

BMJ Open Malnutrition and its association with readmission and death within 7 days and 8–180 days postdischarge in older patients: a prospective observational study

Yogesh Sharma,^{1,2} Michelle Miller,³ Billingsley Kaambwa,⁴ Rashmi Shahi,⁵ Paul Hakendorf,⁶ Chris Horwood,⁶ Campbell Thompson⁷

To cite: Sharma Y, Miller M, Kaambwa B, *et al.* Malnutrition and its association with readmission and death within 7 days and 8–180 days postdischarge in older patients: a prospective observational study. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e018443. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018443

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018443>).

Received 30 June 2017
Revised 20 September 2017
Accepted 12 October 2017



CrossMark

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Yogesh Sharma;
Yogesh.Sharma@sa.gov.au

ABSTRACT

Objective The relationship between admission nutritional status and clinical outcomes following hospital discharge is not well established. This study investigated whether older patients' nutritional status at admission predicts unplanned readmission or death in the very early or late periods following hospital discharge.

Design, setting and participants The study prospectively recruited 297 patients ≥ 60 years old who were presenting to the General Medicine Department of a tertiary care hospital in Australia. Nutritional status was assessed at admission by using the Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment (PG-SGA) tool, and patients were classified as either nourished (PG-SGA class A) or malnourished (PG-SGA classes B and C). A multivariate logistic regression model was used to adjust for other covariates known to influence clinical outcomes and to determine whether malnutrition is a predictor for early (0–7 days) or late (8–180 days) readmission or death following discharge.

Outcome measures The impact of nutritional status was measured on a combined endpoint of any readmission or death within 0–7 days and between 8 and 180 days following hospital discharge.

Results Within 7 days following discharge, 29 (10.5%) patients had an unplanned readmission or death whereas an additional 124 (50.0%) patients reached this combined endpoint within 8–180 days postdischarge. Malnutrition was associated with a significantly higher risk of combined endpoint of readmissions or death both within 7 days (OR 4.57, 95% CI 1.69 to 12.37, $P < 0.001$) and within 8–180 days (OR 1.98, 95% CI 1.19 to 3.28, $P = 0.007$) following discharge and this risk remained significant even after adjustment for other covariates.

Conclusions Malnutrition in older patients at the time of hospital admission is a significant predictor of readmission or death both in the very early and in the late periods following hospital discharge. Nutritional state should be included in future risk prediction models.

Trial registration number ACTRN No. 12614000833662; Post-results.

INTRODUCTION

Recent decades have witnessed a vast improvement in life expectancy, leading

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The research was a large prospective observational study evaluating the association between nutritional status and readmission or death in medical inpatients ≥ 60 years old.
- A dietitian used a comprehensive and valid nutritional assessment tool to confirm the malnutrition diagnosis.
- Readmissions presenting to all other hospitals were captured.
- The single-centre study included only older medical patients.

to an increasing number of older patients with multiple chronic problems. While the number of beds for acute patients has declined, unplanned hospital admissions have increased, particularly among the elderly.¹ Older patients with multiple comorbid illnesses experience poor clinical outcomes after hospital discharge, including recurrent unplanned readmissions and mortality.² Adverse outcomes following discharge may be indicative of unresolved acute illness, ongoing chronic illness and the development of new medical problems or gaps in outpatient care.^{3–5} Although adverse outcomes following discharge are not totally preventable, studies suggest that targeted intervention such as improved discharge planning with a focus on transitional care services may provide beneficial results.⁶

The likelihood of an unplanned admission is highest in the immediate postdischarge period.⁷ There may be advantages in predicting readmissions that occur shortly after discharge. However, most studies have only assessed readmission patterns within 30 days of discharge, and few studies have

examined readmission patterns up to 180 days postdischarge.⁸ Graham and Marcantonio have suggested that different risk factors may be responsible for very early and late readmissions and that each type of readmission needs differently targeted interventions that can only be implemented in advance if predictive factors are identified.⁹

Readmission and mortality risk prediction is a complex endeavour and remains poorly understood. A recent meta-analysis of 26 readmission risk prediction models for medical patients tested in a variety of populations and settings was used for comparing different hospitals and the appropriate applications of transitional care services; the analysis found these models had a poor predictive ability and suggested a need for high-quality data sources that include clinically relevant variables.¹⁰ None of the studies included in this meta-analysis considered patients' nutritional status during index admission as a determinant of readmissions.

Studies suggest that up to 30% of hospitalised patients may be malnourished at the time of admission and that malnutrition has a negative impact on convalescence and reduces resistance to future infections and diseases causing poor clinical outcomes.^{11–13} Older patients are at a high risk of malnutrition than others and reasons for poor nutritional status in this group are multifactorial and include physiological, social and psychological factors which affect food intake and weight and this is further exacerbated by underlying medical illness.¹⁴ Few studies have assessed the association between nutritional status at admission and clinical outcomes in the very early and the late periods following hospital discharge. Furthermore, most of these studies are retrospective, and the use of a comprehensive nutritional assessment tool, like the Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment (PG-SGA), to diagnose malnutrition is rare. Therefore, this study was designed to determine whether nutritional status at admission, as diagnosed by a qualified dietitian using PG-SGA, influences a combined clinical outcome of readmission or mortality within 7 days and between 8 and 180 days following hospital discharge and whether malnutrition could be used as one of the predictors of early and late readmissions and death.

METHODS

Study design and population

This prospective cohort study, included patients ≥ 60 years of age admitted to the Department of General Medicine of a large tertiary care hospital in Australia (Flinders Medical Centre, 520 beds), between August 2014 and March 2016. The exclusion criteria were refusal or inability to give informed consent, patients referred to palliative care and non-English-speaking patients, who were excluded due to a lack of funds to hire an interpreter. The required sample size for this study, calculated on the basis of a previous study showing early readmission rate of 7.8%, was estimated at 569 patients, but insufficient resources led to the recruitment of only 297 patients.⁹

Outcomes

The study's primary outcome was a combined endpoint of either the first unplanned readmission to any of the acute care hospitals in the state of South Australia or death, within 0–7 days and between 8 and 180 days after hospital discharge. In this study, unplanned readmission was defined as any unscheduled hospitalisation to any hospital in the state of South Australia that was not for a planned investigation (eg, elective endoscopy) or non-emergent treatment (eg, planned drug infusion). The primary endpoint of readmissions or deaths were recorded from a central computer database, which captures these events for all state hospitals.

Nutritional status assessment

After obtaining written informed consent from patients, it was ensured that nutrition screening with Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) had been performed. It is a standard policy in our hospital to screen all patients with MUST at the time of admission. MUST includes a body mass index score, a weight loss score and an acute disease score and classifies patients as low, moderate or high risk of malnutrition.¹⁵ Following this all participating patients were then referred to a qualified dietitian for confirmation of their nutritional status by PG-SGA. The PG-SGA¹⁶ generates a numerical score while also providing an overall global rating divided into three categories: well nourished (PG-SGA A), moderately malnourished or suspected of being malnourished (PG-SGA B) or severely malnourished (PG-SGA C). For each PG-SGA component, points (0–4) are awarded depending on the impact on nutritional status. Component scores are combined to obtain total scores that range from 0 to 35 with scores ≥ 7 , indicating a critical need for nutritional intervention and symptom management.¹⁷ The three different dietitians who were involved in the assessment of nutritional status using the PG-SGA received training prior to the study's commencement. The PG-SGA classes were divided into two categories by combining PG-SGA classes B and C into the malnourished category for easily interpreting patients as nourished (PG-SGA class A) and malnourished (PG-SGA classes B and C). Furthermore, PG-SGA scores were split into a categorical variable with a PG-SGA score of < 7 , indicative of no critical need for nutrition intervention and ≥ 7 , indicating critical need for intervention.

Covariates

Several known variables that can influence outcomes after hospital discharge were recorded at the baseline. Socio-demographic data, number of hospitalisations during the 6 months before index admission (current hospital admission) and clinical information were recorded at the baseline. Comorbidity was assessed with the Charlson Comorbidity Index, and the total number of medications were recorded at the time of admission. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) was assessed using the EuroQoL 5-Dimensions 5-Level (EQ-5D-5L) questionnaire, a

simple, self-administered instrument which is able to distinguish between 3125 states of health.¹⁸ A UK-specific algorithm developed using time-trade-off techniques was used to convert the EQ-5D-5L health description into a valuation ranging from -0.281 to 1.¹⁹ A Visual Analogue Scale score, which provides an unweighted measure of HRQoL, can also be calculated from the questionnaire. The main diagnosis of index admission was retrieved from medical records and divided into seven categories according to the system affected: (1) respiratory disease, (2) cardiovascular disease, (3) neuropsychiatric disease, (4) gastrointestinal disease, (5) falls, (6) renal disease and (7) miscellaneous diseases, including infections. The index admission's acuity was gauged from the total number of medical emergency response team calls and the number of hours spent in the intensive care unit (ICU). Length of hospital stay (LOS) was determined from the day of admission to the day of discharge. The study recorded any unplanned hospital presentations to any of the hospitals in South Australia within 0–7 days and between 8 and 180 days after hospital discharge, as well as any recorded deaths at the same time points, using the central hospital computer database.

Statistics

Demographic variables were assessed for normality using a skewness and kurtosis (sk) test. Data are presented as mean (SD) or median (IQR), and Student's t-test and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were applied as appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as frequency and per cent and compared using Pearson's χ^2 or Fisher's exact test as appropriate.

Univariate logistic regression was used to assess the association between nutritional status and the combined endpoint of unplanned readmission or death within 7 days and between 8 and 180 days postdischarge. In a multivariate logistic regression analysis, the relationship between readmission/death and nutrition status at admission was adjusted for other variables: age, gender, Charlson Comorbidity Index, principal diagnosis at presentation, number of medications at admission, LOS, number of medical emergency response team calls during index admission and total number of hours spent in the ICU. Variance inflation factor and tolerance values were used to detect collinearity between variables included in the model.²⁰ A link test was used to confirm that the linear approach to model the outcome was correct. Model fit was assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test. A Kaplan-Meier survival curve was plotted from time of discharge to the first onset of any of the primary outcomes to detect proportion of patients who did not experience the primary outcome. A log-rank test was used to compare survival proportions in the nourished and malnourished groups. A two-sided $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance. All analysis was performed using STATA V.13.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS

This study recruited 297 patients, and nutrition status, as determined by PG-SGA, was available for 277 patients. Mean age was 80.3 years (SD 8.7, range 60–97) with 178 (64.3%) of the patients being women and the majority of patients came from home. There was no difference in the nutrition status between men and women (mean PG-SGA score 9.7 (SD 5.8) vs 9.2 (SD 5.3), $P = 0.44$) in men and women, respectively) and the nutrition status of patients who came from a nursing home was similar to those who came from home (mean PG-SGA score 9.0 (SD 4.5) vs 9.4 (SD 5.6), $P = 0.70$) in nursing home and patients from home, respectively). Patients had multiple comorbidities (mean number of comorbidities 6.2, SD 2.7, range 0–16), and the mean Charlson Comorbidity Index was 2.3 (SD 1.8). The median LOS for the index hospitalisation was 7 (IQR 3.4–14.6) days. Within 7 days after discharge, 29 (10.5%) patients had an unplanned readmission or death (primary endpoint). Among the 29 patients who had the primary endpoint within 7 days, 13 (44.8%) had been admitted prior to the index admission. The primary endpoint occurred in 124 (50.0%) patients within 8–180 days postdischarge and 69 (55.7%) of these patients had been admitted in the 6 months prior to the index admission. Patients who were malnourished at the time of index admission were significantly older ($P = 0.001$), had lower quality of life ($P = 0.03$) and stayed longer ($P = 0.02$) in the hospital as compared with the nourished patients. Respiratory illness, miscellaneous diseases including sepsis and cardiovascular diseases were the three main diagnoses during index hospitalisation with 86 (28.9%), 67 (22.6%) and 55 (18.5%) cases, respectively.

Association of malnutrition with very early and late unplanned readmissions and mortality

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics according to the occurrence of combined endpoint of readmission or death within 0–7 days and 8–180 days of discharge, respectively. Malnutrition risk, as determined by the MUST score, and the classification of patients as being malnourished per PG-SGA class were significantly higher in subjects who developed the combined endpoint both within 0–7 days (83% vs 51%) and 8–180 (60% vs 43%) days postdischarge ($P < 0.05$). Similarly, a significantly higher proportion of patients who were in critical need of nutrition therapy (as indicated by PG-SGA score of ≥ 7) at the time of index admission suffered the combined endpoint both within 0–7 days ($P = 0.002$) and 8–180 days ($P = 0.02$) following hospital discharge (table 1).

Malnutrition was associated with a higher risk of the combined endpoint of readmissions and death within 7 days after discharge (OR 4.57, 95% CI 1.69 to 12.37, $P < 0.001$; table 2). After adjusting for covariates, including age, gender, Charlson Comorbidity Index, LOS, number of medications, principal diagnosis at current admission and hours spent in the ICU during index admission, the association was even stronger for the combined endpoint (OR 5.01, 95% CI 1.69 to 14.75, $P = 0.009$; table 2). Similarly,

Table 1 Baseline characteristics according to primary endpoint (readmission/death) at 0–7 days and 8–180 days postdischarge

	Readmission/death within 0–7 days (n=29)	No readmission/death within 0–7 days (n=248)	P value	Readmission/death within 8–180 days (n=124)	No readmission/death within 8–180 days (n=124)	P value
Age mean (SD)	81.2 (7.6)	80.2 (8.8)	0.74	80.3 (8.6)	80.0 (9.0)	0.77
Female sex, n (%)	13 (44.8)	165 (66.5)	0.02	80 (64.5)	85 (68.5)	0.50
Total comorbidities, mean (SD)	6.8 (3.0)	6.1 (2.7)	0.20	6.6 (2.9)	5.7 (2.5)	0.012
Charlson Comorbidity Index, mean (SD)	2.8 (2.1)	2.2 (1.8)	0.09	2.4 (1.8)	2.1 (1.8)	0.16
Total medications, mean (SD)	9.1 (4.5)	9.6 (4.4)	0.56	10.3 (4.5)	8.9 (4.2)	0.007
Principal diagnosis at index admission, n (%)						
Respiratory	13 (44.8)	72 (29.0)	0.34	33 (26.6)	39 (31.5)	0.02
CVS	6 (20.7)	44 (17.7)		28 (22.6)	16 (12.9)	
Neuropsychiatric	2 (6.9)	23 (9.3)		11 (8.9)	12 (9.7)	
GIT	2 (6.9)	17 (6.9)		11 (8.9)	6 (4.8)	
Falls	0 (0)	21 (8.5)		4 (3.2)	17 (13.7)	
Renal	0 (0)	16 (6.5)		6 (4.8)	10 (8.1)	
Miscellaneous	6 (20.7)	55 (22.2)		31 (25.0)	24 (19.4)	
LOS, median (IQR)	13.3 (6.7–35.9)	6.8 (3.2–13.7)	0.004	7.9 (3.6–15.2)	5.7 (3.1–11.5)	0.11
MUST score*	1.9 (1.4)	1.1 (1.2)	0.001	1.3 (1.3)	0.9 (1.2)	0.03
Nutrition status PG-SGA†, n (%)						
Nourished	5 (17.2)	121 (48.8)	0.001	50 (40.3)	71 (57.3)	0.008
Malnourished	24 (82.8)	127 (51.2)		74 (59.7)	53 (42.7)	
Patients with PG-SGA ≥7, n (%)	25 (86.2)	142 (57.3)	0.002	80 (64.5)	62 (50.0)	0.02
QoL, mean (SD)						
EQ-5D index‡	0.678 (0.226)	0.709 (0.222)	0.49	0.700 (0.229)	0.717 (0.217)	0.31
VAS§	55.2 (17.1)	59.5 (20.1)	0.28	55.9 (20.4)	62.8 (18.1)	0.003
Total MET calls, mean (SD)	0.24 (1.0)	0.13 (0.4)	0.38	0.10 (0.32)	0.15 (0.53)	0.95
Total ICU hours, mean (SD)	4.3 (19.3)	1.9 (13.4)	0.53	2.3 (15.5)	1.5 (11.0)	0.62

*Higher MUST score indicates high risk for malnutrition.

†PG-SGA class dichotomised to PG-SGA A (nourished) and PG-SGA B and C (malnourished).

‡Higher EQ-5D index indicates better QoL.

§Higher VAS indicates better QoL.

CVS, cardiovascular; EQ-5D, European Quality of Life 5 dimension; GIT, gastrointestinal; ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of hospital stay; MET, medical emergency team; MUST, Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool; PG-SGA, Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment; QoL, quality of life; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale.

Table 2 Unadjusted and adjusted ORs and 95% CIs (95% CI) for early readmission/death (0–7 days)

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P value
Malnourished	4.57 (1.69 to 12.37)	0.001	5.01 (1.69 to 14.75)	0.009
Age	1.00 (0.96 to 1.05)	0.73	1.00 (0.94 to 1.05)	0.80
Female sex	0.42 (0.19 to 0.89)	0.03	0.42 (0.17 to 1.04)	0.06
Total comorbidities	1.08 (0.95 to 1.23)	0.25	1.15 (0.96 to 1.38)	0.13
Charlson Comorbidity Index	1.16 (0.96 to 1.40)	0.12	1.08 (0.84 to 1.39)	0.55
Medications during index admission	0.97 (0.88 to 1.05)	0.47	0.91 (0.81 to 1.02)	0.12
LOS of index admission	1.03 (1.01 to 1.04)	0.001	1.03 (1.00 to 1.05)	0.02
Admission in last 6 months prior to index admission	0.77 (0.53 to 1.12)	0.13	0.66 (0.27 to 1.58)	0.35
Principal diagnosis index admission				
Reference (respiratory illness)	–	–	–	–
CVS	0.63 (0.23 to 1.75)	0.38	0.63 (0.20 to 2.04)	0.44
CNS	0.61 (0.16 to 2.32)	0.48	0.34 (0.06 to 1.93)	0.23
GIT	0.54 (0.13 to 2.59)	0.44	0.42 (0.07 to 2.36)	0.33
Falls	–	–	–	–
Urinary	–	–	–	–
Miscellaneous	0.61 (0.23 to 1.61)	0.31	0.35 (0.11 to 1.12)	0.07
ICU hours during index admission	1.03 (0.99 to 1.02)	0.56	1.01 (0.97 to 1.05)	0.63
Total MET calls index admission	1.55 (0.95 to 2.54)	0.08	0.84 (0.31 to 2.22)	0.72

*OR determined using multivariable logistic regression (using early/late readmissions or death as outcome variable).

CNS, central nervous system; CVS, cardiovascular; GIT, gastrointestinal; ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of hospital stay; MET, medical emergency team.

between 8 and 180 days postdischarge, malnourished patients had higher odds to have a combined endpoint of readmission and death (OR 1.98, 95% CI 1.19 to 3.28, $P=0.007$; [table 3](#)), and this remained significant even after adjustment for the above covariates (OR 1.97, 95% CI 1.12 to 3.47, $P=0.002$; [table 3](#)). The p value for the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit was >0.05 for both the adjusted models, indicating a good fit. The variance inflation factors and tolerance were near 1.0 for all variables, excluding significant collinearity. The link test confirmed that the linear approach to model the outcomes was correct. The Kaplan-Meier survival curve ([figure 1](#)) shows that the nourished group had significantly fewer readmissions and deaths at 180 days than the malnourished group (log-rank $\chi^2=11.4$, $P<0.001$).

DISCUSSION

The present study's results indicate that malnutrition at admission, as determined by the PG-SGA, was a significant predictor of a combined endpoint of readmission or mortality in older general medical patients, during both the early and late periods after hospital discharge. Malnutrition was associated with an almost fourfold increased risk of readmission or mortality within 7 days after discharge, and the risk almost doubled between 8 and 180 days after discharge. Malnutrition remained a significant

predictor even after adjustment for other covariates that could have influenced the clinical outcome.

One appealing explanation for these results is that the acute condition responsible for the index admission weakens the patient's overall health, and malnutrition further compounds this problem with a consequent higher risk of complications or exacerbations of previously stable comorbidities.²¹ The postdischarge period is a fragile period, referred to as—'posthospital syndrome'.²² This syndrome has been described as a period of vulnerability due to impaired physiological systems, depleted reserves and lower body resistance against health threats, on top of the recent acute illness responsible for the index admission. The current study's results introduce another dimension to this theory: impaired nutritional status may play a significant role in the postdischarge period beyond 7 days. The acute illness and the stress of the index admission may exacerbate malnutrition, possibly inducing a relapse or predisposing the patient to new acute illnesses that increase the risk of readmission or mortality.^{23 24}

The present study's results are in line with Mogensen *et al*, who found that malnourished patients who survived intensive care admission had higher 90-day mortality (OR 3.72, 95% CI 1.2 to 6.3) and that malnutrition was a significant predictor of their 30-day unplanned hospital readmission.²⁵ Studies in patients with heart failure

Table 3 Unadjusted and adjusted ORs and 95% CIs (95% CI) for late readmission/death (8–180 days)

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P value
Malnourished	1.98 (1.19 to 3.28)	0.007	1.97 (1.12 to 3.47)	0.009
Age	1.00 (0.98 to 1.03)	0.81	1.00 (0.97 to 1.03)	0.94
Female sex	0.86 (0.51 to 1.44)	0.56	0.93 (0.52 to 1.66)	0.83
Total comorbidities	1.14 (1.04 to 1.25)	0.006	1.07 (0.95 to 1.22)	0.30
Charlson Comorbidity Index	1.11 (0.97 to 1.28)	0.13	1.03 (0.86 to 1.23)	0.85
Medications during index admission	1.08 (1.02 to 1.14)	0.008	1.05 (0.98 to 1.12)	0.17
LOS of index admission	1.01 (0.99 to 1.02)	0.45	1.01 (0.99 to 1.02)	0.52
Admission in last 6 months prior to index admission	1.55 (0.96 to 2.53)	0.07	1.38 (0.79 to 2.40)	0.26
Principal diagnosis index admission				
Reference (respiratory illness)	–	–	–	–
CVS	1.58 (0.75 to 3.27)	0.22	2.06 (0.91 to 4.70)	0.08
CNS	1.09 (0.44 to 2.71)	0.85	1.12 (0.41 to 3.04)	0.81
GIT	2.03 (0.71 to 5.73)	0.18	1.91 (0.58 to 6.28)	0.29
Falls	0.26 (0.08 to 0.85)	0.03	0.26 (0.07 to 0.89)	0.03
Urinary	0.83 (0.28 to 2.41)	0.72	0.71 (0.21 to 2.32)	0.57
Miscellaneous	1.40 (0.70 to 2.79)	0.34	1.36 (0.63 to 2.92)	0.44
ICU hours during index admission	0.99 (0.98 to 1.01)	0.53	1.01 (0.98 to 1.03)	0.64
Total MET calls index admission	0.76 (0.41 to 1.39)	0.36	0.66 (0.32 to 1.34)	0.25

*ORs determined using multivariable logistic regression (using early/late readmissions or death as outcome variable).

CNS, central nervous system; CVS, cardiovascular; GIT, gastrointestinal; ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of hospital stay; MET, medical emergency team.

have suggested that malnutrition may contribute to the progression of the underlying heart disease due to low-grade inflammation leading to poor outcomes and was a significant predictor of readmissions.²⁶

Older general medical patients are known to have substantial long-term morbidity and mortality. Known risk factors for adverse events following discharge include multiple comorbidity, severity of index admission and institutional care rather than domiciliary care.^{2,27} Hospital

readmissions represent a multifaceted problem that require a better understanding.²¹ Presumably there are other unknown factors that influence patient outcomes after discharge. The present study illustrates that early and late postdischarge patient outcomes appear to be associated with the presence of malnutrition during admission. While causation cannot be inferred from an observational study, the malnutrition postdischarge outcome has biological plausibility.

To date, no study has included nutritional status in the development of a predictive tool for readmissions and this area needs further research. Studies do suggest that nutritional intervention initiated early during hospitalisation, by providing high-energy protein supplements with a continuation posthospital discharge, does have a favourable impact on nutritional parameters and reduces the LOS; however, its impact on mortality and readmissions is unclear, and such an intervention may be too late for some.^{28,29} While the ideal intervention to improve nutritional status in hospitalised patients has yet to be identified, the solution may lie in recognising and managing malnutrition in the community before any hospital admission.³⁰




Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier survival curve for combined outcome in nourished and malnourished.

LIMITATIONS

This study has several limitations. First, it is a single-centre study in a tertiary care hospital. The case mix of patients

discharged from this hospital may differ from that of other hospitals; thus, the results may not be generalisable particularly to community hospitals, although it is likely to be similar to other academic hospitals in Australia. The study was unable to adjust its analysis for functional status or other factors, such as appropriateness of drugs, clinical stability at discharge or social factors that might influence readmission. This study involved older general medical patients who frequently suffer from multiple comorbidities, and our results may not be applicable to relatively younger subspecialty patients with single organ system involvement.

One of the study's strengths is that it was a prospective study and that the malnutrition diagnosis was confirmed by a dietitian using a comprehensive nutrition assessment tool. The study also assessed all readmissions in all state hospitals, unlike some other studies that were only able to capture readmissions to a single hospital.

IMPLICATIONS

This study has several implications. Transitions of care should focus on the acute condition and on the patient's nutritional status, because the latter may increase the risk of readmission or death. There is a need for future well-designed studies to examine the beneficial effects of an intervention targeting malnutrition and whether this intervention prevents readmissions and mortality. In the interim, nutritional intervention should be most effective if begun early during admission and it should be continued in the community following discharge by referral to either a community dietitian or follow-up at an outpatient dietetic clinic. Overall, public health policies to optimise nutrition of those over 60 years of age may result in a reduction in healthcare usage.

CONCLUSION

Impaired nutritional status at admission predicts poor clinical outcomes in both early and late postdischarge periods as determined by readmissions and mortality in older general medical patients and a targeted nutritional intervention may prove beneficial in malnourished patients.

Author affiliations

¹Department of General Medicine, Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia

²School of Medicine, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

³Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

⁴Department of Health Economics, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

⁵Faculty of Health Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

⁶Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia

⁷Discipline of Medicine, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

Contributors YS, CT and MM designed the study and YS, CT, BK and MM carried out the analysis and interpretation. YS, PH and CH provided statistical input. YS and

RS undertook recruitment. YS and CT wrote the manuscript, which was edited by BK, RS and MM. All authors approved final manuscript.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Ethical approval was obtained from Southern Adelaide Human Research Committee (SAC HREC; approval number 273.14-HREC/14/SAC/282) on 21 July 2014.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Royal College of Physicians. *Hospitals on the edge? The time for action*. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2012. <https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/hospitals-edge-time-action>
- Balla U, Malnick S, Schattner A. Early readmissions to the department of medicine as a screening tool for monitoring quality of care problems. *Medicine* 2008;87:294–300.
- Dharmarajan K, Hsieh AF, Lin Z, *et al*. Diagnoses and timing of 30-day readmissions after hospitalization for heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, or pneumonia. *JAMA* 2013;309:355–63.
- Klausen HH, Petersen J, Bandholm T, *et al*. Association between routine laboratory tests and long-term mortality among acutely admitted older medical patients: a cohort study. *BMC Geriatr* 2017;17:62.
- Puhan MA, Scharplatz M, Troosters T, *et al*. Respiratory rehabilitation after acute exacerbation of COPD may reduce risk for readmission and mortality -- a systematic review. *Respir Res* 2005;6:54.
- Bradley EH, Curry L, Horwitz LI, *et al*. Hospital strategies associated with 30-day readmission rates for patients with heart failure. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2013;6:444–50.
- Jencks SF, Williams MV, Coleman EA. Rehospitalizations among patients in the Medicare fee-for-service program. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:1418–28.
- Prescott HC, Sjong MW, Iwashyna TJ. Diagnoses of early and late readmissions after hospitalization for pneumonia. A systematic review. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014;11:1091–100.
- Graham KL, Marcantonio ER. Differences between early and late readmissions among patients. *Ann Intern Med* 2015;163:650.
- Kansagara D, Englander H, Salanitro A, *et al*. Risk prediction models for hospital readmission: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2011;306:1688–98.
- Stratton RJ, Hébuterne X, Elia M. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of oral nutritional supplements on hospital readmissions. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013;12:884–97.
- Sharma Y, Thompson C, Shahi R, *et al*. Malnutrition in acutely unwell hospitalized elderly - 'The skeletons are still rattling in the hospital closet'. *J Nutr* 2017;1–6.
- Charlton K, Nichols C, Bowden S, *et al*. Poor nutritional status of older subacute patients predicts clinical outcomes and mortality at 18 months of follow-up. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2012;66:1224–8.
- Kruizenga HM, Wierdsma NJ, van Bokhorst MA, *et al*. Screening of nutritional status in the Netherlands. *Clin Nutr* 2003;22:147–52.
- Stratton RJ, King CL, Stroud MA, *et al*. 'Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool' predicts mortality and length of hospital stay in acutely ill elderly. *Br J Nutr* 2006;95:325–30.
- Desbrow B, Bauer J, Blum C, *et al*. Assessment of nutritional status in hemodialysis patients using patient-generated subjective global assessment. *J Ren Nutr* 2005;15:211–6.
- Marshall S, Young A, Bauer J, *et al*. Malnutrition in geriatric rehabilitation: prevalence, patient outcomes, and criterion validity of the Scored Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment and the Mini Nutritional Assessment. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2016;116:785–94.
- Bushnell DM, Martin ML, Ricci JF, *et al*. Performance of the EQ-5D in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Value Health* 2006;9:90–7.

19. Cole A, Shah K, Mulhern B, *et al.* Valuing EQ-5D-5L health states 'in context' using a discrete choice experiment. *Eur J Health Econ* 2017 [Epub ahead of print].
20. Belsley DA, Kuh E, Welsch RE. *Regression diagnostics: Identifying influential data and sources of collinearity*: John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
21. Donzé J, Lipsitz S, Bates DW, *et al.* Causes and patterns of readmissions in patients with common comorbidities: retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2013;347:f7171.
22. Krumholz HM. Post-hospital syndrome--an acquired, transient condition of generalized risk. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:100–2.
23. Alzahrani SH, Alamri SH. Prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors among hospitalized elderly patients in King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *BMC Geriatr* 2017;17:136.
24. Mazzola P, Ward L, Zazzetta S, *et al.* Association between preoperative malnutrition and postoperative delirium after hip fracture surgery in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2017;65:1222–8.
25. Mogensen KM, Horkan CM, Purtle SW, *et al.* Malnutrition, critical illness survivors, and postdischarge outcomes: a cohort study. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2017.
26. Agra Bermejo RM, González Ferreiro R, Varela Román A, *et al.* Nutritional status is related to heart failure severity and hospital readmissions in acute heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2017;230:108–14.
27. Graham KL, Wilker EH, Howell MD, *et al.* Differences between early and late readmissions among patients: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2015;162:741–9.
28. Bally MR, Blaser Yildirim PZ, Bounoure L, *et al.* Nutritional support and outcomes in malnourished medical inpatients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016;176:43–53.
29. Sharma Y, Thompson C, Kaambwa B, *et al.* Investigation of the benefits of early malnutrition screening with telehealth follow up in elderly acute medical admissions. *QJM* 2017:639–47 [Epub ahead of print].
30. Milne AC, Potter J, Vivanti A, *et al.* Protein and energy supplementation in elderly people at risk from malnutrition. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;(2):CD003288.