²	1.12 (1.07)	-1	3
	Know probabilities and how to use them ²	0.02 (1.33)	-3	2
	Average	1.84 (0.85)		

Table 4. Parental expectations regarding math skills to be acquired by children at the end of elementary school.

N = 66; Rating: Really not important was coded -3, Not important was coded -1, No opinion was coded 0, Important was coded 1, Very important was coded 2, Extremely important was coded 3.

¹Items directly translated from LeFevre et al.'s questionnaire.

² Items added for the purpose of our study.

Data analysis

First, we tested whether math skills increased with the frequency of home numeracy practices (informal, formal basic and formal advanced) using one-tailed bivariate Pearson correlations. We then used scores on math subtests as outcomes of multiple regression analyses that included the predictors frequency of informal, formal basic and formal advanced practices. To test whether any of the relations observed were explained by other measured variables, we adjusted these regressions for children's overall cognitive functioning, parental SES, parental math skills, and time spent with the child at home. Finally, we performed a series of supplemental analyses in which (i) we controlled for the fact that a variable number of participants were presented with advanced items, (ii) we dissociated present practices with parent from present practices without parent and past practices, and (iii) we assessed the discriminant validity of numeracy practices (by investigating literacy practices).

Second, we tested whether home numeracy practices increased with parental traits using one-tailed bivariate Pearson correlations. We then used reported frequency of home numeracy practices as outcomes of multiple regression analyses that included parental traits (parental arithmetic fluency, basic and advanced expectations towards math and math attitudes) as predictors. These regressions were also adjusted for parental SES, parental estimates of their child's math skills time spent with the child at home. Supplemental analyses also dissociated present practices with parent from present practices without parent and past practices. All analyses were conducted in Jamovi version 1.6.3.

Results

How do math skills relate to home numeracy practices in early elementary schoolers?

The relations between math skills and home numeracy practices (informal, formal basic and formal advanced) were explored using bivariate Pearson correlations (Table 5) as well as

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Home numeracy practices										
1. Informal										
2. Formal / basic	0.46***									
3. Formal / advanced	0.28*	0.76***								
Zareki-R										
4. Quantity estimation	0.04	0.13	0.13							
5. Symbolic number understanding	0.09	0.04	0.09	0.39***						
6. Counting	0.03	-0.18	-0.14	0.28*	0.31**					
7. Transcoding	0.10	-0.04	-0.05	0.35**	0.44***	0.40***				
8. Arithmetic calculation	0.16	0.02	0.17†	0.35**	0.46***	0.11	0.19†			
WJ-III										
9. Arithmetic fluency	-0.04	0.10	0.28*	0.45***	0.44***	0.16	0.16†	0.53***		
Nemi-2										
10. Full-scale IQ	0.07	< 0.01	0.03	0.33**	0.40***	0.13	0.33**	0.41***	0.25*	

Table 5. Pearson correlation coefficients between home numeracy practices and children's math skills (as well as IQ) across all participants.

N = 66

***, p < .001

**, p < .01

*, p < .05.

†, p < .1. P values are one-tailed (testing for a positive association).

Practice	ractice Quantity Estimation ¹		Symbolic number understanding ¹		Counting ¹		Transcoding ¹		Arithmetic calculation ¹		Arithmetic fluency ²	
	η²p	t	η²p	t	η²p	t	η ² p	t	η²p	t	η²p	t
Informal	< 0.001	-0.115	0.010	0.773	0.016	1.008	0.016	0.992	0.045	1.715	0.003	-0.461
Formal basic	0.002	0.326	0.008	-0.687	0.026	-1.279	0.002	-0.356	0.064	-2.055	0.019	-1.096
Formal advanced	0.003	0.435	0.013	0.892	< 0.001	0.124	< 0.001	-0.174	0.077	2.282	0.091	2.487
R ²	0.0)19	0.	021	0.0)49	0.0	18	0.	105	0.1	108

Table 6.	Effect sizes and	t-values associated v	with multiple re	gression analy	yses of numeracy	practices on mat	h subtests across all	particip	oants

 $N = 66; p < .05 \text{ (two-tailed) in bold; } \eta 2 ps \text{ represent effect sizes that can be considered small (0.01), medium (0.06) or large (0.14) [84].$ ¹Zareki-R

²WJ-III.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255400.t006

multiple regression analyses with numeracy practices as predictors and math subtests as outcomes (Table 6).

As can be seen on Table 5, we only observed a positive correlation between practices that were considered both formal and advanced and the two measures of arithmetic skills: arithmetic calculation and arithmetic fluency. This was confirmed by multiple regression analyses (see Table 6), showing a positive effect of formal advanced numeracy practices on both arithmetic calculation (standardized coefficient or SC = 0.426, 95% confidence interval, or CI = [0.053,(0.799], p = 0.026) and arithmetic fluency (SC = 0.463, 95% CI = [0.091, 0.836], p = 0.016) when controlling for informal and formal basic practices. Critically, these associations remained significant when we added in the models as control variables parental education and income (arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.413, 95% CI = [0.024, 0.803], t = 2.124, p = 0.038, $\eta^2 p = 0.070$; arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.441, 95% CI = [0.053, 0.829], t = 2.271, p = 0.027, $\eta^2 p = 0.079$), child's IQ (arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.392, 95% CI = [0.047, 0.737], t = 2.273, p = 0.027, $\eta^2 p = 0.078$; arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.442, 95% CI = [0.078, 0.806], t = 2.430, p = 0.018, $\eta^2 p = 0.088$), parental math fluency (arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.428, 95% CI = [0.049, 0.807], t = 2.257, p = 0.028, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.077; arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.490, 95% CI = [0.116, 0.865], t = 2.618, p = 0.011, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.101), and number of hours spent with the child (arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.429, 95% CI = [0.053, 0.805], t = 2.281, p = 0ac.026, $\eta^2 p = 0.079$; arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.461, 95% CI = [0.085, 0.836], t = 2.453, p = 0.017, $\eta^2 p = 0.090$). Therefore, home numeracy practices that are formal and relatively challenging are positively associated with children's arithmetic skills, over and above differences in SES, children's overall cognitive functioning, parental math skills, and time spent with the child at home.

We then performed a series of supplemental analyses to control for different factors. First, to minimize parents' discomfort while answering questions about their home practices, we did not present advanced numeracy items to participants who responded that they never engaged in the same activity with a more basic content (see above). This results in a variable number of participants who were presented with each advanced question (and also relies on the assumption that parents who were not presented with a given advanced item never engaged in the practice) (see Table 3). To ensure that this design feature did not affect our findings, we performed a second set of analyses on a subset of participants who were systematically presented with advanced numeracy items (data for this subset of participant are available on https://osf. io/vty3c/files/). To further limit the decrease in sample size associated with such an analysis, we only kept subjects who were presented with the two most frequently seen advanced items (i.e., subtracting and multiplying double-digit numbers) and removed the other 3 advanced

items from the calculation of the frequency of formal advanced home numeracy practices. This resulted in a sample size of 58 participants who were presented with all items. This additional analysis indicated that formal advanced home numeracy practices remained significantly related to both measures of arithmetic skills: arithmetic calculation (SC = 0.482, 95% CI = [0.175, 0.789], p = 0.003) and arithmetic fluency (SC = 0.413, 95% CI = [0.095, 0.731], p = 0.012) (see S4 Table). This was also the case after controlling for parental education and income, child's IQ, parental math fluency, and number of hours spent with the child (arithmetic calculation: all ps < 0.006; arithmetic fluency: all ps < 0.020).

Second, as is standard in studies on the HNE, parents rated the frequency of present numeracy practices with children using a frequency scale. However, because the current study focuses on children who are older than in previous studies on the HNE, we also included two additional response options so that parents had the opportunity to indicate (i) that they used to engage in the activity in the past or (ii) that children engaged in the activity at present but without parental involvement. Although these response options weigh minimally on the calculation of the overall score (see Methods), we ran another set of analyses in which we dissociated present practices with parents from present practices without parents and past practices. S5 Table shows all bivariate relations when practices are dissociated, though these need to be interpreted with caution as all response options were presented concurrently (e.g., it is difficult to interpret a simple relation between skills and present practices with parent as two other response options were also available and not accounted for in such bivariate relations). When present practices with parent, present practices without parent and past practices were all included as separate predictors of multiple regression analyses, there remained a significant positive effect of present formal advanced numeracy practices on arithmetic fluency (SC = 0.484, 95% CI = [0.080, 0.888], p = 0.020) (S6 Table). The positive effect of present formal advanced numeracy practices on arithmetic calculation tended to be significant (SC = 0.392, 95% CI = [-0.020, 0.804], p = 0.061). These associations remained significant for arithmetic fluency (or near significant for arithmetic calculation) when we added in the models as control variables parental education and income (arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.469, 95% CI = [0.050, 0.889], t = 2.245, p = 0.029, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.085; arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.401, 95% CI = [-0.025, 0.828], t = 1.887, p = 0.065, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.062;), child's IQ (arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.481 95% CI = [0.081, 0.881], t = 2.411 p = 0.019, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.096; arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.386, 95% CI = [0.002, 0.771], t = 2.012, p = 0.049, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.069), parental math fluency (arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.510, 95% CI = [0.101, 0.919], t = 2.497, p = 0.016, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.102; arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.393 95% CI = [-0.027, 0.812], t = 1.877, p = 0.066, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.060), and number of hours spent with the child (arithmetic fluency: SC = 0.484, 95% CI = [0.076, 0.892], t = 2.375, p = 0.021, $\eta^2 p = 0.093$ arithmetic calculation: SC = 0.395, 95% CI = [-0.019, 0.810], t = 1.912, p = 0.061, $\eta^2 p = 0.062$). Therefore, present practices with parents uniquely contributed to the relation between formal advanced practices and arithmetic skills (particularly arithmetic fluency).

Next, we tested whether the relation between formal advanced practices and arithmetic skills was specific to numeracy activities or could also be obtained with formal advanced literacy practices. In other words, what is the discriminant validity of the math practices items? To answer this question, we analyzed the responses given to the reading practices items (see S2 and S3 Tables for a list of informal and formal literacy practices). We reasoned that these items are comparable to math items in terms of distinction between informal, formal basic and formal advanced practices but that reading activities lack numeracy content. Therefore, if the relation between formal advanced practices and arithmetic skills is specific to the numeracy content, it should not be (or should be more weakly) observed with a literacy content. As can be seen in S7 Table, there was no association between literacy practices and any measures of math skills (including arithmetic). Therefore, the relation between formal advanced home numeracy practices and arithmetic skills appears to be domain specific. Relatedly, our questionnaire appears to discriminate between different types of activity (even if both are advanced and pertain to academic learning).

What are the determinants of home numeracy practices in parents of early elementary schoolers?

Finally, the determinants of home numeracy practices were assessed using Pearson correlations (Table 7) and then tested in 3 separate multiple regression analyses with frequencies of informal, formal basic, and formal advanced practices as outcome measures (Table 8).

In each of these analyses, we included as predictors parental arithmetic fluency, parental expectations for math learning (both basic and advanced) and parental attitudes towards math (see Table 8). Overall, multiple regression analyses only revealed positive relations between parental advanced expectations and both formal basic practices (SC = 0.419, 95% CI = [0.125, 0.713], p = 0.006) and formal advanced practices (SC = 0.403, 95% CI = [0.109, 0.696], p = 0.008). These associations remained significant when we added in the models as control variables parental education and income (formal basic practices: SC = 0.377, 95% CI = [0.080, (0.660], t = 2.555, p = 0.013, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.100; formal advanced practices: SC = 0.414, 95% CI = [0.100, 0.635], t = 2.751, p = 0.008, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.114), parental estimation of children's numerical skills (formal basic practices: SC = 0.420, 95% CI = [0.122, 0.704], t = 2.838, p = 0.006, $\eta^2 p = 0.118$; formal advanced practices: SC = 0.403, 95% CI = [0.095, 0.620], t = 2.720, p = 0.009, $\eta^2 p = 0.110$) and number of hours spent with the child (formal basic practices: SC = 0.431, 95% CI = [0.135, 0.712], t = 2.938, p = 0.005, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.126; formal advanced practices: SC = 0.407, 95% CI = [0.098, 0.624], t = 2.749, p = 0.008, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.112). Therefore, parents who had the highest expectations for their child in terms of math learning were those who engaged the most frequently in formal home numeracy activities, over and above differences in SES, parental estimates of the child's math skills, and number of hours spent with the child.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Home numeracy practices											
1. Informal											
2. Formal / basic	0.28*										
3. Formal / advanced	0.46***	0.76***									
Parental traits											
4. Parental education	-0.12	-0.07	-0.25								
5. Parental income	0.02	-0.11	-0.09	0.41***							
6. Parental basic expectations	0.17†	0.12	0.03	0.09	0.11						
7. Parental advanced expectations	0.23*	0.35**	0.28*	-0.10	0.03	0.56***					
8. Parental math attitude	-0.09	-0.02	-0.11	0.45***	0.24^{*}	0.12	0.12				
9. Parental arithmetic fluency	0.01	-0.08	0.00	0.56***	0.37**	0.17†	-0.03	0.42***			
10. Numbers of hours spent with the child	0.05	0.07	0.12	-0.03	-0.36	0.27*	0.10	-0.01	-0.03		
11. Parental estimates of the child's math skills	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.22*	0.12	0.21*	0.09	0.08	

N = 66

****, p < .001

**, p < .01

*, p < .05.

 \dagger , p < .1. P values are one-tailed (testing for a positive association).

Predictor	Informa	l practices	Formal bas	sic practices	Formal advanced practices		
	η ² p	t	η²p	t	η²p	t	
Parental arithmetic fluency	0.005	0.527	0.013	0.899	0.001	-0.257	
Parental basic expectations	0.002	0.373	0.030	-1.367	0.007	-0.638	
Parental advanced expectations	0.032	1.422	0.117	2.850	0.110	2.743	
Parental attitudes	0.019	-1.099	0.030	-1.367	0.002	-0.357	
R ²	0.	0.073		130	0.132		

Tuble of blandulation of blandulation of the manufally and the manufally and the manufally of the manufally	Table 8.	Standardized coefficie	nts, 95% confiden	e intervals (CI),	and effect sizes	for the multiple re	gression analy	sis of numeracy	practices
---	----------	------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------

N = 66; p < .01 (two-tailed) in bold; η 2ps represent effect sizes that can be considered small (0.01), medium (0.06) or large (0.14) [84].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255400.t008

We then tested whether the relation between parental advanced expectations and formal practices hold when only present practices with parent are considered (i.e., when ratings associated with present practices without parent and past practices are excluded). S8 Table shows all bivariate relations when practices are dissociated. As can be seen on S9 Table, multiple regression analyses of present practices revealed that positive relations between parental advanced expectations and both formal basic practices (SC = 0.415, 95% CI = [0.121, 0.708], p = 0.006) and formal advanced practices (SC = 0.402, 95% CI = [0.108, 0.696], p = 0.008) remained positive. These associations remained significant when we added in the models as control variables parental education and income (formal basic practices: SC = 0.361, 95% CI = [0.071, 0.650], t = 2.491, p = 0.016, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.095; formal advanced practices: SC = 0.402, 95% CI = [0.099, 0.704], t = 2.656, p = 0.010, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.107), parental estimation of children's numerical skills (formal basic practices: SC = 0.416, 95% CI = [0.120, 0.712], t = 2.810, p = 0.007, $\eta^2 p = 0.116$; formal advanced practices: SC = 0.403, 95% CI = [0.106, 0.699], t = 2.713, p = 0.009, $\eta^2 p = 0.109$) and number of hours spent with the child (formal basic practices: SC = 0.427, 95% CI = [0.133, 0.721], t = 2.903, p = 0.005, $\eta^2 p$ = 0.123; formal advanced practices: SC = 0.409, 95% CI = [0.112, 0.705], t = 2.756, p = 0.008, $\eta^2 p = 0.112$).

Discussion

In the present study, we took advantage of the extensive behavioral testing of a sample of French 8-year-olds to assess the relation between the HNE and children's mathematical skills in elementary school, while considering the variety of math abilities and types of home numeracy practices. We also aimed to characterize the determinants of a high quality HNE in parents of these children.

The relation between numeracy practices and math skills in 8-year-olds is restricted to arithmetic skills and practices that are both formal and advanced

Although we tested a wide range of math skills in children, we were only able to find a relation between home numeracy practices and children's arithmetic skills, as measured by both the Zareki-R and the WJ-III tests. To some extent, this finding is consistent with the fact that arithmetic skills are a primary focus of math learning in elementary school and that most of the formal numeracy activities reported by parents of 8-year-olds involve practicing symbolic arithmetic (see Table 3). In fact, previous research in younger children has shown similar correlations between home activities involving arithmetic and children's abilities in basic calculation skills [8, 18, 40, 85, 86]. However, because these studies involved younger children, activities involved more basic arithmetic, such as practicing simple sums. In our study, the positive

relation between formal activities and arithmetic skills was restricted to activities that are not (for the most part) practiced before 2nd grade in school (see <u>S1 Table</u>) and notably involve practicing double-digit arithmetic with children (see <u>Table 3</u>). In other words, the relation between formal math activities and math skills was only observed for activities that were challenging for 8-year-olds. This distinction between basic and advanced formal activities has been suggested before [22] and is broadly supported in the literature [22, 23, 42, 43]. For example, several studies have found a unique positive relation between children's math skills and the frequency of advanced formal numeracy activities [22, 23, 42]. In contrast, the relation between basic numeracy activities and math skills is less clear. For example, the frequency of basic numeracy practices has been found to be either negatively correlated (like in the present study, see <u>Table 6</u>) [42] or not associated with children's math skills [22, 44]. Overall, then, our results confirm that there remains a positive relation between HNE and math skills in elementary school children. However, this relation is specific to practices that must be formal and challenging for children.

Advanced formal numeracy practices are related to arithmetic skills over and above differences in SES, child's IQ, parent's math skill and number of hours the parent spends at home with the child

An advantage of our study is that we obtained a range of background variables in both children and parents. This makes it possible to dissociate the effect of home numeracy practices from other effects that may also affect math achievement in children. For example, it has long been established that math achievement is associated with family SES [87–89] and with children's overall cognitive functioning [90, 91]. Because these factors are not systematically controlled in studies of the HNE [8, 18, 19, 23, 34, 35, 41, 42], it is not always clear to what extent home numeracy practices specifically relate to math performance. Here, we found that the relation between advanced formal home numeracy practices and children's arithmetic skills remained significant after controlling for SES (i.e., parental income and education) and cognitive functioning (full-scale IQ).

Our analyses also controlled for differences in parent's arithmetic fluency. This is noteworthy because a relation between quality of HNE and academic skills is often interpreted as reflecting a purely environmental influence on children's abilities. However, it is also possible that this association is due (at least in part) to genetic heritability [62, 63]. For example, genetic predispositions to high math or reading achievement from parents may be passed on to children [66]. These parents may also be more likely to maintain high HNE [29, 64, 65]. This is notably suggested by a study from van Bergen and colleagues [63], in which many (but not all) associations between home literacy practices and children's reading fluency were accounted for by parental reading fluency. This suggests that the relation between the HLE and literacy skills may reflect a mixture of environmental and genetic effects. By controlling for differences in arithmetic fluency among parents, our study adds to recent evidence that the relation between the NHE and math skills might not simply reflect a passive gene-environment correlation [29, 62, 67-69]. However, our measure of parental math skills is limited (i.e., it is a 3-minute fluency test) and gathered on one parent only. As such, more research including a more complete assessment of both parents' abilities with a range of measures is needed to completely dissociate effects of heritability from the HNE.

Finally, it is important to consider that the HNE of children in elementary school is likely to be qualitatively different from the HNE of children in preschool. For example, elementary school children are likely to spend less time at home with parents, partly because of increased opportunities for extracurricular involvement and after-school activities. This might also differ from one family to the other. To control for this factor, we included in our analyses the time spent by the parent with the child at home. Thus, our effect of formal advanced numeracy practices on arithmetic skills may not be (at least completely) accounted for by differences in time spent at home between parent and child. However, two important additional factors in the HNE of elementary school children (as compared to younger children) may deserve further exploration. The first one is related to the introduction of homework. In France, written assignments are fairly limited in elementary school. However, children can still be assigned readings and this may qualitatively affect the HNE. The second one is that, because late elementary schoolers are increasingly involved in extracurricular activities (e.g., clubs, sports) [92, 93], they may be exposed to informal opportunities to practice numerical skills (e.g., keeping track of the score in a basketball game). Given that the present study focused on numeracy activities *at home*, our questionnaire did not specifically test for these opportunities. However, future studies may further explore the potential relation between numeracy practices during extracurricular activities and children's math skills.

Frequency of formal numeracy practices is related to academic expectations in parents of 8-year-olds

Little is known about the reasons why some parents engage in math activities with their children more than others, though it has been suggested that parental attitudes toward math, beliefs about child math ability and academic expectations may be important factors (at least in parents of younger children) [22, 26, 30, 94, 95]. To date, evidence for an effect of parental attitudes is mixed. While some studies have found that attitudes play a role in the HNE [24, 42, 43, 96, 97], others have failed to find such an effect [20, 22]. However, there is more consistent evidence that parents who have relatively high expectations regarding their child's math achievement also report more frequent numeracy practices with their children [18, 19, 22, 24, 30, 46, 98–100]. For example, both Zippert and Rittle-Johnson and Hart and colleagues [62, 95] have shown that parents' beliefs about their children math abilities are related to the early math environment.

In the present study, we also found a positive relation between frequency of formal practices (both basic and advanced) and parents' math expectations. However, this relation was only observed for expectations that were relatively high. That is, parents of 8-year-olds who reported the most frequent numeracy activities at home were those who had the highest academic expectations for their child in terms of math learning. In contrast, consistent with prior studies on younger children [20, 22], we did not find any relation between parent's math attitude and reported frequency of home numeracy activities. Thus, only high academic expectations appeared to be related to frequency of both basic and advanced home numeracy practices in our sample of 8-year-olds.

Limitations

To our knowledge, our study is the first to show a specific relation between the HNE and math performance in children from elementary school, while controlling for a wide range of factors and testing a range of math skills. However, it is important to acknowledge several limitations. A first limitation is that our measure of the HNE (as well as our measures of attitudes and expectations) comes from an electronic questionnaire filled out by parents. There are several drawbacks with such questionnaires. First, as in all questionnaires, it is possible that parents may have reported more frequent math practices (and more positive math attitudes and expectations) in an effort to avoid embarrassment and project a more favorable image to the experimenters (i.e., a social desirability bias) [101]. Although we attempted to limit this bias by asking parents to report information about a very wide range of home activities and

expectations (e.g., reading, sport, music), this social desirability bias may still be present. Second, parents may have had difficulty remembering or identifying the math related activities they engaged in at home. This concern may be relatively prevalent in our electronic questionnaire because parents were not able to skip questions (though they often had the option to indicate that they had no opinion or that the question was not relevant). Third, to minimize parents' discomfort, advanced numeracy items were only presented to participants who responded that they had at some point engaged in the same activity with a more basic content. This means that all parents were not presented with all questions. Although these drawbacks may raise concerns about the validity or our questionnaire, it is important to emphasize that the relation we found was quite specific. That is, it was neither found with basic numeracy practices nor with advanced literacy practices. Therefore, our questionnaire appears to be able to discriminate between different related home practices. Nonetheless, it is clear that many of the concerns above could be at least partly alleviated by using direct observations in the lab [40, 85, 102] or at home [85, 103–105] instead of relying on questionnaires. Therefore, our findings would benefit from being replicated by studies using direct observations.

A second limitation is that, although all children were extensively tested on a broader range of measures that what is typically found in the HNE literature, the overall sample size remains limited. Therefore, our results will need to be replicated in larger samples. Nonetheless, given the relative scarcity of data about effects of the HNE on children's math skills outside of the US, we also believe that our data are valuable and may inform future meta-analysis.

A third limitation is that questionnaires were filled by the one parent who was present during the child's testing in the lab. Because this parent was mainly the mother, mothers represent 89% of the parents in our sample. Thus, our measures of the HNE might have been sensibly different had fathers been included in the study. Because most prior studies also predominantly focus on mothers [106], it may be beneficial for future research to gather a more accurate measure of the HNE by presenting surveys to both mothers and fathers.

A fourth limitation is that our questionnaire focused on activities that involved numerical content *per se*. However, math learning has been found to be associated with the development of other non-numerical skills, such as patterning and spatial processing [95, 107]. For example, it has been shown that children's exposure to spatial activities at home is related to arithmetic skills in first grade [108]. Therefore, future studies should assess the effect of home practices beyond numeracy on math skills of older children.

Finally, as is also the case in most previous studies [11, 35, 41, 44, 104], a final limitation is that our data are correlational. In other words, although our results are consistent with the idea that home numeracy practices may foster math skills in children, it is also possible that parents may adapt their activities to their child's interest and skill [109]. To control for this factor, we asked parents to estimate the skill level of their child and included this estimate when assessing the factors predicting the frequency of practices. In other words, there was an effect of high academic expectations on frequency of numeracy practices irrespective of the child's skill (as perceived by the parent). Yet, interventional studies are needed to establish the causality of the relations found here.

Implications

Our study adds to the growing body of literature indicating that home numeracy experiences may relate to the growth of children's math skills [26, 96]. More specifically, our results suggest that this relation may not only be observed with preschoolers and early elementary schoolers, but also in children who are already learning formal math in elementary school. Importantly, the relation between advanced numeracy practices and math skills in 2nd and 3rd graders can

be considered of medium size in the present study (with a partial $\eta 2$ between 0.08 and 0.09, see **Table 6**). Even though this estimate is not causal but correlational (and might therefore be overestimated), an effect of this size may have clear educational significance [110, 111]. Specifically, our findings support the idea that children's math achievement might potentially be improved by enhancing the HNE.

We can think of at least 3 ways our findings may inform such interventions. First, our results suggest that such interventions should not be limited to parents of preschoolers, but should also include parents of children in elementary school. Second, our findings indicate that interventions should focus on the content of the numeracy activities, in addition to their frequency. That is, children are more likely to benefit from home numeracy activities that are sufficiently challenging for them (at their grade level or beyond) than from home numeracy activities that they may already be used to. This would require making parents feel capable and helping them identify and plan for opportunities to engage in such relatively advanced activities (for which they may not necessarily feel comfortable at first). This may also require giving parents explicit feedback to maintain their motivation [96]. Third, as in previous studies on younger children [20, 42], we found that expectations of parents towards children's math learning are linked to the quality of the HNE in older children. Therefore, interventions may also benefit from attempting to raise expectations of parents regarding children's math skills. This might involve helping parents overcome math anxiety and misconceptions regarding math (e.g., that some children are 'math persons' and others not; [112]), as well as convincing them of the importance of math skills for the future of their children. This might also require giving them concrete examples of what children in elementary school can learn through daily activities with their parents (in addition to what they learn at school).

Conclusions

In sum, our study demonstrates that the HNE that parents provide for their children remains related to math skills when children are in elementary school. However, we found that this relation is restricted to activities that are formal and relatively challenging for children. The effect is also specifically present on arithmetic skills, which constitute a main focus of math learning in elementary school. Furthermore, parents who report the most frequent numeracy activities at home are those who tend to have the highest academic expectations for their child. Overall, our study adds to the literature on the HNE by showing that the relation between home numeracy practices and math skills remains present in elementary school children. Although our findings are correlational in nature, they broadly support interventions that may attempt to raise math skills of elementary school children by involving parents and caregivers.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Subtests of the Zareki-R by math skill. (DOCX)

S1 Table. Formal numeracy activities and approximate grades in which they are mainly practiced in school according to the French math curriculum. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Frequency ratings associated with informal home literacy practices. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Frequency ratings associated with formal home literacy practices. (DOCX)

S4 Table. Effect sizes and t-values associated with multiple regression analyses of numeracy practices on math subtests across the subset of participants who were all presented with the frequency items "subtracting double-digit numbers" and "multiplying doubledigit numbers".

(DOCX)

S5 Table. Pearson correlation coefficients between present and past home numeracy practices with parent and children's math skills (as well as IQ) across all participants. (DOCX)

S6 Table. Effect sizes and t-values associated with multiple regression analyses of present and past numeracy practices on math subtests across all participants. (DOCX)

S7 Table. Effect sizes and t-values associated with multiple regression analyses of literacy practices on math subtests across all participants. (DOCX)

S8 Table. Pearson correlation coefficients between present and past home numeracy practices with parent and parental traits across all participants. (DOCX)

S9 Table. Standardized coefficients, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and effect sizes for the multiple regression analysis of present numeracy practices with parent. (DOCX)

S1 Data. Data all participants. (CSV)
S2 Data. Data subset participants. (CSV)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Cléa Girard, Jérôme Prado.

Data curation: Cléa Girard.

Formal analysis: Cléa Girard, Jérôme Prado.

Funding acquisition: Jérôme Prado.

Methodology: Cléa Girard, Jérôme Prado.

Project administration: Justine Epinat-Duclos, Léa Longo.

Resources: Cléa Girard, Thomas Bastelica, Jessica Léone, Justine Epinat-Duclos, Léa Longo.

Supervision: Jérôme Prado.

Writing - original draft: Cléa Girard.

Writing - review & editing: Jérôme Prado.

References

- 1. Parsons S, Bynner J. Does Numeracy Matter More? National Research and Development Centre for adult literacy and numeracy. London, UK: The Basic Skills Agency. 2005.
- 2. Jones LR, Wheeler G, Centurino VA. TIMSS 2015 science framework. In 2015. p. p.29–59. (TIMSS).

- Dowker A. Individual differences in numerical abilities in preschoolers. Dev Sci. 2008; 11(5):650–4. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2008.00713.x PMID: 18801119
- Klibanoff RS, Levine SC, Huttenlocher J, Vasilyeva M, Hedges LV. Preschool children's mathematical knowledge: The effect of teacher « math talk. » Dev Psychol. 2006; 42(1):59–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1037/0012-1649.42.1.59 PMID: 16420118
- Starkey P, Klein A, Wakeley A. Enhancing Young Children's Mathematical Knowledge through a Pre-Kindergarten Mathematics Intervention. Early Child Res Q. 2004; 19(1):99–120.
- 6. Zill N, West J. Entering Kindergarten: A Portrait of American Children When They Begin School. Findings from the Condition of Education, 2000 [Internet]. ED Pubs, P; 2001.
- Kleemans T, Segers E, Verhoeven L. Relations between Home Numeracy Experiences and Basic Calculation Skills of Children with and without Specific Language Impairment. Early Child Res Q. 2013; 28(2):415–23.
- Manolitsis G, Georgiou GK, Tziraki N. Examining the effects of home literacy and numeracy environment on early reading and math acquisition—ScienceDirect. Early Child Res Q. 2013; 28(4):692–703.
- Melhuish EC, Sylva K, Sammons P, Siraj-Blatchford I, Taggart B, Phan MB, et al. Preschool Influences on Mathematics Achievement. Science. 29 août 2008; 321(5893):1161–2. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1158808 PMID: 18755959
- Niklas F, Schneider W. Casting the die before the die is cast: the importance of the home numeracy environment for preschool children. Eur J Psychol Educ. 1 sept 2014; 29(3):327–45.
- 11. Tamis-LeMonda CS, Luo R, McFadden KE, Bandel ET, Vallotton C. Early home learning environment predicts children's 5th grade academic skills. Appl Dev Sci. 2017; 0(0):1–17.
- Taylor LC, Clayton JD, Rowley SJ. Academic socialization: Understanding parental influences on children's school-related development in the early years. Rev Gen Psychol. 2004; 8(3):163–78.
- Powers SJ, Wang Y, Beach SD, Sideridis GD, Gaab N. Examining the relationship between home literacy environment and neural correlates of phonological processing in beginning readers with and without a familial risk for dyslexia: an fMRI study. Ann Dyslexia. oct 2016; 66(3):337–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-016-0134-2 PMID: 27550556
- Sénéchal M, LeFevre JA. Storybook reading and parent teaching: links to language and literacy development. New Dir Child Adolesc Dev. 2001;(92):39–52; discussion 91–98. https://doi.org/10.1002/cd. 14 PMID: 11468865
- Sylva K, Chan L, Melhuish E, Sammons P, Siraj-Blatchford I, Taggart B. Emergent literacy environments: Home and preschool influences on children's literacy development. Fac Soc Sci—Pap. 1 jan 2011;97–117.
- Romeo RR, Leonard JA, Robinson ST, West MR, Mackey AP, Rowe ML, et al. Beyond the 30-Million-Word Gap: Children's Conversational Exposure Is Associated With Language-Related Brain Function. Psychol Sci. 2018; 29(5):700–10. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617742725 PMID: 29442613
- Sénéchal M, LeFevre JA, Thomas EM, Daley KE. Differential effects of home literacy experiences on the development of oral and written language. Read Res Q. 1998; 33(1):96–116.
- LeFevre JA, Clarke T, Stringer AP. Influences of Language and Parental Involvement on the Development of Counting Skills: Comparisons of French- and English-Speaking Canadian Children. Early Child Dev Care. 2002; 172(3):283–300.
- LeFevre JA, Polyzoi E, Skwarchuk S-L, Fast L, Sowinski C. Do Home Numeracy and Literacy Practices of Greek and Canadian Parents Predict the Numeracy Skills of Kindergarten Children? Int J Early Years Educ. march 2010; 18(1):55–70.
- LeFevre JA, Skwarchuk S-L, Smith-Chant B, Fast L, Kamawar D, Bisanz J. Home Numeracy Experiences and Children's Math Performance in the Early School Years. Can J Behav Sci Can Sci Comport. 2009; 41:55–66.
- Dunst CJ, Hamby DW, Wilkie H, Dunst KS. Meta-Analysis of the Relationship Between Home and Family Experiences and Young Children's Early Numeracy Learning. In: Phillipson S, Gervasoni A, Sullivan P, éditeurs. Engaging Families as Children's First Mathematics Educators: International Perspectives. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2017. p. 105–25. (Early Mathematics Learning and Development). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2553-2_7
- Skwarchuk S-L, Sowinski C, LeFevre JA. Formal and informal home learning activities in relation to children's early numeracy and literacy skills: the development of a home numeracy model. J Exp Child Psychol. may 2014; 121:63–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2013.11.006 PMID: 24462995
- Thompson RJ, Napoli AR, Purpura DJ. Age-related differences in the relation between the home numeracy environment and numeracy skills. Infant Child Dev [Internet]. 25 janv 2017 [cité 22 juin 2018]; 26(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/icd.2013 PMID: 29062266

- Susperreguy MI, Douglas H, Xu C, Molina-Rojas N, LeFevre J-A. Expanding the Home Numeracy Model to Chilean children: Relations among parental expectations, attitudes, activities, and children's mathematical outcomes. Early Child Res Q. 2020; 50:16–28.
- Mutaf-Yıldız B, Sasanguie D, De Smedt B, Reynvoet B. Probing the Relationship Between Home Numeracy and Children's Mathematical Skills: A Systematic Review. Front Psychol. 2020; 11:2074. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.02074 PMID: 33071838
- 26. Elliott L, Bachman HJ. How Do Parents Foster Young Children's Math Skills? Child Dev Perspect. 2018; 12(1):16–21.
- Anders Y, Rossbach HG, Weinert S, Ebert S, Kuger S, Lehrl S, et al. Home and preschool learning environments and their relations to the development of early numeracy skills. Early Child Res Q. 2012; 27(2):231–44.
- 28. Cheung SK, Dulay KM, McBride C. Parents' characteristics, the home environment, and children's numeracy skills: How are they related in low- to middle-income families in the Philippines? J Exp Child Psychol. avr 2020;192:104780.
- Dearing E, Casey BM, Ganley CM, Tillinger M, Laski E, Montecillo C. Young girls' arithmetic and spatial skills: The distal and proximal roles of family socioeconomics and home learning experiences. Early Child Res Q. 1 juil 2012; 27(3):458–70.
- 30. Kleemans T, Peeters M, Segers E, Verhoeven L. Child and home predictors of early numeracy skills in kindergarten. Early Child Res Q. 2012; 27(3):471–7.
- Purpura DJ, King YA, Rolan E, Hornburg CB, Schmitt SA, Hart SA, et al. Examining the Factor Structure of the Home Mathematics Environment to Delineate Its Role in Predicting Preschool Numeracy, Mathematical Language, and Spatial Skills. Front Psychol. 2020; 11:1925. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01925</u> PMID: 32849131
- Soto-Calvo E, Simmons FR, Adams A-M, Francis HN, Giofre D. Pre-schoolers' home numeracy and home literacy experiences and their relationships with early number skills: Evidence from a UK study. Early Educ Dev. 2020; 31(1):113–36.
- DeFlorio L, Beliakoff A. Socioeconomic Status and Preschoolers' Mathematical Knowledge: The Contribution of Home Activities and Parent Beliefs. Early Educ Dev. 3 avr 2015; 26(3):319–41.
- Missall K, Hojnoski RL, Caskie GIL, Repasky P. Home Numeracy Environments of Preschoolers: Examining Relations Among Mathematical Activities, Parent Mathematical Beliefs, and Early Mathematical Skills. Early Educ Dev. 3 apr 2015; 26(3):356–76.
- **35.** Napoli AR, Purpura DJ. The home literacy and numeracy environment in preschool: Cross-domain relations of parent-child practices and child outcomes. J Exp Child Psychol. 2 nov 2017; 166:581–603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2017.10.002 PMID: 29102840
- De Keyser L, Bakker M, Rathé S, Wijns N, Torbeyns J, Verschaffel L, et al. No Association Between the Home Math Environment and Numerical and Patterning Skills in a Large and Diverse Sample of 5to 6-year-olds. Front Psychol. 2020; https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.547626 PMID: 33362620
- Ciping D, Silinskas G, Wei W, Georgiou GK. Cross-lagged relationships between home learning environment and academic achievement in Chinese. Early Child Res Q. 1 oct 2015; 33:12–20.
- Sénéchal M, LeFevre JA. Parental involvement in the development of children's reading skill: a fiveyear longitudinal study. Child Dev. apr 2002; 73(2):445–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00417 PMID: 11949902
- Huntsinger CS, Jose PE, Luo Z. Parental facilitation of early mathematics and reading skills and knowledge through encouragement of home-based activities. Early Child Res Q. 1 oct 2016; 37(Supplement C):1–15.
- 40. Ramani GB, Rowe ML, Eason SH, Leech KA. Math Talk During Informal Learning Activities in Head Start Families. Cogn Dev. 2015; 35:15–33.
- Mutaf Yıldız B, Sasanguie D, De Smedt B, Reynvoet B. Frequency of Home Numeracy Activities Is Differentially Related to Basic Number Processing and Calculation Skills in Kindergartners. Front Psychol. 2018; 9:340. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00340 PMID: 29623055
- 42. Skwarchuk SL. How Do Parents Support Preschoolers' Numeracy Learning Experiences at Home? Early Child Educ J. dec 2009; 37(3):189–97.
- Río MF del Susperreguy MI, Strasser K, Salinas V. Distinct Influences of Mothers and Fathers on Kindergartners' Numeracy Performance: The Role of Math Anxiety, Home Numeracy Practices, and Numeracy Expectations. Early Educ Dev. 17 nov 2017; 28(8):939–55.
- Zippert EL, Ramani GB. Parents' Estimations of Preschoolers' Number Skills Relate to at-Home Number-Related Activity Engagement. Infant Child Dev. 2016; 26(2):e1968.
- Blevins-Knabe B, Musun-Miller L. Number Use at Home by Children and Their Parents and Its Relationship to Early Mathematical Performance. Early Dev Parent. march 1996; 5(1):35–45.

- 46. Silver AM, Elliott L, Libertus ME. When beliefs matter most: Examining children's math achievement in the context of parental math anxiety. J Exp Child Psychol. jan 2021; 201:104992. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jecp.2020.104992 PMID: 33007705
- 47. Vasilyeva M, Laski E, Veraksa A, Weber L, Bukhalenkova D. Distinct Pathways From Parental Beliefs and Practices to Children's Numeric Skills. J Cogn Dev. aug 2018; 19(4):345–66.
- Sammons P, Toth K, Sylva K, Melhuish E, Siraj I, Taggart B. The long-term role of the home learning environment in shaping students' academic attainment in secondary school. J Child Serv. 21 sept 2015; 10(3):189–201.
- Eccles JS, Harold RD. Family involvement in children's and adolescents' schooling. In: Family–school links: How do they affect educational outcomes? Hillsdale, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc; 1996. p. 3–34.
- Singh K, Bickley PG, Trivette P, Keith TZ, et al. The effects of four components of parental involvement on eighth-grade student achievement: Structural analysis of NELS-88 data. Sch Psychol Rev. 1995; 24(2):299–317.
- Hill NE, Taylor LC. Parental School Involvement and Children's Academic Achievement: Pragmatics and Issues. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. aug 2004; 13(4):161–4.
- Grolnick WS, Slowiaczek ML. Parents' Involvement in Children's Schooling: A Multidimensional Conceptualization and Motivational Model. Child Dev. 1994; 65(1):237–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1467-8624.1994.tb00747.x PMID: 8131650</u>
- 53. Krajewski K, Schneider W. Exploring the impact of phonological awareness, visual–spatial working memory, and preschool quantity–number competencies on mathematics achievement in elementary school: Findings from a 3-year longitudinal study. J Exp Child Psychol. aug 2009; 103(4):516–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2009.03.009 PMID: 19427646
- LeFevre J-A, Fast L, Skwarchuk S-L, Smith-Chant BL, Bisanz J, Kamawar D, et al. Pathways to Mathematics: Longitudinal Predictors of Performance. Child Dev. 1 nov 2010; 81(6):1753–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01508.x PMID: 21077862
- Purpura DJ, Hume LE, Sims DM, Lonigan CJ. Early literacy and early numeracy: The value of including early literacy skills in the prediction of numeracy development. J Exp Child Psychol. 1 dec 2011; 110(4):647–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2011.07.004 PMID: 21831396
- 56. Savage R, Carless S, Ferraro V. Predicting curriculum and test performance at age 11 years from pupil background, baseline skills and phonological awareness at age 5 years. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007; 48(7):732–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01746.x PMID: 17593154
- Smedt BD, Taylor J, Archibald L, Ansari D. How is phonological processing related to individual differences in children's arithmetic skills? Dev Sci. 2010; 13(3):508–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00897.x PMID: 20443971</u>
- Baker CE. Does Parent Involvement and Neighborhood Quality Matter for African American Boys' Kindergarten Mathematics Achievement? Early Educ Dev. 2015; 26(3):342–55.
- Niklas F, Schneider W. Home learning environment and development of child competencies from kindergarten until the end of elementary school. Contemp Educ Psychol. 1 apr 2017; 49:263–74.
- **60.** Lehrl S, Ebert S, Blaurock S, Rossbach H-G, Weinert S. Long-term and domain-specific relations between the early years home learning environment and students' academic outcomes in secondary school. Sch Eff Sch Improv. 2 jan 2020; 31(1):102–24.
- Segers E, Kleemans T, Verhoeven L. Role of Parent Literacy and Numeracy Expectations and Activities in Predicting Early Numeracy Skills. Math Think Learn. 3 apr 2015; 17:219–36.
- Hart SA, Ganley CM, Purpura DJ. Understanding the Home Math Environment and Its Role in Predicting Parent Report of Children's Math Skills. PLOS ONE. 22 dec 2016; 11(12):e0168227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168227</u> PMID: 28005925
- van Bergen E, van Zuijen T, Bishop D, F. de Jong P. Why Are Home Literacy Environment and Children's Reading Skills Associated? What Parental Skills Reveal. Read Res Q. 1 aug 2016;
- Plomin R, DeFries JC, Loehlin JC. Genotype-environment interaction and correlation in the analysis of human behavior. Psychol Bull. march 1977; 84(2):309–22. PMID: 557211
- **65.** Scarr S, McCartney K. How people make their own environments: a theory of genotype greater than environment effects. Child Dev. apr 1983; 54(2):424–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1983. tb03884.x PMID: 6683622
- 66. Hart SA, Little C, van Bergen E. Nurture might be nature: Cautionary tales and proposed solutions. Npj Sci Learn. in press.
- Braham EJ, Libertus ME. Intergenerational associations in numerical approximation and mathematical abilities. Dev Sci. 2017; 20(5). https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12436 PMID: 27496658

- Brown S, Mcintosh S, Taylor K. Following in Your Parents' Footsteps? Empirical Analysis of Matched Parent–Offspring Test Scores*. Oxf Bull Econ Stat. 2011; 73(1):40–58.
- Crane J. Effects of Home Environment, SES, and Maternal Test Scores on Mathematics Achievement. J Educ Res. 1996; 89(5):305–14.
- Ashcraft MH. Math Anxiety: Personal, Educational, and Cognitive Consequences. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 1 oct 2002; 11(5):181–5.
- Casad BJ, Hale P, Wachs FL. Parent-child math anxiety and math-gender stereotypes predict adolescents' math education outcomes. Front Psychol. 3 nov 2015 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01597</u> PMID: 26579000
- 72. Soni A, Kumari S. The Role of Parental Math Anxiety and Math Attitude in Their Children's Math Achievement. Int J Sci Math Educ. 1 febr 2017; 15(2):331–47.
- 73. Nihira K, Meyers CE, Mink IT. Home environment, family adjustment, and the development of mentally retarded children. Appl Res Ment Retard. 1980; 1(1-2):5–24.
- **74.** Schmiedeler S, Niklas F, Schneider W. Symptoms of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and home learning environment (HLE): Findings from a longitudinal study. Eur J Psychol Educ. 2014; 29(3):467–82.
- Ehren BJ. Speech-Language Pathologists Contributing Significantly to the Academic Success of High School Students: A Vision for Professional Growth. Top Lang Disord. jan 2002; 22(2):60–80.
- 76. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A. G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods. 2007; 39(2):175–91. <u>https:// doi.org/10.3758/bf03193146 PMID: 17695343</u>
- Von Aster M, Dellatolas G. ZAREKI-R: Batterie pour l'évaluation du traitement des nombres et du calcul chez l'enfant. ECPA/Pearson; 2005.
- 78. von Aster M, Zulauf MW, Horn R. Neuropsychologische Testbatterie für Zahlenverarbeitung und Rechnen bei Kindern—ZAREKI-R. Harcourt. 2006
- Woodcock TA, McGrew KS, Mather N. Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of achievement. Itasca, IL: Riverside Publishing; 2001.
- Schwartz F, Epinat-Duclos J, Léone J, Poisson A, Prado J. Impaired neural processing of transitive relations in children with math learning difficulty. NeuroImage Clin. 1 jan 2018; 20:1255–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.10.020 PMID: 30389345</u>
- Schrank FA, McGrew KS, Woodcock RW. Technical Abstract(Woodcock-Johnson IIIAssessment Service Bulletin No. 2). Itasca, IL: Riverside Publishing; 2001.
- 82. Cognet G. NEMI-2: Nouvelle Echelle Métrique de l'Intelligence-2. ECPA; 2006.
- Silinskas G, Aunola K, Lerkkanen M-K, Raiziene S. Parental Teaching of Reading and Spelling Across the Transition From Kindergarten to Grade 1. Front Psychol. 2021 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.</u> 610870 PMID: 33510687
- 84. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York; 1988. (Routledge Academic).
- Casey BM, Lombardi CM, Thomson D, Nguyen HN, Paz M, Theriault CA, et al. Maternal Support of Children's Early Numerical Concept Learning Predicts Preschool and First-Grade Math Achievement. Child Dev. 1 nov 2016; 89(1):156–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12676 PMID: 27861760
- Pan Y, Gauvain M, Liu Z, Cheng L. American and Chinese parental involvement in young children's mathematics learning. Cogn Dev. 2006; 21(1):17–35.
- 87. Denton K, West J. Children's Reading and Mathematics Achievement in Kindergarten and First Grade. Wash DC Natl Cent Educ Stat. 2002;4.
- Entwisle DR, Alexander KL. Beginning School Math Competence: Minority and Majority Comparisons. Child Dev. 1 apr 1990; 61(2):454–71. PMID: 2344782
- Jordan NC, Huttenlocher J, Levine SC. Assessing early arithmetic abilities: Effects of verbal and nonverbal response types on the calculation performance of middle-and low-income children. Learn Individ Differ. 1 jan 1994; 6(4):413–32.
- Gustafsson J-E, Undheim JO. Individual differences in cognitive functions. In: Handbook of educational psychology. London, England: Prentice Hall International; 1996. p. 186–242.
- **91.** Passolunghi MC, Vercelloni B, Schadee H. The precursors of mathematics learning: Working memory, phonological ability and numerical competence. Cogn Dev. 2007; 22(2):165–84.
- 92. Lagacé-Séguin DG, Case E. Extracurricular activity and parental involvement predict positive outcomes in elementary school children. Early Child Dev Care. 1 may 2010; 180(4):453–62.

- Sautory O, Biausque V, Vidalenc j. Le temps périscolaire et les contraintes professionnelles des parents. Insee Prem. 2011;(1370).
- Elliott L, Bachman HJ, Henry DA. Why and how parents promote math learning with their young children: A mixed-methods investigation. Parenting. 2020; 20(2):108–40.
- **95.** Zippert EL, Rittle-Johnson B. The home math environment: More than numeracy. Early Child Res Q. 2020; 50:4–15.
- 96. Berkowitz T, Schaeffer MW, Maloney EA, Peterson L, Gregor C, Levine SC, et al. Math at home adds up to achievement in school. Science. 9 oct 2015; 350(6257):196–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac7427</u> PMID: 26450209
- Maloney EA, Ramirez G, Gunderson EA, Levine SC, Beilock SL. Intergenerational Effects of Parents' Math Anxiety on Children's Math Achievement and Anxiety. Psychol Sci. sept 2015; 26(9):1480–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615592630 PMID: 26253552
- Galindo C, Sheldon SB. School and home connections and children's kindergarten achievement gains: The mediating role of family involvement. Early Child Res Q. 1 jan 2012; 27(1):90–103.
- 99. Martini F, Sénéchal M. Learning Literacy Skills at Home: Parent Teaching, Expectations, and Child Interest. Can J Behav Sci Can Sci Comport. 1 july 2012; 44:210–21.
- 100. Musun-Miller L, Blevins-Knabe B. Adults' beliefs about children and mathematics: how important is it and how do children learn about it? Early Dev Parent. 1 dec 1998; 7(4):191–202.
- King MF, Bruner GC. Social desirability bias: A neglected aspect of validity testing. Psychol Mark. 2000; 17(2):79–103.
- 102. Elliott L, Braham EJ, Libertus ME. Understanding sources of individual variability in parents' number talk with young children. J Exp Child Psychol. july 2017; 159:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2017. 01.011 PMID: 28266331
- Levine SC, Suriyakham LW, Rowe ML, Huttenlocher J, Gunderson EA. What counts in the development of young children's number knowledge? Dev Psychol. sept 2010; 46(5):1309–19. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019671 PMID: 20822240
- Susperreguy MI, Davis-Kean PE. Maternal Math Talk in the Home and Math Skills in Preschool Children. Early Educ Dev. 17 aug 2016; 27(6):841–57.
- 105. Vandermaas-Peeler M, Nelson J, Bumpass C, Sassine B. Numeracy-Related Exchanges in Joint Storybook Reading and Play. Int J Early Years Educ. march 2009; 17(1):67–84.
- 106. Saracho O, Spodek B. Fathers: The « invisible » parents. Early Child Dev Care. 1 oct 2008;178.
- 107. Rittle-Johnson B, Zippert EL, Boice KL. The roles of patterning and spatial skills in early mathematics development. Early Child Res Q. 1 jan 2019; 46:166–78.
- 108. Casey BM, Dearing E, Dulaney A, Heyman M, Springer R. Young girls' spatial and arithmetic performance: The mediating role of maternal supportive interactions during joint spatial problem solving. Early Child Res Q. 1 oct 2014; 29(4):636–48.
- **109.** Blevins-Knabe B. Early Mathematical Development: How the Home Environment Matters. In: Early childhood mathematics skill development in the home environment. 2016. p. 7–28.
- Bloom H, Hill C, Black A, Lipsey M. Performance Trajectories and Performance Gaps as Achievement Effect-Size Benchmarks for Educational Interventions. J Res Educ Eff. 15 oct 2008; 1:289–328.
- 111. Polanin J, Tanner-Smith E, Hennessy E. Estimating the Difference Between Published and Unpublished Effect Sizes: A Meta-Review. Rev Educ Res. 16 apr 2015;86.
- 112. Rattan A, Good C, Dweck CS. "It's ok—Not everyone can be good at math": Instructors with an entity theory comfort (and demotivate) students. J Exp Soc Psychol. 1 may 2012; 48(3):731–7.