Molecular Comparisons of Full Length Metapneumovirus (MPV) Genomes, Including Newly Determined French AMPV-C and –D Isolates, Further Supports Possible Subclassification within the MPV Genus



Paul A. Brown^{*}, Evelyne Lemaitre, François-Xavier Briand, Céline Courtillon, Olivier Guionie, Chantal Allée, Didier Toquin, Marie-Hélène Bayon-Auboyer[¤], Véronique Jestin, Nicolas Eterradossi

French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety (ANSES), Avian and Rabbit Virology Immunology and Parasitology Unit (VIPAC), Université Européenne de Bretagne, Ploufragan/Plouzané laboratory, Ploufragan, France

Abstract

Four avian metapneumovirus (AMPV) subgroups (A–D) have been reported previously based on genetic and antigenic differences. However, until now full length sequences of the only known isolates of European subgroup C and subgroup D viruses (duck and turkey origin, respectively) have been unavailable. These full length sequences were determined and compared with other full length AMPV and human metapneumoviruses (HMPV) sequences reported previously, using phylogenetics, comparisons of nucleic and amino acid sequences and study of codon usage bias. Results confirmed that subgroup C viruses were more closely related to HMPV than they were to the other AMPV subgroups in the study. This was consistent with previous findings using partial genome sequences. Closer relationships between AMPV-A, B and D were also evident throughout the majority of results. Three metapneumovirus "clusters" HMPV, AMPV-C and AMPV-A, B and D were further supported by codon bias and phylogenetics. The data presented here together with those of previous studies describing antigenic relationships also between AMPV-A, B and D and between AMPV-C and HMPV may call for a subclassification of metapneumoviruses similar to that used for avian paramyxoviruses, grouping AMPV-A, B and D as type I metapneumoviruses and AMPV-C and HMPV as type II.

Citation: Brown PA, Lemaitre E, Briand F-X, Courtillon C, Guionie O, et al. (2014) Molecular Comparisons of Full Length Metapneumovirus (MPV) Genomes, Including Newly Determined French AMPV-C and –D Isolates, Further Supports Possible Subclassification within the MPV Genus. PLoS ONE 9(7): e102740. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0102740

Editor: Siba K. Samal, University of Maryland, United States of America

Received April 29, 2014; Accepted June 20, 2014; Published July 18, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Brown et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. The sequence files for the newly determined French AMPV-C and-D isolates are available from the EMBL database, accession number(s) HG934338 and HG934339.

Funding: Regional Council of Brittany (Région Bretagne) SAD METABE 7160 programme and of Departement des Cotes d'Armor. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: Paul.brown@anses.fr

¤ Current address: Labocea, Zoopôle, Ploufragan, France

Introduction

The Genus *Metapneumovirus* (MPV), in the family *Paramyx-oviridae*, subfamily *Pneumovirinae*, includes globally important viruses in avian and human health. Avian MPV (AMPV) [1–5] cause respiratory and genital disorders in poultry having a severe economic impact on the industry [6]. Human MPV (HMPV), is responsible for bronchiolitis in infants [7,8] and severe infections in the elderly or immunocompromised adults [7,9–11]. AMPV and HMPV are now classified into the genus *Metapneumovirus* [12], to acknowledge the difference in genome order [13] and the absence of the non structural protein genes NS1 and NS2 as compared with members of the *Pneumovirus* genus [14].

MPV have non segmented, single stranded, negative sense RNA genomes between 13.1 and 14.2 kb which are known to encode 9 proteins. MPV genomes are organized in the order 3'-leader-N-P-M-F-M2-SH-G-L-trailer-5'. Genetic and antigenic studies have revealed four AMPV subgroups (A to D) and two HMPV

subgroups (A and B) with a high similarity between HMPV subgroup A (strain 001) and AMPV-C [15–19]. Genetic sublineages have been defined within HMPV subgroups and AMPV-C, the latter forming two genetic lineages in Muscovy ducks in France [20] and turkeys and wild birds in the USA [21–25]. It is not fully understood why AMPV-C pathogenic for turkeys emerged in the USA, whereas such viruses have not been recognized in the EU or Asia, with the exception of the AMPV-C strain recently isolated in chickens in China [26].

Determining full length sequences of viral genomes is an essential step towards studying the possible molecular basis for host tropism or pathogenicity, first by allowing the development of reverse genetics systems for the studied strains, and second by allowing genome wide sequence comparisons highlighting relevant regions to study using reverse genetics. Full length genome sequences of subgroup A and B viruses are available [27–30]. Full sequences are available for AMPV-C from both turkey [16,31] and wild goose [21,32] in the US, from pheasants in Korea [33],

and most recently from Muscovy duck in China (Acc N° KC915036 and KF364615). Partial sequence (M gene) is available for a Chinese chicken isolate of AMPV-C (acc N° JX422020).

In combination with sequences described previously [20,24,34,35], this study completes the sequences of European AMPV-C (French duck isolate, Fr-AMPV-C) and D (French Turkey isolate, Fr-AMPV-D). Comparisons were made to full length sequences of European AMPV-A, B and US-C and of all HMPV-A and B sublineages.

Materials and Methods

Acquisition of Full length Fr-AMPV-C and D sequences

Fr-AMPV-C Muscovy duck/France/1999/99178 and Fr-AMPV-D Turkey/France/1985/85035 (the latter previously identified Fr/85/1 in refs [35,36]) were propagated in Vero cells as described previously [20,36]. The 99178 and 85035 viruses were shown experimentally to be pathogenic for SPF Muscovy ducklings or turkeys, respectively [37]. Fr-AMPV-C and Fr-AMPV-D virus stocks had a titer of $\log_{10}^{4.20}$ and $\log_{10}^{5.00}$ TCID₅₀/ml, respectively [38]. Viral RNA was extracted using QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, France) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers were designed from previously published partial sequences (Table S1) and from the full genome sequences of US AMPV-C and AMPV-A and B. Additional primers were defined from the newly determined sequences (sequence of all primers are available on request). Sequence of the leader and trailer as previously reported, based on 3' tailing of the genome and its positive replication intermediate [34]. cDNA copies of the viral RNA were prepared using superscript II (Invitrogen, France) according to manufacturer's recommendations. dsDNA was amplified from cDNA in overlapping segments using Expand high fidelity enzyme (EHF, Roche, France) according to manufacturer's recommendations. PCR products were purified using an Utraclean Gelspin kit (Mobio), and sequenced using Big Dye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit as recommended by the manufacturer. Each genome region was amplified three times and PCR products were sequenced in both directions.

Genetic comparisons

Full length Fr-AMPV-C and Fr-AMPV-D sequences were assembled using vectorNTIv11 software, then aligned using MEGA 5.2 [39] against available full genome sequences of MPV downloaded from Genbank (four HMPV and 13 AMPV genomes, see Acc No in Table 1). Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted and then compared with those reported previously using MEGA 5.2. Program "getorf" from EMBOSS (emboss.sourceforge.net) was used to detect potential ORFs which had been defined as a region of at least 150 nucleotides between two STOP codons. The amino acid (aa) sequences of these ORFs were compiled in a database file. ORFs identified from Fr-AMPV-C and Fr-AMPV-D were compared to all other MPV ORFs by local BLAST [40] and were submitted to global BLAST search online.

Codon usage

The extent of codon bias was evaluated among the studied MPV. To measure the general non-uniformity of the synonymous codon usage, the effective number of codons (Nc) [41] was calculated based on the longest MPV gene (L). Nc values range from 20 when only one of the possible synonymous codons is used for each amino acid, to 61 when all synonymous codons are used equally. The closer the Nc value is to 20, the stronger the bias in codon usage and the more non random codon usage is. It is

generally admitted that genes have a significant codon bias when the Nc value is less than or equal to 35 [42].

In the Nc value calculation formula: Nc = 2+9/F2+1/F3+5/F4+3/F6, F2 corresponds to the probability that two randomly chosen codons for an amino acid, possibly encoded by two distinct codons, are identical. F3 is the probability that three randomly chosen codons for an amino acid with three synonymous codons are identical and so on for F4 and F6. The Nc value was determined using CodonW 1.4.4 (http://codonw.souceforge.net) and was correlated to the percentage of G+C at the third position (GC3) as it has been shown previously to be a major factor influencing the synonymous codon usage pattern in the HMPV genome [43].

Phylogenetics. All available AMPV full-length genome sequences and one representative of each of the four HMPV sublineages were aligned using Clustal W. Alignments were also checked manually for a good correspondence of the common coding regions. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using MEGA 5.2 with the Neighbor-Joining method (1000 boostrap replicates) and the Kimura-2-parameter substitution model.

Results and Discussion

Sequence overview

The full length consensus sequences for Fr-AMPV-C and Fr-AMPV-D were 14152bp- and 13415bp-long, respectively. Table S1 presents the previously released sequences for these two viruses. The present report provides newly determined sequences equal to 73 and 78% of the total genome sequence for these viruses, respectively. The full length genomes were consistent in the order (3'-leader-N-P-M-F-M2-SH-G-L-trailer-5') and in the size of known ORFs for MPV genomes (Table 1). Both sequences have been submitted to EMBL (Accession numbers HG934338 and HG934339 respectively). The Fr-AMPV-C and Fr-AMPV-D genomes, like several other AMPVs, were found not to conform to the "rule of six" [44], a feature that separates pneumovirinae from paramyxovirinae [45]. In general, genome lengths were conserved amongst AMPV subgroups A, B and D and amongst HMPV sublineages, however clear differences could be seen in the genome lengths of AMPV subgroup C viruses, mostly resulting from the different lengths of their G genes (Table 1).

Phylogenetics

Three significant clusters were observed, one grouping all HMPVs, a second grouping AMPV-Cs and a third grouping the AMPV-A, B and D subgroups (Fig. 1).

Within the AMPV-C cluster, viruses isolated from Muscovy ducks (SO1, GDY and 99178) formed a separate sub-lineage from the others. This separation is potentially related to species rather than geographic origin as the Asian SO1, GDY, PL-1 and PL-2 isolates were split into different clusters (SO1 and GDY with the European 99178 isolate and PL-1 and 2 with the US isolates, Fig. 1), although these geographical relationships could be blurred if AMPV were shed by migratory birds, in the overlap between the East Asian-Australasian flyway with both the East Atlantic and/Pacific Americas flyway in the Northern hemisphere [46].

Nucleoprotein (N) ORF

The first ORF in the AMPV genome encodes the N protein, which is a component of the polymerase complex and important for the formation of the nucleocapsid helical structure [45,47]. The N ORF of Fr-AMPV-C was more closely related to that of other AMPV-Cs and HMPVs in terms of length and aa conservation than it was to AMPV-A, B or D.

Table 1. Molecular features of MPV regions and the deduced gene products.

		enome ^c	4152	14114 ^d	14079	14070	14070	4150	3134	4151	3170	3170	3371	3373	3371	3508	3415	13350	3336	3293	3282	
		Ŭ	11	141 V	148 🗸	140 >	140 >	۲ ۲	30 13	۲ ر	30 13	13	5 13	5 13	5 13	13	13	166 >	35 13	13	1	
		Ω	8 18 5)	8)5) >	8	8)))	8))	8 18 15)	8 18 15)	8 18 15)	8 18 5)	8 18 15)	5 11	5 11	5 11	5 13	1 12	8	8 18 15)	8 15 15)	8 15 15)	
			601 (200	601. (200	601. (200	601.	601.	601	601.	601.	601 (200	601 (200	601 (200	601 (200	601 (200	(200	602 (200	601.	(200	601.	3 601 (200	
		6/1	4	4	4	4	4	4	44	28	28	28	85	86	85	100	106	206	241	239	186	
		ט ני	1758 (585)	1758 (585)	1758 (585)	1758 (585)	1758 (585)	1758 (585)	756 (251)	1758 (585)	795 (264)	795 (264)	1176 (391)	1176 (391)	1176 (391)	1245 (414)	1170 (389)	711 (236)	660 (219)	675 (224)	711 (236)	
		SH/G	187	187	186	185	185	186	186	186	184	184	76	76	76	105	86	201	211	206	210	
	1	ВH	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	528 (175)	543 (180)	528 (175)	552 (183)	540 (179)	534 (177)	534 (177)	
	M2-2	ВН	21	22	22	23	23	23	22	22	22	22	52	52	52	37	57	30	32	32	30	
		M2-2	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	216 (71)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	222 (73)	
ÇM		M2-1	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	555 (184)	561 (186)	561 (186)	561 (186)	561 (186)	567 (188)	564 (187)	564 (187)	564 (187)	564 (187)	с;
		F/M2	33	33	32	33	33	32	33	32	33	33	26	26	26	27	26	65	37	26	26	e P 5'N0
			614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	614 537)	617 538)	617 538)	617 538)	617 538)	617 538)	620 539)	620 539)	620 539)	620 539)	and the
		M/F F	05 1	05 1 (05 1	05 1	05 1	05 1	05 1	05 1	05 1	05 1	09	09	0	1	4	22 1	22 1	21 1	21 1	c region
		2	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	566	5 6 6	5 6 6	566	5 5 5	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	5 1 54)	ts. itergenic e length
		M	5 79	5 29	(2, 76	5 2	(2, 76	2	76	2 2	2 2	2	2	5 2	(2, 76	2	(2, 76	5 20	(2, 76	5 20	2 2	bracket e N-P in genome rmed.
		P/	(† 25	t) 27	t) 27	(†	(† 1)	(†	t) 26	t) 25	t) 26	t) 26	3) 25	3) 25	3) 25	9) 25	3) 25	32	31	32	4) 32	cated in Jene, th han the Jg confi
		Р ^ь Р	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29-	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29,	837 (278	837 (278	837 (278	840 (279	837 (278	885 (29	885 (29,	885 (29,	885 (29	vas indi the N g reater t not beii
		N/) 22	5 22	5 22	5 22	5 22	; 22	; 22	; 22	; 22	; 22	5 24	5 25	5 24	5 23	5 24	5 23	5 23	5 23	; 23	length v 'NCR of ited is g emities
		Na	1185 (394	1185 (394	3 118 ⁽ (394	3 118 ⁵ (394	3 118 ⁵ (394	1185 (394	1185 (394	1185 (394	1185 (394	1185 (394	1176 (391	1176 (391	1176 (391	11 <i>7</i> ((391	1176 (391	1185 (394	118 <u>(</u> (394	118! (394	1185 (394	orotein es the 3 s presen me extr
		s 3	vy 54	vy 53	<u>ر</u> ۲	$\overline{\wedge}$	7	53	53	53	nt 53	nt 53	55	55	55	55	62	39	54	53	53	educed ompass cleotide: ne geno vely.
	Host	specie	Musco Duck	Musco Duck	Musco Duck	Goose	Goose	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Pheasa	Pheasa	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Humar	Humar	Humar	Humar	1, the de this enc n of nue lue to th respecti
<u>.</u>		ntry	e	a	a	nesota)	nesota)	orado)	orado)	nesota)	а	a	ed dom	ed dom		e	e	erlands	erlands	erlands	erlands	DRF lengt ^h d P ORFs, 2, the sur n shown d equences
Ç		Cou	Fran	Chin	Chin	USA (Mini	USA (Mini	USA (Colc	USA (Colc	USA (Mini	Kore	Kore	Unite King	Unite King	Italy	Fran	Fran	Neth	Neth	Neth	Neth	to the C N and and M2 ger thau trailer si
	Accession	number	HG934338	KC915036	KF364615	DQ009484	NC-007652	AY590688	AY579780	FJ977568	EF199771	EF199772	DQ666911	AY640317	JF424833	AB548428	HG934339	AF371337	FJ168779	AY525843	FJ168778	in addition between th tween M2.1 nome is lon leader and e.0102740.tC
		Strain	99178	GYP	S-01	APV/11	15a/01	APV-CO	APV/CO	2a/97	PL-1	PL-2	8544	LAH	259-01/ 03	VCO3/ 60616	85035	00-1	NL/00/ 17	NL/1/99	NL/94/ 01	ig regions, nucleotide: vverlap be the full ge uding the vurnal.pon
		Virus	Fr-AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-C	AMPV-A	AMPV-A	AMPV-A	AMPV-B	Fr-AMPV-D	HMPV A1	HMPV-A2	HMPV-B1	HMPV-B2	^a For all codin ^b number of r ^c Due to the c ^d > Indicates 3' and 5' incl doi:10.1371/jc



Figure 1. Genetic relationships between previously published MPV genome sequences and the full length sequences of Fr-AMPV-C (•) and D (•). The tree was constructed as described in the text using the neighbor-joining method. Percentages at branch points represent the number of times the group to the right of that branch point occurred among 1000 trees generated by bootstrap from the original alignment. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.g001

Fr-AMPV-C, N ORF (394aa) was identical to previously reported AMPV-C N ORFs regardless of host species and to those of all HMPV sublineages (Table 1). High aa identity was observed with all other AMPV-C sequences (99%) and with all HMPV sublineages (89–90%) however, the identities with AMPV-A, B and D were lower (70, 71 and 73%, respectively). Two aa positions (44 and 137) were found to be specific of Fr-AMPV-C N compared to all other AMPV-Cs, notably these were the same amino acids as found in HMPV subgroups at this position (Fig. 2). Neither of these amino acids was within the three conserved regions identified previously among pneumoviruses (Barr et al 1991) and represented as boxes A–C in Fig. 2 the two latter (B and C) being merged in MPV forming a larger conserved domain B/C (aa 241–327 Fig. 2). Four separate regions (see grey shaded boxes in Fig. 2) were also highly conserved in all MPVs.

Subgroups A, B and D viruses were more closely related in terms of length and aa identity than they were to AMPV-Cs or HMPVs. Indeed, the length of the Fr-AMPV-D N protein (391aa) was identical to that of both AMPV-A and B and three amino acids shorter than AMPV-C and HMPV N proteins. Amino acid identities were high with A and B (89–90%) but lower with subgroup C and HMPV N proteins (71–74%). Localization of aa differences can be seen in Fig. 2, which also supports a relationship between Fr-AMPV-D and subgroups A and B.

Phosphoprotein (P) ORF

The second main ORF in the AMPV genome encodes the P protein, which is also part of the polymerase complex. Consistent with N protein comparisons, the P ORFs of AMPV-Cs and

HMPVs were more closely related than they were to AMPV-A, B and D. In the same respect, subgroups A, B and D also demonstrated closer relationships.

The length of the Fr-AMPV-C, P ORF (294aa) was identical to other previously reported subgroup C P ORFs regardless of host species, and to those of all HMPV subgroups (Table 1). The P ORFs of AMPV-A, B and D were 16-17aa shorter (Table 1). The full length Fr-AMPV-C P sequence demonstrated a high aa conservation of 96-97% with all AMPV-C sequences, 67-68% with HMPV subgroups and 56%, 54% and 53% with AMPV-A, B and D respectively. Sequence conservation in the carboxy terminal half of the P protein (aa160–294) was notably higher for all the studied MPVs than it was in the amino terminal half (aa11-159). The carboxy terminal half has been reported to support most of the interactions with the N protein and polymerase complex, as reviewed by Easton et al., 2004 [45]. The high conservation of the P interaction domain between AMPV-C and HMPV is consistent with the finding that a recombinant chimeric HMPV with the P gene derived from AMPV-C was able to replicate in Vero cells [48,49].

In common with all subgroup C and HMPV P protein sequences analyzed previously [50], Fr-AMPV-C P lacked cysteine residues and maintained high conservation within the region (aa185–240) proposed to play a role in maintaining the structural integrity of the nucleocapsid complex [51]. More recently, this region in the HMPV P sequence has been shown to contain a short molecular recognition element (aa198–211) and a small domain (aa171–193) responsible for P tetramerization [52] (Fig. 3). In the later domain subgroup C viruses were fully conserved and

						44				
99178	(C)	MSLOG	IOLSDLSYKH	AILKESOYTI	KRDVGITTAV	TPSSLOREIS	LLCGEILYAK	HTDYSHAAEV	GMOYVSTTLG	75
GYP	(C)					V.				/5
S-01	(C)	• • • • •				· · · · · · · · · · V.	•••••			
15a/01	(C) (C)				P					
APV-CO	(C)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
APV/CO 2a/97	(C)	• • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
PL-1	(C)					v.				
PL-2	(C)					· · · · · · · · · M.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8544 LAH	(A) (A)	ES	.RE	ED	RAI	EPQV.	TMV.F	EP	IA	
259-01/03	(A)	ES	.RE	ED	RAI	EPQV.	TMV.F	EP	IA	
VCO3/60616 85035	5(B) (D)	ES	.RE	D	RAI	EPKV.	TMF T MV FT	.AEP	IA	
00-1	(A1)		.н			QT		.AKYI	.IIA	
NL/00/17	(A2)	• • • • •	.H	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	QT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.AKYI	.IIA	
NL/1/99 NL/94/01	(B1) (B2)		.н					KYI	.IIC.A	
	N D D D D	OTTIN	OCODIKANU B	KENOLOKOKA	OKCERT ONLD	THOUDKONNE		137	TRONORRAGE	
	AERT,	QILKN	SGSEVQAVLT	KTISLGKGKN	SKGEELQMLD	INGVERSAVE	EVDKEARKIM	AFATKDNSGP	TPQNQRP55P	155
		• • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		D		• • • • • • • • • • •	.5	• • • • • • • • • • •	
						RI.		.5		
		• • • • •	•••••			RI.	•••••	. <u>5</u>		
						RI.		.6		
						RI.		. 5		
	.D		G.M.	KIVTLSAE	GSVRKREV.N	D.GVG.AD	D.ERTT.EA.	GAMVR-EKVQ GAMVREK-VO	LTKKAL	
	.D		G.M.	KIVTLSAE	GSVRKREV.N	D.GVG.AD	D.ERTT.EA.	GAMVR.K-VQ	LTKKAL	
	.DK	S	G.M.	.IVT.PAEGP	IRKREV.N	DIGPA.AD	N.ERTE	SLMVEKAQ	KKAL	
	.D	R.	G.M.	R.VMVPTEGL	1RREV	GVG.AD	E.AE	GNMVR-DKAQ	KKAV	
	sv.	R.	v	RV	ND		.I	LL.ESN	A.	
	SV.	R.	V			I.	. I	V.LL.ESN	A.	
	5V.	K.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	V.LL.ESN	A.	
			Α							
	DAPII	LLCIG	ALIFTKLAST	IEVGLETAVR	RANRVLNDAL	KRFPRIDIPK	IARSFYDLFE	QKVYYRSLFI	EYGKALGSSS	235
			P					•••••		
	• • • • •				s			•••••		
	77	1								
	· · · · ·			VI.	ssI	S.YR	KFE	KN	T.	
	V.			VI. VI.	SSI SSI	S.YMR S.YMR	KFE	KN KN	T. T.	
	v. v.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VI. VI. VI. VI.	SSI SSI SSI SS.	S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR	KFE KFE KFE KFE	KN KN KN KN	T. T. T.	
	v. v. v.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VI. VI. VI. VI.	SSI SSI SSI SS.	S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR	KFE KFE KFE KFE	KN KN KN KN	T. T. T. T.	
	V. V. V. V.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. VI.		S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR SMR YM	KFE KFE KFE KFE	KN KN KN KN KN	T. T. T. T. T. T.	
	V. V. V. V. V. V.	v. v. v.		VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T. T.		S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR SMR .YM .YM .YM	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. KN.		
	V. V. V. .T .T .T	V. V. V. V.		VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. VT. T T		S.Y.MR S.Y.MR S.Y.MR S.Y.M.R S.Y.M.R SM.R .Y.M .Y.M .Y.M. .Y.Y.Y.Y		KN. KN. KN. KN. KN.		
	V. V. V. .T .T .T	v. v. v. v.		VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. VT. T T		S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR .YM .YM .YM	. K. FE . K. FE . K. FE . K. FE . K. FE . E	K N K N K N K N K N H		
	V. V. V. .T .T .T .T	v. v. v. v. v.	NIFMQAYGAG	V I V I V I V		S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YMR S.YM Y Y Y V.V.V.V.V.V VSVQAELKQV	K. FE K. FE K. FE E E TEVYDLVREM	K. N. K. N. K. N. K. N. K. N. K. N. K. N. H.		315
	V. V. V. .T .T .T .T		NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T	.SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .S .S S S S	S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S M R . Y. M . Y. M . Y . Y. V . Y. V VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLLHLR		315
		B/C B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T	.SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .S .S.	S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S. M. R Y. M. R Y. M. R Y. W. R Y. V. N Y. V. N Y. V. V VSVQAELKQV	.K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .E. .E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLIHLR		315
	V. V. V. .T .T .T .T	B/C B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VT. T T	.SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .SS.I .S .S. .S. .S. .S.	S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S. M. R .Y. M. R .Y. M. R .Y. M. Y. V. Y. V. VSVQAELKQV	.K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .E. .E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLIHLR	T. T. T. T. T. T. T.	315
		B/C B/C	NI FMQ AYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T	.SS. I .SS. I	S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M. Y. M. Y. M. Y. V. VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR		315
	V. V. V. .T .T .T	B/C B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	V I. V I. V I. V I. T	.SS. I .SS. I .SSSSSSSSSS.	S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M. Y. M. Y. W. Y. V. VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR	T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. N. N.	315
		B/C B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	V I. V I. V I. V I. V	.S. S. I .S. S. S. I .S. S.	S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M. Y. M. Y. W. VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR		315
		B/C B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	V I. V I. V I. V I. V	.SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I S. S. S.	S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R Y. M . Y. M . Y . Y. V VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLLHLR	T T T T T 	315
		B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T	.SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS. I .SS 	S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S M R Y. M Y. M Y. V VSVQAELKQV	.K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLLHLR		315
		B/C B/C	NI FMQ AYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T. T. T. T. T. B QTMLRWGV TA		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R 	.K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .K.FE. .E. .E. .E. .E. .E. 	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLIHLR	T	315
		B/C B/C	NI FMQ AYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M . Y. M . Y. M . Y . Y. V VSVQAELKQV 	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. 	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR		315
		B/C	NI FMQ AYGAG	V I. V I. V I. V I. T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M Y M Y Y VSVQAELKQV 	.K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR	T. T	315
		B/C	NIFMQAYGAG	V		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M . Y. M . Y . Y. V VSVQAELKQV	.K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .E. .E. .E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR		315
		B7C	NIFMQAYGAG	V V V V		S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M. Y. M. Y. V. VSVQAELKQV	.K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .E. .E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLLHLR		315
		B7C	NI FMQ AYGAG	V		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M Y	.K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. .K. FE. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLLHLR	QSPKAGLLSL	315
			NIFMQAYGAG	V		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S M R 	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. GPESGLLHLR	T	315
			NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M . Y. M . Y. M . Y . Y . Y. V VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR GPESGLLHLR KEAAENFLNI		315
			NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M . Y. M . Y . Y . Y. V . Y. V 	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. 	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR GPESGLLHLR KEAAENFLNI		315
			NIFMQAYGAG	V		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M . Y . Y . Y	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. 	K N. K N. K N. K N. K N. K N. GPESGLIHLR GPESGLIHLR KEAAENFLNI		315
		BJC ESLFV	NIFMQAYGAG	V I. V I. V I. V I. V		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M Y	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR C GPESGLLHLR KEAAENFLNI		315
		BJC ESLFV	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M Y	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. E. 	K N K N N N		315
		B/C ESLFV FASVV	NIFMQAYGAG	V V V V		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R 	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	K N K N N N	T T T T QSPKAGLLSL N	315
		B/C PSLFV FSLFV	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M Y. M Y. M Y Y Y. V VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR GPESGLLHLR KEAAENFINI		315
		B7C	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M Y. M Y Y Y Y	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR GPESGLLHLR KEAAENFLNI	T. 	315
			I GNASGLGIL 	V		S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M. Y Y. M. Y Y. W YSVQAELKQV VSVQAELKQV VSVQAELKQV R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. 	K N K N N		315
			I STATE STAT	V		S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M. Y Y. M. Y Y. V. VSVQAELKQV VSVQAELKQV 	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. E. 	K N K N		315
		BJC ESLFV	NI FMQ AYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M Y	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. E. E. 	K N K N N		315
		B/C ESIFV FFASVV	NIFMQAYGAG	VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R S.Y. M R . Y. M	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. E. E. 	K N K N K N		315
		B/C PSLFV FSLFV		VI. VI. VI. VI. VI. T T		S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R S.Y. M. R Y. M Y. M Y. M Y. W Y. V VSVQAELKQV	. K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . K. FE. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E. . E.	KN. KN. KN. KN. H. GPESGLLHLR GPESGLLHLR KEAAENFINI KEAAENFINI KEAAENFINI C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	NEEGQNDYE*	315

Figure 2. Amino acid comparisons of the nucleocapsid ORF of AMPV-A, B, C and D and HMPV subgroups A and B. Positions 44 and 137 were specific to Fr-AMPV-C. Grey shaded boxes represent four highly conserved regions across all MPVs. Boxes A, B and C are regions that have been reported to be conserved amongst pneumoviruses (Barr et al 1991). In metapneumoviruses domains B and C appear to be extended creating one single larger domain (B/C). *end of protein. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.q002

only differed at two amino acid positions from all HMPV sequences. Sequences of subgroups A, B and D in this domain were fully conserved but they differed at three and five amino acid positions from HMPVs and AMPV-Cs respectively (Fig. 3). Interestingly the first 14aa of the molecular recognition element were 100% conserved in all MPVs with the exception of strain SO1 which contained just one aa difference (Fig. 3 grey shaded box).

The length of the Fr-AMPV-D P protein (278aa) was identical to subgroup A and just one aa shorter than the P protein of subgroup B. Amino acid identities were 71–72% conserved with subgroups A and B. In contrast to subgroup C and HMPV P proteins, subgroups A, B and D contained cysteine residues (Fig. 3). Cysteine 56 was conserved in subgroups A, B and D and cysteine 64 was conserved between subgroups A and B. Subgroups A, B and D all differed extensively at the extreme C terminus of the P protein (Fig. 3).

Matrix protein (M) ORF

The third main ORF in the AMPV genome encodes the M protein which orchestrates the assembly of viral components at the plasma membrane, through interactions with the viral glycoproteins and nucleocapsid [53,54]. The length of the M ORF (254aa) was identical in all MPVs and its aa sequence was extremely conserved amongst all AMPV-Cs (99%) and between AMPV-A, B and D (90 to 94%). High aa conservation was also seen between AMPV-C and HMPVs (87–88%), but to a lesser extent between AMPV-C and AMPV-A, B and D (78–79%). The hexapeptide (aa14–19) with no known function but conserved across all pneumoviruses [55] was also highly conserved in all MPVs, with the exception of Fr-AMPV-C that contained one conservative aa change (V \rightarrow I) at position 18. Three cysteine residues (aa110, 147 and 239) were also conserved in all MPVs.

Fusion protein (F) ORF

The fourth main ORF in the AMPV genome encodes the highly antigenic, type I membrane fusion protein F. In paramyxoviruses, F is synthesized as an inactive single precursor F0, which is directed to the endoplasmic reticulum by its N-terminal signal peptide. F0 is then cleaved at an arginine-rich cleavage site, mostly by host endoproteases such as furins, into functional F1–F2 subunits held together by disulfide bonds. The F1 subunit remains inserted into the virus membrane by its carboxy-terminal transmembrane domain [56]. The F2 subunit of both HMPV and human and bovine RSV (HRSV, BRSV) has been reported to determine cellular host range [57,58].

The length of the Fr-AMPV-C, F ORF (537aa) was identical to previously reported AMPV-C F ORFs, one as shorter than the F of AMPV-A, B and D, and two as shorter than HMPV F (Table 1). The as sequence of Fr-AMPV-C was extremely conserved (98– 99%) with all other AMPV-Cs, highly conserved (81–82%) with all HMPV sublineages and slightly less conserved (71–73%) with AMPV-A, B and D. The cleavage site was located in the same position (aa 99–102) in all MPVs (grey box *Clv* in Fig. 4). The cleavage sequence (RKAR) conserved in all AMPV-Cs was not consistent with the typical furin cleavage (R-X-R/K-R) site found in AMPV-A, B and D (RRRR, RKKR and RQKR respectively), however a less typical recognition site (R-X-X-R) has also been shown to be functional [59].

The sequence of the signal peptide (aa 1–18 Fig. 4) at the N terminal end of F2 was extremely subgroup specific in the avian viruses, a rather surprising finding considering its function, with at best 39% identity between subgroups D and C however, a higher identity (56–61%) was seen between subgroup C and HMPV. Two cysteine residues (aa 28 and 60) (Fig. 4) remained conserved in all MPVs, including Fr-AMPV-C and D (with the exception of position 28 in the AY579780 APV/CO sequence), which further supports their already suggested possible structural role [50].

In the F1 subunit, the fusion related domain (103–125) [60] was 100% conserved in all MPVs, with the exception of one aa change in AMPV-B. Other interesting conserved features in all MPV sequences included i) the position of the 12 extracellular cysteine residues (Fig. 4), a finding that is consistent with their possible involvement in protein secondary structure through the formation of disulphide bonds (Van den Hoogen et al., 2002), and ii) a proposed N-Linked glycosylation site (aa353-355, Fig. 4) [50]. Other features appeared subgroup or strain specific. For example, all AMPV-C F1 sequences contained a glycine residue (G) at amino acid 294 (Fig. 4), a position previously reported in HMPV to be influential in low pH-triggered fusion and syncitial phenotype [61,62], and in AMPV-A to contribute to the increased protective capacity of a genetically modified virus [63]. An integrin binding domain 329 RGD 331 (grey box *Ibd* in Fig. 4) has been identified in the F1 subdomain of the HMPV F protein, and changes to either of its first two residues have been shown to be detrimental for fusion activity [64]. No such typical RGD domain exists in the AMPV Fprotein: in contrast, all subgroup C sequences contained a motif ${}^{329}R\underline{D}D{}^{331}$ and subgroups A, B and D contained a motif ${}^{329}R\underline{D}D{}^{331}$. The subgroup specific modifications in this biologically significant domain also support the closer relationship between subgroups A, B and D.

The F1 cytoplasmic tail also exhibited inter subgroup variation (Fig. 4). Indeed, intra subgroup identities in this part of F1 were extremely high (subgroup C sequences 96–100%, subgroup A 100%, and HMPV 88–100%), whereas an extremely low conservation between subgroups was observed, with at best 56% between AMPV-B and D and as low as 0–4% between AMPV-A and HMPV. In spite of this low conservation, a TTG motif was conserved in the F1 cytoplasmic tails of AMPV-A, B and D (Fig. 4). The cytoplasmic tails of several paramyzovirus fusion proteins have been shown to be important in virus assembly [65].

Finally, several regions in the F1 subunits of pneumoviruses and MPVs are important in the production of neutralizing antibodies [66–69]. Brown et al 2009 [66] demonstrated that two regions (211–310 and 336–479) of the AMPV-A F protein were recognized by neutralizing antibodies to both subgroup A and B but not subgroup C virus. These regions appeared highly conserved (mean 95%) between AMPV-A, B and D but much less so with AMPV-C and HMPV (71–84%). Such identities are consistent with the lack of cross neutralization of AMPV-A, B and D with subgroup C viruses, and further suggest that neutralizing epitopes within regions 211–310 or 336–479 of AMPV-A and B are also likely to exist in subgroup D. These genetic data thus correlate with the previously reported antigenic cross-reactivity between AMPV subgroups [66,70].

							58			
99178	(C)	MSFPEGKDIL	LMGNEAAKAA	EAFQRSLKKV	GHRRTQSIVG	DKIITVSETV	EKPTISKLIK	ITTPPERKNA	WGEKPDATRS	80
GYP	(C)			I			s	VR	TN	
S-01	(C)					I.	s	V	T	
APV/11	(C)					I.	s	V	T	
15a/01	(C)			I			s	VR	TN	
APV-CO	(C)			I			s	V	T	
APV/CO	(C)			I			s	V	T	
2a/97	(C)			I			s	V	T	
PL-1	(C)			I			s	V	T,	
PL-2	(C)			I			<u>56</u> 6	V	т. <u>6</u> 4	ł
8544	(A)		MSM.	D.YRNT	SAGG-RS.	EP.N.IA.K.	PL.PLCNP.T	PKGS	Ē	í í
LAH	(A)		MSM.	D.YRNT	SAGG-RS.	EP.N.IA.K.	PL.PLCNP.T	PKGS	c	i i
259-01/03	(A)		MSM.	D.YRNT	SAGG-RS.	EP.N.IA.K.	PL.PLCNP.T	PKGS	c	i i
VCO3/60616	5(B)		MSL.	Y.Q.I.NS	TSVRS.	.PVSK.	PL.PLC6SET	SRGA	c	i i
85035	(D)		MSL.	D.Y.KDP	SRNS-KS.	.PVAR.	PTLPLCGPIS	PKGS	Ļ	i i
00-1	(A1)		FL.	KR.P	K.SI.	E.VNL	.LRPA.	P.I.S.P.L.	.TD.GGKT	
NL/00/17	(A2)		FI.	KS	K	E.VN.IL	.LPAR	SS.LL.P.L.	.ADNSGI.KI	
NL/1/99	(B1)		FI.	KRS	K	E.VN.IL	.LPAR	SS.LL.P.L.	.ADSSGKT	
NL/94/01	(B2)		FL.	KR.P	N.K.SI.	E.VNL	.LRP	P.ILS.P.L.	.TD.GG.IKT	

	10	06					
PTEEAKNEAT LEDA	SRLYEE VFAPT	GOGKT PAEKGKETT	E KPKKKVTFKN	DESGKYTKLE	MEALELLSD-	NEDDDAESSV	159
QT	s	3E.MP		R			
	s	3 L	K				
	s	3 L	K.R.				
QT	s	5E.MP		R			
QR P	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 P		R	•••••-		
QR P	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3P		R			
QR.K.M	IS	3P		R			
QR.K.M	IS	3P		R			
QR.K.M	I	3P		R			
IKPNKAPVPK VKEI	ESI.PK LPTAPV	/ATD. ETSTST.SA	K .SK.D.	PKV	ΕΡ	EN.EKI	
IKPNKAPVPK VKEI	ESI.PK LPTAPV	ATD. ETSTST.SA	K .SK.D.	PKV	ЕР	EN.EKI	
IKPNKAPVPK VKEI	ESI.PK LPTAPV	/ATD. ETSTST.SA	SK.D.	PKV	ЕР	EN.EKI	
IRPTKSTLPP IKEV	ESI.PK LPTAPF	P.AMI ETAHPIGAP	K .AQ.R.K.ES	SKA	EP	DN.EK	
TKPTREASPA PKKT	GPI.PK LPTAPE	P.PGQ .EPITSNGP	K .AQK.DA	VKPS	ΕΡ	D.EN.KI	
EIKQ.IKVMD PIEE	EEST.K KVL.SS	SKLKPS	F NTS.TP	N.P	KDD	EEI	
TEKP.TKTTD PVEE	EEFN.K KVL.SS	3KSKFS	r svs.ts	N.P	KDD	EEI	
TEKQTTKTTD PVEE	EE.N.K KVS.SS	SKSKSP	r nvs.ts	N.P	KDD	EEI	
EAKQTIKVMD PIEE	EEFT.K RVL.SS	SKLKPS	F NTS.TP	N.P	KD	EEI	

Tetrame	erization domo	ain	Molecular	recognition e	element (Mo	RE)	
LTFEEKDTSA LSLEARLESI	DEKLSMILGL I	LRTLNVATAG	PTAARDGIRD	AMVGLREELI	ADIIKEAKGK	AAEMMKEEAK 2	239
••••••				••••••			
		• • • • • • • • • • •		•••			
			• • • • • • • • • • •		R.		
AS T.IA.	EM .	.KI		I.M	NS.MTD.	IDT	
AS T.IA.	ЕМ.	.KI		I.M	NS.MTD. NS.MTD.	IDT IDT	
NAP S.IA.	ЕМ.	.KSI		v	NS.MA	IIDA	
AA	EM .	.KSI		M	NS.MAD.	ISIDT EMS	
SI	E	I		I.I	.E	EMN	
RSI RSI	Е	í		I.I	.E	EMN EMN	

Figure 3. Amino acid comparisons of the phospoprotein ORF of AMPV-A, B, C and D and HMPV subgroups A and B. A region resposible for the tetramerization of the P protein is shown together with a molecular recognition element (MoRE). Grey box represents a highly conserved region in MPVs in the MoRE. *end of protein. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.g003

00178 (0)	Signal peptide	POUT PROCOM	UMDOVI OUI D	molitymustemt		SDCDOI IDSD	79	0.0
GYP (C) S-01 (C)	MSWKVVLLLV LLAIPIGGL		VIRGILSVLR	1GW11NVF1L	EVGDVENLIC	AQ.NK	E.	80
APV/11 (C) 15a/01 (C) APV-CO (C)							E.	
APV/CO (C) 2a/97 (C) PL-1 (C)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
PL-2 (C) 8544 (A) LAH (A)	.DVRICF .ISN.SSCI .DVRICF .ISN.SSCI	2 .T.N	K	N.	.I.NI .I.NI.	NG NG.	E. .VR. .VR	
259-01/03 (A) VCO3/60616(B) 85035 (D)	.DVRICF .ISN.SSCI .YL.LL.IIY .VVGAS.KI .EVRAI.AKSNCRI) .T.N) .T.S) .T.N	KK	N.	.I.NI .I.NI	ND NS NG	.VR .SQQ .QF	
00-1 (A1) NL/00/17 (A2) NL/1/99 (B1)	IIFSIQHF IIFSIQHF MIIISIQHF		I.E I.E I.E			AK SK K	.DSR. .DSR. .DSR	
NL/94/01 (B2)	MIIISIQHP	Chy Eusion i	I.E			K	.DS <u>R</u>	
	LKTVSADQLA KEARIMSPRF	ARFVLGAIAL	GVATAAAVTA	GVAIAKTIRL	EGEVAAIKGA	LRKTNEAVST	LGNGVRVLAT	160
	VS.LSF	R R		L	KN.	N		
	.RITNL.H	к	т	L	KL. RS.	SF. N	I	
	.R	S			.STN. .STN. .SN	.KT		
	NUNDERDETS KREEDEINKA	S	USECOVERE	.I	.SN	.KT	UCNIMPERSON	240
	AVNDLEDFIS KELTPAINEP		VSFGQINKKF		AGITPAISLD	LMTDAELVKA	·····	240
	R.							
	Е	N.A.I	IN	s	s.V	D	INR	
	EQ	N.A.I N.A.I	IN IN IN.	S	S.V S.V	D	INR INR	
	EEQN. REVNR		IN	S	s	K.	INRA	
		A	S.F			A A	YA YA	
	INLMLENRAM VRRKGFGILI	GVYGSSVVYM	VQLPIFGVID	TPCWKVKAAP	294 LCSGKDGSYA	€ CLLREDQGWY	• CQNAGSTVYY	320
		I			N			
					N			
	.SN	DGT	E	R.V R.V	N RKEK.N	.I	.TA .TA	
	.SN .SN .SN	DGT GT DGT	E	R.V R.V V	RKRR.N RHERE RREKTE	.I	.TA .TA .TA	
	.KF .K	I.T		I I	S			
	.ĸ	I		II	SEN		.K	
	PNEEDCEVRS DHVFCDTAAC	• INVAKESEEC	353-5 NRNISTTKYP	♦ CKVSTGRHPI	SMVALSPLGA	¢ LVACYDGVSC	SIGSNKVGII	400
						M		
	DD.Y	L.V.Q.	.YS	V	G	SES		
		S.V.Q.	.cst	V	G	SE		
		EQ.K EQ.K	.IN			K K	R R	
	KT.G	EQ.R	. II			K	R	
	RPLGKGCSYI SNQDADTVTI	DNTVYQLSKV	EGEQHTIKGK	PVSSNFDPIE	FPEDQFNIAL	DQVFESVEKS	QNLIDQSNKI .Ss	480
		P P					К	
				UN N T	G G			
	KQTH. P.NEI KQTH. P.NEI		VRA VRA	VNNL	vv	IDR.	.DKDL	
	KQ.NTH. P.NEI. KQ.NTH. P.NEI. KQ.N		VRA VRA	VNS.NL	V V	DR.	.DRSL .A.VR	
	KQ.N T KQ.P T KQ.P T		VR VR		V V V	NI.N. I.N.	.A.VR. .A.V .A.V	
	transmen	nbrane dom	ain Cy	toplasmic t	ail			
	LESTEKGNAG FVIVIVLIVI	LMLAAVGVGI	FFVVKKRKAA	PKFPMEMNGV	NNKGFIP* 5	38		
	.DM		f.					
	.D.I .D.I .D.K	V V						
	.DK.			HDYDA				
	.GADA.SK. IA.A.VL.I .GADA.SK. IA.A.VL.I	.GIFFLLAV. .GIFFLLAV.	YYCSRVTK YYCSRVTK	HDYPA TTG HDYPA TTG	HSSMAYVS* HSSMAYVS*			
	.DIEV.S.I. AALA.TIL.V INAET.S IA.IVLA. .S.ATIIAV	.SMS1.VGIA IITL.AMA.V .GSTMIL.SV	IIK YYC.RS.RSK .IIIT.KP	ASNGYPK TTG TQNGYPE TTG TGA.P.LS	QSNMGYIS* HQNLGYIN* T.NHN*			
	.S.ATIIAV .N.ATIVI.VAV .N.ATIIAV	.GSSMIL.S. .G.TMIS.S. .G.TMIS.S.	.IIIT.KP IIIITRKP IIIITRKP	TGA.P.LS TGA.P.L TGA.P.L	T.NHS* T.GHS* T.GHS*			

Figure 4. Amino acid comparisons of the fusion ORF of AMPV-A, B, C and D and HMPV subgroups A and B. Previously identified biologicaly important domains are labled and underlined. Diamonds indicate conserved cysteine residues. Open boxes highlight other domains discused in the relevent paragraph. Cleavage site (Clv) and integrin binding domain (lbd). *end of protein. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.g004

The M2 protein ORF

The M2 gene contains two overlapping ORFs (M2.1 and M2.2). M2.1 is involved in virus synthesis and enhances the processivity of the viral polymerase whilst M2.2 has been suggested to alter the balance between transcription and replication [45]. M2.2 has also been shown to be important for adaptation to Vero cells [71]. Fr-AMPV-C M2.1 was identical in length (184aa) to all other subgroup C sequences, however two, four and three aa shorter than AMPV-A and B, AMPV-D and HMPV sequences, respectively. Fr-AMPV-C amino acid identities

were again highly conserved with all other subgroup C sequences (98%) and with all HMPV sublineages (84–85%), which is consistent with the finding that the polymerase complex proteins (M2.1, N, P and L) of either virus are biologically active in heterologous rescues [49,72]. Similarly, high identities (87–90%) were also observed between AMPV-A, B and D, however identities between subgroup C with AMPV-A, B and D (71–74%) were moderately lower. The three cysteine residues found in all pneumoviruses [50] within the first 30 aa of M2.1 remained conserved in both Fr-AMPV-C and D. M2.1 is intra cellular and



Figure 5. Amino acid comparisons of the previously reported conserved domain III (Poch et al., 1990) in the L ORF of AMPV-A, B, C and D and HMPV subgroups A and B. Four core motifs (A, B, C and D) described by Poch et al 1990 are underlined. A pentapeptide conserved in mononegavirales is highlighted in grey within motif C. Open boxes highlight regions in MPVs with 100% conservation. *end of protein. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.g005



Figure 6. Codon usage: the effective number of codons (Nc) used within the longest MPV gene (L). Sequences included as in Table 1. The curve represents expected codon usage in relationship to the GC3 content, therefore at 50% GC content all synonymous codons should be used equally in the absence of other factors. Nc values range from 20 when only one of the possible synonymous codons is used for each amino acid, to 61 when all synonymous codons are used. The closer the Nc value is to 20, the stronger the codon usage bias is, and the higher the degree to which codons are used non-randomly. HMPVs (Nc = 41.9 to 43.2) AMPV-Cs (Nc = 47.1 to 47.5) and AMPV-A, B, D (Nc = 51.4 to 52.7). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.g006

conservation of cysteines has been shown in RSV to be important for the formation of structural metal binding motifs [73,74].

In M2.2, a similar level of conservation was seen between subgroup C sequences (93–99%) but conservation was notably lower with the HMPV sublineages (54–58%) and with AMPV-A, B and D (20–24%) which was consistent with previous literature [50]. The highest inter subgroup identity was seen between AMPV-A, B and D (64–72%).

Three cysteine residues were conserved across all MPVs (aa7, 16 and 56) and a further two conserved between AMPV-A, B and D (aa22 and 59). Cysteines 7, 16 and 22 fell within a region (aa0–25) identified in HMPV as critical to promote viral gene transcription [75].

Small hydrophobic protein ORF (SH)

SH is a small type II membrane glycoprotein protein localized in the endoplasmic reticulum, golgi and cell surface [76]. SH has been shown to be non-essential for virus attachment, infectivity or virion assembly [29,77,78]. However, a SH deletion in AMPV-A contributed to an altered syncytial phenotype and a reduced immunogenicity [79].

SH length (175aa) was conserved across all AMPVs with the exception of subgroup B (180aa). This was in contrast to the varying lengths seen in HMPV SH (177–183aa). A range of 83–100% aa identity was seen between all subgroup C SH sequences. SH conservation between AMPV-A, B and D was considerably lower (42–49% aa identity), and even lower between HMPVs and all APMVs (14–31%). In the SH transmembrane domain, subgroup-C sequences demonstrated a closer relationship with HMPVs (39–50%) than they did with subgroups A, B or D (19–30%). Subgroups A, B and D were more closely related in their transmembrane domains (70–86%).

Further relationships between AMPV-C/HMPV or AMPV-A/ B/D were evident in the conservation of cysteine residues. AMPV-A, B and D had fourteen (3 in the intracellular and 11 in the extra cellular domain) and AMPV-Cs and HMPVs had nine in the extracellular domain. Seven cysteines were conserved across all MPVs in the extracellular domain.

These features make the SH protein the second most variable protein (after the G gene) in the MPV genome, with respect to inter-subgroup aa identity. Interestingly inter-subgroup differences did not prevent the restoration of a typical phenotype when SH_B was introduced into a SH-deleted AMPV-A genome background. A similar result could not be achieved using SH_C [79].

Glycoprotein ORF (G)

G is a heavily glycosylated type II membrane protein, involved in, but not essential for virus attachment [29,80,81]. Most recently it is emerging as an inhibitor of the cellular host immune response to viral infection [82–84].

We have reported previously genetic analysis of the large G ORF in Fr-AMPV-C [24] and D [24,35]. Both studies showed that G exhibited the most extensive divergence between subgroups in terms of length and sequence identity. Differences in the length of the G protein ectodomain amongst AMPV-C isolates have been also reported [18,85-87]. In the present study, the length of both Chinese AMPV-C G sequences were identical to that of Fr-AMPV-C (585aa), whilst both Korean AMPV-C G sequences were shorter (264aa) and more closely resembled AMPV/CO (table 1). Intra subgroup C identities including the two Chinese and two Korean AMPV-C G sequences were within the range reported previously (75-83%) [24]. The two pairs of Asian AMPV-C sequences were highly conserved (intra pair identity = 97 and 99.6%, respectively) and the four viruses exhibited the conserved intracellular and trans membrane domains and the ten extracellular cysteine residues previously reported to be conserved in all AMPV-Cs [24]). Remarkably, 19 out of 22 aa differences between the two Chinese sequences were confined to a short domain (aa269-299) immediately at the N terminal end of the previously identified, variable part of G ectodomain.

Table 2. AMPV transcription start and stop sequences.

gene	AMPV subgroup	transcription start sequence	transcription stop sequence	
N		GGGACAAGT	AGTTA(Xn)Poly A	
	Α		A.(Xn)Poly A	
	В		A.(Xn)Poly A	
	С		A.(Xn)Poly A	
	D		A.(Xn)Poly A	
Р	Α		(Xn)Poly A	
	В		(Xn)Poly A	
	С		C.(Xn)Poly A	
	D		C.(Xn)Poly A	
м	А		C.(Xn)Poly A	
	В		T(Xn)Poly A	
	С		G(Xn)Poly A	
	D		(Xn)Poly A	
F	А		(Xn)Poly A	
	В	G	(Xn)Poly A	
	С		(Xn)Poly A	
	D		(Xn)Poly A	
M2	А		(Xn)Poly A	
	В		(Xn)Poly A	
	С		(Xn)Poly A	
	D		(Xn)Poly A	
SH	А		(Xn)Poly A	
	В	G	(Xn)Poly A	
	С	G	(Xn)Poly A	
	D		(Xn)Poly A	
G	А		(Xn)Poly A	
	В		C.(Xn)Poly A	
	С		(Xn)Poly A	
	D		(Xn)Poly A	
L	A	AC.A.	(Xn)Poly A	
	В	GC.A.	(Xn)Poly A	
	С	AC.AG	(Xn)Poly A	
	D	C	(Xn)Poly A	

Sequences in bold represent consensus sequence.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102740.t002

The polymerase protein ORF (L)

The final ORF of metapneumovirus genomes encode the large RNA-dependent RNA polymerase protein L. It is a major part of the polymerase complex responsible for most of the enzymatic processes involved in transcription and replication [88]. It is also responsible for viral messenger RNA capping, polyadenylation, methylation and phosphorylation processes [89].

The length of Fr-AMPV-C L (2005aa) was identical to all other AMPV-C and HMPV sequences, one as shorter than that of AMPV-A and B and two shorter than that of Fr-AMPV-D. Extremely high as conservation was observed amongst subgroup-C viruses (98–100%), and amongst HMPV sublineages (94–99%). Closer relationships were observed between subgroup-C viruses and HMPVs (80–81%) than between these viruses and subgroups A, B, D (63–64%). Subgroup D again demonstrated a closer relationship with subgroups A and B (84–86%).

Six functional domains (I-VI) have been identified in the L proteins of non segmented negative strand viruses [88], with domain III including four highly conserved core polymerase motifs (A–D) [50] The newly identified sequences were consistent with these findings in motifs A, B and C, however some variation was seen in motif D (Fig. 5) and motifs A and C appeared to be larger in MPVs (Fig. 5). Two additional regions were observed where all MPVs were completely conserved (Fig. 5). The QGDNQ pentapeptide found in motif C within domain III was replaced in all MPVs by NGDNQ. AMPV-A, B and D shared four or five amino acids in motif D that were not represented in the subgroup C viruses or HMPVs (Fig. 5). Further conservation was observed between all MPVs in the ATP-binding motif (aa 1677-1721) identified previously [50] and in five previously unidentified regions scattered through the L ORF were all MPVs were 100% conserved over 15 or more aa (aa15-29, aa549-573, aa656-670,

aa1250–1265 and aa1297–1319). Finally two regions of subgroup specific sequences were observed towards the N terminal end of the L protein (302–320, and 431–446).

Codon usage in the L gene

Different groups were revealed by the codon bias analysis i) HMPVs (Nc = 41.9 to 43.2) ii) AMPV-Cs (47.1 and 47.5) and iii) AMPV-A, B, D (51.4 to 52.7) (Fig. 6). Data points were close to the curve (expected value of Nc if the bias was solely due to the G+C content at the third position) demonstrating that the biases were mostly due to the GC content. Interestingly, AMPV-C and HMPV demonstrated a different codon bias profile, although many of their proteins shared high aa similarity, a feature that most probably reflects their adaptation to a specific host. Another striking aspect of the codon bias, although the genetic distances were important between these viruses (Fig. 1). It is not known whether the bias picture would change if all protein sequences in the full length genome were used, however, this has been performed for HMPV and resulted in a very similar bias [43].

Non coding regions, intergenic regions and leader and trailer sequences

The numbers of nt between two consecutive ORFs (thus a sequence encompassing the 3'NCR of previous gene, intergenic region and 5'NCR of subsequent gene) in Fr-AMPV-C were consistent with other subgroup Cs (Table 1). The smallest (21 nt) was between M2-2/SH and the largest (187 nt) between SH/G. The only notable differences in lengths occurred between G/L of AMPV-C strains 2a/97, PL1 and PL-2 (Table 1), where these three strains exhibited 28 nts, 16 nts less than all other AMPV-Cs. The numbers of nt between consecutive ORFs in subgroups A, B and D were more similar than they were to subgroup Cs or HMPVs (Table 1). Although the typical AMPV gene start signal GGGACAAGT and gene stop signal AGTTA(Xn)Poly A [13,90–92] were mostly conserved amongst AMPV subgroups, some differences were observed (Table 2).

We have previously described the 3' and 5' sequence extremities of Fr-AMPV-C [34] discussing. Here complete leader and trailer sequences showed varying levels of conservation amongst all MPV's (67–97.5%). The highest level of conservation was seen between the leader and trailer sequences of subgroup C viruses and HMPVs (79–85%). This was consistent with the heterologous rescue of AMPV-C and HMPV minigenomes using different polymerase complexes [49,72]. Remarkably, subgroup D

References

- Buys SB, Du Prees JH (1980) A preliminary report on the isolation of a virus causing sinusitis in turkeys in South Africa and attempts to attenuate the virus. Turkeys 28: 36.
- Giraud P, Bennejean G, Guittet M, Toquin D (1986) Turkey rhinotracheitis in France: preliminary investigations on a ciliostatic virus. Vet Rec 119: 606–607.
- McDougall JS, Cook JK (1986) Turkey rhinotracheitis: preliminary investigations. Vet Rec 118: 206–207.
 Will CDP Drive L Control (2002) CT and a control of a control of the c
- Wilding GP, Baxter-Jones C, Grant M (1986) Ciliostatic agent found in rhinotracheitis. Vet Rec 118: 735.
- Wyeth PJ, Gough RE, Chettle N, Eddy R (1986) Preliminary observations on a virus associated with turkey rhinotracheitis. Vet Rec 119: 139.
- Cook JK (2000) Avian pneumovirus infections of turkeys and chickens. Vet J 160: 118–125.
- van den Hoogen BG, de Jong JC, Groen J, Kuiken T, de Groot R, et al. (2001) A newly discovered human pneumovirus isolated from young children with respiratory tract disease. Nat Med 7: 719–724.
- de Graaf M, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA, Holmes EC (2008) Evolutionary dynamics of human and avian metapneumoviruses. J Gen Virol 89: 2933–2942.
- Crowe JE, Jr. (2004) Human metapneumovirus as a major cause of human respiratory tract disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 23: S215–221.

had a leader sequence of 62 nt which was 7 nt longer than any MPV leader sequence reported to date.

Conclusion

This study provides the full length genome sequences for two new AMPV strains including the first full length sequence for AMPV subgroup D. Results supported previous reports that AMPV-C viruses are indeed more closely related with HMPVs than they are with other AMPV subgroups, and further demonstrate that AMPV-D is more closely related with the AMPV-A and B subgroups. Ideally, this study might be extended by sequencing more AMPV-D isolates. Unfortunately only two such isolates are currently available worldwide, both isolated in France, on the same date and within close proximity, consequently efforts to obtain new AMPV-D isolates should be continued. The three MPV "clusters" HMPV, AMPV-C and AMPV-ABD were also further supported based on phylogenetics, sequence comparisons and codon bias studies.

These data combined with those of previous reports indicating antigenic relationships between subgroups A, B and D [20,70] and between subgroup C and HMPV [93] may call for a sub classification of MPVs comparable to that implemented for avian paramyxovirus, where viruses are first grouped into serotypes (type number) then separated into genotypes [94,95]. Transposing a similar approach into the MPV genus would result in grouping AMPV-A, B and D as type I MPVs and AMPV-Cs and HPMVs as type II.

Supporting Information

Table S1Partial nucleotide sequences previously re-leased for Fr-AMPV-C and Fr-AMPV-D.(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Conseil Régional de Bretagne and of Departement des Cotes d'Armor.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: PB NE. Performed the experiments: EL CC CA PB DT MHBA. Analyzed the data: PB FXB EL CC OG NE. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: PB FXB VJ NE.

- Falsey AR, Erdman D, Anderson IJ, Walsh EE (2003) Human metapneumovirus infections in young and elderly adults. J Infect Dis 187: 785–790.
- Williams JV, Wang CK, Yang CF, Tollefson SJ, House FS, et al. (2006) The role of human metapneumovirus in upper respiratory tract infections in children: a 20-year experience. J Infect Dis 193: 387–395.
- Pringle CR (1998) Virus taxonomy–San Diego 1998. Arch Virol 143: 1449– 1459.
- Ling R, Easton AJ, Pringle CR (1992) Sequence analysis of the 22K, SH and G genes of turkey rhinotracheitis virus and their intergenic regions reveals a gene order different from that of other pneumoviruses. J Gen Virol 73 (Pt 7): 1709– 1715.
- Randhawa JS, Marriott AC, Pringle CR, Easton AJ (1997) Rescue of synthetic minireplicons establishes the absence of the NS1 and NS2 genes from avian pneumovirus. J Virol 71: 9849–9854.
- Govindarajan D, Samal SK (2004) Sequence analysis of the large polymerase (L) protein of the US strain of avian metapneumovirus indicates a close resemblance to that of the human metapneumovirus. Virus Res 105: 59–66.
- Govindarajan D, Samal SK (2005) Analysis of the complete genome sequence of avian metapneumovirus subgroup C indicates that it possesses the longest genome among metapneumoviruses. Virus Genes 30: 331–333.

- Govindarajan D, Yunus AS, Samal SK (2004) Complete sequence of the G glycoprotein gene of avian metapneumovirus subgroup C and identification of a divergent domain in the predicted protein. J Gen Virol 85: 3671–3675.
- Toquin D, de Boisseson C, Beven V, Senne DA, Eterradossi N (2003) Subgroup C avian metapneumovirus (MPV) and the recently isolated human MPV exhibit a common organization but have extensive sequence divergence in their putative SH and G genes. J Gen Virol 84: 2169–2178.
- Yunus AS, Govindarajan D, Huang Z, Samal SK (2003) Deduced amino acid sequence of the small hydrophobic protein of US avian pneumovirus has greater identity with that of human metapneumovirus than those of non-US avian pneumoviruses. Virus Res 93: 91–97.
- Toquin D, Bayon-Auboyer MH, Eterradossi N, Jestin V (1999) Isolation of a pneumovirus from a Muscovy duck. Vet Rec: 23, 145, 680.
 Bennett RS, Nezworski J, Velayudhan BT, Nagaraja KV, Zeman DH, et al.
- Bennett RS, Nezworski J, Velayudhan BT, Nagaraja KV, Zeman DH, et al. (2004) Evidence of avian pneumovirus spread beyond Minnesota among wild and domestic birds in central North America. Avian Dis 48: 902–908.
- Cook JK, Huggins MB, Orbell SJ, Senne DA (1999) Preliminary antigenic characterization of an avian pneumovirus isolated from commercial turkeys in Colorado, USA. Avian Pathology 28: 607–617.
- Shin HJ, Njenga MK, McComb B, Halvorson DA, Nagaraja KV (2000) Avian pneumovirus (APV) RNA from wild and sentinel birds in the United States has genetic homology with RNA from APV isolates from domestic turkeys. J Clin Microbiol 38: 4282–4284.
- Toquin D, Guionie O, Jestin V, Zwingelstein F, Allee C, et al. (2006) European and American subgroup C isolates of avian metapneumovirus belong to different genetic lineages. Virus Genes 32: 97–103.
- Turpin EA, Stallknecht DE, Slemons RD, Zsak L, Swayne DE (2008) Evidence of avian metapneumovirus subtype C infection of wild birds in Georgia, South Carolina, Arkansas and Ohio, USA. Avian Pathol 37: 343–351.
- Wei L, Zhu S, Yan X, Wang J, Zhang C, et al. (2013) Avian metapneumovirus subgroup C infection in chickens, China. Emerg Infect Dis 19: 1092–1094.
- Catelli E, Cecchinato M, Savage CE, Jones RC, Naylor CJ (2006) Demonstration of loss of attenuation and extended field persistence of a live avian metapneumovirus vaccine. Vaccine 24: 6476–6482.
- Lupini C, Cecchinato M, Ricchizzi E, Naylor CJ, Catelli E (2011) A turkey rhinotracheitis outbreak caused by the environmental spread of a vaccinederived avian metapneumovirus. Avian Pathol 40: 525–530.
- Naylor CJ, Brown PA, Edworthy N, Ling R, Jones RC, et al. (2004) Development of a reverse-genetics system for Avian pneumovirus demonstrates that the small hydrophobic (SH) and attachment (G) genes are not essential for virus viability. J Gen Virol 85: 3219–3227.
- Sugiyama M, Ito H, Hata Y, Ono E, Ito T (2010) Complete nucleotide sequences of avian metapneumovirus subtype B genome. Virus Genes 41: 389– 395.
- Lwamba HC, Alvarez R, Wise MG, Yu Q, Halvorson D, et al. (2005) Comparison of the full-length genome sequence of avian metapneumovirus subtype C with other paramyxoviruses. Virus Res 107: 83–92.
- Bennett RS, LaRue R, Shaw D, Yu Q, Nagaraja KV, et al. (2005) A wild goose metapneumovirus containing a large attachment glycoprotein is avirulent but immunoprotective in domestic turkeys. J Virol 79: 14834–14842.
- Lee E, Song MS, Shin JY, Lee YM, Kim CJ, et al. (2007) Genetic characterization of avian metapneumovirus subtype C isolated from pheasants in a live bird market. Virus Res 128: 18–25.
- 34. Brown PA, Briand FX, Guionie O, Lemaitre E, Courtillon C, et al. (2013) An alternative method to determine the 5' extremities of non-segmented, negative sense RNA viral genomes using positive replication intermediate 3' tailing: Application to two members of the Paramyxoviridae family. J Virol Methods 193: 121–127.
- Bayon-Auboyer MH, Arnauld C, Toquin D, Eterradossi N (2000) Nucleotide sequences of the F, L and G protein genes of two non-A/non-B avian pneumoviruses (APV) reveal a novel APV subgroup. J Gen Virol 81: 2723–2733.
- Bayon-Auboyer MH, Jestin V, Toquin D, Cherbonnel M, Eterradossi N (1999) Comparison of F-, G- and N-based RT-PCR protocols with conventional virological procedures for the detection and typing of turkey rhinotracheitis virus. Arch Virol 144: 1091–1109.
- 37. Toquin D, Guionie O, Allee C, Morin Y, Le CoQ L, et al. (2006) Compared succeptibility of SPF ducklings and SPF turkeys to the infection by avian metapneumoviruses belonging to the four subgroups. In: Heffels-Redman U, Kaleta, E. F, editor; University of Giessen Rauischholzhausen, Germany. VVB Laufersweiler Verlag. pp. 70–76.
- Reed LJ, Meunch H (1938) A simple method of estimating fifty percent end points. American journal of hygiene 27: 493–497.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, et al. (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28: 2731–2739.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403–410.
- Wright F (1990) The 'effective number of codons' used in a gene. Gene 87: 23– 29.
- Zhang Z, Dai W, Dai D (2013) Synonymous codon usage in TTSuV2: analysis and comparison with TTSuV1. PLoS One 8: e81469.
- Zhong Q, Xu W, Wu Y, Xu H (2012) Patterns of synonymous codon usage on human metapneumovirus and its influencing factors. J Biomed Biotechnol 2012: 460837.

- Calain P, Roux L (1993) The rule of six, a basic feature for efficient replication of Sendai virus defective interfering RNA. J Virol 67: 4822–4830.
- Easton AJ, Domachowske JB, Rosenberg HF (2004) Animal pneumoviruses: molecular genetics and pathogenesis. Clin Microbiol Rev 17: 390–412.
- Boere GC, Stroud DA (2006) The flyway concept: what it is and what it isn't. The Stationery Office, Edinburgh UK.
- Collins PL, Chanock RM, Murphy BR (2001) Respiratory syncytial virus; B.N. H, P.M., Griffin, D.E., Lamb, R.A., Martin, M.A., Roizman, B., Straus, S.E., Knipe DM, editors. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 1443–1485 p.
- 48. Pham QN, Biacchesi S, Skiadopoulos MH, Murphy BR, Collins PL, et al. (2005) Chimeric recombinant human metapneumoviruses with the nucleoprotein or phosphoprotein open reading frame replaced by that of avian metapneumovirus exhibit improved growth in vitro and attenuation in vivo. J Virol 79: 15114– 15122.
- de Graaf M, Herfst S, Schrauwen EJ, Choi Y, van den Hoogen BG, et al. (2008) Specificity and functional interaction of the polymerase complex proteins of human and avian metapneumoviruses. J Gen Virol 89: 975–983.
- van den Hoogen BG, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA (2002) Analysis of the genomic sequence of a human metapneumovirus. Virology 295: 119–132.
- Ling R, Davis PJ, Yu Q, Wood CM, Pringle CR, et al. (1995) Sequence and in vitro expression of the phosphoprotein gene of avian pneumovirus. Virus Res 36: 247–257.
- Leyrat C, Renner M, Harlos K, Grimes JM (2013) Solution and crystallographic structures of the central region of the phosphoprotein from human metapneumovirus. PLoS One 8: e80371.
- Loo LH, Jumat MR, Fu Y, Ayi TC, Wong PS, et al. (2013) Evidence for the interaction of the human metapneumovirus G and F proteins during virus-like particle formation. Virol J 10: 294.
- Takimoto T, Portner A (2004) Molecular mechanism of paramyxovirus budding. Virus Res 106: 133–145.
- Easton AJ, Chambers P (1997) Nucleotide sequence of the genes encoding the matrix and small hydrophobic proteins of pneumonia virus of mice. Virus Res 48: 27–33.
- Lamb RA, Paterson RG, Jardetzky TS (2006) Paramyxovirus membrane fusion: lessons from the F and HN atomic structures. Virology 344: 30–37.
- de Graaf M, Schrauwen EJ, Herfst S, van Amerongen G, Osterhaus AD, et al. (2009) Fusion protein is the main determinant of metapneumovirus host tropism. J Gen Virol.
- Schlender J, Zimmer G, Herrler G, Conzelmann KK (2003) Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) fusion protein subunit F2, not attachment protein G, determines the specificity of RSV infection. J Virol 77: 4609–4616.
- Molloy SS, Bresnahan PA, Leppla SH, Klimpel KR, Thomas G (1992) Human furin is a calcium-dependent serine endoprotease that recognizes the sequence Arg-X-X-Arg and efficiently cleaves anthrax toxin protective antigen. J Biol Chem 267: 16396–16402.
- 60. Naylor CJ, Britton P, Cavanagh D (1998) The ectodomains but not the transmembrane domains of the fusion proteins of subtypes A and B avian pneumovirus are conserved to a similar extent as those of human respiratory syncytial virus. J Gen Virol 79: 1393–1398.
- Herfst S, Mas V, Ver LS, Wierda RJ, Osterhaus AD, et al. (2008) Low-pHinduced membrane fusion mediated by human metapneumovirus F protein is a rare, strain-dependent phenomenon. J Virol 82: 8891–8895.
- Mas V, Herfst S, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA, Melero JA (2011) Residues of the human metapneumovirus fusion (F) protein critical for its strain-related fusion phenotype: implications for the virus replication cycle. J Virol 85: 12650–12661.
- Naylor CJ, Lupini C, Brown PA (2010) Charged amino acids in the AMPV fusion protein have more influence on induced protection than deletion of the SH or G genes. Vaccine 28: 6800–6807.
- 64. Wei Y, Zhang Y, Cai H, Mirza AM, Iorio RM, et al. (2014) Roles of the putative integrin-binding motif of the human metapneumovirus fusion (F) protein in cellcell fusion, viral infectivity, and pathogenesis. J Virol.
- Dolganiuc V, McGinnes L, Luna EJ, Morrison TG (2003) Role of the cytoplasmic domain of the Newcastle disease virus fusion protein in association with lipid rafts. J Virol 77: 12968–12979.
- Brown PA, Bonci M, Ricchizzi E, Jones RC, Naylor CJ (2009) Identification of two regions within the subtype A avian metapneumovirus fusion protein (amino acids 211–310 and 336–479) recognized by neutralizing antibodies. Virus Res 146: 13–18.
- Lounsbach GR, Bourgeois C, West WH, Robinson JW, Carter MJ, et al. (1993) Binding of neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to regions of the fusion protein of respiratory syncytial virus expressed in Escherichia coli. J Gen Virol 74 (Pt 12): 2559–2565.
- Ulbrandt ND, Ji H, Patel NK, Barnes AS, Wilson S, et al. (2008) Identification of antibody neutralization epitopes on the fusion protein of human metapneumovirus. J Gen Virol 89: 3113–3118.
- Werle B, Bourgeois C, Alexandre A, Massonneau V, Pothier P (1998) Immune response to baculovirus expressed protein fragment amino acids 190–289 of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) fusion protein. Vaccine 16: 1127–1130.
- Toquin D, Bayon-Auboyer MH, Senne DA, Eterradossi N (2000) Lack of antigenic relationship between French and recent North American non-A/non-B turkey rhinotracheitis viruses. Avian Dis 44: 977–982.

- Clubbe J, Naylor CJ (2011) Avian metapneumovirus M2:2 protein inhibits replication in Vero cells: modification facilitates live vaccine development. Vaccine 29: 9493–9498.
- Govindarajan D, Buchholz UJ, Samal SK (2006) Recovery of avian metapneumovirus subgroup C from cDNA: cross-recognition of avian and human metapneumovirus support proteins. J Virol 80: 5790–5797.
- Esperante SA, Noval MG, Altieri TA, de Oliveira GA, Silva JL, et al. (2013) Fine modulation of the respiratory syncytial virus M2-1 protein quaternary structure by reversible zinc removal from its Cys(3)-His(1) motif. Biochemistry 52: 6779–6789.
- 74. Tanner SJ, Ariza A, Richard CA, Kyle HF, Dods RL, et al. (2014) Crystal structure of the essential transcription antiterminator M2-1 protein of human respiratory syncytial virus and implications of its phosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: 1580–1585.
- Ren J, Wang Q, Kolli D, Prusak DJ, Tseng CT, et al. (2012) Human metapneumovirus M2-2 protein inhibits innate cellular signaling by targeting MAVS. J Virol 86: 13049–13061.
- Deng Q, Weng Y, Lu W, Demers A, Song M, et al. (2011) Topology and cellular localization of the small hydrophobic protein of avian metapneumovirus. Virus Res 160: 102–107.
- de Graaf M, Herfst S, Aarbiou J, Burgers PC, Zaaraoui-Boutahar F, et al. (2013) Small hydrophobic protein of human metapneumovirus does not affect virus replication and host gene expression in vitro. PLoS One 8: e58572.
- Karron RA, Buonagurio DA, Georgiu AF, Whitehead SS, Adamus JE, et al. (1997) Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) SH and G proteins are not essential for viral replication in vitro: clinical evaluation and molecular characterization of a cold-passaged, attenuated RSV subgroup B mutant. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 13961–13966.
- Ling R, Sinkovic S, Toquin D, Guionie O, Eterradossi N, et al. (2008) Deletion of the SH gene from avian metapneumovirus has a greater impact on virus production and immunogenicity in turkeys than deletion of the G gene or M2-2 open reading frame. J Gen Virol 89: 525–533.
- Biacchesi S, Pham QN, Skiadopoulos MH, Murphy BR, Collins PL, et al. (2005) Infection of nonhuman primates with recombinant human metapneumovirus lacking the SH, G, or M2-2 protein categorizes each as a nonessential accessory protein and identifies vaccine candidates. J Virol 79: 12608–12613.
- Cox RG, Livesay SB, Johnson M, Ohi MD, Williams JV (2012) The human metapneumovirus fusion protein mediates entry via an interaction with RGDbinding integrins. J Virol 86: 12148–12160.
- Bao X, Kolli D, Ren J, Liu T, Garofalo RP, et al. (2013) Human metapneumovirus glycoprotein G disrupts mitochondrial signaling in airway epithelial cells. PLoS One 8: e62568.

- Bao X, Liu T, Shan Y, Li K, Garofalo RP, et al. (2008) Human metapneumovirus glycoprotein G inhibits innate immune responses. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000077.
- Kolli D, Bao X, Liu T, Hong C, Wang T, et al. (2011) Human metapneumovirus glycoprotein G inhibits TLR4-dependent signaling in monocyte-derived dendritic cells. J Immunol 187: 47–54.
- Chockalingam AK, Chander Y, Halvorson DA, Goyal SM (2010) Stability of the glycoprotein gene of avian metapneumovirus (Canada goose isolate 15a/01) after serial passages in cell cultures. Avian Dis 54: 915–918.
- Kong BW, Foster LK, Foster DN (2008) Species-specific deletion of the viral attachment glycoprotein of avian metapneumovirus. Virus Res 132: 114–121.
- Velayudhan BT, Yu Q, Estevez CN, Nagaraja KV, Halvorson DA (2008) Glycoprotein gene truncation in avian metapneumovirus subtype C isolates from the United States. Virus Genes 37: 266–272.
- Poch O, Blumberg BM, Bougueleret L, Tordo N (1990) Sequence comparison of five polymerases (L proteins) of unsegmented negative-strand RNA viruses: theoretical assignment of functional domains. J Gen Virol 71 (Pt 5): 1153–1162.
- Svenda M, Berg M, Moreno-Lopez J, Linne T (1997) Analysis of the large (L) protein gene of the porcine rubulavirus LPMV: identification of possible functional domains. Virus Res 48: 57–70.
- Li J, Ling R, Randhawa JS, Shaw K, Davis PJ, et al. (1996) Sequence of the nucleocapsid protein gene of subgroup A and B avian pneumoviruses. Virus Res 41: 185–191.
- Yu Q, Davis PJ, Brown TD, Cavanagh D (1992) Sequence and in vitro expression of the M2 gene of turkey rhinotracheitis pneumovirus. J Gen Virol 73 (Pt 6): 1355–1363.
- Yu Q, Davis PJ, Li J, Cavanagh D (1992) Cloning and sequencing of the matrix protein (M) gene of turkey rhinotracheitis virus reveal a gene order different from that of respiratory syncytial virus. Virology 186: 426–434.
- Alvarez R, Jones LP, Seal BS, Kapczynski DR, Tripp RA (2004) Serological cross-reactivity of members of the Metapneumovirus genus. Virus Res 105: 67– 73.
- 94. de Almeida RS, Hammoumi S, Gil P, Briand FX, Molia S, et al. (2013) New avian paramysoviruses type I strains identified in Africa provide new outcomes for phylogeny reconstruction and genotype classification. PLoS One 8: e76413.
- Diel DG, da Silva LH, Liu H, Wang Z, Miller PJ, et al. (2012) Genetic diversity of avian paramyxovirus type 1: proposal for a unified nomenclature and classification system of Newcastle disease virus genotypes. Infect Genet Evol 12: 1770–1779.