

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY e2021-89 | Vol. 53

Multi-locus phylogenetic analyses uncover species boundaries and reveal the occurrence of two new entomopathogenic nematode species, *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. and *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp.

Ricardo A.R. Machado^{1,*}, Aashaq Hussain Bhat², Joaquín Abolafia³, Arthur Muller¹, Pamela Bruno⁴, Patrick Fallet⁴, Carla C.M. Arce⁴, Ted C.J. Turlings⁴, Julio S. Bernal⁵, Joelle Kajuga⁶, Bancy Waweru⁶ and Stefan Toepfer⁷

¹Experimental Biology Research Group. Institute of Biology. Faculty of Sciences. University of Neuchâtel. Rue Emile-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

²Department of Zoology, Government Degree College. Billawar-184204, Kathua, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India.

³Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, Campus 'Las Lagunillas' s/n, Edificio B3, 23071 Jaén, Spain.

⁴Laboratory of Fundamental and Applied Research in Chemical Ecology, Institute of Biology. Faculty of Sciences, University of Neuchâtel, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

⁵Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX.

⁶Department of Crop Innovations & Technology Transfer. Rwanda Agriculture and Animal Resources Development Board, 5016 Kigali-Rwanda.

⁷CABI Switzerland, 2800 Delémont, Switzerland.

*E-mail: ricardo.machado@unine.ch

Aashaq Hussain Bhat, Joaquín Abolafia and Arthur Muller: These authors contributed equally to this study.

This paper was edited by Raquel Campos-Herrera.

Received for publication August 4, 2021.

Abstract

Species of the nematode genus Heterorhabditis are important biological control agents against agricultural pests. The taxonomy of this group is still unclear as it currently relies on phylogenetic reconstructions based on a few genetic markers with little resolutive power, specially of closely related species. To fill this knowledge gap, we sequenced several phylogenetically relevant genetic loci and used them to reconstruct phylogenetic trees, to calculate sequence similarity scores, and to determine signatures of species- and population-specific genetic polymorphism. In addition, we revisited the current literature related to the description, synonymisation, and declaration as species inquirendae of Heterorhabditis species to compile taxonomically relevant morphological and morphometric characters, characterized new nematode isolates at the morphological and morphometrical level, and conducted selfcrossing and cross-hybridization experiments. The results of this study show that the sequences of the mitochondrial cytochrome C oxidase subunit I (COI) gene provide better phylogenetic resolutive power than the sequences of nuclear rRNA genes and that this gene marker can phylogenetically resolve closely related species and even populations of the same species with high precision. Using this gene marker, we found two new species, Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. and Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. A detailed characterization of these species at the morphological and morphometric levels and nematode reproduction assays revealed that the threshold for species delimitation in this genus, using COI sequences, is 97% to 98%. Our study illustrates the importance of rigorous morphological and morphometric characterization and multi-locus sequencing for the description of new species within the genus Heterorhabditis, serves to clarify the phylogenetic relationships of this important group of biological control agents, and can inform future species descriptions to advance our efforts towards developing more tools for sustainable and environmentally friendly agriculture.

Keywords

Biocontrol agents, Dichotomous key, Entomopathogenic nematodes, Nematode morphology, *Photorhabdus*, Phylogenetics, Species description, Taxonomy.

^{© 2021} Authors. This is an Open Access article licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Nematodes of the genus Heterorhabditis Poinar, 1976 are soil-dwelling organisms that parasitize and kill certain small arthropods, mainly insects (Kaya and Gaugler, 1993). Their lifestyle is particularly interesting as they establish an obligated, mutualistic symbiosis with entomopathogenic bacteria of the genus Photorhabdus (Clarke, 2020; Machado et al., 2018). Nematodes colonize their prev, and upon sensing unknown chemical cues, they release their symbiotic bacterial partners inside the bodies of the infected organisms (Ciche et al., 2008; Dillman et al., 2012). The bacteria establish, multiply and produce an arsenal of immunosuppressors, lytic enzymes, and toxins that kill the infected organism and predigest its tissues, which serve as food for the bacteria and the nematodes (Shankhu et al., 2020; Tobias et al., 2016; Vlisidou et al., 2019). The nematodes grow, reproduce, and, upon resource depletion, reestablish symbiosis with Photorhabdus bacteria, and abandon the consumed cadavers in search for new prey (Somvanshi et al., 2012). Given this peculiar lifestyle, this deadly symbiotic pair is commonly used as a biocontrol agent in agricultural settings (Kajuga et al., 2018; Paddock et al., 2021; Toepfer and Zellner, 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). In addition, given the enormous biosynthetic capacity of Photorhabdus bacteria, they are of great medical, agricultural, and biotechnological importance (Blackburn et al., 1998; Bode, 2009; Hill et al., 2020; Joyce and Clarke, 2003; Lacey and Georgis, 2012; Machado et al., 2018; Machado et al., 2020; Tobias et al., 2018).

The number of described species of the genus Heterorhabditis is steadily growing, mainly boosted by recent advances in genomics. Up to now, the genus includes between 16 and 21 valid species, several synonymized species and some species inguirendae (Boemare et al., 1993; Hunt and Nguyen, 2016; Maneesakorn et al., 2011; Sudhaus, 2011; Tóth and Lakatos, 2008). Given the discrepancy in the number of recognized valid species and the increasing number of synonymized species, а throughout revision of the current literature related to the description, synonymisation, and declaration as species inquirendae of Heterorhabditis species may help to determine the actual number of valid species in this genus. As some species were described prior to the discovery of modern molecular techniques, and therefore the sequences of phylogenetically relevant gene markers are not available, morphological characters play an important role in this context (Andaló et al., 2006; Edgington et al., 2011; Hunt and Nguyen, 2016; Liu and Berry, 1996; Li et al., 2012; Malan et al., 2008; Malan et al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2004, 2006, 2008; Pereira, 1937; Phan et al., 2003; Poinar and Veremchuk, 1970; Poinar, 1971, 1976; Poinar et al., 1987, 1992; Poinar, 1990; Stock et al., 2002).

Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequences such as ITS sequences and the sequences of the D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA are traditionally used for identification purposes and for novel taxonomic status descriptions of the species of the genus Heterorhabditis (Adams et al., 1998; Campos-Herrera et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Malan et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2008; Rana et al., 2020; Spiridonov and Subbotin, 2016). As a recently evolved group, marginal variations in the rRNA gene sequences are expected in this genus, which limits the use of these genetic markers for taxonomic purposes, especially of closely related species (Blaxter et al., 1998; Blouin, 2002; Haag et al., 2018). In addition, the use of sequences containing several ambiguous nucleotides, potentially arisen from sequencing errors and/or poor quality-control, leads to erroneous taxonomic affiliations, as it is exemplified by the relatively high number of synonym species in the genus Heterorhabditis (Dhakal et al., 2020; Hunt and Nguyen, 2016). The use of mitochondrial DNA such as COI sequences, the gold standard taxonomic marker for species delimitation in the Kingdom Animalia, may help to overcome the taxonomic limitations of rRNA gene sequences. However, this taxonomic marker has been used only sporadically for identification purposes, barely used for taxonomy, and never used to describe new Heterorhabditis species (Chaubey et al., 2016; Hebert et al., 2003; Joyce et al., 1994a; Kuwata et al., 2007). As a consequence, the availability of COI sequences for this genus remained very limited for several years, limiting our understanding of the phylogenetic relationships of this genus (Chaubey et al., 2016; Dhakal et al., 2020; Kuwata et al., 2007).

To improve our understanding on the phylogenetic relationships of Heterorhabditis nematodes, to determine the most suitable genetic markers for the rapid and reliable identification of the species of this genus, specially of closely related species, and to determine species boundaries in this genus, we generated nucleotide sequences of several phylogenetically relevant gene markers and used them to reconstruct phylogenetic trees, to calculate sequence similarity scores, and to determine signatures of species- and population-specific genetic polymorphism. To improve our understanding on the taxonomic relationships of Heterorhabditis nematodes, we revisited the current literature related to the description, synonymisation, and declaration as species inquirendae of Heterorhabditis species to compile taxonomically relevant morphological and morphometric characters, characterized new nematode isolates at the morphological and morphometrical level, and conducted self-crossing and cross-hybridization experiments. Our study illustrates the importance of multi-locus sequencing for the characterization of new species within the genus *Heterorhabditis*, serves to clarify the phylogenetic relationships of these important biological control agents, and can inform future species descriptions to advance our efforts towards developing more tools for sustainable and environmentally friendly agriculture.

Materials and methods

Nematode origin

Heterorhabditis nematodes used in this study were collected by us during different nematode collection campaigns carried out in Rwanda, Mexico, and India, or were collected by different collaborators at different locations around the world (Table S1) (Bai et al., 2013; Bhat et al., 2021b; Bruno et al., 2020; Carrera, 2015; Fallet et al., 2020; Mukuka et al., 2010; Rana et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2016).

Nematode morphological and morphometrical characterization, light, and scanning electron microscopy

One representative nematode isolate of each new species, MEX-39 and Rw14 N-C4a, was selected for detailed morphological and morphometrical characterization. First- and second-generation adult nematodes were obtained by dissecting infected G. mellonella larvae in Ringer's solution. Infective juveniles (IJs) were collected after their emergence from G. mellonella larvae in White traps (White, 1927). Nematodes were killed with water at 60°C, then fixed in triethanolamine formalin (7 ml formalin, 2 ml triethanolamine, 91 ml ddH_aO), then dehydrated by the Seinhorst's method, and finally transferred to glycerine (Bhat et al., 2019b; Courtney et al., 1955; Seinhorst, 1959, 1962). Nematodes were mounted in small drops of glycerine on permanent glass slides with extra layers of paraffin wax to prevent the flattening of the nematodes (Bhat et al., 2021a). Morphological measurements were taken using the Nikon DS-L1 software built in a phase contrast microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i). Between 20 and 25 specimens at each developmental stage were measured. Light microscopy photographs were taken using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with differential interference contrast optics (DIC) and a Nikon Digital Sight DS-U1 camera. For the scanning electron microscopy (SEM), nematode specimens preserved in glycerine were processed as described by Abolafia (2015). For this, the nematodes were re-hydrated in distilled water, dehydrated in ethanol-acetone, critical-point dried with liquid carbon dioxide, mounted on SEM stubs with copper tape and coated with gold in a sputter coater. Specimens were observed and microphotographs were captured using a Zeiss Merlin microscope (5 kV) (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). All micrographs were processed using Adobe® Photoshop® CS. The obtained morphometrical characters were compared with those published in previous studies describing all the species of the genus, independently of their current status (valid, species inquirendae, synonym, etc) (Abd-Elgawad and Ameen, 2005; Agüera de Doucet and Doucet, 1986; Andaló et al., 2006; Bhat et al., 2019a; Bhat et al., 2021b; Edgington et al., 2011; Gardner et al., 1994; Hunt and Nguyen, 2016; Kajol et al., 2020; Kakulia and Mikaia, 1997; Khan et al., 1976; Liu, 1994; Liu and Berry, 1996; Li et al., 2012; Malan et al., 2008; Malan et al., 2014; Maneesakorn et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2004; Nguyen et al., 2006; Nguyen et al., 2008; Pereira, 1937; Phan et al., 2003; Plichta et al., 2009; Poinar and Veremchuk, 1970; Poinar, 1976; Poinar et al., 1987; Poinar et al., 1992; Rana et al., 2020; Sagun et al., 2015; Shahina et al., 2017; Shamseldean et al., 1996; Stock, 1993; Stock et al., 1996; Stock, 1997; Stock et al., 2002; Stock et al., 2009; Turco, 1970; Vanlalhlimpuia et al., 2018; Wouts, 1979).

Self-crossing and cross-hybridization experiments

Self-crossing and cross-hybridization experiments were carried out on lipid agar plates as described by Dix et al. (1992). *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. Rw14_N-C4a and *H. zacatecana* n. sp. MEX-39 were self-crossed, hybridized with each other and with *H. bacteriophora* CH21 (Rana et al., 2020). For this, one second–generation male and one second–generation virgin female were placed on lipid agar plates (35 mm diam.) and incubated at 27°C. Ten independent plates per crossing type were set. Progeny production was observed daily for a period of five consecutive days. Experiments were repeated three times under the same conditions.

Nematode molecular characterization and phylogenetic relationships

Genomic DNA from about 10 to 20 thousand nematodes was extracted using the genomic DNA

isolation kit following manufacturer's instructions (Norgen Biotek Corp., Thorold, Ontario, Canada). The following genes/genomic regions were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR): the D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA, the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of the rRNA, the cytochrome c oxidase I (COI), the thin filament (F-actin)-associated protein (*unc-87*), and the calmodulin 1 (cmd-1). Primers used were selected based on previous publications (Dhakal et al., 2020; Joyce et al., 1994b; Regeai et al., 2009; Subbotin et al., 2006) (Table S2). PCR reactions consisted of 1 µL of genomic DNA, 12.5 µL of EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), 0.5 µL of both forward and reverse primers at 10mM and 10.5 µL of dH₂O. The PCR reaction was performed using a thermocycler (Mastercycler nexus gradient, Eppendorf, Germany) with the following settings: (i) for ITS and D2–D3, 1 cycle of 1 min at 98°C followed by 35 cycles of 10 sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 50°C, 1 min 30 sec at 72°C, and by a single final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min; (ii) for cmd-1 and unc-87, 1 cycle of 1 min at 98°C followed by 40 cycles of 10 sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 50°C, 30 sec at 72°C, and by a single final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min; (iii) for COI, 1 cycle of 1 min at 98°C followed by 40 cycles of 10 sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 40°C, 30 sec at 72°C, and by a single final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. PCR was followed by electrophoresis (45 min, 100 V) of 5 µl of PCR products in a 1% TBA (Tris-boric acid-EDTA) buffered agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). PCR products were purified using the FastGene Gel/ PCR extraction kit (Nippon Genetics Co., Japan) and sequenced using reverse and forward primers by Sanger sequencing (Microsynth AG, Balgach, Switzerland). Obtained sequences were manually curated and trimmed and deposited in the NCBI under the accession numbers given in Table S3. Sequences of the following nematode strains were obtained in this study: Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. (isolates Rw18_M-Hr1a, Rw18_M-Hr1b, and Rw14_N-C4a), H. zacatecana n. sp. (isolates MEX-39, MEX-40, and MEX-41), *H. bacteriophora* (isolates DE2, DE6, PT1, IT6, EN01, and TT01); H. georgiana Hbb, H. beicherriana H06, H. indica CH7, and H. atacamensis MEX-20. To complete this data set and to obtain genomic sequences of nematodes that belong to all the validly described species of the genus Heterorhabditis, we searched the database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) by the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) using the accession numbers of the sequences obtained previously (Dhakal et al., 2020) (Table S3). Resulting

sequences were used to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships by the Maximum Likelihood method based on the following nucleotide substitution models: Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano (HKY + I) (cmd-1), Tamura–Nei (TN93 + G + I) (COI), Kimura 2-parameter (K2 + G) (D2-D3 and ITS), and Tamura 3-parameter (T92) (unc-87). To select the best substitution model, best-fit nucleotide substitution model analyses were carried out in MEGA 7 (Hasegawa et al., 1985; Kimura, 1980; Kumar et al., 2016; Nei and Kumar, 2000). Sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (v3.8.31) (Edgar, 2004). The trees with the highest log likelihood are shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. In some cases, a discrete Gamma distribution (+G) was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites and the rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily (+I). The trees are drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. Graphical representation and edition of the phylogenetic tree were performed with Interactive Tree of Life (v3.5.1) (Chevenet et al., 2006; Letunic and Bork, 2016).

Symbiotic relationships

The Photorhabdus entomopathogenic bacteria associated with H. ruandica n. sp. Rw14 N-C4a and H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-39 nematodes were isolated as described by Machado et al. (2019), (2021b). Briefly, Galleria mellonella larvae (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) were exposed to 100 nematode infective juveniles. Three to four days later, insect cadavers were surface-sterilized and cut open with a blade. Bacteria-digested internal organs were spread onto LB agar plates and incubated at 28°C for 24 to 48 h. Photorhabdus-like colonies were sub-cultured until monocultures were obtained. A single primary form colony was then selected and used for further experiments. Bacteria primary forms were determined by examining colony characteristics and by examining pigments uptake on NBTA plates (LB agar plates supplemented with 25 mg l⁻¹ bromothymol blue and 4 mg l⁻¹ triphenyl-2,3,5-tetrazolium chloride). The strains were further sub-cultured and maintained on LB agar plates at 28°C. To establish their taxonomic identities, we reconstructed phylogenetic relationships based on whole genome sequences of the isolated bacteria and all the different species/

subspecies of the genus Photorhabdus (Machado et al., 2021a, b). To obtain genomic sequences, genomic DNA was extracted and purified using the GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Switzerland) following manufacturer's instructions. The resulting DNA was used for library preparation using the TruSeq DNA PCR-Free LT Library Prep (FC-121-3003) kit. Indexed libraries were then pooled at equimolar concentrations and sequenced (2 × 150 bp) on an Illumina HiSeg 3000 instrument. Genomes were assembled using the Bactopia pipeline (Petit and Read, 2020). Briefly, the raw Illumina reads were quality trimmed using Trimmomatic 0.39 (Bolger et al., 2014). The resulting reads were assembled with SPAdes 3.14.1 (k-mer sizes of 31, 51, 71, 91, and 111 bp) (Bankevich et al., 2012). Scaffolds with a mean read-depth smaller than 20% of the median read-depth of the longer scaffolds (≥5,000 bp) as well as scaffolds that were shorter than 200 bp were removed. The final assemblies were polished using Pilon 1.22 (Walker et al., 2014). Genome sequences were deposited in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information. Accession numbers are listed in Table S4. Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed based on the assembled genomes and the genome sequences of all validly published species of the genus (Machado et al., 2021a, b). For this, core genome alignments were created using Roary 3.6.2 (Page et al., 2015). Using this alignment, a maximum likelihood tree was constructed using Fasttree 2.1.10 based on the Jukes-Cantor + CAT nucleotide evolution model (Price et al., 2009, 2010). These analyses were carried out in Galaxy (Afgan et al., 2018). Whole genome sequence similarities were calculated by the digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) method using the recommended formula 2 of the genome-togenome distance calculator (GGDC) web service of the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH (DSMZ) (Auch et al., 2010a, 2010b; Meier-Kolthoff et al., 2013, 2014).

Results and discussion

Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp.

Figures 1–4, Tables 1 and 3–6.

Males

Body 0.65 to 0.86 mm long, C-shaped after fixation. Cuticle almost smooth, with transversal striae poorly developed. Lateral field not visible. Lip region with six lips developed but not fused bearing six acute labial papillae at oral margin and four rounded cephalic

papillae at the base of lips. Oral opening almost rounded with thick margins. Amphidial apertures pore-like, ovoid and located posterior to lateral labial papillae. Stoma rhabditoid type, 1.2 to 2.3 times the lip region width, with short cheilostom with poorly refringent rounded cheilorhabdia, short gymnostom with refringent barlike rhabdia, and long stegostom surrounded by the pharyngeal collar and bearing bar-like pro-mesorhabdia and small poorly refringent meta-telorhabdia. Pharynx poorly developed with robust corpus without differentiated metacorpus, short and slightly narrow isthmus and pyriform bulb with poorly visible valvular apparatus. Nerve ring encircling the isthmus at 58 to 75% of neck length, just anterior to basal bulb. Excretory pore located at basal bulb level, located at 61 to 97% of neck length. Cardia poorly developed, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Intestine without differentiations. Cardiac anterior end with thin walls. Genital system monorchic, laterally reflexed. Spicules well-developed, separate, with small angular manubrium, calamus poorly developed, and robust lamina with acute tip, scarcely prominent dorsal hump and poorly developed ventral velum. Gubernaculum with manubrium straight and slightly ventrally curved corpus, 40 to 50% of spicule length. Tail conoid with acute tip, ventrally curved posteriorly, flanked by the bursa. Bursa peloderan, with nine pairs of genital papillae (1 + 2/3 + 3), one of them probably the phasmid: three pairs pre-cloacal (GP1-GP3) and six pairs post-cloacal being three pairs at mid tail length (GP4-GP6) and three pairs (GP7-GP9) terminal; GP1 and GP2 more spaced, GP2 and GP3 closely spaced (Figs. 1-4).

Hermaphroditic females

Body 2.91 to 4.12 mm long, arcuate with general morphology similar to male, having labial papillae very acute and prominent. Nerve ring encircling the isthmus at 56 to 78% of neck length. Excretory pore located at or posterior to basal bulb, located at 67 to 103% of neck length. Genital reproductive system didelphic-amphidelphic with ovaries well developed, reflexed, oviducts and uteri not well visible, vagina very short and vulva small having transverse slit opening. Rectum slender, 0.8 to 1.3 times longer than the anal body diameter. Anus with prominent lips. Tail conoid with acute tip lacking mucro, having cellular part simple at its junction with the hyaline part. Phasmids inconspicuous (Figs. 1–4).

Amphimictic females

Body similar to, but usually smaller than hermaphroditic females, 1.13-1.61 mm long. Rectum very



Figure 1: Line drawings of *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. (A) A hermaphroditic female.
(B) Cephalic region of a hermaphroditic female. (C) Pharyngeal region of a hermaphroditic female.
(D) Anterior part of the reproductive system of a hermaphroditic female. (E) Posterior end of a hermaphroditic female. (F) An amphimictic female. (G) Pharyngeal region of an amphimictic female. (H) Posterior end of an amphimictic female. (I) A male adult. (J) Pharyngeal region of a male adult. (K) Posterior region of a male adult. (L) Pharyngeal region of an infective juvenile.
(M) Posterior end of an infective juvenile. (N) An infective juvenile.

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 2: Light microscope micrographs of *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. (A) An amphimictic female (black arrow pointing at the position of the vulva, white arrow pointing at the anus). (B) Pharyngeal region of an amphimictic female. (C) Posterior end of an amphimictic female. (D) Vulva of an amphimictic female. (E) A male adult. (F) Pharyngeal region of a male adult. (G) Posterior end of a male adult (arrows pointing at the genital papillae).



Figure 3: Light microscope micrographs of *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. (A) A hermaphroditic female. (B) Anterior end of a hermaphroditic female. (C) Pharyngeal region of a hermaphroditic female. (D) Posterior end of a hermaphroditic female. (E) A sheathed third stage juvenile (J2). (F) Pharyngeal region of a sheathed third stage juvenile (J2). (G) Posterior end of a sheathed third stage juvenile (J2). (G) Posterior end of a non-sheathed third stage juvenile (J3). (I) Pharyngeal region of a non-sheathed third stage juvenile (J3). (J) Posterior end of a non-sheathed third stage juvenile (J3).

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 4: Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrographs of *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. (A, B) Lip region in lateral and frontal views, respectively, of a hermaphroditic female. (C) Excretory pore of a hermaphroditic female (pointed by an arrow). (D) Vulva of a hermaphroditic female (pointed by an arrow). (E) Tail of a hermaphroditic female in lateral view. (F, G) Lip region of a female adult in lateral and frontal views, respectively. (H) Excretory pore (pointed by an arrow). (I) Vulva of a female adult in lateral and frontal views, respectively. (H) Excretory pore (pointed by an arrow). (I) Vulva of a female adult (pointed by an arrow). (J) Tail of a female adult in ventral view. (K, L) Lip region of a male adult in sublateral and frontal views, respectively. (M, N) Posterior end of a male adult in ventral and lateral views, respectively (arrows pointing the genital papillae). (O) Lip region of a second-stage juvenile (J2) in lateral views, respectively. (R) Lip region of a third-stage juvenile (J3) in ventral view (arrow pointing the frontal tooth). (S) Cuticle (arrow pointing the cuticle of a third-stage juvenile).

Table 1. Morphometrics of infective juveniles and adult generations of Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp.

		Male			
Characters	Holotype	Paratypes	Hermaphrodite (1 st Gen) paratypes	Female (2 nd Gen) paratypes	Infective juvenile paratypes
C	-	20	20	20	25
Body length (L)	760	769 ± 60 (652-863)	3295 ± 286 (2907-4123)	1366 ± 123 (1131-1608)	544 ± 29 (496-591)
a (L/BD)	20.3	$17 \pm 1.5 (15-21)$	$14.1 \pm 1.1 \ (11.7 - 16.1)$	18 ± 1.4 (15-20)	24 ± 3.0 (20-29)
p (T/NL)	7.8	8.1 ± 1.0 (5.8-9.7)	23 ± 1.8 (21-27)	11.4 ± 1.2 (9.0-13.6)	4.7 ± 0.4 (4.1-5.4)
c (L/T)	26.2	31 ± 3.6 (23-36)	42 ± 5.7 (34-51)	20 ± 2.2 (16-24)	8.2 ± 1.0 (7.6-8.6)
c' (T/ABW)	1.1	1.4 ± 0.2 (0.6-1.7)	2.2 ± 0.3 (1.7-2.6)	2.8 ± 0.5 (1.9-3.6)	4.6 ± 0.8 (3.4-5.8)
V (VA/L × 100)	I	I	48 ± 2.5 (45-55)	48 ± 2.3 (41-51)	I
Max. Body Width (MBD)	37.5	44 ± 3.0 (40-51)	233 ± 17 (209-274)	77 ± 4.0 (68-83)	23 ± 2.7 (18-27)
Lip region width	6.5	7.2 ± 0.8 (5.7-8.4)	12.4 ± 0.8 (11.0-14.0)	10.3 ± 0.9 (8.8-12.2)	Ι
Stoma length	9.5	11.1 ± 1.6 (8.7-13.9)	14.9 ± 1.4 (13-18)	13.6 ± 1.8 (10.4-16.0)	13.8 ± 1.2 (12.1-16.0)
Bulb length (BL)	18.5	20 ± 1.8 (18-25)	35 ± 3.6 (29-42)	27 ± 2 (23-30)	13.8 ± 1.8 (11.0-19.0)
Pharynx length (PL)	95.2	84 ± 7.1 (74-107)	128 ± 6.3 (118-142)	107 ± 6.9 (91-120)	102 ± 7.0 (91-115)
Nerve ring – ant. end (NR)	68	63 ± 5.2 (56-74)	93 ± 7.5 (78-108)	81 ± 6.4 (69-97)	55 ± 3.6 (52-64)
Excretory pore-ant. end (EP)	84.3	81 ± 10.1 (61-109)	121 ± 11 (106-153)	111 ± 10.8 (92-129)	78 ± 3.4 (70-89)
Neck length (Stoma+Pharynx, NL)	98	96 ± 7.3 (84-117)	143 ± 6.3 (134-159)	120 ± 6.0 (107-132)	115 ± 7.3 (103-131)
Body width at neck base	36	34 ± 1.9 (30-37)	119 ± 8.9 (101-138)	58 ± 4.3 (50-66)	18 ± 3.0 (15-24)
Vagina length	I	Ι	28 ± 4.0 (20-38)	19.2 ± 2.9 (15-26)	Ι
Body width at vulva	I	Ι	240 ± 21 (199-278)	78 ± 3.8 (72-85)	I
Vulva – ant. end (VA)	I	Ι	1581 ± 151 (1369-1882)	655 ± 47 (572-706)	Ι
Vulva – post. End (PV)	I	I	1713 ± 178 (1453-2241)	710 ± 89 (559-949)	Ι
Rectum length	I	Ι	36 ± 4.6 (29-49)	30 ± 3.8 (24-35)	8.5 ± 1.9 (6.1-13.7)
Anal body diam. (ABD)	26.1	18 ± 2.4 (15-25)	37 ± 5.5 (29-51)	25 ± 4.5 (18-34)	12.4 ± 1.8 (9.2-16.0)
Tail with sheath length (T)	I	I	I	I	56 ± 4.9 (49-64)
Tail without sheath length	29	25 ± 3.2 (21-29)	80 ± 7.9 (63-98)	68 ± 6.5 (62-88)	30.4 ± 4.5 (22-39)

Spicule length (SL)	49	43 ± 4.1 (34-50)	I	I	I
Gubernaculum length (GL)	20.2	18 ± 1.5 (15-21)	I	Ι	Ι
Stoma length/lip region width	1.5	I	1.2 ± 0.2 (1.0-1.6)	1.3 ± 0.1 (1.1-1.6)	I
Nerve ring % (NR/NL × 100)	69.4	67 ± 4.4 (58-75)	65 ± 5.0 (56-78)	67 ± 3.9 (61-75)	I
Excretory pore % (EP/NL \times 100)	86	85 ± 8.5 (61-97)	85 ± 8.3 (67-103)	92 ± 7.6 (74-104)	I
Rectum % (R/ABD × 100)	I	I	90 ± 10 (80-130)	128 ± 29 (90-181)	I
D % (EP /PL × 100)	88.5	96 ± 9.2 (69-111)	95 ± 9.3 (74-114)	104 ± 9.3 (82-118)	78 ± 7.6 (66-98)
E % (EP/T × 100)	290	325 ± 49 (232-413)	153 ± 24 (120-205)	164 ± 23 (111-203)	139 ± 13.4 (112-168)
SW % (SL/ABD × 100)	242	243 ± 47 (150-306)	I	I	I
GS % (GL/SL × 100)	41.2	42 ± 5.2 (35-57)	I	I	I
H % (H/T × 100	I	I	I	I	46 ± 4.0 (37–55)
Note: All measurements are in µm (exc	ept ratios and	l percentages).			

Diagnosis of Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. and morphological relationships with other species

Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. is characterized by having hermaphrodite females 2.91 to 4.12 mm long, amphimictic females 1.13 to 1.61 mm long, males 0.65 to 0.86 mm long, and IJs 0.50 to 0.59 mm long. Cuticle with poorly visible annuli in adults, with longitudinal crests in IJ2 and with well-developed

long, almost twice longer than the anal body diameter. Anus with posterior lip very prominent. Tail conoid with acute tip lacking mucro, having cellular part bifurcated at its junction with the hyaline part (Figs. 1-4).

Infective sheathed juveniles (J3 stage envolved by the J2 stage cuticle)

Body 0.5 to 0.6 mm long, with habitus slightly ventral curved after fixation. Cuticle with transversal striae at anterior end, with both transversal and longitudinal striae at neck region and only with longitudinal striae at rest of body. Lip region lacking differentiate lips, bearing six labial papillae and cephalic papillae not visible. Amphidial apertures very reduced. Oral opening closed, having triradial symmetry. Stoma tubular, about twice the lip region wide. Pharynx slender, with long and narrow corpus, very narrow isthmus and pyriform basal bulb. Nerve ring surrounding the isthmus. Excretory pore at or just posterior to basal bulb. Cardia reduced, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Reproductive system absent. Rectum poorly visible. Anus closed. Tail conoid elongate with acute tip without mucro. Terminal hyaline part 37 to 54% of tail length (Figs. 1–4).

Infective non-sheathed juveniles (J3 stage)

Body 0.47 to 0.56 mm long, with habitus almost straight after fixation. Cuticle with only transversal striae. Lip region lacking differentiate lips, and labial and cephalic papillae not visible. Oral opening rounded, closed, bearing a large, very refringent dorsal tooth. Amphidial apertures very prominent. Stoma tubular, slightly longer than the lip region wide. Pharynx, nerve ring and excretory pore location similar to the sheathed stage. Cardia reduced, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Rectum poorly visible. Anus closed. Tail conoid with very acute tip without mucro. Terminal hyaline part absent (Figs. 1-4).

annuli in IJ3. Lip region with six low lips having thin and acute lipplets in adults. Lips are poorly developed in IJ2 and bearing a large refringent dorsal tooth in IJ3. Stoma reduced in adults and tubular in IJ. Pharynx robust and short in adults and narrow and slender in IJ. Female reproductive system didelphicamphidelphic. Anal body diameter in hermaphrodites 29 to 51 µm long, in amphimictic females 18 to 34 µm long, and in males 15 to 25 µm long. Tail short and conoid with acute terminus at cellular part in hermaphrodite females (63-98 μ m long, c = 34-51, c' = 1.7-2.6) and slightly bifurcated in amphimictic females (62-88 μ m long, c = 16-24, c' = 1.9-3.6). Tail conoid-elongate in IJ2 (49-64 μ m long, c = 8.0-12, c' = 3.1-6.2) and IJ3 (22-39 µm long, c = 7.6-8.6, c' = 3.4-5.8). Male reproductive system monorchic, with spicules 34 to 50 µm long having reduced manubrium 15 to 21 µm long, bursa peloderan bearing nine pairs of genital papillae (1 + 2/3 + 3).

Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. is morphologically similar to H. egyptii, H. bacteriophora, H. georgiana, and H. beicherriana, and can be distinguished from these species mainly by adult and infective juvenile characters (Tables 3-6). Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. can be distinguished from *H. eqyptii* by the distance from the anterior end to the nerve ring in IJs (52-64 vs. 78-100 µm), the presence of a cephalic tooth in IJs (large vs. apparently small or absent). Additionally, hermaphroditic females of these two species differ in size (2.91-4.12 vs. 2.10-3.10), body diameter (209-274 vs. 107-164 µm), and in the distance from the anterior end to the excretory pore (106-153 vs. 154-205). Amphimictic female of H. ruandica n. sp. and H. egyptii differ in the size of their tails (62-88 vs. 56-78 µm).

Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. IJs can be distinguished from the IJs of *H. bacteriophora* by the distance between the anterior end and the excretory pore (67-90 vs. 87-110 μ m) and the distance between the anterior end and the nerve ring (52-64 vs. 72-93 μ m), and by the tail length (49-65 vs. 83-112 μ m). The males of *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. can be distinguished from the males of *H. bacteriophora* by the distance from the anterior end to the excretory pore (61-109 vs. 114-130 μ m) and by the lower D% value (61-97 vs. 117). Hermaphroditic and amphimictic females also show various morphometric differences (Tables 3–6).

Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. can be distinguished from *H. beicherriana* by the size of IJs (496-591 vs. 566-687 μ m), the distance between the anterior end and the excretory pore (67-90 vs. 100-122 μ m) and between the anterior end and the nerve ring (52-64 vs. 85-106 μ m), and by neck

(103-131 vs. 118-146 μ m) and tail lengths of IJs (49-65 vs. 86-111 μ m). The body length of *H. ruandica* n. sp. males is shorter than the body length of *H. beicherriana* males (652-863 vs 889-1192 μ m). Males can also be distinguished by body diameter (40-51 vs. 51-73 μ m), and by the distance between the anterior end and the excretory pore (61-109 vs. 130-157 μ m) and between the anterior end and the nerve ring (56-74 vs. 81-108 μ m), by neck (84-117 vs. 116-143 μ m), tail (21-29 vs. 32-45 μ m) and gubernaculum (15-21 vs. 22-27 μ m) lengths, and by the D% value (61-97 vs. 102-120). Several other morphometric differences were also observed in hermaphroditic and amphimictic females (Tables 3–6).

Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. can be distinguished from *H. georgiana* by the anterior end to the excretory pore (67-90 vs. 97-113 μ m) and the anterior end to the nerve ring (52-64 vs. 74-94 μ m) distances, and by the tail length (49-65 vs. 86-108 μ m) of IJs. The males can be distinguished by the anterior end to the excretory pore (61-109 vs. 101-145 μ m) and the anterior end to the nerve ring (56-74 vs. 72-93 μ m) distances, and by tail (21-29 vs. 29-41 μ m) and gubernaculum (15-21 vs. 20-28 μ m) length, and by D% values (61-97 vs. 100-122). Several other morphometric characters of hermaphroditic and amphimictic females differ between these two species (Tables 3–6).

Type host and locality

The type hosts are unknown as the nematodes of this genus can be hosted by different insect species and were isolated from soil samples by the *Galleria* baiting technique (Bedding and Akhurst, 1975; White, 1927). Nematode strains *H. ruandica* n. sp. Rw18_M-Hr1a and Rw18_M-Hr1b were collected in the district of Karongi, Western province of the Republic of Rwanda (Decimal degrees coordinates: -2.131500, 29.325467) in a moist habitat along a river bench covered with sweet potato plants. *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. Rw14_N-C4a nematodes were collected in a ploughed cropland on terraces in a hilly area near Kanyirandori village, Tare sector, Nyamagabe district, Southern province of the Republic of Rwanda (Decimal degrees coordinates: -2.500000, 29.483333).

Type material

Rw14_N-C4a nematodes are the type material for *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp. Holotype male, and 15 paratype hermaphrodites, males and amphimictic females and 15 third stage juveniles were deposited in the National Nematode Collection of India, IARI, New Delhi, India. Additional specimens were deposited at

the nematode collection of the Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology and Ecology of the University of Jaén, Spain, under the following slide numbers: Rwa001-01 to -12 (25 hermaphrodite females and 6 juveniles), Rwa002-01 to -05 (8 amphimictic females and 9 males), and Rwa003-01 to -02 (8 juveniles). Nematode cultures are maintained in the Institute of Biology, University of Neuchatel, Switzerland and in the Rwanda Agriculture and Animal Resource Development Board, Rubona, Rwanda.

Etymology

The specific name refers to the country, the Republic of Rwanda (Africa), where the type material, *Hetero-rhabditis ruandica* n. sp. Rw14_N-C4a nematodes, used to phenotypically characterize the species, were collected.

Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp.

Figures 5–8, Tables 2 and 3–6

Males

Body 0.81 to 0.91 mm long, J-shaped after heat killing and body arcuate posteriorly. Cuticle almost smooth, with transversal striae poorly developed. Lateral field not visible. Lip region with six lips poorly developed bearing six acute labial papillae at oral margin and four rounded cephalic papillae at base of lips. Oral opening almost rounded with thick margin. Amphidial apertures pore-like, ovoid and located posterior to lateral labial papillae. Stoma rhabditoid type, 0.9 to 1.6 times the lip region width, with short cheilostom with poorly refringent rounded cheilorhabdia, short gymnostom with refringent bar-like rhabdia, and long stegostom surrounded by the pharyngeal collar and bearing bar-like pro-mesorhabdia and small poorly refringent meta-telorhabdia. Pharynx poorly developed with robust corpus without differentiated metacorpus, short and slightly narrow isthmus and robust pyriform bulb with poorly visible valvular apparatus. Nerve ring encircling the isthmus at 61% to 96% of neck length, just anterior to basal bulb. Excretory pore located at or posterior to the basal bulb, located at 78% to 134% of neck length. Cardia poorly developed, surrounding by intestinal tissue. Intestine without differentiations. Genital system monorchic, laterally reflexed. Spicules well developed, separate, with more or less rounded manubrium, calamus poorly developed, and thinner and slender lamina with acute tip, scarcely prominent dorsal hump and poorly developed ventral velum. Gubernaculum with manubrium slightly ventral curved and straight corpus, 40% to 60% of spicule length. Tail conoid with acute tip, ventrally curved posteriorly, flanked by the bursa. Bursa peloderan, with nine pairs of genital papillae (1 + 2/3 + 3), one of them probably the phasmid: three pairs pre-cloacal (GP1–GP3) and six pairs post-cloacal being three pairs at mid tail length (GP4–GP6) and three pairs (GP7–GP9) terminal; GP1 and GP2 more spaced, GP2 and GP3 closely spaced (Figs. 5–8).

Hermaphroditic females

Body 4.41 to 6.18 mm long, arcuate with general morphology similar to male, having labial papillae more acute and prominent. Genital reproductive system didelphic–amphidelphic with ovaries well developed, reflexed, oviducts and uteri not well visible, vagina very short and vulva small having transverse slit opening. Rectum slender, about 1.5 times longer than the anal body diameter. Anus with prominent lips. Tail conoid with acute tip lacking mucro, having cellular part simple at its junction with the hyaline part. Phasmids inconspicuous (Figs. 5–8).

Amphimictic females

Body similar to, but usually smaller than hermaphrodites, 1.95 to 2.80 mm long. Rectum very long, about twice longer than the anal body diameter. Anus with posterior lip more prominent. Tail conoid with acute tip lacking mucro, having cellular part simple at its junction with the hyaline part (Figs. 5–8).

Infective sheathed juveniles (J3 stage envolved by the J2 stage cuticle)

Body 0.49–0.58 mm long, with habitus slightly ventral curved after fixation. Cuticle with transversal striae at anterior end, with both transversal and longitudinal striae at neck region and only with longitudinal striae at rest of body. Lip region lacking differentiate lips, bearing six labial papillae and cephalic papillae not visible. Amphidial apertures very reduced. Oral opening closed, having triradial symmetry. Stoma tubular, about twice the lip region wide. Pharynx slender, with long and narrow corpus, very narrow isthmus and pyriform basal bulb. Nerve ring surrounding the isthmus. Excretory pore at or just posterior to basal bulb. Cardia reduced, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Reproductive system absent. Rectum poorly visible. Anus closed. Tail conoid elongate with acute tip without mucro. Terminal hyaline part 31% to 56% of tail length (Figs. 5-8).



Figure 5: Line drawings of *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. (A) A hermaphroditic female. (B) Pharyngeal region of a hermaphroditic female. (C) Anterior part of the reproductive system of a hermaphroditic female. (D) Posterior end of a hermaphroditic female. (E) An amphimictic female. (F) Pharyngeal region of an amphimictic female. (G) Posterior end of an amphimictic female. (H) A male adult. (I) Pharyngeal region of a male adult. (J) Posterior end of a male adult. (K) Pharyngeal region of an infective juvenile. (L) Posterior end of an infective juvenile.

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 6: Light microscope micrographs of *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. (A) An amphimictic female (black arrow pointing the vulva, white arrow pointing the anus). (B) Pharyngeal region of an amphimictic female. (C) Posterior end of an amphimictic female. (D) A male adult. (E) Pharyngeal region of a male adult. (F) Posterior end of a male adult (arrows pointing at the genital papillae).



Figure 7: Light microscope micrographs of *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. (A) A hermaphroditic female. (B) Pharyngeal region of a hermaphroditic female. (C) Posterior end of a hermaphroditic female. (D) A sheathed third stage juvenile (J2). (E) Pharyngeal region of a sheathed third stage juvenile (J2). (F) Posterior end of a sheathed third stage juvenile (J3). (G) A non-sheathed third stage juvenile (J3). (I) Posterior end of a non-sheathed third stage juvenile (J3). (I) Posterior end of a non-sheathed third stage juvenile.

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 8: Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrographs of *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. (A, B) Lip region in lateral and frontal views, respectively, of a hermaphroditic female. (C) Broken cuticle of a hermaphroditic female with a juvenile emerging. (D) Vulva of a hermaphroditic female (pointed by a white arrow). (E) Tail of a hermaphroditic female in lateral view. (F, G) Lip region of a female adult in lateral and frontal views, respectively. (H) Excretory pore of a female adult (pointed by a white arrow). (I) Vulva of a female adult. (J) Tail of a female adult in ventral view. (K, L) Lip region of a male adult in lateral and frontal views, respectively. (M, N) Posterior end of a male adult in lateral and ventral views, respectively (arrows pointing at the genital papillae). (O) Lip region of a second-stage juvenile (J2) in lateral view. (P) Cuticle of a second-stage juvenile (J2) (arrow pointing the excretory pore). (Q) Tail of a second-stage juvenile (J2) in lateral and ventral views, respectively. (J3) in dorsal view (arrow pointing the frontal tooth). (S) Cuticle of a third-stage juvenile (J3) (arrow pointing the excretory pore).

ő.
2
na
Ca
fé
ä
ğ
N
iţi
pd
at
Ł
5
fe
Чe
f_
0
ŝ
Ei.
Ъ.
Je
Je
t 0
'n
ad
ō
Ĩ
ŝ
Ö
ĵn.
Ň
<u>с</u> .
ve
Ę
e
nf
f
с С
<u>ö</u>
ř
ц
ō
р
P
Ź
сi
Ð
q
Ta

		Male			
Characters	Holotype	Paratypes	Hermaphrodite (1st Gen) paratypes	Female (2nd Gen) paratypes	Infective juvenile paratypes
U	-	20	22	22	25
Body length (L)	808.1	861 ± 29 (811-914)	5127 ± 494 (4408-6179)	2244 ± 203 (1954-2798)	539 ± 21 (493-578)
a (L/BD)	19.0	18 ± 1.6 (15-22)	16 ± 2.0 (13-20)	12.3 ± 1.2 (10.5-15.0)	22 ± 1.2 (19-24)
(T/NL) d	8.1	9.1 ± 1.1 (7.6-12)	26 ± 4.3 (20-34)	18 ± 1.8 (16-21)	5.0 ± 0.4 (4.4-5.9)
c (L/T)	28.9	34 ± 4.2 (26-43)	70 ± 10.4 (52-90)	39 ± 7.4 (31-63)	9.4 ± 0.6 (8.2-10.5)
c' (T/ABW)	1.4	$1.6 \pm 0.3 (1.2 - 2.5)$	$1.6 \pm 0.3 (1.2 - 2.4)$	1.7 ± 0.2 (1.3-2.0)	5.3 ± 0.6 (4.3-6.7)
V (VA/L × 100)	I	I	48 ± 4.3 (36-57)	53 ± 4.2 (43-61)	I
Max. Body Width (MBD)	42.5	48 ± 3.6 (41-56)	319 ± 41 (235-385)	183 ± 23 (160-228)	24 ± 0.9 (23-27)
Lip region width	6.2	$7.4 \pm 0.7 (6.2-8.8)$	11.7 ± 2.4 (9.2-19.2)	10.1 ± 1.0 (7.7-11.4)	$4.0 \pm 0.5 (3.2-5.2)$
Stoma length	10	9.3 ± 1.0 (6.3-11)	19 ± 2.0 (14-23)	11.5 ± 1.7 (8.0-15.2)	13.5 ± 1.0 (12.0-15.3)
Bulb length (BL)	20.2	22 ± 2.4 (19-28)	40 ± 4.6 (28-49)	30 ± 2.6 (28-38)	20 ± 1.4 (17.1-23.0)
Pharynx length (PL)	95.2	86 ± 9.8 (57-100)	182 ± 23 (155-211)	113 ± 9.5 (101-133)	95 ± 7.2 (82-111)
Nerve ring – ant. end (NR)	65.4	66 ± 5.3 (60-78)	131 ± 22 (96-169)	83 ± 7.3 (71-96)	81 ± 6.3 (69-72)
Excretory pore- ant. end (EP)	96.2	93 ± 9.6 (77-109)	150 ± 24 (108-190)	113 ± 11 (100-133)	89 ± 6.8 (72-99)
Neck length (Stoma+Pharynx, NL)	99.3	96 ± 9.6 (71-108)	201 ± 21 (174-231)	124 ± 10 (112-148)	109 ± 6.9 (96-124)
Body width at neck base	34.5	36 ± 2.3 (31-40)	167 ± 13 (133-188)	95 ± 13.9 (74-121)	23 ± 1.3 (19-26)
Vagina length	I	Ι	31 ± 4.0 (24-36)	25 ± 6.4 (17-42)	Ι
Body width at vulva	Ι	I	331 ± 33 (257-379)	185 ± 27 (153-230)	Ι
Vulva – ant. end (VA)	I	Ι	2470 ± 279 (1959-3038)	1182 ± 129 (910-1397)	Ι
Vulva – post. end (PV)	Ι	I	2657 ± 279 (1990-3938)	1062 ± 147 (860-1455)	Ι
Rectum length	I	Ι	36 ± 4.6 (30-41)	27 ± 4.1 (19-39)	I
Anal body diam. (ABD)	19.6	17 ± 2.3 (13-22)	47 ± 8.1 (34-58)	35 ± 3.2 (31-41)	11.1 ± 1.3 (8.6-14.1)
Tail with sheath length (T)	I	I	I	I	58 ± 3.1 (52-63)

Tail without sheath length	28	26 ± 3.3 (21-33)	74 ± 8.3 (63-87)	58 ± 8.2 (45-75)	29.4 ± 2.5 (25-34)
Spicule length (SL)	54.1	45 ± 3.7 (38-55)	I	I	I
Gubernaculum length (GL)	18.7	20 ± 2.1 (15-25)	I	I	I
Stoma length/lip region width	1.6	I	$1.6 \pm 0.3 (1.1 - 2.1)$	1.2 ± 0.2 (0.8-1.7)	I
Nerve ring % (NR/NL × 100)	62.9	69 ± 9.9 (61-96)	65 ± 9.4 (49-86)	67 ± 4.8 (60-82)	I
Excretory pore $\%$ (EP/NL \times 100)	96.9	98 ± 17 (78-134)	75 ± 11 (51-95)	67 ± 4.8 (60-82)	I
Rectum % (R/ABD × 100)	I	I	79 ± 17 (54-112)	76 ± 13 (52-106)	I
0 % (EP /NL × 100)	101.05	109 ± 21 (83-156)	75 ± 11 (55-95)	92 ± 7.9 (80-111)	94 ± 12 (68-120)
E % (EP/T × 100)	343.6	365 ± 68 (236-503)	206 ± 46 (145-303)	197 ± 27 (145-246)	154 ± 14 (128-184)
SW % (SL/ABD × 100)	276	270 ± 50 (170-320)	I	I	I
GS % (GL/SL × 100)	34.56	$40 \pm 10 (40-60)$	I	I	I
Ч % (Н/Т × 100	I	I	I	I	47 ± 5.6 (35-56)
lote: All measurements are in um (ex	cept ratios a	ind percentages).			

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

Infective non-sheathed juveniles (J3 stage)

Body 0.47 to 0.55 mm long, with habitus slightly ventral curved after fixation. Cuticle with only transversal striae. Lip region lacking differentiate lips, and labial and cephalic papillae not visible. Oral opening rounded, closed, bearing a small dorsal tooth. Amphidial apertures very prominent. Stoma tubular, slightly longer than the lip region wide. Pharynx, nerve ring and excretory pore location similar to the sheathed stage. Cardia reduced, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Rectum poorly visible. Anus closed. Tail conoid with acute tip without mucro. Terminal hyaline part absent (Figs. 5–8).

Diagnosis of Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. and relationships with other species

Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. is characterized by having hermaphrodite females 4.41 to 6.18 mm long, amphimictic females 1.9 to 2.7 mm long, males 0.81 to 0.91 mm long, and IJs 0.49 to 0.57 mm long. Cuticle with poorly visible annuli in adults, with longitudinal crests in IJ2 and with well-developed annuli in IJ3. Lip region with six low lips having thick and acute lipplets in adults. Lips are poorly developed in IJ2 and bearing a small refringent dorsal tooth in IJ3. Stoma reduced in adults and tubular in IJs. Pharynx robust and short in adults, and narrow and slender in IJs. Female reproductive system didelphicamphidelphic. Anal body diameter in hermaphrodites 34 to 58 µm long, in amphimictic females 31 to 41 µm long, and in males 13 to 22 µm long. Tail short and conoid with acute terminus at cellular part in hermaphrodite females (63-87 μ m long, c = 52-90, c' = 1.2-2.4). and in amphimictic females (45-75 μ m long, c = 31-63, c' = 1.3-2.0). Tail conoid-elongate in IJ2 (52-63 μ m long, c = 7.9-9.8, c' = 4.0-6.5) and in IJ3 (25-34 μ m long, c = 8.2-10.5, c' = 4.3-6.7). Male reproductive system monorchic, with spicules 38 to 55 µm long having conoid manubrium 15 to 25 µm long, bursa peloderan bearing nine pairs of genital papillae (1 + 2/3 + 3).

Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. is morphologically similar to H. ruandica n. sp., H. amazonensis, H. bacteriophora, H. georgiana and H. beicherriana, and can be distinguished from these species mainly by adults and infective juvenile characters (Tables 3-6). Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. can be distinguished from H. ruandica n. sp., one of the morphologically most similar species, by the shape of the male spicule (slender vs. robust) and the manubrium size (large vs. small), the size of hermaphrodites (4.41-6.18 vs. 2.91-4.12 mm), the hermaphrodite neck length (174-231 vs. 134-159 μ m), and the hermaphrodite c ratio (52-90 vs. 34-51). The size of amphimictic females (1.95-2.80 vs. 1.13-1.61 μ m), the shape of the tail tip (acute and longer vs. with mucro), the type of cellular–hyaline junction part (simple vs. bifurcated), the body diameter (160-228 vs. 68-83 μ m), the a (11-15 vs. 15-20), b (16-21 vs. 9-14), and c ratios (31-63 vs. 16-24) and the anal body diameter (31-41 vs. 18-34 μ m) differ also between *H. zacatecana* n. sp. and *H. ruandica* n. sp. IJs anterior ends also differ between these two species (small vs. large), and the presence of a cephalic tooth (small or absent vs. refringent and large).

Morphologically, the IJs of H. zacatecana n. sp. can be distinguished from the IJs of H. amazonensis by their size (493-578 vs. 567-612 µm), the distance from the anterior end to the nerve ring (59-72 vs. 76-93 µm), the neck length (96-124 vs. 107-132 µm), the tail length (52-63 vs. 98-115 µm), the a (19-24 vs. 24-29), c (8.2-10.5 vs. 5.1-6.1), and c' (4.3-6.7 vs. ca. 7.3 µm) ratios and the E% (128-184 vs. 89-109). Moreover, hermaphroditic females differ in body size (4.41-6.12 vs. 3.52-5.59 mm), tail length (62-87 vs. 104-154 µm) and anal body diameter (34-58 vs. 59-85 µm). Amphimictic females of these two species differ also in body size (1.95-2.80 vs. 1.28-2.07 µm), tail length (45-75 vs. 25-38 µm), and body diameter (160-228 vs. 70-122). Male sizes differ between H. zacatecana n. sp. and *H. amazonensis* (0.81-0.91 vs. 0.69 vs. 0.83 mm) and body diameter (41-56 vs. 36-43 µm).

Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. IJ can be distinguished from *H. bacteriophora* by the distance from the anterior end to the nerve ring (59-72 vs. 72-93 μ m), and the tail length (52-63 vs. 83-112 μ m). In the case of males, they differ in the distance from the excretory pore to the anterior end (77-109 vs. 114-130 μ m) and in body diameter (41-56 vs. 38-46 μ m). Several morphometric differences were also observed in hermaphrodites and amphimictic females (Tables 2–6).

Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. IJs can be distinguished from *H. beicherriana* IJs by the distance from anterior end to the excretory pore (72-99 vs. 100-122 μ m) and the distance from the anterior end to the nerve ring (59-72 vs. 85-106 μ m), the tail length (52-63 vs. 86-111), values of a (19-24 vs. 24-29), c' (4.3-6.7 vs. 6.0-7.4), and c (8.2-10.5 vs. 5.9-6.8) ratios, and the E% value (128-184 vs. 103-121). Males can be differentiated by differences in neck (71-108 vs. 116-143 μ m) and tail (21-33 vs. 32-35 μ m) lengths, the distance from the anterior end to the excretory pore (77-109 vs, 130-157 μ m) and from the anterior end to the nerve ring (60-78 vs. 81-100 μ m). Several

morphometric differences were also observed in hermaphrodites and amphimictic females of these two species (Tables 2–6).

Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. IJs can be distinguished from *H. georgiana* IJs by differences in body diameter (23-27 vs. 17-26 μ m), tail length (52-63 vs. 86-108 μ m), and anterior end to excretory pore (72-99 vs. 97-113 μ m) and anterior end to nerve ring distances (59-72 vs. 74-94 μ m). The a, b and c ratios, E% and D% of IJs differ also in these two species. The males of these two species differ in anterior end to nerve ring distances (60-78 vs. 72-93 μ m), and neck (71-108 vs. 100-122 μ m) and tail (21-33 vs. 29-41 μ m) lengths. Several morphometric characters of hermaphroditic and amphimictic females differ between these two species (Tables 2–6).

Type host and locality

The type hosts are unknown as the nematodes of this genus can be hosted by different insect species and were isolated from soil samples by the *Galleria* baiting technique (Bedding and Akhurst, 1975; White, 1927). *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. MEX-39 and MEX-40 nematodes were collected in maize fields in Villanueva (Zacatecas, Mexico; decimal degrees coordinates: 22.161371, -102.887940), and *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. MEX-41 nematodes were collected in maize fields in Apaseo el Alto (Guanajuato, Mexico; decimal degrees coordinates: 20.470774, -100.59571).

Type material

MEX-39 nematodes are the type material for *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. Holotype male, 15 paratype and 15 third stage juveniles were deposited in the National Nematode Collection of India, IARI, New Delhi. Additional specimens were deposited in the nematode collection of the Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology and Ecology of the University of Jaén, Spain, under the following slide numbers: Mex001-01 to -03 (6 hermaphrodite females), Mex002-01 to -04 (8 amphimictic females and 3 males), and Mex003-01 to -04 (14 juveniles). Nematode cultures are maintained in the Institute of Biology, University of Neuchatel, Switzerland.

Etymology

The specific name refers to the Mexican state, Zacatecas, where the type material, *Heterorhabditis zacatecana* n. sp. MEX-39 nematodes, used to phenotypically characterize the species were collected.

Cross-hybridization experiments

No progeny was observed when males and females of H. ruandica n. sp. Rw14 N-C4a and of H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-39 were left to interact. No progeny was observed when males and females of *H. ruandica* n. sp. Rw14 N-C4a and of H. bacteriophora CH21 were left to interact. No progeny was observed when males and females of H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-39 and of H. bacteriophora CH21 were left to interact. When males and females of H. ruandica n. sp. Rw14 N-C4a were crossed, fertile progeny was observed. When males and females of H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-39 were crossed, fertile progeny was observed. When males and females of H. bacteriophora CH21 were crossed, fertile progeny was observed. Similarly, H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-39 and H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-40 nematodes produced fertile progeny, and H. ruandica n. sp. Rw18_M-Hr1a and H. ruandica n. sp. Rw14 N-C4a nematodes produced fertile progeny. These results provide further support for the heterospecific status of the Rwandan and the Mexican nematode populations.

Nematode molecular characterization and phylogenetic relationships

Phylogenetic reconstructions based on nuclear and mitochondrial genes (ITS, D2-D3, COI, umc-87, and cmd-1), either individually or concatenated, confirm that the nematodes of the genus Heterorhabditis are grouped into three major clades: the "Megidis-group", the "Indica-group" and the "Bacteriophora-group", which is consistent with previous studies (Dhakal et al., 2020) (Fig. 9, Fig. S1). The clade of the "Bacteriophoragroup" is, in turn, separated into five subclades. Three of them are composed of already described species: H. beicherriana, H. georgiana, and H. bacteriophora, and two of them are composed of two new, undescribed species, which we named here H. zacatecana n. sp., and H. ruandica n. sp. (Fig. 9, Fig. S1). Clearer phylogenetic separations within the species of the clade of the "Bacteriophora-group" were observed when phylogenies were reconstructed based on COI, ITS, or on concatenated sequences of COI, ITS, and D2-D3 (Fig. 9, Fig. S1). Closer inspection at the ITS, D2-D3 and COI sequences reveals unambiguous genetic differences between the nematodes of the "Bacteriophora-group" (Fig. 10). Sequence similarity scores and nucleotide difference counts show a closer relationship between H. bacteriophora, H. ruandica n. sp., and H. zacatecana n. sp. nematodes (Fig. 11 and Figs. S2-S6). Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. and H. bacteriophora share 99.1% and differ in 6 nucleotide positions in the ITS sequences flanked by primers TW81 and AB28, share 99.8% and differ in 1 nucleotide position in the D2-D3 sequences flanked by primers D2A and D3B, and share 94.1 to 94.7% and differ in 18 to 19 nucleotide positions in the COI sequences flanked by primers HCF and HCR (Fig. 11 and Figs. S2-S6). Heterorhabditis zacatecana n. sp. and *H. bacteriophora* share 99.4% and differ in 4 nucleotide positions in the ITS sequences flanked by primers TW81 and AB28, share 99.8% and differ in 1 nucleotide position in the D2-D3 sequences flanked by primers D2A and D3B, and share 94.1 to 94.4% and differ in 19 to 20 nucleotide positions in the COI sequences flanked by primers HCF and HCR (Fig. 11 and Figs. S2-S6). Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. and H. zacatecana share 99.7% and differ in 2 nucleotide positions in the ITS sequences flanked by primers TW81 and AB28, share 100% and differ in no nucleotide position in the D2-D3 sequences flanked by primers D2A and D3B, and share 97.6% to 98.2% and differ in 6-8 nucleotide positions in the COI sequences flanked by primers HCF and HCR (Fig. 11 and Figs. S2-S6). Noteworthy, we observed almost no intraspecific variations within the nematodes of the "Bacteriophora-group" at different genetic loci (Figs. 10, 11, and Figs. S2-S6). However, the sequences of the COI gene show very interesting signatures of population-specific polymorphism (Figs. 10D-F, 11). Specifically, Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. Rw18_M-Hr1a and Rw18_M-Hr1b nematodes that were collected in the same western Rwandan region differ from the Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp. Rw14_N-C4a nematodes collected in a southern Rwandan region in a transitional nucleotide change (g.1212A > G) (Fig. 10D). Moreover, H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-39 and MEX-40 nematodes collected in north-central Mexico and H. zacatecana n. sp. MEX-41 nematodes collected in central Mexico differ in three transitional nucleotide changes (g.1257T > C), g.1324T > C, and g.1464A > G) (Fig. 10D-F). Hence, due to its highly conserved species-specific polymorphism, and the consistent population-specific polymorphic patterns, the COI gene emerges as an important phylogenetic marker also for the genus Heterorhabditis, in a similar manner as it is for many other taxonomic groups (Hebert et al., 2003; Pentinsaari et al., 2016).

Interspecific genetic variability within the *H. bacteriophora* clade

In a recent study, Dhakal et al. (2020) studied several hundreds of ITS sequences of *Heterorhabitis* nematodes and recognized that nematodes



Figure 9: Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree reconstructed from: (A) the sequences of the cytochrome c oxidase I (*COI*) of different *Heterorhabditis* species. A total of 343 nucleotide positions, flanked by primers HCF and HCR, were analyzed; and (B) the concatenated sequences of the following genes/genetic regions of different *Heterorhabditis* species: the D2–D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA (D2–D3), the internal transcribed spacer (*ITS*) of the rRNA (ITS), and the cytochrome c oxidase I (*COI*). A total of 1673 concatenated nucleotide positions were included in the reconstruction. Accession numbers of the nucleotide sequences used for the analyses are shown in Table S3. *For *H. marelatus*, *H. indica, and H. mexicana*, the sequences that were concatenated are derived from different nematode isolates. *Heterorhabditis safricana*, and *H. tayserae* were not included as their *COI* or their D2–D3 sequences, respectively, are not publicly available. Numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values based on 100 replications. Bars represent average nucleotide substitutions per sequence position.

identified as *H. bacteriophora* are represented by at least three haplotypes, some of which, the authors hypothesized, could represent new species. We contrasted their results and the sequences they used with the sequences we generated and found out that *H. bacteriophora* DE2, DE6, EN01, HP88, IT6, and PT1 nematodes represent Dhakal's haplotype 1 (Figs. S7 and S8). Dhakal's haplotype 2 is actually a mixture of two haplotypes: one represented by *H. zacatecana* n. sp. MEX-39, MEX-40, MEX-41, and by strains N2 and MK with identical ITS sequences; and one represented by strains UP2A2, 267, 269, 270, 271, 275, and 276 with identical ITS sequences. Strains MEX-39, MEX-40, MEX-41, N2 and MK differ in a transitional nucleotide change (g.2049A > G) with strains UP2A2, 267, 269, 270, 271, 275, and 276. Hence, strains N2, MK are likely *H. zacatecana*, and strains UP2A2, 267, 269, 270, 271, 275, and 276 might represent a new species. However, full characterization is needed to prove this hypothesis. Dhakal's haplotype 3, represented by NGPS20, among others isolates, might also represent a new species, but again full characterization is needed to prove this hypothesis. In addition, our analyses reveal what we call a fourth haplotype, to follow Dhakal's system, which is represented by *H. ruandica* Rw18_M-Hr1a, Rw18_M-Hr1b, and Rw14_N-C4a nematodes

А		1830	1850	2050	2060	2080	2140	2380	2390	2400	24	2430
ŀ	bacteriophora DE2	CTTATGGG	GAGA	AACTGGT	GGCTATGT	CACATAGG	CAGT A	AGTTCAT	TAAACGAGA	GTTCGGT	ACACTACG	TCGAGCGGTGT
F	l. bacteriophora DE6 I. bacteriophora EN01											
, F	l. bacteriophora IT6 l. bacteriophora PT1		::::				::::::	:::::::				
+ +	I. bacteriophora TT01 I. zacatecana MEX-39						C C					T
	I. zacatecana MEX-40 I. zacatecana MEX-41 I. ruandica Rw18 M-Hr1a						C				G G	.
, F	I. ruandica Rw18_M-Hr1b I. ruandica Rw14_N-C4a	C	1111			<u>.</u>					G	: .
F F	l. georgiana CD2500 I. georgiana Hbb		1141	G		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · ·	.GA. .GA.	· · · · A · ·	G T. G	
F F	l. beicherriana CD2516 I. beicherriana H06				c	. TAC	C C C	G	. GG A .	TA		тс
В		2460 · · · · · ·) <mark>.</mark>	2490 	251	0	2520					
F	. bacteriophora DE2 . bacteriophora DE6	AGTAT C		GGAGACA	T TAAGAGT	ATATACCTO	іТ •					
+	I. bacteriophora ENU1 I. bacteriophora HP88						÷					
, F	l. bacteriophora PT1 I. bacteriophora TT01											
+ +	. zacatecana MEX-39 . zacatecana MEX-40		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		: : : : : : : :		1					
	I. zacatecana MEX-41 I. ruandica Rw18_M-Hr1a I. ruandica Rw18_M-Hr1b						÷					
, F	I. ruandica Rw14_N-C4a I. georgiana CD2500		· · · · c	G	:: <u>;</u> :::::	т.	1					
+	I. georgiana Hbb I. beicherriana CD2516	G.AAT.		G	:::::::	G	1					
	l. beicherriana H06	420	460		470	480		600				
C	bacteriophora DE2	CGCTGAAG	TG TATA	ΑΤΟΟΤΟΤΑ	AAGTCGCT	TAACTG TO	ATAAT	AACG				
F	. bacteriophora DE6 . bacteriophora EN01							::::				
+ +	I. bacteriophora HP88 I. bacteriophora IT6											
- F	l. bacteriophora TT01 I. zacatecana MEX-39			. т								
+ +	. zacatecana MEX-40 . zacatecana MEX-41			:: <u></u> :::::								
	I. ruandica Rw18_M-Hr1a I. ruandica Rw18_M-Hr1b I. ruandica Rw14_N-C4a											
i i	l. georgiana CD2500 I. georgiana Hbb	A A		: <u>†</u>								
F	l. beicherriana CD2516 I. beicherriana H06	· · · · A · · · ·		:: : ::::			G					
_												
D		1 	150 	1160	1170 	- 	1180 .	1190 	120	o 	1210 · · · · · · ·	1220 · · · · · ·
D	l. bacteriophora DE2 l. bacteriophora DE6	TAAGACAT	150 T T C C A T	1160	117(T A A G T T T G	GGTGCAGT	1180 CTTTGG	1190 A A T T T T T	120 ACTGGAGTA	0 AGTTTAT	1210 GATGAAGTT	1220 ATCACAGG
D	I. bacteriophora DE2 I. bacteriophora DE6 I. bacteriophora EN01 I. bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP6	TAAGACAT	150 T T C C A T	1160	1170 TAAGTTTG	GGTGCAGT	1180 CTTTGG	1190		0 AGTTTAT	1210 GATGAAGTT	1220 A T C A C A G G
D	I. bacteriophora DE2 I. bacteriophora DE6 I. bacteriophora HP88 I. bacteriophora HP88 I. bacteriophora IT6 I. bacteriophora TT1 I. bacteriophora TT01			1160	1177 TAAGTTTG	GGTGCAGT		1190		O AGTTTAT	1210 GATGAAGTT	1220 A T C A C A G G
	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora EN01 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora IT6 bacteriophora TT01 bacteriophora TT01 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-40 secatecana MEX-40	TAAGACAT	150 T T C C A T 	1160	117(TAAGTTTG	GGTGCAGT	1180 C T T T G G	1190		0 AGTTTAT	1210 GATGAAGTT	1220 A T C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora EN01 bacteriophora FN01 bacteriophora FP88 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora TT01 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-40 zacatecana MEX-41 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1a ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1a	T AAGACAT 	150 T T C C A T 	TATGTTT	1177 TAAGTTTG	GGTGCAGT	1180 CTTTGC 	1190		o AGTTTAT	1210 GATGAAGTT GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	1220 A T C A C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-41 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rw18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rw12, N-C2500	T A A G A C A T T A G	150 T T C C A T T T C C A T T T C C A T T T C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1160 TATGTTT C	1177 TAAGTTTG T	GGTGCAGT	1180 CTTTGG	1190		AGTTTAT	1210 GATGAAGTT GATGAAGTT GG GG	1220 A T C A C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana	T AAGACAT T	150 T T C C A T T		1177 TAAGTTTG . T	GGTGCAGT A. G. A. G. A. G. A. G.	1180 C T T T G G T T T G G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1190	122 A C T G G A G T A A C G T G G A G T A A G T A G T A G T G T G T A T T A T T	o AGTTTAT	1210 	1220 A T C A C A G G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 caratecana MEX-39 zacatecana M	T AAGACAT T G C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	150 T T C C A T T		1177 TAAGTITG	GGTGCAGT GGTGCAGT 	1180 C T T T G G T T T T T T T T	1190 A A T T T T A A T T T T 	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A	0 AGTTTAT 	1210 GAT GAAGTT GAT GAAGTT GAT GAAGT GAG GAAGT GAG GAAGT	1220 A T C A C A G G T T C A C A G G T
E	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora TP11 bacteriophora TP11 bacteriophora TP11 canadica Rw18 canadica Rw18 di M-Hr1a canadica Rw18 di M-Hr1a canadica Rw14 di M-Hr1a canadica Rw14 bacteriophora DE2	TAAGACAT T. G. T.	150 T C C A T 	C C C C C C C C C	1177 TAAGTITG T	GGTGCAGT 	1180 C TTTGG T TGG T TGGG T TGGGG T TGGG T TGGGGGGGGGG	1150 A A T T T T A A T T T T 	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T G A G T A A G T A G	0 	1210 GATGAAGTT GATGAAGT GG GG GG GG H400 1400	1220 - - A T C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora TF0 bacteriophora TF0 bacteriophora TF0 zacatecana MEX-40 zacatecana MEX-40 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 peorgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2500 beicherriana CD2516 beicherriana H06	T AAGACAT T . G	150 	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1177 TAAGTITG T	GGT C A GT A G A G A G A G A G A G A T C T A T G T T C T	1180 C T T T G C T T T G C T T G C T T T T T G C T T T T G C T T T T T G C T T T	1190 A A TT TT A A TT TT T T T T T T T T T T	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T A	0 AGTITAT 1370 1370	1210 GAT GA AG AG T GAT GA AG T GG GG GG GG GG A A A 1400 A T A A T T A T A T A A T T A T	1220 A T C A C A G G
D	 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora TF0 bacteriophora TT01 bacteriophora TT01 bacteriophora TT01 cacatecana MEX-40 cacatecana MEX-41 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 ruandica Rw18, M-Hr10 georgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2500 beicherriana CD2516 beicherriana CD2516 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora PT8 bacteriophora PT6 bacteriophora PT6 	T A A G A C A T T	150	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1177 TAAGTITA T	GGT GC A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G	1180 C T T T G G T T T G G T T T G G T T T G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1190 A A T	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T	0 AGTTTAT 1370 1370 1370 1 4 G ^T TGAAA	1210 GAT GA AG AG T GAT GA AG T GG GG GG GG GG GG AAAA 1400 ATAATTAT	1220 A T C A C A G G
E	 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora TT01 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 cacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-41 ruandica Rv18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rv18, M-Hr1b ruandica Rv18, M-Hr1b peorgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2500 beicherriana CD2516 beicherriana CD2516 beicherriana CD2516 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 	T A A G A C A T T		1160 TATGTT C.CC	1177 TAAGTITA T	GTTCAGT A. G. A. G. A. G. A. G. A. T. 1270 AATGTT CT	1180 C T T T G G T T T G G T T T T T T T T	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T C A T G G T T	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A	0 AGTITAT 1370 1370 1 AGTGAAA 	1210 A T G A A G T T G A T G A A G T T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora PH7 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 canadica Rw18 werka georgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2501 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora PH6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora PF3 bacteriophora	T A A G A C A T T G		CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1177 TAAGTITA T	GGTGCAGT A. G. A. G. A. G. A. G. T. A. T.	1180 CTTTIGC TTGC TTGC TTGC TTGC TTGC TGC T	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T T T T C C A T G G T T C A T G G T T	122 TACTGGAGTA A.G.T	0 AGTTTAT 1370 1370 G G G G G G		1220 A T C A C A G G
D	 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 cacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 georgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2500 beicherriana C22516 beicherriana C2516 beicherriana PD6 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE3 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1<!--</th--><th>T A A G A C A T T</th><th></th><th>CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC</th><th>1177 TAAGTITA TAGTITA TAGTITA TAGTITA AA</th><th>GGTGCAGT </th><th>1180 CTTTIGC T</th><th>1190 A A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T</th><th>122 TACTGGAGTA A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T T.CCCCGTA 1330</th><th>0 AGTTTAT 1370 1 AGCTGAAA - - - - - - - - - - - - -</th><th>1210 A T G A A G T T G T G A A G T T G T G A G G A G T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G</th><th>1220 A T C A C A G G </th>	T A A G A C A T T		CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1177 TAAGTITA TAGTITA TAGTITA TAGTITA AA	GGTGCAGT 	1180 CTTTIGC T	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	122 TACTGGAGTA A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T A.G.T T.CCCCGTA 1330	0 AGTTTAT 1370 1 AGCTGAAA - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1210 A T G A A G T T G T G A A G T T G T G A G G A G T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 carataceana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 unandica Rw18 MET unandica Rw18 MET pacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriopho	T AAGACAT TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. TG. AAGTTGA		1160 TATGTT CGGTTG CGGTTG A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	1177 TAAGTITG T T AAGTITG T AAGTITG A AA A	GGT CAGT GGT CAGT A.G. A.G. A.G. A.G. T. 1270 AATGTT CT	1180 		122 A C T G G A G T A A G T G A G T A G	0 AGTTTAT 1370 1370 AG ^C TGAA G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1210 A T A A G T I G A T G A A G T I G A G G A G A G T I G A G G A G A G T I G A G A G A G T I I 400 A T A A T A T A T I 400 A T A A T A T A T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 - - A T C A C A G G
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora TR6 bacteriophora TR6 bacteriophora TR1 bacteriophora TR1 bacteriophora TR4 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 Jacataceana MEX-39 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora CD55 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 bacteriophora TR5 b	1240 1240 1240 14 A G T G A 14 A G T G A	150 T T C C A T T	1160 TATGTT CC. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.		GGT C A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G		1190 A A T T T T T A A T T T T T T T T T T T	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T T T T A G T T T A G T T T A G T T T T A G T T T T A G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	0 AGTTTAT 1370	1210 A T G A A G T T G A T G A A G T T G G G G G G G G G G G G G A T A A T A T 1400 A T A A T A T A T G	1220 A T C A C A G G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
D	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 bacteriophora PT1 mandica Rw18 M+Hr1 mandica Rw18 M+Hr1 mandica Rw14 N-C41 georgiana CD2500 georgiana Hbb beicherriana HD6 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF2 bacteriophora TF3 bacteriophora T	T A A G A C A T T A A G A C A T T	150 1 T C C A T T C C A T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1160 TATGTT CCGGTC A A A A A A A A.		GGT C A G A G A G A G A G A G A T T 1270 A A T G T T C T A A T A A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A	1180 T T G G T T G G T T G G T T T G T T T G T T T G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1190 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T	0 AG T T A T 1370 AG T G AAA 	1210 	1220 A T C A C A G G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE3 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora HP8 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF1 mandica Rw18 M+Hr1 mandica Rw18 M+Hr1 mandica Rw14 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora TF0 bacteriophora TF1 bacteriophora TF2 bacteriophora TF2 bacteriophora TF3 bacteriophora TF4 bacteriophora TF3 bacteriophora TF3 bacteriophora TF4 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophor	1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1440 1440	150 1 T C C A T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1160 TATGTT CCGGTTG CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		GGTGCAGT A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G	1180 T T G T T T G T T T G T T T G T T T G T T T T G T T T G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1190 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T	0 AG T T A T 1370 AG T T G A A 	1210 A T G A A G T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G
	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora PT1 canadica Rw18 website bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora T6	1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1440 1440 1440	150 1 T C C A T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1160 TATGTT CCGGTTG CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1177 T A G T T A T	GGT GC A GT A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G	1180 T T T T T T T T	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T C A T G G T T C C A T G G T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T	0 AGTTAT 1370 1370 1 AGTGAA AGTGAA	1210 A T G A A G T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G
	bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora PT1 canadica Rw18 M-Hr1b canadica Rw18 M-Hr1b canadica Rw18 M-Hr1b bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora PT8 bacteriophora PT8 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora TF01 bacteriophora TF0 bacterio	1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1440 1440 1440 1440 1440 1440	150 T C C A T T C C A T T T C C A T	1160 TATGTT CCGGTTG CCGGTTG CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC	1177 T A A G T I A T	GGT GC A GT A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G	1180 C T T T G G T T T T T T T T	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T C A T G G T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T	0 AGTTAT 1370 1	1210 A T G A A G T I G T G A A G T I G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G A T C A C A G G
E	 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora HP88 bacteriophora PT1 cacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 georgiana CD2500 georgiana CD2500 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE3 bacteriophora T6 bacteriophora T711 zacatecana MEX-40 zacatecana MEX-41 vacatecana MEX-43 zacatecana MEX-44 georgiana CD2500 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora D22 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T61 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T62 bacteriophora T64 bacteriophora T64 bacteriophora T64 bacteriophora T64 bacteriophora T64 bacteriophora T64<th>1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1400 1400</th><th>150 T T C C A T T</th><th>1160 TATGTT CCGGTTG CCGGTTG A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.</th><th>1177 T A A G T T A T</th><th>G G T G C A G T </th><th></th><th>1190 A A T T T T T T T T C A T G G T T C C A T G G T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C</th><th>122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T T T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T T A G T T T A G T T T A G T T T A G T T T T T A G T T T T T T A G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T</th><th>0 AG T T A T 1370 AG T T A T 1370 </th><th>1210 A T G A A G T I G T G A A G T I G T G A G G A G T I G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G</th><th>1220 A T C A C A G G A T C A C A G G </th>	1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1400 1400	150 T T C C A T T	1160 TATGTT CCGGTTG CCGGTTG A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	1177 T A A G T T A T	G G T G C A G T 		1190 A A T T T T T T T T C A T G G T T C C A T G G T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	122 A C T G G A G T A A G T A G T T T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T T A G T T T A G T T T A G T T T A G T T T T T A G T T T T T T A G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	0 AG T T A T 1370 AG T T A T 1370 	1210 A T G A A G T I G T G A A G T I G T G A G G A G T I G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G A T C A C A G G
E F	 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora PT8 bacteriophora PT1 cacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 cacatecana MEX-31 bacteriophora PT1 nuandica Rw18 M-Hr10 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora TP11 bacteriophora TP1 bacteriophora TP1 bacteriophora TP3 bacteriophora TP3 bacteriophora TP4 bacteriophora TP5 bacteriophora TP5 bacteriophora TP5 bacteriophora PE6 bacteriophora PE7 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora TP6 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF1 bacteriophora PF1 bacteriophora PF3 bacteriophora PF3 bacteriophora PF4 bacteriophora PF4 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF8 bacteriophora PF1 b	1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1400 1400	150 T C C A T T	1160 TATGTT CCGGTTG CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	1177 T A A G T T A T	GGTGCAGT 	1180 C TTTTGC C TTTGC T TGC T TGC	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T C C A T G G T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	122 A C T G G A G T A G T T T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T A G T T T T T T A G T T T T T A G T T T T T T T A G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	0 AG T T A T 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170	1210 A T G A A G T T G T G A A G T T G T G A G T G A G T T G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	1220 A T C A C A G G A T C A C A G G
	 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora DE6 bacteriophora PT3 bacteriophora PT4 bacteriophora PT1 cacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 zacatecana MEX-39 participhora PT1 participhora PT2 participhora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora T6 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE2 bacteriophora DE3 bacteriophora DE4 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE4 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora DE5 bacteriophora P11 bacteriophora P15 bacteriophora P16 bacteriophora P16 bacteriophora P17 bacteriophora P188 bacteriophora P18 bacteriophora P18 bacteriophora P18 bacteriophora P18 bacteriophora P18 bacteriophora P18 bacteriophor	1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1400 1400	150 T C C A T T C C A T T T C C A T T T T T T T T T T	1160 TATGTT CGGTTG CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		GGTGCAGT 	1180 CTTTIGC CTTTIGC TTGC TTGC TTGC TTGC TGC TGC TG	1190 A A T T T T T T T T T T C A T G G T C A T G G T C A T G G T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	122 TACTGGAGTA A.G.T	0 AGTTTAT 1370 1 AGTGAA/ 	1210 A T G A A G T G A G T G A G G	1220 A T C A C A G G A T C A C A G G

Figure 10: Polymorphism in the sequences of the ITS region (A, B), the D2–D3 region (C), and the *COI* gene (D-F) showing taxonomically relevant nucleotide positions for *Heterorhabditis* nematodes of the "*Bacteriophora*-group". Nucleotide position numbers of rRNA genes are according to the sequences of *C. elegans* N2 (NCBI accession number: MN519140) and of mitochondrial genes are according to the sequences of *C. elegans* N2 (NCBI accession number: AY171203).

H. noenie H. amazo H. baujan H. taysea H. torider H. megidi H. georgii H. georgii H. georgii H. beiche H. beiche H. zacate H. zacate	H. zealan H. atacan H. marela H. indica	
nensis CD2510 rrae ana nsis CD2503 is CD2518 is CD2508 ana CD2500 ana Hbb miana CD2516 miana CD2516 miana H06 cana MEX-39 cana MEX-40 cana MEX-41 cana MEX-41	nensis MEX-20 hus LN2	
80.3 88.0 88.7 88.7 88.6 88.6 88.6 88.6 93.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.9 90.9 90.3	90.0 91.2 86.5	H. zealandica CD2507
87.4 88.9 86.2 86.2 86.2 86.2 90.3 90.3 90.3 90.3 90.3 90.3 88.0 90.3 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 8	ID 92.4 86.2	H. atacamensis MEX-20
885.2 886.2 886.0 886.0 886.0 91.8 886.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 9	97.2 92.4 1D 86.0	H. marelatus
885.7 885.7 885.4 885.4 885.4 885.4 885.4 885.4 885.4 885.4 885.4 886.2	86.2 B6.0	H. indica LN2
8 8 6 0 8 8 6 8 8 8 7 1 8 8 8 6 8 8 8 6 8 8 8 8 8 <t< td=""><td>86.5 85.4 89.2</td><td>H. noenieputensis CD2506</td></t<>	86.5 85.4 89.2	H. noenieputensis CD2506
ID 10	38.0 37.4 36.2 35.7	H. amazonensis CD2510
80.8 92.1 92.1 94.1 92.4 92.4 92.4 89.7 89.7 89.7 89.7 89.2 89.2 89.2 88.3 88.3 88.3 88.5 86.5 86.5	89.7 88.9 87.7 88.3	H. baujardi CD2519
87.1 90.9 96.2 96.2 94.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 8	87.7 86.2 86.0 85.4	H. taysearae
80.8 92.4 96.2 96.2 96.7 96.7 96.7 88.6 88.6 88.6 88.6 88.6 88.6 88.7 87.1 87.1 87.1 87.1 87.1 87.1 87.1	86.8 86.8 86.8 86.8	H. mexicana
87.4 87.4 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88	88.3 85.7 86.0 87.4	H. floridensis CD2503
84.8 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 88.6 88.6 88	93.0 90.3 91.8 88.0	H. megidis CD2518
84.5 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 88.0 93.8 88.0 93.8 93.8 93.8 92.4 92.4 92.4 92.4 91.2 90.9 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6	90.0 89.2 90.0 86.2	H. downesi CD2508
88.9 88.9 88.7 88.3 88.3 88.3 87.4 93.2 93.2 93.2 92.4 100 93.8 93.5 93.8 93.5 93.0 93.0 93.0	91.5 90.3 90.6 86.2	H. georgiana CD2500
88.9 88.9 88.7 87.7 88.3 88.3 87.4 93.2 93.2 92.4 100 93.8 93.5 93.8 93.5 93.0 93.0 93.0	91.5 90.3 90.6 86.2	H. georgiana Hbb
88.0 88.3 88.0 87.4 87.4 87.4 87.4 87.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 9	92.4 89.2 90.9 85.4	H. beicherriana CD2516
886.2 886.2 887.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 87.7 8	92.4 89.5 91.2 85.7	H. beicherriana H06
85.1 86.5 86.5 86.5 87.1 86.2 90.9 90.6 90.6 90.6 93.0 90.6 93.0 93.0 93.0 93.0 93.0 93.0 93.0 93.0	90.9 88.0 89.2 84.2	H. zacatecana MEX-39
86.0 86.0 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5	90.9 88.0 89.2 84.2	H. zacatecana MEX-40
85.1 86.0 86.5 87.1 87.1 87.1 87.1 86.2 90.6 90.9 90.9 90.9 90.9 92.7 92.7 92.7 92.7 92.7 92.7 92.7 92	90.3 88.0 89.2 83.6 83.6	H. zacatecana MEX-41
888.0 887.1 87.1 87.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 887.1 891.8 885.2 991.2 993.2 993.2 995.6 995.6 995.6 995.9 995.9 995.9	90.9 88.6 89.7 84.2 84.2	H. ruandica Rw14_N-C4a
85.7 885.7 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.9 91.5 990.9 990.9 993.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 90	90.6 88.3 89.5 83.9 83.9	<i>H. ruandica</i> Rw18_M-Hr1a
85.7 885.7 886.8 86.8 86.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 886.8 885.7 991.5 993.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 903.0 900	90.6 88.3 89.5 83.9	H. ruandica Rw18_M-Hr1b
88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 90.6 88.0 90.6 88.0 90.6 90.6 88.0 90.6 88.0 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.2 90.3 2 90.3 2 90.3 2 90.3 2 90.4 4 90.4 4 90.4 90.4 90.4 90.4 90.4	90.9 87.7 88.3 85.4	H. bacteriophora DE2
88.0 88.0 88.6 89.5 88.0 90.6 88.0 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90	90.9 87.7 88.3 88.3 85.4	H. bacteriophora DE6
88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 88.0 90.6 88.0 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90	90.9 87.7 88.3 85.4 85.4	H. bacteriophora EN01
88.0 88.7 88.6 88.9 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90	87.4 88.0 85.4 85.4	H. bacteriophora HP88
87.1 88.0 89.2 89.5 88.0 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93	90.9 87.7 88.3 85.4	H. bacteriophora IT6
87.1 88.0 88.6 89.2 89.5 88.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2	90.9 87.7 88.3 85.4	H. bacteriophora PT1
87.1 88.0 88.6 89.2 89.5 88.0 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 93	90.9 87.7 88.3 85.4	H. bacteriophora TT01

Figure 11: Pairwise nucleotide similarities (%) in the sequences of the cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene of different *Heterorhabditis* species. A total of 344 nucleotide positions, flanked by primers HCF and HCR, were analyzed. Accession numbers of gene sequences used are shown in Table S3.

(Figs. S7 and S8). Phylogenetic reconstructions show a clear phylogenetic separation between all these haplotypes (Fig. S8). Hence, some of the haplotypes described by Dhakal et al. (2020) represent new species, closely related to *H. bacteriophora*, and some others likely represent new species, which highlights the power of statistical parsimony network analyses to uncover undescribed species of the genus *Heterorhabditis*, and supporting previous hypothesis regarding the taxonomic status of these nematode isolates (Bruno et al., 2020; Dhakal et al., 2020; Fallet et al., 2020).

Symbiotic relationships

Up to now, the bacterial genus *Photorhabdus* Boemare, Akhurst and Mourtant 1993 contains 27 taxa, including species and subspecies (Machado et al., 2021b). Phylogenetic relationship reconstructions based on whole genome sequences show that the bacterial symbionts isolated from *H. zacatecana* n. sp. MEX-39 and *H. ruandica* n. sp. Rw14_N-C4a nematodes, named here as MEX-39 and RW14-46, respectively, show high similarity with two of the already described *Photorhabdus* species: *Photo-*



Figure 12: Phylogenetic reconstruction based on core genome sequences of *Photorhabdus* bacterial strains. Numbers at the nodes represent SH-like branch supports. Bar represents average nucleotide substitutions per sequence position. Accession numbers of the genome sequences used for the reconstruction are shown in Table S4.

rhabdus kleinii and P. laumondii subsp. laumondii, respectively (Fig. 12). Photorhabdus kleinii MEX-39 shares 87–88% digital DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) with other members of the same species, while P. laumondii subsp. laumondii RW14-46 shares 89% digital DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) with other members of the same species, (Fig. S9).

On the synonymization and declaration of species inquirendae of some species

We revised the original publications of all synonymized species and based on their morphology and molecular data (when available), we reinforce the synonymized status of most of them (Khan et al., 1976; Wouts, 1979; Stock, 1993; Gardner et al., 1994; Liu, 1994; Stock et al., 1996; Plichta et al., 2009; Stock et al., 2009; Maneesakorn et al., 2015; Hunt and Nguyen, 2016; Shahina et al., 2017; Dhakal et al., 2020). However, the original description of *H. bacteriophora* provided by Poinar (1976) shows males with very anterior GP1 while in its synonymized species H. heliothidis (Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976) Poinar, Thomas & Hess, 1977 (=Chromonema heliothidis Khan et al., 1976) the GP1 appears more posterior (Khan et al., 1976; Poinar, 1976). Hence, it is likely that both species are not conspecific. Therefore, we declare H. heliothidis (Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976) Poinar, Thomas & Hess, 1977 as species inquirenda. Heterorhabditis hoptha and H. poinari were poorly described (Turco, 1970; Kakulia and Mikaia, 1997). Original descriptions lack differentiated description of all diagnostic characters of adult and larval stages. According to this, both species should remain in the list of species inquirendae. Heterorhabditis egyptii and H. hambletoni were described showing all diagnostic characters of adults and larvae stages. According to this, both species are considered valid herein (Pereira, 1937; Abd-Elgawad and Ameen, 2005). The lack of molecular data, however, impairs their inclusion in future phylogenetic studies. Nevertheless, new species description should contrast morphological characters with these species. An updated dichotomous key to identify the species of the genus Heterorhabditis is provided (Fig. 13, Tables 3-6).

On the species of the genus *Heterorhabditis*

Considering the results of this study and the analyses of all the literature that describes new species of the genus *Heterorhabditis*, the updated list of the species of the genus, including their status, is as follows.

Key to species identification

1a – Hermaphroditic female with tail wider than longer
1a – Hermaphroditic female with tail longer than wide
2a – Hermaphroditic female with tail usually swollen near to tip, ending in a thinner acute
terminus
2h – Hermanbroditic female with tail conoid not swollen
32 – Male hursa with GP1 more posterior, at spicule lamina level
2b Male bursa with GP1 more antoriar at chicule manufaitum lovel
As Male person with Grit more anterior, at 75–102 um from the anterior and a negative version of the second se
$4a - Male excretory pore more anterior, at 75-102 \mum from the anterior end noemeputensis$
40 – Male excretory pore more posterior, at 108–145 μm from the anterior end mexicana
5a – Male bursa with GP1 more anterior than the spicules level
5b – Male bursa with GP1 at spicules level
5a – Amphimictic female with tail thicker, about 1.5 times longer than wide safricana
6b – Amphimictic female with tail thinner, about 2-3 times longer than wide
7a – Amphimictic female with rectum slightly longer than the anal body diameter beicherriana
7b – Amphimictic female with rectum about 2.0–2.5 times the anal body diameter
8a – Male with GP2 and GP3 very closebacteriophora
8b – Male with GP2 and GP3 separated hambleton
9a – Hermaphroditic female with tail scarcely longer than wide 10
9b – Hermaphroditic female with tail twice or longer13
10a - Hermaphroditic female with tail slightly curved ventrally; spicules longer, 48–55 μm
zealandica
10b - Hermaphroditic female with tail very curved ventrally; spicules shorter, 33–49 μm 11
11a – Male with excretory pore more anterior, at 71–93 μm from the anterior end baujard
11b – Male with excretory pore more posterior, at 101-145 μm from the anterior end 12
12a – Amphimictic female with tail with finely rounded tip; shealth juvenile with long hyaline
part tail, about one half of the tail length
12b – Amphimictic female with tail having acute tip; shealth juvenile with short hyaline part at
tail. about one third of the tail length
13a – Male tail with pseudopeloderan tail
13h – Male tail with neloderan tail
14a – Snicules with lamina ventrally curved in lateral view floridensis
10 = Spicules with lamina almost straight in lateral view 15
1 + b = -5 pictures with ramina annosi straight in fateral view
toa – Amphimicic remaie with tail longer (c about 4), spicules with lamina anteriory wider
naving dorsal nump
15b – Amphimictic female with tail shorter (c' about 2–3); spicules with lamina having similar
width in all length
16a – Amphimictic female with tail longer, c' about 3 17
16b – Amphimictic female with tail shorter, c' about 218
17a – Juvenile L3 with larger cephalic tooth; hermaphrodites with more anterior excretory pore,
at 106-153 μm from the anterior end ruandica n. sp .
17b – Juvenile L3, apparently, with smaller cephalic tooth; hermaphrodites with more posterior
excretory pore, at 154-205 μm from the anterior end
18a – Spicules thinner and slender 19
18b – Spicules wider and robust taysearae
19a – Hermaphroditic females with tail shorter, less than 90 $\mu m;$ amphimictic females with
longer tail, more than 40 μm ; males generally longer (811-914 $\mu m)$ <code>zacatecana n. sp.</code>
19b – Hermaphroditic females with tail longer, more than 100 μ m; amphimictic females with
charter tail loss than 40 um males generally smaller (602,826 um) amazonansi

Figure 13: Dichotomous key to identify the species of the genus *Heterorhabditis* based on morphological and morphometrical characters of L3 juveniles, of male and female adults, and of hermaphroditic females. Table 3. Comparative morphometrics of adult males of Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp., H. zacatecana n. sp., and of different closely related Heterorhabditis species. All measurements are in µm (except ratios and percentages)

Species	_	BD	EP	NR	NL	⊢	SL	GL	в	q	с	`u	%MS	GS%	0%	Country	Reference
H. amazonensis	692-826	36-43	96–116	71–88	97–114	29-41	35-45	19–23	18.7*	7.7**	27.5**	1.3**	120–187	44–56	95-109	Brazil	Andaló et al. (2006)
H. atacamensis	842-1025	42-55	116–149	69-93	99–119	24–36	40-49	17–22	19.7*	9.6**	29.3**	1.5**	179–249	38–51	108-126	Chile	Edgington et al. (2011)
H. bacteriophora	780–960	38-46	114-130	65-81	99-105	22–36	36-44	18-25	20.8*	9.1*	34.3*	1.8*	174	50	117	Australia	Poinar (1976)
	700-940	37-50	113–140	70-85	95–110	20-27	39-47	18–24	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Argentina	Agüera de Doucet and Doucet (1986)
	689–880	38-46	78-123	55-90	92-124	21–32	34-48	17–26	I	I	I	1.2*	147–256	41-49	68-106	Australia	Sagun et al. (2015)
	782–927	92-120	103-139	58-76	84-105	28–37	51-53	17–26	6.6-8.5	8.5-10	23–32	1.4–2.2	194–282	37-57	108–157	India	Bhat et al. (2019a)
	805-1075	42-57	84-111	84–75	80–119	24–39	39–51	17–27	16–22	7.1–12	22-41	1.0-1.7	170–225	40-62	77–136	India	Rana et al. (2020)
as H. argentinensis#	1000-2000	42-70	145-170	64-82	103-120	28-49	42–49	20–26	16.7*	8.3*	14.3*	1.4*	198*	62*	92*	Argentina	Stock (1993)
as H. heliothidis ^{ϵ}	1000-1200	32-60	125*	125*	113–131	29–36	42-52	22–27	19–35	8-11	28–38	1.3*	185*	51*	95*	USA	Khan et al. (1976)
H. baujardi	818–970	4553	71–93	54-77	105–132	28–38	33-45	18–22	16–22	6.4-8.8	24–33	1.5**	138–208	44–61	79**	Vietnam	Phan et al. (2003)
	710-903	40-50	83–93	53-68	98-110	33-40	43-48	20–28	16–20	6.7–9.3	18–28	I	154–200	47–61	80-90	India	Vanlalhlimpuia et al. (2018)
as H. somsookae [#]	737–870	37-44	68-93	72-83	90–120	20-30	32-45	17–23	20.7**	8.3**	32.3**	1.2**	133–198	42–59	74-99	Thailand	Maneesakorn et al. (2015)
H. beicherriana	889-1192	51-73	130-157	81-108	116-143	32-45	40-49	22–27	15–23	7.2–10	22–34	1.3–2.3	153–208	48-59	102-120	China	Li et al. (2012)
H. downesi	699–876	33-40	86–91	62–78	97–106	29–34	41-47	17–19	26.6*	8.8**	27.4**	1.4**	170–220	36-47	06	Ireland	Stock et al., 2002
H. egyptii*	594-848	31–56	80-97	56-84	96-109	23-34	25-50	16–22	17.1*	6.6**	19.5**	- .0,	120-220	40-65	84-91	Egypt	Abd–Elgawad and Ameen (2005)
H. floridensis	785–294	43-50	104–128	73-90	97–111	29-40	36-46	17–30	19.9*	7.9**	24.1**	1.4**	133–209	47-65	112	USA	Nguyen et al. (2006)
H. georgiana	721–913	43–55	101–145	72–93	100–122	29–41	41-49	20–28	16.5*	7.7**	26.1**	1.4**	150–200	51-64	100-122	USA	Nguyen et al. (2008)
H. hambletoni ⁺	510-800	38-60	80-100	80–90	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Brazil	Pereira (1937)
H. hoptha ^s	554-837	I	I	I	I	30.9*	43-60	26–30	18-22	5.9-8.2	18–37	1.1*	167**	55**	I	NSA	Turco (1970)
H. indica	573–788	35-46	109–138	72–85	93-109	24–32	35-48	18–23	17.6*	6.7**	23.0**	1.1**	187	49	121	India	Poinar et al. (1992)

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

	724–864	41-48	96-113	63-80	89-109	29–36	30-40	21–31	17–20	7.6–8.6	22-27	1.4–1.8	155–210	49–68	101-111	India	Kajol et al. (2020)
	609-916	26-50	78-109	62–83	90–116	1833	37–48	19–26	16–28	6.5-8.2	25-37	1.0-1.5	116–225	49-64	86-106	India	Bhat et al. (2021b)
as H. brevicaudis [#]	840-950	40-48	92-100	8088	104-112	28–36	44–48	20–24	I	I	I	2.9*	170*	47*	84*	China	Liu (1994)
as H. gerrardi [#]	508-916	34-48	93–141	54-87	78-115	28-46	34-48	16–27	I	I	I	I	138–274	40-69	100–172	Australia	Plichta et al. (2009)
as H. hawaiiensis#	864-1130	49–84	71–146	67–112	100–149	26-40	40-51	18–26	I	I	I	I	I	I	ļ	NSA	Gardner et al. (1994)
as H. pakistanense [#]	720-1013	38-43	112-133	80-110	100-105	30-42	35-42	20-22	18–24	7.2–9.8	19–25	1.4**	144–191	48-65	110-126	Pakistan	Shahina et al. (2017)
H. marelatus	805-1046	48–56	110–168	61–95	99–123	24–38	41-49	18-22	15.5*	7.8**	30.0**	1.1**	196	36-50	113**	USA	Liu and Berny (1996)
	960-1010	48–80	107-116	89–95	115-130	37-47	48-52	21-24	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Stock (1997)
as H. hepialius#	8000-1000	65–98	102–131	84–114	113-139	37–49	4252	17-24	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Stock et al. (1996)
H. megidis	800-1100	44-50	139–176	96–112	122–134	35-43	46–54	17-24	18-22	6-7	23-31	1.6*	188	43	122	NSA	Poinar et al. (1987)
H. mexicana	614-801	38-47	108–145	61–83	89–108	21–36	30-47	18-32	21.7*	6.8**	27.6**	1.1**	130–196	43-70	114–149	Mexico	Nguyen et al. (2004)
H. noenieputensis	530-775	34-46	75-102	64–75	88-106	21–32	37–49	17-24	14–18	5.6-7.9	21–33	1.1-1.7	202–301	38-56	81-108	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2014)
H. poinari ^s	970-1100	43-70	I	I	150–150	36-65	43-55	24-32	95-100	51-95	11–97	I	I	I	ļ	NSA	Kakulia and Mikaia (1997)
<i>H. ruandica</i> Rw14_NC4a	652-863	40-51	61-109	56-74	84-117	21–29	34–50	16–23	15-21	5.8-9.7	23-36	0.6-1.7	150-306	35-57	61–97	Rwanda	This study
H. safricana	777-1009	40–58	104–147	52-61	105–126	27-49	35-54	19–27	20.1*	7.9**	43.0**	1.5*	130–259	43-62	92-133	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2008)
H. taysearae	648-736	38-48	78-120	54–88	85-123	20-29	30-42	12-21	15.1*	6.5**	14.0**	1.3**	156	46	88	Egypt	Shamseldean et al. (1996)
as H. sonorensis#	500-750	32-42	60-84	6080	80-100	25-45	31-45	20-31	I	I	I	I	110–180	40-75	72–91	Mexico	Stock et al. (2009)
H. zealandica	848-1044	36-45	130-150	I	110-128	30-41	48–55	19–25	I	I	I	1.7*	246	44	118	N. Zealand	Poinar (1990)
as H. heliothidis#	848-1044	36-45	130-150	I	110-128	30-41	48–55	19–25	I	I	I	1.7*	246	44	118	N. Zealand	Wouts (1979)
<i>H. zacatecana</i> MEX–39	811–914	41-56	77–109	60-78	71-108	21–33	38-55	15-25	15-25	7.6–12	26-43	1.2–2.5	170–320	4060	78–134	Mexico	This study
Note: "Synonymized s (Syn.: H. hepialius); H. morphological evidenc	pecies. <i>H. bé</i> <i>taysearae</i> (S be. <i>H. egyptii</i> all species of	icteriopho iyn.: H. so and H. ha the genus	ra (Syn.: H. norensis); a mbletoni (= ., ^s Species i	argentiner nd H. zeak Rhabditis I nauirendae	sis); H. bau, andica (Syn. nambletoni) ; . H. hoptha	iardi (Syn. : H. heliot are declar (= Neoac	:: H. som: hidis apu ed hereir	sookae); H d Wouts, i valid spe	H. indica (1979 nec cies as th d H. poir	Syn.: <i>H. t.</i> Khan, Bi heir origina	ooks & H ooks & H al descrip	is, H. geri lirschmar tions prov as specié	ardi, H. ha In, 1976). + vide all the ss inquirence	<i>waiiensis</i> -Re–insta diagnosti <i>dae</i> becar	, and <i>H. p</i> ted as val ic charactuuse their c	akistanense) d species he ers to differe	H. marelatus rrein based on nciate them ptions do

not include important diagnostic characters to fully differentiate them from the other species of the genus. ^cH. *heliothidis apud* Khan et al., 1976 (=*Chromonema heliothidis*) is declared herein species inquirendae as the male morphology of *H. bacteriophora* and *H. heliothidis* differ. *Data calculated from the drawings provided in the original publication.**Data calculated from other measurements

provided in the original publication. –Data not provided in the original publication.

28

Table 4. Comparative morphometrics of hermaphrodite females of Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp., H. zacatecana n. sp., and of different closely related Heterorhabditis species.

Species	_	BD	EP	NR	NL	⊢	а	q	S	ΰ	>	ABD	D%	Country	Reference
H. amazonensis	3517-5587	220-316	184-238	128-171	180-225	104-154	I	I	I	N.3*	42-47	59-83	103*	Brazil	Andaló et al. (2006)
H. atacamensis	1791-2904	88-122	165-206	101-132	174-200	72–112	I	I	I	2.7*	39-48	30-46	90-114	Chile	Edgington et al. (2011)
H. bacteriophora	3630-4390	160-180	189-217	121-130	189-205	81-93	I	I	I	I	41-47	40-53	106	Australia	Poinar (1976)
	4200-5600	175-242	163-225	125-152	180-220	50-87	I	I	I	I	35-45	45-62	I	Argentina	Agüera de Doucet and Doucet (1986)
	2686-4893	131-241	150-379	80–196	162–302	70-120	I	I	I	- .0*	36-52	43-76	76-126	Australia	Sagun et al. (2015)
	3086-5492	221-352	127-260	79-162	101-200	71-123	9.2-28	23-37	25-75	1.2-3.7	37-52	34-75	112-155	India	Bhat et al. (2019a)
	3916-5155	205-206	153-198	94-127	158-207	70-98	17-21	21-28	46-69	1.7-2.2	37-46	39-51	75-103	India	Rana et al. (2020)
as H. argentinensis#	5000-7500	250-575	250-340	132-196	235-300	100-140	I	I	I	1.8*	40-50	70-120	102*	Argentina	Stock (1993)
as H. heliothidis [£]	3000-5100	200-344	250*	250*	163-286	76-100	11-18	11-25	30-63	2.2*	45-52	62.5*	80*	NSA	Khan et al. (1976)
H. baujardi	3135-4170	180-240	156-192	119-147	186-206	66-114	15-19	16-21	36-50	2.0*	43-48	47-63	*88	Vietnam	Phan et al. (2003)
	3250-3970	190-250	98-115	120-135	180-205	80-105	13-19	16-20	31-45	I	41-49	50-65	73-92	India	Vanlalhlimpuia et al. (2018)
as H. somsookae#	2275-3952	108-183	156-214	118-144	158-193	56-87	I	I	I	N.3*	41-56	30-53	86-113	Thailand	Maneesakorn et al. (2015)
H. beicherriana	3671-5543	198-374	165-297	135-243	192-343	68-130	13-20	13-25	34-62	1.0-2.3	41-49	51-92	76-94	China	Li et al. (2012)
H. downesi	3030-5051	183-291	200-254	175-230	230-244	60-70	I	I	I	*- 	50-55	57-65	117*	Ireland	Stock et al. (2002)
H. egyptii ⁺	2100-3100	107-164	154-205	101-147	144-192	83-115	I	I	I	2.7*	46-59	33-51	104*	Egypt	Abd–Elgawad and Ameen (2005)
H. floridensis	3731-5865	217-331	211-301	169-271	271-391	84-126	I	I	I	N.51*	44-49	42-78	104*	NSA	Nguyen et al. (2006)
H. georgiana	3232-4928	157-267	200-277	143-217	132-271	65-96	I	I	I	1.2*	44-55	42.6*	I	NSA	Nguyen et al. (2008)
H. hambletoni ⁺	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Brazil	Pereira (1937)
H. hoptha ^s	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Turco (1970)
H. indica	2300-3100	107-145	163-187	104-123	163-179	72-110	I	I	I	I	45-50	38-51	I	India	Poinar et al. (1992)

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

		007-40	101-01	101-204	001 - 10		010-		0.7-4.1	01-10	1 / - O D	103-132	India	Kajol et al. (2020)
2861-4227	152-208	140-179	119-146	165-186	79-114	16-23	17-24	30-47	1.5-2.4	39-55	37-56	81-100	India	Bhat et al. (2021b)
\$550-5040	200-312	160-200	144-176	192-240	72-128	I	I	I	I	37-50	56-88	91*	China	Liu (1994)
2049-4288	93-209	103–288	82-210	146-317	90-196	I	I	I	2.4*	40-48	40-80	90-147	Australia	Plichta et al. (2009)
1000-7000	270-376	219-318	102-212	187-283	67–98	I	I	I	I	I	38-79	I	NSA	Gardner et al. (1994)
939-4625	102-240	145-186	130-180	155-220	64–95	16–23	11-24	23–58	1.7*	41-49	37-55	68-106	Pakistan	Shahina et al. (2017)
3000-4500	161–233	212-287	133-182	190-244	75-101	I	I	I	1.3*	45-50	20-28	109*	NSA	Liu and Berry (1996)
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Stock (1997)
1000-5200	205-335	175-258	117-161	190-223	60-126	I	I	I	1.9*	45-50	34-60	I	NSA	Stock et al. (1996)
2400-4900	120-133	193-270	139-178	106-269	95-124	14-24	12-21	23-49	I	45-50	36-86	I	NSA	Poinar et al. (1987)
2440-4606	135-267	103-201	114-171	168-221	94-170	I	I	I	2.6*	30-58	40-46	*06	Mexico	Nguyen et al. (2004)
2987-5498	168-289	152-209	112-152	166-220	79-120	14-23	18-28	37-58	1.7-3.4	39-47	26-56	77-112	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2014)
350-2800	54-105	I	I	I	108-112	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Kakulia and Mikaia (1997)
907-4123	209-274	106-153	78-108	134-159	63-98	12-16	21-27	34-51	1.7-2.6	45-55	29-51	67-103	Rwanda	This study
3373-4073	127-188	210-267	121-163	199-236	64-91	I	I	I	I	43-46	40-54	98-119	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2008)
200-2800	116-170	137-182	83-120	161-200	72-100	I	I	I	I	40-64	41-67	I	Egypt	Shamseldean et al. (1996)
2856-5799	150-200	115-203	105-180	133-215	122-178	I	I	I	.0* 0.0*	50-58	40-75	ī	Mexico	Stock et al. (2009)
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	N. Zealand	Poinar (1990)
4000*	247*	I	181*	236	*06	16*	17*	44*	1.7*	46*	53*	I	N. Zealand	Wouts (1979)
1408-6179	235-385	108-190	96-169	174-231	63-87	13-20	20-34	52-90	1.2-2.4	36-57	34-58	55-95	Mexico	This study
are in µm (e) 's, and <i>H. pɛ</i> <i>heliothidis ɛ</i>	kcept ratios kkistanense) apud Khan (and percer); <i>H. marel</i> ai et al., 1976	itages) #Syr tus (Syn.: H (=Chromo	nonymized : H. hepialius) nema heliot	species. <i>H.</i> ; <i>H. taysear</i> <i>hidis</i>) is dec	<i>bacterioph</i> ae (Syn.: <i>H</i> clared herei	iora (Syn.: I I. sonorens in species i	H. argentim is); and H inquirendae	ensis); H. ba zealandica (as the male	a <i>ujardi</i> (Syn Syn.: <i>H. h</i> e e morpholc	I.: H. soms Mothidis ap	ookae); H. ud Wouts, icteriophor	<i>indica</i> (Syn.: 1979 nec K a and <i>H. hel</i>	H. brevicaudis, nan, Brooks othidis differ.
	2861-422/ 2661-4228 2049-4288 2000-7000 1939-4625 3000-4500 2400-4500 2400-4900 2500 2600-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700-4900 2700	2861-422/ 152-208 3550-5040 200-312 2049-4288 93-209 1939-4625 102-240 9000-4500 270-376 102-240 9000-4500 161-233 2440-4606 151-233 2440-4606 151-233 2440-4606 151-233 350-2800 205-335 350-2800 161-233 350-2800 54-105 357-4073 127-188 350-2800 54-105 357-4073 127-188 356-5799 150-200 356-5799 150-200 357-4073 209-274 3573-4073 209-274 4000* 200-2743 200-274 200-2000 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-200 200 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-200 200 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-2743 200-274 200-2743 200-2744 200-2743 200-2744 200-2773 200-2744 200-2743 200-2744 200-2744 200-2744 200-2744 200-2744 200-2755 200-2800 200-27444 200-2744 2000	B61-422/ 152-208 140-1/9 B550-5040 200-312 160-200 C049-4288 93-209 103-288 P000-7000 270-376 219-318 P000-4500 161-233 212-287 P000-4500 161-233 212-287 P000-4500 161-233 212-268 P400-4900 151-233 193-270 P440-4606 135-267 103-201 P440-4606 135-267 103-201 P440-4606 135-267 103-201 P440-4606 135-267 103-201 P350-2800 54-105 - P400-4900 120-133 193-270 P400-4900 120-133 193-270 P400-4900 120-133 193-270 P400-4900 120-133 193-270 P350-2800 54-105 - - P350-2800 54-105 105-203 P373-4073 127-188 210-267 P373-4073 127-188 210-267 P373-4073 127-188 210-263 P4000* 235-385	B651-422/ 152-208 140-1/9 119-146 B550-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 B000-4268 93-209 103-288 82-210 B000-7000 270-376 219-318 102-212 B000-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 B000-4500 161-233 125-269 114-171 B00-4900 120-133 193-270 139-178 B00-4900 120-133 193-270 139-178 B00-41006 135-267 103-201 114-171 B00-4123 209-274 106-153 78-108 B007-4123 209-274 106-153 78-108 B007-4123 209-274 106-153 78-108 B007-4123 209-274 106-153 700	B61-422/ 152-208 140-1/9 119-146 165-186 850-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 850-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 8000-4208 93-209 103-288 82-210 146-317 933-4625 102-240 145-186 130-180 155-220 933-4625 102-240 145-186 130-180 155-220 9000-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 190-244 4000-5200 161-233 212-287 139-178 106-269 2440-4606 135-267 103-201 114-171 168-221 2440-4606 135-267 103-201 114-171 168-221 2600-5200 265-335 152-209 112-152 166-220 350-2800 54-105 78-108 134-159 357-4073 152-209 112-152 166-220 350-2800 54-105 121-163 199-236 350-2800 54-105 210-267	360-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 650-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 650-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 6000-7000 270-376 219-318 102-212 187-283 67-98 939-4625 102-240 145-186 130-180 155-220 64-95 900-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 190-244 75-101 2000-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 190-223 60-126 2000-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 190-223 60-126 2400-4900 120-133 193-270 139-178 106-269 95-124 2400-4900 120-133 193-270 139-178 106-126 94-170 2400-4900 120-133 193-270 139-128 106-169 95-124 2400-4900 120-133 193-216 114-171 168-220 94-170	Biol -422/ 132-208 140-1/3 119-146 165-186 /9-114 16-23 Biol -422/ 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - Biol -7000 270-376 219-318 102-212 187-283 67-96 - Biol -7000 270-376 145-186 130-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 Biol -4500 161-233 212-287 139-170 14-24 75-101 - C - - - - - - - - - Ai00-4500 151-233 193-270 139-176 14-24 75-101 - - Ai00-4500 151-233 193-216 114-171 <td>Biol -422 (152-208 140-1/9 119-146 160-166 (2-114) 119-146 192-240 (2-128) 1-/-24 8550-5040 200-512 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - - 0000-7000 270-376 219-318 102-212 187-283 67-98 - - 0000-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 190-244 75-101 - - 0000-5200 205-335 175-258 117-161 190-223 60-126 - - 0000-5200 205-335 175-258 117-161 190-223 60-126 - - 000-5200 205-335 175-258 117-161 190-223 60-126 - - - 440-4606 151-267 139-178 106-269 14-170 - <td< td=""><td>3691-422/ 152-208 140-1/8 119-146 165-166 1-114 16-23 1/2-24 1/2-24 30-4/ 3650-5040 200-312 160-200 144-1/76 192-240 72-128 - - - - 6049-4288 93-209 103-288 82-210 146-317 90-196 -</td><td>BBI-4L2/ 152-208 140-17/9 119-146 160-136 91-146 160-136 91-140 115-24 BB0-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - - - - BB0-6040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - <t< td=""><td>Biolocity Biolocity <t< td=""><td>BBC1-4Z27 102-208 140-1/9 110-1/8 110-1/8 110-2/8 11-2/4 30-50 57-50<!--</td--><td>Rest 132-206 140-1/6 114-1/6 162-216 141-1/6 182-240 21-13 11-52.4 30-40 15-2.4 30-46 15-10 666-66040 200-312 160-200 144-11/6 182-240 74-91 16-230 144-176 182-240 74-91 94-90 90-147 000-3000 270-376 219-319 102-212 187-283 61-03 91-90 91-170 000-4500 145-161 190-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 11-24 23-56 11/7 41-40 37-56 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 135-267 144-171 166-220 64-126 71-12 37-36 11-27 37-36 10-9 -</td><td>Bits 1-42.1 13-2.48 140-179 115-146 160-186 64-114 16-23 17-24 30-44 15-246 65-89 91-100 Inda 650-600 200-312 160-317 90-196 - - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4020 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - - 38-76 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 161-233 112-31 11-24 23-46 17-16 100-100 10-17 10-18 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-46 1-7 45-50 36-106 Pistana 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-41 245-50 36-40 30-10 10-17 10-14 100-1300 101-123</td></td></t<></td></t<></td></td<></td>	Biol -422 (152-208 140-1/9 119-146 160-166 (2-114) 119-146 192-240 (2-128) 1-/-24 8550-5040 200-512 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - - 0000-7000 270-376 219-318 102-212 187-283 67-98 - - 0000-4500 161-233 212-287 133-182 190-244 75-101 - - 0000-5200 205-335 175-258 117-161 190-223 60-126 - - 0000-5200 205-335 175-258 117-161 190-223 60-126 - - 000-5200 205-335 175-258 117-161 190-223 60-126 - - - 440-4606 151-267 139-178 106-269 14-170 - <td< td=""><td>3691-422/ 152-208 140-1/8 119-146 165-166 1-114 16-23 1/2-24 1/2-24 30-4/ 3650-5040 200-312 160-200 144-1/76 192-240 72-128 - - - - 6049-4288 93-209 103-288 82-210 146-317 90-196 -</td><td>BBI-4L2/ 152-208 140-17/9 119-146 160-136 91-146 160-136 91-140 115-24 BB0-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - - - - BB0-6040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - <t< td=""><td>Biolocity Biolocity <t< td=""><td>BBC1-4Z27 102-208 140-1/9 110-1/8 110-1/8 110-2/8 11-2/4 30-50 57-50<!--</td--><td>Rest 132-206 140-1/6 114-1/6 162-216 141-1/6 182-240 21-13 11-52.4 30-40 15-2.4 30-46 15-10 666-66040 200-312 160-200 144-11/6 182-240 74-91 16-230 144-176 182-240 74-91 94-90 90-147 000-3000 270-376 219-319 102-212 187-283 61-03 91-90 91-170 000-4500 145-161 190-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 11-24 23-56 11/7 41-40 37-56 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 135-267 144-171 166-220 64-126 71-12 37-36 11-27 37-36 10-9 -</td><td>Bits 1-42.1 13-2.48 140-179 115-146 160-186 64-114 16-23 17-24 30-44 15-246 65-89 91-100 Inda 650-600 200-312 160-317 90-196 - - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4020 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - - 38-76 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 161-233 112-31 11-24 23-46 17-16 100-100 10-17 10-18 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-46 1-7 45-50 36-106 Pistana 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-41 245-50 36-40 30-10 10-17 10-14 100-1300 101-123</td></td></t<></td></t<></td></td<>	3691-422/ 152-208 140-1/8 119-146 165-166 1-114 16-23 1/2-24 1/2-24 30-4/ 3650-5040 200-312 160-200 144-1/76 192-240 72-128 - - - - 6049-4288 93-209 103-288 82-210 146-317 90-196 -	BBI-4L2/ 152-208 140-17/9 119-146 160-136 91-146 160-136 91-140 115-24 BB0-5040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - - - - BB0-6040 200-312 160-200 144-176 192-240 72-128 - <t< td=""><td>Biolocity Biolocity <t< td=""><td>BBC1-4Z27 102-208 140-1/9 110-1/8 110-1/8 110-2/8 11-2/4 30-50 57-50<!--</td--><td>Rest 132-206 140-1/6 114-1/6 162-216 141-1/6 182-240 21-13 11-52.4 30-40 15-2.4 30-46 15-10 666-66040 200-312 160-200 144-11/6 182-240 74-91 16-230 144-176 182-240 74-91 94-90 90-147 000-3000 270-376 219-319 102-212 187-283 61-03 91-90 91-170 000-4500 145-161 190-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 11-24 23-56 11/7 41-40 37-56 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 135-267 144-171 166-220 64-126 71-12 37-36 11-27 37-36 10-9 -</td><td>Bits 1-42.1 13-2.48 140-179 115-146 160-186 64-114 16-23 17-24 30-44 15-246 65-89 91-100 Inda 650-600 200-312 160-317 90-196 - - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4020 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - - 38-76 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 161-233 112-31 11-24 23-46 17-16 100-100 10-17 10-18 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-46 1-7 45-50 36-106 Pistana 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-41 245-50 36-40 30-10 10-17 10-14 100-1300 101-123</td></td></t<></td></t<>	Biolocity Biolocity <t< td=""><td>BBC1-4Z27 102-208 140-1/9 110-1/8 110-1/8 110-2/8 11-2/4 30-50 57-50<!--</td--><td>Rest 132-206 140-1/6 114-1/6 162-216 141-1/6 182-240 21-13 11-52.4 30-40 15-2.4 30-46 15-10 666-66040 200-312 160-200 144-11/6 182-240 74-91 16-230 144-176 182-240 74-91 94-90 90-147 000-3000 270-376 219-319 102-212 187-283 61-03 91-90 91-170 000-4500 145-161 190-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 11-24 23-56 11/7 41-40 37-56 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 135-267 144-171 166-220 64-126 71-12 37-36 11-27 37-36 10-9 -</td><td>Bits 1-42.1 13-2.48 140-179 115-146 160-186 64-114 16-23 17-24 30-44 15-246 65-89 91-100 Inda 650-600 200-312 160-317 90-196 - - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4020 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - - 38-76 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 161-233 112-31 11-24 23-46 17-16 100-100 10-17 10-18 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-46 1-7 45-50 36-106 Pistana 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-41 245-50 36-40 30-10 10-17 10-14 100-1300 101-123</td></td></t<>	BBC1-4Z27 102-208 140-1/9 110-1/8 110-1/8 110-2/8 11-2/4 30-50 57-50 </td <td>Rest 132-206 140-1/6 114-1/6 162-216 141-1/6 182-240 21-13 11-52.4 30-40 15-2.4 30-46 15-10 666-66040 200-312 160-200 144-11/6 182-240 74-91 16-230 144-176 182-240 74-91 94-90 90-147 000-3000 270-376 219-319 102-212 187-283 61-03 91-90 91-170 000-4500 145-161 190-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 11-24 23-56 11/7 41-40 37-56 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 135-267 144-171 166-220 64-126 71-12 37-36 11-27 37-36 10-9 -</td> <td>Bits 1-42.1 13-2.48 140-179 115-146 160-186 64-114 16-23 17-24 30-44 15-246 65-89 91-100 Inda 650-600 200-312 160-317 90-196 - - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4020 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - - 38-76 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 161-233 112-31 11-24 23-46 17-16 100-100 10-17 10-18 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-46 1-7 45-50 36-106 Pistana 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-41 245-50 36-40 30-10 10-17 10-14 100-1300 101-123</td>	Rest 132-206 140-1/6 114-1/6 162-216 141-1/6 182-240 21-13 11-52.4 30-40 15-2.4 30-46 15-10 666-66040 200-312 160-200 144-11/6 182-240 74-91 16-230 144-176 182-240 74-91 94-90 90-147 000-3000 270-376 219-319 102-212 187-283 61-03 91-90 91-170 000-4500 145-161 190-180 155-220 64-95 16-23 11-24 23-56 11/7 41-40 37-56 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 175-283 117-161 190-244 75-101 - - - - - 36-70 37-50 68-106 000-4500 135-267 144-171 166-220 64-126 71-12 37-36 11-27 37-36 10-9 -	Bits 1-42.1 13-2.48 140-179 115-146 160-186 64-114 16-23 17-24 30-44 15-246 65-89 91-100 Inda 650-600 200-312 160-317 90-196 - - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4020 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - 2.47 30-50 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 210-318 102-112 166-317 90-196 1-2 - - - 38-76 66-89 91-100 Inda 060-4500 161-233 112-31 11-24 23-46 17-16 100-100 10-17 10-18 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-46 1-7 45-50 36-106 Pistana 060-4500 161-233 112-31 12-31 23-41 245-50 36-40 30-10 10-17 10-14 100-1300 101-123

all the diagnostic characters to differenciate them morphologically from all species of the genus. ^sSpecies inquirendae. H. hoptha (= Neoaplectana hoptha) and H. poinari are maintained as species "Re-instated as valid species herein based on morphological evidence. H. egyptii and H. hambletoni (=Rhabditis hambletoni) are declared herein valid species as their original descriptions provide

inquirendae because their original descriptions do not include important diagnostic characters to fully differentiate them from the other species of the genus. "Data calculated from the drawings

provided in the original publication." Data calculated from other measurements provided in the original publication. -Data not provided in the original publication.

Multi-locus phylogenetic analyses uncover species boundaries: Machado et al.

30

Table 5. Comparative morphometrics of adult females of *Heterorhabditis ruandica* n. sp., *H. zacatecana* n. sp., and of different closely related *Heterorhabditis* species.

Species	-	BD	EP	NR	NL	⊢	ø	q	U	`υ	>	ABD	D%	Country	Reference
H. amazonensis	1279-2070	70-122	103-126	68-100	119-142	25-38	I	I	I	2.4*	46-50	25-38	I	Brazil	Andaló et al. (2006)
H. atacamensis	1754-2628	86-129	154-182	79-119	129-167	80-108	I	I	I	.03 03	43-49	24-33	100-113	Chile	Edgington et al. (2011)
H. bacteriophora	3180-3850	160-220	174-214	93-118	155-183	71-93	21.4*	18.8	41.5*	ю.1*	42-53	22-31	114	Australia	Poinar (1976)
	1800-2400	100-162	122-162	83-102	108–145	40-65	I	I	I	I	41-50	23-40	I	Argentina	Agüera de Doucet and Doucet (1986)
	1690-3214	100-224	101-212	67-103	120-163	54-101	I	I	I	2.4*	44-50	21-24	72-137	Australia	Sagun et al. (2015)
	1513-2290	84-150	128-181	71-99	113-135	41-79	11-22	11-19	26-42	1.6–2.5	38-51	24-39	108-150	India	Bhat et al. (2019a)
	1226-1819	58-115	108-157	68-91	101-127	29-94	16-25	7.8-16	16-48	1.0-3.4	44-58	24-31	83-116	India	Rana et al. (2020)
as H. argentinensis#	2000-3500	90-180	105-240	88-140	162-200	75-108	12.5*	7.8*	31.2*	2.0*	42-48	33-35	100*	Argentina	Stock (1993)
as H. heliothidis $^{\epsilon}$	2000-3300	184-240	146*	126*	148-177	71-93	11-15	14-21	26-46	2.8*	48-53	33*	95*	NSA	Khan et al. (1976)
H. baujardi	1335-2130	90-150	104-149	75-122	131-185	68-89	12-16	10-12	19-32	I	46-51	27-41	I	Vietnam	Phan et al. (2003)
	2060-2290	120-150	98-115	80-95	123-148	78-108	15-17	16-18	20-27	I	41-48	30-38	63-78	India	Vanlalhlimpuia et al. (2018)
as H. somsookae [#]	2159-2666	117-194	143-156	90-112	128-144	41-80	I	I	I	2.9*	36-51	21-35	104-111	Thailand	Maneesakorn et al. (2015)
H. beicherriana	1581-3026	125-218	95-165	59-138	105-186	68-105	10-18	10-23	19–34	1.6-2.4	41-49	35-81	88-98	China	Li et al. (2012)
H. downesi	1231-2728	74–131	99-126	117-151	111-155	70-122	I	I	I	2.5*	47-60	25-38	I	Ireland	Stock et al. (2002)
H. egyptii ⁺	1050-1420	56-84	69-106	69-94	106-125	56-78	17.5**	14.4**	22.2**	3.1**	44-51	19-27	78**	Egypt	Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005)
H. floridensis	2054-2548	120-156	110-168	86-122	126-178	69-87	I	I	I	I	44-50	32-42	I	NSA	Nguyen et al. (2006)
H. georgiana	1640-2779	101-188	111-177	96-162	136-219	62-88	I	I	I	1.5*	46-53	42*	I	NSA	Nguyen et al. (2008)
H. hambletoni ⁺	600-1200	70-100	80-90	70-80	I	I	I	I	I	I	50-58**	I	I	Brazil	Pereira (1937)
H. hoptha ^s	2826-3983	I	148*	161*	219*	28*	13-19	12-21	47-67	0.8*	43-49	33*	92*	New Jersey	Turco (1970)
H. indica	1200-1800	76-113	118-138	88–96	120-139	66-88	I	I	I	I	40-53	22-32	I	India	Poinar et al. (1992)
	1713-2242	110-156	135-172	77-92	120-138	61-83	13-17	11-18	22-36	1.9-2.9	44-50	27-33	102-128	India	Kajol et al. (2020)

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

	1274-1993	70-135	105-129	84-111	124-155	64-83	12-18	10-13	16-31	2.6-4.9	45-52	22-30	77-99	India	Bhat et al. (2021b)
as H. brevicaudis#	2100-2500	128-168	124-160	100-108	144-160	76-92	I	I	I	I	45-53	36-48	I	China	Liu (1994)
as H. gerrardi#	1428-2533	71-161	108-157	73-141	120-182	66-95	I	I	I	З.З*	43-55	22-38	74-112	Australia	Plichta et al. (2009)
as H. hawaiiensis#	1300-2300	104-171	116-175	78-116	110-153	49-87	I	I	I	I	49-56	20-35	I	NSA	Gardner et al. (1994)
as H. pakistanense#	1413-1785	71-86	130-150	80-100	130-145	65-95	19-21	11-12	16-22	3.1*	44-53	24-27	95*	Pakistan	Shahina et al. (2017)
H. marelatus	1600-2600	113-177	139-178	79-119	129–164	55-81	I	I	I	1.3*	45-50	29-48	110*	NSA	Liu and Berry (1996)
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Stock (1997)
as H. hepialius#	3500-4500	99-161	133-177	99-135	150-183	76-113	18*	13*	27*	1.3*	49-51	24-60	*88 88*	NSA	Stock et al. (1996)
H. megidis	1500-2500	95-140	158-206	105-120	155-168	70-101	15-19	10-16	18-32	2.6*	47-51	25-38	119*	NSA	Poinar et al. (1987)
H. mexicana	1144-2108	65-123	114-148	76-103	121-150	76-106	I	I	I	I	44-51	21-36	I	Mexico	Nguyen et al. (2004)
H. noenieputensis	1075-1697	76-129	102-125	73-90	115-132	63-75	13-17	9-14	17-24	2.3-3.1	40-53	22-32	83-104	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2014)
H. poinari ^s	910-1520	62-80	I	I	152-172	86-105	11-14	50-51	10-11	I	38-50	I	I	NSA	Kakulia and Mikaia (1997)
<i>H. ruandica</i> Rw14_NC4a	1131-1608	68-83	92-129	69-97	107-132	62-88	15-20	9.0-14	16-24	1.9–3.6	41-51	18-34	74-104	Rwanda	This study
H. safricana	1679-2937	102-229	151-196	87-139	148-180	55-111	I	I	I	1.3*	45-50	25-72	97–120	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2008)
H. taysearae	830-1400	42-96	120-166	76-109	129-179	62-80	I	I	Į	4.0*	44-73	19-28	82*	Egypt	Shamseldean et al. (1996)
as H. sonorensis#	1500-2500	85-210	95-140	85-105	129-215	75-99	I	I	I	Ю. Б	49-53	36-46	93*	Mexico	Stock et al. (2009)
H. zealandica	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	N. Zealand	Poinar (1990)
as H. heliothidis#	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	N. Zealand	Wouts (1979)
<i>H. zacatecana</i> MEX-39	1954-2798	160-228	100-133	71-96	112-148	45-75	11–15	16–21	31-63	1.3–2.0	43-61	31-41	80-111	Mexico	This study
Note: All measureme H. gerrardi, H. hawaii & Hirschmann, 1976)	nts are in µm (e ensis, and <i>H. p.</i> . ^c <i>H. heliothidi</i> s	xcept ratios akistanense apud Khan	s and percer 9); <i>H. marela</i> et al., 1976	itages). #Syr tus (Syn.: H. (=Chromon	hepialius); / hepialius); / ema heliothi	pecies. H. I H. tayseara idis) is decli	bacterioph e (Syn.: H. ared hereir	iora (Syn.: sonorensi species ii	H. argenti s); and H. nquirenda	nensis); H. zealandica e as the ma	baujardi (S t (Syn.: H. H ale morpho	yn.: H. som neliothidis a, logy of H. b	sookae); H bud Wouts acteriophc	H. indica (Syn. 8, 1979 nec K ora and H. he	: H. brevicaudis, han, Brooks iothidis differ.

diagnostic characters to differenciate them morphologically from all species of the genus. *Species inquirendae. H. hoptha (= Neoaplectana hoptha) and H. poinari are maintained as species inquirendae

because their original descriptions do not include important diagnostic characters to fully differentiate them from the other species of the genus. *Data calculated from the drawings provided in the original publication. *Data calculated from other measurements provided in the original publication. *Data calculated from other measurements provided in the original publication.

Table 6. Comparative morphometrics of infective juveniles of Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp., H. zacatecana n. sp., and of different closely related Heterorhabditis species.

y Reference	Andaló et al. (2006)	Edgington et al. (2011) Poinar (1976)	a Agüera de Doucet and Doucet (1986)	Sagun et al. (2015)	Bhat et al. (2019a)	Bana at al (2020)	ו זמו ומ כו מו. (בטבט)	a Stock (1993)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976)	Rana et al. (2020) a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003)	Rando et al. (2020) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanialhimpuia et al. (2018)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlahlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlalhlimpula et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlalhlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlahhimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Vanlahhimpula et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Vanlalhlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2008)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlahlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Vanlahhlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Vanlalhlimpula et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Poinar et al. (1992)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlahhimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Poinar et al. (1992) Kajol et al. (2020)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlahhlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Perinar et al. (1992) Kajol et al. (2021b) Bhat et al. (2021b)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Vanlahhimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Pereira (1922) Kajol et al. (2021b) Liu (1994)	a Stock (1993) Khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Vanlalhlimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Pereira (1922) Kajol et al. (2020) Bhat et al. (2020) Bhat et al. (2020) Liu (1994)	 a Stock (1993) khan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (1976) Phan et al. (2003) Vanlahhimpuia et al. (2018) Maneesakorn et al. (2015) Li et al. (2012) Stock et al., 2002 Abd-Elgawad and Ameen (2005) Nguyen et al. (2006) Nguyen et al. (2008) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Pereira (1937) Turco (1970) Poinar et al. (2020) Bhat et al. (2020) Cardner et al. (1994)
	Brazil	Cnile Australia	Argentina	Australia	India		India	India Argentina	India Argentina USA	India Argentina USA Vietnam	India Argentina USA Vietnam India	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand China	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand China Ireland	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand China Ireland Egypt	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand China Ireland Egypt USA	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand China Ireland Egypt USA	India Argentina USA Vietnam India China Ireland Egypt USA USA Brazil	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Thailand China Ireland Egypt USA USA	India Argentina USA Vietnam India Fhailand China Ireland Egypt USA USA Brazil USA	India Argentina USA Vietnam India China Ireland USA USA USA USA USA India	India Argentina USA Vietnam India China Ireland Egypt USA USA USA USA USA India India	India Argentina USA Uietnam India Egypt USA USA USA USA USA India India India China	nota Argentina USA UsA India China India USA USA USA USA USA USA NuStalia Australia	nota Argentina USA Vietnam India China Egypt USA USA USA India India Nota Notralia USA
Е%	89-109	149-182 103-130	106*	87-105	131-211		100-188	141*	141* 97* 97*	141* 141* 97* 98–114	100-169 141* 97* 98–114 89-92	105-189 141* 97* 88-114 89-92 64-95	105-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-92 64-95 103-121	1005-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-92 64-95 103-121 160-180	100-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-92 64-95 103-121 160-180 160-170	105-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134	105-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-92 64-95 103-121 160-180 160-170 95-134	100-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 95-134	105-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 95-134	105-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 106 -	100-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 106 - - 83-103 83-103	1005-183 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-180 95-134 106 - - 83-103 83-103 83-103 93-136 93-136	100-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 106 - 83-103 100-118 83-136	100-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-92 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 106 83-103 83-103 100-118 93-136 93-136 73-138	1005-189 141* 97* 98-114 89-95 64-95 103-121 160-180 100-170 95-134 106 - - 83-103 83-103 100-118 93-136 150-180 73-138
D%	83-92	76-92	81*	73-88	105-139	78-107	2	80*	80* 83*	80* 83* 78-88	80* 83* 78-88 74-86	80* 83* 78-88 74-86 76-87	80* 80* 78-88 74-86 76-87 80-93	80* 80* 78-88 74-86 76-87 80-93 76-96	80* 83* 78-88 74-86 76-87 80-93 76-96 76-96 76-96	80* 83* 78-88 74-86 76-87 80-93 76-96 74-82 74-82 71-90	80* 83* 78-88 74-86 76-87 76-87 76-87 76-87 76-95 76-95 74-82 74-82 71-90	80* 83* 78-88 78-88 76-87 80-93 76-96 76-96 74-82 74-82 71-90 70-93	80* 83* 78-88 76-87 76-87 76-96 76-96 74-82 71-90 71-90 70-93	80* 83* 78-88 78-88 76-87 76-87 76-87 76-87 76-95 76-95 71-90 71-90 70-93	80* 83* 78-88 76-87 76-86 76-96 76-96 76-96 71-90 70-93 70-93 77-96 77-96	80* 83* 78-85 76-87 76-87 76-96 76-96 74-82 71-90 71-90 70-93 71-90 77-96 83-97	80* 83* 78-88 78-88 74-86 80-93 76-96 76-96 71-90 70-93 71-90 77-96 83-97 83-97	80* 83* 78-88 78-88 76-87 76-87 76-87 76-87 76-95 71-90 71-90 70-93 70-93 83-97 83-97 81*	80* 83* 78-85 76-87 76-87 76-96 76-96 74-82 71-90 71-90 71-96 83-97 81* 77-392
`υ	7.3*	°./.°	4.8*	7.0*	3.4-7.5	3.7-6.5	200	4.3*	4.3* 6.0*	4.3* 6.0* 7.2*	6.0* 7.2* -	6.0* 7.2* 8.0*	6.0* 6.0* 7.2* 8.0* 6.0-7.4	6.0* 6.0* 7.2* 8.0* 6.0-7.4 4.4*	6.0* 6.0* 7.2* 8.0* 6.9* 6.9*	6.0* 6.0* 8.0* 8.0* 4.4* 6.9* 7.2*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.9* 6.9* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 8.0* 6.9* 6.9* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 8.0* 6.9* 6.9* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 7.2* 6.0* 7.2* 6.3* 7.2* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.9* 6.3* 6.3* 6.3* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 8.0* 6.9* 6.9* 6.9* 6.8* 7.2* 6.8* 5.6*31	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 7.2* 6.0* 6.9* 6.8* 6.8* 6.8* 6.8* 6.8* 6.3* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 7.2* 6.0* 7.2* 6.9* 6.8* 6.3* 6.3*	6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 6.0* 4.4* 6.9* 6.9* 6.8* 6.3* 6.3* 6.3*
S	5.1-6.1	5.7-7.0	5.7*	3.6-4.6	5.5-9.3	6.0-12		6.5*	6.5* 5.8-6.3	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.6	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.5-6.9	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.6	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.9 5.3-6.9 5.5-6.9 -	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.5-6.9 5.5-6.9 5.5-6.9 4.6-5.6 4.6-5.4	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.3-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.9 5.3-6.9 7 4.5-5.6 4.6-5.4 4.9-5.7	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.9 5.3-6.9 5.3-6.9 4.5-5.6 4.6-5.4 4.9-5.7 6.6-8.6	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 6.8-9.1 5.3-6.6 5.3-6.9 5.3-6.9 5.3-6.9 6.8-8.6 4.6-5.4 4.9-5.7 6.6-8.6 11-21	6.5* 5.8-6.3 6-6.7 5.2-6.1 4-6 5.9-6.8 8.5-10.5 6.8-9.1 6.8-9.1 5.5-6.9 5.5-6.9 7.5-6.9 4.6-5.4 4.6-5.4 4.9-5.7 6.6-8.6 111-21
q	4.4-5.5	4.0-5.1	4.4*	3.3-3.6	4.7-6.1	4.9-7.4		3.7*	3.7* 4.6-5.4	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.6-5.9	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.6-5.9 5-5	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.6-5.9 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.6-5.1 4.6-5.9 6-5 6-5 4.2-4.9 4.4-5.3	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.4-5.3 4.2-5.2	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.6-5.1 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.2-5.3 4.2-5.2 3.9-4.9	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.6-5.9 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.2-5.2 3.9-4.9 3.9-4.9	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.2-5.2 3.9-4.9 4.1-5.3	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.5-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.4-5.3 3.9-4.9 3.9-4.9 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.6-5.9 4.6-5.9 4.2-4.9 4.2-5.2 3.9-4.9 3.9-4.9 4.1-5.3 4.2-5.2 4.1-5.3 4.2-5.2 4.2-5.2 4.2-5.2 4.2-5.2	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.2-5.2 3.9-4.9 4.1-5.2 4.1-5.2 4.3-4.8 5-6 5-6	3.7* 4.5-5.4 4.5-5.9 4.5-5.9 5-5 4.2-5.9 4.4-5.3 4.4-5.3 4.1-5.3 3.9-4.9 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3	3.7* 4.6-5.4 4.5-5.1 4.6-5.9 5-5 4.2-4.9 4.2-5.2 4.2-5.2 3.9-4.9 3.9-4.9 4.1-5.3 4.2-5.2 4.1-5.3 - - 5-6 4.3-4.8 - -	3.7* 4.5-5.4 4.5-5.9 4.5-5.9 5-5 4.2-5.9 4.2-5.2 4.2-5.2 4.3-4.9 4.1-5.3 4.3-4.8 4.3-4.8 4.3-4.8 7-6 4.3-4.8 16-23	3.7* 4.5-5.4 4.5-5.9 4.5-5.9 5-5 4.2-5.9 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 4.1-5.3 7- 5-6 5-6 16-23 16-23
а	24-29	17-30	23*	25-31	19-25	19-29		18.3*	18.3* 22-28	18.3* 22-28 26-30	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27 24-29	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27 23-27 24-29 29-42	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27 23-27 29-42 29-42 29-23	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 24-29 24-29 29-42 20-27 25-32	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27 29-42 29-42 29-42 26-32 25-32	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-29 24-29 24-29 29-42 29-42 29-32 20-27 25-32	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-29 29-42 29-42 29-42 29-27 26-32 25-32 25-32 25-32	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27 29-42 29-42 29-42 26-32 25-32 25-32 25-32 25-32 25-27	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-29 24-29 29-42 29-42 29-32 29-32 23-34 25-32 23-34 25-27 25-27	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-29 24-29 29-42 29-42 29-27 20-27 25-32 23-34 25-27 22-25 22-25	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 23-27 29-42 29-42 29-42 26-32 26-27 25-32 25-27 25-27 25-25 24-27	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-32 24-29 29-42 29-42 29-42 29-27 25-32 25-32 25-32 25-27 25-27 25-27 25-27 23-34	18.3* 22-28 26-30 24-29 24-29 29-42 29-42 29-27 29-27 23-34 23-32 23-34 23-32 23-34 23-32 23-34 23-32 23-37 23-32
⊢	98-115	94-107 83-112	84-105	94-111	57-90	47-89		70-105	70-105 104-112	70-105 104-112 83-97	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 91-113	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 91-113 86-108	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 91-113 86-108	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 91-113 86-108 86-108	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 53-75 91-113 86-108 86-108 	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 53-75 53-75 91-113 86-108 - - - - 93-109 24-34	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 91-113 86-108 86-108 - - 93-109 24-34 80-112	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 53-75 91-113 86-108 - - 93-109 24-34 80-112 880-112	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 53-75 53-75 91-113 86-108 91-113 86-108 24-34 80-112 68-80 68-80 76-141	70-105 104-112 83-97 95-108 91-131 86-111 62-74 53-75 53-75 91-113 86-108 24-34 86-108 24-34 86-112 68-80 68-80 76-141
NL	107-132	124-144 100-139	110-130	112-121	90-115	83-106		101-150	101-150 130-139	101-150 130-139 107-120	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 118-146	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 126-141 126-141	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 118-146 126-141 100-119 123-142	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 100-119 123-142 123-142	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 106-119 126-141 100-119 123-142 123-142	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 126-141 126-141 126-141 126-142 123-142 110-139	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 100-119 123-142 110-139 -	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 100-119 126-142 110-139 - - - - -	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 100-119 123-142 110-139 123-142 110-139 100-123 86-103 86-103	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 100-119 123-142 110-139 123-142 110-139 123-123 102-123 86-103 102-126	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 98-120 118-146 126-141 100-119 120-139 109-123 86-103 102-129 120-136 120-136	101-150 130-139 107-120 98-120 106-117 118-146 126-141 100-119 123-142 110-139 86-103 86-103 102-129 110-136 110-136 110-136
NR	76-93	72-93	80-90	67-83	61-90	50-74		82-116	82-116 108*	82-116 108* 75-86	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 78-94	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 78-94 85-106	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 78-100	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 74-94	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 74-94	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-106 96-105 78-100 78-100 68-107 74-94 -	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 74-94 - -	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 74-94 68-107 72-85 63-73	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-106 96-105 78-100 85-106 96-105 78-100 63-73 63-73 82-101	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 72-94 - - 72-85 63-73 82-101 96-104	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-94 85-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 74-94 - - - 72-85 63-73 82-101 96-104 81-105	82-116 108* 75-86 68-85 68-85 78-106 96-105 78-100 68-107 74-94 - - 74-94 63-73 82-101 96-104 81-105 83-103
8	89-115	87-110	93-108	87-104	110-127	72-102		68-112	68-112 112*	68-112 112* 91-103	68-112 112* 91–103 88-96	68-112 112* 91–103 88-96 81-95	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122	68-112 112* 91-103 88-96 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128	68-112 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128 81-94	68-112 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128 81-94 81-94	68-112 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 81-95 96-128 81-94 81-94 101-122 97-113	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 88-96 81-95 96-128 81-94 81-94 101-122 97-113	68-112 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128 81-94 101-122 97-113	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128 81-94 101-122 97-113 -	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 88-96 81-95 96-128 96-128 81-04 101-122 97-113 97-113 88-107 88-107	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128 81-94 101-122 97-113 - 88-107 92-108 92-108	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 81-95 100-122 96-128 91-94 101-122 91-113 - 88-107 92-108 98-123 104-116	68-112 112* 91-103 88-96 88-96 81-95 96-128 96-128 91-122 97-113 - - 88-107 92-108 98-123 98-123 98-123	68-112* 112* 91-103 88-96 88-96 81-95 96-128 96-128 97-113 - - 88-107 92-108 92-108 92-108 92-111 116-175
BD	20-24	19-20 18-31	22-30	19-22	22-28	19-27		24-38	24-38 23-29	24-38 23-29 18-22	24-38 23-29 18-22 18-25	24-38 23-29 18-22 18-25 19-23	24-38 23-29 18-22 18-25 19-23 21-25	24-38 23-29 18-22 18-25 19-23 21-25 15-22	24-38 23-29 18-22 18-25 19-23 21-25 15-22 18-23	24-38 23-29 18-25 19-23 21-25 15-22 18-23 18-23	24-38 23-29 18-25 19-23 19-23 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23	24-38 23-29 18-25 18-25 18-25 15-22 18-23 18-23 19-23	24-38 23-29 18-25 19-23 15-22 15-22 18-23 19-23 17-26 -	24-38 23-29 18-25 18-25 18-23 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23 19-23	24-38 23-29 18-25 18-25 18-25 15-22 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23 19-22 21-24	24-38 23-29 18-25 19-23 15-22 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23 21-24 21-24 21-24	24-38 23-29 18-25 18-25 19-23 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23 19-23 19-23 19-22 21-24 21-24 21-25 21-25	24-38 23-29 18-25 18-25 19-23 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23 19-23 19-22 21-24 21-24 21-25 20-24	24-38 23-29 18-25 18-25 15-22 15-22 18-23 19-23 19-23 19-22 21-24 21-25 21-25 20-24 18-29
_	567-612	512-671	530-660	537-587	474-568	453-167		610-710	610-710 619-671	610-710 619-671 497-595	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 502-565	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 502-565 566-687	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 525-615 566-687 566-687 588-692	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 502-565 566-687 588-692 588-692 484-515	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 502-565 566-687 588-692 484-515 554-609 554-609	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 566-687 588-692 484-515 554-609 554-609 547-651	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 566-687 568-687 568-687 588-692 484-515 547-651 547-651	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 588-692 588-692 588-692 588-692 547-651 -	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 566-687 588-692 588-692 484-515 554-609 554-609 547-651 - -	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 566-687 568-687 568-687 588-692 484-515 547-651 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 566-687 588-692 484-515 547-651 - - 479-573 511-546 511-546	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 588-692 588-692 588-692 588-692 554-609 554-609 554-609 516-598 516-598 516-598	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 588-692 588-692 588-692 484-515 554-609 547-651 - 479-573 511-546 511-546 511-548 511-688 528-632 551-682	610-710 619-671 497-595 525-615 566-687 566-687 588-692 588-692 484-515 554-669 547-651 - - 479-573 511-546 511-546 511-548 516-598 551-682 551-682
Species	H. amazonensis	н. atacamensis H. bacteriophora						as H. argentinensis [#]	as H. argentinensis [#] as H. heliothidis [£]	as H. argentinensis [#] as H. heliothidis [£] H. baujardi	as H. argentinensis [#] as H. heliothidis [£] H. baujardi	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis [£] H. baujardi as H. somsookae [#]	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae [*] H. beicherriana	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis [£] H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis [£] H. baujardi as H. somsookae [#] H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egyptii ⁺	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egyptii ⁺ H. floridensis	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae [#] H. beicherriana H. downesi H. downesi H. floridensis H. georgiana	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis [£] H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egyptii ⁺ H. floridensis H. peorgiana H. hambletoni ⁺	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. agyptii ⁺ H. floridensis H. hambletoni ⁺ H. hoptha [®]	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. downesi H. floridensis H. hoptha ^s H. hoptha ^s H. indica	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egyptii ⁺ H. floridensis H. peorgiana H. hoptha [®] H. indica	as H. argentinensis [#] as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookæe [#] H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egyptii ⁺ H. floridensis H. hambletoni ⁺ H. hoptha ^s H. indica	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae [#] H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egypti [†] H. floridensis H. hoptha ⁸ H. indica as H. brevicaudis [#]	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. downesi H. floridensis H. hoptha ^S H. indica as H. brevicaudis [#] as H. brevicaudis [#]	as H. argentinensis" as H. heliothidis ^E H. baujardi as H. somsookae" H. beicherriana H. downesi H. egyptii ⁺ H. floridensis H. peorgiana H. hoptha ⁶ H. indica as H. brevicaudis [#] as H. gerrardi [*] as H. derrardi [*]

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

H. marelatus	588-700	24-32	81-113	83-113	121-139	99-117	21-29	4.7-5.4	5.5-6.6	3.0*	60-86	89-110	NSA	Liu and Berry (1996)
	567-780	16-24	88-94	71-88	110-119	50-71	31-35	5.1-6.5	10.8-11.5	I	73-88	130-142	NSA	Stock (1997)
as H. hepialius#	540-600	34-39	84-112	80-101	106-130	49-60	2-2	4-5	9-12	I	79-98	100-200	NSA	Stock et al. (1996)
H. megidis	736-800	27-32	123-142	104-115	147-160	112-128	23-38	4.6-5.9	6.1-6.9	6.3*	81–91	103-120	NSA	(Poinar et al., 1987)
H. mexicana	530-620	20-24	83-109	74-88	104-142	91-106	24-28	4.2-5.1	5.5-6.3	8.3*	72-86	87-111	Mexico	Nguyen et al. (2004)
H. noenieputensis	484-578	21-25	88-105	69-96	79-115	78-95	21-27	4.3-5.2	5.5-6.8	3.4-4.3	81-95	99-125	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2014)
H. poinari ^s	350-410	18-22	I	I	I	15-22	I	I	I	I	I	I	NSA	Kakulia and Mikaia (1997)
<i>H. ruandica</i> Rw14_NC4a	496-591	18-27	70-89	52-64	103-131	49-64	20-29	4.1-5.4	7.6-8.6	3.4-5.8	66-98	112-168	Rwanda	This study
H. safricana	550-676	19-23	103-122	86-101	125-141	86-108	25-32	3.9-4.9	5.4-7.5	8.7*	80-90	99–133	S. Africa	Malan et al. (2008)
H. taysearae	332-499	17-23	74-113	58-87	96-130	44-70	18-27	3.4-4.2	6.5-8.7	3.7*	71-96	110-230	Egypt	Shamseldean et al. (1996)
as H. sonorensis#	495-570	19-32	97-116	87-98	110-131	91-125	19-26	4.4-5.4	4.0-6.5	6.7*	78-110	81-111	Mexico	Stock et al. (2009)
H. zealandica	570-740	22-30	94-123	90-107	135-147	87-119	25	4.9	6.7	I	73-92	103-109	N. Zealand	Poinar (1990)
as H. heliothidis#	570-740	22-30	94-123	90-107	135-147	87-119	25	4.9	6.7	I	73-92	103-109	N. Zealand	Wouts (1979)
<i>H. zacatecana</i> MEX-39	493-578	23-27	72-99	69-72	96-124	52-63	19-24	4.4-5.9	8.2-10.5	4.3–6.7	68-120	128-184	Mexico	This study
Note: All measurement	s are in µm (6	except ratic	s and perce	entages). #S	ynonymized	species. H.	bacterioph	nora (Syn.:	H. argentiner	nsis); H. bau	ijardi (Syn.:	H. somsoo	kae); H. ind	ca (Syn.: H. brevicaudis,

all the diagnostic characters to differenciate them morphologically from all species of the genus. ^sSpecies inquirendae. H. hoptha (= Neoaplectana hoptha) and H. poinari are maintained as species H. gerrardi, H. hawaiiensis, and H. pakistanense); H. marelatus (Syn.: H. hepialius); H. taysearae (Syn.: H. sonorensis); and H. zealandica (Syn.: H. heliothidis apud Wouts, 1979 nec Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976). EH. heliothidis apud Khan et al., 1976 (=Chromonema heliothidis) is declared herein species inquirendae as the male morphology of H. bacteriophora and H. heliothidis differ. +Be-instated as valid species herein based on morphological evidence. H. egyptii and H. hambletoni (=Rhabditis hambletoni) are declared herein valid species as their original descriptions provide inquirendae because their original descriptions do not include important diagnostic characters to fully differentiate them from the other species of the genus. "Data calculated from the drawings provided in the original publication.*Data calculated from other measurements provided in the original publication. -Data not provided in the original publication.

Type species of the genus

Heterorhabditis bacteriophora Poinar, 1976

= *H. argentinensis* Stock, 1993. Synonymized by Hominick (2002) based on molecular evidence provided by Adams et al. (1998). Synonymization status is supported by molecular data of Phan et al. (2003) and Achinelly et al. (2017).

Other species of the genus

H. amazonensis Andaló, Nguyen & Moino, 2006

H. atacamensis Edgington, Buddie, Moore, France, Merino & Hunt, 2011

H. baujardi Phan, Subbotin, Nguyen & Moens, 2003
= H. somsookae Maneesakorn, An, Grewal & Chandrapatya, 2015. Synonymized by Hunt and Nguyen (2016) based on the minor ITS sequence divergencies between H. baujardi and H. somsookae. Synonymisation status is further supported by the molecular data analyses carried out by Dhakal et al. (2020).

H. beicherriana Li, Liu, Nermut, Půža & Mráček, 2012 *H. egyptii* Abd-Elgawad & Ameen, 2005. This species was declared *species inquirenda* by Nguyen and Hunt (2007) but considered valid by Sudhaus (2011). As this species was described showing all diagnostic characters of adults and larvae stages, and it is morphologically distinct from all the other valid species, this species is also considered valid herein. The lack of molecular data, however, impairs its inclusion in future phylogenetic studies. Nevertheless, new species description should contrast morphological characters with this species.

H. downesi Stock, Griffin & Burnell, 2002

H. floridensis Nguyen, Gozel, Köppenhöfer & Adams, 2006

- H. georgiana Nguyen, Shapiro-Ilan & Mbata, 2008
- H. hambletoni (Pereira, 1937) Poinar, 1976

= *Rhabditis hambletoni* Pereira, 1937. This species was described showing all diagnostic characters of adults and larvae stages. It was transferred to the genus *Heterorhabditis* by Poinar (1976). As this species was described showing all diagnostic characters of adults and larvae stages, and it is morphologically distinct from all the other valid species, this species is considered valid herein. The lack of molecular data, however, impairs its inclusion in future phylogenetic studies. Nevertheless, new species description should contrast morphological characters with this species.

H. indica Poinar, Karunakar & David, 1992

= *Heterorhabditis brevicaudis* Liu, 1994. Several important diagnostic characters are missing and no molecular data are provided in the description of

this species, although, it appears to be morphologically different from *H. downesi*, *H. baujardi*, and *H. mexicana* (Stock et al., 2002; Phan et al., 2003; Nguyen et al., 2004). Perhaps due to this reason, it was declared *species inquirenda* by Nguyen and Hunt (2007). A nematode population that shares several morphological characters with the original population used to describe the species was characterized more recently (Hsieh et al., 2009). ITS sequences are almost identical to the sequences of *H. indica*, justifying its synonymization (Hunt and Nguyen, 2016; Dhakal et al., 2020).

= *Heterorhabditis hawaiiensis* Gardner, Stock & Kaya, 1994. Not formally synonymized. However, synonymization status is supported by molecular data of Adams et al. (1998), Liu et al. (1999), and Phan et al. (2003), and multivariate analyses based on morphological characters of Stock and Kaya. (1996).

= *Heterorhabditis gerrardi* Plichta, Joyce, Clarke, Waterfield & Stock, 2009. Synonymized by Hunt and Nguyen (2016) based on the absence of ITS sequence divergencies. Synonymisation status is supported by further molecular data analyses carried out by Dhakal et al. (2020).

= *Heterorhabditis pakistanensis* Shahina, Tabassum, Salma, Mehreen & Knoetze, 2016. Synonymized by Hunt and Nguyen (2016) based on the minor ITS sequence divergencies between *Heterorhabditis pakistanensis* and *H. indica*. Synonymisation status is further supported by molecular data analyses carried out by Dhakal et al. (2020).

H. marelatus Liu and Berry, 1996

= *Heterorhabditis hepialius* Stock, Strong & Gardner, 1996. Synonymized by Stock (1997) based on morphological and morphometric anayses and cross-breeding tests. Synonymization status is further supported by molecular data of Adams et al. (1998) and Liu et al. (1999).

H. megidis Poinar, Jackson & Klein, 1987

H. mexicana Nguyen, Shapiro-Ilan, Stuart, McCoy, James & Adams, 2004

- H. ruandica n. sp.
- H. noenieputensis Malan, Knoetze & Tiedt, 2014
- H. safricana Malan, Nguyen, De Waal & Tiedt, 2008
- *H. taysearae* Shamseldean, Abou El-Sooud, Abd-Elgawad & Saleh, 1996

= *Heterorhabditis sonorensis* Stock, Rivera-Orduño & Flores-Lara, 2009. Synonymized by Hunt and Nguyen (2016) based on the minor ITS sequence divergencies between *H. taysearae* and *H. sonorensis*. Synonymisation status is further supported by molecular data analyses carried out by Dhakal et al. (2020). H. zacatecana n. sp.

H. zealandica Poinar, 1990

= *Heterorhabditis heliothidis apud* Wouts, 1979 *nec* Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976. This species was reclassified as *H. zealandica* by Poinar (1990) as it is morphologically different from *Heterorhabditis heliothidis apud* (Khan et al., 1976).

Species inquirendae

H. hoptha (Turco, 1970) Poinar, 1979.

= Neoaplectana hoptha Turco, 1970

This species was poorly described. The original description lacks differentiated description of all diagnostic characters of adult and larval stages. According to this, this species should remain on the list of *species inquirendae*.

H. poinari Kakuliya and Mikaia, 1997. This species was poorly described. The original description lacks differentiated description of all diagnostic characters of adult and larval stages. According to this, this species should remain on the list of *species inquirendae*.

H heliothidis (Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976) Poinar, Thomas & Hess, 1977.

= Chromonema heliothidis (Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976)

This species was synonimized by Akhurst 1987 based on differential electrophoretic patterns of nematode lysates. However, the original description of *H. bacteriophora* carried out by Poinar (1976) shows males with very anterior GP1, while in its synonymized species *H. heliothidis* (Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976) Poinar, Thomas & Hess, 1977 the GP1 appears more posterior (Khan et al., 1976; Poinar, 1976). Probably both species are not conspecific. We therefore declare *H. heliothidis* (Khan, Brooks & Hirschmann, 1976) Poinar, Thomas & Hess, 1977 species inquirenda.

Nomina nuda

H. downesi Hass et al. 2001 *nec H. downesi* Stock, Griffin & Burnell, 2002

H. minutus Prabhuraj, Viraktamath & Kumar, 2002.

Conclusions

The results of our study uncover the low levels of interspecific variation in some regions of the rRNA genes, especially in the D2–D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA, and also uncover the almost absent intraspecific variation of these sequences in the nematodes of the "*Bacteriophora-*group". Mitochondrial genes such as *COI* provide

better phylogenetic resolutive power, even at the population level, highlighting their great potential for the taxonomic characterization of closely related species of the genus Heterorhabditis. The threshold for species delimitation using COI sequences has been proposed to be around 94% (Pentinsaari et al., 2016). Using this threshold, we can clearly assign the Mexican and the Rwandan nematodes to new taxa within the "Bacteriophora group". However, the sequence similarity scores of the Mexican and the Rwandan nematodes is between 97.6% and 98.2%. These scores are higher than the proposed 94% threshold but are consistent across nematode isolates and significantly lower than the intraspecific variations, prompting the question of whether the Rwandan and the Mexican nematodes should be classified into two different species, or into the same. Based on the results of the self-crossing and cross-hybridization experiments, and on the evident morphological and morphometric differences between these two groups of nematodes, we conclude that they indeed represent two distinct biological species. Thus, the boundary that delimits species in the genus Heterorhabditis is around 97% to 98% sequence similarity in the COI genomic sequence, and the Rwandan and the Mexican nematodes represent two new species, Heterorhabditis ruandica n. sp and H. zacatecana n. sp.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figures and tables can be retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5614704

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Institute of Biology of the University of Neuchatel (Switzerland), the University of Jaén (Spain), and the Swiss National Science Foundation for their support. SEM pictures were obtained with the assistance of Amparo Martínez-Morales and Alba N. Ruiz-Cuenca and equipment of the "Centro de Instrumentación Científico-Técnica (CICT)" at the University of Jaén. The authors are grateful with Ralf–Udo Ehlers and Carlos Molina (e–nema GmbH, Schwentinental, Germany), David Clarke (University College Cork), Bruce Hibbard (USDA), and Yan Xun (Institute of Zoology, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, formerly Guangdong Entomological Institute) for providing nematodes. The authors thank the Rwanda Agriculture and Animal Resource Development Board for its support during nematode collection, which includes benefit sharing, material transfer agreements, and research permits.

Funding: The work of RARM and AM is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant Nr. 186094 to RARM). The work of JA is supported by the University of Jaén through the Research Support Plans "PAIUJA 2019/2020: EL_RNM02_2019" and "PAIUJA 2021/2022: EL_RNM02_2021". The work in Rwanda was financed by the AgriTT Research Challenge Funds of DFID of UK (Project 1301); by DGIS of the Netherlands within the Action on Invasives programme of CABI, and Plantwise Plus; and by the National Research and Innovation Fund (NRIF) of Rwanda with the support of the International Development Research Center (IDRC) under the National Council for Science and Technology of Rwanda (Sector Strategic Research Grant NCST-NRIF-IDRC/SSR-AGR/002/2021).

References

Abd-Elgawad, M. M. and Ameen, H. H. 2005. *Heterorhabditis egyptii* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research 2:855–70.

Abolafia, J. 2015. A low-cost technique to manufacture a container to process meiofauna for scanning electron microscopy. Microscopy Research and Technique 78:771–6.

Achinelly, M. F., Eliceche, D. P., Belaich, M. N. and Ghiringhelli, P. D. 2017. Variability study of entomopathogenic nematode populations (Heterorhabditidae) from Argentina. Brazilian Journal of Biology 77:569–79.

Adams, B. J., Burnell, A. M. and Powers, T. O. 1998. A phylogenetic analysis of *Heterorhabditis* (Nemata: Rhabditidae) based on internal transcribed spacer 1 DNA sequence data. Journal of Nematology 30:22.

Afgan, E., Baker, D., Batut, B., van den Beek, M., Bouvier, D., Čech, M., Chilton, J., Clements, D., Coraor, N. and Grüning, B. A. 2018. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Research 46:W537–W544.

Agüera de Doucet, M. M. and Doucet, M. E. 1986. Nuevos datos para el conocimiento de *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar, 1975. Revista de Investigaciones Agropecuarias INTA 21.

Akhurst, R. J. 1987. Use of starch gel electrophoresis in the taxonomy of the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae). Nematologica 33:1–9.

Andaló, V., Moino, A. and Nguyen, K. 2006. *Heterorhabditis amazonensis* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Amazonas, Brazil. Nematology 8:853–67.

Auch, A. F., Jan, M., von, Klenk, H.- P. and Göker, M. 2010a. Digital DNA-DNA hybridization for microbial species delineation by means of genome-to-genome sequence comparison. Standards in Genomic Sciences 2:117–34.

Auch, A. F., Klenk, H.- P. and Göker, M. 2010b. Standard operating procedure for calculating genometo-genome distances based on high-scoring segment pairs. Standards in Genomic Sciences 2:142–8.

Bai, X., Adams, B. J., Ciche, T. A., Clifton, S., Gaugler, R., Kim, K., Spieth, J., Sternberg, P. W., Wilson, R. K. and Grewal, P. S. 2013. A lover and a fighter: the genome sequence of an entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. PloS ONE 8:e69618.

Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., Lesin, V. M., Nikolenko, S. I., Pham, S. and Prjibelski, A. D. et al. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. Journal of Computational Biology 19:455–77.

Bedding, R. A. and Akhurst, R. J. 1975. A simple technique for the detection of insect parasitic rhabditid nematodes in soil. Nematologica 109–10.

Bhat, A. H., Askary, T. H., Ahmad, M. J. and Chaubey, A. K. 2019a. Description of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) isolated from hilly areas of Kashmir Valley. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 29:1–7.

Bhat, A. H., Chaubey, A. K. and Půža, V. 2019b. The first report of *Xenorhabdus indica* from *Steinernema pakistanense*: co-phylogenetic study suggests co-speciation between *X. indica* and its steinernematid nematodes. Journal of Helminthology 93:81–90.

Bhat, A. H., Chaubey, A. K., Hartmann, J. and Půža, V. 2021a. Notes on the morphology, bionomics, distribution and efficacy of *Steinernema siamkayai* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) from Western Uttar Pradesh, India. Nematology 1:1–20.

Bhat, A. H., Chaubey, A. K., Shokoohi, E. and Machado, R. A. R. 2021b. Molecular and phenotypic characterization of *Heterorhabditis indica* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) nematodes isolated during a survey of agricultural soils in Western Uttar Pradesh, India. Acta Parasitologica 66:236–52.

Blackburn, M., Golubeva, E., Bowen, D. and Ffrench-Constant, R. H. 1998. A novel insecticidal toxin from *Photorhabdus luminescens*, toxin complex a (Tca), and its histopathological effects on the midgut of *Manduca sexta*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64:3036–41.

Blaxter, M. L., Ley, P., de, Garey, J. R., Liu, L. X., Scheldeman, P., Vierstraete, A., Vanfleteren, J. R., Mackey, L. Y., Dorris, M. and Frisse, L. M. 1998. A molecular evolutionary framework for the phylum Nematoda. Nature 392:71–5.

Blouin, M. S. 2002. Molecular prospecting for cryptic species of nematodes: mitochondrial DNA versus internal transcribed spacer. International Journal for Parasitology 32:527–31.

Bode, H. B. 2009. Entomopathogenic bacteria as a source of secondary metabolites. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 13:224–30.

Boemare, N. E., Akhurst, R. J. and Mourant, R. G. 1993. DNA relatedness between *Xenorhabdus* spp. (Enterobacteriaceae), symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes, and a proposal to transfer *Xenorhabdus luminescens* to a new genus, *Photorhabdus* gen. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 43:249–55.

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. and Usadel, B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 30:2114–20.

Bruno, P., Machado, R. A. R., Glauser, G., Köhler, A., Campos-Herrera, R., Bernal, J., Toepfer, S., Erb, M., Robert, C. A. M. and Arce, C. C. M. 2020. Entomopathogenic nematodes from Mexico that can overcome the resistance mechanisms of the western corn rootworm. Scientific Reports 10:1–12.

Campos-Herrera, R., Johnson, E. G., El-Borai, F. E., Stuart, R. J., Graham, J. H. and Duncan, L. W. 2011. Longterm stability of entomopathogenic nematode spatial patterns in soil as measured by sentinel insects and real-time PCR assays. Annals of Applied Biology 158:55–68.

Carrera, A. C. 2015. Control of the western corn rootworm in maize with Heterorhabditis bacteriophora: Influence of field persistence and infectivity, Master thesis, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium.

Chaubey, A. K., Istkhar, Aashana, Bhat, A. H. and Aasha. 2016. Multigene sequence analyses of an isolate of *Heterorhabditis indica* with a profile on their high virulence and density dependent biotic potential on an insect host. International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies 3:77–87.

Chevenet, F., Brun, C., Bañuls, A.- L., Jacq, B. and Christen, R. 2006. TreeDyn: towards dynamic graphics and annotations for analyses of trees. BMC bioinformatics 7:439.

Ciche, T. A., Kim, K., Kaufmann-Daszczuk, B., Nguyen, K. C. Q. and Hall, D. H. 2008. Cell invasion and matricide during *Photorhabdus luminescens* transmission by *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* nematodes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74:2275–87.

Clarke, D. J. 2020. *Photorhabdus*: a tale of contrasting interactions. Microbiology:micro000907.

Courtney, W. D., Polley, D. and Miller, V. L. 1955. TAF, an improved fixative in nematode technique. Plant Disease Reporter 39:570–1.

Dhakal, M., Nguyen, K. B., Hunt, D. J., Ehlers, R.- U., Spiridonov, S. E. and Subbotin, S. A. 2020. Molecular identification, phylogeny and phylogeography of the entomopathogenic nematodes of the genus *Heterorhabditis* Poinar, 1976: a multigene approach. Nematology 1:1–17.

Dillman, A. R., Chaston, J. M., Adams, B. J., Ciche, T. A., Goodrich-Blair, H., Stock, S. P. and Sternberg, P. W. 2012. An entomopathogenic nematode by any other name. PLoS Pathogens 8:e1002527. Dix, I., Burnell, A. M., Griffin, C. T., Joyce, S. A., Nugent, M. J. and Downes, M. J. 1992. The identification of biological species in the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) by cross-breeding second-generation amphimictic adults. Parasitology 104:509–18.

Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32:1792–7.

Edgington, S., Buddie, A. G., Moore, D., France, A., Merino, L. and Hunt, D. J. 2011. *Heterorhabditis atacamensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from the Atacama Desert, Chile. Journal of Helminthology 85:381.

Fallet, P., Machado, R. A., Toepfer, S., Ye, W., Kajuga, J., Waweru, B., Bazagwira, D. and Turlings, T. 2020. A Rwandan survey of entomopathogenic nematodes that can potentially be used to control the fall armyworm. IOBC-WPRS Bulletin 150:87–90.

Gardner, S. L., Stock, S. P. and Kaya, H. K. 1994. A new species of *Heterorhabditis* from the Hawaiian islands. The Journal of Parasitology 80:100–6.

Haag, E. S., Fitch, D. H. A. and Delattre, M. 2018. From "the worm" to "the worms" and back again: the evolutionary developmental biology of nematodes. Genetics 210:397–433.

Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H. and Yano, T. 1985. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution 22:160–74.

Hass, B., Downes, M. and Griffin, C. 2001. Correlation between survival in water and persistence of infectivity in soil of Heterorhabditis spp. isolates. Nematology 3:573–9.

Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L. and Dewaard, J. R. 2003. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 270:313–21.

Hill, V., Kuhnert, P., Erb, M. and Machado, R. A. R. 2020. Identification of *Photorhabdus* symbionts by MALDI-TOF MS. Microbiology mic000905, doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000905.

Hominick, W. M. 2002. Biogeography. In Gaugler, R. (Ed.), Entomopathogenic nematology, CABI, 1, pp. 115–43.

Hsieh, F.- C., Tzeng, C.- Y., Tseng, J.- T., Tsai, Y.- S., Meng, M. and Kao, S.- S. 2009. Isolation and characterization of the native entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis brevicaudis*, and its symbiotic bacteria from Taiwan. Current Microbiology 58:564–70.

Hunt, D. J. and Nguyen, K. B. 2016. Taxonomy and systematics. In Hunt, D. J. and Nguyen, K. B. (Eds), Advances in Entomopathogenic Nematode Taxonomy and Phylogeny. Nematology Monographs and Perspectives 12. (Series Editors: Hunt, D.J. & Perry, R.N.), Brill, Leiden, doi: 10.1163/9789004285347_003.

Joyce, S. A. and Clarke, D. J. 2003. A hexA homologue from *Photorhabdus* regulates pathogenicity,

symbiosis and phenotypic variation. Molecular microbiology 47:1445–57.

Joyce, S. A., Burnell, A. M. and Powers, T. O. 1994a. Characterization of *Heterorhabditis* isolates by PCR amplification of segments of mtDNA and rDNA genes. Journal of nematology 26:260.

Joyce, S. A., Reid, A., Driver, F. and Curran, J. 1994b. Application of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods to identification of entomopathogenic nematodes. In Burnell, A. M., Ehlers, R.- U. and Masson, J. P. (Eds), COST 812 Biotechnology: Genetics of Entomopathogenic Nematode-bacterium Complexes. Proceedings of Symposium & workshop, St. Patrick's College, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland, Luxembourg, European Commission, DG XII, pp. 178–87.

Kajol, A. H., Bhat, A. and Chaubey, A. K. 2020. Biochemical and molecular characterization of *Photorhabdus akhurstii* associated with *Heterorhabditis indica* from Meerut, India. Pakistan. Journal of Nematology 38:15–24.

Kajuga, J., Hategekimana, A., Yan, X., Waweru, B. W., Li, H., Li, K., Yin, J., Cao, L., Karanja, D. and Umulisa, C. 2018. Management of white grubs (Coleoptera: Scarabeidae) with entomopathogenic nematodes in Rwanda. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 28:1–13.

Kakulia, G. and Mikaia, N. 1997. New species of the nematode *Heterorhabditis poinari* sp. nov. (Rhabditida, Heterorhabditidae). Bulletin of the Georgian Academy of Sciences 155:457–9.

Kaya, H. K. and Gaugler, R. 1993. Entomopathogenic Nematodes. Annual Review of Entomology 38:181–206.

Khan, A., Brooks, W. M. and Hirschmann, H. 1976. *Chromonema heliothidis* n. gen., n. sp. (Steinernematidae, Nematoda), a parasite of *Heliothis zea* (Noctuidae, Lepidoptera), and other insects. Journal of Nematology 8:159–68.

Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 16:111–20.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G. and Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33:1870–4.

Kuwata, R., Yoshiga, T., Yoshida, M. and Kondo, E. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships of Japanese *Heterorhabditis* nematodes and their symbiotic *Photorhabdus* bacteria. Japanese Journal of Nematology 37:39–50.

Lacey, L. A. and Georgis, R. 2012. Entomopathogenic nematodes for control of insect pests above and below ground with comments on commercial production. Journal of nematology 44:218–25.

Letunic, I. and Bork, P. 2016. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. Nucleic Acids Research 44:W242–245.

Li, X.- Y., Qi-Zhi, L., Nermut, J., Půža, V. and Mráček, Z. 2012. *Heterorhabditis beicherriana* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from the Shunyi district of Beijing, China. Zootaxa 3569:25–40.

Liu, J. 1994. A new species of the genus *Heterorhabditis* from China (Rhabditidae: Heterorhabditidae). Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica 19:268–72.

Liu, J. and Berry, R. E. 1996. *Heterorhabditis marelatus* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Oregon. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 67:48–54.

Liu, J., Berry, R. E. and Blouin, M. S. 1999. Molecular differentiation and phylogeny of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) based on ND4 gene sequences of mitochondrial DNA. The Journal of Parasitology 85:709–15.

Machado, R. A. R., Wüthrich, D., Kuhnert, P., Arce, C. C. M., Thönen, L., Ruiz, C., Zhang, X., Robert, C. A. M., Karimi, J. and Kamali, S. et al. 2018. Whole-genomebased revisit of *Photorhabdus* phylogeny: proposal for the elevation of most *Photorhabdus* subspecies to the species level and description of one novel species *Photorhabdus bodei* sp. nov., and one novel subspecies *Photorhabdus laumondii* subsp. *clarkei* subsp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 68:2664–81.

Machado, R. A. R., Bruno, P., Arce, C. C. M., Liechti, N., Köhler, A., Bernal, J., Bruggmann, R. and Turlings, T. C. J. 2019. *Photorhabdus khanii* subsp. *guanajuatensis* subsp. nov., isolated from *Heterorhabditis atacamensis*, and *Photorhabdus luminescens* subsp. *mexicana* subsp. nov., isolated from *Heterorhabditis mexicana* entomopathogenic nematodes. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 69:652–61.

Machado, R. A. R., Thönen, L., Arce, C. C. M., Theepan, V., Prada, F., Wüthrich, D., Robert, C. A. M., Vogiatzaki, E., Shi, Y.- M. and Schaeren, O. P. et al. 2020. Engineering bacterial symbionts of nematodes improves their biocontrol potential to counter the western corn rootworm. Nature Biotechnology 38:600–8.

Machado, R. A. R., Muller, A., Ghazal, S. M., Thanwisai, A., Pagès, S., Bode, H. B., Hussein, M. A., Khalil, K. M. and Tisa, L. S. 2021a. *Photorhabdus heterorhabditis* subsp. *aluminescens* subsp. nov., *Photorhabdus heterorhabditis* subsp. *heterorhabditis* subsp. nov., *Photorhabdus australis* subsp. *thailandensis* subsp. nov., *Photorhabdus australis* subsp. *australis* subsp. nov., *Anotorhabdus aegyptia* sp. nov. isolated from *Heterorhabditis* entomopathogenic nematodes. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 71:4610.

Machado, R. A. R., Somvanshi, V. S., Muller, A., Kushwah, J. and Bhat, C. G. 2021b. *Photorhabdus hindustanensis* sp. nov., *Photorhabdus akhurstii* subsp. *akhurstii* subsp. nov., and *Photorhabdus akhurstii* subsp. *bharatensis* subsp. nov., isolated from *Heterorhabditis* entomopathogenic nematodes. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 71:4998. Malan, A. P., Knoetze, R. and Tiedt, L. 2014. *Heterorhabditis noenieputensis* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from South Africa. Journal of Helminthology 88:139–51.

Malan, A. P., Nguyen, K. B., Waal, J. Y. de and Tiedt, L. 2008. *Heterorhabditis safricana* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae), a new entomopathogenic nematode from South Africa. Nematology 10:381–96.

Maneesakorn, P., An, R., Daneshvar, H., Taylor, K., Bai, X., Adams, B. J., Grewal, P. S. and Chandrapatya, A. 2011. Phylogenetic and cophylogenetic relationships of entomopathogenic nematodes (Heterorhabditis: Rhabditida) and their symbiotic bacteria (Photorhabdus: Enterobacteriaceae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 59:271–80.

Maneesakorn, P., An, R. S., Grewal, P. S. and Chandrapatya, A. 2015. *Heterorhabditis somsookae* sp. nov. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae): a new entomopathogenic nematode from Thailand. International Journal of Nematology 25:1–11.

Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Auch, A. F., Klenk, H.-P. and Göker, M. 2013. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC Bioinformatics 14:60.

Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Hahnke, R. L., Petersen, J., Scheuner, C., Michael, V., Fiebig, A., Rohde, C., Rohde, M., Fartmann, B. and Goodwin, L. A. et al. 2014. Complete genome sequence of DSM 30083(T), the type strain (U5/41(T)) of *Escherichia coli*, and a proposal for delineating subspecies in microbial taxonomy. Standards in Genomic Sciences 9:2.

Mukuka, J., Strauch, O., Waeyenberge, L., Viaene, N., Moens, M. and Ehlers, R.- U. 2010. Heat tolerance among different strains of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. BioControl 55:423–34.

Nei, M. and Kumar, S. 2000. Molecular evolution and phylogenetics, Oxford University Press.

Nguyen, K. and Hunt, D. 2007. Entomopathogenic nematodes: systematics, phylogeny and bacterial symbionts. In: Nematology Monographs and Perspectives, 5. Brill. Leiden, The Netherlands.

Nguyen, K., Sharpiro-Ilan, D., Stuart, R., McCoy, C., James, R. and Adams, B. 2004. *Heterorhabditis mexicana* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Tamaulipas, Mexico, and morphological studies of the bursa of *Heterorhabditis* spp. Nematology 6:231–44.

Nguyen, K. B., Gozel, U., Köppenhöfer, H. S. and Adams, B. J. 2006. *Heterorhabditis floridensis* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Florida. Zootaxa 1177:1–19.

Nguyen, K. B., Shapiro-Ilan, D. I. and Mbata, G. N. 2008. *Heterorhabditis georgiana* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Georgia, USA. Nematology 10:433–48.

Paddock, K. J., Am Robert, C., Erb, M. and Hibbard, B. E. 2021. Western corn rootworm, plant and microbe interactions: A review and prospects for new management tools. Insects 12:171. Page, A. J., Cummins, C. A., Hunt, M., Wong, V. K., Reuter, S., Holden, M. T. G., Fookes, M., Falush, D., Keane, J. A. and Parkhill, J. 2015. Roary: rapid largescale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 31:3691–3.

Pentinsaari, M., Salmela, H., Mutanen, M. and Roslin, T. 2016. Molecular evolution of a widelyadopted taxonomic marker (COI) across the animal tree of life. Scientific Reports 6:1–12.

Pereira, C. 1937. *Rhabditis hambletoni* n. sp. nema apparentemente semiparasito da "broca do algodoeiro" (*Gasterocercodes brasiliensis*). Archives Italiennes de Biologie 8:215–30.

Petit, R. A. and Read, T. D. 2020. Bactopia: a flexible pipeline for complete analysis of bacterial genomes. Msystems 5.

Phan, K. L., Subbotin, S. A., Nguyen, N. C. and Moens, M. 2003. *Heterorhabditis baujardi* sp. n. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from Vietnam and morphometric data for *H. indica* populations. Nematology 5:367–82.

Plichta, K. L., Joyce, S. A., Clarke, D., Waterfield, N. and Stock, S. P. 2009. *Heterorhabditis gerrardi* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae): the hidden host of *Photorhabdus asymbiotica* (Enterobacteriaceae: γ-Proteobacteria). Journal of Helminthology 83:309–20.

Poinar, G. O. 1971. Use of nematodes for microbial control of insects. In Burges, H. D. and Hussey, H. X. V. (Eds), Microbial Control of Insects and Mites, Academic Press, New York.

Poinar, G. O. 1976. Description and biology of a new insect parasitic Rhabditoid, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* n. gen., n. sp. (Rhabditida; *Heterorhabditidae* n. fam.). Nematologica 21:463–70.

Poinar, G. O. 1979. Nematodes for biological control of insects. Boca Ratonl, FL: CRC press.

Poinar, G. O. 1990. Taxonomy and biology of Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae. In Gaugler, R. and Kaya, H. K. (Eds), Entomopathogenic Nematodes in Biological Control, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

Poinar, G. O., Thomas, G. M. and Hess, R. 1977. Characteristics of the specific bacterium associated with *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (Heterorhabditidae: Rhabditida). Nematologica 23:97–102.

Poinar, G. O., Jackson, T. and Klein, M. 1987. *Heterorhabditis megidis* sp. n. (Heterorhabditidae: Rhabditida), parasitic in the Japanese beetle, *Popillia japonica* (Scarabaeidae: Coleoptera). Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 54:53–9.

Poinar, G. O., Karunakar, G. K. and David, H. 1992. *Heterorhabditis indicus* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Nematoda) from India: separation of *Heterorhabditis* spp. by infective juveniles. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 15:467–72.

Poinar, G. O. and Veremchuk, G. V. 1970. A new strain of entomopathogenic nematode and geographical distribution of *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* Weiser (Rhabditida, Steinernematidae). Zool Zhurna 966–9.

Prabhuraj, A., Viraktamath, C. A. and Kumar, A. R. V. 2002. Pathogenicity of two entomopathogenic nematodes against Holotrichia serrata (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) and Spodoptera litura (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Biological control of lepidopteran pests. Proceedings of the Symposium of Biological Control of Lepidopteran Pests, Society for Biocontrol Advancement, Bangalore, July 17-18, pp. 205–9.

Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. and Arkin, A. P. 2009. FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. Molecular biology and evolution 26:1641–50.

Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. and Arkin, A. P. 2010. FastTree 2 – approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PloS ONE 5:e9490.

Rana, A., Bhat, A. H., Chaubey, A. K., Shokoohi, E. and Machado, R. A. R. 2020. Morphological and molecular characterization of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* isolated from Indian soils and their biocontrol potential. Zootaxa 4878:77–102.

Regeai, S. O., Dolan, K. M., Fitzpatrick, D. A., Browne, J. A., Jones, J. T. and Burnell, A. M. 2009. Novel primers for the amplification of nuclear DNA introns in the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and their cross-amplification in seven other *Heterorhabditis* species. Molecular Ecology Resources 9:421–4.

Sagun, J. H., Davies, K. A., Fontanilla, I. K., Chan, M. A. and Laurente, D. A. 2015. Characterization of an isolate of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) from the Northern Territory, Australia, using morphology and molecular data. Zootaxa 4040:17–30.

Seinhorst, J. W. 1959. A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous glycerin. Nematologica 4:67–9.

Seinhorst, J. W. 1962. On the killing, fixation and transferring to glycerin of nematodes. Nematologica 8:29–32.

Shahina, F., Tabassum, K. A., Salma, J., Mehreen, G. and Knoetze, R. 2017. *Heterorhabditis pakistanense* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) a new entomopathogenic nematode from Pakistan. Journal of Helminthology 91:222–35.

Shamseldean, M. M., Abou El-Sooud, A. B., Abd-Elgawad, M. M. and Saleh, M. M. 1996. Identification of a new *Heterorhabditis* species from Egypt, *Heterorhabditis taysearae* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae). Egyptian Journal of Biological Control 6:129–38.

Shankhu, P. Y., Mathur, C., Mandal, A., Sagar, D., Somvanshi, V. S. and Dutta, T. K. 2020. Txp40, a protein from *Photorhabdus akhurstii*, conferred potent insecticidal activity against the larvae of *Helicoverpa armigera*, *Spodoptera litura* and *S. exigua*. Pest Management Science 76:2004–14.

Somvanshi, V. S., Sloup, R. E., Crawford, J. M., Martin, A. R., Heidt, A. J., Kim, K., Clardy, J. and Ciche, T. A. 2012. A single promoter inversion switches *Photorhabdus* between pathogenic and mutualistic states. Science 337:88–93.

Spiridonov, S. E. and Subbotin, S. A. 2016. Phylogeny and phylogeography of *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema*. Brill, pp. 413–427.

Stock, S. P. 1993. A new species of the genus *Heterorhabditis* Poinar, 1976 (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) parasitizing *Graphognathus* sp. larvae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) from Argentina. Research and Reviews in Parasitology 53:103–7.

Stock, S. P. 1997. *Heterorhabditis hepialius* Stock, Strong & Gardner, 1996 a junior synonym of *H. marelatus* Liu & Berry, 1996 (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) with a redescription of the species. Nematologica 43:455–63.

Stock, S. P., Griffin, C. T. and Burnell, A. M. 2002. Morphological characterisation of three isolates of *Heterorhabditis* Poinar, 1976 from the 'Irish group' (Nematoda: Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) and additional evidence supporting their recognition as a distinct species, *H. downesi* n. sp. Systematic Parasitology 51:95–106.

Stock, S. P. and Kaya, H. K. 1996. A multivariate analysis of morphometric characters of *Heterorhabditis* species (Nemata: Heterorhabditidae) and the role of morphometrics in the taxonomy of species of the genus. The Journal of Parasitology 806–13.

Stock, S. P., Rivera-Orduño, B. and Flores-Lara, Y. 2009. *Heterorhabditis sonorensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae), a natural pathogen of the seasonal cicada *Diceroprocta ornea* (Walker) (Homoptera: Cicadidae) in the Sonoran desert. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 100:175–84.

Stock, S. P., Strong, D. and Gardner, S. L. 1996. Identification of *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda, Heterorhabditidae) from California with a new species isolated from the larvae of the ghost moth *Hepialis californicus* (Lepidoptera, Hepialidae) from the bodega bay natural reserve. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 19:585–92.

Subbotin, S. A., Sturhan, D., Chizhov, V. N., Vovlas, N. and Baldwin, J. G. 2006. Phylogenetic analysis of Tylenchida Thorne, 1949 as inferred from D2 and D3 expansion fragments of the 28S rRNA gene sequences. Nematology 8:455–74.

Sudhaus, W. 2011. Phylogenetic systematisation and catalogue of paraphyletic" Rhabditidae" (Secernentea, Nematoda). Journal of Nematode Morphology and Systematics 14:113–78.

Tobias, N. J., Mishra, B., Gupta, D. K., Sharma, R., Thines, M., Stinear, T. P. and Bode, H. B. 2016. Genome comparisons provide insights into the role of secondary metabolites in the pathogenic phase of the *Photorhabdus* life cycle. BMC Genomics 17:537.

Tobias, N. J., Shi, Y.- M. and Bode, H. B. 2018. Refining the natural product repertoire in entomopathogenic bacteria. Trends in microbiology 26:833–40.

Toepfer, S. and Zellner, M. 2017. Entomopathogenic nematodes can replace soil insecticides in western corn rootworm control. Proceedings of the IOBC/WPRS Working Group "Microbial and Nematode Control of Invertebrate Pests", Tbilisi, Georgia, June 11-15, 129:144–156.

Tóth, T. and Lakatos, T. 2008. *Photorhabdus temperata* subsp. *cinerea* subsp. nov., isolated from *Heterorhabditis* nematodes. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 58:2579–81, doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.2008/000273-0.

Turco, C. P. 1970. *Neoaplectana hoptha* sp. n. (Neoaplectanidae: Nematoda), a parasite of the Japanese beetle, *Popillia japonica* Newm. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 37:119–21.

Lalramchuani, M., Lalramnghaki, H. C., Vanlalsangi, R. and Lalhmingliani, E., Vanramliana and Lalramliana. 2020. Characterization and screening of antifungal activity of bacteria associated with entomopathogenic nematodes from Mizoram, North-Eastern India. Journal of Environmental Biology 41:942–50.

Vlisidou, I., Hapeshi, A., Healey, J. R. J., Smart, K., Yang, G. and Waterfield, N. R. 2019. The *Photorhabdus asymbiotica* virulence cassettes deliver protein effectors directly into target eukaryotic cells. Elife 8:e46259.

Walker, B. J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C. A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J. and Young, S. K. et al. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PloS one 9:e112963.

White, G. F. 1927. A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. Science 66.

Wouts, W. M. 1979. The biology and life cycle of a New Zealand population of *Heterorhabditis heliothidis* (Heterorhabditidae). Nematologica 25:191–202.

Yan, X., Waweru, B., Qiu, X., Hategekimana, A., Kajuga, J., Li, H., Edgington, S., Umulisa, C., Han, R. and Toepfer, S. 2016. New entomopathogenic nematodes from semi-natural and small-holder farming habitats of Rwanda. Biocontrol Science and Technology 26:820–34.

Zhang, X., van Doan, C., Arce, C. C. M., Hu, L., Gruenig, S., Parisod, C., Hibbard, B. E., Hervé, M. R., Nielson, C., Robert, C. A. M., Machado, R. A. R. and Erb, M. 2019. Plant defense resistance in natural enemies of a specialist insect herbivore. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116:23174–81.