Articles

Psychological outcomes in patients with rare cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Chen Ee Low,^a Sean Loke,^a Ga Eun Pang,^a Ben Sim,^a and Valerie Shiwen Yang^{b,c,d,*}

^aYong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, 10 Medical Dr, Singapore, 117597, Singapore ^bDivision of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Centre Singapore, 11 Hospital Crescent, Singapore, 169610, Singapore ^cTranslational Precision Oncology Lab, Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology (IMCB), A*STAR, 61 Biopolis Dr, Proteos, Singapore, 138673, Singapore

^dOncology Academic Clinical Program, Duke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Road, Singapore, 169857, Singapore

Summary

Background Rare cancers are those that exhibit an incidence of less than six per 100,000 in a year. On average, the fiveyear relative survival for patients with rare cancers is worse than those with common cancers. The traumatic experience of cancer can be further intensified in patients with rare cancers due to the limited clinical evidence and the lack of empirical evidence for informed decision-making. With rare cancers cumulatively accounting for up to 25% of all cancers, coupled with the rising burden of rare cancers on societies globally, it is necessary to determine the psychological outcomes of patients with rare cancers.

Methods This PRISMA-adherent systematic review (PROSPERO: CRD42023475748) involved a systematic search of PubMed, Embase, Cochrane and PsycINFO for all peer-reviewed English language studies published since 2000 to 30th January 2024 that evaluated the prevalence, incidence and risk of depression, anxiety, suicide, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in patients with rare cancers. Two independent reviewers appraised and extracted the summary data from published studies. Random effects meta-analyses and meta-regression were used for primary analysis.

Findings We included 32 studies with 57,470 patients with rare cancers. Meta-analyses indicated a statistically significant increased risk-ratio (RR) of depression (RR = 2.61, 95% CI: 1.43–4.77, I2 = 97%) and anxiety (RR = 2.66, 95% CI: 1.27–5.55, I2 = 92%) in patients with rare cancers compared to healthy controls. We identified a high suicide incidence (315 per 100,000 person-years, 95% CI: 162–609, I2 = 95%), prevalence of depression (17%, 95% CI: 14–22, I2 = 88%), anxiety (20%, 95% CI: 15–25, I2 = 96%) and PTSD (18%, 95% CI: 9–32, I2 = 25%). When compared to patients with common cancer types, suicide incidence, and PTSD prevalence were significantly higher in patients with rare cancers. Systematic review found that having advanced disease, chemotherapy treatment, lower income, and social status were risk factors for negative psychological outcomes.

Interpretation We highlight the need for early identification of psychological maladjustment in patients with rare cancers. Additionally, studies to identify effective interventions are imperative.

Funding This study was supported by the National Medical Research Council Transition Award, SingHealth Duke-NUS Oncology Academic Clinical Programme, the Khoo Pilot Collaborative Award, the National Medical Research Council Clinician Scientist-Individual Research Grant-New Investigator Grant, the Terry Fox Grant and the Khoo Bridge Funding Award.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Keywords: Rare; Neoplasms; Sarcoma; Mental health; Psychology

Introduction

According to the Surveillance of Rare Cancers in Europe (RARECARE) definition, rare cancers exhibit an incidence of less than six per 100,000 in a year.¹ Despite the

individual rarity of each entity, as a whole these cancers affect about one in four cancer patients.² On average, the five-year relative survival for rare cancers is worse than that for common cancers.¹ It is widely recognised that





eClinicalMedicine 2024;72: 102631

Published Online xxx https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.eclinm.2024. 102631

^{*}Corresponding author. Translational Precision Oncology Laboratory, Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, A*STAR, Singapore 61 Biopolis Drive, Proteos, Singapore, 138673, Singapore.

E-mail address: yangswv@imcb.a-star.edu.sg (V.S. Yang).

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We search PubMed, Embase, PsycINFO, and Cochrane from January 01, 2000 to January 31, 2024, for studies published in English, using the terms 'rare cancers', 'depression', 'anxiety', 'suicide' and 'PTSD'. Our search yielded 4281 results. Currently, there is strong evidence that patients diagnosed with common cancers such as breast and colorectal cancers face significantly increased risks of developing various psychological issues. Moreover, several cohort studies have described an increased risk of developing psychological issues amongst patients with rare cancers. However, there are no systematic reviews or meta-analyses that have evaluated these studies. There was also a lack of studies that directly compared patients with rare cancers to those with common cancers.

Added value of this study

This systematic review and meta-analysis included 57,470 patients with rare cancers across 32 studies. Our analyses showed that patients with rare cancers face significantly

rare cancers, such as sarcoma, predominantly affect younger individuals, constituting approximately 21% of all paediatric solid malignant cancers and less than 1% of adult solid malignant cancers.³ This has a notable impact on the years of life lost, especially among young adults and adolescents.³ With the increasing incidence of cancer in the young who typically have a substantial portion of their expected lifespans ahead, there is a growing social and economic burden on societies.⁴ Collectively, rare cancers thus pose a significant challenge in societies globally.⁴

Coping with cancer presents an intricate and challenging journey for patients, their families, and the healthcare organisations tasked with delivering treatment and support.⁵ The distressing moment of receiving a cancer diagnosis and confronting subsequent treatment can instil concerns about disease recurrence or progression.⁶ The prevalence of psychological distresses, such as depression and anxiety, peaks significantly at the time of diagnosis amongst patients, and these effects endure throughout the continuum of treatment and into survivorship.⁷ Even post-treatment, a substantial portion of patients commonly experience symptoms that detrimentally affect various aspects of their quality-of-life, including sleep, physical functioning, and work capacity.⁸

The traumatic experience of cancer can be further intensified in patients with rare cancers. Identification of rare cancers often occurs at advanced stages, resulting in a poor prognosis.⁹ Clinicians, facing a scarcity of detailed knowledge and clinical expertise in rare cancers, contribute to significant delays in diagnosis.¹⁰ Moreover, managing rare cancers post-diagnosis presents challenges, requiring coordination among increased risk of developing anxiety and depression compared to healthy controls. We demonstrated a higher incidence of suicides and prevalence of PTSD amongst patients with rare cancers compared to patients with common cancers. Our study also identified specific risk factors for negative psychological outcomes such as having advanced disease, chemotherapy treatment, lower income, and social status in patients with rare cancers.

Implications of all the available evidence

Among healthcare providers, our findings call for heightened awareness of identifying those with psychological concerns. The significant prevalence of poor psychological well-being in patients with rare cancers highlights the critical need for early identification and intervention. The scarcity of individual rare cancer entities may render clinicians less attuned to the psychological challenges faced by such patients. Our study serves as a catalyst for increased vigilance and comprehensive care strategies, ensuring timely recognition and management of psychological issues within this niche patient population.

multiple specialists and lacking high quality clinical evidence for informed decision-making.⁹

With the rising demographic of patients with rare cancers of a younger age, the impact on their psychosocial well-being has been profound.² Factors that exacerbate this, as compared to more common cancers which involve an older demographic, include having a longer timeframe of repercussions from diagnosis, as well as treatment-related side effects.² The challenges that patients with rare cancers face also extend beyond their physical health. Many of these patients are also at their working age and potentially the breadwinner, resulting in financial instability due to impaired ability to work.¹¹

Despite these obstacles, research on the occurrence of depression, anxiety, and other psychological outcomes in patients with rare cancers is limited due to the rarity of these cancer entities. Addressing this gap is crucial for developing comprehensive support and interventions for individuals navigating the intricate landscape of rare cancer diagnosis and treatment. This study is designed to primarily investigate the prevalence, incidence and risk of depression, anxiety, suicide, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) within the population of patients with rare cancers. Supplementary objectives include identifying specific patient characteristics that may significantly elevate their susceptibility to encountering these psychological burdens.

Methods

This systematic review is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

Search strategy and selection criteria

A literature search was conducted on Embase, PubMed, PsycINFO, and Cochrane. The search strategy included search terms for 'rare cancers', 'depression', 'anxiety', 'suicide' and 'PTSD'. Synonyms with truncations were used in the search for the keywords and the databasecontrolled subject headings. We translated the search strategy between the different databases. The full search strategies for PubMed and EMBASE are available in Supplementary Table S1. Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts of all relevant studies. The studies deemed uncertain on initial screening were then independently evaluated by a third reviewer. We included peer-reviewed English language studies published since January 01, 2000-January 31, 2024 that investigated psychological outcomes in patients with rare cancers. We included all observational studies that aimed to assess at least one of the following as a key finding: The risk, prevalence, and incidence of depression, anxiety, suicide, and PTSD in patients with rare cancers. Prevalence and incidence were pooled for the single-arm studies and relative risk-ratio (RR) was pooled for the double-arm studies. Non-empirical studies, abstracts, grey literature, letters, case reports, and protocols were excluded. To ensure a comprehensive review, both manual-searching, and backward and forward reference searching were also conducted for the included studies.

Data analysis

Two independent reviewers extracted the data and assessed data quality. Subject matter information included demographics, types of cancer, the instruments and scales used to assess anxiety, depression, suicide, and PTSD, treatment modalities, the period of treatment and the main findings of the study. In studies with multiple timepoints, we utilised the time point with the highest number of events. The number of participants at risk and the number of events regarding their specific outcomes were extracted. In our review, patients with rare cancers are defined as any cancer diagnosis with an incidence of less than six per 100,000 in a year.¹ PTSD is defined as an occurrence of a chronic impairment after exposure to traumatic events, causing disturbance in functioning.12 Reported depression, anxiety and PTSD must be tested using a validated instrument for patient-reported outcome measures or a clinical diagnosis by a registered practitioner.

Statistical analyses

We conducted the analyses using R (version 4.1.0) with the *meta* and *metafor* packages. Unless specified, a twosided P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Metaprop was used in the meta-analysis of the prevalence under a generalised linear mixed model (GLMM) model. The mixed model was specified using a random and fixed effect model. For dichotomous outcomes, meta-analyses were performed to compute the relative risk of the psychological outcome (measured using RR compared to controls). Where available, the controls were either healthy or patients with common cancers which were analysed individually. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using identifying and excluding potential outliers, random-effects, and leave-one-out analysis. To determine if any key hierarchical and categorical variables influenced the results, subgroup analyses and metaregression were performed. The categories for the categorical variables were split based on an equal distribution to ensure methodological rigor and increase statistical power. Age was categorised based on the mean age of a cohort being either above or under 50 years old. Stage of treatment was categorised based on the timeframe at which patients were analysed, either before or after completing treatment. Cancer types were classified into sarcomas, carcinomas, and various. The chemotherapy treatments were divided based on whether less than or more than 50% of the group received chemotherapy, surgery treatments were divided based on whether less than or more than 75% of the group received surgery, and radiotherapy treatments were divided based on whether less than or more than 40% of the group received radiotherapy. As only a few single-arm studies reported data on incidence, the timepoint after the diagnosis of rare cancer was treated as prevalence data for analysis. Sensitivity analysis was then performed to ensure that prevalence data did not misrepresent the overall results.

Between-study heterogeneity was determined using I2 and $\tau 2$ statistics. I2 of >60% represented substantial heterogeneity between studies, 30%-60% demonstrated moderate heterogeneity, and <30% indicated low heterogeneity.13 We used Egger's test to assess for publication bias quantitatively. For qualitative bias, visual inspection for funnel plot asymmetry was used. When publication bias was suspected based on the funnel plot results, we conducted sensitivity analyses using the trim-and-fill method (fixed-random effects models and R0 estimator) to re-estimate the effect size after imputing potential studies.14,15 This was done by the removal of the asymmetric outliers from the funnel plot and the symmetric remainder of the funnel plot aids in approximating the final estimates of both the true mean and its variance.14 If publication bias was absent, we assume a normal distribution of effect sizes around the funnel plot's center.¹⁶

Risk of bias assessment

The methodological quality and risk of bias of the included studies were assessed using the Joanna Brigg's Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal tool.^{17,18} Two independent reviewers performed the appraisal, and any discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer.

Ethics statement

Ethics approval was not required as this study was a systematic review. The protocol was registered on PROSPERO (CRD42023475748).

Role of the funding source

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. Informed consent was not required. All authors had access to the data from this study and are responsible for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

A total of 32 studies were included from 4281 records (Fig. 1). The remaining 4249 studies were excluded after removing irrelevant studies with the wrong study design or population and duplicates. 23 studies¹⁹⁻⁴¹ investigated patients diagnosed with sarcoma, eight studies⁴²⁻⁴⁹ investigated patients diagnosed with various rare cancers, while one study⁵⁰ investigated patients diagnosed with rare cancers out of 1,287,082 participants were included. Main characteristics of the included studies are further described in Supplementary Table S2.

Prevalence of depression and anxiety

20 studies^{19,21–23,26–28,33–36,38,41–47,50} were included to evaluate the prevalence of depression amongst patients with rare cancers. 1222 out of 6113 patients with rare cancers were assessed to have depression. Meta-analysis of the 20 studies (Fig. 2) indicated that depression was observed in 17% of patients with rare cancers (95% CI: 14–22). Subgroup analyses of the prevalence of depression among other categorical variables are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Overall, categorical variables such as age, gender, stage of treatment, type of cancer, and type of treatment were not found to significantly increase the prevalence of depression. Meta-regression did not reveal any significant variables (Supplementary Table S4).

18 studies^{19,22,23,26-28,33–35,38,39,41–43,45–47,50} were included to evaluate the prevalence of anxiety amongst patients with rare cancers. 771 out of 5603 patients with rare cancers were assessed to have anxiety. Meta-analysis of the 18 studies (Fig. 3) indicated that anxiety was observed in 20%



Fig. 1: PRISMA flowchart.

Study	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI
Allen 2021	6	29		0.21	[0.08; 0.40]
Bergerot 2018	21	137		0.15	[0.10; 0.22]
Bressoud 2007	4	15		0.27	[0.08; 0.55]
D'Agostino 2016	586	2229	-	0.26	[0.24; 0.28]
Eichler 2022	172	897			[0.17; 0.22]
Paredes 2011	19	142		0.13	[0.08; 0.20]
Polfer 2022	30	190		0.16	[0.11; 0.22]
Srikanthan 2019	83	396		0.21	[0.17; 0.25]
Trautmann 2017	135	780	+	0.17	[0.15; 0.20]
Chan 2015	7	79		0.09	[0.04; 0.17]
Wiener 2006	13	34		0.38	[0.22; 0.56]
Beesley 2018	10	111		0.09	[0.04; 0.16]
Ellis 2022	43	192		0.22	[0.17; 0.29]
Chan 2018	10	65		0.15	[0.08; 0.26]
Paredes 2012	9	36		0.25	[0.12; 0.42]
Tang 2015	21	76		0.28	[0.18; 0.39]
Herk-Sukel 2012	14	533	*	0.03	[0.01; 0.04]
Cenzer 2020	16	53		0.30	[0.18; 0.44]
Dengsø 2017	6	51		0.12	[0.04; 0.24]
Wal 2024	17	68		0.25	[0.15; 0.37]
Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$,		6113 , p < 0.	.01	0.17	[0.14; 0.22]
			0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5		

Fig. 2: Forest plot showing that depression prevalence was 17% in patients with rare cancers. Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

of patients with rare cancers (95% CI: 15–25). Subgroup analyses of the prevalence of anxiety among other categorical variables are listed in Supplementary Table S5. Overall, categorical variables such as age, gender, stage of treatment, type of cancer, and types of treatment were not found to significantly increase the prevalence of anxiety. Meta-regression did not report any significant variables (Supplementary Table S4).

Relative risk ratios of depression and anxiety

Five studies^{35,36,41,44,46} were analysed to evaluate the relative risk of depression in patients with rare cancers. Meta-analysis of the five studies (Fig. 4A) indicated a statistically significant increased risk of depression in patients with rare cancers compared to the comparator arm (RR = 2.61, 95% CI: 1.43–4.77). Three studies^{35,41,46} were analysed to evaluate the relative risk of anxiety in



Fig. 3: Forest plot showing that anxiety prevalence was 20% in patients with rare cancers. Abbreviation: Cl, confidence interval.

patients with rare cancers. Meta-analysis of the three studies (Fig. 4B) indicated a statistically significant increased risk of anxiety in patients with rare cancers compared to the comparator arm (RR = 2.66, 95% CI: 1.27–5.55).

Suicide incidence and PTSD prevalence

Three studies^{31,32,48} were included to evaluate the incidence of suicide amongst patients with rare cancers. 167 out of 50,465 patients with rare cancers were found to have committed suicide. Meta-analysis of the three studies (Fig. 5A) indicated that the incidence of suicide was 315 per 100,000 person-years (95% CI: 162-609). Gunnes et al.⁴⁸ retrospectively analysed 5440 individuals diagnosed with various rare cancers before the age of 25 as part of a nationwide study, with a suicide incidence of 24 per 100,000 person-years. Shen et al.³¹ retrospectively analysed 21,405 patients diagnosed with Kaposi's sarcoma without human immunodeficiency virus status as part of a nationwide study, with a suicide incidence of 115 per 100,000 person-years. Siracuse et al.32 retrospectively analysed 23,620 individuals diagnosed with primary bone and soft tissue cancers as part of a nationwide study, with a suicide incidence of 33 per 100,000 person-years.

Two studies^{21,38} were included to evaluate the prevalence of PTSD among patients with rare cancers. Seven out of 40 patients with rare cancers were assessed to have PTSD incidence. Meta-analysis of the two studies (Fig. 5B) indicated that PTSD was observed in 18% of patients with rare cancers (95% CI: 0.09–0.32). Wiener et al.³⁸ recruited 34 survivors of paediatric sarcoma, with an average of 18 years since diagnosis. Bressoud et al.²¹ recruited 15 long-term survivors of osteosarcoma, with an average of 11 years since diagnosis.

Pre-existing mental illness

Two studies^{20,27} examined the relationship between preexisting psychological statuses and psychological outcomes in patients with rare cancers (Supplementary Table S6). Both studies reported a significant association between having pre-existing psychological disturbances and an increased severity of anxiety and depression after a rare cancer diagnosis.

Financial status

Four studies^{23,38,46,47} explored the association between financial status and psychological outcomes in patients with rare cancers (Supplementary Table S7). Three studies^{23,38,47} indirectly reported a significant association between financial status and psychological outcomes. The studies found that being unemployed or having a disability pension were significantly associated with higher levels of distress and worse depressive symptoms. One study⁴⁶ directly reported a



Fig. 4: Forest plots showing the relative risk ratios of depression and anxiety in patients with rare cancers. (A) Depression risk ratio increased by 2.61 times compared to comparator. (B) Anxiety risk ratio increased by 2.66 times compared to comparator. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; RR, risk ratio.

95%-CI

140 [96; 196]

441 [283: 656]

514 [423; 619]

315 [162; 609]

Events

Α Events per 100000 Study Events Total observations Siracuse 2017 33 23620 Gunnes 2017 24 5440 Shen 2020 110 21405 Random effects model 50465 Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 95\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.3171$, p < 0.01100 200 300 400 Β

_			
Study	Events Total		Proportion 95%-CI
Wiener 2006 Bressoud 2007	3 25 - 4 15		0.12 [0.03; 0.31] 0.27 [0.08; 0.55]
Random effects model40Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 25\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0.25$			0.18 [0.09; 0.32]
		0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5	

Fig. 5: Forest plots showing suicide incidence and anxiety prevalence in patients with rare cancers. (A) Suicide incidence was 315 events per 100,000 person-years. (B) PTSD prevalence was 18%. Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

significant correlation between an annual income of less than \$19,999 and psychological outcomes.

Social status

Three studies^{20,27,46} reported on the association between social status and psychological outcomes in patients with rare cancers (Supplementary Table S8). Benedetti et al.20 reported a significant association between unmarried patients and having lower mental well-being. D'Agostino et al.46 reported a significant association between divorcees and having higher levels of distress. Interestingly, Paredes et al.27 reported a significant association between being married and having greater severity of depression during treatment.

Physical health

Two studies^{46,47} explored the association between the physical health of patients with rare cancers and their psychological outcomes (Supplementary Table S9). Both studies reported that comorbidities or poor health were associated with worse depressive symptoms.

Disease and treatment factors

Seven studies^{23,28,31,37,43,47,48} reported on the association between disease status, treatment modality and disease symptoms on the psychological outcomes of patients with rare cancers (Supplementary Table S10). Four studies^{23,43,47,48} demonstrated significant associations between the status of the rare cancer and psychological outcomes. Two out of the four studies reported a significant association between having a more severe cancer diagnosis or symptoms with worse depression, quality-oflife and distress.^{23,37,43} The other two studies^{47,48} found worse psychological outcomes among survivors and those in remission. Two studies^{28,31} demonstrated significant associations between chemotherapy and worse psychological outcomes. Interestingly, Shen et al.³¹ found a significant association between patients receiving chemotherapy and a decreased risk of suicide.

500

600

Risk of bias, publication bias and sensitivity analyses

The quality of the methodology of the 32 studies was scored with the JBI checklist and presented in Supplementary Table S11. Overall, some risk of bias was identified. Sensitivity analyses using funnel plots, trimand-fill, and Egger's test showed some publication bias (Supplementary Figures S1-S4 and S6-S9). However, leave-one-out analyses reported that there were no singular studies that affected the overall results (Supplementary Figures S5 and S10).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence, incidence and risk of depression, anxiety, suicide, and PTSD in patients with rare cancers. Our study found a

statistically significant increased risk of depression and anxiety in patients with rare cancers compared to healthy controls. We also identified a statistically high suicide incidence, prevalence of depression, anxiety, and PTSD. Systematic review found that having advanced disease, chemotherapy treatment, lower income, and social status were significant risk factors for negative psychological outcomes.

As there were no studies that directly compared the psychological outcomes of patients with rare cancers and patients with common cancers, we referenced the literature and indirectly compared the prevalence and incidence of these outcomes among patients with common cancers and also the general population (Table 1). We note that the prevalence of depression and anxiety was elevated in patients with rare cancers when compared to the general population and slightly lower when compared to common cancers such as breast and colorectal cancer.

Colorectal and breast cancers are typically associated with an aggressive nature and propensity for rapid progression, leading to elevated anxiety and depression levels.⁴⁷ Concerns of body image after mastectomy exacerbate anxiety in breast cancer patients, while older age in colorectal cancer patients correlates with increased anxiety and depression, likely due to extended duration of disease and heightened disability rates.⁴⁸ In contrast, patients diagnosed with rare cancers often fall within the younger age demographic,¹ with them having a lower risk of developing anxiety and depression.⁴⁹ Being younger may be associated with a greater participation in cancer support groups amongst the young, thus allowing them to learn more about their illness and share concerns with other patients.⁶²

Despite this, suicide incidence and PTSD prevalence are elevated in patients with rare cancers when compared to both the general population and in patients with common cancers. Patients with rare cancers encounter significant challenges, especially as distinct symptoms in the early stages contribute to the overall difficulties.²⁶ In metastatic and refractory sarcoma, the prognosis remains unfavourable, with a median survival of only 12-18 months.63 The absence of specific symptoms often leads to delayed diagnosis and treatment, intensifying existing challenges.63 Furthermore, the physical side effects of some treatments, such as disfigurement and functional impairment, worsen the overall impact on patients' qualityof-life.63 Throughout the disease course, individuals with rare cancers grapple with significant psychological effects stemming from the uncertainty of prognosis and the adverse consequences of aggressive treatments ²⁶

Author	Year	Country of study	Population	Prevalence (%)
Depression				
Nikbakhsh et al. ⁵¹	2014	Iran	Patients with breast cancer	19.56
Mols et al. ⁵²	2018	Netherlands	Patients with colorectal cancer	19
Johansson et al. ⁵³	2013	Sweden	General population	17.2
Anxiety				
Nikbakhsh et al. ⁵¹	2014	Iran	Patients with breast cancer	17.39
Mols et al. ⁵²	2018	Netherlands	Patients with colorectal cancer	20.9
Johansson et al. ⁵³	2013	Sweden	General population	14.7
Suicide Incidence (Expressed	as events per 100),000 observations)		
Misono et al. ⁵⁴	2008	United States	General population	16.7
Misono et al. ⁵⁴	2008	United States	Patients with breast cancer	70.4
Misono et al. ⁵⁴	2008	United States	Patients with colorectal cancer	165
Misono et al. ⁵⁴	2008	United States	Patients with lung cancer	168
Turaga et al. ⁵⁵	2010	United States	Patients with pancreatic cancer	135 [°]
Yu et al. ⁵⁶	2020	United States	Patients with leukaemia	26.4 ^a
Osazuwa-Peters et al. ⁵⁷	2018	United States	Patients with head and neck cancers	23.6ª
PTSD				
Lewis et al. ⁵⁸	2019	United Kingdom	General population	7.8
Swartzman et al. ⁵⁹	2016	United Kingdom	Patients with breast cancer	10.0
Swartzman et al. ⁵⁹	2016	United Kingdom	Patients with colorectal cancer	4.3
Swartzman et al. ⁵⁹	2016	United Kingdom	Patients with prostate cancer	9.8
Varela et al. ⁶⁰	2011	United States	Patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma	13
Goncalves et al. ⁶¹	2011	United Kingdom	Patients with ovarian cancer	13
Incidence.				

able 1: Comparison of the prevalence and incidence of depression, anxiety, suicide, and PTSD among various population groups.

The high incidence of suicide and PTSD prevalence in patients with rare cancers may be predisposed by the high prevalence of depression and anxiety in this population. Anxiety and depression are linked to intense negative emotions such as those associated with suicidal tendencies.64 Factors such as social isolation, disability, and reductions in quality-of-life due to psychological burden may contribute to a diminished sense of purpose for individuals in this population.65 Moreover, patients with anxiety and depression often experience reduced social support, a crucial protective factor against suicide.66 The adoption of avoidant coping strategies, common in anxiety disorders, has also been identified as a risk factor for suicide.67 Additionally, the coexistence of depression and anxiety significantly increases the likelihood of suicide attempts.68 The enduring stigma surrounding mental health issues further complicates the situation, leading cancer patients to avoid acknowledging their psychological problems. Without timely and adequate treatment, anxiety can escalate into post-traumatic stress disorder.67

Although our meta-analyses did not reveal that age was a significant factor, it is noteworthy that patients with rare cancers often fall within the younger age demographics.1 This characteristic while distinct from the more prevalent cancers, has its own set of challenges. Younger patients with rare cancers may have a longer life expectancy which allows for an extended timeframe to navigate the emotional and psychological repercussions of their diagnosis.69 This extended timeframe also presents unique challenges to younger patients with rare cancers who are in the growing stage of their lives, impacting their social engagement, educational participation, and future family planning.70 Future research should therefore investigate the effects of age on the mental health outcomes of patients with rare cancers.

In the context of PTSD, the younger age of patients with rare cancers can also contribute to a prolonged and potentially more intense cancer experience. Research indicates that younger individuals facing cancer may have a longer bandwidth of time to measure PTSD compared to their older counterparts.⁷¹ The extended duration may be attributed to factors such as ongoing treatment regimens, a higher possibility of experiencing treatment-related side effects or facing more aggressive therapeutic interventions.⁷¹ In contrast, older cancer patients with more common cancers may be more inclined to opt for less aggressive treatments, potentially sparing them from some of the psychological distress associated with more rigorous therapeutic approaches.⁷²

Our study also revealed significant correlations between specific demographic factors and heightened vulnerability to psychological outcomes. Having a lower income and social status were found to be significant risk factors for negative psychological outcomes. These factors were found to be consistent in the international literature.⁷³ Our results emphasise the importance of proactive surveillance strategies and targeted resource allocation to effectively mitigate the psychological burden faced by these individuals.

Psychological outcomes were also influenced by both disease and treatment-related factors. Patients with rare cancers with advanced disease faced increased vulnerability to negative psychological outcomes. Those with advanced disease or those who went through chemotherapy treatment were identified as risk factors for negative psychological outcomes.74,75 For individuals with advanced disease, the psychological impact may stem from the awareness of the severity of their condition, the uncertainty of prognosis and the potential implications for their quality-of-life.76 Those who had undergone chemotherapy often face both the physical side-effects of treatment and also the emotional toll of having to navigate a challenging and intense intervention.⁷⁷ It is important to integrate mental health support into the overall care plan for patients with advanced stage disease or those undergoing chemotherapy. Healthcare providers should be attuned to the emotional well-being of these individuals, offering not only medical interventions but also psychological support and resources to help them cope with any challenges that they may face.7

Our study should be interpreted with due consideration of certain limitations. Firstly, there was high heterogeneity in the reporting of outcomes. There were insufficient studies with control-arm, and data on suicide and PTSD were available for three studies each. Among those studies with a control-arm, there were only five studies for depression and three for anxiety. Hence, the resulting data on RR may not be representative and should be interpreted with prudence. The type of rare cancer was also different for some studies, further contributing to this heterogeneity. Due to the heterogeneity across different social contexts, we were unable to perform subgroup meta-analyses for variables such as pre-existing mental illness, financial status, social status, physical health, disease, and treatment factors. Nonetheless, we were still able to systematically review those factors. We also did not obtain individual patient data for our meta-analysis as the available data was insufficient to study individual risk factors affecting the psychological outcomes. However, we were still able to analyse the key characteristics in the subgroups that we planned for, including age, gender, stage of treatment, type of cancer, and types of treatment. Secondly, as many of the studies are based on patient-reported outcome measures, suicide-related behaviours are less likely to be reported due to social stigma.78 This could have led to an underrepresentation of the group and potentially caused the prevalence of suicide to be lower than the actual number. Thirdly, there were no doublearm studies that directly compared the mental health outcomes of patients with rare cancers against patients

with common cancers. We therefore indirectly compared our pooled single-arm prevalence results against other single-arm studies in the literature that investigated those outcomes among patients with common cancers. Future studies should attempt to directly compare those pertinent outcomes using patients with common cancers as the comparator arm.

In conclusion, our study highlights an increased susceptibility to depression, anxiety, suicide incidence and PTSD within patients with rare cancers, surpassing rates observed in other common cancers. Notably, factors such as disease stage, chemotherapy, and socioeconomic status are significant contributors to adverse psychological outcomes. We emphasise the critical imperative for early detection and increased support for this vulnerable group. Urgent interventions and further research are warranted to elucidate additional risk and protective factors to devise targeted strategies to alleviate the burden of depression and anxiety in these vulnerable individuals.

Contributors

CEL contributed to study conceptualisation, formal analysis, investigation, statistical analysis, and writing the original draft of the manuscript. SL, GEP and BS contributed equally to formal analysis, investigation and writing the original draft of the manuscript. VSY contributed to conceptualisation, visualisation, supervision and editing of the manuscript. All authors had access to and verified the data used in this study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Data sharing statement

Data used in this study are available in a public, open-access repository. The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Medical Research Council Transition Award (TA20nov-0020), SingHealth Duke-NUS Oncology Academic Clinical Programme (08/FY2020/EX/67-A143 and 08/ FY2021/EX/17-A47), the Khoo Pilot Collaborative Award (Duke-NUS-KP(Coll)/2022/0020A), the National Medical Research Council Clinician Scientist-Individual Research Grant-New Investigator Grant (CNIGnov-0025), the Terry Fox Grant (I1056) and the Khoo Bridge Funding Award (Duke-NUS-KBrFA/2024/0083I).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2024.102631.

References

- Gatta G, van der Zwan JM, Casali PG, et al. Rare cancers are not so rare: the rare cancer burden in Europe. *Eur J Cancer*. 2011;47(17):2493–2511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2011.08.008.
- 2 de Heus E, van der Zwan JM, Husson O, et al. Unmet supportive care needs of patients with rare cancer: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2021;30(6):e13502. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.13502.
- 3 Burningham Z, Hashibe M, Spector L, Schiffman JD. The epidemiology of sarcoma. *Clin Sarcoma Res.* 2012;2(1):14. https://doi. org/10.1186/2045-3329-2-14.
- 4 Fidler MM, Gupta S, Soerjomataram I, Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality among young adults aged 20–39 years worldwide in 2012: a population-based

study. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(12):1579–1589. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S1470-2045(17)30677-0.

- 5 Downe-Wamboldt B, Butler L, Coulter L. The relationship between meaning of illness, social support, coping strategies, and quality of life for lung cancer patients and their family members. *Cancer Nurs.* 2006;29(2):111–119. https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820-200603000-00006.
- 6 Koch L, Jansen L, Brenner H, Arndt V. Fear of recurrence and disease progression in long-term (≥ 5 years) cancer survivors–a systematic review of quantitative studies. *Psycho Oncol.* 2013;22(1):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3022.
- 7 Niedzwiedz CL, Kniffon L, Robb KA, Katikireddi SV, Smith DJ. Depression and anxiety among people living with and beyond cancer: a growing clinical and research priority. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19(1):943. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-6181-4.
- 8 Nayak MG, George A, Vidyasagar MS, et al. Quality of life among cancer patients. *Indian J Palliat Care*. 2017;23(4):445–450. https:// doi.org/10.4103/ijpc.Ijpc_82_17.
- DeSantis CE, Kramer JL, Jemal A. The burden of rare cancers in the United States. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(4):261–272. https://doi. org/10.3322/caac.21400.
- 10 Keat N, Law K, Seymour M, et al. International rare cancers initiative. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14(2):109–110. https://doi.org/10. 1016/s1470-2045(12)70570-3.
- 11 Mor V, Allen S, Malin M. The psychosocial impact of cancer on older versus younger patients and their families. *Cancer*. 1994;74(S7):2118–2127. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19941001) 74:7+<2118::AID-CNCR2820741720>3.0.CO;2-N.
- 12 Miao XR, Chen QB, Wei K, Tao KM, Lu ZJ. Posttraumatic stress disorder: from diagnosis to prevention. *Mil Med Res.* 2018;5(1):32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-018-0179-0.
- 13 Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med. 2002;21(11):1539–1558. https://doi.org/10. 1002/sim.1186.
- 14 Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*. 2000;56(2):455–463. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 0006-341x.2000.00455.x.
- 15 Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, Abrams KR, Rushton L. Performance of the trim and fill method in the presence of publication bias and between-study heterogeneity. *Stat Med.* 2007;26(25): 4544–4562. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2889.
- 16 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315:629.
- 17 Munn Z, Barker TH, Moola S, et al. Methodological quality of case series studies: an introduction to the JBI critical appraisal tool. JBI Evid Synth. 2020;18(10):2127–2133. https://doi.org/10.11124/jbisrir-d-19-00099.
- 18 Munn Z, Moola S, Riitano D, Lisy K. The development of a critical appraisal tool for use in systematic reviews addressing questions of prevalence. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2014;3(3):123–128. https:// doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2014.71.
- 19 Allen JM, Niel K, Guo A, Su Y, Zhang H, Anghelescu DL. Psychosocial factors and psychological interventions: implications for chronic post-surgical pain in pediatric patients with osteosarcoma. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2021;28(3):468–476. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10880-020-09748-y.
- 20 Benedetti MG, Tarricone I, Monti M, et al. Psychological well-being, self-esteem, quality of life and gender differences as determinants of post-traumatic growth in long-term knee rotationplasty survivors: a cohort study. *Children*. 2023;10(5):867.
- 21 Bressoud A, Real del Sarte O, Stiefel S, et al. Impact of family structure on long-term survivors of osteosarcoma. Support Care Cancer. 2007;15(5):525–531. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-006-0196-5.
- 22 Chan A, Lim E, Ng T, Shih V, Quek R, Cheung YT. Symptom burden and medication use in adult sarcoma patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2015;23(6):1709–1717. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-014-2533-4.
- 23 Eichler. 35. Deutscher Krebskongress, Krebsmedizin: Schnittstellen zwischen Innovation und Versorgung, 13.–16. November 2022, Berlin. Oncol Res Treat. 2022;45(Suppl. 3):6–285. https://doi. org/10.1159/000521004.
- 24 Liu H, Gao X, Hou Y. Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction combined with music therapy on pain, anxiety, and sleep quality in patients with osteosarcoma. *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2019;41(6):540–545. https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2018-0346.

- 25 Palm RF, Jim HSL, Boulware D, Johnstone PAS, Naghavi AO. Using the revised Edmonton symptom assessment scale during neoadjuvant radiotherapy for retroperitoneal sarcoma. *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol.* 2020;22:22–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2020. 02.007.
- 26 Paredes T, Canavarro MC, Simões MR. Anxiety and depression in sarcoma patients: emotional adjustment and its determinants in the different phases of disease. *Eur J Oncol Nurs.* 2011;15(1):73–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2010.06.004.
- 27 Paredes T, Pereira M, Simões MR, Canavarro MC. A longitudinal study on emotional adjustment of sarcoma patients: the determinant role of demographic, clinical and coping variables. *Eur J Cancer Care.* 2012;21(1):41–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2354.2011.01269.x.
- 28 Polfer EM, Alici Y, Baser RE, Healey JH, Bartelstein MK. What proportion of patients with musculoskeletal sarcomas demonstrate symptoms of depression or anxiety? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2022;480(11):2148–2160. https://doi.org/10.1097/corr. 000000000002295.
- 29 Ranft A, Seidel C, Hoffmann C, et al. Quality of survivorship in a rare disease: clinicofunctional outcome and physical activity in an observational cohort study of 618 long-term survivors of ewing sarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(15):1704–1712. https://doi.org/10. 1200/jcc.2016.70.6226.
- 30 Saebye C, Amidi A, Keller J, Andersen H, Baad-Hansen T. Changes in functional outcome and quality of life in soft tissue sarcoma patients within the first year after surgery: a prospective observational study. *Cancers*. 2020;12(2):463.
- 31 Shen J, Zhu M, Li S, et al. Incidence and risk factors for suicide death among kaposi's sarcoma patients: a surveillance, epidemiology, and end results analysis. *Med Sci Monit.* 2020;26:e920711. https://doi.org/10.12659/msm.920711.
- 32 Siracuse BL, Gorgy G, Ruskin J, Beebe KS. What is the incidence of suicide in patients with bone and soft tissue cancer? : suicide and sarcoma. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2017;475(5):1439–1445. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11999-016-5171-y.
- 33 Srikanthan A, Leung B, Shokoohi A, Smrke A, Bates A, Ho C. Psychosocial distress scores and needs among newly diagnosed sarcoma patients: a provincial experience. *Sarcoma.* 2019;2019: 5302639. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5302639.
- 34 Tang MH, Castle DJ, Choong PFM. Identifying the prevalence, trajectory, and determinants of psychological distress in extremity sarcoma. Sarcoma. 2015;2015:745163. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2015/745163.
- 35 Trautmann F, Singer S, Schmitt J. Patients with soft tissue sarcoma comprise a higher probability of comorbidities than cancer-free individuals. A secondary data analysis. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2017;26(6):e12605. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.12605.
- 36 van Herk-Sukel MP, Shantakumar S, Overbeek LI, van Boven H, Penning-van Beest FJ, Herings RM. Occurrence of comorbidities before and after soft tissue sarcoma diagnosis. *Sarcoma*. 2012;2012: 402109. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/402109.
- 37 Weidema ME, Husson O, van der Graaf WTA, et al. Health-related quality of life and symptom burden of epithelioid hemangioendothelioma patients: a global patient-driven Facebook study in a very rare malignancy. Acta Oncol. 2020;59(8):975–982. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/0284186x.2020.1766696.
- 38 Wiener L, Battles H, Bernstein D, et al. Persistent psychological distress in long-term survivors of pediatric sarcoma: the experience at a single institution. *Psycho Oncol.* 2006;15(10):898–910. https:// doi.org/10.1002/pon.1024.
- 39 Wiener L, Battles H, Zadeh S, Smith CJ, Helman LJ, Kim SY. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor: psychosocial characteristics and considerations. Support Care Cancer. 2012;20(6):1343–1349. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00520-012-1426-7.
- 40 Yonemoto T, Kamibeppu K, Ishii T, Iwata S, Hagiwara Y, Tatezaki S. Psychosocial outcomes in long-term survivors of highgrade osteosarcoma: a Japanese single-center experience. Anticancer Res. 2009;29(10):4287–4290.
- 41 van de Wal D, den Hollander D, Desar IME, et al. Fear, anxiety and depression in gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) patients in the Netherlands: data from a cross-sectional multicenter study. *Int J Clin Health Psychol.* 2024;24(1):100434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijchp.2023.100434.
- 42 Beesley VL, Burge M, Dumbrava M, Callum J, Neale RE, Wyld DK. Perceptions of care and patient-reported outcomes in people living with neuroendocrine tumours. *Support Care Cancer*. 2018;26(9):3153–3161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-018-4166-5.

- 43 Bergerot CD, Bergerot PG, Philip EJ, et al. Assessment of distress and quality of life in rare cancers. *Psycho Oncol.* 2018;27(12):2740– 2746. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4873.
- 44 Cenzer I, Berger K, Rodriguez AM, Ostermann H, Covinsky KE. Patient-reported measures of well-being in older multiple myeloma patients: use of secondary data source. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2020;32(6):1153–1160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-019-01465-3.
- 45 Chan A, Poon E, Goh WL, et al. Assessment of psychological distress among Asian adolescents and young adults (AYA) cancer patients using the distress thermometer: a prospective, longitudinal study. Support Care Cancer. 2018;26(9):3257–3266. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00520-018-4189-y.
- 46 D'Agostino NM, Edelstein K, Zhang N, et al. Comorbid symptoms of emotional distress in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *Cancer*. 2016;122(20):3215–3224. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.30171.
- 47 Ellis S, Brown RF, Thorsteinsson EB, Pakenham KI, Perrott C. Quality of life and fear of cancer recurrence in patients and survivors of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Psychol Health Med.* 2022;27(8):1649–1660. https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2021. 1913756.
- 48 Gunnes MW, Lie RT, Bjørge T, et al. Suicide and violent deaths in survivors of cancer in childhood, adolescence and young adulthood-A national cohort study. *Int J Cancer.* 2017;140(3):575–580. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30474.
- 19 Zaid T, Burzawa J, Basen-Engquist K, et al. Use of social media to conduct a cross-sectional epidemiologic and quality of life survey of patients with neuroendocrine carcinoma of the cervix: a feasibility study. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2014;132(1):149–153. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ygyno.2013.10.015.
- 50 Elberg Dengsø K, Hillingsø J, Marcussen AM, Thomsen T. Healthrelated quality of life and anxiety and depression in patients diagnosed with cholangiocarcinoma: a prospective cohort study. *Acta Oncol.* 2017;56(2):198–204. https://doi.org/10.1080/0284186X. 2016.1266088.
- 51 Nikbakhsh N, Moudi S, Abbasian S, Khafri S. Prevalence of depression and anxiety among cancer patients. *Caspian J Intern Med.* 2014;5(3):167–170.
- 52 Mols F, Schoormans D, de Hingh I, Oerlemans S, Husson O. Symptoms of anxiety and depression among colorectal cancer survivors from the population-based, longitudinal PRO-FILES Registry: prevalence, predictors, and impact on quality of life. *Cancer*. 2018;124(12):2621–2628. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr. 31369.
- 53 Johansson R, Carlbring P, Heedman Å, Paxling B, Andersson G. Depression, anxiety and their comorbidity in the Swedish general population: point prevalence and the effect on healthrelated quality of life. *PeerJ.* 2013;1:e98. https://doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.98.
- 54 Misono S, Weiss NS, Fann JR, Redman M, Yueh B. Incidence of suicide in persons with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(29): 4731–4738. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2007.13.8941.
- 55 Turaga KK, Malafa MP, Jacobsen PB, Schell MJ, Sarr MG. Suicide in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer.* 2011;117(3):642–647. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25428.
- 56 Yu H, Cai K, Huang Y, Lyu J. Risk factors associated with suicide among leukemia patients: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results analysis. *Cancer Med.* 2020;9(23):9006–9017. https://doi. org/10.1002/cam4.3502.
- 57 Osazuwa-Peters N, Simpson MC, Zhao L, et al. Suicide risk among cancer survivors: head and neck versus other cancers. *Cancer*. 2018;124(20):4072–4079. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.31675.
- 58 Lewis SJ, Arseneault L, Caspi A, et al. The epidemiology of trauma and post-traumatic stress disorder in a representative cohort of young people in England and Wales. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(3):247–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(19)30031-8.
- 59 Swartzman S, Booth JN, Munro A, Sani F. Posttraumatic stress disorder after cancer diagnosis in adults: a meta-analysis. *Depress Anxiety*. 2017;34(4):327–339. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22542.
- Varela VS, Ng A, Mauch P, Recklitis CJ. Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma: prevalence of PTSD and partial PTSD compared with sibling controls. *Psycho Oncol.* 2013;22(2):434–440. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.2109.
 Gonçalves V, Jayson G, Tarrier N. A longitudinal investigation of
- 61 Gonçalves V, Jayson G, Tarrier N. A longitudinal investigation of posttraumatic stress disorder in patients with ovarian cancer. *J Psychosom Res.* 2011;70(5):422–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpsychores.2010.09.017.

- 62 Bauman LJ, Gervey R, Siegel K. Factors associated with cancer patients' participation in support groups. J Psychosoc Oncol. 1992;10(3):1–20. https://doi.org/10.1300/J077V10N03_01.
- 63 Franzoi IG, Granieri A, Sauta MD, et al. The psychological impact of sarcoma on affected patients. *Psycho Oncol.* 2023;32(12):1787– 1797. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.6240.
- 64 Stein D, Apter A, Ratzoni G, Har-Even D, Avidan G. Association between multiple suicide attempts and negative affects in adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1998;37(5):488–494. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199805000-00011.
- 65 Mendlowicz MV, Stein MB. Quality of life in individuals with anxiety disorders. Am J Psychiatry. 2000;157(5):669–682. https:// doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.5.669.
- 66 Gunnell D, Harbord R, Singleton N, Jenkins R, Lewis G. Factors influencing the development and amelioration of suicidal thoughts in the general population. Cohort study. Br J Psychiatry. 2004;185:385–393. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.185.5.385.
- 67 Amir M, Kaplan Z, Efroni R, Kotler M. Suicide risk and coping styles in posttraumatic stress disorder patients. *Psychother Psycho*som. 1999;68(2):76–81. https://doi.org/10.1159/000012316.
- 68 Bronisch T, Wittchen HU. Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts: comorbidity with depression, anxiety disorders, and substance abuse disorder. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 1994;244(2): 93–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02193525.
- 69 Botta L, Dal Maso L, Guzzinati S, et al. Changes in life expectancy for cancer patients over time since diagnosis. J Adv Res. 2019;20:153–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2019.07.002.
- 70 Boman KK, Bodegård G. Life after cancer in childhood: social adjustment and educational and vocational status of young-adult survivors. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2004;26(6):354–362. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00043426-200406000-00005.

- 71 Lee Y-L, Santacroce SJ. Posttraumatic stress in long-term young adult survivors of childhood cancer: a questionnaire survey. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2007;44(8):1406–1417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2006.07.002.
- 72 Seghers PAL, Wiersma A, Festen S, et al. Patient preferences for treatment outcomes in oncology with a focus on the older patient a systematic review. *Cancers.* 2022;14(5):1147.
- 73 Mutyambizi C, Booysen F, Stornes P, Eikemo TA. Subjective social status and inequalities in depressive symptoms: a genderspecific decomposition analysis for South Africa. Int J Equity Health. 2019;18(1):87. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-019-0996-0.
- 74 Grotmol KS, Lie HC, Hjermstad MJ, et al. Depression—a major contributor to poor quality of life in patients with advanced cancer. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2017;54(6):889–897. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.04.010.
- 75 Wen S, Xiao H, Yang Y. The risk factors for depression in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer.* 2019;27(1):57–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-018-4466-9.
- 76 Moos RH, Schaefer JA. The crisis of physical illness. In: Moos RH, ed. Coping with physical illness: 2: new perspectives. Springer US; 1984:3–25.
- 77 Witham G, Haigh C, Foy S. The challenges of health professionals in meeting the needs of vulnerable patients undergoing chemotherapy: a focus group study. J Clin Nurs. 2014;23(19-20): 2844–2853. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12583.
- 78 Oexle N, Waldmann T, Staiger T, Xu Z, Rüsch N. Mental illness stigma and suicidality: the role of public and individual stigma. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2018;27(2):169–175. https://doi.org/10. 1017/s2045796016000949.