



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



American Society of Hematology
2021 L Street NW, Suite 900,
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776-0545
editorial@hematology.org

Hybrid immunity in immunocompromised patients with CLL after SARS-CoV-2 infection followed by booster mRNA vaccination

Tracking no: BLD-2022-016815R3

Blixt Lisa (Karolinska Institutet, Department of Oncology-Pathology, Sweden) Yu Gao (Center for Infectious Medicine, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) David Wullimann (Center for Infectious Medicine, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) Hanna Murén Ingelman-Sundberg (Karolinska Institutet, Department of Oncology-Pathology, Sweden) Sandra Muschiol (Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) Katie Healy (Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) Gordana Bogdanovic (Karolinska Institutet, Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Stockholm, Sweden) Elisa Pin (Department of Protein Science, SciLifeLab, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden) Peter Nilsson (Department of Protein Science, SciLifeLab, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden) Christian Kjellander (Capio St Göran Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Stockholm, Sweden) Alba Grifoni (La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology, United States) Alessandro Sette (Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health, University of California, San Diego (UCSD), La Jolla, CA 92037, United States) Margaret Sällberg Chen (Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) Hans-Gustaf Ljunggren (CIM, Sweden) Marcus Buggert (Center for Infectious Medicine, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden) Lotta Hansson (Karolinska Institutet, Department of Oncology-Pathology, Sweden) Anders Osterborg (Karolinska University Hospital, Department of Oncology-Pathology, Sweden)

Abstract:

Conflict of interest: COI declared - see note

COI notes: The authors declare no competing financial interests. MB is a consultant for Oxford Immunotech. AS is a consultant for Gritstone Bio, Flow Pharma, Arcturus Therapeutics, ImmunoScape, CellCarta, Avalia, Moderna, Fortress and Repertoire. LJI has filed for patent protection for various aspects of T cell epitope and vaccine design work.

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: LB, LH, AÖ, GB, MSC, HGL and MB contributed to conceptualization, funding acquisition and discussion of data. YG, KH and SM and DW performed experiments and analyzed data. LB, HMIS, CK, LH and AÖ recruited study participants, conducted management of participants during the study and analyzed data. AG and AS provided peptide pools to measure the spike-specific T cell responses. LB, DW, AÖ, LH, HGL and MB wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited revisions of the manuscript and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: No;

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: Emails to the corresponding author

Clinical trial registration information (if any):

1 **Hybrid immunity in immunocompromised patients with CLL after SARS-**
2 **CoV-2 infection followed by booster mRNA vaccination**

3
4 Lisa Blixt,^{1,2} Yu Gao,^{3*} David Wullimann,^{3*} Hanna Murén Ingelman-Sundberg,^{2,4} Sandra
5 Muschiol,^{5,6} Katie Healy,⁷ Gordana Bogdanovic,^{5,6} Elisa Pin,⁸ Peter Nilsson,⁸ Christian
6 Kjellander,⁹ Alba Grifoni,¹⁰ Alessandro Sette,^{10,11} Margaret Sällberg Chen,⁷ Hans-Gustaf
7 Ljunggren,³ Marcus Buggert,³ Lotta Hansson,^{1,2} and Anders Österborg^{1,2}

8
9 ¹Department of Hematology, Karolinska University Hospital Solna, Stockholm, Sweden;

10 ²Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ³Center for

11 Infectious Medicine, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,

12 Sweden; ⁴Department of Oncology, Karolinska University Hospital Solna, Stockholm,

13 Sweden; ⁵Department of Clinical Microbiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm,

14 Sweden; ⁶Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet,

15 Stockholm, Sweden; ⁷Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge,

16 Sweden; ⁸Department of Protein Science, SciLifeLab, KTH Royal Institute of Technology,

17 Stockholm, Sweden; ⁹Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, and

18 Department of Internal Medicine, Capio St Görän Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹⁰Center for

19 Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA,

20 USA; ¹¹Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health,

21 University of California, San Diego (UCSD), La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

22
23 *shared 2nd author

24
25 Correspondence: Lotta Hansson, MD, PhD, Assoc. Prof., Department of Hematology,

26 Karolinska University Hospital Solna, 171 64 Stockholm, Sweden

27 e-mail: lotta.hansson@regionstockholm.se

28
29 Running title: Hybrid immunity in CLL after SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination

30
31 Keywords: CLL, COVID-19, hybrid immunity, SARS-CoV-2, vaccination

32

33 Patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) are immunocompromised¹. They are at
34 high-risk for developing severe COVID-19²⁻⁴ and mount suboptimal immunity after mRNA
35 vaccination^{5, 6}, but with slightly conflicting results regarding cellular immunity^{7, 8}. In a
36 “hybrid immunity” setting, immune protection is influenced by prior infection status and
37 vaccination. Here, we studied humoral and cellular hybrid immunity in a CLL cohort of
38 twenty-nine patients with a history of COVID-19² and three consecutive mRNA vaccinations
39 against SARS-CoV-2 (two patients received two doses).

40 The study was approved by Swedish Ethical Review Authority
41 (www.etikprovningssmyndigheten.se). Written informed consent was obtained before
42 sampling. Serum and saliva antibodies were measured pre-vaccination, after dose two, and
43 before and after dose three vaccinations. SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in serum were
44 analyzed using Roche Elecsys qualitative anti-SARS-CoV-2 and quantitative anti-SARS-
45 CoV-2 immunoassays as described^{2, 5}. Saliva was collected using a self-sampling technique,
46 and SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies were analyzed as described⁹⁻¹¹. T cell responses were
47 analyzed by activation-induced marker (AIM) assay as described¹² before vaccination and
48 before and after dose three. We also applied the IFN- γ ELISpot as described^{2, 7}. Experimental
49 methods are described in Supplemental Methods.

50 Twenty-nine patients from a previous COVID-19 study were included². Patient
51 characteristics and mRNA vaccinations are summarized in Table 1 and Supplemental Table
52 1. Twenty-one patients were untreated, six had received CD20 mAb-containing treatment >12
53 months ago, and two received BTKi therapy. The variability in time since recovery from
54 COVID-19 and between vaccinations and tests are depicted in Table 1. Break-through
55 infections were not observed during the study (until Dec 21, 2021). Immune responses are
56 shown in Figure 1 (entire cohort) and Supplemental Figure S1 (patients without missing data
57 points). Serology results are shown in Figure 1A. Ninety-three percent of patients (26/28)
58 were seropositive before the first vaccination with titer levels available in 25 patients (Figure
59 1A). The median spike-specific antibody titer was 47 U/ml (range <0.8–911), including three
60 seronegative patients. After two doses, 96% (24/25) were seropositive and the median
61 antibody titer had increased to 17,208 U/ml (range <0.8–>25,000, IQR 2,793->25,000)
62 ($p<0.0001$). This titer fell to a median of 6,825 U/ml (range <0.8–>25,000, IQR 2,532-
63 >25,000) prior to dose three and then increased to a median of 24,956 U/ml following dose
64 three (range <0.8–>25,000 U/ml, IQR 4,219->25,000) ($p<0.0001$) (upper detection limit
65 25,000 U/ml). Nucleocapsid-specific antibody levels tended to decline over time (Figure 1A).

66 Next, we analyzed salivary IgG and 95% of patients (19/20) had spike-specific IgG
67 prior to dose three (Figure 1B), with a moderate correlation between spike antibodies in
68 serum and saliva ($r=0.4622$ $p<0.0006$) (Supplemental Figure S2A). Salivary spike IgG levels
69 appeared with slower kinetics and increased before dose three ($p<0.05$) (Figure 1B). The
70 average level before and after dose three was comparable to naive healthy controls after two
71 vaccine doses¹⁰. Nucleocapsid reactivities were stable with a transient rise before dose three
72 ($p<0.05$) (Figure 1B).

73 Thereafter, we analyzed T cell responses against wildtype Wu-Hu1 and Omicron
74 (BA.1) as described¹². Thirteen patients were included, with memory responses shown in
75 Figure 1C. After dose three, the spike-specific-CD4⁺ T cells ($p<0.05$) (Fig 1D) and CD8⁺ T
76 cells ($p<0.01$) increased (Figure 1E) with a similar magnitude for Wu-Hu1 (wildtype) and
77 Omicron (BA.1).

78 IFN- γ ELISpot analysis showed no significant differences following dose three (Figure
79 1F), although spike-specific responses tended to increase while non-spike-specific responses
80 (M+E+N) declined over time.

81 Additionally, we also made paired analyses of results in patients without missing data
82 points (Supplemental Figure S1). Almost identical and statistically significant changes over
83 time were observed in this group compared to the entire cohort for spike antibodies in serum
84 ($n=19$, Figure S1A) and saliva ($n=14$, Figure S1B) and for CD8⁺ T cells ($n=7$, Figure S1D).
85 The change in CD4⁺ T cells ($n=7$, Figure S1C) did not any longer reach statistical
86 significance. In contrast, the change in nucleocapsid antibody levels was more pronounced
87 than in the entire cohort, decreasing in serum ($n=13$, Figure S1A) and increasing in saliva
88 ($n=14$, Figure S1B).

89 Patients with CLL have shown low anti-spike titers following mRNA-vaccination
90 against SARS-CoV-2^{5, 6} even after three doses¹³. Primary COVID-19 infection resulted in
91 higher titers and T cell responses², although, as shown here, at low levels at the time of first
92 vaccination. The present results mimic those observed of hybrid immunity in otherwise
93 healthy individuals that a combined effect of infection and vaccination results in robust
94 humoral and cellular anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity¹⁴.

95 To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to report on hybrid immunity
96 in patients with CLL. The median serology titers of 17,208-24,956 U/ml after two and three
97 doses were not affected by the analytical range of the assay even though several patients had
98 serology titers above the upper level 25,000 U/ml (Figure 1A). Titers are markedly higher

99 than the median of <100 U/ml that were found after two vaccine doses in non-COVID
100 patients with CLL who participated in an earlier prospective vaccine trial⁵. This includes
101 abundant local immunity in saliva⁵. The plateau at dose three in the present study is in line
102 with four vaccine doses in healthy individuals¹⁵. Saliva antibodies followed a slower time
103 kinetics compared to serum, not being significantly increased until pre-dose three. Whether
104 this is due to rebound infection limited to the oral cavity¹⁶ is unknown but partly supported by
105 the increase in nucleocapsid-specific IgG. Robust spike-specific CD8+ T cell responses
106 occurred after vaccine dose three, in line with a report on patients with multiple sclerosis
107 receiving three vaccine doses¹⁷. T cell immunity was also assessed against Omicron (BA.1),
108 with higher CD8+ T cell magnitudes after three doses in the CLL cohort than in healthy non-
109 COVID-19 individuals after two mRNA vaccine doses¹².

110 There are limitations with the present study. The cohort is limited, most patients had
111 early-stage CLL, and few had ongoing therapy, which may affect immune responses
112 favorably. The variation in time between COVID-19 and the start of vaccination and between
113 vaccinations may affect the magnitude of the immune responses. Neutralizing antibodies were
114 not measured even though we found a strong correlation between serum and saliva IgG levels
115 with neutralization in the same patients earlier² and confirmed by others¹⁸. Finally, there was
116 no control group tested in parallel with this real-world cohort and the groups studied (healthy
117 donors and CLL) were not COVID-19 convalescents and received only two vaccine doses at
118 the time of reporting^{5, 7, 10, 12}.

119 Hybrid immunity was recently reported to confer long-lasting protection from severe
120 disease in healthy persons¹⁹⁻²¹ even though not preventive against Omicron²². The serial
121 measurement of systemic B and T cell responses were spike-restricted. Nucleocapsid-directed
122 immune responses were relatively stable over time, albeit with a slow decline, 9-20 months
123 after COVID-19. Also, nucleocapsid antibody levels were stable or showed a slight increase
124 in saliva, which may serve as a first-level defense barrier against re-infection by ancestral
125 SARS-CoV-2 strains^{16, 23}. In conclusion, we demonstrate robust hybrid immunity in serum,
126 saliva and the T cell compartment in patients with CLL who received three doses of mRNA
127 vaccine following COVID-19 infection. The results are encouraging in the context of
128 immunocompromised patients who have recovered after COVID-19 and need continuous
129 protection against new SARS-CoV-2 variants-of-concern. To obtain protection, patients who
130 remain seronegative shall be offered available anti-SARS-CoV-2 preventive therapies.

131

132 **Acknowledgments**

133 We thank all patients who donated blood for this study and A Fahlén, K Heimersson, and L
134 Relander for technical assistance. This study was supported by grants from the SciLifeLab
135 National COVID-19 Research Program, the Swedish Research Council, Region Stockholm,
136 the Swedish Cancer Society, the Cancer Society in Stockholm, the Cancer and Allergy
137 Foundation, the Swedish Blood Cancer Foundation, Center for Innovative Medicine (CIMED)
138 and Karolinska Institutet. This project has been funded in whole or in part with Federal funds
139 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health,
140 Department of Health and Human Services, under Contract No. 75N93021C00016 to A.S.

141

142 **Authorship contributions**

143 LB, LH, AÖ, GB, MSC, HGL, and MB contributed to the conceptualization, funding
144 acquisition, and discussion of data. YG, KH and SM, and DW performed experiments and
145 analyzed data. LB, HMIS, CK, LH, and AÖ recruited study participants, conducted the
146 management of participants during the study, and analyzed data. AG and AS provided peptide
147 pools to measure the spike-specific T cell responses. LB, DW, AÖ, LH, HGL, and MB wrote
148 the original draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited revisions of the
149 manuscript and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

150

151 **Disclosure of conflicts of interest**

152 The authors declare no competing financial interests. MB is a consultant for Oxford
153 Immunotech. AS is a consultant for Gritstone Bio, Flow Pharma, Arcturus Therapeutics,
154 ImmunoScape, CellCarta, Avalia, Moderna, Fortress, and Repertoire. LJI has filed for patent
155 protection for various aspects of T cell epitope and vaccine design work.

156

157

158 **References**

- 159 1. Palma M, Gentilcore G, Heimersson K, et al. T cells in chronic lymphocytic leukemia display
160 dysregulated expression of immune checkpoints and activation markers. *Haematologica*
161 2017;102:(3):562-572.
- 162 2. Blixt L, Bogdanovic G, Buggert M, et al. Covid-19 in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia:
163 clinical outcome and B- and T-cell immunity during 13 months in consecutive patients. *Leukemia*
164 2022;36:(2):476-481.
- 165 3. Chatzikonstantinou T, Kapetanakis A, Scarfo L, et al. COVID-19 severity and mortality in patients with
166 CLL: an update of the international ERIC and Campus CLL study. *Leukemia* 2021;35:(12):3444-3454.
- 167 4. Mato AR, Roeker LE, Lamanna N, et al. Outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with CLL: a multicenter
168 international experience. *Blood* 2020;136:(10):1134-1143.
- 169 5. Bergman P, Blennow O, Hansson L, et al. Safety and efficacy of the mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against
170 SARS-CoV-2 in five groups of immunocompromised patients and healthy controls in a prospective
171 open-label clinical trial. *EBioMedicine* 2021;74:103705.
- 172 6. Herishanu Y, Avivi I, Aharon A, et al. Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in
173 patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* 2021;137:(23):3165-3173.
- 174 7. Blixt L, Wullimann D, Aleman S, et al. T-cell immune responses following vaccination with mRNA
175 BNT162b2 against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: results from a
176 prospective open-label clinical trial. *Haematologica* 2022;107:(4):1000-1003.
- 177 8. Parry H, Bruton R, Roberts T, et al. COVID-19 vaccines elicit robust cellular immunity and clinical
178 protection in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer Cell* 2022.
- 179 9. Alkharaan H, Bayati S, Hellstrom C, et al. Persisting Salivary IgG Against SARS-CoV-2 at 9 Months
180 After Mild COVID-19: A Complementary Approach to Population Surveys. *J Infect Dis*
181 2021;224:(3):407-414.
- 182 10. Healy K, Pin E, Chen P, et al. Salivary IgG to SARS-CoV-2 indicates seroconversion and correlates to
183 serum neutralization in mRNA-vaccinated immunocompromised individuals. *Med (N Y)*
184 2022;3:(2):137-153 e133.
- 185 11. Hober S, Hellstrom C, Olofsson J, et al. Systematic evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 antigens enables a
186 highly specific and sensitive multiplex serological COVID-19 assay. *Clin Transl Immunology*
187 2021;10:(7):e1312.
- 188 12. Gao Y, Cai C, Grifoni A, et al. Ancestral SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells cross-recognize the Omicron
189 variant. *Nat Med* 2022;28:(3):472-476.
- 190 13. Herishanu Y, Rahav G, Levi S, et al. Efficacy of a third BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine dose in
191 patients with CLL who failed standard 2-dose vaccination. *Blood* 2022;139:(5):678-685.
- 192 14. Primorac D, Brlek P, Maticic V, et al. Cellular Immunity-The Key to Long-Term Protection in
193 Individuals Recovered from SARS-CoV-2 and after Vaccination. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2022;10:(3).
- 194 15. Regev-Yochay G, Gonen T, Gilboa M, et al. Efficacy of a Fourth Dose of Covid-19 mRNA Vaccine
195 against Omicron. *N Engl J Med* 2022;386:(14):1377-1380.
- 196 16. Huang N, Perez P, Kato T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of the oral cavity and saliva. *Nat Med*
197 2021;27:(5):892-903.
- 198 17. Madelon N, Heikkila N, Sabater Royo I, et al. Omicron-Specific Cytotoxic T-Cell Responses After a
199 Third Dose of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine Among Patients With Multiple Sclerosis Treated With
200 Ocrelizumab. *JAMA Neurol* 2022;79:(4):399-404.
- 201 18. Ujjani C, Shadman M, Lynch RC, et al. The impact of B-cell-directed therapy on SARS-CoV-2 vaccine
202 efficacy in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol* 2022;197:(3):306-309.
- 203 19. Cerqueira-Silva T, Andrews JR, Boaventura VS, et al. Effectiveness of CoronaVac, ChAdOx1 nCoV-
204 19, BNT162b2, and Ad26.COVS.2 among individuals with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection in Brazil:
205 a test-negative, case-control study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022; Doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00140-2.
206 Epub Date 2022/03/31.
- 207 20. Hall V, Foulkes S, Insalata F, et al. Protection against SARS-CoV-2 after Covid-19 Vaccination and
208 Previous Infection. *N Engl J Med* 2022;386:(13):1207-1220.
- 209 21. Nordstrom P, Ballin M, Nordstrom A. Risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection and COVID-19 hospitalisation
210 in individuals with natural and hybrid immunity: a retrospective, total population cohort study in
211 Sweden. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022; Doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00143-8. Epub Date 2022/04/04.

- 212 22. Medigeshi GR, Batra G, Murugesan DR, et al. Sub-optimal neutralisation of omicron (B.1.1.529)
213 variant by antibodies induced by vaccine alone or SARS-CoV-2 Infection plus vaccine (hybrid
214 immunity) post 6-months. *EBioMedicine* 2022;78:103938.
- 215 23. Koerber N, Priller A, Yazici S, et al. Dynamics of spike-and nucleocapsid specific immunity during
216 long-term follow-up and vaccination of SARS-CoV-2 convalescents. *Nat Commun* 2022;13:(1):153.
217

218 Table 1. Clinical characteristics at start of mRNA vaccination against
 219 SARS-CoV-2 as well as timepoints of vaccination and tests in patients
 220 with CLL (n=29) with a prior history of COVID-19 infection

Median age, years (range)	65 (47 – 83)
Male/Female	20/9
CLL treatment status	
Never treated	72% (21/29)
Previously treated ^a	21% (6/29)
Time (mo) since last treatment	45.5 (15 – 70)
Ongoing therapy ^b	7% (2/29)
Ongoing Ig supplement	10% (3/29)
CLL stage (Rai)	
0	83% (24/29)
I-II	17% (5/29)
III-IV	0% (0/29)
CLL remission status (iwCLL)	
SD	0% (0/29)
PD	0% (0/29)
PR/CR	34% (10/29)
Not applicable (never treated, early stage)	62% (18/29)
Not applicable (never treated, progressive disease)	3% (1/29)
Time (mo) since Covid-19 diagnosis to vaccination, median (range)	
Dose 1 (n=29)	5.75 (1.75-13.75)
Interquartile range	4.00-11.25
Dose 3 (n=27) ^c	12 (7.75-19.75)
Interquartile range	9.75-17.75
Time (mo) since pre-test to vaccination, median (range)	
Dose 1 (n=28) ^c	0.25 (0-4.25)
Interquartile range	0-1.00

Dose 3 (n=25) ^c	1.25 (0.25-2.75)
Interquartile range	1.00 -1.75
Time (mo) since vaccination to test, median (range)	
Dose 2 (n=25) ^c	0.75 (0.25-2.5)
Interquartile range	0.51-1.00
Dose 3 (n=27) ^c	0.75 (0.5-1.5)
Interquartile range	0.75-1.00
Time (mo) between vaccine doses, median (range)	
Dose 1-2 (n=29)	1.5 (0.75-2.0)
Interquartile range	1.37-1.50
Dose 2-3 (n=27)	5 (2.75-8.25)
Interquartile range	4.25-5.75
Type of vaccine^d	
Dose 1 (n=29) C=25, S=4	
Dose 2 (n=29) C=25, S=4	
Dose 3 (n=27) C=25, S=2	
Covid management^e	
Hospital admission	66% (19/29)
ICU admission	7% (2/29)
Supplemental oxygen	48% (14/29)
Corticosteroids	31% (9/29)
Antiviral therapy (Remdesivir)	14% (4/29)
Anticoagulation	59% (17/29)
IvIg	3% (1/29)
Convalescent plasma	0% (0/29)
BTKi	3% (1/29)
Tocilizumab	0% (0/29)
Hydroxychloroquine	3% (1/29)

221 ^a With no current treatment. All with anti-CD20 mAb containing immunochemotherapy (ICT)
222 (BR/FCR) and all >12 months prior to vaccination
223

224 ^b Both with BTKi (ibrutinib). One was previously treated with ICT >12 months ago and
225 stopped ibrutinib therapy shortly after the 2nd vaccine dose.

226 ^c Number of patients at each time point is shown in Fig 1 and Supplemental Fig S1.

227 ^d C= Comirnaty (BNT162b2, Pfizer BioNTech), S= Spikevax (mRNA-1273, Moderna). Two
228 patients did not receive dose 3

229 ^e March 2020-March 2021
230

231 **Figure legends**

232

233 **Figure 1. Time kinetics of humoral and cellular responses against spike and non-spike**
234 **epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 in CLL patients after COVID-19 infection followed by three**
235 **mRNA vaccination doses.** (A) Serum and (B) salivary levels of S (spike)-receptor-binding
236 domain (RBD) and N (nucleocapsid)-specific antibody responses in patients post-COVID-19
237 and pre-vaccination, post-vaccination (dose 2), pre-vaccination (dose 3) and post-vaccination
238 (dose 3) with indicated median values. (C) Spike-specific CD4+ (CD69+CD154+) and CD8+
239 (CD69+CD137+) T cells were detected by flow cytometry (AIM assay). Spike-specific CD4+
240 (D) and CD8+ (E) T cell response against Wu-Hu1 (wildtype) and Omicron (BA.1) post-
241 COVID-19 and pre-vaccination, pre-vaccination (dose 3) and post-vaccination (dose 3).
242 (F) ELISpot IFN- γ -specific T-cell responses to spike and membrane, envelope and
243 nucleocapsid (M+E+N) peptide pools post-COVID-19 or pre-vaccination, pre-vaccination
244 (dose 3) and post-vaccination (dose 3). White dots represent patients on BTKi treatment in the
245 respective analysis. Number (*n*) of patients tested at each time point is indicated below graph.
246 Assay upper limit of detection of 25,000 U/mL is shown as dotted line (A). Dashed line
247 represents positive threshold for each assay: (A) 0.8 U/ml and 1 cut-off index (COI)
248 respectively, (B) median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of 59 and 100 for S and N respectively,
249 (D) and (E) 0,05% and (F) 80 spot-forming units (SFU)/10⁶ cells. Error bars represent the
250 median (red line) and interquartile range. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple
251 comparison correction was used, *P<0.05, **P< 0.01, ****P<0.0001.

252

253

