# Platelet Indices as a Predictor of Microvascular Complications in Type 2 Diabetes

#### Rajas S. Walinjkar, Satish Khadse, Sunil Kumar, Shilpa Bawankule, Sourya Acharya

Department of Medicine, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Datta Meghe University of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Wardha, Maharashtra, India

### Abstract

**Background:** Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients have a higher risk of developing micro- and macrovascular complications, which lead to decrease in the quality of life and increase in morbidity. Platelet indices have been available in the laboratory routine using blood cell counters for several years. These indices could alert us regarding endothelial dysfunction and in turn regarding the microvascular complications. Hence, this study was done to prove the correlation between platelet indices and microvascular complications in T2DM. **Materials and Methods:** In total, 125 diabetic patients attending diabetes OPD and admitted in medicine department along with age and sex-matched non-diabetic controls were studied. A detailed history was taken regarding duration of diabetes, medication, past history of stroke, IHD, and hypertension. Patients with T2DM were specially evaluated for microvascular complications. Platelet indices, fasting blood glucose, Post prandial blood glucose, HbA1C, and Sr. Creatinine were obtained from venous blood samples. All parameters were then subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS 17.0. **Results:** Platelet indices, namely MPV, PCT, PDW, and P/LCR were significantly higher in diabetic subjects with microvascular complications. Platelet dysfunction also showed a positive association with HbA1C, retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy individually. **Conclusions:** Changes in platelet indices were found to be statistically associated with diabetes and its complications.

Keywords: Diabetic nephropathy, diabetic neuropathy, diabetic retinopathy, microvascular complications, platelet indices, type 2 diabetes mellitus

### INTRODUCTION

In the modern world, diabetes mellitus (DM) has become a global health problem.<sup>[1]</sup> The global burden of diabetes worldwide in 2017 was estimated to be 425 million and 82 million in South East Asia. This is expected to rise to 629 million worldwide and 151 million in South East Asia by 2045.<sup>[2]</sup> It is estimated that the developing countries will bear the brunt of diabetes epidemic to the extent of 77% of the global burden, in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.<sup>[3]</sup> At present, the prevalence of diabetes in Indian adults is 8.8%.<sup>[2]</sup>

Hyperglycemia is a characteristic of diabetes which causes an array of long-term systemic complications. They have a considerable impact on the patient as well as society, as the disease typically affects individuals in their most productive years.<sup>[4]</sup> Generally, the injurious effects of hyperglycemia are separated into macrovascular complications (Coronary Artery Disease, Peripheral Arterial Disease, Stroke) and

| Access this article online |                                 |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Quick Response Code:       | Website:<br>www.ijem.in         |  |
|                            | DOI:<br>10.4103/ijem.IJEM_13_19 |  |

micro-vascular complications (Diabetic Retinopathy, Diabetic Nephropathy, Diabetic Neuropathy).<sup>[5]</sup>

Diabetic patients have an increased risk of developing micro- and macrovascular disease, and platelets may be involved as a causative agent with respect to altered platelet morphology and function.<sup>[6]</sup> DM is characterized by the prothrombotic state of platelets which owes to the persistent hyperglycemia and insulin resistance, causing injury to pericytes and endothelium. The increased platelet activity is believed to play a vital role in the development of vascular complications of this metabolic disease.<sup>[7]</sup>

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sunil Kumar, Department of Medicine, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Datta Meghe University of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Wardha, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: sunilkumarmed@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

**How to cite this article:** Walinjkar RS, Khadse S, Kumar S, Bawankule S, Acharya S. Platelet indices as predictor of microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes. Indian J Endocr Metab 2019;23:206-10.

Microvascular complications, retinal lesions, microalbuminuria, and proteinuria have been described as factors that are predictive of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular morbidity and mortality among diabetic subjects.<sup>[8]</sup> Hence, if detected early, microvascular complications would alert us regarding the increased risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complications. Thus, microvascular complications were chosen to be studied in this study.

Platelets play an important role in the integrity of normal homeostasis, and platelet indices act as an indicator for its function.<sup>[9]</sup> Larger platelets have a higher number of dense granules which makes them more potent and thrombogenic. The number and size of granules in platelets do not change during the life span of the platelet.<sup>[10-12]</sup> Increased mean platelet volume (MPV) has been associated with metabolic syndrome, stroke, coronary artery disease and diabetes mellitus (DM).<sup>[13,14]</sup> A few studies have shown that platelet indices are significantly increased in diabetics as compared to non-diabetic individuals.<sup>[6,13,15]</sup>

Platelet parameters have been available in the laboratory routine using blood cell counters for several years.<sup>[16]</sup> These include MPV, Platelet distribution width (PDW), Plateletcrit (PCT), and platelet-large cell ratio (P-LCR). The prothrombotic stage of platelet can be detected early with ease using the newer hematological analyzers through these platelet parameters.<sup>[7]</sup>

The aim of this study was to evaluate platelet indices in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), to compare them with nondiabetic individuals and to correlate platelet indices with microvascular complications. This study also enabled us to check if platelet indices can effectively predict the occurrence of microvascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This case-control study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital in a rural area of Central India over a period of two years after ethical clearance from the institutional ethical committee. A total of 153 individuals were enrolled for the study who were either newly diagnosed diabetics as per the WHO criteria or individuals already on treatment with either OHA or parenteral insulin. Of these, 28 individuals were excluded as per the exclusion criteria which consisted individuals with anemia, myeloproliferative disorders, malignancies, history of blood transfusion in last 14 days, drugs causing bone marrow suppression, thrombocytopenia, pregnancy, individuals consuming high protein diet, and individuals with UTI. Age and sex-matched 125 non-diabetic individuals were taken as controls. The study design is summarized in Figure 1. All the study subjects were evaluated according to a standardized study proforma. A detailed history was taken regarding duration of diabetes, medication, past history of stroke, IHD, and hypertension. The subjects underwent a complete clinical evaluation. Diabetic individuals were evaluated with specific reference to microvascular complications. Neuropathy was evaluated by testing sensory perceptions of light touch using a 10-g monofilament, pain sensation using pinprick, vibration sense using a tuning fork of 128 Hz and a biothesiometer from Genesis medical systems, temperature sense, and ankle jerk. The neuropathy disability score (NDS)<sup>[17]</sup> was calculated to assess the severity of neuropathy [Table 1].

Fundus was visualized by direct ophthalmoscopy and was checked for diabetic retinopathy. The blood sugar levels were tested twice, once after at least 8 h of fasting and second 2 h after meal using semi-automated analyzer RX Imola from Randox Biosciences which uses the colorimetric method. HbA1C and serum creatinine were also tested in the same machine. An automated cell counter ABX Pentra XLR from Horiba Medical Diagnostic systems was used, which provided with the hemoglobin (Hb) values along with the platelet count and platelet indices PCT, PDW, and MPV. The same samples were also processed in Sysmex – automated hematology analyzer KX-21N which provided with the P-LCR. Urinary microalbuminuria was tested using Micral-Test (cobas) urine test strips from Roche Diagnostics for micro-albuminuria.

## **Observations and Results**

In this stuy, 125 individuals with T2DM and 125 nondiabetic controls were included. Both groups were age and gender matched. The majority of the individuals were between the age group of



Figure 1: Flowchart of the study protocol

| Table 1: Revised Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS) |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| NDS Items  | Description and scoring (Each Side)                        |  |  |  |
| Vibration sensation<br>(128-Hz tuning fork)        | 0=present, 1=reduced/absent                                |  |  |  |
| Temperature sensation<br>(cold tuning fork)        | 0=present, 1=reduced/absent                                |  |  |  |
| Pin Prick  | 0=present, 1=reduced/absent                                |  |  |  |
| Ankle  | 0=present, 1=present with reinforcement, 2=absent per side |  |  |  |

31-60 yrs. Out of these, 60% of the individuals were males. It was observed that BMI in the diabetic group  $(26.20 \pm 3.90)$  was significantly higher than that in control group  $(22.40 \pm 3.05)$ . There was a much higher incidence of abdominal obesity in T2DM cases (61.60%) than that in nondiabetic control subjects (19.20%). There was no statistically significant difference in the platelet counts in the two groups. The baseline characteristics of the two groups are shown in Table 2. Out of the total 125 individuals with T2DM, 66 had microvascular complications, the distribution of which is shown in Figure 2.

In our study, out of 125 cases, there were 50 (40%) individuals with diabetic neuropathy. Out of 50 individuals, 47 individuals (94%) had a history of neuropathic pain or tingling numbness in at least one of the limbs. Also, 40% had impaired ankle jerk, 66% had impaired pain sensation, 42%



Figure 2: Distribution of diabetic subjects according to the presence of microvascular complications

had impaired temperature sensation, and 64% had impaired fine touch. In total, 44 (%) individuals had impaired vibration sense when tested using a tuning fork of 128 Hz, whereas 36 (%) individuals had impaired vibration sense when tested using a digital biothesiometer.

Student's (unpaired) *t*-test was used to study if there was a significant change in the platelet indices of diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. The mean of MPV, PDW, PCT, and P-LCR of cases was 11.32fL, 15.57fL, 0.241%, 43.15 and that in controls was 8.56fL, 11.69fL, 0.22%, and 34.6,8 respectively [Table 3]. These values of MPV, PDW, PCT, and P-LCR were significantly higher in individuals with T2DM as compared to controls.

It was also observed that the MPV, PDW, and P-LCR were significantly higher in diabetic individuals with microvascular complications as compared to those without complications [Table 4].

Platelet indices were also compared to the severity of neuropathy using the NDS, and it was found that MPV, PDW, and P-LCR had a positive correlation with the NDS [Table 5].

MPV, PDW, P-LCR were seen to be higher in individuals with increased HbA1C levels and the correlation was found to be statistically significant [Table 6].

### DISCUSSION

Diabetes is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia and metabolic dysregulation leading to secondary micro- and

| Baseline characteristics           | (Mean                  | t                | Р     |           |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------|-----------|
|                                    | Cases ( <i>n</i> =125) | Controls (n=125) |       |           |
| Age (years)                        | 50.46±15.71            | 50.54±15.85      | 1.63  | 0.1, NS   |
| Gender-Male (%)                    | 75 (60.0%)             | 75 (60%)         |       | -         |
| Gender-Female (%)                  | 50 (40%)               | 50 (40%)         |       | -         |
| H/O IHD                            | 63 (50.4%)             | 23 (18.4%)       | 22.82 | 0.0001, 5 |
| H/O HTN                            | 45 (36%)               | 21 (16.8%)       | 9.26  | 0.0023, 8 |
| H/O Stroke                         | 14 (11.2%)             | 6 (4.8%)         | 2.44  | 0.11, NS  |
| Smoking                            | 27 (21.6%)             | 14 (11.2%)       | 4.39  | 0.036, S  |
| Alcoholism                         | 13 (10.4%)             | 14 (11.2%)       | 0.05  | 0.81, NS  |
| Duration of diabetes               | 4.33±2.66              |                  | -     |           |
| BMI                                | 26.20±3.90             | 22.40±3.05       | 3.35  | 0.001, S  |
| W/H Ratio                          | 0.91±0.14              | 0.85±0.02        | 3.96  | 0.0001, 8 |
| PMBS                               | 185.38±34.60           | 151.12±15.72     | 10.07 | 0.0001, 8 |
| FBS                                | 140.48±28.05           | 96.02±9.40       | 16.80 | 0.0001, 8 |
| Platelet Count ×10 <sup>3</sup>    | 268.92±92.22           | 271.73±92.58     | 0.24  | 0.81, NS  |
| MPV (fL)                           | 11.32±1.72             | 8.56±1.71        | 13.47 | 0.0001, 8 |
| PDW (fL)                           | 15.57±3.23             | 11.69±2.28       | 10.92 | 0.0001, 8 |
| PCT (%)                            | $0.240 \pm 0.046$      | 0.220±0.026      | 4.09  | 0.0001, 8 |
| P-LCR                              | 43.15±10.60            | 34.68±11.73      | 5.98  | 0.0001, 8 |
| HbA1C (%)                          | 7.45±1.48              |                  | -     |           |
| Sr. Creatinine (mg/dl)             | 0.82±0.23              |                  | -     |           |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ) | 111.35±41.03           |                  | -     |           |

| Table 2: Baseline | characteristics | of study | population |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
|-------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|

Walinjkar, et al.: Platelet indices as a predictor of microvascular complications in diabetes

| Platelet index | Normal range | Group    | Mean  | Std. deviation | Std. error mean | t     | Р         |
|----------------|--------------|----------|-------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|
| MPV (fL)       | 8.6-15.5 fL  | Cases    | 11.32 | 1.72           | 0.15            | 13.47 | 0.0001, S |
|                |              | Controls | 8.56  | 1.51           | 0.13            |       |           |
| PDW (fL)       | 9.0-14 fL    | Cases    | 15.57 | 3.23           | 0.28            | 10.92 | 0.0001, S |
|                |              | Controls | 11.69 | 2.28           | 0.20            |       |           |
| PCT (%)        | 0.22-0.24%   | Cases    | 0.241 | 0.045          | 0.004           | 4.24  | 0.0001, S |
|                |              | Controls | 0.220 | 0.022          | 0.002           |       |           |
| P-LCR          |              | Cases    | 43.15 | 10.60          | 0.94            | 5.98  | 0.0001, S |
|                |              | Controls | 34.68 | 11.73          | 1.049           |       |           |

Table 4: Comparison of platelet indices in cases with and without microvascular complications

| Platelet indices | Microvascular complications | п  | Mean  | Std. deviation | Std. error mean | t    | Р         |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----|-------|----------------|-----------------|------|-----------|
| MPV (fL)         | Present                     | 66 | 12.35 | 1.50           | 0.18            | 9.03 | 0.0001, S |
|                  | Absent                      | 59 | 10.17 | 1.12           | 0.14            |      |           |
| PDW (fL)         | Present                     | 66 | 16.66 | 3.01           | 0.37            | 4.25 | 0.0001, S |
|                  | Absent                      | 59 | 14.34 | 3.05           | 0.39            |      |           |
| PCT (%)          | Present                     | 66 | 0.244 | 0.047          | 0.005           | 1.01 | 0.31, NS  |
|                  | Absent                      | 59 | 0.235 | 0.043          | 0.005           |      |           |
| P-LCR            | Present                     | 66 | 47.40 | 9.79           | 1.20            | 5.21 | 0.0001, S |
|                  | Absent                      | 59 | 38.39 | 9.46           | 1.23            |      |           |

# Table 5: Comparison of platelet indices with Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS)

| NDS      | MPV (fL)   | PDW (fL)         | PCT (%)           | P-LCR            |
|----------|------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| <6       | 10.98±1.57 | 15.24±3.34       | 0.238±0.046       | 41.31±10.22      |
| $\geq 6$ | 12.69±1.63 | $16.88 \pm 2.38$ | $0.244 \pm 0.044$ | $50.48 \pm 8.95$ |
| t        | 4.83       | 2.30             | 0.55              | 4.10             |
| Р        | 0.0001, S  | 0.023, S         | 0.58, NS          | 0.0001, S        |

| Table 6: Comparison of platelet indices with HbA1C levels |                  |                  |                   |             |  |  |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| HbA1C (%)   | MPV (fL)         | PDW (fL)         | PCT (%)           | P-LCR       |  |  |
| <7.5  | 10.49±1.35       | 14.78±3.21       | 0.234±0.042       | 38.97±9.34  |  |  |
| 7.5 to 10   | $12.25 \pm 1.58$ | 16.26±3.02       | $0.249 \pm 0.049$ | 48.14±10.03 |  |  |
| >10   | $12.56 \pm 1.93$ | $18.28 \pm 2.88$ | $0.218 \pm 0.033$ | 46.45±10.18 |  |  |
| t   | 22.79            | 5.64             | 2.24              | 13.51       |  |  |
| Р   | 0.0001, S        | 0.005, S         | 0.110, NS         | 0.0001, S   |  |  |

macrovascular complications.<sup>[18]</sup> Efforts are being made to identify and prove the utility of platelet indices in early detection of diabetic complications. This would be of immense benefit with the easy availability of these indices.

The significant factors causing increased platelet reactivity in diabetics are hyperglycemia and insulin resistance. Increased coagulation, impaired fibrinolysis, and endothelial dysfunction cause prothrombotic state for which platelet hyper-reactivity is said to be an established contributing factor. Complications arise due to these hyperactive platelets which play a vital role in the pathophysiology of the thrombotic events.<sup>[7]</sup> Platelet indices are also affected by anticoagulant drugs. However, in this study, no subject was taking anticoagulant drugs.

In this study, we found that biothesiometer is more sensitive in the detection of impaired vibration sense as compared to a tuning fork. Moreover, a biothesiometer provides with quantification of the severity of impairment and, hence, enable us to study the progression of the disease. In contrast, the tuning fork is a much cheaper, portable, and commonly available modality of testing.

The platelet indices, namely MPV, PDW, PCT, and P-LCR were significantly higher in individuals with diabetes as compared to non-diabetic controls.

It was observed that MPV, PDW, and P-LCR were deranged in diabetic individuals with complications as compared those without complications. However, PCT did not show any such correlation. This can be attributed to the dependence of PCT on platelet count.<sup>[19]</sup> Platelet count being unaffected in this study, PCT does not show significant derangement in diabetic individuals with and without microvascular complications.

The severity of Diabetic neuropathy was assessed using the NDS as per the standardized protocol according to the Cochrane Systematic Review from the Cochrane Database.<sup>[17]</sup> It was observed that MPV, PDW, and P-LCR showed a positive correlation which was significant (P = 0.0001, P = 0.023, P = 0.0001), whereas PCT did not show a significant correlation (P = 0.58) with the severity of neuropathy.

### LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The platelet indices are also affected by thyroid and rheumatic diseases which were not considered in this study.

All patients with ischemic heart disease were consuming statins which are shown to alter platelet indices.

The follow up of the cases was not possible to determine the prognostic significance of our findings. This would have enabled us to compare its association with the progress of the microvascular complications. Moreover, it could have been possible to correlate and check the reversibility of platelet dysfunction with glycaemic control over a period of time.

## CONCLUSIONS

Changes in platelet indices are seen to be statistically associated with diabetes and its complications. They are easily available, simple, convenient, noninvasive, and easy to interpret method to determine platelet dysfunction and in turn predict the presence of microvascular complications.

### **Financial support and sponsorship** Nil.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Tabish SA. Is diabetes becoming the biggest epidemic of the twenty-first century? Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 2007;1:V-VIII.
- IDF Diabetes Atlas. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2017. Available from: http://www.diabetesatlas.org. [Last cited on 2018 Nov 20].
- 3. World Health Organization. World Diabetes: A Newsletter, 1997. p. 3-6.
- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 2014;37(Supplement 1):S81-90. Available from: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/37/Supplement\_1/S81. [Last cited on 2018 Sep 12].
- Fowler MJ. Microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes. Clin Diabetes 2008;26:77-82.
- Kodiatte TA, Manikyam UK, Rao SB, Jagadish TM, Reddy M, Lingaiah HKM, *et al.* Mean platelet volume in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Lab Physicians 2012;4:5-9.

- Buch A, Kaur S, Nair R, Jain A. Platelet volume indices as predictive biomarkers for diabetic complications in type 2 diabetic patients. J Lab Physicians 2017;9:84-8.
- Miettinen H, Haffner SM, Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M. Proteinuria predicts stroke and other atherosclerotic vascular disease events in nondiabetic and non-insulin-dependent diabetic subjects. Stroke 1996;27:2033-9.
- Elsherbiny IA, Shoukry A, Tahlawi MAE. Mean platelet volume and its relation to insulin resistance in non-diabetic patients with slow coronary flow. J Cardiol 2012;59:176-81.
- Agrawal A, Kumar S, Bhagwati J. Correlation of platelet indices with clinical profile in elderly patients: A study in rural teaching hospital. Ann Med Health Sci Res 2018;8:163-9.
- Corash L. The relationship between megakaryocyte ploidy and platelet volume. Blood Cells 1989;15:81-107.
- Pereira J, Cretney C, Aster RH. Variation of class I HLA antigen expression among platelet density cohorts: A possible index of platelet age? Blood 1988;71:516-9.
- Zuberi BF, Akhtar N, Afsar S. Comparison of mean platelet volume in patients with diabetes mellitus, impaired fasting glucose and non-diabetic subjects. Singapore Med J 2008;49:114-6.
- Khandekar MM, Khurana AS, Deshmukh SD, Kakrani AL, Katdare AD, Inamdar AK. Platelet volume indices in patients with coronary artery disease and acute myocardial infarction: An Indian scenario. J Clin Pathol 2006;59:146-9.
- Jindal S, Gupta S, Gupta R, Kakkar A, Singh HV, Gupta K, et al. Platelet indices in diabetes mellitus: Indicators of diabetic microvascular complications. Hematology 2011;16:86-9.
- Giovanetti TV, do Nascimento AJ, de Paula JP. Platelet indices: Laboratory and clinical applications. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter 2011;33:164-5.
- Yang Z, Chen R, Zhang Y, Huang Y, Hong T, Sun F, *et al.* Scoring systems to screen for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2018 Sep 13];(3). Available from: https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858. CD010974/abstract.
- Dindar S, Cinemre H, Sengul E, Annakkaya AN. Mean platelet volume is associated with glycaemic control and retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. West Indian Med J 2013;62:519-23.
- Kaito K, Otsubo H, Usui N, Yoshida M, Tanno J, Kurihara E, *et al.* Platelet size deviation width, platelet large cell ratio, and mean platelet volume have sufficient sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of immune thrombocytopenia. Br J Haematol 2005;128:698-702.