6584

Immunotoxins Constructed with Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins and their Enhancers: A Lethal Cocktail with Tumor Specific Efficacy

Roger Gilabert-Oriol¹, Alexander Weng², Benedicta von Mallinckrodt¹, Matthias F. Melzig³, Hendrik Fuchs¹ and Mayank Thakur^{1*}

¹Institut für Laboratoriumsmedizin, Klinische Chemie und Pathobiochemie, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Augustenburger Platz 1, D-13353, Berlin, Germany; ²Wolfson Centre for Gene Therapy of Childhood Disease, University College London - Institute of Child Health, London, 30 Guilford Street, London, WC 1N 1EH, United Kingdom; ³Institute of Pharmacy, Free University Berlin, Koenigin-Luise Str. 2+4, D-14195, Berlin, Germany

Abstract: The term ribosome-inactivating protein (RIP) is used to denominate proteins mostly of plant origin, which have *N*-glycosidase enzymatic activity leading to a complete destruction of the ribosomal function. The discovery of the RIPs was almost a century ago, but their usage has seen transition only in the last four decades. With the advent of antibody therapy, the RIPs have been a subject of extensive research especially in targeted tumor therapies, which is the primary focus of this review. In the present work we enumerate 250 RIPs, which have been identified so far. An attempt has been made to identify all the RIPs that have been used for the construction of immunotoxins, which are conjugates or fusion proteins of an antibody or ligand with a toxin. The data from 1960 onwards is reviewed in this paper and an extensive list of more than 450 immunotoxins is reported. The clinical reach of tumor-targeted toxins has been identified and detailed in the work as well. While there is a lot of potential that RIPs embrace for targeted tumor therapies, the success in preclinical and clinical evaluations has been limited mainly because of their inability to escape the endo/lysosomal degradation. Various strategies that can increase the efficacy and lower the required dose for targeted toxins have been compiled in this article. It is plausible that with the advancements in platform technologies or improved endosomal escape the usage of tumor targeted RIPs would see the day-light of clinical success.

Keywords: Targeted toxins, immunotoxins, ribosome-inactivating proteins, clinical application of toxins, tumor therapy, efficacy enhancer, endosomal escape enhancer.

INTRODUCTION

Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins (RIPs)

The term ribosome-inactivating protein (RIP) engenders a specific class of toxins, mostly of plant origin, which act predominantly on the ribosomal machinery *via* their *N*-glycosidase activity or polynucleotide adenosine glycosidase activity [1]. Although there is varying information about their mechanism of action, their enzymatic activity has drawn the most attention, especially relating to the anti-viral and anti-tumor effects [2]. In general, all RIPs are considered to be *N*-glycosidases, thus removing adenines from ribosomal RNA, and depurinating the conserved alpha-sarcin loop of the 28S ribosomal RNA (rRNA). This leads to the inhibition of protein synthesis, a vital process for cellular proliferation, and therefore leading to cell death [3].

The plant RIPs are further classified as type 1, 2 and in rare cases as type 3. Type 1 RIPs are characterized by the presence of only a toxic domain, whereas type 2 RIPs are the ones consisting of a toxin domain (A chain) together with a cell binding domain (B chain of lectin type). The B-chain facilitates its binding to the galactose residues on the cellular membrane, thus facilitating the cellular internalization. A further class of RIPs (type 3) has been proposed but the exact classification and occurrence are ambiguous. The literature description of type 3 RIP defines it as a protein which is evolutionarily related to a 60-kDa jasmonate-induced protein from barley, with RIP activity [4]. In total, there are nearly 250 RIPs that are scientifically described and the information pertinent to them was retrievable upon an extensive literature search. A

summary of these RIPs with relevant literature reference and the botanical description is elaborated in Table 1. The information provided includes the origin of the RIP, its type and the reported usage of this RIP as a targeted toxin.

While type I RIPs generally have lower toxicity, this is not predominantly because of their lack of enzymatic activity but contrastingly due to the missing B-chain making their cellular internalization cumbersome [5]. The missing cell binding domain is a blessing in disguise for molecular biologists, and has facilitated them to prepare fusion proteins or synthetic analogs of type 1 RIPs together with ligands that are able to facilitate their cellular internalization [6]. Moreover, in the recent decade, there has been a growing evidence that use of endosomal escape enhancers can lead to a significant augmentation of the efficacy of RIPs. This strategy has also paved a path for an improvement in the therapeutic utility of RIPs as targeted toxins or immunotoxins [5].

Endocytosis, Cytosolic Delivery and Enzymatic Action of RIPs

The toxic potential of RIPs is determined by their ability to reach to the ribosomes, which are located within the cytosol. Thus, RIPs that are able to overcome cellular barriers end up exhibiting tremendous toxic potential. This overcoming of cellular barriers includes their internalization, which is generally facilitated by their B chain. Type 2 RIPs such as ricin from *Ricinus communis* L., abrin from *Abrus precatorius* L., or volkensin from *Adenia volkensii* Harms. [7] efficiently deliver their *N*-glycosidase domain (A chain) into the cytosol of intoxicated cells [8] which is facilitated by their B chains. The B chain serves as galactose/*N*-acetylgalactosamine binding domain (lectin) and is linked to the A chain *via* disulfide bonds.

After the binding with glycoproteins or glycolipids, which have numerous galactose residues on their surface, ricin is endocytosed *via* clathrin-dependent as well as clathrin-independent endocytosis and is thereafter delivered into the early endosomes. From there on

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Institut für Laboratoriumsmedizin, Klinische Chemie und Pathobiochemie, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow-Klinikum (Forum 4), Augustenburger Platz 1, D-13353 Berlin, Germany; Tel: +49-30-450-569206; Fax: +49-30-450-569900; E-mail: mayank.thakur@charite.de

Plant RIP		Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench	Abelesculin	1	30		[94]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-a	2	63	Yes	[95]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-b	2	67		[95]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-c	2	63		[95]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-d	2	67		[95]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-I	2	64		[96]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-II	2	63		[96]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrin-III	2	63		[96]
Abrus precatorius L.	APA-I	2	130		[96]
Abrus precatorius L.	APA-II	2	128		[96]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrus agglutinin	2	67		[95]
Abrus precatorius L.	Abrus agglutinin	2	134		[97]
Abrus pulchellus L.	Pulchellin	2	61.5 - 63		[98, 99]
Adenia digitata Burtt-Davy	Modeccin	2	57		[100]
Adenia ellenbeckii Harms.	Adenia ellenbeckii RIP	1	30		[101]
Adenia ellenbeckii Harms.	Adenia ellenbeckii RIP	2	60		[101]
Adenia fruticosa L. Burtt-Davy	Adenia fruticosa RIP	1	30		[101]
Adenia goetzii Burtt-Davy	Adenia goetzii RIP	1	30		[101]
Adenia goetzii Burtt-Davy	Adenia goetzii RIP	2	60		[101]
Adenia keramanthus Harms.	Adenia keramanthus RIP	2	60 - 65		[101]
Adenia lanceolata Engl.	Adenia lanceolata RIP	2	60		[101]
Adenia lanceolata Engl.	Lanceolin	2	61.2		[102]
Adenia racemosa W.J. de Wilde	Adenia racemosa RIP	1	30		[101]
Adenia stenodactyla Harms.	Adenia stenodactyla RIP	2	60		[101]
Adenia stenodactyla Harms.	Stenodactylin	2	63.1		[102]
Adenia venenata Forssk.	Adenia venenata RIP	1	30		[101]
Adenia venenata Forssk.	Adenia venenata RIP	2	60		[101]
Adenia volkensii Harms.	Volkensin	2	62		[103, 104]
Agrostemma githago L.	Agrostin-2	1	30.6		[105]
Agrostemma githago L.	Agrostin-5	1	29.5		[105]
Agrostemma githago L.	Agrostin-6	1	29.6		[105]
Amaranthus caudatus L.	Amaranthin (<i>Amaranthus caudatus</i> aggluti- nin, ACA)	1	33 - 36		[106]
Amaranthus tricolor L.	Amaranthus tricolor antiviral protein-27 (AAP-27)	1	27		[107]
Amaranthus viridis L.	Amaranthin	1	30		[108]
Aralia elata (Miq.) Seem	Aralin (Aralia elata lectin)	2	61.3		[109, 110]

Table 1. RIPs isolated from different plants, their type and reported absolute molecular masses.

Plant	RIP	Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Asparagus officinalis L.	Asparagus officinalis RIP	1	32.5		[105]
Asparagus officinalis L.	Asparin 1	1	30.5		[111]
Asparagus officinalis L.	Asparin 2	1	29.8		[111]
<i>Basella rubra</i> Roxb.	<i>Basella rubra</i> RIP 2a	1	30.6		[112]
<i>Basella rubra</i> Roxb.	Basella rubra RIP 2b	1	31.2		[112]
Basella rubraRoxb.	rubraRoxb. Basella rubra RIP 3		31.2		[112]
Benincasa hispida (Thunb.) Cogn.) Cogn. Alpha-benincasin Si		11		[113]
Benincasa hispida (Thunb.) Cogn.	Beta-benincasin	Small RIP	10.6		[113]
Benincasa hispida (Thunb.) Cogn.	Hispin	1	21		[114]
Beta vulgaris L.	Betavulgin	1	28		[115]
Beta vulgaris L.	Beetin 27	1	27		[116, 117]
Beta vulgaris L.	Beetin 29	1	29		[116, 117]
Bougainvillea spectabilis Willd.	Bouganin (Bougainvillea spectabilis RIP)	1	26.2	Yes	[112, 118]
Bougainvillea xbuttiana Willd.	Bougainvillea xbuttiana antiviral protein	1	35.5		[119]
Bryonia dioica Jacq. Bryodin-L		1	28.8		[111]
<i>Bryonia dioica</i> Jacq.	Bryonia dioica Jacq. Bryodin-1 (BD-1)		30	Yes	[120]
Bryonia dioica Jacq.	Bryodin-2 (BD-2)		27	Yes	[121]
Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze	sinensis (L.) Kuntze Camellia sinensis RIP (CS-RIP)		63.6		[122]
Celosia cristata L. Celosia cristata antiviral protein 25 (CCP- 25)		1	25		[123]
Celosia cristata L. Celosia cristata antiviral protein 27 (CCP- 27)		1	27		[124]
Charybdis maritima L.	Charybdin	1	29		[125]
Chenopodium album L.	Chenopodium album antiviral RIP (CAP30)	1	30		[126, 127]
Cinnamomum camphora (L.) J. Presl.	Camphorin	1	23		[128]
Cinnamomum camphora (L.) J. Presl.	Cinnamomin	2	61		[128]
Cinnamomum porrectum L.	Porrectin	2	64.5		[129]
Citrullus colocynthis Schrad.	Colocin 1	1	26.3	Yes	[111]
Citrullus colocynthis Schrad.	Colocin 2	1	26.3		[111]
Clerodendrum inerme (L.) Gaertn	CIP-29	1	29		[130, 131]
Clerodendrum inerme (L.) Gaertn	CIP-34	1	34		[130, 131]
Croton tiglium L.	Crotin I	1	ND		[132]
Croton tiglium L.	Crotin II	1	30.2		[132]
Cucumis figarei Naud.	Cucumis figarei RIP (CF-RIP)	1	31.8		[133]
Cucumis melo L.	Melonin	1	23.5		[134, 135]
Cucurbita foetidissima Kunth.	Foetidissimin	2	63		[136]

Plant	RIP	Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Cucurbita foetidissima Kunth.	Foetidissimin II	2	61		[137]
Cucurbita maxima L.	Cucurmoschin	Small RIP	8		[138]
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne ex Poir.	Alpha-moschin	Small RIP	12		[139]
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne ex Poir.	Beta-moschin	Small RIP	12		[139]
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne ex Poir.	Moschatin	1	29	Yes	[140]
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne ex Poir.	<i>aata</i> Duchesne ex bir. Cucurmosin (CUS)		27		[141, 142]
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne ex Poir.	Cucurmosin 2	1	27.2		[143]
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne ex Poir.	Cucurbita moschata RIP	1	30.7		[144]
Cucurbita pepo L.	Pepocin	1	26		[145]
Cucurbita texana (Scheele) A. Gray	Texanin	1	29.7		[137]
Dianthus barbatus L.	Dianthus barbatus L. Dianthin-29		29		[146]
Dianthus caryophyllus L.	Dianthin-30		29.5	Yes	[147, 148]
Dianthus caryophyllus L.	Dianthin-32		31.7	Yes	[147, 148]
Dianthus sinensis L.	Dianthus sinensis RIP (DsRIP)	1	33.3		[149]
Eranthis hyemalis Salisb.	Eranthis hyemalis lectin (EHL)	2	62		[150, 151]
Gelonium multiflorum A. Juss.	ium multiflorum A. Juss. Gelonin (GAP31)		31	Yes	[152, 153]
<i>Gynostemma pentaphyllum</i> (Thunb.) Makino	<i>uma pentaphyllum</i> (Thunb.) Makino Gynostemmin		27		[144, 154]
Gypsohila elegans Bieb.	Gypsophilin	1	28		[155]
Hordeum vulgare L.	Barley translation inhibitor (barley toxin I, BRIP)	1	31	Yes	[156]
Hordeum vulgare L.	Barley toxin II	1	30	Yes	[157]
Hordeum vulgare L.	Barley toxin III	1	30		[157]
Hordeum vulgare L.	JIP60 (60 kDa jasmonate-induced protein)	3	60		[158]
Hura crepitans L.	Hura crepitans RIP	1	28		[105]
Iris hollandica L.	Iris agglutinin b (IRAb)	2	65		[159]
Iris hollandica L.	Iris agglutinin r (IRAr)	2	65		[159]
Iris hollandica L.	Iris RIP A1 (IRIP A1)	1	30.9		[160]
Iris hollandica L.	Iris RIP A2 (IRIP A2)	1	31		[160]
Iris hollandica L.	Iris RIP A3 (IRIP A3)	1	30.9		[160]
Jatropha curcas L.	Curcin	1	28.2	Yes	[161, 162]
Jatropha curcas L.	Jc-SCRIP	1	38.9		[163]
Lagenaria siceraria Molina.	Lagenin	1	20		[164]
Luffa acutangula Roxb.	Luffaculin-1	1	28		[165]

Plant	RIP	Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Luffa acutangula Roxb.	Luffaculin-2	1	28		[165]
Luffa acutangula Roxb.	Luffangulin	Small RIP	6.5		[166]
Luffa aegyptiaca Mill.	Luffin-c	1	ND		[167]
Luffa aegyptiaca Mill.	Luffa ribosomal inhibitory protein (LRIP)	1	30	Yes	[168]
Luffa cylindrica Mill.	Luffacylin	Small RIP	7.8		[169]
Luffa cylindrica Mill.	Luffin-A (alpha-luffin)	1	27	Yes	[170, 171]
Luffa cylindrica Mill.	Luffin-B (beta-luffin)	1	28	Yes	[170]
Luffa cylindrica Mill.	Luffin-P1	Small RIP	5.2	Yes	[172]
Luffa cylindrica Mill.	ndrica Mill. Luffin-S S		10		[173]
Lychnis chalcedonica L.	L. Lychnin 1		26.1		[111, 174]
Malania oleifera Chun & S.K. Lee	Malanin	2	61.9		[175]
Manihot palmate Mill.	Mapalmin	1	32.3		[111]
Manihot utilissima Mill.	Manutin	1	30.7		[176]
Marah oreganus (Torr. ex S. Wats.) Howell MOR-I (Marah oreganus RIP-I)		1	28		[177]
Marah oreganus (Torr. ex S. Wats.) Howell	reganus (Torr. ex S. Wats.) Howell MOR-II (Marah oreganus RIP-II)		27.6		[177]
Mesembryanthemum crystallinum L.	llinum L. RIP1		32.7		[178]
Mirabilis expansa Standl.	Standl. ME1		27		[179]
Mirabilis expansa Standl.	ME2		27.5		[179]
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> L.	Mirabilis antiviral protein (MAP)	1	27.8		[180]
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> L.	MAP-2	1	30.4		[180]
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> L.	MAP-3	1	29.7		[180]
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> L.	MAP-4	1	29.3		[180]
Momordica balsamina L.	Momordica balsamina RIP-1 (MbRIP-1)	1	30		[181]
Momordica balsamina L.	Momordin II	1	32		[182]
Momordica balsamina L.	Balsamin	1	28		[183]
Momordica charantia L.	Momordin (<i>Momordica charantia</i> inhibitor, momordin-a)	1	23	Yes	[184]
Momordica charantia L.	Alpha-momorcharin (alpha-MMc)	1	29		[185, 186]
Momordica charantia L.	Beta-momorcharin (beta-MMc)	1	28		[187, 188]
Momordica charantia L.	Gamma-momorcharin	Small RIP	11.5		[189]
Momordica charantia L.	Delta-momorcharin	1	30		[190]
Momordica charantia L.	Epsilon-momorcharin	1	24		[190]
Momordica charantia L.	Momordica charantia lectin (MCL)	2	130		[122]
Momordica charantia L.	Charantin	Small RIP	9.7		[191]
Momordica charantia L.	Momordin I (Momordica charanthia inhibi- tor)	1	31	Yes	[147, 192]

Plant	RIP	Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Momordica cochinchinensis Spreng	Momorcochin-S	1	30	Yes	[193]
Momordica cochinchinensis Spreng	Momorcochin	1	32	Yes	[194]
Momordica cochinchinensis Spreng	Cochinin B	1	28		[195, 196]
Momordica grosvenorii Swingle	Momorgrosvin	1	27.7		[197]
Muscari armeniacum Baker.	Musarmin-1 (MU-1)	1	28.7		[198]
Muscari armeniacum Baker.	Musarmin-2 (MU-2)	1	30		[198]
Muscari armeniacum Baker.	Musarmin-3 (MU-3)	1	27.6		[198]
Nicotiana tabacum L.	Tobacco RIP (TRIP)	1	26		[199]
Nicotiana tabacum L.	CIP31	1	31		[200]
Oryza sativa L.	Oryza sativa L. Oryza sativa RIP 1 33			[201]	
Oryza sativa L.	Oryza sativa cultivar Kazemi RIP	1	29		[202]
Panax ginseng L.	Panaxagin	RIP-like	52		[203]
Panax quinquefolium L.	Quinqueginsin	RIP-like	53		[204]
Petrocoptis glaucifolia (Lag.) Boiss.	Petroglaucin-1	1	26.7		[205]
Petrocoptis glaucifolia (Lag.) Boiss.	Petroglaucin-2	1	27.5		[206]
Petrocoptis grandiflora Rothm.	Petrograndin	1	28.6		[205]
Phoradendron californicum Nutt.	Phoradendron californicum lectin (PCL)	2	69		[207]
Phytolacca americana L.	PAP (pokeweed antiviral protein, <i>Phytolacca</i> antiviral protein)	1	29	Yes	[208, 209]
Phytolacca americana L.	PAP II (pokeweed antiviral protein II)	1	30	Yes	[209]
Phytolacca americana L.	PAP III (pokeweed antiviral protein III)	1	30		[210, 211]
Phytolacca americana L.	PAP-S	1	30	Yes	[212]
Phytolacca americana L.	PAP-C	1	29		[213]
Phytolacca americana L.	PAP-R	1	29.8		[111]
Phytolacca americana L.	РАР-Н	1	29.5		[214]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-S1 (Phytolacca dioica RIP 1)	1	30		[215]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-S2 (Phytolacca dioica RIP 2)	1	29.6	Yes	[215, 216]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-S3 (Phytolacca dioica RIP 3)	1	30		[215]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-L1	1	32.7		[217, 218]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-L2	1	31.5		[217, 218]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-L3	1	30.4		[217, 218]
Phytolacca dioica L.	PD-L4	1	29.2		[217, 218]
Phytolacca dioica L.	Dioicin 1	1	30		[219, 220]
Phytolacca dioica L.	Dioicin 2	1	29.9		[219, 220]
Phytolacca dodecandra L'Herrit	Dodecandrin	1	29		[221]
Phytolacca heterotepala H. Walter	Heterotepalin-4 (Mexican pokeweed RIP-4, <i>Phytolacca heterotepala</i> anti-viral protein PAP)	1	29.3		[222]

Plant	RIP	Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Phytolacca heterotepala H. Walter	Heterotepalin-5b (Mexican pokeweed RIP- 5b)	1	30.5		[222]
Phytolacca insularis Nakai	Jakai <i>Phytolacca insularis</i> antiviral protein (PIP, insularin) 1 35		[223]		
<i>Phytolacca insularis</i> Nakai	Phytolacca insularis antiviral protein 2 (PIP2)	1	35.7		[224]
Pisum sativum L.	Alpha-pisavin	1	20.5		[225]
Pisum sativum L.	Beta-pisavin	1	18.7		[225]
Pisum sativum L.	Sativin	1	38		[226]
Polygonatum multiflorum Kunth.	Polygonatum multiflorum RIP monomer (PMRIPm)	2	60		[227]
Polygonatum multiflorum Kunth.	Polygonatum multiflorum RIP tetramer (PMRIPt)	2	240		[227]
Ricinus communis L.	Ricin	2	62	Yes	[228]
Ricinus communis L.	Ricin 1	2	64		[229]
Ricinus communis L.	Ricin 2	2	67		[229]
Ricinus communis L.	Ricin 3	2	66		[229]
Ricinus communis L. Ricin D		2	60		[230]
Ricinus communis L. Ricin E		2	60		[231]
Ricinus communis L. Ricinus agglutinin (RCA120)		2	120		[97]
Ricinus communis L.	Ricinus communis L. Ricinus agglutinin 1 (RCA1)		134		[229]
Ricinus communis L.	Ricinus agglutinin 2 (RCA2)	2	140		[229]
<i>Ricinus sanguineus</i> Hort. ex Groen- land	Ricin R2	2	63.1		[232]
<i>Ricinus sanguineus</i> Hort. ex Groen- land	Ricin R11	2	57.8		[232]
<i>Ricinus sanguineus</i> Hort. ex Groen- land	Ricin R12	2	62.2		[232]
<i>Ricinus sanguineus</i> Hort. ex Groen- land	Ricinus sanguineus agglutinin	2	120		[233]
Sambucus ebulus L.	Ebulin r	2	56		[234]
Sambucus ebulus L.	Ebulin I (ebulin 1)	2	56	Yes	[235]
Sambucus ebulus L.	Alpha-ebulitin	1	32		[236]
Sambucus ebulus L.	Beta-ebulitin	1	29		[236]
Sambucus ebulus L.	Gamma-ebulitin	1	29		[236]
Sambucus nigra L.	Basic nigrin b	2	63.5		[237]
Sambucus nigra L.	Nigrin b	2	58	Yes	[238]
Sambucus nigra L.	Nigritin fl	1	24.1		[239]
Sambucus nigra L.	Nigritin f2	1	23.6		[239]
Sambucus nigra L.	Sambucus nigra agglutinin I (SNAI)	2	140		[240]

Plant	RIP	Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Sambucus nigra L.	SNLRP	2	60 - 62		[241]
Sambucus racemosa L.	Basic racemosin b	2	58		[242]
Sambucus sieboldiana L.	Sieboldin-b	2	59.4		[243]
Saponaria ocymoides L.	Ocymoidine	1	30.2	Yes	[244]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-6	1	29.5	Yes	[105, 245]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-9	1	29.5		[105]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-L1	1	31.6	Yes	[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-L2	1	31.6		[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-R1	1	30.2		[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-R2	1	30.9		[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-R3	1	30.9		[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-S5	1	30.9		[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-S6	1	31.6	Yes	[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-S8	1	29.5		[246]
Saponaria officinalis L.	Saporin-S9	1	29.5		[246]
Secale cereale L.	Secale cereale L. Secale cereale RIP		31		[247]
Sechium edule (Jacq.) Sw.	Sechiumin	1	27		[248]
Spinacia oleracea L.	Spinacia oleracea RIP1 (SoRIP1, BP31)	1	31		[249]
Spinacia oleracea L.	Spinacia oleracea RIP2 (SoRIP2)	1	29		[249]
Stellaria aquatica Scop.	Stellarin	1	ND		[250]
Stellaria media (L.) Vill.	RIP Q3	1	28.2		[251]
Trichosanthes anguina L.	Trichoanguin	1	35		[252]
Trichosanthes cucumerina Wall.	Trichosanthes cucumerina seed lectin (TCSL)	RIP-like	69		[253]
Trichosanthes cucumeroides Maxim.	Beta-trichosanthin	1	28		[254]
Trichosanthes dioica Roxb.	Trichosanthes dioica seed lectin (TDSL)	RIP-like	55		[255]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Alpha-kirilowin	1	28.8		[256]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Beta-kirilowin	1	27.5		[257]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Trichosanthin (TCS)	1	25 - 26	Yes	[258]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	TAP-29 (<i>Trichosanthes</i> anti-HIV protein 29 kDa)	1	29		[259]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Trichobitacin	1	27.2		[260, 261]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	S-Trichokirin	Small RIP	8		[262]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Trichokirin-S1	Small RIP	11.4		[263]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Alpha-trichosanthin	1	31.7		[264]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Karasurin-A	1	27.1		[265, 266]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Karasurin-B	1	27.2		[267]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Karasurin-C	1	27.4		[267]

Ouuven=Onvieuu	Gil	aber	t-01	riol	et	al.
----------------	-----	------	------	------	----	-----

Plant RIP		Туре	Ma (kDa)	Immunotoxins	Ref.
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Trichosanthrip	Small RIP	11		[268]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Trichomislin	1	27.2		[269]
Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.	Trichokirin	1	27	Yes	[270]
Trichosanthes lepiniate Maxim.	Trichomaglin	1	24.7		[271]
Trichosanthes sp. Bac Kan 8-98	Trichobakin	1	27		[272]
Triticum aestivum L.	Tritin	1	30		[273]
Vaccaria pyramidata Medik.	Pyramidatine	1	28	Yes	[244]
Viscum album L.	Viscumin (mistletoe lectin I)	2	60	Yes	[274]
Viscum articulatum Burm. F.	Articulatin-D	2	66		[275]
Ximenia americana L.	Riproximin	2	63		[276]
Zea mays L.	Maize seed RIP (b-32, corn RIP)	1	32.4		[277]
Zea mais L.	Maize proRIP	3	34		[278]

it is transported to the Golgi-apparatus by retrograde transport and finally reaches the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Within the ER the disulfide bonds are cleaved by thioredoxin reductases and disulfide isomerases [9, 10]. The enzymatically active A chain is released and partially unfolded during this process [11]. To facilitate its entry into the cytosol, the A chain exploits a mechanism, which is known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD). ERAD is a natural mechanism for maintaining the homeostasis of the ER [12]. Proteins that are misfolded and thus non-functional are designated for proteasome degradation within the cytosol. The transport of the partially unfolded A chain is mediated by the translocon Sec61p [13] and the ER degradation-enhancing α -mannosidase-like protein 1 [14]. One of the most important factors for the cytosolic delivery is the recognition of the A chain as a substrate for the ERAD system. This is achieved by disguising the A chain as a misfolded protein. After reaching the cytosol the partially unfolded A chain is fully refolded to regain the conformational integrity as an enzymatically active form. This is facilitated by the chaperons Hsc70 and Hsp90 [15]. Genetic interaction maps indicate the involvement of a number of different factors responsible for modulating the ricin trafficking [16]. The cytosolic delivery of the A chain marks the end of a highly efficient molecular strategy that ricin adopts in order to direct the catalytic domain to the ribosomes.

As mentioned before, a common feature of all the RIPs is their ability to depurinate the rRNA by releasing an adenine residue at their α-sarcin/ricin loop. This results in an irreversible inhibition of protein synthesis facilitated by the prevention of eukaryotic elongation factor binding [17]. According to the protein data bank (PDB), RIPs belong to a group of rRNA N-glycosidases (EC 3.2.2.22) that hydrolyze the N-glycosidic bonds at the position 4324 on the 28S rRNA. The bond between the N9 of adenine and the C1 of ribose is hydrolyzed by a concerted action of an arginine at position 180 (R180) and a glutaminic acid at position 177 (E177). E177 is stabilized at a cationic oxocarbenium ribose transition state and R180 is responsible for activating water. This facilitates the nucleophilic attack on the C1 of the oxocarbenium intermediate resulting in the release of adenine [18]. Mutants lacking E177 and R180 are also devoid of the N-glycosidase activity [19]. Recent studies suggest that the action of RIPs on ribosomes depends on the ribosomal stalk, which is a network of different proteins that recruit translational factors to the ribosomes [20]. After gaining access to their substrate, RIPs act as toxic agents. It is further hypothesized that

only one internalized molecule is sufficient to kill one cell. From an evolutionary point of view, it has been suggested that the B chain of ricin was generated by a lateral gene transfer from a bacteria.

Contrasting to type 2 RIPs, type 1 RIPs are less toxic [21] and consist of only the A chain (*N*-glycosidase), which lacks any specific cell binding properties. The low cytotoxicity of type 1 RIPs is generally attributed to an inefficient endocytosis. However, based on some other reports [22] and our own experiments (Fig. 1), it is admissible that type 1 RIPs are effectively internalized. The major problem restricting their efficacy is the inefficient endosomal release.



Fig. (1). Three-dimensional depiction (z-stacks) of the endosomal network of ECV-304 cells loaded with ^{Alexa}saporin. ECV-304 cells were challenged for 3 h with 1 μM Alexa-Fluor 488 labeled saporin (type I RIP from *Saponaria officinalis* L.). Cells were co-incubated with pH rodo[™] Red Dextran, a marker for endo/lysosomes and analyzed by confocal live cell imaging. Depicted is the endo/lysosomal network of one living ECV-304 cells. Green: ^{Alexa}saporin in celular vesicles, red: pHrodo[™] Red Dextran in endosomes/lysosomes, yellow: co-localization of ^{Alexa}saporin and pHrodo[™] Red Dextran in endosomes/lysosomes. The figure illustrates the fact that saporin is internalized and trapped in to the endosomal vesicles, thereafter it is degraded by the endo/lysosomal degradation.

The exact mechanism of the internalization of type 1 RIPs is not deciphered so far. Previous studies indicate towards a receptormediated endocytosis of type I RIPs by low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptors [23-26]. Contrastingly, some other results confirm a receptor independent endocytosis [22]. However, the exertion of toxic effects appears to be independent of the internalization mechanism. The toxicity determining factor is the ability of type 1 RIPs to cross the endo/lysosomal membrane. Since type 1 RIPs do not contain any transduction domains facilitating the endo/lysosomal escape into the cytosol, they are less cytotoxic. Upon endocytosis, type 1 RIPs are delivered into the cellular compartments that are positive for lysobisphosphatidic acid (LBPA) (a specific eukaryotic phospholipid marker for late endosomes) and the lysosomal-associated membrane proteins LAMP1 and LAMP2 [22, 27]. Type I RIPs are thereafter degraded within the lysosomes [5].

Immunotoxins and Targeted Toxins

Immunotoxins as per definition are conjugates of cell binding antibodies and the complete type 1/2 RIP or the A chain of a type 2 RIP [6]. In all the reported cases, the complete type 2 RIP has a very high cytotoxic effect when conjugated to the antibody. Nonetheless, there is an increased side effect due to the off-target binding of the B chain. To circumvent this, a lot of alternative strategies including but not limited to the use of high concentrations of free galactose or lactose as competitive binders have been tested. Another alternative in overcoming this problem has been the use of steric hindrance [28]. Coupling of an antibody or its fragment to the isolated A chain via disulfide linkage appears to be the most effective strategy. RIPs lack thiol groups for a disulfide linkage and it is necessary to synthetically introduce it. Alternatively, other linkages such as maleimide linkage have also been attempted but are not successful, mainly due to the inability of cellular enzymes to reductively cleave the bonds [29].

Another important term for the fusion proteins comprising of toxins is targeted toxin. It is a term which coherently finds usage in the literature to define a generic name for immunotoxins. In general, targeted toxins comprise of tumor specific ligands coupled to polypeptide toxins. They constitute a class of cancer therapeutics that leads to the death of cancer cells. They mainly act by the inactivation of cytosolic protein synthesis and induction of programmed cell death [3]. Immunotoxins are *per se*, restricted to an antibody or antibody fragment as the targeting moiety whereas, targeted toxins form a larger domain including the use of antibodies, small antibody fragments, growth factors, cytokines or small peptides as targeting moieties. Thus, immunotoxins form a smaller subset of targeted toxins as a classification in general.

These targeted toxins can either be prepared by chemical conjugation as described above, or they can be produced recombinantly as a fusion protein that is expressed in cells [6]. Within the past two decades, significant progress has been made towards proper identification of the appropriate cellular target for toxins with target specificity. Moreover, tremendous progress made in the field of genetic engineering and a better understanding of receptor physiology coupled with the single molecule tracking modality have led to an exponential growth in the scientific output as far as targeted toxins are concerned. This is further evidenced by an increased number of clinical trials which are being conducted on targeted toxins, with many of them in Phase 3 [30, 31].

Plant RIPs constitute a major portion of the therapies with targeted toxins, and although there is additional literature available on bacterial and human toxins, plant RIPs generate a lot of scientific interest. As listed in Table 2, there are more than 450 targeted toxins described, which comprise of plant RIPs as a toxic moiety. Amongst various RIPs the leading toxin components are ricin A chain from *Ricinus communis* L., saporin from *Saponaria officinalis* L. and gelonin from *Gelonium multiflorum* A. Juss. A lot of different targeting ligands have been successfully coupled to these toxins and have demonstrated high specificity in *in vitro* and preclinical evaluations. The ligand, apart from providing selectivity, also helps in cellular internalization of the toxin. There are a number of aspects associated with the internalization and trafficking of toxins. When the toxins are transformed into targeted toxins, there are numerous critical elements deciding their fate *in vitro* and *in vivo*; these events are discussed in detail hereafter.

Antigen Selection and Efficiency of Internalization

The analysis of the expression pattern of tumor-associated surface antigens and the knowledge about their ability to promote or modulate the tumor growth are critical for the identification of novel targets for targeted anti-tumor therapies. For the development of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) or targeted toxins, it is essential to determine, whether a particular surface antigen undergoes an accelerated internalization or not (Fig. 2). There is a variety of cancer-associated antigens that are being targeted by mAbs [32, 33]. For mAbs that mediate their efficacy in part by interaction with natural killer cells (NK) (antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity, ADCC), it is important to select antigens, which do not undergo rapid down-regulation after binding. This is a feature contrasting the modality of targeted toxins, where it is desirable to select antigens that show enhanced endocytosis after ligand binding [34]. This facilitates a rapid delivery of the toxin into the cancer cells.

The receptor that is being addressed by the targeted toxin should be over-expressed on the tumor cell surface compared to the normal tissue. A considerable number of receptors [35] have been addressed to date, amongst them are the cytokine receptors [36], tumor necrosis factor receptor, growth factor receptors [37, 38] and cluster of differentiation CD22 [39], CD25 [40] and CD30 [41]. Contrasting to the numerous advantages listed above, a drawback of antibody-based targeted toxins is their limited ability to induce the effector functionalities of the naked antibodies. It is in fact a predominant basis for the concept of targeted toxins, wherein it is envisaged to outweigh the biological functionalities of the monoclonal antibodies by conjugating them to bacterial toxins such as *Pseudomonas* exotoxin from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [42] or plant toxins such as saporin from *Saponaria officinalis* L.

Release of Targeted Toxins into the Cytosol and their Lysosomal Degradation

Once internalized, the targeted toxin is delivered into early endosomes. Early endosomes are part of the endosomal transport system, which is an intracellular vesicular and tubular compartment surrounded by cytosol. Within early endosomes, endocytosed ligands (targeted toxins) are either designated for recycling [43, 44] or they are further transported into late endosomes, and finally lysosomes for degradation. Since targeted toxins exert their antitumoral efficacy only in the cytosol, it is a vital prerequisite for their efficacy that they are able to escape from the endosomal network into the cytosol. Targeted toxins fused to truncated variants of bacterial toxins such as diphtheria toxin (DT) from Corynebacterium diphtheriae utilize the native T-domain of DT to escape from early endosomes into the cytosol [42, 45, 46] while other targeted toxins employ a KDEL-like motive of their toxin moieties, which in turn facilitate their retrograde delivery into the ER and thereafter their transport to the cytosol [47]. However, plant-derived toxins such as saporin and gelonin or the A chain of the type 2 RIP ricin does not comprise of such translocation domains. It can be therefore anticipated that the cytosolic delivery of type 1 RIP-based targeted toxins is attenuated, compared to appropriate bacterial counterparts. However, comparative studies in this regard have not been undertaken so far.

Several strategies such as photochemical internalization [48], pore formation by listeriolysin O from *Listeria monocytogenes* [37], cell penetration by protein transduction domains [49], the use of lysosomotropic agents like chloroquine [50] or the use of triterpe-



Fig. (2). An overview of the steps required for the recognition and internalization of the targeted toxin in the tumor cells. The critical step of target recognition determines the specificity, thereafter the celular machinery takes over and in the ideal case the toxin escapes from the endosomal membrane thus inactivating the ribosomes *via N*-glycosidase activity.

noidal saponins from *Saponaria officinalis* L. and *Gypsophila paniculata* L. [51, 52] have been developed to facilitate the escape of targeted toxins from endosomal vesicles (a schematic overview on the obstacles for the cytosolic delivery of targeted toxins is depicted below above). All these methods prevent the lysosomal degradation of targeted toxins by mediating their endosomal escape into the cytosol. This results in a significant augmentation of the antitumoral efficacy of the targeted toxin.

Lysosomal degradation is one of the main issues in targeted tumor therapies [53]. It may be compensated by increasing the dosage of the targeted toxins, however, this does promote undesirable side effects. As mentioned above, lysosomal degradation can be outweighed by combination strategies that mediate the endosomal escape of targeted toxins. The generation of modified targeted toxins that are resistant against lysosomal degradation is a further attractive strategy to increase the efficacy of targeted toxins [54].

Advancement in the Use of RIPs as Therapeutic Agent

Initially, targeted toxins were constructed with native ricin and were tested *in vitro* in the presence of high concentrations of lactose which prevented the non-specific binding of ricin B-chain. Blocking of the oligosaccharide binding sites was used to prevent offtarget ricin uptake and provided the possibility of applying the immunotoxins *in vivo* [55]. The separation of RTA and ricin B-chain by chemical reduction allowed conjugation of the antibody to the catalytic subunit, mainly through cross-linkers containing a disulfide bond. Despite the high yield and good stability of these targeted toxins, one of the main disadvantages for them was a heterogeneous composition [28]. Furthermore, it is well known that the glycosylated residues of RTA also facilitate non-specific uptake by macrophages. Therefore, in order to prevent the non-specific uptake, RTA was submitted to a process of deglycosylation before conjugation to the antibody and formation of the immunotoxin [56].

The advancement of recombinant tools has led to a rather ubiquitous utilization of these techniques for the production of toxins. For generating these targeted toxins, the gene portion encoding the antigen-binding fragments of an antibody (Fab or Fv) is generally coupled to the gene encoding for native catalytic domain. In another case it may be linked to the mutated version of the toxin. Once the construct is available it can be proliferated in any expression system such as bacteria, yeast or algae [57, 58]. The first generated recombinant immunotoxins were mostly formed using the single-chain variable fragments (scFvs), thereafter they were substituted by disulfide-stabilized Fvs (dsFvs). The scFvs have a peptide linker compared to the disulfide bridge in dsFvs which makes the conformation more stable.

Future Perspectives and Opinions on Targeted Toxins

Cancer is an expended burden in an ageing population. In the fight against this complex phenomenon, it would be a misjudgment to believe that one day a single strategy such as the use of targeted toxins will be able to defeat this disease. Thus, different complementary strategies are required to overcome all the hurdles that impede recovery. Surgical intervention, chemotherapy and radiation constitute the traditional troika of cancer therapies that are used as commonly for a wide variety of tumors.

Clinical In Toxin Immunotoxin Ligand Target antigen Tumor/Disease In vitro trial Ref. vivo status Melanoma-associated [279. Abrin Abrin-9.2.27 mAb (9.2.27) Melanoma Yes Yes antigen (p250) 280] Melanoma-associated Abrin-NR-ML-05 Abrin mAb (NR-ML-05) Melanoma Yes [281] antigen (p250) mAb (LICR-LOND Fib Bladder cancer anti-[282-Abrin A-chain Fib 75-abrin A chain EJ bladder cancer Yes Yes 75) gen 284] C27-Abrin A chain Carcinoembryonic Abrin A-chain mAb (C27) Colorectal cancer Yes [285] Yes (MAAC) antigen (CEA) Anti-Thy 1.1-Abrin AmAb (anti-Thy 1.1) Abrin A-chain CD90.1 (Thy 1.1) AKR-A lymphoma [286] Yes Yes chain (OX7) Anti-HepatomamAb (anti-hepatoma-Hepatoma-associated Abrin A-chain associated Antigenassociated antigen L10 antigen L10 190 kDa Hepatocarcinoma [287] Yes glycoprotein Abrin A-chain 190 kDa glycoprotein) IgG (anti-Trypanosoma Trypanosoma cruzi Abrin A-chain ITA Trypanosoma cruzi Yes [288] cruzi surface antigens) surface antigens Gonadotropin releas-Breast cancer, hepa-[289] Abrin A-chain F1G4-rABRa-A mAb (F1G4) ing hormone (GnRH) Yes tocarcinoma receptor Abrin A-chain SWA11-SPDB-abrin A mAb (SWA11) CD24 SCLC Yes [290] Abrin A-chain ABRaA-VEGF121 VEGF₁₂₁ VEGFR-2 Melanoma Yes Yes [291] Human diferric transferrin Glioblastoma multi-Tfn-abrin variant TfR Abrin variant [292] Yes forme, melanoma (Tfn) Barley toxin I H65-MM-rBRIP mAb (H65) CD5 [293] ALL Yes Barley toxin I 4A2-MM-rBRIP mAb (4A2) CD7 ALL [293] Yes Barley toxin I Anti-melanoma-BRIP mAb (anti-melanoma) Melanoma antigen Melanoma Yes [294] Barley toxin II 5E9C11-Barley toxin II mAb (HB21) (5E9) TfR Colon cancer Yes [157] Hodgkin's lym-Anti-CD80/bouganin Bouganin mAb (M24) CD80 phoma, Burkitt's [295] Yes (M24-bouganin) lymphoma Hodgkin's lym-Anti-CD86/bouganin mAb (anti-CD86) (1G10) CD86 phoma, Burkitt's [295] Bouganin Yes lymphoma Solid tumors of [296, VB6-845 Fab (4D5MOCB) Phase I deBouganin EpCAM Yes Yes epithelial origin 297] mAb (anti-Thy 1.1) Bryodin-1 OX7-bryodin CD90.1 (Thy 1.1) AKR-A lymphoma [298] Yes (OX7) B-cell non-Hodgkin's lym-[299, Bryodin-1 BD1-G28-5 sFv CD40 scFv (G28-5) Yes 300] phoma, multiple myeloma chiBR96-BD-1 Le^y antigen Bryodin-1 scFv (BR96) Breast cancer [301] Yes Anti-epithelial antigenmAb (anti-epithelial anti-Colon cancer, epi-Yes Bryodin-1 Epithelial antigen [302] bryodin gen) dermoid carcinoma

Table 2. A comprehensive list of all the targeted toxins based on plant RIPs investigated so far.

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Bryodin-1	F(ab')2-bryodin/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Bryodin-2	chiBR96-BD-2	scFv (BR96)	Le ^y antigen	Breast cancer	Yes			[301]
Bryodin-2	HB21-bryodin-II	mAb (HB21) (5E9)	TfR	Breast cancer	Yes			[304]
Colocin 1	Anti-epithelial antigen- colocin 1	mAb (anti-epithelial anti- gen)	Epithelial antigen	Colon cancer, epi- dermoid carcinoma	Yes			[302]
Curcin	Curcin-TfRBP9	TfRBP9 [transferrin re- ceptor (TfR) binding peptide]	TfR	Hepatocellular carci- noma	Yes			[305]
Dianthin 30	BerH2-dianthin	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Lymphoblastoid, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[306, 307]
Dianthin 30	Dianthin-EGF	EGF	EGFR	EGFR overexpress- ing cells	Yes			[84, 308]
Dianthin 30	Tfn-dianthin	Transferrin	TfR	T-cell leukemia	Yes			[309]
Dianthin 32	F(ab') ₂ -dianthin 32/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Ebulin l	Ebulin l-transferrin	Transferrin	TfR	TfR-over-expressing cancer cells	Yes			[310]
Ebulin l	44G4-ebulin	mAb (44G4)	CD105 (endoglin)	Tumor neovascula- ture	Yes			[311]
Gelonin	Fib 75-gelonin	mAb (LICR-LOND Fib 75)	Bladder cancer anti- gen	EJ bladder cancer	Yes	Yes		[284, 312]
Gelonin	Anti-CD86/gelonin (αCD86-gelonin)	mAb (anti-CD86) (1G10)	CD86	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[295, 313]
Gelonin	Anti-CD80/gelonin (M24-gelonin)	mAb (M24)	CD80	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[295]
Gelonin	αCD80-gelonin	mAb (B5B)	CD80	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[313]
Gelonin	J5/gelonin	mAb (J5)	CD10 (CALLA)	Lymphoma	Yes			[314]
Gelonin	I-2/gelonin	mAb (I-2)	Ia antigen	Lymphoma	Yes			[314]
Gelonin	J30/gelonin	mAb (J30)	gp26 cell surface glycoprotein	Lymphoma	Yes			[314]
Gelonin	BerH2-gelonin	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[307]
Gelonin	NDA4-gelonin	mAb (NDA4)	NDA4 antigen	EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid, gibbon MLA leuke- mia	Yes			[315]
Gelonin	HB21-gelonin (5E9- gelonin)	mAb (HB21) (5E9)	TfR	Colon cancer, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[157, 316]
Gelonin	OKT9-gelonin	mAb (OKT9)	TfR	Cervical cancer	Yes			[317]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Gelonin	Lym-I-gelonin	mAb (Lym-1)	HLA-DR	Burkitt's lymphoma cells	Yes			[318]
Gelonin	B4G7-gelonin	mAb (B4G7)	EGFR	Lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[319]
Gelonin	80G-gelonin	mAb (80G)	Alpha-fetoprotein	Hepatoma	Yes	Yes		[320]
Gelonin	ZME-gelonin	mAb (ZME-018)	Proteoglycan, p250	Melanoma	Yes	Yes		[321, 322]
Gelonin	Gelonin-9.2.27	mAb (9.2.27)	Melanoma-associated antigen (p250)	Melanoma	Yes	Yes		[280]
Gelonin	AChR-gelonin	AChR (nicotinic acetyl- choline receptor)	IgG (anti-AChR)	Experimental auto- immune myasthenia gravis (EAMG)	Yes	Yes		[323]
Gelonin	38.13-gelonin	mAb (38.13)	TH ceramide (Pk antigen)	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[324]
Gelonin	Anti-T11-gelonin	mAb (OKT11)	CD2	T cells	Yes	Yes		[325, 326]
Gelonin	Tf-gelonin	Transferrin	TfR	Malaria (<i>Plasmodium</i> falciparum)	Yes			[327]
Gelonin	AR3-gelonin	mAb (AR3)	CAR-3	Gastric cancer	Yes	Yes		[328]
Gelonin	15A8-gelonin	mAb (15A8)	Breast cancer antigen	Breast cancer, cervi- cal cancer	Yes			[329]
Gelonin	HB5-gelonin	mAb (HB5)	Cd3 receptor	EBV infection	Yes			[330]
Gelonin	Anti-Lyt 2.2-gelonin	mAb (anti-Lyt 2.2) (19/178C ₁)	Lyt2.2	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[331]
Gelonin	Anti-Thy 1.2-gelonin	mAb (anti-Thy 1.2) (AT15E)	CD90.2 (Thy 1.2)	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[331]
Gelonin	Anti-Thy 1-gelonin	mAb (anti-Thy 1) (M549)	CD90 (Thy 1.1 and 1.2)	Leukemia	Yes	Yes		[332]
Gelonin	LG 2/72-gelonin	mAb (LG 2/72)	HLA-DR	Lymphoma	Yes			[331]
Gelonin	Anti-MCMV-gelonin	IgG (anti-MCMV)	MCMV antigen (murine cytomega- lovirus antigen)	CMV infection	Yes			[333]
Gelonin	Anti-HCMV-gelonin	IgG (anti-HCMV)	HCMV antigen (hu- man cytomegalovirus antigen)	CMV infection	Yes			[333]
Gelonin	Anti-JL1-gelonin	mAb (anti-JL1)	JL1	Leukemia	Yes			[334]
Gelonin	oLH-gelonin (lutropin- SS-gelonin)	Ovine luteinizing hor- mone (oLH)	Ovine LH receptor	Leydig cell tumor (testicular cancer)	Yes			[335]
Gelonin	hCG-gelonin	Human chorionic go- nadotropin (hCG)	LH receptor	Leydig cell tumor (testicular cancer)	Yes			[335]
Gelonin	Gelonin-gp330	gp330 (renal brush border antigen)	Anti-gp330 Ig	Heymann's nephritis	Yes	Yes		[336]
Gelonin	Anti-PCV-gelonin	IgG (anti-PCV)	Pichinde virus (PCV)	Pichinde virus (PCV)	Yes			[337]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Gelonin	PC4.9A6-gelonin	mAb (PC4.9A6)	Pichinde virus (PCV)	Pichinde virus (PCV)	Yes			[337]
Gelonin	14G2a-gelonin	mAb (14G2a)	Disialoganglioside GD2	Neuroblastoma, melanoma	Yes			[338]
Gelonin	MSN-1-gelonin	mAb (MSN-1)	Endometrial adeno- carcinoma antigen	Endometrial adeno- carcinoma	Yes	Yes		[339]
Gelonin	F(ab')2-gelonin/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Gelonin	H65-gelonin	mAb (H65)	CD5	T-cell ALL	Yes	Yes		[340]
Gelonin	BACH-250/rGel	mAb (BACH-250)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[341]
Gelonin	TAB-250/rGel	mAb (TAB-250)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[341]
Gelonin	VEGF ₁₂₁ /rGel	VEGF ₁₂₁	KDR Flk-1 receptor	Tumor neovascula- ture, melanoma, prostate cancer	Yes	Yes		[342]
Gelonin	HuM195/rGel	mAb (HuM-195)	CD33	AML, CML, myelo- dysplastic syndrome	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[343- 346]
Gelonin	MEL scFv-rGel	scFv (MEL)	gp240	Melanoma, brain cancer, lobular breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[347]
Gelonin	BLyS-gelonin	B lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS)	BR3/BAFF-R, TACI and BCMA	B-NHL subtypes mantle cell lym- phoma (MCL), dif- fuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), B-cell precursor- acute lymphocytic leukemia (BCP- ALL)	Yes	Yes		[348- 350]
Gelonin	C6.5-rGel	scFv (C6.5)	HER2	Breast cancer, gastric cancer, lung cancer, ovarian cancer	Yes	Yes		[351]
Gelonin	e23-L-rGel	scFv (e23)	HER2	Breast cancer, gastric cancer, lung cancer, ovarian cancer	Yes			[352]
Gelonin	ML3-9-rGel	scFv (ML3-9)	HER2	Breast cancer, gastric cancer, lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[351]
Gelonin	MH3-B1-rGel	scFv (MH3-B1)	HER2	Breast cancer, gastric cancer, lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[351]
Gelonin	B1D3-rGel	scFv (B1D3)	HER2	Breast cancer, gastric cancer, lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[351]
Gelonin	3ErGel	scFv (sm3E)	Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)	Colorectal cancer	Yes			[353]
Gelonin	FErGel	scFv (shMFE)	Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)	Colorectal cancer	Yes			[353]
Gelonin	C7rGel	FN3 fragment (C743)	Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)	Colorectal cancer	Yes	Yes		[353, 354]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Gelonin	E4rGel	FN3 fragment (E246)	EGFR	Colorectal cancer	Yes	Yes		[353, 354]
Gelonin	3C/rGel	scFv (3C)	FGFR3	Multiple myeloma, hepatocellular carci- noma, bladder cancer	Yes	Yes		[355, 356]
Gelonin	7D/rGel	scFv (7D)	FGFR3	Multiple myeloma, hepatocellular carci- noma, bladder cancer	Yes	Yes		[355]
Gelonin	H45-rGelonin _{D274C}	mAb (H45)	CD5	ALL	Yes	Yes		[357]
Gelonin	MOC31-gelonin	mAb (MOC31)	Epithelial glycopro- tein-2 (EGP-2)	SCLC, colon cancer, breast cancer	Yes			[358]
Luffa ribosomal inhibitory pro- tein (LRIP)	HB21-LRIP	mAb (HB21) (5E9)	TfR	T lymphoblastic leukemia	Yes			[168]
Luffin-A	Luffin A-Ng76	mAb (Ng76)	Melanoma antigen	Melanoma	Yes			[359]
Luffin-B	Luffin B-Ng76	mAb (Ng76)	Melanoma antigen	Melanoma	Yes			[360]
Luffin-B	LKP (Luffin-β-KDEL- uPAcs)	uPAcs (urokinase-type plasminogen activator)	Urokinase receptor	Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)	Yes		<u> </u>	[361]
Luffin-P1	hIL-2-Luffin P1	IL-2	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	Activated lympho- cytes	Yes	Yes		[362- 364]
Luffin-P1	EBI3-Luffin P1	EBI3 (Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-induced gene 3)	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	Immunological dis- eases, erythroleuke- mia	Yes			[365]
Mistletoe lectin I A-chain	Anti-CD5/MLIA	mAb (anti-CD5)	CD5	T-lymphocytes	Yes			[366]
Mistletoe lectin I A-chain	Anti-CD25/MLIA (Anti-CD25-MLA)	mAb (anti-CD25)	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	Activated lympho- cytes	Yes			[367]
Mistletoe lectin I A-chain	MoAb-16-MLIA	mAb (16)	Oncofetal antigen	Leukemia	Yes			[368]
Mistletoe lectin I A-chain	BMAC1/MLA	mAb (BMCA1)	CD45	Allograft rejection	Yes			[369]
Mistletoe lectin I A-chain	OX1/MLA	mAb (OX1)	rat CD45	Allograft rejection	Yes			[369]
Momorcochin	Anti-epithelial antigen- momorcochin	mAb (anti-epithelial anti- gen)	Epithelial antigen	Colon cancer, epi- dermoid carcinoma	Yes			[302]
Momorcochin	F(ab') ₂ - momorcochin/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Momorcochin-S	Momorcochin-S-A8	mAb (8A)	8A myeloma antigen	Burkitt lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[193]
Momordin	OX7-momordin	mAb (anti-Thy 1.1) (OX7)	CD90.1 (Thy 1.1)	AKR-A lymphoma	Yes			[298]
Momordin	Fib 75-momordin	mAb (LICR-LOND Fib 75)	Bladder cancer anti- gen	EJ bladder cancer	Yes	Yes		[284, 312]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Momordin	OM124-momordin	mAb (anti-CD22) (OM124)	CD22	Burkitt's B-cell lym- phoma, Epstein-Barr virus-infected B lymphoblastoid cells	Yes	Yes		[370]
Momordin	8A-Momordin	mAb (8A)	8A myeloma antigen	Multiple myeloma	Yes			[371]
Momordin	Anti-CD5-Momordin	mAb (anti-CD5)	CD5	T-cell leukemia	Yes	Yes		[372]
Momordin	Anti-CD30-Momordin (Ber-H2-Momordin)	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, anaplastic large-cell lym- phoma(ALCL)	Yes	Yes		[307, 373, 374]
Momordin	BDI-1-momordin	mAb (BDI-1)	Bladder cancer anti- gen	Bladder cancer	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[375, 376]
Momordin	Folate-momordin	Folate	Folate receptor	Cervical cancer, ovarian cancer	Yes			[377, 378]
Momordin	Anti-epithelial antigen- momordin	mAb (anti-epithelial anti- gen)	Epithelial antigen	Colon cancer, epi- dermoid carcinoma	Yes			[302]
Momordin	F(ab')2- momordin/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Momordin I	48-127/momordin I	mAb (48-127)	gp54	Bladder cancer	Yes			[379]
Moschatin	Moschatin-Ng76	mAb (Ng76)	Melanoma antigen	Melanoma	Yes			[380]
Nigrin b	44G4-nigrin b	mAb (44G4)	CD105 (endoglin)	Tumor neovascula- ture	Yes			[381]
Nigrin b	MJ7-Ngb	mAb (MJ7/18)	CD105 (endoglin)	Tumor neovascula- ture, melanoma	Yes	Yes		[382, 383]
Nigrin b	Nigrin b-transferrin	Transferrin	TfR	TfR-over-expressing cancer cells	Yes			[310]
Ocymoidine	Mint-Ocy	mAb (Mint5)	EGFR	Breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[384]
PAP	B43-PAP	mAb (B43)	CD19	Leukemia, B-cell ALL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[385- 388]
PAP	TXU-PAP	mAb (TXU)	CD7	T-NHL, HIV type I	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[389- 391]
PAP	Anti-Thy 1.1 (mAb)- PAP	mAb (anti-Thy 1.1) (OX7)	CD90.1 (Thy 1.1)	Leukemia	Yes			[392]
PAP	Anti-Thy 1.1 (F(ab') ₂)- PAP	F(ab') ₂ (anti-Thy 1.1) (OX7)	CD90.1 (Thy 1.1)	Leukemia	Yes			[392]
PAP	GnRH-PAP	Gonadotropinreleasing hormone (GnRH)	GnRH receptor	Breast cancer	Yes			[393, 394]
PAP	TP3-PAP	mAb (TP3)	p80	Osteosarcoma	Yes	Yes		[395]
PAP	J3-109-PAP	mAb (J3-109)	CD72	B-cell ALL	Yes			[396]
PAP	74-12-4-PAP	mAb (74-12-4)	porcine CD4	Transplants		Yes		[397]
PAP	Anti-CD4-PAP	mAb (MT151)	CD4	HIV	Yes			[398]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
РАР	PAP-9.2.27	mAb (9.2.27)	Melanoma-associated antigen (p250)	Melanoma	Yes	Yes		[280, 399]
PAP	J5/PAP	mAb (J5)	CD10 (CALLA)	Lymphoma	Yes			[314]
PAP9 (High expressed mu- tated PAP)	PAP9-IL-2	IL-2	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[400]
PAP II	J5/PAP II	mAb (J5)	CD10 (CALLA)	Lymphoma	Yes			[314]
PAP-S	OM124-PAP-S	mAb (anti-CD22) (OM124)	CD22	Burkitt's B-cell lym- phoma, Epstein-Barr virus-infected B lymphoblastoid cells, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[307, 370]
PAP-S	Anti-CD30-PAP-S (Ber-H2-PAP-S)	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, anaplastic large-cell lym- phoma(ALCL)	Yes	Yes		[373, 401]
PAP-S	48-127/PAP-S	mAb (48-127)	gp54	Bladder cancer	Yes			[379]
PAP-S	Anti-epithelial antigen- PAP-S	mAb (anti-epithelial anti- gen)	Epithelial antigen	Colon cancer, epi- dermoid carcinoma	Yes			[302]
PAP-S	F(ab') ₂ -PAP-S/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
PAP-S	J5/PAP-S	mAb (J5)	CD10 (CALLA)	Lymphoma	Yes			[314]
PD-S2	Ber-H2-PD-S2	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[307]
Pyramidatine	Mint-Pyra	mAb (Mint5)	EGFR	Breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[384]
Ricin	Anti-Ly2.1-ricin	mAb (anti-Ly2.1)	Murine T-cell anti- gen	T-cell ALL	Yes	Yes		[402]
Ricin	Anti-CD8-ricin	mAb (B9.4.2)	CD8	PBMCs	Yes			[403]
Ricin	Anti-CD4-ricin	mAb (HP2/6)	CD4	PBMCs	Yes			[403]
Ricin	Anti-CD3-ricin	mAb (SPV-T3b)	CD3	PBMCs	Yes			[403]
Ricin	Anti-CD3-ricin	mAb (11D8)	CD3	PBMCs	Yes			[403]
Ricin	UCHT1-ricin	mAb (UCHT1)	CD3ε	GVHD	Yes			[404]
Ricin	35.1-ricin	mAb (35.1)	CD2	GVHD	Yes			[404]
Ricin	T101-ricin	mAb (T101)	CD5	GVHD	Yes	Yes		[404, 405]
Ricin	Ricin-HB55	mAb (BH55)	HLA-DR	B-cell leukemia, lymphoma	Yes			[406]
Ricin	IL2-lectin-deficient RTB-RTA	IL-2	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	Leukemia	Yes			[407]
Ricin	GMCSF-ricin	GMCSF	GMCSF receptor	AML	Yes			[408]
Ricin	M6-ricin	mAb (M6)	L ₂ C IgM idiotype	B-cell leukemia	Yes	Yes		[409]
Ricin	Anti-GE2-ricin	mAb (anti-GE2)	GE2	Glioma	Yes			[410]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Ricin	AR3-ricin	mAb (AR3)	CAR-3	Gastric cancer, colo- rectal cancer	Yes			[411]
Ricin	BDI-1-ricin	mAb (BDI-1)	Bladder cancer anti- gen	Bladder cancer	Yes			[412]
Ricin	Ricin-mAb 35	mAb (35)	AChR (nicotinic acetylcholine recep- tor)	Strabismus	Yes	Yes		[413, 414]
Ricin	Anti-Lyt 2.2-ricin	mAb (anti-Lyt 2.2) (19/178C ₁)	Lyt2.2	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[331]
Ricin	IgE-intact ricin	mAb (IR162)	IgE Fc receptor	Allergies, basophil leukemia	Yes			[415]
Ricin	L6-ricin	mAb (L6)	Lung canger antigen	Lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[416]
Ricin	Ricin-EGF	EGF	EGFR	Epidermoid carci- noma	Yes			[417]
Ricin	Anti-CD6-bR	mAb (anti-CD6)	CD6	CTCL, ALL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[418, 419]
Ricin	Anti-B4-bR	mAb (anti-B4)	CD19	B-NHL	Yes	Yes	Phase III	[420- 425]
Ricin	Anti-My9-bR	mAb (anti-My9)	CD33	AML	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[418, 426, 427]
Ricin	N901-bR	mAb (N901)	CD56 (N-CAM)	SCLC	Yes	Yes	Phase II	[418, 428- 431]
Ricin	Anti-CEA-bR	mAb (I-1)	Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)	Colorectal cancer	Yes	Yes	Phase I/II	[432]
Ricin	IF7-bR	mAb (IF7)	CD26	T cells	Yes			[433]
Ricin	4B4-bR	mAb (4B4)	CD29	Lymphocytes, endo- thelium	Yes			[304]
Ricin	MT151-blocked ricin	mAb (MT151)	CD4	ALL	Yes			[434]
Ricin	Anti-CD4.CD26-bRicin	Bispecific mAb (anti-CD4 x CD26)	CD4 + CD26	GVHD	Yes			[433]
Ricin	Anti-CD4-bRicin	Fab' (19thy5D7)	CD4	GVHD	Yes			[433]
Ricin	Anti-CD26-bRicin	Fab' (1F7)	CD26	GVHD	Yes			[433]
Ricin	Anti-CD4.CD29-bRicin	Bispecific mAb (anti-CD4 x CD29)	CD4 + CD29	Tissue allografts	Yes			[435]
Ricin	SEN31-bR	mAb (SEN31)	Cluster-5a antigen	SCLC	Yes	Yes		[436]
Ricin	HB7-blocked ricin	mAb (HB7)	CD38	Multiple myeloma, lymphoma	Yes			[437]
RTA	Anti-Thy 1.1-dgRTA	mAb (anti-Thy 1.1) (OX7)	CD90.1 (Thy 1.1)	AKR-A lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[438]
RTA	Anti-CD7-dgA (DA7)	mAb (3A1e)	CD7	T-NHL, leukemia, GVHD	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[439]

```
(Table 2) Contd....
```

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	HD37-dgA (IMTOX- 19)	mAb (HD37)	CD19	B-NHL, ALL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[440, 441]
RTA	RFB4-Fab'-dgA	Fab' (RFB4)	CD22	B-NHL, leukemia, lymphoma	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[442, 443]
RTA	RFT5-dgA (IMTOX- 25)	mAb (RFT5)	CD25	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, CTCL, mela- noma, GVHD	Yes	Yes	Phase II	[444- 448]
RTA	Ki-4.dgA	mAb (K _i -4)	CD30	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, NHL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[447, 449, 450]
RTA	RFB4-dgA (IMTOX- 22)	mAb (RFB4)	CD22	B-NHL, CLL, ALL, leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[443, 451, 452]
RTA	Combotox (RFB4-dgA / HD37-dgA)	mAb (RFB4) + mAb (HD37)	CD22, CD19	NHL, ALL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[453, 454]
RTA	SPV-T3a-dgA + WT1- dgA	mAb (SPV-T3a) + mAb (WT1)	CD3, CD7	GVHD	Yes	Yes	Phase I/II	[455, 456]
RTA	3A1e-dgRTA	scFv (3A1e)	CD7	T-cell leukemia	Yes			[457]
RTA	3AIf-dgRTA	scFv (3A1f)	CD7	T-cell leukemia	Yes			[457]
RTA	UV3-dgRTA	mAb (UV3)	CD54 (ICAM-1)	Myeloma, grnulo- cytes, monocytes	Yes			[458]
RTA	H22-dgRTA (CD64- RiA)	mAb (H22)	CD64	AML, rheumatoid arthritis, monocytes, macrophages	Yes	Yes		[459- 461]
RTA	D5-dgA	mAb (D5)	Cytomegalovirus	Cytomegalovirus (MCMV)	Yes			[462]
RTA	C34-dgA	mAb (C34)	Cytomegalovirus	Cytomegalovirus (MCMV)	Yes			[462]
RTA	HMS-dgA	IgG (HMS)	Cytomegalovirus	Cytomegalovirus (MCMV)	Yes			[462]
RTA	64.1-dgRTA	mAb (64.1)	CD3	Lymphoproliferative disease (LPD)	Yes	Yes		[463, 464]
RTA	HD6-dgA	mAb (HD6)	CD22	Leukemia, lym- phoma	Yes			[443]
RTA	HD6-Fab'-dgA	Fab' (HD6)	CD22	Leukemia, lym- phoma	Yes			[443]
RTA	UV22-1-dgA	mAb (UV22-1)	CD22	Leukemia, lym- phoma	Yes			[443]
RTA	UV22-1-Fab'-dgA	Fab' (UV22-1)	CD22	Leukemia, lym- phoma	Yes			[443]
RTA	UV22-2-dgA	mAb (UV22-2)	CD22	Leukemia, lym- phoma	Yes			[443]
RTA	UV22-2-Fab'-dgA	Fab' (UV22-2)	CD22	Leukemia, lym- phoma	Yes			[443]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	p67.7-dgA	mAb (p67.7)	CD33	AML	Yes			[465]
RTA	120-2A3-dgA	mAb (120-2A3)	TfR	Myeloma, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[465]
RTA	B-B10-dgA	mAb (B-B10)	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	Myeloma, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[465]
RTA	TDR31-1-dgA	mAb (TDR31-1)	MHC class II	Myeloma, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[465]
RTA	SWA11-dg.RTA	mAb (SWA11)	CD24	SCLC	Yes	Yes		[466, 467]
RTA	M5/114-dgA	mAb (M5/114)	MCH Class II anti- gens (I-A ^d , I-E ^d)	Endothelial cells	Yes	Yes		[468]
RTA	11-4.1-dgA	mAb (11-4.1)	MCH Class I antigen (H-2K ^k)	Neuroblastoma	Yes	Yes		[468, 469]
RTA	E6-dgA	mAb (E6)	Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)	Prostate cancer	Yes	Yes		[470]
RTA	14G2a.dgA	mAb (14G2a)	Disialoganglioside GD2	Neuroblastoma	Yes	Yes		[471]
RTA	ch14.18.dgA	mAb (ch14.18)	Disialoganglioside	Neuroblastoma	Yes			[471]
RTA	BW704.dgA	mAb (BW704)	Disialoganglioside	Neuroblastoma	Yes			[471]
RTA	chCE7.dgA	mAb (chCE7)	190 kDa Glycopro- tein (gp190)	Neuroblastoma	Yes			[471]
RTA	FVS191cys-dgRTA	scFv (FVS191)	CD19	Leukemia	Yes			[472]
RTA	K4-2C10-dgRA	mAb (K4-2C10)	CD105 (endoglin)	Tumor neovascula- ture, breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[473]
RTA	SN6j-dgRA	mAb (SN6j)	CD105 (endoglin)	Tumor neovascula- ture, breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[474]
RTA	SN6k-dgRA	mAb (SN6k)	CD105 (endoglin)	Tumor neovascula- ture, breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[474]
RTA	D5-dgA	mAb (D5)	MCMV antigen (murine cytomega- lovirus antigen)	CMV infection	Yes	Yes		[462, 475]
RTA	C34-dgA	mAb (C34)	MCMV antigen (murine cytomega- lovirus antigen)	CMV infection	Yes	Yes		[462, 475]
RTA	FF1-4D5-dgA	mAb (FF1-4D5)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fd	B-cells	Yes			[476]
RTA	AMS-15.1-dgA	mAb (AMS-15.1)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fd	B-cells	Yes			[476]
RTA	11-26-dgA	mAb (11-26)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fd	B-cells	Yes			[476]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	JA12.5-dgA	mAb (JA12.5)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fd	B-cells	Yes			[476]
RTA	AMS-9.1-dgA	mAb (AMS-9.1)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fc	B-cells	Yes			[476]
RTA	AMS-28.1-dgA	mAb (AMS-28.1)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fc	B-cells	Yes			[476]
RTA	Hδ ^a /1-dgA	mAb (Hδ ^a /1)	mouse δ H chain of surface IgD (mδsIgD), domain Fc	B-cells	Yes			[476]
RTA	UCHL1-dgA	mAb (UCHL1)	CD45RO	HIV	Yes			[477- 479]
RTA	My7/Fab' GAMIg.dgA	mAb (My7) / Fab' (GAM Ig)	CD13	Myeloid leukemia	Yes			[465]
RTA	1G10/Fab' GAMIg.dgA	mAb (My7) / Fab' (GAM Ig)	CD15	Myeloid leukemia	Yes			[465]
RTA	rCD4-dgA	rCD4 (recombinant CD4)	HIVgp120	HIV	Yes			[480]
RTA	Fib 75-ricin A chain	mAb (LICR-LOND Fib 75)	Bladder cancer anti- gen	Bladder cancer	Yes	Yes		[282- 284]
RTA	ITR	IgG (anti-Trypanosoma cruzi surface antigens)	Trypanosoma cruzi surface antigens	Trypanosoma cruzi	Yes			[288]
RTA	Anti-CD25/RTA	mAb (anti-CD25)	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	Activated lympho- cytes	Yes			[367, 407]
RTA	Anti-CD5/RTA	mAb (anti-CD5)	CD5	T-lymphocytes	Yes			[366]
RTA	BerH2-RTA	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Lymphoblastoid, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[374, 481]
RTA	H65-RTA (CD5 Plus) (XomaZyme-CD5 Plus)	mAb (H65)	CD5	GVHD, CTCL, CLL, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), diabetes mellitus	Yes	Yes	Phase II	[482- 487]
RTA	454A12-rRA	mAb (454A12)	TfR	Breast cancer, lepto- meningeal neoplasia	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[488, 489]
RTA	260F9-rRTA	mAb (260F9)	55 kDa breast cancer antigen (p55)	Breast cancer, ovar- ian cancer	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[490- 492]
RTA	XMMME-001-RTA (XomaZyme-Mel)	mAb (XMMME-001)	Melanoma antigen (Proteoglycan)	Melanoma	Yes	Yes	Phase I/II	[493- 498]
RTA	791T/36-RTA (Xoma- Zyme-791)	mAb (791T/36)	72 kDa cancer anti- gen (72 kDa TAA) (p72)	Colorectal cancer	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[499, 500]
RTA	T101-RTA	mAb (T101)	CD5	CLL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[501- 503]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	T101-RTA	Fab (T101)	CD5	T-cell leukemia	Yes			[504]
RTA	T101-RTA	F(ab') ₂ (T101)	CD5	T-cell leukemia	Yes			[504]
RTA	MDX-RA (4197X-RA)	mAb (4197X)	Human lens epithe- lial antigen	Posterior capsule opacification (secon- dary cataract)		Yes	Phase III	[505- 507]
RTA	RTA-EGF	EGF	EGFR	Epidermoid carci- noma, EGFR+ cells	Yes			[84, 417, 508]
RTA	WT82-RTA	mAb (WT82)	CD8	T-cell ALL	Yes			[509]
RTA	2G5-RTA	mAb (2G5)	HLA-DR	Lymphoma, B cells, T cells, dendritic cells	Yes			[510]
RTA	CLL2m-RTA	mAb (CLL2m)	CLL2m antigen	ND, CLL	Yes			[511]
RTA	HAE3-RTA	mAb (HAE3)	Glycophorin-A	Erythromyeloblastoid leukemia	Yes			[512]
RTA	HAE9-RTA	mAb (HAE9)	Erythroid antigen	Erythromyeloblastoid leukemia	Yes			[512]
RTA	BMAC1/RTA	mAb (BMCA1)	CD45	Allograft rejection	Yes			[369]
RTA	OX1/RTA	mAb (OX1)	rat CD45	Allograft rejection	Yes			[369]
RTA	SN7-RTA	mAb (SN7)	SN7 B-cell antigen	B-cell leukemia, B- cell lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[513]
RTA	HB21-RTA	mAb (HB21) (5E9)	TfR	Ovarian cancer, epidermoid carci- noma	Yes			[492]
RTA	R17217-rRTA	mAb (R17217)	Murine TfR	Lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[514]
RTA	YE1/9.9-rRTA	mAb (YE1/9.9)	Murine TfR	Lymphoma	Yes			[514]
RTA	0.5beta-RTA	mAb (0.5beta)	HIV gp120	HIV	Yes			[515]
RTA	Anti-gp120-RTA	mAb (anti-gp120)	HIV gp120	HIV	Yes			[516]
RTA	Anti-gp120-RTA	IgG (anti-gp120)	HIV gp120	HIV	Yes			[517]
RTA	Anti-gp41-RTA	mAb (7B2)	HIV gp120	HIV	Yes	Yes		[516, 518, 519]
RTA	171A-RTA	mAb (171A)	EpCAM	Colorectal cancer	Yes			[520]
RTA	MT151-RTA	mAb (MT151)	CD4	ALL	Yes			[434]
RTA	MRK-RTA	mAb (MRK16)	P-glycoprotein	Kidney cancer	Yes			[521]
RTA	KM231-RTA	mAb (KM231)	Sialyl-Lea-antigen	Gastric cancer, colo- rectal cancer	Yes	Yes		[522]
RTA	UCHT1 F(ab')2-RTA	F(ab') ₂ (UCHT1)	CD3ε	GVHD	Yes	Yes		[523]
RTA	WT32-RTA	mAb (WT32)	CD3	T-cell ALL	Yes			[524]
RTA	WT1-RTA	mAb (WT1)	CD7	T-cell ALL, lym- phoma	Yes			[524, 525]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	528-rRA	mAb (528)	EGFR	Lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[526]
RTA	Anti-Tac-RTA	mAb (anti-CD25)	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	T-cell leukemia, activated lympho- cytes	Yes			[367, 527]
RTA	Tf-RTA	Transferrin	TfR	T-cell ALL, prostate cancer, malaria (<i>Plasmodium falci- parum</i>)	Yes			[327, 528, 529]
RTA	Tf-KFT25-RTA	Transferrin	TfR	T-cell ALL	Yes			[528]
RTA	520C9-RTA	mAb (520C9)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes			[530]
RTA	741 F8-RTA	mAb (741 F8)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes			[530]
RTA	454C11-RTA	mAb (454C11)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes			[530]
RTA	STI-RTA	mAb (STI)	CD5	T-cell ALL	Yes			[531]
RTA	RTA-9.2.27	mAb (9.2.27)	Melanoma-associated antigen (p250)	Melanoma	Yes	Yes		[280]
RTA	BrE-3-RTA	mAb (BrE-3)	Mucin, MUC1	SCLC	Yes			[532]
RTA	C242-RTA (ICI D0490)	mAb (C242)	Mucin	Colorectal cancer	Yes	Yes		[533]
RTA	84.1c-RTA	mAb (84.1c)	mIgE	Allergies	Yes	Yes		[534]
RTA	HRS-3.dgA	mAb (HRS-3)	CD30	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, myeloma	Yes			[465, 535]
RTA	HRS-3Fab'.dgA	Fab' (HRS-3)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes	<u> </u>		[535]
RTA	HRS-4.dgA	mAb (HRS-4)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes	<u> </u>		[535]
RTA	HRS-4Fab'.dgA	Fab' (HRS-4)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes	<u> </u>		[535]
RTA	HRS-1.dgA	mAb (HRS-1)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes	<u> </u>		[535]
RTA	⁹⁰ Y-C110-RTA	mAb (C110)	Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)	Colon cancer	Yes	Yes		[536]
RTA	C19-RTA	mAb (C19)	Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)	Colorectal cancer	Yes		T	[537]
RTA	M6-RTA	mAb (M6)	L ₂ C IgM idiotype	B-cell leukemia	Yes	Yes	<u> </u>	[409]
RTA	38.13-RTA	mAb (38.13)	TH ceramide (Pk antigen)	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[324]
RTA	Fab'-anti-L3T4-A	Fab' (anti-L3T4)	Murine T-cell anti- gen (limpet hemo- cyanin-specific T- helper lymphocytes)	Lymphoma	Yes			[538]
RTA	486P-RTA	mAb (486P 3-12-1)	Bladder cancer anti- gen	Bladder cancer	Yes	- 	T	[539]
RTA	RFT11-A	mAb (RFT11)	CD2	T-cell ALL	Yes			[540]
RTA	35.1-A	mAb (35.1)	CD2	T-cell ALL	Yes			[464, 540]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	9.6-A	mAb (9.6)	CD2	T-cell ALL	Yes			[464, 540]
RTA	10.2-A	mAb (10.2)	CD5	T cells	Yes			[464]
RTA	452-D9-RTA	mAb (452-D9)	gp74	c-Ha-ras expression tumors, Kirsten sar- coma	Yes	Yes		[541, 542]
RTA	Thyroglobulin-RTA	Thyroglobulin	Ig (anti- thyroglobulin)	Thyroiditis	Yes			[543]
RTA	96.5-RTA	mAb (96.5)	p97	Melanoma	Yes			[544]
RTA	SN5d-RTA	mAb (SN5d)	CD10 (CALLA)	Pre-B-cell ALL	Yes	Yes		[545]
RTA	SN5-RTA	mAb (SN5)	CD10 (CALLA)	Pre-B-cell ALL	Yes	Yes		[545]
RTA	Anti-CALLA-RTA	mAb (anti-CALLA)	CD10 (CALLA)	Burkitt's lymphoma, (pre-B-cell ALL)	Yes			[546]
RTA	Anti-CALLA-RTA	Fab' (anti-CALLA)	CD10 (CALLA)	Burkitt's lymphoma, (pre-B-cell ALL)	Yes			[546]
RTA	Anti-GE2-RTA	mAb (anti-GE2)	GE2	Glioma	Yes			[410]
RTA	D1/12-RTA	mAb (D1/12)	HLA-DR	Glioma	Yes			[410]
RTA	AR3-RTA	mAb (AR3)	CAR-3	Gastric cancer	Yes			[411]
RTA	8C-RTA	mAb (8C)	Ovarian cancer anti- gen	Ovarian cancer	Yes	Yes		[547]
RTA	M2A-RTA	mAb (M2A)	Ovarian cancer anti- gen	Ovarian cancer	Yes	Yes		[547]
RTA	Anti-vasopressin-RTA	mAb (anti-vasopressin)	Vasopressin	Pituitary cancer	Yes	Yes		[548]
RTA	Cluster 2 Mab-Fab'- Anti-Mouse/RAT-RTA	mAb (Cluster 2)	Cluster 2 antigen- SCLC	SCLC	Yes			[549]
RTA	SOKT1-RTA	mAb (SOKT1)	T-cell antigen	T cells	Yes			[550]
RTA	MGb2-RTA	mAb (MGb2)	Gastric antigen	Gastric cancer	Yes			[551]
RTA	MG11-RTA	mAb (MG11)	Gastric antigen	Gastric cancer	Yes			[551]
RTA	MoAb-16-RTA	mAb (16)	Oncofetal antigen	Leukemia	Yes	Yes		[368, 552]
RTA	Anti-laryngeal cancer- RTA	mAb (anti-laryngeal can- cer)	Laryngeal cancer antigen	Laryngeal cancer	Yes			[553, 554]
RTA	317G5-RTA	mAb (317G5)	42 kDa glycoprotein (p42)	Breast cancer	Yes			[555]
RTA	SEN36-RTA	mAb (SEN36)	CD56 (N-CAM)	SCLC	Yes			[556]
RTA	Anti-mu-RTA	mAb (anti-mu)	Mu chain of IgM	Myeloma	Yes			[557]
RTA	SEN7-bR	mAb (SEN7)	CD56 (N-CAM)	SCLC	Yes			[558]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
RTA	Anti-CRF-RTA	mAb (anti-CRF)	CRF (corticotropin- releasing factor)	Immunolesioning (CRF neurons within the paraventricular nucleus of the hypo- thalamus)		Yes		[559]
RTA	Anti-asialo-GM2-RTA	mAb (anti-asialo-GM2)	Asialo-GM2	Lymphoma	Yes			[560]
RTA	Anti-H-2d-RTA	mAb (anti-H-2d)	H-2d	Lymphoma	Yes			[560]
RTA	V beta 6-specific im- munotoxin (VIT6)	mAb (anti-V beta 6- specific)	V beta-associated antigen receptor	Myasthenia gravis	Yes			[561]
RTA	schM21-ricin A	scFv (schM21)	Astrocytoma- and medulloblastoma- associated antigen	Medulloblastoma	Yes			[562]
RTA	ONS-M21-RTA (ORA)	mAb (ONS-M21)	Astrocytoma- and medulloblastoma- associated antigen	Medulloblastoma	Yes			[563]
RTA	Anti-VIP-RTA	mAb (anti-VIP)	Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP)	Pheochromocytoma, immunolesioning (neurons within the SCN) (suprachias- matic nucleus of the hypothalamus)	Yes	Yes		[564]
RTA	Anti-Thy 1.2-RTA	IgG (anti-Thy 1.2)	CD90.2 (Thy 1.2)	Leukemia	Yes	Yes		[565]
RTA	IgE-ricin A-chain	mAb (IR162)	IgE Fc receptor	Allergies, basophil leukemia	Yes	Yes	T	[566, 567]
RTA	OX-40-ricin A	mAb (anti-OX-40)	OX-40	Autoimmune en- cephalomyelitis (EAE)	Yes	Yes		[568]
RTA	SWA20-RTA	mAb (SWA20)	CD24	SCLC	Yes			[467]
RTA	Anti-T. cruzi-RTA	IgG (anti-Trypanosoma cruzi surface antigens)	Trypanosoma cruzi surface antigens	Trypanosoma cruzi	Yes	Yes		[288]
RTA	UCHT1/F(ab') ₂ -ricin A chain	mAb (UCHT1) / F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
RTA	RTA-NIM-R7	mAb (NIM-R7)	p58	Lymphoma	Yes	<u> </u>	Γ	[569]
Saporin	Anti-Thy 1.1 (F(ab') ₂)- saporin	F(ab') ₂ (anti-Thy 1.1) (OX7)	CD90.1 (Thy 1.1)	AKR-A lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[570]
Saporin	Anti-Thy 1.1 (mAb)- saporin	mAb (anti-Thy 1.1) (OX7)	CD90.1 (Thy 1.1)	AKR-A lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[570]
Saporin	192 IgG-saporin (192- IgG-SAP) (IgG-192)	mAb (192)	Rat nerve growth factor receptor (p75NTR)	Immunolesioning (cholinergic basal forebrain neurons), Alzheimer's disease	Yes	Yes		[571- 574]
Saporin	OM124-saporin	mAb (OM124)	CD22	Burkitt's B-cell lym- phoma, Epstein-Barr virus-infected B lymphoblastoid cells	Yes	Yes		[370]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	M24-saporin (anti- CD80/saporin)	mAb (M24)	CD80	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[295]
Saporin	1G10-saporin (anti- CD86/saporin)	mAb (1G10)	CD86	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[295]
Saporin	M24-saporin / 1G10- saporin	mAb (M24) / mAb (1G10)	CD80 + CD86	Burkitt's lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma				[295]
Saporin	OKT11-saporin	mAb (OKT11)	CD2	T-CLL	Yes			[575, 576]
Saporin	7A10C9-saporin	mAb (7A10C9)	CD2	T-CLL	Yes			[575]
Saporin	OKT1-saporin	OKT1	CD5	T-lymphocytes, B- CLL	Yes	Yes		[577- 579]
Saporin	BsAb (HB2 x anti- saporin)/(OKT10 x anti-saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (HB2 x anti-saporin)/(OKT10 x anti-saporin)	CD7 + CD38	T-ALL	Yes			[580]
Saporin	BsAb (HB2 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (HB2 x anti-saporin)	CD7	T-ALL	Yes			[581]
Saporin	BsAb (OKT10 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (OKT10 x anti-saporin)	CD38	T-ALL	Yes			[580]
Saporin	HB2-saporin	mAb (HB2)	CD7	Lymphoma, T-ALL	Yes	Yes		[582- 584]
Saporin	BU12-saporin	mAb (BU12)	CD19	B-LL, Burkitt's lym- phoma	Yes	Yes		[585- 587]
Saporin	Rituximab/saporin-S6	mAb (rituximab)	CD20	NHL	Yes			[588]
Saporin	BsAb (4KB128 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (4KB128 x anti-saporin)	CD22	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]
Saporin	BsAb (HD37 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (4KB128 x anti-saporin)	CD19	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]
Saporin	BsAb (MB-1 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (4KB128 x anti-saporin)	CD37	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]
Saporin	BsAb (4KB128 x anti- saporin)/(RFB9 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (4KB128 x anti- saporin)/(RFB9 x anti- saporin)	CD22	Lymphoma, CLL	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[590]
Saporin	BsAb (4KB128 x anti- saporin)/(HD6 x anti- saporin)/saporin	Bispecific F(ab') ₂ (4KB128 x anti- saporin)/(HD6 x anti- saporin)	CD22	B-cell lymphoma	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[591]
Saporin	IB4/saporin-S6	mAb (IB4)	CD38 (alpha-D- Galactopyranoside residues)	NHL	Yes			[592]
Saporin	Anti-B7-1-saporin	mAb (B7-24)	CD80	Burkitt's lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[593]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	Anti-CTLA-4 (83)- saporin (83-saporin)	scFv (83)	CD152 (Cytotoxic T- lymphocyte antigen- 4, CTLA-4)	Transplantation tolerance, leukemia, EBV-positive B-cell lymphoblastoid	Yes	Yes		[594- 596]
Saporin	Anti-CTLA-4 (40)- saporin (40-saporin)	scFv (40)	CD152 (Cytotoxic T- lymphocyte antigen- 4, CTLA-4)	Transplantation tolerance, EBV- positive B-cell lym- phoblastoid	Yes	Yes		[594, 595]
Saporin	Anti-CTLA-4 (67)- saporin (67-saporin)	scFv (67)	CD152 (Cytotoxic T- lymphocyte antigen- 4, CTLA-4)	Transplantation tolerance, leukemia	Yes			[596]
Saporin	ATG-saporin-S6	Antithymocyte globulin (ATG)	Thymocyte	Lymphoma, leuke- mia	Yes			[597]
Saporin	HD6-saporin	mAb (HD6)	CD22	Lymphoma, B-CLL	Yes	Γ	Γ	[598]
Saporin	HD39-saporin	mAb (HD39)	CD22	Lymphoma, B-CLL	Yes			[598]
Saporin	HD37-saporin	mAb (HD37)	CD19	B-cell lymphoma	Yes			[598]
Saporin	Saporin-EGF (SE)	EGF	EGFR	Breast cancer, sar- coma, adenocarci- noma, cervical can- cer	Yes	Yes		[599- 602]
Saporin	SA2E	EGF	EGFR	Breast cancer	Yes	Yes		[599- 601]
Saporin	FGF-SAP	FGF	FGFR	Melanoma, teratocar- cinoma, neuroblas- toma		Yes		[603]
Saporin	FGF2-SAP	FGF-2	FGFR	Bladder cancer	Yes			[604]
Saporin	bFGF-saporin	bFGF	bFGFR	Prostate cancer	Yes	Yes		[605]
Saporin	ch25A11-Sap	mAb (ch25A11)	CUB domain- containing protein 1 (CDCP1)	Prostate cancer	Yes	Yes		[606]
Saporin	hJ591-saporin	mAb (hj591)	Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)	Prostate cancer	Yes	Yes		[607]
Saporin	Ep2-saporin	mAb (Ep2)	Proteoglycan, p250	Melanoma	Yes			[608]
Saporin	ML30-saporin	mAb (ML30)	Heat shock protein 65 kDa (HSP65)	Leukemic monocyte lymphoma, pancre- atic cancer	Yes	Yes		[609, 610]
Saporin	48-127/saporin-S6	mAb (48-127)	gp54	Bladder cancer	Yes			[379]
Saporin	Anti-ALCAM/CD166 scFv-saporin	scFv (I/F8)	CD166 (activated leukocyte cell adhe- sion molecule, AL- CAM)	SCLC, ovarian can- cer	Yes			[611]
Saporin	7E4B11-saporin	mAb (7E4B11)	RPTPβ	Astrocytic tumor, glioblastoma	Yes	Yes		[612]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	Ber-H2-Saporin	mAb (Ber-H2)	CD30	Hodgkin's lym- phoma, anaplastic large-cell lym- phoma(ALCL)	Yes	Yes	Phase I	[374, 613- 616]
Saporin	Sap-ac-LDL	Acetylated LDL	Rat scavenger recep- tor	Immunolesioning (microglia)	Yes			[617, 618]
Saporin	Anti-basigin-2-saporin	mAb (anti-basigin-2)	Human basigin-2 (CD147) (EMMPRIN)	Ovarian cancer	Yes			[619]
Saporin	M290-SAP	mAb (M290)	CD103	Organ allograft rejec- tion and GVHD	Yes	Yes		[620]
Saporin	Anti-ChAT IgG- saporin	mAb (anti-ChAT)	Choline acetyltrans- ferase (ChAT)	Parkinson's and schizophrenia		Yes		[621- 623]
Saporin	Anti-DAT-saporin	mAb (anti-DAT)	Dopamine trans- porter (DAT)	Immunolesioning (dopaminergic neu- rons)		Yes		[624]
Saporin	Anti-DBH-saporin	mAb (anti-DBH)	Dopamine beta- hydroxylase (DBH)	Immunolesioning (noradrenergic neu- rons)		Yes		[625- 627]
Saporin	Anti-SERT-SAP	mAb (anti-SERT)	Serotonin reuptake transporter (SERT)	Immunolesioning (serotonergic neu- rons)	Yes	Yes		[628]
Saporin	Bombesin-SAP	Bombesin	Gastrin-releasing peptide receptor (GRPR)	Immunolesioning (GRPR+ neurons) Ye		Yes		[629, 630]
Saporin	CCK-saporin	CCK (cholecystokinin)	Cholecystokinin type 2 receptor (CCK ₂)	Immunolesioning (CCK+ neurons)		Yes		[631]
Saporin	CRF-SAP	CRF (corticotropin- releasing factor)	CRF receptor	Immunolesioning (CRFR+ cells)	Yes	Yes		[632, 633]
Saporin	CTB-SAP	CTB (cholera toxin B- subunit)	GM1 ganglioside	Immunolesioning (paraplegia)		Yes		[634]
Saporin	Dermorphin-saporin (MOR-SAP)	Dermorphin	Mu opioid receptor (MOR)	Immunolesioning (MOR+ neurons)		Yes		[631]
Saporin	Galanin-saporin (Gal- sap)	Galanin	Galanin-1 receptor (GalR1)	Immunolesioning (GalR1+ neurons)		Yes		[635]
Saporin	GAT1-saporin	IgG (GAT1)	GABA-transporter-1	Immunolesioning (MSDB neurons), Alzheimer's disease		Yes		[636]
Saporin	Lep-SAP	Leptin	Leptin receptor	Immunolesioning (leptin receptor+ neuons)		Yes		[637, 638]
Saporin	Anti-Mac-1-SAP	mAb (anti-Mac-1)	CD11b (Mac-1)	Immunolesioning (Mac-1+ neuons, microglia)	Yes	Yes		[639- 642]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	ME20.4 IgG-saporin	mAb (ME20.4)	Primate p75 low- affinity neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR)	Immunolesioning (p75NTR+ neuons)		Yes		[643, 644]
Saporin	UF008/SAP	IgG (UF008)	Melanopsin	Immunolesioning (intrinsically photo- sensitive retinal ganglion cells, ipRGCs)	Yes	Yes		[645, 646]
Saporin	NK3-SAP	Neurokinin-3 (NK3)	Neurokinin-3 recep- tor (NK3R)	Immunolesioning (NK3R+ neuons)		Yes		[647]
Saporin	NPY-SAP	Neuropeptide Y (NPY)	Neuropeptide Y receptor (NPYR)	Immunolesioning (NPYR+ neuons)		Yes		[648, 649]
Saporin	OXY-SAP	Oxytocin	Oxytocin receptors (OXYR)	Immunolesioning (OXYR+ neuons)	Yes	Yes		[650]
Saporin	Substance P-saporin	Substance P	Neurokinin-1 recep- tor (NK1R) (Sub- stance P receptor)	Immunolesioning (NK1R+ neurons), hyperalgesia		Yes		[651- 653]
Saporin	Hypocretin-saporin	Hypocretin (orexin)	Hypocretin-2 recep- tor	Narcolepsy (parval- bumin and choliner- gic neurons)		Yes		[654]
Saporin	TEC-T4-saporin	mAb (TEC-T4)	CD4	T cells	Yes			[655]
Saporin	MB-1 x anti-sap- 1/saporin	Bispecific mAb (MB-1 x anti-sap-1)	CD37	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]
Saporin	OKT10-saporin	mAb (OKT10)	CD38	T-cell ALL, lympho- cytes, macrophages	Yes	Yes		[584]
Saporin	Campath-1-saporin	mAb (Campath-1)	CD52	GVHD, myeloid cells	Yes	Yes		[656]
Saporin	TEC IgM-SAP	mAb (TEC IgM)	Immunoglobulin heavy chain	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[657]
Saporin	8A-saporin 6	mAb (8A)	8A plasma cell- associated antigens	Multiple myeloma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[658]
Saporin	62B1-saporin	mAb (62B1)	62B1 plasma cell- associated antigens	Multiple myeloma, Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[658]
Saporin	3BIT (BU12-saporin / OKT10-saporin + 4KB128-saporin)	mAb (BU12) / (OKT10) / (4KB128)	CD19 + CD22 + CD38	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[659]
Saporin	BU12-saporin / OKT10-saporin	mAb (BU12) / (OKT10)	CD19 + CD38	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes	Yes		[586]
Saporin	HB2-saporin / OKT10- saporin	mAb (HB2) / (OKT10)	CD7 + CD38	T-cell ALL	Yes	Yes		[584]
Saporin	B3/25-SO6	mAb (B3/25)	TfR	Leukemia	Yes			[660]
Saporin	LAM3/saporin	mAb (LAM3)	M5b leukemia anti- gen	Acute non-lymphoid leukemia (ANLL)	Yes			[610, 661]
Saporin	Tf-saporin	Transferrin	TfR	Prostate cancer	Yes			[529]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	uPA-SAP	uPAcs (urokinase-type plasminogen activator)	Urokinase receptor	Lymphoma	Yes			[662]
Saporin	11A8-saporin	mAb (11A8)	bFGFR	Ovarian cancer	Yes	Yes		[663]
Saporin	Anti-CD8-saporin	mAb (anti-CD8)	CD8	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[655]
Saporin	HBEGF-saporin	HB-EGF	EGFR	Breast cancer, blad- der cancer, mela- noma, leukemia, colon cancer, renal cancer, ovarian can- cer, prostate cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), brain cancer	Yes	Yes		[664]
Saporin	HBEGF-L ₂₂ -saporin	HB-EGF	EGFR	Breast cancer, blad- der cancer, mela- noma, leukemia, colon cancer, renal cancer, ovarian can- cer, prostate cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), brain cancer	r, blad- mela- emia, r, renal ian can- cancer, ell lung CLC), icer			[664]
Saporin	B-B10-saporin	mAb (B-B10)	CD25 (IL-2 receptor)	GVHD	Yes			[665]
Saporin	W6/800E6-SAP	mAb (W6/800E6)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes			[666]
Saporin	W6/900H1-SAP	mAb (W6/900H1)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes			[666]
Saporin	H2-D ^d -saporin	H2-D ^d MHC class I tetramer	T-cell receptor (TCR)	diabetes mellitus, CD8+ T cells	Yes			[667]
Saporin	2F8-saporin	mAb (2F8)	CD163 (SR-A)	Ovarian cancer	Yes	Yes		[668]
Saporin	Insulin-saporin (saporin insulin complex, SIC)	Insulin	Insulin receptor	Ovarian cancer, hepatocellular carci- noma	Yes			[669]
Saporin	B-B2-saporin	mAb (BB2)	Myeloma antigen	Multiple myeloma	Yes			[670]
Saporin	B-B4-saporin	mAb (BB4)	Myeloma antigen	Multiple myeloma	Yes			[670]
Saporin	Anti-epithelial antigen- saporin 6	mAb (anti-epithelial anti- gen)	Epithelial antigen	Colon cancer, epi- dermoid carcinoma	Yes			[302]
Saporin	Anti-SA-1-saporin	mAb (anti-SA-1)	mAb (SA-1) (16/6 idiotype binding to DNA)	Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)	Yes	Yes		[671]
Saporin	Anti-Id-saporin	mAb (anti-Id)	mAb (Anti-Id) (anti- lymphoma idiotype)	B-cell leukemia	Yes	Yes		[672]
Saporin	HB6-1 x anti-sap- 1/saporin	Bispecific mAb (HB6-1 x anti-sap-1)	κ-chain	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]
Saporin	M15-8 x anti-sap- 1/saporin	Bispecific mAb (M15-8 x anti-sap-1)	μ-chain	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	RFB-9 x anti-sap- 1/saporin	Bispecific mAb (RFB-9 x anti-sap-1)	CD19	Burkitt's lymphoma	Yes			[589]
Saporin	WR17 x anti-sap- 1/saporin	Bispecific mAb (WR17 x anti-sap-1)	CD37	Burkitt's lymphoma Yes				[589]
Saporin	LAM7/saporin	mAb (LAM7)	M5b leukemia anti- gen	Acute non-lymphoid leukemia (ANLL)	Yes			[610, 661]
Saporin	62B8-saporin 6	mAb (62B8)	62B8 myeloma anti- gen	Multiple myeloma	Yes			[658]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/anti- CD2	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (anti-CD2)	CD2	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/anti- CD5	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (anti-CD5)	CD5	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/C11	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (C11)	CD45	Hodgkin's lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/TEC-T4	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (TEC-T4)	CD4	T-cell lymphoma Yes				[303]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/HSR-3	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (HSR-3)	CD30	Hodgkin's lymphoma Yes				[303]
Saporin	F(ab')2-saporin/8A	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (8A)	8A myeloma antigen	Burkitt lymphoma, multiple myeloma	Yes			[303]
Saporin	F(ab') ₂ -saporin/62B1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (62B1)	62B1 myeloma anti- gen	Multiple myeloma	Yes			[303]
Saporin	PlGF-2-saporin	Placental growth factor-2 (PIGF-2)	PIGF-2 receptor	Tumor neovasculari- zation	Yes			[673]
Saporin	ATF-saporin	ATF (amino-terminal fragment of human urokinase)	Urokinase receptor	Metastasis	Yes			[674]
Saporin	Cetuximab-saporin	mAb (cetuximab)	EGFR	Colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, epidermoid carci- noma, breast cancer	Yes			[675, 676]
Saporin	Trastuzumab-saporin	mAb (trastuzumab)	HER2	Breast cancer	Yes			[676, 677]
Saporin	2H8/anti-GAM IgG- saporin	mAb (2H8) / IgG (anti- GAM IgG)	Tomoregulin	Prostate cancer	Yes			[678]
Saporin	By114/anti-IgG-saporin	mAb (By114) / IgG (anti- IgG)	Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 6 (CEACAM6)	Pancreatic cancer	Yes	Yes		[679]
Saporin	6-22 IgG/anti-GAH IgG-saporin	mAb (6-22 IgG) / IgG (anti-GAH IgG)	Human aspartyl (asparaginyl) β- hydroxylase (HAAH)	Hepatocellular carci- noma	Yes			[680]

Toxin	Immunotoxin	Ligand	Target antigen	Tumor/Disease	In vitro	In vivo	Clinical trial status	Ref.
Saporin	Anti-endosialin/anti- IgG-saporin	mAb (anti-endosialin) / IgG (anti-IgG)	Endosialin (CD248, tumor endothelial marker 1, TEM1)	Ewing's sarcoma, neuroblastoma Yes				[681]
Saporin	Anti-TCblR-saporin	mAb (anti-TCblR)	CD320 (transcobala- min receptor, TCblR)	CML, colon cancer	Yes			[682]
Saporin	AF334-saporin	mAb (AF334)	Tumor endothelial marker 8 (TEM8)	Tumor neovasculari- zation	Yes			[683]
Saporin	MRK16/anti-IgG- saporin	mAb (MRK16) / IgG (anti-IgG)	170 kDa glycopro- tein (gp170)	Colon cancer Ye				[684]
Trichokirin	AT15E-TKR (AT15E- Trichokirin)	mAb (anti-Thy 1.2) (AT15E)	CD90.2 (Thy 1.2)	Leukemia Yes		Yes		[270]
Trichokirin	F(ab')2- trichokirin/UCHT1	F(ab') ₂ (anti-IgG) / mAb (UCHT1)	CD3	T-cell lymphoma	Yes			[303]
Trichosanthin	TCS-Hepama-1 (Hepama-1- trichosanthin)	mAb (Hepama-1)	Hepatoma-associated antigen 43 kDa gly- coprotein	Hepatoma Yes		Yes		[685, 686]
Trichosanthin	p75-TCS (anti-p75- anti-mouse IgG- trichosanthin)	mAb (192) / IgG (anti- mouse)	Rat nerve growth factor (NGF) recep- tor (p75 receptor) (p75NTR)	Immunolesioning (cholinergic basal forebrain neurons)	Yes			[574]
Trichosanthin	CMU15—TCS	mAb (CMU15A)	Lung cancer antigen	Lung cancer	Yes	Yes		[687, 688]
Trichosanthin	TCS-Ng76	mAb (Ng76)	Melanoma antigen	Melanoma	Yes			[689]
Trichosanthin	EGF-TCS	EGF	EGFR	Hepatocellular carci- noma	Yes	Yes		[690, 691]
Trichosanthin	EGF-TCSredlk	EGF	EGFR	Hepatocellular carci- noma	Yes	Yes		[692]

Regrettably, in many cases this combination is not sufficient for a complete remission. Novel chemotherapeutics such as kinase inhibitors [59] and biological molecules such as antibodies [60] essentially improve the treatment of particular cancer entities. While kinase inhibitors are highly potent in suppressing cellular proliferation, antibodies are in particular characterized by their specificity for target cells. Targeted toxins combine the idea of tumor targeting and potent cytotoxicity in a single molecule. Thus, these molecules can be considered as an important addendum to complement the traditional troika. However, it must be stated that a promising therapeutic approach is finally characterized by its clinical success, but only a few targeted toxins have so far been approved by the Food and Drug Administration of the U.S., only one of them contains a protein-based toxin (denileukin diftitox) [61] and none of them is composed of a RIP. Nevertheless, targeted toxins containing RIPs are known from a number of clinical trials and a very large number of preclinical studies, indicating the great expected potential of this class of targeted toxins. Therefore, further research is needed to optimize current developments and to bring RIP-based anti-tumor drugs into the clinical routine. Although Moolten & Cooperband described as early as in 1970 the selective destruction of target cells by diphtheria toxin which was specifically linked to antibodies directed against specific antigens on the surface of tumor cells [62], the main obstacles for protein-based targeted toxins are still unsolved, which includes expensive production, unstable proteins and short biological half-life, immunogenicity and insufficient endosomal escape. Hundreds of research groups in the world are working on these problems, a substantial number of fruitful ideas have been published to date and the techniques to investigate and to manipulate such molecules are incredible compared to 1970 so that we can be confident that we must not wait further 40 years until targeted toxins will have their breakthrough.

Molecular Aspects and Mechanisms in Targeted Toxin Therapy

Although the ultimate goal in a targeted tumor therapy is to kill the tumor cells, the modality of cell death must not be underestimated. Uncontrolled cell killing can result in colliquation, tyromatosis or coagulative necrosis, which may finally end up in causing life threatening or highly degenerative situation for the organism affected. On the contrary, apoptosis is a strictly controlled process for cell death. It can be induced by intracellular and/or extracellular signals resulting in systematic cell degradation with no damage of neighboring cells. While it is a commonly occurring process in numerous cells on a daily basis, it may be impaired in tumor cells [63].

A similar process for cellular degradation is autophagy which involves the activity of lysosomal machinery which digests different cellular organelles. It is a process dependent on internal or external cellular environment and may lead to either cell death or the promotion of cellular survival [64]. Apoptosis and autophagy are stimulated or suppressed by similar pathways. The way a cell responds to these pathways determines its survival or death (this has been extensively reviewed in [65]). In general, the toxin's primary target such as the ribosomal RNA for RIPs is not directly involved in necrosis, apoptosis or autophagy, but these targets are involved in vital cellular processes. It seems natural to assume that the interference with vital functions results in a series of events that finally trigger the apoptotic cascade [66], but this is not true for all cases. In case of saporin, the induction of apoptosis also occurs before protein synthesis inhibition takes place [67]. Apart from this, in numerous reports there is a discrepancy in the exact mechanism in case of similar parameters studied. While in some cell lines apoptosis was indicated the same could not be confirmed in others. This also implies that the cellular response to targeted toxins is a multifaceted complex mechanism. Therefore, the choice of the toxin is a factor that must be given optimal thought in the design of targeted toxins.

Drug Delivery Technologies Employed in Targeted Toxin Therapy

Carrier-based drug delivery systems have been widely exploited for the targeted delivery of toxins to the tumors. Commonly used drug targeting systems include nanoparticles, liposomes, virosomes, carbon nanotubes, microspheres, nanofibers amongst others [68-70]. A summary of the carrier and non-carrier based systems is shown in Fig. **3**. Despite the difficulty in formulation and the need for a more thorough stability and interaction assessment, the use of a carrier-based approach has distinctive advantages. Carriers help in a more specific ligand attachment increasing the specificity for the delivery of cargo. Increasing the circulatory time as in case of liposomal nanocarriers was of advantage for the delivery of cholera toxin, and despite its utility for adjuvant effects, the strategy has potential for its application in tumor therapy as well. A classical utilization of the liposomal drug delivery system was the delivery of gelonin [71]. It was delivered to the cytoplasm of TLX5 lymphoma cells most effectively by phosphatidylserine vesicles. This formulation could also successfully inhibit the protein synthesis in XC cells (rat fibroblasts transformed by *Rous sarcoma* virus) and phytohemagglutinin-stimulated CBA mouse lymphocytes. Phosphatidylcholine could only show the transport facility after addition of cholesterol to the cells. Addition of mixed bovine brain gangliosides in the following order phosphatidylcholine/cholesterol/gangliosides (5: 5: 1) escalated the effectiveness as well [71, 72]. Tumor targeted RIPs may take advantage of nanoparticulate drug delivery systems for intracellular targeting.

In the same context, a generation-4 polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer induced cellular uptake and intracellular release by facilitating the endocytic uptake of RIPs. The use of photochemical internalization (PCI) technology could increase the effectiveness of free RIPs and PAMAM-RIPs [73]. After PCI treatment, PAMAM-RIP facilitated internalization as well as nuclear entry. Albeit this being a negative outcome, the use of ER signaling could in turn be used to avoid this side effect and elicit a site specific response. The use of nanocarriers, liposomes, aptamers or dendrimeric structures may be helpful in the targeted delivery of the toxins. They surely facilitate the efficacy by either resulting in multivalence or providing a dual component delivery in a single system.

Efficacy Enhancers in Targeted Toxin Therapy

In the past decade, a number of strategies have been attempted to circumvent the problems associated with immunogenicity, vascular leak syndrome and other off-target effects that are associated with targeted toxin therapy. Conventionally, the use of certain chemicals has been employed, which led to an elevation of the endosomal pH, thereby protecting the toxin from lysosomal enzyme degradation. Another strategy involved the use of pore forming agents (Fig. 4). Use of these components certainly helped in im-



Fig. (3). A classification chart summarizing the carrier or non-carrier based approaches for targeted tumor therapy. While targeted toxins fall under the non-carrier based approach, toxins may also be incorporated in inert carriers for better stability.



Fig. (4). A mechanistic description of the three widely known phenomena for the endosomal escape of molecules. Past internalization the toxin release may be facilitated *via* membrane fusion, pore formation or proton sponge effect. Table 3 describes in detail all the compounds that facilitate these effects for improving the efficacy of targeted toxins.

proving the efficacy but their proof in preclinical and clinical studies is still limited [74-78]. The details for other compounds including organic and inorganic substances, synthetic peptides and compounds of natural origin are detailed in Table **3**. In an interesting study, the anti-tumoral effects of anti-CD5 immunotoxins, which were constructed using a monoclonal antibody Fab fragment linked to native ricin A-chain or partially deglycosylated ricin A-chain, were examined in combination with the enhancer monensin conjugated to human serum albumin and injected intraperitoneally. In this case, 90% of the tumor cells were killed. This potentiating effect was observable even at a 5% monensin saturation level. The authors were able to successfully inhibit the effect by injecting the unconjugated antibody.

These results show that the therapeutic efficacy of immunotoxins can be very well improved by following a pre-defined and optimized therapeutic regime [79]. Monensin is one of the compounds with proven efficacy. In the recent past, an even higher synergy has been observed by the concomitant use of saponins and plant RIPs which is the basis for the next section.

Saponins in Targeted Toxin Therapy

In our research group, we have been extensively working with the use of certain structurally specific triterpenoids viz. saponins. These compounds have shown tremendous potential in enhancing the effectiveness of targeted toxins; mainly plant type I RIPs saporin and dianthin (Fig. 5) [80, 81]. Saponins are generally classified as triterpenoidal or steroidal, based on the aglycone backbone. In general, the saponins have a sugar chain attached at either the C-3 or C-17 position (monodesmosidic saponins), or on both positions (bisdesmosidic saponins). In recent studies, the concomitant use of saponins from Saponaria officinalis L. and Gypsophila paniculata L. has been successful in synergistically enhancing the toxicity of saporin-EGF and dianthin-EGF [30]. Evaluation of the molecular mechanism revealed that the toxin was internalized via receptor mediated internalization, thereafter the saponins (which were used at a concentration far below their membrane pore forming concentrations) lead to an enhanced endosomal escape of the toxin, which in turn resulted in apoptosis. The efficacy of saponins to facilitate rapid cell death, when administered in unison with the targeted toxins was further confirmed in a real-time cytotoxicity evaluation. Cell death was observed as a fall of the impedance signal (representing the number of living cells) within the first 12 h of incubation of the toxin and the saponins, while the toxin alone requires a 10,000-fold higher concentration to induce cell death after a period of nearly 48 h of incubation. It is pertinent to mention here that the saponins were used at a concentration that has no effect on its own [74-76, 82-84].

The structural features of saponins that are highly desirable for their enhancing effects have been studied extensively. It is now established that bisdesmosidic triterpenoidal saponins, which have a gypsogenin or quillaic acid backbone with a glucuronic acid at C-3 position are most effective. Moreover, there are further specific structural and sugar chain requirements that lead to a relatively small number of saponins, which show effectiveness as synergistic enhancers. As already detailed, for exerting cytotoxicity, the release of toxin in the cytosol is a very important step. This process is however very feeble in case of internalized RIPs. Interestingly, Weng et al. demonstrated that saponins which are also biosynthesized by Saponaria officinalis L., can in a very specific manner facilitate the cytosolic transfer of toxin without affecting the plasma membrane integrity. This effect mainly takes place in late endosomes and lysosomes at a pH range between 4-5.5. A strong binding affinity for saponins with RIPs using surface plasmon resonance was also verified and the combination of the targeted toxin and saponin was validated for its effectiveness in vivo in a syngeneic mouse tumor model [85]. Although using saponins or for that matter any toxicity enhancer is a novel approach for improving the effectiveness of targeted toxins, there are certain limitations associated with this strategy more importantly from a clinical perspective. Any clinical application involving the use of multiple components is always a practical and a regulatory problem. This problem in case of saponins or other enhancers can only be circumvented by the use of a drug carrier system, which either encompasses the two components together or either of the two components form a part of the delivery matrix

OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSION

The initial hope for immunotoxin-based therapy in the treatment of cancer was their perceived role as magic bullets functioning as a cure for solid tumors and blood borne malignancies [86]. Since the 1990s, clinical studies have clearly shown that the targeted toxin therapy works as a good supplement to the existing chemotherapeutic agents [87]. The combination of chemo- and immunotherapy is manifolds better than the effectiveness of either of them alone. This when accompanied with the combined use of enhancers would surely give the clinicians more advantage in treating the patients effectively. There are numerous facets to targeted toxin therapies and as summarized by Chandramohan et al. [88], the therapeutic success requires selective killing, absence of side effects or nonspecific toxicity, which should be further juxtaposed with



Fig. (5). A schematic description for the efficacy enhancement of certain plant type I RIPs using triterpenoidal saponins. 1. The toxin reaches the cell 2. Internalization and formation of endosomal vesicles, 3. Maturation of the endosomal vesicles along with the entrapped toxin, 4. Late endosome formation. 5. The toxin undergoes lysosomal degradation and thus the toxic effect is not elicited. 6. Particular saponins accumulates inside the endo/lysosomes by unknown mechanisms. The presence of saponins faciliates the endo/lysosomal release of the toxin in a pH dependent manner. 7. The toxin induces cell death inside the cytosol *via* apoptosis.

successful delivery of the immunotoxin to tumor cells. Another important aspect for a clinical success is the designing of targeted toxin. This should be done in such a way that the production of anti-toxin antibodies is minimized in an ideal case. Most of the target receptors are ubiquitous and therefore, a bystander effect of the targeting strategy into normal tissues in most cases is unavoidable. It is in such instances that the use of a targeted toxin enhancer as described in Table **3** can certainly come to rescue. Since use of such strategy minimizes the dosage and therefore the accompanying side effects, the target specific cell killing is limited to the amount of toxin molecules available.

Another important aspect is the fact that most of the disseminated tumors are highly heterogeneous. This is further complicated by the variations in the receptor expression levels with progression of tumor into the different stages of growth [89]. It is in such cases that a "cocktail therapy" could be highly effective and beneficial [90]. In the past, the targeted toxins have shown limited success independently and it could be imagined that for a higher efficacy, a combination of a small drug with targeted toxins, administered concomitantly, may minimize the side effects of either of them, with an increased effectiveness. In addition, another strategy could be the use of a combination of two or more targeted toxins as shown by a joint application of anti-CD19 and anti-CD22 immunotoxins (Combotox) [91] or multiple receptor targeting by aptameric configurations of the toxins making them more specific to different receptor types, overexpressed in the tumor that is being targeted. The future for such an approach has tremendous potential with the recent advancement in high-throughput screening techniques and the growing importance of personalized medicine in case of tumor treatment.

Furthermore, development of bi-specific and tri-specific therapeutic antibodies would surely add to the armor of the clinicians handling targeted toxins in tumor therapy [92, 93]. It can be foreseen that a cargo of toxin, with multiple targeting ligands would be highly beneficial, not only in solid tumors but in case of disseminated and metastasized tumors as well. The future of targeted toxin therapies appears to be even more promising than within the previous decade; this is mainly ascribable to the tremendous advancements in the biotechnological tools and an unprecedented growth in the field of proteomics and genomics. The possibility of identification and procurement of inactive mutants and humanized or human toxins, which can be further modified as targeted toxins opens up

Table 3. A detailed list of all the efficacy enhancers employed in the improvement of toxin efficacy and enhancement of endosomal escape.

Efficacy Enhancers	Origin	Factor	Site of Action	Application	Ref.
Lysosomotropic amines					
Ammonium chloride	Inorganic	6700	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693, 694]
Methylamine	Organic	13,300	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693]
Dimethylamine	Organic	3300	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693]
Trimethylamine	Organic	80	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693]
Amantadine	Organic	1180	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693, 695]
Chloroquine	Organic	2500	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA, Gel)	[693, 696]
Lipopolyamines	Organic	10 - 250	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap)	[697]
β-Glycylphenyl- naphthylamide (GPN)	Organic	10	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (PE)	[698]
Quinacrine	Organic	15	Endosomes	immunotoxins (Gel)	[696]
Carboxylic ionophores					
Monensin	Organic	50,000	Lysosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA, Gel)	[693, 696, 699]
Grisorixin	Organic	25,000	Lysosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693]
Lasalocid	Organic	33,000	Lysosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693]
Nigericin	Organic	6700	Lysosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[693]
Calcium channel antagonist	ts				
Verapamil	Organic	170	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA, PE, Gel)	[696, 698, 700]
Diltiazem	Organic	10, 40	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (PE)	[698]
Methoxyverapamil (D- 600)	Organic	40	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (PE)	[698]
Varapamil analogues	Organic	2 - 70	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA, PE)	[700]
Perhexiline	Organic	10 - 2000	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[701]
SR 33557	Organic	540	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[702]
SR 33287	Organic	620	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[702]
Organic polymers					
Polyethylenimine (PEI)	Organic polymer	From no-effect to effect	Lysosomes	Gene transfection	[703]
Poly(amidoamine)s (PAAs)	Organic polymer	100	Endosomes and lysosomes	Toxins (RTA, Gel, Sap), Gene delivery	[704-706]
Poly(propylacrylic acid) (PAAP)	Organic polymer	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene transfection	[707]

Efficacy Enhancers	Origin	Factor	Site of Action	Application	Ref.
Fusogenic lipids				<u>.</u>	
DOPE	Organic	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene transfection, liposomes	[708]
CHEMS	Organic	Significant increase	Endosomes	siRNA delivery	[709]
Monoolein	Organic	Significant increase	Endosomes	DNA delivery, nanoparticles	[75]
Other organic compounds				<u>.</u>	
Retinoic acid	Organic	10,000	Golgi apparatus	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[710]
Cyclosporin A	Organic	100	Vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[711, 712]
Brefeldin-A	Organic	1000	Golgi apparatus	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[713]
Bryostatin 1	Organic	Significant increase	Cell signalling	Immunotoxins (PE)	[714]
Wortmannin	Organic	Significant increase	Endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (ETA, Sap, Gel)	[715]
Synthetic surfactants	Organic	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene transfection, siRNA delivery, nanoparticles	[716, 717]
ЕНСО	Organic	Significant increase	Endosomes	siRNA delivery, nanoparticles	[718]
Viruses and virus peptides					
Adenovirus	Adenovirus	10,000	Endosomes, lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (PE, RTA, Sap, Gel), gene delivery	[719-721]
Penton base protein (adeno- virus capsid protein)	Adenovirus	100	Endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (PE, Gel)	[722, 723]
Minor capsid protein VI	Adenovirus	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Nanoparticles	[724, 725]
KFT25 (N-terminus of Protein G)	Vesicular stoma- titis virus	10 - 20	Lysosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (RTA, Dia)	[309, 528]
HA2 (hemagglutinin HA-2)	Influenza virus	10 - 100	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA, Sap), gene transfer	[82, 726, 727]
HA2 / poly (L-lysine) (PLL)	Influenza virus	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene transfer	[728]
HA23	Influenza virus	4 - 5	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[729]
GALA	Synthetic pep- tide (HIV)	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Gene transfection, liposomes, nanoparticles	[726, 730, 731]
KALA	Synthetic pep- tide (HIV)	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes and other mem- branes	Gene transfection	[732]
KALA/polyethylenimine (PEI)	Synthetic pep- tide (HIV)	Significant increase	Endosomes and other mem- branes	Gene transfection	[733, 734]
INF-7	Influenza virus	100	Endosomes	Gene delivery, siRNA delivery, liposomes	[735-737]
Tat (transcriptional activa- tor Tat protein)	HIV	3340	Endosomes	DNA delivery, PNA delivery, liposomes, nanoparticles	[738-740]
gp41	HIV	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene delivery, siRNA delivery	[741]
gp41/polyethylenimine (PEI)	HIV	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene delivery, siRNA delivery	[742]
L2 (minor capsid protein)	Papillomavirus	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes and other mem- branes	Proteins (GFP)	[743]
Major envelope protein (E)	West Nile virus	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Natural process	[744]

Efficacy Enhancers	Origin	Factor	Site of Action	Application	Ref.
VP22 (structural protein VP22)	Herpes simplex virus	From no-effect to effect	Actin-mediated endosomes	DNA delivery, proteins (GFP)	[729]
Synthetic analogue of glycoprotein H (gpH)	Synthetic peptide (Herpes simplex virus)	30	Endosomes	Gene transfection, liposomes	[745]
PreS2-domain of hepati- tis-B virus surface antigen (TLM)	Hepatitis-B virus	2 - 20	Endosomes or other vesicular compartments	Immunotoxins (Sap, Ang)	[600, 746, 747]
Bacterial peptides					
Listeriolysin O (LLO)	Listeria monocy- togenes	Significant increase	Endosomes	DNA delivery, liposomes	[748, 749]
Pneumococcal pneumo- lysin (PLO)	Pneumococcos	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Toxins (Granzyme B)	[750]
Streptococcal streptolysin O (SLO)	Streptococcos	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Toxins (Granzyme B)	[750]
T-domain of diphtheria- toxin (DT)	Corynebacterium diphtheria	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (DT)	[751]
T-domain of diphtheria toxin (DT) / poly(ethylenimine) (PEI)	Corynebacterium diphtheria	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene transfection	[752]
Domain II of <i>Pseudo-</i> <i>monas</i> exotoxin A (ETA)	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes and <i>trans</i> -Golgi network	Immunotoxins (PE)	[753]
REDLK	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	From no-effect to effect	Endoplasmatic reticulum	Immunotoxins (PE)	[754]
Animal and human peptides					
Penetratin (homeotic transcription protein An- tennapedia, Antp)	Drosophila mela- nogaster	From no-effect to effect	Pinocytic and other vesicular compartments	PNA delivery	[755]
R6-Penetratin (with argin- ine residues)	Synthetic (Droso- phila mela- nogaster)	5 - 10	Endosmes and other vesicular compartments	PNA delivery	[756]
EB1 (synthetic analog of penetratin)	Synthetic (Droso- phila mela- nogaster)	Significant increase	Endosomes	siRNA delivery	[757]
hCT (9-32) (human calci- tonin derived peptide 9- 32)	Human	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes or other vesicular compartments	Natural process	[758, 759]
Fibroblast growth factor-1 (FGF-1) sequence	Human	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Natural process	[760]
Melittin	Bee venom	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Gene delivery	[726, 761]
Melittin/polyethylenimine (PEI)	Bee venom	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene delivery, siRNA delivery	[762-764]
Human β3 integrin signal sequence	Human	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Natural process	[765]
Heavy chain of immuno- globulin G	Caiman crocody- lus	Significant increase	Cell membrane	Liposomes	[766]

Efficacy Enhancers	Origin	Factor	Site of Action	Application	Ref.
Transportan	Synthetic peptide (neuropeptide galanin + wasp venom peptide mastoparan)	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes or other vesicular compartments	Proteins (GFP, Strep)	[767]
Bovine prion protein (bPrPp)	Synthetic peptide (bobine prion)	From no-effect to effect	Cell membrane, macropino- somes	Nanoparticles	[768, 769]
KDEL	Signal sequence	100 - 1000	Endoplasmatic reticulum	Immunotoxins (RTA, PE)	[770, 771]
Animal and human proteins	•				
α-Interferon (INF)	Human	Significant increase	Cell signalling	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[772]
Perforin	Human	From no-effect to effect	Early endosomes	Immunotoxins (GzmB)	[773, 774]
Rituximab	Mouse/human chimeric mAb	80	Cell signalling	Immunotoxins (Sap)	[587]
Plant saponins		[
Saponinum album	<i>Gypsophila pani-</i> <i>culata</i> L.	2,500,000	Late endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap)	[599, 775, 776]
SA-1641	<i>Gypsophila pani-</i> culata L.	Significant increase	Late endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap, Dia)	[83, 84]
SA-1657	<i>Gypsophila pani-</i> <i>culata</i> L.	From no-effect to effect	Late endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap)	[52]
Saponaria saponins	Saponaria offici- nalis L.	10,000	Late endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap)	[52]
SO-1861	Saponaria offici- nalis L.	1000	Late endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap, Dia)	[52]
<i>Quillaja</i> saponins	Quillaja saponaria Mol.	1400	Late endosomes and lysosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap)	[775]
Plant proteins					
Ricin B-chain	Ricinus communis L.	From no-effect to effect	Interalization/Cell signalling	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[777]
Ricin B-chain immuno- toxin	Ricinus communis L.	2 - 4	Interalization/Cell signalling	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[778]
Ricin B chain (piggyback)	Ricinus communis L.	2 - 6	Interalization/Cell signalling	Immunotoxins (RTA)	[779]
Synthetic peptides					
Polyarginines	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Late endosomes, Golgi appa- ratus and endoplasmatic re- ticulum	DNA delivery, siRNA delivery, proteins (GFP)	[780-782]
Polylysines	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene transfection	[783]
Histidine 10	Synthetic peptide	7000	Endosomes	Gene transfection	[784]
(R-Ahx-R)4	Synthetic peptide	From no-effect to effect	Late endosomes, Golgi appa- ratus and endoplasmatic re- ticulum	PNA delivery	[74, 785]
Poly(L-histidine)	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Endosomes	DNA delivery	[786, 787]

Efficacy Enhancers	Origin	Factor	Site of Action	Application	Ref.
Sweet arrow peptide (SAP)	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Endosomes	Gene delivery, nanoparticles	[788]
Loligomer	Synthetic peptide	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes or other vesicular compartments	Peptide delivery, fluorescent probes	[789]
Amphiphilic model pep- tide	Synthetic peptide	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes or other vesicular compartments	Polar bioactive compounds	[790]
IRQ peptide	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Endosomes	siRNA delivery	[709]
4 ₃ E peptide	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Endosomes and lysosomes	Gene transfection	[791]
pJVE	Synthetic peptide	2	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (Dia)	[309]
RAWA	Synthetic peptide	Significant increase	Endosomes and other mem- branes	Gene delivery	[792]
Nuclear localization sig- nals	Synthetic peptide	150	Cytoplasmic entrapment, nuclear membrane	Gene transfection	[793]
SynB1	Synthetic peptide	6	Endosomes and other mem- branes	Peptide delivery	[78, 794]
Pep-1	Synthetic peptide	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes and other mem- branes	Peptide delivery, proteins (GFP, β-Gal)	[795]
Physicochemical techniques					
Photochemical internaliza- tion	Technique	1000	Endosomes	Immunotoxins (Sap, Gel), gene transfection, liposomes, nanoparticles	[796-798]
Ultrasound	Technique	30	Endosomes	Gene delivery, liposomes	[799, 800]
Plasmonic nanobubbles	Technique	30	Endosomes	Nanoparticles	[801]
Magnetic nanoparticles	Technique	From no-effect to effect	Endosomes	Gene transfection, siRNA delivery, nanoparticles	[76, 802]

new vistas for tumor treatment. Recombinant DNA and expression techniques have reached a stage where a mutated version once identified to be effective, can be expressed and obtained under good manufacturing conditions in a quick succession. Recent advancements in the antibody-based therapeutics include the generation of bi-specific antibodies and bio-mimicking antibodies, while retaining selectivity these antibodies reportedly have a better efficacy. This surely adds to the repertoire of molecular biologists for reducing the incubation time during the drug development process.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Declared none.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding from the DFG project grant TH1810/1-1 to MT and DFG international grant (WE4784/2-1) to AW is acknowledged. Generous funding from the Wilhelm Sander-Stiftung (2011.121.1) is also acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Peumans WJ, Hao Q, Van Damme EJ. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants: more than RNA N-glycosidases? FASEB J 2001; 15: 1493-506.
- [2] de Virgilio M, Lombardi A, Caliandro R, Fabbrini MS. Ribosomeinactivating proteins: from plant defense to tumor attack. Toxins (Basel) 2011; 2: 2699-737.

- [3] Pastan I, Hassan R, Fitzgerald DJ, Kreitman RJ. Immunotoxin therapy of cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 559-65.
- [4] Hong X, Wang-Yi L. Cinnamonin- a versatile type II ribosome inactivating protein. Acta Biochimica et Biophysica Sinica 2004; 36: 169-76.
- [5] Weng A, Thakur M, von Mallinckrodt B, et al. Saponins modulate the intracellular trafficking of protein toxins. J Control Release 2012; 164: 74-86.
- [6] Kreitman RJ. Immunotoxins for targeted tumor therapy AAPS J. 2006; 8: E532-51.
- [7] Chambery A, Di Maro A, Monti MM, Stirpe F, Parente A. Volkensin from Adenia volkensii Harms (kilyambiti plant), a type 2 ribosome-inactivating protein. Eur J Biochem/FEBS 2004; 271: 108-17.
- [8] Sandvig K, Skotland T, van Deurs B, Klokk TI. Retrograde transport of protein toxins through the Golgi apparatus. Histochem Cell Biol 2013; 140: 317-26.
- [9] Spooner RA, Watson PD, Marsden CJ, et al. Protein disulphideisomerase reduces ricin to its A and B chains in the endoplasmic reticulum. Biochem J 2004; 383: 285-93.
- [10] Bellisola G, Fracasso G, Ippoliti R, *et al.* Reductive activation of ricin and ricin A-chain immunotoxins by protein disulfide isomerase and thioredoxin reductase. Biochemical pharmacology 2004; 67: 1721-31.
- [11] Spooner RA, Lord JM. How ricin and Shiga toxin reach the cytosol of target cells: retrotranslocation from the endoplasmic reticulum. Curr Topics Microbiol Immunol 2012; 357: 19-40.
- [12] Gregers TF, Skanland SS, Walchli S, Bakke O, Sandvig K. BiP negatively affects ricin transport. Toxins 2013; 5: 969-82.

- [13] Wesche J, Rapak A, Olsnes S. Dependence of ricin toxicity on translocation of the toxin A-chain from the endoplasmic reticulum to the cytosol. J Biological Chem 1999; 274: 34443-9.
- [14] Slominska-Wojewodzka M, Gregers TF, Walchli S, Sandvig K. EDEM is involved in retrotranslocation of ricin from the endoplasmic reticulum to the cytosol. Mol Biol Cell 2006; 17: 1664-75.
- [15] Spooner RA, Hart PJ, Cook JP, et al. Cytosolic chaperones influence the fate of a toxin dislocated from the endoplasmic reticulum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 17408-13.
- [16] Bassik MC, Kampmann M, Lebbink RJ, et al. A systematic mammalian genetic interaction map reveals pathways underlying ricin susceptibility. Cell 2013; 152: 909-22.
- [17] Sokolowska I, Walchli S, Wegrzyn G, Sandvig K, Slominska-Wojewodzka M. A single point mutation in ricin A-chain increases toxin degradation and inhibits EDEM1-dependent ER retrotranslocation. Biochemical journal 2011; 436: 371-85.
- [18] Monzingo AF, Robertus JD. X-ray analysis of substrate analogs in the ricin A-chain active site. J Mol Biol 1992; 227: 1136-45.
- [19] Fermani S, Tosi G, Farini V, et al. Structure/function studies on two type 1 ribosome inactivating proteins: Bouganin and lychnin. J structural biology 2009; 168: 278-87.
- [20] May KL, Yan Q, Tumer NE. Targeting ricin to the ribosome. Toxicon : official journal Int Soc Toxinol 2013; 69: 143-51.
- [21] Battelli MG. Cytotoxicity and toxicity to animals and humans of ribosome-inactivating proteins. Mini Rev Med Chem 2004; 4: 513-21.
- [22] Bolognesi A, Polito L, Scicchitano V, et al. Endocytosis and intracellular localisation of type 1 ribosome-inactivating protein saporin-s6. J Biological Regulators Homeostatic Agents 2012; 26: 97-109.
- [23] Fabbrini MS, Rappocciolo E, Carpani D, et al. Characterization of a saporin isoform with lower ribosome-inhibiting activity. Biochem J 1997; 322 (Pt 3): 719-27.
- [24] Rajagopal V, Kreitman RJ. Recombinant toxins that bind to the urokinase receptor are cytotoxic without requiring binding to the alpha(2)-macroglobulin receptor. J Biological Chem 2000; 275: 7566-73.
- [25] Ippoliti R, Lendaro E, Benedetti PA, et al. Endocytosis of a chimera between human pro-urokinase and the plant toxin saporin: an unusual internalization mechanism. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation Am Soc Exp Biol 2000; 14: 1335-44.
- [26] Chan WL, Shaw PC, Tam SC, Jacobsen C, Gliemann J, Nielsen MS. Trichosanthin interacts with and enters cells via LDL receptor family members. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 2000; 270: 453-7.
- [27] Vago R, Marsden CJ, Lord JM, et al. Saporin and ricin A chain follow different intracellular routes to enter the cytosol of intoxicated cells. The FEBS journal 2005; 272: 4983-95.
- [28] Spooner RA, Lord MJ. Immunotoxins: status and prospects. Trends Biotechnol 1990; 8: 189-93.
- [29] Lord MJ, Lynne MR, Thorpe PA, Vitetta ES. Immunotoxins. Trends Biotechnol 1985; 3: 175-9.
- [30] Frankel AE, Kreitman RJ, Sausville EA. Targeted toxins. Clin Cancer Res 2000; 6: 326-34.
- [31] Frankel AE, Tagge EP, Willingham MC. Clinical trials of targeted toxins. Semin Cancer Biol 1995; 6: 307-17.
- [32] Weiner LM, Surana R, Wang S. Monoclonal antibodies: versatile platforms for cancer immunotherapy. Nature reviews. Immunology 2010; 10: 317-27.
- [33] Weiner LM, Dhodapkar MV, Ferrone S. Monoclonal antibodies for cancer immunotherapy. Lancet 2009; 373: 1033-40.
- [34] Bostad M, Berg K, Hogset A, Skarpen E, Stenmark H, Selbo PK. Photochemical internalization (PCI) of immunotoxins targeting CD133 is specific and highly potent at femtomolar levels in cells with cancer stem cell properties. J Controlled Release 2013; 168: 317-26.
- [35] Madhumathi J, Verma RS. Therapeutic targets and recent advances in protein immunotoxins. Current opinion in microbiology 2012; 15: 300-9.
- [36] Kioi M, Seetharam S, Puri RK. Targeting IL-13Ralpha2-positive cancer with a novel recombinant immunotoxin composed of a single-chain antibody and mutated Pseudomonas exotoxin. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2008; 7: 1579-87.
- [37] Pirie CM, Liu DV, Wittrup KD. Targeted cytolysins synergistically potentiate cytoplasmic delivery of gelonin immunotoxin. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2013; 12: 1774-82.

- [38] Goncalves A, Tredan O, Villanueva C, Dumontet C. [Antibodydrug conjugates in oncology: from the concept to trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1)]. Bulletin du Cancer 2012; 99: 1183-91.
- [39] Kreitman RJ. Hairy cell leukemia-new genes, new targets. Curr Hematologic Malignancy Reports 2013; 8: 184-95.
- [40] Kreitman RJ. Immunoconjugates and new molecular targets in hairy cell leukemia. Hematology / the Education Program of the American Society of Hematology. American Society of Hematology. Education Program 2012; 2012: 660-6.
- [41] Foyil KV, Bartlett NL. Brentuximab vedotin and crizotinib in anaplastic large-cell lymphoma. Cancer Journal 2012; 18: 450-6.
- [42] Antignani A, Fitzgerald D. Immunotoxins: the role of the toxin. Toxins 2013; 5: 1486-502.
- [43] Sheff DR, Daro EA, Hull M, Mellman I. The receptor recycling pathway contains two distinct populations of early endosomes with different sorting functions. J Cell Biol 1999; 145: 123-39.
- [44] Sonnichsen B, De Renzis S, Nielsen E, Rietdorf J, Zerial M. Distinct membrane domains on endosomes in the recycling pathway visualized by multicolor imaging of Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11. J Cell Biol 2000; 149: 901-14.
- [45] Ren J, Kachel K, Kim H, Malenbaum SE, Collier RJ, London E. Interaction of diphtheria toxin T domain with molten globule-like proteins and its implications for translocation. Science 1999; 284: 955-7.
- [46] Zhan H, Choe S, Huynh PD, Finkelstein A, Eisenberg D, Collier RJ. Dynamic transitions of the transmembrane domain of diphtheria toxin: disulfide trapping and fluorescence proximity studies. Biochemistry 1994; 33: 11254-63.
- [47] Chaudhary VK, Jinno Y, FitzGerald D, Pastan I. Pseudomonas exotoxin contains a specific sequence at the carboxyl terminus that is required for cytotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87: 308-12.
- [48] Weyergang A, Selbo PK, Berstad ME, Bostad M, Berg K. Photochemical internalization of tumor-targeted protein toxins. Lasers Surgery Med 2011; 43: 721-33.
- [49] Fuchs H, Bachran C, Li T, Heisler I, Durkop H, Sutherland M. A cleavable molecular adapter reduces side effects and concomitantly enhances efficacy in tumor treatment by targeted toxins in mice. J Controlled Release 2007; 117: 342-50.
- [50] Lizzi AR, D'Alessandro AM, Zeolla N, et al. The effect of AZT and chloroquine on the activities of ricin and a saporin-transferrin chimeric toxin. Biochemical Pharmacol 2005; 70: 560-9.
- [51] Thakur M, Weng A, Pieper A, et al. Macromolecular interactions of triterpenoids and targeted toxins: Role of saponins charge. Int J Biological Macromolecules 2013; 61C: 285-94.
- [52] Weng A, Thakur M, von Mallinckrodt B, et al. Saponins modulate the intracellular trafficking of protein toxins. J Controlled Release 2012; 164: 74-86.
- [53] Fitzgerald D. Why toxins! Seminars in Cancer Biology 1996; 7: 87-95.
- [54] Weldon JE, Xiang L, Chertov O, et al. A protease-resistant immunotoxin against CD22 with greatly increased activity against CLL and diminished animal toxicity. Blood 2009; 113: 3792-800.
- [55] Lambert JM, McIntyre G, Gauthier MN, et al. The galactosebinding sites of the cytotoxic lectin ricin can be chemically blocked in high yield with reactive ligands prepared by chemical modification of glycopeptides containing triantennary N-linked oligosaccharides. Biochemistry 1991; 30: 3234-47.
- [56] Barta SK, Zou Y, Schindler J, et al. Synergy of sequential administration of a deglycosylated ricin A chain-containing combined anti-CD19 and anti-CD22 immunotoxin (Combotox) and cytarabine in a murine model of advanced acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leuk Lymphoma 2012; 53: 1999-2003.
- [57] Wang JL, Zheng YL, Wang BL, Guo AG, Ma R, Jiang YQ. [Construction and activity analysis of a recombinant immunotoxin composed of PE38 and a disulfide stable single-chain antibody]. Xi Bao Yu Fen Zi Mian Yi Xue Za Zhi 2006; 22: 74-7.
- [58] FitzGerald DJ, Kreitman R, Wilson W, Squires D, Pastan I. Recombinant immunotoxins for treating cancer. Int J Med Microbiol 2004; 293: 577-82.
- [59] Carmi C, Mor M, Petronini PG, Alfieri RR. Clinical perspectives for irreversible tyrosine kinase inhibitors in cancer. Biochem Pharmacol 2012; 84: 1388-99.
- [60] Sliwkowski MX, Mellman I. Antibody therapeutics in cancer. Science; 341: 1192-8.

- [61] Fuchs H, Bachran C. Targeted tumor therapies at a glance. Curr Drug Targets 2009; 10: 89-93.
- [62] Moolten FL, Cooperband SR. Selective destruction of target cells by diphtheria toxin conjugated to antibody directed against antigens on the cells. Science 1970; 169: 68-70.
- [63] Sankari SL, Masthan KM, Babu NA, Bhattacharjee T, Elumalai M. Apoptosis in cancer--an update. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012; 13: 4873-8.
- [64] Fuchs H, Bachran C eds. Design of targeted protein toxins, Wiley-VCH Verlag: Weinheim\ 2012.
- [65] Platini F, Perez-Tomas R, Ambrosio S, Tessitore L. Understanding autophagy in cell death control. Curr Pharm Des 2010; 16: 101-13.
- [66] Bergamaschi G, Perfetti V, Tonon L, et al. Saporin, a ribosomeinactivating protein used to prepare immunotoxins, induces cell death via apoptosis. Br J Haematol 1996; 93: 789-94.
- [67] Sikriwal D, Ghosh P, Batra JK. Ribosome inactivating protein saporin induces apoptosis through mitochondrial cascade, independent of translation inhibition. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2008; 40: 2880-8.
- [68] Su X, Yang N, Wittrup KD, Irvine DJ. Synergistic Antitumor Activity from Two-Stage Delivery of Targeted Toxins and Endosome-Disrupting Nanoparticles. Biomacromolecules 2013; 14: 1093-102.
- [69] Fiandaca MS, Berger MS, Bankiewicz KS. The Use of Convection-Enhanced Delivery with Liposomal Toxins in Neurooncology. Toxins 2011; 3: 369-97.
- [70] Dharap SS, Wang Y, Chandna P, et al. Tumor-specific targeting of an anticancer drug delivery system by LHRH peptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 12962-12967.
- [71] McIntosh DP, Heath TD. Liposome-mediated delivery of ribosome inactivating proteins to cells in vitro. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1982; 690: 224-30.
- [72] Provoda CJ, Stier EM, Lee KD. Tumor Cell Killing Enabled by Listeriolysin O-liposome-mediated Delivery of the Protein Toxin Gelonin. J Biological Chem 2003; 278: 35102-8.
- [73] Lai PS, Pai CL, Peng CL, Shieh MJ, Berg K, Lou PJ. Enhanced cytotoxicity of saporin by polyamidoamine dendrimer conjugation and photochemical internalization. J Biomed Materials Res Part A 2008; 87A: 147-55.
- [74] Abes R, Arzumanov A, Moulton H, et al. Arginine-rich cell penetrating peptides: Design, structure-activity, and applications to alter pre-mRNA splicing by steric-block oligonucleotides. J Peptide Sci 2008; 14: 455-60.
- [75] Angelov B, Angelova A, Filippov SK, et al. DNA/Fusogenic Lipid Nanocarrier Assembly: Millisecond Structural Dynamics. J Physical Chem Letters 2013; 4: 1959-64.
- [76] Curcio A, Marotta R, Riedinger A, Palumberi D, Falqui A, Pellegrino T. Magnetic pH-responsive nanogels as multifunctional delivery tools for small interfering RNA (siRNA) molecules and iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs). Chemical Communications 2012; 48: 2400-2.
- [77] Hancock RE. Cationic peptides: effectors in innate immunity and novel antimicrobials. The Lancet infectious diseases 2001; 1: 156-64.
- [78] Hearst SM, Walker LR, Shao Q, Lopez M, Raucher D, Vig PJ. The design and delivery of a thermally responsive peptide to inhibit S100B-mediated neurodegeneration. Neuroscience 2011; 197: 369-80.
- [79] Rostaing-Capaillon O, Casellas P. In vivo cytotoxic efficacy of immunotoxins prepared from anti-CD5 antibody linked to ricin Achain. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1991; 34: 24-30.
- [80] Gilabert-Oriol R, Mergel K, Thakur M, et al. Real-time analysis of membrane permeabilizing effects of oleanane saponins. Bioorg Med Chem 2012; 21: 2387-95.
- [81] Thakur M, Mergel K, Weng A, et al. Real time monitoring of the cell viability during treatment with tumor-targeted toxins and saponins using impedance measurement. Biosens Bioelectron 2011; 35: 503-6.
- [82] Fuchs H, Bachran C, Flavell D. Diving through Membranes: Molecular Cunning to Enforce the Endosomal Escape of Antibody-Targeted Anti-Tumor Toxins. Antibodies 2013; 2: 209-35.
- [83] Thakur M, Weng A, Bachran D, et al. Electrophoretic isolation of saponin fractions from Saponinum album and their evaluation in synergistically enhancing the receptor-specific cytotoxicity of targeted toxins. Electrophoresis 2011; 32: 3085-9.

- [84] Weng A, Thakur M, Beceren-Braun F, et al. The toxin component of targeted anti-tumor toxins determines their efficacy increase by saponins. Mol Oncol 2012; 6: 323-32.
- [85] Thakur M, Mergel K, Weng A, et al. Targeted tumor therapy by epidermal growth factor appended toxin and purified saponin: an evaluation of toxicity and therapeutic potential in syngeneic tumor bearing mice. Mol Oncol 2013; 7: 475-83.
- [86] FitzGerald D, Pastan I. Targeted Toxin Therapy for the Treatment of Cancer. J Natl Cancer Institute 1989; 81: 1455-63.
- [87] Frankel AE, Kreitman RJ, Sausville EA. Targeted Toxins. Clini Cancer Res 2000; 6: 326-34.
- [88] Chandramohan V, Sampson JH, Pastan I, Bigner DD. Toxin-based targeted therapy for malignant brain tumors. Clin Dev Immunol 2012; 2012: 480429.
- [89] Liu TF, Tatter SB, Willingham MC, Yang M, Hu JJ, Frankel AE. Growth Factor Receptor Expression Varies among High-Grade Gliomas and Normal Brain: Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Has Excellent Properties for Interstitial Fusion Protein Therapy. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2003; 2: 783-787.
- [90] Jain RK. Normalization of Tumor Vasculature: An Emerging Concept in Antiangiogenic Therapy. Science 2005; 307: 58-62.
- [91] Schindler J, Gajavelli S, Ravandi F, et al. A phase I study of a combination of anti-CD19 and anti-CD22 immunotoxins (Combotox) in adult patients with refractory B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Br J Haematol 2011; 154: 471-6.
- [92] Wang XB, Zhao BF, Zhao Q, et al. A New Recombinant Single Chain Trispecific Antibody Recruits T Lymphocytes to Kill CEA (Carcinoma Embryonic Antigen) Positive Tumor Cells In Vitro Efficiently. J Biochem 2004; 135: 555-65.
- [93] Kontermann R. Dual targeting strategies with bispecific antibodies. MAbs 2012; 4: 182-97.
- [94] Kondo T, Yoshikawa T. Purification and characterization of abelesculin, a novel ribosome-inactivating protein from the mature seeds of Abelmoschus esculentus. J Natural Medicines 2007; 61: 170-4.
- [95] Lin JY, Lee TC, Hu ST, Tung TC. Isolation of four isotoxic proteins and one agglutinin from jequiriti bean Abrus precatorius. Toxicon 1981; 19: 41-51.
- [96] Hegde R, Maiti TK, Podder SK. Purification and characterization of three toxins and two agglutinins from Abrus precatorius seed by using lactamyl-Sepharose affinity chromatography. Analytical Biochem 1991; 194: 101-9.
- [97] Olsnes S, Saltvedt E, Pihl A. Isolation and comparison of galactose-binding lectins from Abrus precatorius and Ricinus communis. J Biological Chem 1974; 249: 803-10.
- [98] Ramos MV, Mota DM, Teixeira CR, Cavada BS, Moreira RA. Isolation and partial characterisation of highly toxic lectins from Abrus pulchellus seeds. Toxicon 1998; 36: 477-84.
- [99] Goto LS, Beltramini LM, Moraes DId, Moreira RA, de Araújo APU. Abrus pulchellus type-2 RIP, pulchellin: heterologous expression and refolding of the sugar-binding B chain. Protein Expression Purification 2003; 31: 12-8.
- [100] Gasperi-Campani A, Barbieri L, Lorenzoni E, et al. Modeccin, the toxin of Adenia digitata. Purification, toxicity and inhibition of protein synthesis in vitro. Biochem. J 1978; 174: 491-6.
- [101] Pelosi E, Lubelli C, Polito L, Barbieri L, Bolognesi A, Stirpe F. Ribosome-inactivating proteins and other lectins from Adenia (Passifloraceae). Toxicon 2005; 46: 658-63.
- [102] Stirpe F, Bolognesi A, Bortolotti M, et al. Characterization of highly toxic type 2 ribosome-inactivating proteins from Adenia lanceolata and Adenia stenodactyla (Passifloraceae). Toxicon 2007; 50: 94-105.
- [103] Barbieri L, Falasca AI, Stirpe F. Volkensin, the toxin of Adenia volkensii (kilyambiti plant). FEBS letters 1984; 171: 277-9.
- [104] Stirpe F, Barbieri L, Abbondanza A, et al. Properties of volkensin, a toxic lectin from Adenia volkensii. J Biological Chem 1985; 260: 14589-95.
- [105] Stirpe F, Gasperi-Campani A, Barbieri L, Falasca A, Abbondanza A, Stevens WA. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from the seeds of Saponaria officinalis L. (soapwort), of Agrostemma githago L. (corn cockle) and of Asparagus officinalis L. (asparagus), and from the latex of Hura crepitans L. (sandbox tree). Biochem J 1983; 216: 617-25.
- [106] Rinderle S, Goldstein I, Matta K, Ratcliffe R. Isolation and characterization of amaranthin, a lectin present in the seeds of Amaran-

thus caudatus, that recognizes the T-(or cryptic T)-antigen. J Biological Chem 1989; 264: 16123-31.

- [107] Roy S, Sadhana P, Begum M, Kumar S, Lodha M, Kapoor H. Purification, characterization and cloning of antiviral/ribosome inactivating protein from Amaranthus tricolor leaves. Phytochemistry 2006; 67: 1865-73.
- [108] Kwon SY, An CS, Liu JR, Paek KH. A ribosome-inactivating protein from Amaranthus viridis. Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry 1997; 61: 1613.
- [109] Tomatsu M, Ohnishi-Kameyama M, Shibamoto N. Aralin, a new cytotoxic protein from Aralia elata, inducing apoptosis in human cancer cells. Cancer letters 2003; 199: 19-25.
- [110] Tomatsu M, Kondo T, Yoshikawa T, et al. An apoptotic inducer, aralin, is a novel type II ribosome-inactivating protein from Aralia elata. Biological Chem 2004; 385: 819-27.
- [111] Bolognesi A, Barbieri L, Abbondanza A, et al. Purification and properties of new ribosome-inactivating proteins with RNA Nglycosidase activity. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression 1990; 1087: 293-302.
- [112] Bolognesi A, Polito L, Olivieri F, et al. New ribosome-inactivating proteins with polynucleotide: adenosine glycosidase and antiviral activities from Basella rubra L. and Bougainvillea spectabilis Willd. Planta 1997; 203: 422-9.
- [113] Ng T, Parkash A, Tso W. Purification and characterization of αand β-benincasins, arginine/glutamate-rich peptides with translation-inhibiting activity from wax gourd seeds. Peptides 2003; 24: 11-6.
- [114] Ng T, Parkash A. Hispin, a novel ribosome inactivating protein with antifungal activity from hairy melon seeds. Protein Expression Purification 2002; 26: 211-7.
- [115] Hornung E, Wajant H, Jeske H, Mundry KW. Cloning of a cDNA encoding a new ribosome-inactivating protein from Beta vulgaris vulgaris (mangold). Gene 1996; 170: 233-6.
- [116] Girbés T, de Torre C, Iglesias R, Ferreras JM, Méndez E. RIP for viruses. Nature 1996.
- [117] Iglesias R, Pérez Y, de Torre C, et al. Molecular characterization and systemic induction of single-chain ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) leaves. J Exp Botany 2005; 56: 1675-84.
- [118] den Hartog MT, Lubelli C, Boon L, et al. Cloning and expression of cDNA coding for bouganin. Eur J Biochem 2002; 269: 1772-9.
- [119] Choudhary N, Kapoor HC, Lodha ML. Cloning and expression of antiviral/ribosome-inactivating protein from Bougainvillea xbuttiana. J biosciences 2008; 33: 91-101.
- [120] Stirpe F, Barbieri L, Battelli M, et al. Bryodin, a ribosomeinactivating protein from the roots of Bryonia dioica L. (white bryony). Biochem J 1986; 240: 659-65.
- [121] Siegall CB, Gawlak SL, Marquardt H; Google Patents 1997.
- [122] Fang EF, Zhang CZY, Ng TB, et al. Momordica charantia lectin, a type II ribosome inactivating protein, exhibits antitumor activity toward human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Prevention Res 2012; 5: 109-21.
- [123] Gholizadeh A, Kumar M, Balasubrahmanyam A, et al. Antioxidant activity of antiviral proteins from Celosia cristata. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol 2004; 13: 13-8.
- [124] Begam M, Kumar S, Roy S, Campanella JJ, Kapoor H. Molecular cloning and functional identification of a ribosome inactivating/antiviral protein from leaves of post-flowering stage of Celosia cristata and its expression in E. coli. Phytochemistry 2006; 67: 2441-9.
- [125] Touloupakis E, Gessmann R, Kavelaki K, Christofakis E, Petratos K, Ghanotakis DF. Isolation, characterization, sequencing and crystal structure of charybdin, a type 1 ribosome-inactivating protein from Charybdis maritima agg. FEBS Journal 2006; 273: 2684-92.
- [126] Cho KJ, Kim YT. Purification and Characterization of an Antiviral Ribosome-inactivating Protein from Chenopodium album L. J Appl Biological Chem 2000; 43: 125-30.
- [127] Park JS, Hwang DJ, Lee SM, Kim YT, Choi SB, Cho KJ. Ribosome-inactivating activity and cDNA cloning of antiviral protein isoforms of Chenopodium album. Mol Cells 2004; 17: 73-80.
- [128] Ling J, Liu W-y, Wang T. Simultaneous existence of two types of ribosome-inactivating proteins in the seeds of Cinnamonum camphora — characterization of the enzymatic activities of these cytotoxic proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Protein Structure Mol Enzymol 1995; 1252: 15-22.

- [129] Li XD, Liu WY, Niu C-I. Purification of a new ribosomeinactivating protein from the seeds of Cinnamomum porrectum and characterization of the RNA N-glycosidase activity of the toxic protein. Biological Chem 1996; 377: 825-32.
- [130] Olivieri F, Prasad V, Valbonesi P, et al. A systemic antiviral resistance-inducing protein isolated from Clerodendrum inerme Gaertn. is a polynucleotide: adenosine glycosidase (ribosome-inactivating protein). FEBS letters 1996; 396: 132-4.
- [131] Prasad V, Srivastava S, Verma H. Two basic proteins isolated from Clerodendrum inerme Gaertn. are inducers of systemic antiviral resistance in susceptible plants. Plant Sci 1995; 110: 73-82.
- [132] Stirpe F, Pession-Brizzi A, Lorenzoni E, Strocchi P, Montanaro L, Sperti S. Studies on the proteins from the seeds of Croton tiglium and of Jatropha curcas. Toxic properties and inhibition of protein synthesis in vitro. Biochem J 1976; 156: 1-6.
- [133] Yamada T, Ohki ST, Osaki T. Cloning and analysis of a cDNA coding a putative ribosome-inactivating protein from Cucumis figarei. Plant Biotechnol 2000; 17: 337-40.
- [134] Ferreras JM, Merino MJ, Iglesias R, Munoz R, Girbes T. Isolation of a ribosome-inactivating type 1 protein from seeds of Cucumis melo. Biochem Int 1989; 19: 201-7.
- [135] Angeles Rojo M, Javier Arias F, Iglesias R, et al. Enzymic activity of melonin, a translational inhibitor present in dry seeds of Cucumis melo L. Plant science 1994; 103: 127-34.
- [136] Zhang D, Halaweish FT. Isolation and identification of foetidissimin: a novel ribosome-inactivating protein from Cucurbita Foetidissima. Plant Sci 2003; 164: 387-93.
- [137] Zhang D, Halaweish FT. Isolation and Characterization of Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins from Cucurbitaceae. Chem Biodiversity 2007; 4: 431-42.
- [138] Wang H, Ng T. Isolation of cucurmoschin, a novel antifungal peptide abundant in arginine, glutamate and glycine residues from black pumpkin seeds. Peptides 2003; 24: 969-72.
- [139] Ng T, Parkash A, Tso W. Purification and characterization of moschins, arginine-glutamate-rich proteins with translationinhibiting activity from brown pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata) seeds. Protein expression and purification 2002; 26: 9-13.
- [140] Heng Chuan X, Feng L, Zhen L. Purification and characterization of Moschatin, a novel type I ribosome-inactivating protein from the mature seeds of pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata), and preparation of its immunotoxin against human melanoma cells. Cell Res 2003; 13: 369-74.
- [141] Xie J, Que W, Chen M, et al. Antitumor effects of cucurmosin in human chronic myeloid leukemia occur through cell cycle arrest and decrease the Bcl-2/Bax ratio to induce apoptosis. Afr. J Pharm Pharmacol 2011; 5: 985-92.
- [142] Xie J, Que W, Liu H, Liu M, Yang A, Chen M. Anti-proliferative effects of cucurmosin on human hepatoma HepG2 cells. Mol Med Reports 2012; 5: 196-201.
- [143] Xiao-Mina H, Jie-Minge CM-HX, Li-Qingd PQC, Ming-Donga H. Crystallization and Preliminary Crystallographic Studies of Cucurmosin 2, a Ribosome-inactivating Protein from the Sarcocarp of Cucurbita moschata. JIEGOU HUAXUE 2009; 28.
- [144] Barbieri L, Polito L, Bolognesi A, et al. Ribosome-inactivating proteins in edible plants and purification and characterization of a new ribosome-inactivating protein from Cucurbita moschata. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects 2006; 1760: 783-92.
- [145] Yoshinari S, Yokota S, Sawamoto H, Koresawa S, Tamura M, Endo Y. Purification, Characterization and Subcellular Localization of a Type-1 Ribosome-Inactivating Protein from the Sarcocarp of Cucurbita pepo. Eur J Biochem 1996; 242: 585-91.
- [146] Prestle J, Hornung E, Schönfelder M, Mundry KW. Mechanism and site of action of a ribosome-inactivating protein type 1 from Dianthus barbatus which inactivates Escherichia coli ribosomes. FEBS letters 1992; 297: 250-2.
- [147] Falasca A, Gasperi-Campani A, Abbondanza A, Barbieri L, Stirpe F. Properties of the ribosome-inactivating proteins gelonin, Momordica charantia inhibitor, and dianthins. Biochem. J. 1982; 207: 505-9.
- [148] Stirpe F, Williams DG, Onyon LJ, Legg RF, Stevens WA. Dianthins, ribosome-damaging proteins with anti-viral properties from Dianthus caryophyllus L. (carnation). Biochem. J 1981; 195: 399-405.

- [149] Cho HJ, Lee SJ, Kim S, Kim BD. Isolation and characterization of cDNAs encoding ribosome inactivating protein from Dianthus sinensis L. Molecules and cells 2000; 10: 135-41.
- [150] Cammue B, Peeters B, Peumans W. Isolation and partial characterization of an N-acetylgalactosamine-specific lectin from winteraconite (Eranthis hyemalis) root tubers. Biochem J 1985; 227: 949-55
- [151] Kumar MA, Timm D, Neet K, Owen W, Peumans WJ, Rao AG. Characterization of the lectin from the bulbs of Eranthis hyemalis (winter aconite) as an inhibitor of protein synthesis. J Biological Chem 1993; 268: 25176-83.
- [152] Stirpe F, Olsnes S, Pihl A. Gelonin, a new inhibitor of protein synthesis, nontoxic to intact cells. J Biological Chem 1980; 255: 6947-53.
- [153] Lee-Huang S, Kung HF, Huang PL, et al. A new class of anti-HIV agents: GAP31, DAPs 30 and 32. FEBS letters 1991; 291: 139-44.
- [154] Zujian LYCGW, Qiying L, Lianhui X. Purification of a Novel Anti-TMV Protein from Gynostemma pentaphyllum and Sequence Analysis of Its Partial DNA Coding Region. J Agricultural Biotechnol 2003; 4: 8.
- [155] Yoshinari S, Koresawa S, Yokota S, Sawamoto H, Tamura M, Endo Y. Gypsophilin, a new type 1 ribosome-inactivating protein from Gypsophila elegans: purification, enzymatic characterization, and subcellular localization. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1997; 61: 324-31.
- [156] Asano K, Svensson B, Poulsen FM. Isolation and characterization of inhibitors of animal cell-free protein synthesis from barley seeds. Carlsberg Res Communicat 1984; 49: 619-26.
- [157] Ebert RF, Spryn LA. Immunotoxin construction with a ribosomeinactivating protein from barley. Bioconjugate Chem 1990; 1: 331-36.
- [158] Chaudhry B, Müller-Uri F, Cameron-Mills V, et al. The barley 60 kDa jasmonate-induced protein (JIP60) is a novel ribosomeinactivating protein. Plant J 1994; 6: 815-24.
- [159] Hao Q, Van Damme EJ, Hause B, et al. Iris bulbs express type 1 and type 2 ribosome-inactivating proteins with unusual properties. Plant Physiol 2001; 125: 866-76.
- [160] Damme E, Barre A, Barbieri L, et al. Type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins are the most abundant proteins in iris (Iris hollandica var. Professor Blaauw) bulbs: characterization and molecular cloning. Biochem J 1997; 324: 963-70.
- [161] Lin J, Chen Y, Xu Y, Yan F, Tang L, Chen F. Cloning and expression of curcin, a ribosome-inactivating protein from the seeds of Jatropha curcas. Acta Botanica Sinica 2003; 47.
- [162] Lin J, Zhou X, Wang J, Jiang P, Tang K. Purification and characterization of curcin, a toxic lectin from the seed of Jatropha curcas. Preparative biochem Biotechnol 2010; 40: 107-18.
- [163] Nuchsuk C, Wetprasit N, Roytrakul S, et al. Bioactivities of Jc-SCRIP, a Type 1 Ribosome-Inactivating Protein from Jatropha curcas Seed Coat. Chem Biol Drug Des 2013; 82: 453-62.
- [164] Wang HX, Ng TB. Lagenin, a novel ribosome-inactivating protein with ribonucleolytic activity from bottle gourd (Lagenaria siceraria) seeds. Life Sciences 2000; 67: 2631-8.
- [165] Lin J, Chen M, Xie J, *et al.* Purification and characterization of two luffaculins, ribosome-inactivating proteins from seeds of Luffa acutangula. Chinese J biochemistry and molecular biology 2002; 18: 609-13.
- [166] Wang H, Ng TB. Luffangulin, a novel ribosome inactivating peptide from ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula) seeds. Life Sci 2002; 70: 899-906.
- [167] Ng TB, Chan WY, Yeung HW. The ribosome-inactivating, antiproliferative and teratogenic activities and immunoreactivities of a protein from seeds of Luffa aegyptiaca (Cucurbitaceae). General Pharmacology: The Vascular System 1993; 24: 655-8.
- [168] Ramakrishnan S, Enghlid JJ, Bryant Jr HL, Xu FJ. Characterization of a translation inhibitory protein from Luffa aegyptiaca. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 1989; 160: 509-16.
- [169] Parkash A, Ng TB, Tso WW. Isolation and characterization of luffacylin, a ribosome inactivating peptide with anti-fungal activity from sponge gourd (Luffa cylindrica) seeds. Peptides 2002; 23: 1019-24.
- [170] Kamenosono M, Nishida H, Funatsu G. Isolation and Characterization of Two Luffins, Protein-biosynthesis Inhibitory Proteins from the Seeds of Luffa cylindrica. Agricultural and biological chemistry 1988; 52: 1223-7.

- [171] Islam MR, Nishida H, Funatsu G. Complete amino acid sequence of luffin-a, a ribosome-inactivating protein from the seeds of sponge gourd (Luffa cylindrica). Agric Biol Chem 1990; 54: 2967-78.
- [172] Li F, Yang XX, Xia HC, et al. Purification and characterization of Luffin P1, a ribosome-inactivating peptide from the seeds of Luffa cylindrica. Peptides 2003; 24: 799-805.
- [173] Gao W, Ling J, Zhong X, et al. Luffin-S—a small novel ribosomeinactivating protein from Luffa cylindrica: Characterization and mechanism studies. FEBS letters 1994; 347: 257-60.
- [174] Chambery A, de Donato A, Bolognesi A, Polito L, Stirpe F, Parente A. Sequence determination of lychnin, a type 1 ribosomeinactivating protein from Lychnis chalcedonica seeds. Biological Chem 2006; 387: 1261-6.
- [175] Yuan Y, Dai X, Wang D, Zeng X. Purification, characterization and cytotoxicity of malanin, a novel plant toxin from the seeds of Malania oleifera. Toxicon 2009; 54: 121-7.
- [176] Barbieri L, Battelli MG, Stirpe F. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Rev Biomembranes 1993; 1154: 237-82.
- [177] Shih N-JR, McDonald KA, Girbes T, Iglesias R, Kohlhoff AJ, Jackman AP. Ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) of wild Oregon cucumber (Marah oreganus). Biological Chem 1998; 379: 721-6.
- [178] Rippmann J, Michalowski C, Nelson D, Bohnert H. Induction of a ribosome-inactivating protein upon environmental stress. Plant Mol Biol 1997; 35: 701-9.
- [179] Vivanco JM, Savary BJ, Flores HE. Characterization of Two Novel Type I Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins from the Storage Roots of the Andean Crop Mirabilis expansa. Plant Physiol 1999; 119: 1447-56.
- [180] Bolognesi A, Polito L, Lubelli C, Barbieri L, Parente A, Stirpe F. Ribosome-inactivating and Adenine Polynucleotide Glycosylase Activities in Mirabilis jalapa L. Tissues. J Biological Chem 2002; 277: 13709-16.
- [181] Kushwaha GS, Pandey N, Sinha M, et al. Crystal structures of a type-1 ribosome inactivating protein from Momordica balsamina in the bound and unbound states. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins Proteomics 2012; 1824: 679-91.
- [182] Ortigao M, Better M. Momordin II, a ribosome inactivating protein from Momordica balsamina, is homologous to other plant proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 1992; 20: 4662.
- [183] Kaur I, Yadav S, Hariprasad G, *et al.* Balsamin, a novel ribosomeinactivating protein from the seeds of Balsam apple Momordica balsamina. Amino Acids 2012; 43: 973-81.
- [184] Barbieri L, Zamboni M, Lorenzoni E, Montanaro L, Sperti S, Stirpe F. Inhibition of protein synthesis in vitro by proteins from the seeds of Momordica charantia (bitter pear melon). Biochem. J 1980; 186: 443-52.
- [185] Ho WKK, Liu SC, Shaw PC, Yeung HW, Ng TB, Chan WY. Cloning of the cDNA of α-momorcharin: a ribosome inactivating protein. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure Expression 1991; 1088: 311-4.
- [186] Zhu F, Zhang P, Meng YF, et al. Alpha-momorcharin, a RIP produced by bitter melon, enhances defense response in tobacco plants against diverse plant viruses and shows antifungal activity in vitro. Planta 2013; 237: 77-88.
- [187] Yeung HW, Li WW, Chan WY, Law LK, Ng TB. Alpha and beta momorcharins. International J Peptide and Protein Research 1986; 28: 518-24.
- [188] Yeung HW, Ng TB, Li WW, Cheung WK. Partial Chemical Characterization of alpha- and beta-Momorcharins. Planta Med 1987; 53: 164-6.
- [189] Pu Z, Lu BY, Liu WY, Jin SW. Characterization of the Enzymatic Mechanism of γ-Momorcharin, a Novel Ribosome-Inactivating Protein with Lower Molecular Weight of 11, 500 Purified from the Seeds of Bitter Gourd (Momordica charantia). Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 1996; 229: 287-94.
- [190] Tse PMF, Ng TB, Fong WP, et al. New ribosome-inactivating proteins from seeds and fruits of the bitter gourd Momordica charantia. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 1999; 31: 895-901.
- [191] Parkash A, Ng TB, Tso WW. Purification and characterization of charantin, a napin-like ribosome-inactivating peptide from bitter gourd (Momordica charantia) seeds. J Peptide Res 2002; 59: 197-202.

- [192] Husain J, Tickle IJ, Wood SP. Crystal structure of momordin, a type I Ribosome Inactivating Protein from the seeds of Momordica charantia. FEBS letters 1994; 342: 154-8.
- [193] Bolognesi A, Barbieri L, Carnicelli D, et al. Purification and properties of a new ribosome-inactivation protein with RNA Nglycosidase activity suitable for immunotoxin preparation from the seeds of Momordica cochinchinensis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects 1989; 993: 287-92.
- [194] Yeung HW, Ng TB, Wong NS, Li WW. Isolation and characterization of an abortifacient protein, momorcochin, from root tubers of Momordica cochinchinensis (Family Cucurbitaceae). International J Peptide and Protein Research 1987; 30: 135-40.
- [195] Chuethong J, Oda K, Sakurai H, Saiki I, Leelamanit W. Cochinin B, a Novel Ribosome-Inactivating Protein from the Seeds of Momordica cochinchinensis. Biological Pharm Bulletin 2007; 30: 428-32
- [196] Beg I, Ahmad F, Islam A. Interdisciplinary sciences biophysical characterization of cochinin B, A ribosome inactivating protein. J Natl Sci, Biol Med 2011; 2: 109.
- [197] Tsang KY, Ng TB. Isolation and characterization of a new ribosome inactivating protein, momorgrosvin, from seeds of the monk's fruit Momordica grosvenorii. Life Sci 2001; 68: 773-84.
- [198] Arias FJ, Antolin P, de Torre C, et al. Musarmins: three singlechain ribosome-inactivating protein isoforms from bulbs of Muscari armeniacum L. and Miller. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2003; 35: 61-78.
- [199] Sharma N, Park SW, Vepachedu R, et al. Isolation and Characterization of an RIP (Ribosome-Inactivating Protein)-Like Protein from Tobacco with Dual Enzymatic Activity. Plant Physiol 2004; 134: 171-81.
- [200] Li Y, Jia Y, Zhang Z, et al. Purification and Characterization of a New Ribosome Inactivating Protein from Cinchonaglycoside Ctreated Tobacco Leaves. J Integrative Plant Biology 2007; 49: 1327-33.
- [201] Jiang SY, Ramamoorthy R, Bhalla R, et al. Genome-wide survey of the RIP domain family in Oryza sativa and their expression profiles under various abiotic and biotic stresses. Plant Molecular Biology 2008; 67: 603-14.
- [202] Salehzadeh A, Arasteh A, Shafighi T, Ranjee N. Isolation and sequencing of ribosome inactivating protein gene from Iranian rice (Oryza sativa). African J Agricultural Res 2011; 6: 4941-6.
- [203] Ng TB, Wang H. Panaxagin, a new protein from Chinese ginseng possesses anti-fungal, anti-viral, translation-inhibiting and ribonuclease activities. Life Sci 2001; 68: 739-49.
- [204] Wang HX, Ng TB. Quinqueginsin, a Novel Protein with Anti-Human Immunodeficiency Virus, Antifungal, Ribonuclease and Cell-Free Translation-Inhibitory Activities from American Ginseng Roots. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 2000; 269: 203-8.
- [205] Arias FJ, Rojo MA, Ferreras JM, et al. Isolation and characterization of two new N-glycosidase type-1 ribosome-inactivating proteins, unrelated in amino-acid sequence, fromPetrocoptis species. Planta 1994; 194: 487-91.
- [206] Arias FJ, Rojo MA, Ferreras JM, et al. Isolation and partial characterization of a new ribosome-inactivating protein from Petrocoptis glaucifolia (Lag.) Boiss. Planta 1992; 186: 532-40.
- [207] Endo Y, Oka T, Tsurugi K, Franz H. The mechanism of action of the cytotoxic lectin from Phoradendron californicum: The RNA Nglycosidase activity of the protein. FEBS letters 1989; 248: 115-8.
- [208] Irvin JD. Purification and partial characterization of the antiviral protein from Phytolacca americana which inhibits eukaryotic protein synthesis. Archives Biochem Biophys 1975; 169: 522-8.
- [209] Irvin JD, Kelly T, Robertus JD. Purification and properties of a second antiviral protein from Phytolacca americana which inactivates eukaryotic ribosomes. Archives Biochem Biophysics 1980; 200: 418-25.
- [210] Kurinov IV, Uckun FM. High resolution X-ray structure of potent anti-HIV pokeweed antiviral protein-III. Biochem Pharmacol 2003; 65: 1709-17.
- [211] Rajamohan F, Venkatachalam TK, Irvin JD, Uckun FM. Pokeweed Antiviral Protein Isoforms PAP-I, PAP-II, and PAP-III Depurinate RNA of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)-1. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 1999; 260: 453-8.
- [212] Barbieri L, Aron GM, Irvin JD, Stirpe F. Purification and partial characterization of another form of the antiviral protein from the seeds of Phytolacca americana L. (pokeweed). Biochem J 1982; 203: 55-9.

Current Pharmaceutical Design, 2014, Vol. 20, No. 42 6629

- [213] Barbieri L, Bolognesi A, Cenini P, et al. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plant cells in culture. Biochem J 1989; 257: 801-7.
- [214] Park S-W, Lawrence CB, Linden JC, Vivanco JM. Isolation and Characterization of a Novel Ribosome-Inactivating Protein from Root Cultures of Pokeweed and Its Mechanism of Secretion from Roots. Plant Physiol 2002; 130: 164-78.
- [215] Parente A, De Luca P, Bolognesi A, et al. Purification and partial characterization of single-chain ribosome-inactivating proteins from the seeds of Phytolacca dioica L. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression 1993; 1216: 43-9.
- [216] Del Vecchio Blanco F, Bolognesi A, et al. Complete amino-acid sequence of PD-S2, a new ribosome-inactivating protein from seeds of Phytolacca dioica L. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure Mol Enzymol 1997; 1338: 137-44.
- [217] Di Maro A, Valbonesi P, Bolognesi A, et al. Isolation and characterization of four type-1 ribosome-inactivating proteins, with polynucleotide: adenosine glycosidase activity, from leaves of Phytolacca dioica L. Planta 1999; 208: 125-31.
- [218] Di Maro A, Chambery A, Carafa V, Costantini S, Colonna G, Parente A. Structural characterization and comparative modeling of PD-Ls 1-3, type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins from summer leaves of Phytolacca dioica L. Biochimie 2009; 91: 352-63.
- [219] Parente A, Conforto B, Maro A, et al. Type 1 ribosomeinactivating proteins from Phytolacca dioica L. leaves: differential seasonal and age expression, and cellular localization. Planta 2008; 228: 963-75.
- [220] Parente A, Berisio R, Chambery A, Maro A. In *Toxic Plant Proteins*, J Michael Lord Martin R. Hartley, eds.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg 2010; 18: 79-106.
- [221] Ready MP, Adams RP, Robertus JD. Dodecandrin, a new ribosome-inhibiting protein from Phytolacca dodecandra. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology 1984; 791: 314-9.
- [222] Di Maro A, Chambery A, Daniele A, Casoria P, Parente A. Isolation and characterization of heterotepalins, type 1 ribosomeinactivating proteins from Phytolacca heterotepala leaves. Phytochemistry 2007; 68: 767-76.
- [223] Moon YH, Song SK, Choi KW, Lee JS. Expression of a cDNA encoding Phytolacca insularis antiviral protein confers virus resistance on transgenic potato plants. Mol Cells 1997; 7: 807-15.
- [224] Song SK, Choi Y, Moon Y, Kim SG, Choi Y, Lee J. Systemic induction of a Phytolacca insularis antiviral protein gene by mechanical wounding, jasmonic acid, and abscisic acid. Plant Molecular Biology 2000; 43: 439-50.
- [225] Lam SSL, Wang H, Ng TB. Purification and Characterization of Novel Ribosome Inactivating Proteins, Alpha- and Beta-Pisavins, from Seeds of the Garden Pea Pisum Sativum. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 1998; 253: 135-42.
- [226] Ye XY, Wang HX, Ng TB. Sativin: A novel antifungal miraculinlike protein isolated from legumes of the sugar snap Pisum sativum var. macrocarpon. Life Sci 2000; 67: 775-81.
- [227] Van Damme EJM, Hao Q, Charels D, et al. Characterization and molecular cloning of two different type 2 ribosome-inactivating proteins from the monocotyledonous plant Polygonatum multiflorum. Eur J Biochem 2000; 267: 2746-59.
- [228] Olsnes S, Pihl A. Different biological properties of the two constituent peptide chains of ricin a toxic protein inhibiting protein synthesis. Biochemistry 1973; 12: 3121-6.
- [229] Cawley DB, Hedblom ML, Houston LL. Homology between ricin and Ricinus communie agglutinin: Amino terminal sequence analysis and protein synthesis inhibition studies. Archives Biochem Biophys 1978; 190: 744-55.
- [230] Ishiguro M, Takahashi T, Hayashi K, Funatsu M. Biochemical Studies on Ricin: II. Molecular Weight and Some Physicochemical Properties of Crystalline Ricin D. J Biochem 1964; 56: 325-7.
- [231] Mise T, Funatsu G, Ishiguro M, Funatsu M. Isolation and characterization of ricin E from castor beans. Agricultural Biological Chem 1977; 41: 2041-6.
- [232] Helmy M, Piéroni G. RCA60: Purification and Characterization of Ricin D Isoforms from Ricinus sanguineus. J Plant Physiol 2000; 156: 477-82.
- [233] Wantyghem J, Turpin E, Neel D, Goussault Y, Bourrillon R. Interaction between the Ricinus sanguineus agglutinin and receptor sites isolated from normal human lymphocytes. Biochimie 1979; 61: 17-22.

- [234] Citores L, De Benito FM, Iglesias R, et al. Characterization of a new non-toxic two-chain ribosome-inactivating protein and a structurally-related lectin from rhizomes of dwarf elder (Sambucus ebulus L.). Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 1997; 43: 485-99.
- [235] Girbés T, Citores L, Iglesias R, et al. Ebulin 1, a nontoxic novel type 2 ribosome-inactivating protein from Sambucus ebulus L. leaves. J Biological Chem 1993; 268: 18195-9.
- [236] de Benito FM, Citores L, Iglesias R, et al. Ebulitins: A new family of type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins (rRNA N-glycosidases) from leaves of Sambucus ebulus L. that coexist with the type 2 ribosome-inactivating protein ebulin 1. FEBS letters 1995; 360: 299-302.
- [237] de Benito FM, Citores La, Iglesias R, *et al.* Isolation and partial characterization of a novel and uncommon two-chain 64-kDa ribosome-inactivating protein from the bark of elder (Sambucus nigra L.). FEBS letters 1997; 413: 85-91.
- [238] Girbés T, Citores L, Miguel Ferreras J, et al. Isolation and partial characterization of nigrin b, a non-toxic novel type 2 ribosomeinactivating protein from the bark of Sambucus nigra L. Plant Mol Biol 1993; 22: 1181-6.
- [239] de Benito FM, Iglesias R, Ferreras JM, et al. Constitutive and inducible type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) in elderberry (Sambucus nigra L.). FEBS letters 1998; 428: 75-9.
- [240] Van Damme EJM, Barre A, Rougé P, Van Leuven F, Peumans WJ. The NeuAc(α-2, 6)-Gal/GalNAc-Binding Lectin from Elderberry (Sambucus Nigra) Bark, a type-2 Ribosome-Inactivating Protein with an Unusual Specificity and Structure. Eur J Biochem 1996; 235: 128-37.
- [241] Van Damme EJM, Barre A, Rougé P, Van Leuven F, Peumans WJ. Isolation and Molecular Cloning of a Novel Type 2 Ribosomeinactivating Protein with an Inactive B Chain from Elderberry (Sambucus nigra) Bark. J Biological Chem 1997; 272: 8353-60.
- [242] Ferreras JM, Citores L, Iglesias R, Jiménez P, Girbés T. Use of ribosome-inactivating proteins from Sambucus for the construction of immunotoxins and conjugates for cancer therapy. Toxins 2011; 3: 420-41.
- [243] Rojo MA, Yato M, Ishii-Minami N, et al. Isolation, cDNA Cloning, Biological Properties, and Carbohydrate Binding Specificity of Sieboldin-b, a Type II Ribosome-Inactivating Protein from the Bark of Japanese Elderberry (Sambucus sieboldiana). Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 1997; 340: 185-94.
- [244] Bolognesi A, Olivieri F, Battelli MG, et al. Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins (RNA N-glycosidases) from the Seeds of Saponaria ocymoides and Vaccaria pyramidata. Eur J Biochem 1995; 228: 935-40.
- [245] Benatti L, Saccardo MB, Dani M, et al. Nucleotide sequence of cDNA coding for saporin-6, a type-1 ribosome-inactivating protein from Saponaria officinalis. Eur J Biochem 1989; 183: 465-70.
- [246] Ferreras J, Barbieri L, Girbés T, et al. Distribution and properties of major ribosome-inactivating proteins (28 S rRNA Nglycosidases) of the plant Saponaria officinalis L. (Caryophyllaceae). Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression 1993; 1216: 31-42.
- [247] Coleman WH, Roberts WK. Inhibitors of animal cell-free protein synthesis from grains. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Gene Structure and Expression 1982; 696: 239-44.
- [248] Wu TH, Chow LP, Lin JY. Sechiumin, a ribosome-inactivating protein from the edible gourd, Sechium edule Swartz. Eur J Biochem 1998; 255: 400-8.
- [249] Kawade K, Ishizaki T, Masuda K. Differential expression of ribosome-inactivating protein genes during somatic embryogenesis in spinach (Spinacia oleracea). Physiologia Plantarum 2008; 134: 270-81.
- [250] Stirpe F. Ribosome-inactivating proteins. Toxicon 2004; 44: 371-383.
- [251] Zheng YH, Zhao HG, Shan Y, Zhou JJ, He Sl. Cloning and Sequence Analysis of Ribosome Inactivating Protein Gene from Stellaria media. Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica 2010; 1: 4.
- [252] Chow LP, Chou MH, Ho CY, et al. Purification, characterization and molecular cloning of trichoanguin, a novel type I ribosomeinactivating protein from the seeds of Trichosanthes anguina. Biochem J 1999; 338: 211-9.
- [253] Padma P, Komath SS, Nadimpalli SK, Swamy MJ. Purification in high yield and characterisation of a new galactose-specific lectin from the seeds of Trichosanthes cucumerina. Phytochemistry 1999; 50: 363-71.

- [254] No TB, Feng Z, Li WW, Yeung HW. Improved isolation and further characterization of beta-trichosanthin, a ribosome-inactivating and abortifacient protein from tubers of trichosanthes cucumeroides (cucurbitaceae). International J Biochemistry 1987; 23: 561-7.
- [255] Sultan NAM, Kenoth R, Swamy MJ. Purification, physicochemical characterization, saccharide specificity, and chemical modification of a Gal/GalNAc specific lectin from the seeds of Trichosanthes dioica. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 2004; 432: 212-21.
- [256] Wong RNS, Dong TX, Ng TB, Choi WT, Yeung HW. α-Kirilowin, a novel ribosome-inactivating protein from seeds of Trichosanthes kirilowii (family Cucurbitaceae): a comparison with β-kirilowin and other related proteins. International J Peptide and Protein Research 1996; 47: 103-9.
- [257] Dong TX, Ng TB, Yeung HW, Wong RNS. Isolation and Characterization of a Novel Ribosome-Inactivating Protein, β-Kirilowin, from the Seeds of Trichosanthes kirilowii. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 1994; 199: 387-93.
- [258] Maraganore JM, Joseph M, Bailey MC. Purification and characterization of trichosanthin. Homology to the ricin A chain and implications as to mechanism of abortifacient activity. J Biological Chem 1987; 262: 11628-33.
- [259] Lee-Huang S, Huang PL, Kung HF, et al. TAP 29: an anti-human immunodeficiency virus protein from Trichosanthes kirilowii that is nontoxic to intact cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1991; 88: 6570-4.
- [260] Jin SW, Xiang BP, Cao BX, Wang Y. Trichobitacin a new ribosome-inactivating protein I. The isolation, physicochemical and biological properties of trichobitacin. Chinese J Chemistry 1997; 15: 160-8.
- [261] YongTang Z, KunLong B, ShanWei J. Anti-HIV-1 activity of trichobitacin, a novel ribosome-inactivating protein. Acta Pharmacologica Sinica 2000; 21: 179-82.
- [262] Tai NW, Li F, Li Z, Zhuang DH, Zhang ZC. Purification and Partial Characterization of S-trichokirin, A New Small Ribosomeinactivating Protein, from Seeds of Trichosanthes kirilowii. Sheng Wu Hua Xue Yu Sheng Wu Wu Li Xue Bao (Shanghai) 2000; 32: 495-8.
- [263] Li F, Yang XX, Hu WG, Xia HC, Li Z, Zhang ZC. Purification and characterization of trichokirin-S1, a novel ribosome-inactivating peptide from seeds of Trichosanthes kirilowii. Acta Biochimica Et Biophysica Sinica 2003; 9: 10.
- [264] Collins EJ, Robertus JD, LoPresti M, et al. Primary amino acid sequence of alpha-trichosanthin and molecular models for abrin Achain and alpha-trichosanthin. J Biological Chem 1990; 265: 8665-9.
- [265] Toyokawa S, Takeda T, Ogihara Y. Isolation and characterization of a new abortifacient protein, karasurin, from root tubers of Trichosanthes kirilowii Max. var. japonicum Kitam. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 1991; 39: 716-9.
- [266] Toyokawa S, Takeda T, Kato Y, Wakabayashi K, Ogihara Y. The complete amino acid sequence of an abortifacient protein, karasurin. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 1991; 39: 1244-9.
- [267] Kondo T, Mizukami H, Takeda T, Ogihara Y. Amino acid sequences and ribosome-inactivating activities of karasurin-B and karasurin-C. Biological & pharmaceutical bulletin 1996; 19: 1485-9.
- [268] Shu SH, Xie GZ, Guo XI, Wang M. Purification and characterization of a novel ribosome-inactivating protein from seeds of Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim. Protein expression and purification 2009; 67: 120-5.
- [269] Mi SL, An CC, Wang Y, et al. Trichomislin, a novel ribosomeinactivating protein, induces apoptosis that involves mitochondria and caspase-3. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 2005; 434: 258-65.
- [270] Casellas P, Dussossoy D, Falasca AI, et al. Trichokirin, a ribosome-inactivating protein from the seeds of Trichosanthes kirilowii Maximowicz. Eur J Biochem 1988; 176: 581-8.
- [271] Chen R, Xu YZ, Wu J, et al. Purification and characterization of trichomaglin - A novel ribosome-inactivating protein with abortifacient activity. IUBMB Life 1999; 47: 185-93.
- [272] Chi PV, Truong HQ, Ha NT, Chung WI, Binh LT. Characterization of trichobakin, a type I ribosome-inactivating protein from Trichosanthes sp. Bac Kan 8-982001; 34: 85-92.
- [273] Habuka N, Kataoka J, Miyano M, Tsuge H, Ago H, Noma M. Nucleotide sequence of a genomic gene encoding tritin, a ribo-

some-inactivating protein from Triticum aestivum. Plant Molecular Biology 1993; 22: 171-6.

- [274] Olsnes S, Stirpe F, Sandvig K, Pihl A. Isolation and characterization of viscumin, a toxic lectin from Viscum album L. (mistletoe). J Biological Chem 1982; 257: 13263-70.
- [275] Das MK, Sharma RS, Mishra V. A cytotoxic type-2 ribosome inactivating protein (from leafless mistletoe) lacking sugar binding activity. International J Biological Macromolecules 2011; 49: 1096-103.
- [276] Voss C, Eyol E, Frank M, von der Lieth CW, Berger MR. Identification and characterization of riproximin, a new type II ribosomeinactivating protein with antineoplastic activity from Ximenia americana. The FASEB Journal 2006; 20: 1194-6.
- [277] Fonzo N, Hartings H, Brembilla M, et al. The b-32 protein from maize endosperm, an albumin regulated by the O2 locus: Nucleic acid (cDNA) and amino acid sequences. Molecular and General Genetics MGG 1988; 212: 481-7.
- [278] Walsh TA, Morgan AE, Hey TD. Characterization and molecular cloning of a proenzyme form of a ribosome-inactivating protein from maize. Novel mechanism of proenzyme activation by proteolytic removal of a 2.8-kilodalton internal peptide segment. J Biological Chem 1991; 266: 23422-7.
- [279] Godal A, Fodstad Ø, Pihl A. Studies on the Mechanism of Action of Abrin-9.2.27 Immunotoxin in Human Melanoma Cell Lines. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 6243-7.
- [280] Sivam G, Pearson JW, Bohn W, Oldham RK, Sadoff JC, Morgan AC. Immunotoxins to a Human Melanoma-associated Antigen: Comparison of Gelonin with Ricin and Other A Chain Conjugates. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 3169-73.
- [281] Godal A, Kumle B, Pihl A, Juell S, Fodstad Ø. Immunotoxins directed against the high-molecular-weight melanoma-associated antigen. Identification of potent antibody-toxin combinations. Int J Cancer 1992; 52: 631-5.
- [282] Skilleter DN, Price RJ, Parnell GD, Cumber AJ. The low uptake of an abrin A-chain immunotoxin by rat hepatic cells in vivo and in vitro. Cancer Letters 1989; 46: 161-6.
- [283] Forrester J, McIntosh D, Cumber A, Parnell G, Ross W. Delivery of ricin and abrin A-chains to human carcinoma cells in culture following covalent linkage to monoclonal antibody LICR-LOND-Fib 75. Cancer drug delivery 1984; 1: 283-92.
- [284] Wawrzynczak EJ, Cumber AJ, Henry RV, et al. Pharmacokinetics in the Rat of a Panel of Immunotoxins Made with Abrin A Chain, Ricin A Chain, Gelonin, and Momordin. Cancer Res 1990; 50: 7519-26.
- [285] Tsai LC, Chen YL, Lee C, *et al.* Growth suppression of human colorectal carcinoma in nude mice by monoclonal antibody C27abrin A chain conjugate. Diseases of the Colon & Rectum 1995; 38: 1067-74.
- [286] Thorpe PE, Blakey DC, Brown ANF, et al. Comparison of Two Anti-Thy 1.1-Abrin A-Chain Immunotoxins Prepared With Different Cross-Linking Agents: Antitumor Effects, In Vivo Fate, and Tumor Cell Mutants. J the National Cancer Institute 1987; 79: 1101-12.
- [287] Hwang KM, Foon KA, Cheung PH, Pearson JW, Oldham RK. Selective Antitumor Effect on L10 Hepatocarcinoma Cells of a Potent Immunoconjugate Composed of the A Chain of Abrin and a Monoclonal Antibody to a Hepatoma-associated Antigen. Cancer Res 1984; 44: 4578-86.
- [288] Santana JM, Teixeira AR. Effect of immunotoxins against Trypanosoma cruzi. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1989; 41: 177-82.
- [289] Gadadhar S, Karande AA. Abrin Immunotoxin: Targeted Cytotoxicity and Intracellular Trafficking Pathway. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e58304.
- [290] Wawrzynczak EJ, Zangemeister-Wittke U, Waibel R, et al. Molecular and biological properties of an abrin A chain immunotoxin designed for therapy of human small cell lung cancer. Br J Cancer 1992; 66: 361-6.
- [291] Smagur A, Boyko MM, Biront NV, Cichon T, Szala S. Chimeric protein ABRaA-VEGF121 is cytotoxic towards VEGFR-2expressing PAE cells and inhibits B16-F10 melanoma growth. Acta Biochim Pol 2009; 56: 115-24.
- [292] Hall WA, Godal A, Juell S, Fodstad Ø. In vitro efficacy of transferrin-toxin conjugates against glioblastoma multiforme. J neurosurgery 1992; 76: 838-44.
- [293] Bernhard SL, Better M, Fishwild DM, *et al.* Cysteine Analogs of Recombinant Barley Ribosome Inactivating Protein Form Antibody

Conjugates with Enhanced Stability and Potency in vitro. Bioconjugate Chem 1994; 5: 126-32.

- [294] Ovadia M, Hager CC, Oeltmann TN. An antimelanoma-barley ribosome inactivating protein conjugate is cytotoxic to melanoma cells in vitro. Anticancer Res 1990; 10: 671-5.
- [295] Bolognesi A, Polito L, Tazzari PL, et al. In vitro anti-tumour activity of anti-CD80 and anti-CD86 immunotoxins containing type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins. Br J Haematol 2000; 110: 351-61.
- [296] Entwistle J, Kowalski M, Brown J, Cizeau J, MacDonald G. In Antibody-Drug Conjugates and Immunotoxins, Gail Lewis Phillips, ed.; Springer New York 2013; pp: 349-67.
- [297] Entwistle J, Brown JG, Chooniedass S, Cizeau J, MacDonald GC. Preclinical evaluation of VB6-845: an anti-EpCAM immunotoxin with reduced immunogenic potential. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 2012; 27: 582-92.
- [298] Stirpe F, Wawrzynczak EJ, Brown AN, et al. Selective cytotoxic activity of immunotoxins composed of a monoclonal anti-Thy 1.1 antibody and the ribosome-inactivating proteins bryodin and momordin. Br J Cancer 1988; 58: 558-61.
- [299] Francisco JA, Gawlak SL, Siegall CB. Construction, Expression, and Characterization of BD1-G28-5 sFv, a Single-chain Anti-CD40 Immunotoxin Containing the Ribosome-inactivating Protein Bryodin 1. J Biological Chem 1997; 272: 24165-9.
- [300] Francisco JA, Gawlak SL, Miller M, et al. Expression and Characterization of Bryodin 1 and a Bryodin 1-Based Single-Chain Immunotoxin from Tobacco Cell Culture. Bioconjugate Chem 1997; 8: 708-13.
- [301] Siegall CB, Gawlak SL, Chace D, Wolff EA, Mixan B, Marquardt H. Characterization of ribosome-inactivating proteins isolated from Bryonia dioica and their utility as carcinoma-reactive immunoconjugates. Bioconjugate Chem 1994; 5: 423-9.
- [302] Dosio F, Brusa P, Crosasso P, Fruttero C, Cattel L, Bolognesi A. Synthesis of different immunotoxins composed by ribosome inactivating proteins non-covalently bound to monoclonal antibody. Il Farmaco 1996; 51: 477-82.
- [303] Bolognesi A, Tazzari PL, Tassi C, Gromo G, Gobbi M, Stirpe F. A comparison of anti-lymphocyte immunotoxins containing different ribosome-inactivating proteins and antibodies. Clin Exp Immunol 1992; 89: 341-6.
- [304] Frankel AE, Neville DM, Bugge TA, Kreitman RJ, Leppla SH. Immunotoxin therapy of hematologic malignancies. Seminars Oncol 2003; 30: 545-57.
- [305] Zheng Q, Xiong YL, Su Z, et al. Expression of curcin-transferrin receptor binding peptide fusion protein and its anti-tumor activity. Protein Expression and Purification 2013; 89: 181-8.
- [306] Bolognesi A, Tazzari P, Legname G, Olivieri F, Modena D, Conte R, Stirpe F. Anti-CD30 immunotoxins with native and recombinant dianthin 30. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1995; 40: 109-14.
- [307] Barbieri L, Bolognesi A, Valbonesi P, Polito L, Olivieri F, Stirpe F. Polynucleotide: Adenosine Glycosidase Activity of Immunotoxins Containing Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins. J Drug Targeting 2000; 8: 281-8.
- [308] Gilabert-Oriol R, Thakur M, Weise C, et al. Small structural differences of targeted anti-tumor toxins result in strong variation of protein expression. Protein Expression and Purification 2013; 91: 54-60.
- [309] Lorenzetti I, Meneguzzi A, Fracasso G, et al. Genetic grafting of membrane-acting peptides to the cytotoxin dianthin augments its ability to de-stabilize lipid bilayers and enhances its cytotoxic potential as the component of transferrin-toxin conjugates. Int J Cancer 2000; 86: 582-9.
- [310] Citores La, Miguel Ferreras J, Muñoz R, Benítez J, Jiménez P, Girbés T. Targeting cancer cells with transferrin conjugates containing the non-toxic type 2 ribosome-inactivating proteins nigrin b or ebulin l. Cancer Letters 2002; 184: 29-35.
- [311] Benítez J, Ferreras JM, Muñoz R, et al. Cytotoxicity of an ebulin lanti-human CD105 immunotoxin on mouse fibroblasts (L929) and rat myoblasts (L6E9) cells expressing human CD105. Med Chem 2005; 1: 65-70.
- [312] Cumber AJ, Henry RV, Parnell GD, Wawrzynczak EJ. Purification of immunotoxins containing the ribosome-inactivating proteins gelonin and momordin using high performance liquid immunoaffinity chromatography compared with Blue Sepharose CL-6B affinity chromatography. J Immunological Methods 1990; 135: 15-24.

- [313] Otten H, de Gast G, Vooijs W, et al. Preclinical evaluation of anti-CD86 immunotoxin in rhesus monkeys: analysis of systemic toxicity, pharmacokinetics, and effect on primary T-cell responses. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 2003; 52: 569-75.
- [314] Lambert JM, Senter PD, Yau-Young A, Blättler WA, Goldmacher VS. Purified immunotoxins that are reactive with human lymphoid cells. Monoclonal antibodies conjugated to the ribosomeinactivating proteins gelonin and the pokeweed antiviral proteins. J Biological Chem 1985; 260: 12035-41.
- [315] Harris P, Reed E, West King D, Suciu-Foca N. In vitro studies of the effect of MAb NDA 4 linked to toxin on the proliferation of a human EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid B cell line and of gibbon MLA leukemia cell line. Cellular Immunology 1991; 134: 85-95.
- [316] Scott CF, Goldmacher VS, Lambert JM, Jackson JV, McIntyre GD. An Immunotoxin Composed of a Monoclonal Antitransferrin Receptor Antibody Linked by a Disulfide Bond to the Ribosome-Inactivating Protein Gelonin: Potent In Vitro and In Vivo Effects Against Human Tumors. J the National Cancer Institute 1987; 79: 1163-72.
- [317] Wenning LA, Murphy RM. Coupled cellular trafficking and diffusional limitations in delivery of immunotoxins to multicell tumor spheroids. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 1999; 62: 562-75.
- [318] O'Boyle KP, Colletti D, Mazurek C, et al. Potentiation of Antiproliferative Effects of Monoclonal Antibody Lym-1 and Immunoconjugate Lym-1-gelonin on Human Burkitt's Lymphoma Cells with [gamma]-Interferon and Tumor Necrosis Factor. J Immunotherapy 1995; 18: 221-30.
- [319] Hirota N, Ueda M, Ozawa S, Abe O, Shimizu N. Suppression of an Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-hyperproducing Tumor by an Immunotoxin Conjugate of Gelonin and a Monoclonal Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Antibody. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 7106-9.
- [320] Masuda K, Takahashi K, Nagata S, Hirano K, Takagishi Y. Immunotoxins Composed of Monoclonal Antibody to α-Fetoprotein and Gelonin as a Potent Hepatoma-Targeted Drug Delivery System. J Drug Targeting 1994; 2: 323-31.
- [321] Rosenblum MG, Murray JL, Cheung L, Rifkin R, Salmon S, Bartholomew R. A specific and potent immunotoxin composed of antibody ZME-018 and the plant toxin gelonin. Mol Biother 1991; 3: 6-13.
- [322] Mujoo K, Cheung L, Murray J, Rosenblum M. Pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and in vivo antitumor effects of the antimelanoma immunotoxin ZME-gelonin. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1995; 40: 339-45.
- [323] Urbatsch IL, Sterz RKM, Peper K, Trommer WE. Antigen-specific therapy of experimental myasthenia gravis with acetylcholine receptor-gelonin conjugates in vivo. European J Immunology 1993; 23: 776-9.
- [324] Wiels J, Junqua S, Dujardin P, Le Pecq JB, Tursz T. Properties of Immunotoxins against a Glycolipid Antigen Associated with Burkitt's Lymphoma. Cancer Res 1984; 44: 129-33.
- [325] Reimann KA, Goldmacher VS, Lambert JM, et al. In vivo administration of lymphocyte-specific monoclonal antibodies in nonhuman primates. IV. Cytotoxic effect of an anti-T11-gelonin immunotoxin. J Clin Invest 1988; 82: 129-38.
- [326] Frankel AE, Woo JH, Neville DM. In Principles of Cancer Biotherapy, R. K. Oldham; R. O. Dillman, eds.; Springer Science + Business Media BV 2009; pp: 407-31.
- [327] Surolia N, Misquith S. Cell surface receptor directed targeting of toxin to human malaria parasite, Plasmodium falciparum. FEBS Letters 1996; 396: 57-61.
- [328] Arpicco S, Dosio F, Brusa P, Crosasso P, Cattel L. New Coupling Reagents for the Preparation of Disulfide Cross-Linked Conjugates with Increased Stability. Bioconjugate Chem 1997; 8: 327-37.
- [329] Rosenblum MG, Zuckerman JE, Marks JW, Rotbein J, Allen WR. A gelonin-containing immunotoxin directed against human breast carcinoma. Mol Biother 1992; 4: 122-9.
- [330] Tedder TF, Goldmacher VS, Lambert JM, Schlossman SF. Epstein Barr virus binding induces internalization of the C3d receptor: a novel immunotoxin delivery system. J Immunology 1986; 137: 1387-91.
- [331] Colombatti M, Bron C. Sensitivity of target cells to immunotoxins: possible role of cell-surface antigens. Immunology 1985; 55: 331-
- [332] Scott C, Jr., Goldmacher V, Lambert J, *et al.* The antileukemic efficacy of an immunotoxin composed of a monoclonal anti-Thy-1

antibody disulfide linked to the ribosome-inactivating protein gelonin. Cancer Immunol, Immunotherapy 1987; 25: 31-40.

- [333] Barnett BB, Smee DF, Malek SM, Sidwell RW. Selective cytotoxicity towards cytomegalovirus-infected cells by immunotoxins consisting of gelonin linked to anti-cytomegalovirus antibody. Antiviral Res 1995; 28: 93-100.
- [334] Shin Y, Choi Y, Choi E, et al. Targeted cytotoxic effect of anti-JL1 immunotoxin against a human leukemic cell line and its clinical implications. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 2003; 52: 506-12.
- [335] Singh V, Curtiss R, III. Hormonotoxins: the role of positive charge of lysine residue on the immunological, biological and cytotoxic properties of ovine lutropin-S-S-gelonin conjugates. Mol Cellular Biochem 1994; 130: 91-101.
- [336] Misquith S, Surolia A. In vivo treatment of Heymann's Nephritis using a cytotoxic protein-toxin conjugate. FEBS Letters 1995; 373: 151-4.
- [337] Barnett BB, Burns Iii NJ, Park KJ, Dawson MI, Kende M, Sidwell RW. Antiviral immunotoxins: antibody-mediated delivery of gelonin inhibits Pichinde virus replication in vitro. Antiviral Research 1991; 15: 125-38.
- [338] Mujoo K, Reisfeld R, Cheung L, Rosenblum M. A potent and specific immunotoxin for tumor cells expressing disialoganglioside GD2. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1991; 34: 198-204.
- [339] Kaneta Y, Tsukazaki K, Kubushiro K, et al. Effect of Gelonin Immunoconjugate with Monoclonal Antibody MSN-1 to Endometrial Adenocarcinoma on Antigen-producing Tumor Cells in vivo. Cancer Science 1998; 89: 583-8.
- [340] Fishwild DM, Wu HM, Carroll SF, Bernhard SL. Characterization of the increased cytotoxicity of gelonin anti-T cell immunoconjugates compared with ricin A chain immunoconjugates. Clin Exp Immunol 1994; 97: 10-8.
- [341] Rosenblum MG, Shawver LK, Marks JW, Brink J, Cheung L, Langton-Webster B. Recombinant Immunotoxins Directed against the c-erb-2/HER2/neu Oncogene Product: In Vitro Cytotoxicity, Pharmacokinetics, and in Vivo Efficacy Studies in Xenograft Models. Clinical Cancer Research 1999; 5: 865-74.
- [342] Veenendaal LM, Jin H, Ran S, et al. In vitro and in vivo studies of a VEGF121/rGelonin chimeric fusion toxin targeting the neovasculature of solid tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2002; 99: 7866-71.
- [343] Borthakur G, Rosenblum MG, Talpaz M, et al. Phase 1 study of an anti-CD33 immunotoxin, humanized monoclonal antibody M195 conjugated to recombinant gelonin (HUM-195/rGEL), in patients with advanced myeloid malignancies. Haematologica 2013; 98: 217-21.
- [344] McGraw K, Rosenblum M, Cheung L, Scheinberg D. Characterization of murine and humanized anti-CD33, gelonin immunotoxins reactive against myeloid leukemias. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1994; 39: 367-74.
- [345] Pagliaro LC, Liu B, Munker R, et al. Humanized M195 monoclonal antibody conjugated to recombinant gelonin: an anti-CD33 immunotoxin with antileukemic activity. Clinical Cancer Research 1998; 4: 1971-6.
- [346] Xu Y, Xu Q, Rosenblum MG, Scheinberg DA. Antileukemic activity of recombinant humanized M195-gelonin immunotoxin in nude mice. Leukemia 1996; 10: 321-6.
- [347] Rosenblum MG, Cheung LH, Liu Y, Marks JW. Design, Expression, Purification, and Characterization, in Vitro and in Vivo, of an Antimelanoma Single-chain Fv Antibody Fused to the Toxin Gelonin. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 3995-4002.
- [348] Luster TA, Mukherjee I, Carrell JA, et al. Fusion Toxin BLyS-Gelonin Inhibits Growth of Malignant Human B Cell Lines In Vitro and In Vivo. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e47361.
- [349] Nimmanapalli R, Lyu MA, Du M, Keating MJ, Rosenblum MG, Gandhi V. The growth factor fusion construct containing Blymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) and the toxin rGel induces apoptosis specifically in BAFF-R-positive CLL cells. Blood 2007; 109: 2557-64.
- [350] Lyu MA, Cheung LH, Hittelman WN, Marks JW, Aguiar RCT, Rosenblum MG. The rGel/BLyS fusion toxin specifically targets malignant B cells expressing the BLyS receptors BAFF-R, TACI, and BCMA. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2007; 6: 460-70.
- [351] Cao Y, Marks JD, Huang Q, et al. Single-Chain Antibody-Based Immunotoxins Targeting Her2/neu: Design Optimization and Impact of Affinity on Antitumor Efficacy and Off-Target Toxicity. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2012; 11: 143-53.

- [352] Cao Y, Marks JD, Marks JW, Cheung LH, Kim S, Rosenblum MG. Construction and Characterization of Novel, Recombinant Immunotoxins Targeting the Her2/neu Oncogene Product: In vitro and In vivo Studies. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 8987-95.
- [353] Pirie CM, Hackel BJ, Rosenblum MG, Wittrup KD. Convergent Potency of Internalized Gelonin Immunotoxins across Varied Cell Lines, Antigens, and Targeting Moieties. J Biological Chem 2011; 286: 4165-72.
- [354] Pirie CM, Liu DV, Wittrup KD. Targeted Cytolysins Synergistically Potentiate Cytoplasmic Delivery of Gelonin Immunotoxin. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2013; 12: 1774-82.
- [355] Cheung LH, Martinez-Torrecuadrada JL, Marks JW, III, Rosenblum MG, Casal I. Characterization Studies of Recombinant Fusion Constructs Targeting FGFR3 and Containing Recombinant Gelonin (rGel). AACR Meeting Abstracts 2006; 2006: 465-c-.
- [356] Martínez-Torrecuadrada JL, Cheung LH, López-Serra P, et al. Antitumor activity of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3-specific immunotoxins in a xenograft mouse model of bladder carcinoma is mediated by apoptosis. Mol Cancer Therapeutics 2008; 7: 862-73.
- [357] Better M, Bernhard SL, Fishwild DM, et al. Gelonin analogs with engineered cysteine residues form antibody immunoconjugates with unique properties. J Biological Chem 1994; 269: 9644-50.
- [358] Selbo PK, Sivam G, Fodstad O, Sandvig K, Berg K. Photochemical internalisation increases the cytotoxic effect of the immunotoxin MOC31-gelonin. Int J Cancer 2000; 87: 853-9.
- [359] Gao WD, Zhang RP, Cao HT, Ji RH, Zhang ZC. Construction of Luffin A Immunotoxin and Its in vitro Inhibition Against Human Melanoma Cell M_ (21). Chinese Science Bulletin 1994; 39: 950.
- [360] Zhang RP, Xiong CY, Yan M, Zhang ZC. In vitro Inhibition of Human Melanoma Cells by Immunotoxin Luffin B-Ng76. Sheng wu hua xue yu sheng wu wu li xue bao Acta biochimica et biophysica Sinica 1998; 30: 561-4.
- [361] Xiang Y, Li QY, Wang JH, et al. The construction and expression of Luffin-β-targeted fusion immunotoxin as well as its inhibitory effect on non-small cell lung cancer cells. Tumor 2013; 33: 314-20.
- [362] Wang RP, Zhou LN, Wu J, et al. Construction and expression of a recombinant immunotoxin hIL-2-Luffin P1 [J]. Acta Academiae Medicinae Militaris Tertiae 2005; 9: 8.
- [363] Wang R, Gan C, Gao W, et al. A novel recombinant immunotoxin with the smallest ribosome-inactivating protein Luffin P1: T-cell cytotoxicity and prolongation of allograft survival. J Cellular Mol Med 2010; 14: 578-86.
- [364] Wang RP, He W, He WF, Zhang XR, Liu Sl, Wu J. Inhibiting effects of recombinant immunotoxin hIL-2-Luffin P1 on graft rejection of allo-skin transplantation in mice [J]. Immunological Journal 2008; 3: 17.
- [365] Wu H, Lu Q, Gao WD, Chen LQ, Ren CP, Shen JJ. Construction and expression of prokaryotie expression vector for recombinant immunotoxin EBI3-Luffin P1 and biological activity of expressed product. Chinese J Biologicals 2012; 3: 17.
- [366] Tonevitsky AG, Toptygin AY, Pfuller U, et al. Immunotoxin with mistletoe lectin I A-chain and ricin A-chain directed against CD5 antigen of human T-lymphocytes; Comparison of efficiency and specificity. International J Immunopharmacology 1991; 13: 1037-41.
- [367] Tonevitsky AG, Agapov II, Shamshiev AT, Temyakov DE, Pohl P, Kirpichnikov MP. Immunotoxins containing A-chain of mistletoe lectin I are more active than immunotoxins with ricin A-chain. FEBS Letters 1996; 392: 166-8.
- [368] Paprocka M, Wiedlocha A, Walzel H, Radzikowski C. The activity of two immunotoxins composed of monoclonal antibody MoAb-16 and A-chain of ricin (MoAb-16-RTA) or A-chain of mistletoe lectin I (MoAb-16-MLIA). Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz) 1992; 40: 223-7.
- [369] Shumakov VI, Maisjuk IG, Agapov, II, et al. In vitro efficacy of conjugates of anti-CD45 monoclonal antibodies with plant toxin Achains. Transplant Proc 1998; 30: 971-3.
- [370] Bolognesi A, Tazzari PL, Olivieri F, et al. Evaluation of immunotoxins containing single-chain ribosome-inactivating proteins and an anti-CD22 monoclonal antibody (OM124): in vitro and in vivo studies. Br J Haematol 1998; 101: 179-88.
- [371] Dinota A, Barbieri L, Gobbi M, et al. An immunotoxin containing momordin suitable for bone marrow purging in multiple myeloma patients. Br J Cancer 1989; 60: 315-9.
- [372] Porro G, Bolognesi A, Caretto P, *et al*. In vitro and in vivo properties of an anti-CD5—momordin immunotoxin on normal and neo-

plastic T lymphocytes. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1993; 36: 346-50.

- [373] Terenzi A, Bolognesi A, Pasqualucci L, et al. Anti-CD30 (BER-H2) immunotoxins containing the type-1 ribosome-inactivating proteins momordin and PAP-S (pokeweed antiviral protein from seeds) display powerful antitumour activity against CD30+ tumour cells in vitro and in SCID mice. Br J Haematol 1996; 92: 872-9.
- [374] Tazzari P, de Totero D, Bolognesi A, et al. An Epstein-Barr virusinfected lymphoblastoid cell line (D430B) that grows in SCIDmice with the morphologic features of a CD30+ anaplastic large cell lymphoma, and is sensitive to anti-CD30 immunotoxins. Haematologica 1999; 84: 988-95.
- [375] Yu L, Gu F, Zhang C, Xie S, Guo Y. Targeted diagnosis and treatment of superficial bladder cancer with monoclonal antibody BDI-1. Chinese medical journal 1998; 111: 404-7.
- [376] Zhang CL, Yu LZ, Zhao C, Xie SS, Wang RF. Characteristics in Biodistribution and Metabolism of ~(131) I Labeled Immunotox-in Against Human Bladder Carcinoma in Tumor-Bearing Nude Mice. Chinese J Cancer Biotherapy 2005; 2: 008.
- [377] Leamon CP, Low PS. Cytotoxicity of momordin-folate conjugates in cultured human cells. J Biological Chem 1992; 267: 24966-71.
- [378] Leamon CP, Pastan I, Low PS. Cytotoxicity of folate-Pseudomonas exotoxin conjugates toward tumor cells. Contribution of translocation domain. J Biological Chem 1993; 268: 24847-54.
- [379] Battelli MG, Polito L, Bolognesi A, Lafleur L, Fradet Y, Stirpe F. Toxicity of ribosome-inactivating proteins-containing immunotoxins to a human bladder carcinoma cell line. Int J Cancer 1996; 65: 485-490.
- [380] Xia HC, Li F, Zhen L, Zhang ZC. Purification and characterization of Moschatin, a novel type I ribosome-inactivating protein from the mature seeds of pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata), and preparation of its immunotoxin against human melanoma cells. Cell Res 2003; 13: 369-74.
- [381] Muñoz R, Arias Y, Ferreras JM, et al. Targeting a marker of the tumour neovasculature using a novel anti-human CD105immunotoxin containing the non-toxic type 2 ribosome-inactivating protein nigrin b. Cancer Letters 2007; 256: 73-80.
- [382] Munoz R, Arias Y, Miguel Ferreras J, et al. Transient Injury-Dependent Up-Regulation of CD105 and its Specific Targeting with an Anti-Vascular Anti-Mouse Endoglin-Nigrin b Immunotoxin. Medicinal Chemistry 2012; 8: 996-1002.
- [383] Muñoz R, Arias Y, Ferreras J, et al. In vitro and in vivo effects of an anti-mouse endoglin (CD105)-immunotoxin on the early stages of mouse B16MEL4A5 melanoma tumours. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 2013; 62: 541-51.
- [384] Di Massimo AM, Di Loreto M, Pacilli A, et al. Immunoconjugates made of an anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibody and type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins from Saponaria ocymoides or Vaccaria pyramidata. Br J Cancer 1997; 75: 822-8.
- [385] Myers DE, Irvin JD, Smith RS, Kuebelbeck VM, Uckun FM. Production of a pokeweed antiviral protein (PAP)-containing immunotoxin, B43-PAP, directed against the CD19 human B lineage lymphoid differentiation antigen in highly purified form for human clinical trials. J Immunological Methods 1991; 136: 221-37.
- [386] Uckun FM. Immunotoxins for the treatment of leukaemia. Br J Haematol 1993; 85: 435-8.
- [387] Ek O, Gaynon P, Zeren T, Chelstrom LM, Myers DE, Uckun FM. Treatment of human B-cell precursor leukemia in SCID mice by using a combination of the anti-CD19 immunotoxin B43-PAP with the standard chemotherapeutic drugs vincristine, methylprednisolone, and L-asparaginase. Leukemia & lymphoma 1998; 31: 143-9.
- [388] Waddick K, Myers D, Gunther R, et al. In vitro and in vivo antileukemic activity of B43-pokeweed antiviral protein against radiation-resistant human B-cell precursor leukemia cells. Blood 1995; 86: 4228-33.
- [389] Waurzyniak B, Schneider EA, Tumer N, *et al.* In vivo toxicity, pharmacokinetics, and antileukemic activity of TXU (anti-CD7)pokeweed antiviral protein immunotoxin. Clinical Cancer Research 1997; 3: 881-90.
- [390] Uckun FM, Bellomy K, O'Neill K, Messinger Y, Johnson T, Chen CL. Toxicity, Biological Activity, and Pharmacokinetics of TXU (Anti-CD7)-Pokeweed Antiviral Protein in Chimpanzees and Adult Patients Infected with Human Immunodeficiency Virus. J Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 1999; 291: 1301-7.
- [391] Uckun FM, Chelstrom LM, Tuel-Ahlgren L, *et al.* TXU (Anti-CD7)-Pokeweed Antiviral Protein as a Potent Inhibitor of Human

Immunodeficiency Virus. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 1998; 42: 383-8.

- [392] Ramakrishnan S, Houston LL. Comparison of the Selective Cytotoxic Effects of Immunotoxins Containing Ricin A Chain or Pokeweed Antiviral Protein and Anti-Thy 1.1 Monoclonal Antibodies. Cancer Res 1984; 44: 201-8.
- [393] Qi L, Nett TM, Allen MC, *et al.* Binding and Cytotoxicity of Conjugated and Recombinant Fusion Proteins Targeted to the Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Receptor. Cancer Res 2004; 64: 2090-95.
- [394] Schlick JL, Dulieu P, Desvoyes B, Adami P, Radom J, Jouvenot M. Cytotoxic activity of a recombinant GnRH-PAP fusion toxin on human tumor cell lines. FEBS Letters 2000; 472: 241-6.
- [395] Ek O, Waurzyniak B, Myers DE, Uckun FM. Antitumor activity of TP3(anti-p80)-pokeweed antiviral protein immunotoxin in hamster cheek pouch and severe combined immunodeficient mouse xenograft models of human osteosarcoma. Clinical Cancer Research 1998; 4: 1641-7.
- [396] Myers DE, Uckun FM. An anti-CD72 immunotoxin against therapy-refractory B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia & lymphoma 1995; 18: 119-22.
- [397] Smith CV, Sablinski T, Arn JS, et al. In vivo treatment with monoclonal antibodies directed against CD4 and CD8 antigens in miniature swine. J Immunotherapy 1994; 16: 105-14.
- [398] Erice A, Balfour HH, Myers DE, et al. Anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 activity of an anti-CD4 immunoconjugate containing pokeweed antiviral protein. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 1993; 37: 835-8.
- [399] Morgan AC, Bordonaro J, Pearson JW, Sivam G. Immunotoxins to a Human Melanoma-Associated Antigen: Resistance to Pokeweed Antiviral Protein Conjugates In Vitro. J the National Cancer Institute 1987; 78: 1101-6.
- [400] Dore J-M, Gras E, Wijdenes J. Expression and activity of a recombinant chimeric protein composed of pokeweed antiviral protein and of human interleukin-2. FEBS Letters 1997; 402: 50-2.
- [401] Bolognesi A, Tazzari PL, Olivieri F, Polito L, Falini B, Stirpe F. Induction of apoptosis by ribosome-inactivating proteins and related immunotoxins. Int J Cancer 1996; 68: 349-55.
- [402] Kanellos J, MacKenzie IF, Pietersz GA. In vivo studies of whole ricin monoclonal antibody immunoconjugates for the treatment of murine tumours. Immunol Cell Biol 1988; 66 (Pt 5-6): 403-15.
- [403] Izquierdo M, Balboa MA, Figuera A, Lopez-Botet M. Selective T cell subset depletion with anti-CD4 and anti-CD8 intact ricin immunotoxins. Clin Exp Immunol 1988; 74: 300-4.
- [404] Uckun FM, Azemove SM, Myers DE, Vallera DA. Anti-CD2 (T, p50) intact ricin immunotoxins for GVHD-prophylaxis in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Leukemia Res 1986; 10: 145-53.
- [405] Manske JM, Buchsbaum DJ, Hanna DE, Vallera DA. Cytotoxic Effects of Anti-CD5 Radioimmunotoxins on Human Tumors in Vitro and in a Nude Mouse Model. Cancer Res 1988; 48: 7107-14.
- [406] Wu M, Tang SL, Zang RJ, Yu H. Selective killing of tumor cells in vitro by an immunotoxin composed of ricin and monoclonal antibody against Ia antigen. Int J Immunopharmacol 1990; 12: 235-9.
- [407] Frankel A, Fu T, Burbage C, Chandler J, Willingham M, Tagge E. IL2 fused to lectin-deficient ricin is toxic to human leukemia cells expressing the IL2 receptor. Leukemia 1997; 11: 22-30.
- [408] Burbage Č, Tagge EP, Harris B, et al. Ricin fusion toxin targeted to the human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor receptor is selectively toxic to acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leukemia Res 1997; 21: 681-90.
- [409] Gregg EO, Bridges SH, Youle RJ, et al. Whole ricin and recombinant ricin A chain idiotype-specific immunotoxins for therapy of the guinea pig L2C B cell leukemia. J Immunol 1987; 138: 4502-8.
- [410] Colombatti M, Bisconti M, Dell'Arciprete L, Gerosa MA, Tridente G. Sensitivity of human glioma cells to cytotoxic heteroconjugates. Int J Cancer 1988; 42: 441-8.
- [411] Cattel L, Delprino L, Brusa P, Dosio F, Comoglio P, Prat M. Comparison of blocked and non-blocked ricin-antibody immunotoxins against human gastric carcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma cell lines. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1988; 27: 233-40.
- [412] Zhao C. [Preparation of an anti-human immunotoxin against bladder carcinoma and its in vitro targeting cytotoxicity]. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi 1992; 14: 109-11.
- [413] Christiansen SP, Sandnas A, Prill R, Youle RJ, McLoon LK. Acute Effects of the Skeletal Muscle-Specific Immunotoxin Ricin-mAb

35 on Extraocular Muscles of Rabbits. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 2000; 41: 3402-9.

- [414] Hott JS, Dalakas MC, Sung C, Hallett M, Youle RJ. Skeletal muscle-specific immunotoxin for the treatment of focal muscle spasm. Neurology 1998; 50: 485-91.
- [415] Boltansky H, Dyer J, Esworthy S, Kaliner M. IgE-immunotoxins. I. IgE-intact ricin. Immunopharmacology 1987; 14: 35-45.
- [416] Schmidberger H, King L, Lasky LC, Vallera DA. Antitumor Activity of L6-Ricin Immunotoxin against the H2981-T3 Lung Adenocarcinoma Cell Line in Vitro and in Vivo. Cancer Res 1990; 50: 3249-56.
- [417] Herschman HR. The role of binding ligand in toxic hybrid proteins: A comparison of EGF-ricin, EGF-ricin A-chain, and ricin. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 1984; 124: 551-7.
- [418] O'Toolei JE, Esseltine D, Lynch TJ, Lambert JM, Grossbard ML. In *Clinical Applications of Immunotoxins*, ArthurE Frankel, ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1998; 234: 35-56.
- [419] Collinson AR, Lambert JM, Liu Y, et al. Anti-CD6-blocked ricin: An anti-pan T-cell immunotoxin. International J Immunopharmacology 1994; 16: 37-49.
- [420] Grossbard ML, Lambert JM, Goldmacher VS, et al. Anti-B4blocked ricin: a phase I trial of 7-day continuous infusion in patients with B-cell neoplasms. J Clinical Oncology 1993; 11: 726-37.
- [421] Multani PS, O'Day S, Nadler LM, Grossbard ML. Phase II clinical trial of bolus infusion anti-B4 blocked ricin immunoconjugate in patients with relapsed B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Clinical Cancer Res 1998; 4: 2599-604.
- [422] Grossbard M, Gribben J, Freedman A, et al. Adjuvant immunotoxin therapy with anti-B4-blocked ricin after autologous bone marrow transplantation for patients with B-cell non- Hodgkin's lymphoma. Blood 1993; 81: 2263-71.
- [423] Grossbard ML, Lambert JM, Goldmacher VS, Blättler WA, Nadler LM. Correlation between in Vivo Toxicity and Preclinical in Vitro Parameters for the Immunotoxin Anti-B4-blocked Ricin. Cancer Res 1992; 52: 4200-7.
- [424] Grossbard ML, Multani PS, Freedman AS, et al. A Phase II Study of Adjuvant Therapy with Anti-B4-blocked Ricin after Autologous Bone Marrow Transplantation for Patients with Relapsed B-Cell Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma. Clinical Cancer Research 1999; 5: 2392-8.
- [425] Furman RR, Grossbard ML, Johnson JL, et al. A phase III study of anti-B4-blocked ricin as adjuvant therapy post-autologous bone marrow transplant: CALGB 9254. Leukemia & lymphoma 2011; 52: 587-96.
- [426] La Russa VF, Griffin JD, Kessler SW, et al. Effects of anti-CD33 blocked ricin immunotoxin on the capacity of CD34+ human marrow cells to establish in vitro hematopoiesis in long-term marrow cultures. Experimental hematology 1992; 20: 442-8.
- [427] Roy D, Griffin J, Belvin M, et al. Anti-MY9-blocked-ricin: an immunotoxin for selective targeting of acute myeloid leukemia cells. Blood 1991; 77: 2404-12.
- [428] Epstein C, Lynch T, Shefner J, et al. Use of the immunotoxin N901-blocked ricin in patients with small-cell lung cancer. Int J Cancer 1994; 57: 57-9.
- [429] Lynch TJ, Lambert JM, Coral F, et al. Immunotoxin therapy of small-cell lung cancer: a phase I study of N901-blocked ricin. J Clinical Oncology 1997; 15: 723-34.
- [430] Fidias P, Grossbard M, Lynch Jr TJ. A Phase II Study of the Immunotoxin N901-Blocked Ricin in Small-Cell Lung Cancer. Clinical Lung Cancer 2002; 3: 219-22.
- [431] Lynch TJ. Immunotoxin Therapy of Small-Cell Lung Cancer N901-Blocked Ricin for Relapsed Small-Cell Lung Cancer. CHEST Journal 1993; 103: 436S-9.
- [432] Zalcberg JR, Pietersz G, Toohey B, et al. A phase I/II study of the intralesional injection of ricin-monoclonal antibody conjugates in patients with hepatic metastases. European J Cancer 1994; 30: 1227-31.
- [433] Duke-Cohan J, Morimoto C, Schlossman S. Targeting of an activated T-cell subset using a bispecific antibody- toxin conjugate directed against CD4 and CD26. Blood 1993; 82: 2224-34.
- [434] Wawrzynczak E, Watson G, Cumber A, et al. Blocked and nonblocked ricin immunotoxins against the CD4 antigen exhibit higher cytotoxic potency than a ricin A chain immunotoxin potentiated with ricin B chain or with a ricin B chain immunotoxin. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1991; 32: 289-95.

- [435] Duke-Cohan JS, Morimoto C, Schlossman SF. Depletion of the helper/inducer (memory) T cell subset using a bispecific antibodytoxin conjugate directed against CD4 and CD29. Transplantation 1993; 56: 1188-96.
- [436] Zangemeister-Wittke U, Collinson AR, Fisch I, et al. Anti-tumor activity of a blocked ricin immunotoxin with specificity against the cluster-5A antigen associated with human small-cell lung cancer. Int J Cancer 1993; 54: 1028-35.
- [437] Goldmacher V, Bourret L, Levine B, et al. Anti-CD38-blocked ricin: an immunotoxin for the treatment of multiple myeloma. Blood 1994; 84: 3017-25.
- [438] Blakey DC, Watson GJ, Knowles PP, Thorpe PE. Effect of Chemical Deglycosylation of Ricin A Chain on the in Vivo Fate and Cytotoxic Activity of an Immunotoxin Composed of Ricin A Chain and Anti-Thy 1.1 Antibody. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 947-52.
- [439] Frankel AE, Laver JH, Willingham MC, Burns LJ, Kersey JH, Vallera DA. Therapy of Patients with T-cell Lymphomas and Leukemias Using an Anti-CD7 Monoclonal Antibody-Rich a Chain Immunotoxin. Leukemia & lymphoma 1997; 26: 287-98.
- [440] Stone M, Sausville E, Fay J, et al. A phase I study of bolus versus continuous infusion of the anti-CD19 immunotoxin, IgG-HD37dgA, in patients with B-cell lymphoma. Blood 1996; 88: 1188-97.
- [441] Conry RM, Khazaeli M, Saleh MN, et al. Phase I trial of an anti-CD19 deglycosylated ricin A chain immunotoxin in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: effect of an intensive schedule of administration. J Immunotherapy 1995; 18: 231-41.
- [442] Vitetta ES, Stone M, Amlot P, *et al.* Phase I Immunotoxin Trial in Patients with B-Cell Lymphoma. Cancer Res 1991; 51: 4052-8.
- [443] Shen GL, Li JL, Ghetie MA, et al. Evaluation of four CD22 antibodies as ricin a chain-containing immunotoxins for the in vivo therapy of human B-cell leukemias and lymphomas. Int J Cancer 1988; 42: 792-7.
- [444] Engert A, Diehl V, Schnell R, et al. A Phase-I Study of an Anti-CD25 Ricin A-Chain Immunotoxin (RFT5-SMPT-dgA) in Patients With Refractory Hodgkin's Lymphoma. Blood 1997; 89: 403-10.
- [445] Schnell R, Vitetta E, Schindler J, et al. Treatment of refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma patients with an anti-CD25 ricin A-chain immunotoxin. Leukemia 2000; 14: 129-35.
- [446] Schnell R, Vitetta E, Schindler J, et al. Clinical trials with an anti-CD25 ricin A-chain experimental and immunotoxin (RFT5-SMPTdgA) in Hodgkin's lymphoma. Leukemia & lymphoma 1998; 30: 525-38.
- [447] Schnell R, Borchmann P, Staak JO, et al. Clinical evaluation of ricin A-chain immunotoxins in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma. Annals of Oncology 2003; 14: 729-36.
- [448] Martin PJ, Pei J, Gooley T, et al. Evaluation of a CD25-specific immunotoxin for prevention of graft-versus-host disease after unrelated marrow transplantation. Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation 2004; 10: 552-60.
- [449] Schnell R, Staak O, Borchmann P, et al. A Phase I Study with an Anti-CD30 Ricin A-Chain Immunotoxin (Ki-4.dgA) in Patients with Refractory CD30+ Hodgkin's and Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma. Clinical Cancer Research 2002; 8: 1779-86.
- [450] Schneix R, Linnartz C, Katouzi AA, et al. Development of new ricin A-chain immunotoxins with potent anti-tumor effects against human hodgkin cells in vitro and disseminated Hodgkin tumors in scid mice using high-affinity monoclonal antibodies directed against the CD30 antigen. Int J Cancer 1995; 63: 238-44.
- [451] Amlot P, Stone M, Cunningham D, et al. A phase I study of an anti-CD22-deglycosylated ricin A chain immunotoxin in the treatment of B-cell lymphomas resistant to conventional therapy. Blood 1993; 82: 2624-33.
- [452] Sausville E, Headlee D, Stetler-Stevenson M, et al. Continuous infusion of the anti-CD22 immunotoxin IgG-RFB4-SMPT-dgA in patients with B-cell lymphoma: a phase I study. Blood 1995; 85: 3457-65.
- [453] Messmann RA, Vitetta ES, Headlee D, et al. A Phase I Study of Combination Therapy with Immunotoxins IgG-HD37-Deglycosylated Ricin A Chain (dgA) and IgG-RFB4-dgA (Combotox) in Patients with Refractory CD19(+), CD22(+) B Cell Lymphoma. Clinical Cancer Research 2000; 6: 1302-13.
- [454] Herrera L, Bostrom B, Gore L, et al. A phase 1 study of Combotox in pediatric patients with refractory B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J pediatric hematology/oncology 2009; 31: 936-41.
- [455] van Oosterhout YVJM, van Emst L, Schattenberg AVMB, et al. A combination of anti-CD3 and anti-CD7 ricin A-immunotoxins for

the in vivo treatment of acute graft versus host disease. Blood 2000; 95: 3693-701.

- [456] van Oosterhout YVJM, van Emst JL, Bakker HH, et al. Production of anti-CD3 and anti-CD7 ricin A-immunotoxins for a clinical pilot study. Int J Pharmaceutics 2001; 221: 175-86.
- [457] Pauza ME, Doumbia SO, Pennell CA. Construction and characterization of human CD7-specific single-chain Fv immunotoxins. J Immunology 1997; 158: 3259-69.
- [458] Huang YW, Burrows FJ, Vitetta ES. Cytotoxicity of a novel anti-ICAM-1 immunotoxin on human myeloma cell lines. Hybridoma 1993; 12: 661-75.
- [459] Zhong RK, van de Winkel JG, Thepen T, Schultz LD, Ball ED. Cytotoxicity of anti-CD64-ricin a chain immunotoxin against human acute myeloid leukemia cells in vitro and in SCID mice. J Hematother Stem Cell Res 2001; 10: 95-105.
- [460] van Vuuren AJ, van Roon JAG, Walraven V, et al. CD64-Directed Immunotoxin Inhibits Arthritis in a Novel CD64 Transgenic Rat Model. J Immunology 2006; 176: 5833-8.
- [461] Van Roon JA, Van Vuuren AJ, Wijngaarden S, *et al.* Selective elimination of synovial inflammatory macrophages in rheumatoid arthritis by an Fcγ receptor I-directed immunotoxin. Arthritis & Rheumatism 2003; 48: 1229-38.
- [462] Barnett BB, Smee DF, Malek SM, Sidwell RW. Selective cytotoxicity of ricin A chain immunotoxins towards murine cytomegalovirus-infected cells. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 1996; 40: 470-2.
- [463] Clinchy B, Vitetta ES. The use of an anti-CD3 immunotoxin to prevent the development of lymphoproliferative disease in SCID/PBL mice. J Immunological Methods 1998; 218: 141-53.
- [464] Press OW, Vitetta ES, Farr AG, Hansen JA, Martin PJ. Evaluation of ricin A-chain immunotoxins directed against human T cells. Cellular Immunology 1986; 102: 10-20.
- [465] Engert A, Brown A, Thorpe P. Resistance of myeloid leukaemia cell lines to ricin a-chain immunotoxins. Leukemia Res 1991; 15: 1079-86.
- [466] Zangemeister-Wittke U, Lehmann HP, Waibel R, Wawrzynczak EJ, Stahel RA. Action of a cd24-specific deglycosylated ricin-achain immunotoxin in conventional and novel models of small-celllung-cancer xenograft. Int J Cancer 1993; 53: 521-8.
- [467] Wawrzynczak EJ, Derbyshire EJ, Henry RV, et al. Selective cytotoxic effects of a ricin A chain immunotoxin made with the monoclonal antibody SWA11 recognising a human small cell lung cancer antigen. Br J Cancer 1990; 62: 410-4.
- [468] Burrows F, Overholser J, Thorpe P. Potent antitumor effects of an antitumor endothelial cell immunotoxin in a murine vascular targeting model. Cell Biophysics 1994; 24-25: 15-25.
- [469] Burrows FJ, Thorpe PE. Vascular targeting—a new approach to the therapy of solid tumors. Pharmacology & Therapeutics 1994; 64: 155-74.
- [470] Huang X, Bennett M, Thorpe PE. Anti-tumor effects and lack of side effects in mice of an immunotoxin directed against human and mouse prostate-specific membrane antigen. The Prostate 2004; 61: 1-11.
- [471] Gottstein C, Schön G, Tawadros S, et al. Antidisialoganglioside Ricin A-Chain Immunotoxins Show Potent Antitumor Effects in Vitro and in a Disseminated Human Neuroblastoma Severe Combined Immunodeficiency Mouse Model. Cancer Res 1994; 54: 6186-93.
- [472] Wang D, Li Q, Hudson W, Berven E, Uckun F, Kersey JH. Generation and Characterization of an Anti-CD19 Single-Chain Fv Immunotoxin Composed of C-Terminal Disulfide-Linked dgRTA. Bioconjugate Chem 1997; 8: 878-84.
- [473] Seon BK, Matsuno F, Haruta Y, Kondo M, Barcos M. Long-lasting complete inhibition of human solid tumors in SCID mice by targeting endothelial cells of tumor vasculature with antihuman endoglin immunotoxin. Clin Cancer Res 1997; 3: 1031-44.
- [474] Matsuno F, Haruta Y, Kondo M, Tsai H, Barcos M, Seon BK. Induction of Lasting Complete Regression of Preformed Distinct Solid Tumors by Targeting the Tumor Vasculature Using Two New Anti-Endoglin Monoclonal Antibodies. Clinical Cancer Research 1999; 5: 371-82.
- [475] Smee DF, Sidwell RW, Barnett BB. Combination of antiviral immunotoxin and ganciclovir or cidofovir for the treatment of murine cytomegalovirus infections. Antiviral Res 1996; 32: 165-71.
- [476] May RD, Finkelman FD, Wheeler HT, Uhr JW, Vitetta ES. Evaluation of ricin A chain-containing immunotoxins directed against dif-

ferent epitopes on the delta-chain of cell surface-associated IgD on murine B cells. J Immunology 1990; 144: 3637-42.

- [477] McCoig C, Van Dyke G, Chou CS, Picker LJ, Ramilo O, Vitetta ES. An anti-CD45RO immunotoxin eliminates T cells latently infected with HIV-1 in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1999; 96: 11482-5.
- [478] Saavedra-Lozano J, McCoig C, Xu J, et al. An Anti-CD45RO Immunotoxin Kills Latently Infected Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) CD4 T Cells in the Blood of HIV-Positive Persons. J Infectious Diseases 2002; 185: 306-14.
- [479] Saavedra-Lozano J, Cao Y, Callison J, Sarode R, Sodora D, Edgar J, Hatfield J, Picker L, Peterson D, Ramilo O, Vitetta ES. An anti-CD45RO immunotoxin kills HIV-latently infected cells from individuals on HAART with little effect on CD8 memory. Proc Natl Acad Sci of the United States of America 2004; 101: 2494-9.
- [480] Ghetie V, Till MA, Ghetie MA, Uhr JW, Vitetta ES. Large scale preparation of an immunoconjugate constructed with human recombinant CD4 and deglycosylated ricin A chain. J Immunological Methods 1990; 126: 135-41.
- [481] Engert A, Martin G, Pfreundschuh M, et al. Antitumor effects of ricin A chain immunotoxins prepared from intact antibodies and Fab' fragments on solid human Hodgkin's disease tumors in mice.Cancer Research 1990; 50: 2929-35.
- [482] LeMaistre C, Rosen S, Frankel A, et al. Phase I trial of H65-RTA immunoconjugate in patients with cutaneous T- cell lymphoma. Blood 1991; 78: 1173-82.
- [483] Martin P, Nelson B, Appelbaum F, et al. Evaluation of a CD5specific immunotoxin for treatment of acute graft- versus-host disease after allogeneic marrow transplantation. Blood 1996; 88: 824-30.
- [484] Koehler M, Hurwitz CA, Krance RA, et al. XomaZyme-CD5 immunotoxin in conjunction with partial T cell depletion for prevention of graft rejection and graft-versus-host disease after bone marrow transplantation from matched unrelated donors. Bone Marrow Transplant 1994; 13: 571-5.
- [485] Strand V, Lipsky PE, Cannon GW, et al. Effects of administration of an anti-cd5 plus immunoconjugate in rheumatoid arthritis. results of two phase ii studies. Arthritis & Rheumatism 1993; 36: 620-30.
- [486] Stafford FJ, Fleisher TA, Lee G, et al. A pilot study of anti-CD5 ricin A chain immunoconjugate in systemic lupus erythematosus. J rheumatology 1994; 21: 2068-70.
- [487] Skyler JS, Lorenz TJ, Schwartz S, et al. Effects of an anti-CD5 immunoconjugate (CD5-Plus) in recent onset type I diabetes mellitus: A preliminary investigation. J Diabetes and its Complications 1993; 7: 224-32.
- [488] Laske DW, Muraszko KM, Oldfield EH, et al. Intraventricular immunotoxin therapy for leptomeningeal neoplasia. Neurosurgery 1997; 41: 1039-51.
- [489] Bjorn MJ, Ring D, Frankel A. Evaluation of Monoclonal Antibodies for the Development of Breast Cancer Immunotoxins. Cancer Res 1985; 45: 1214-21.
- [490] Gould BJ, Borowitz MJ, Groves ES, et al. Phase I Study of an Anti-Breast Cancer Immunotoxin by Continuous Infusion: Report of a Targeted Toxic Effect Not Predicted by Animal Studies. J Natl Cancer Institute 1989; 81: 775-81.
- [491] Winer LM, O'Dwyer J, Kitson J, et al. Phase I Evaluation of an Anti-Breast Carcinoma Monoclonal Antibody 260F9-Recombinant Ricin A Chain Immunoconjugate. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 4062-7.
- [492] Pirker R, FitzGerald DJP, Willingham MC, Pastan I. Enhancement of the Activity of Immunotoxins Made with Either Ricin A Chain or Pseudomonas Exotoxin in Human Ovarian and Epidermoid Carcinoma Cell Lines. Cancer Res 1988; 48: 3919-23.
- [493] Hertler AA, Splitler L, Frankel A. Humoral immune response to a ricin A chain immunotoxin in patients with metastatic melanoma. Cancer drug delivery 1987; 4: 245-53.
- [494] Spitler LE, del Rio M, Khentigan A, et al. Therapy of Patients with Malignant Melanoma Using a Monoclonal Antimelanoma Antibody-Ricin A Chain Immunotoxin. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 1717-23.
- [495] Mischak RP, Foxall C, Rosendorf LL, Knebel K, Scannon PJ, Spitler LE. Human antibody responses to components of the monoclonal antimelanoma antibody ricin A chain immunotoxin Xoma-Zyme-MEL. Mol Biother 1990; 2: 104-9.
- [496] Oratz R, Speyer JL, Wernz JC, et al. Antimelanoma monoclonal antibody-ricin A chain immunoconjugate (XMMME-001-RTA) plus cyclophosphamide in the treatment of metastatic malignant

melanoma: results of a phase II trial. J Biol Response Mod 1990; 9: 345-54.

- [497] Selvaggi K, Saria EA, Schwartz R, Vlock DR, et al. Phase I/II study of murine monoclonal antibody-ricin A chain (XOMA-ZYME-Mel) immunoconjugate plus cyclosporine A in patients with metastatic melanoma. J Immunother Emphasis Tumor Immunol 1993; 13: 201-7.
- [498] Gonzalez R, Salem P, Bunn PA, Jr., *et al.* Single-dose murine monoclonal antibody ricin A chain immunotoxin in the treatment of metastatic melanoma: a phase I trial. Mol Biother 1991; 3: 192-6.
- [499] Byers VS, Rodvien R, Grant K, Durrant LG, Hudson KH, Baldwin RW, Scannon PJ. Phase I Study of Monoclonal Antibody-Ricin A Chain Immunotoxin XomaZyme-791 in Patients with Metastatic Colon Cancer. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 6153-60.
- [500] Durrant LG, Byers VS, Scannon PJ, et al. Humoral immune responses to XMMCO-791-RTA immunotoxin in colorectal cancer patients. Clin Exp Immunol 1989; 75: 258-64.
- [501] Hertler AA, Schlossman DM, Borowitz MJ, Blythman HE, Casellas P, Frankel AE. An anti-CD5 immunotoxin for chronic lymphocytic leukemia: Enhancement of cytotoxicity with human serum albumin-monensin. Int J Cancer 1989; 43: 215-9.
- [502] Laurent G, Pris J, Farcet J, et al. Effects of therapy with T101 ricin A-chain immunotoxin in two leukemia patients. Blood 1986; 67: 1680-7.
- [503] Hertler AA, Schlossman DM, Borowitz MJ, et al. A phase I study of T101-ricin A chain immunotoxin in refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Biol Response Mod 1988; 7: 97-113.
- [504] Derocq JM, Casellas P, Laurent G, Ravel S, Vidal H, Jansen F. Comparison of the cytotoxic potency of T101 Fab, F(ab')2 and whole IgG immunotoxins. J Immunology 1988; 141: 2837-43.
- [505] Clark DS, Emery JM, Munsell ME. Inhibition of posterior capsule opacification with an immunotoxin specific for lens epithelial cells: 24 month clinical results. J Cataract & Refractive Surgery 1998; 24: 1614-20.
- [506] Meacock WR, Spalton DJ, Hollick EJ, Boyce JF, Barman S, Sanguinetti G. Double-masked prospective ocular safety study of a lens epithelial cell antibody to prevent posterior capsule opacification. J Cataract & Refractive Surgery 2000; 26: 716-21.
- [507] MDX RA: 4197X-RA, Monoclonal Antibody Ricin A. Drugs in R&D 2002; 3: 111-2.
- [508] Cawley DB, Herschman HR, Gary Gilliland D, John Collier R. Epidermal growth factor-toxin A chain conjugates: EGF-Ricin A is a potent toxin while EGF-diphtheria fragment A is nontoxic. Cell 1980; 22: 563-70.
- [509] Preijers FWMB, Tax WJM, Witte TD, et al. Relationship between internalization and cytotoxicity of ricin A-chain immunotoxins. Br J Haematol 1988; 70: 289-94.
- [510] Chiron M, Jaffrezou JP, Carayon P, et al. Induction and increase of HLA-DR antigen expression by immune interferon on ML-3 cell line enhances the anti-HLA-DR immunotoxin activity. Clin Exp Immunol 1990; 82: 214-20.
- [511] Faguet G, Agee J. Four ricin chain A-based immunotoxins directed against the common chronic lymphocytic leukemia antigen: in vitro characterization. Blood 1993; 82: 536-43.
- [512] Tonevitsky AG, Agapov II, Ershova GV, Sarma T, Toptygin AY, Mechetner EB. Cytotoxic effect of ricin A-chain conjugates containing monoclonal antibodies against human erythroid cells. International J Immunopharmacology 1993; 15: 229-35.
- [513] Yoshida M, Rybak RJ, Choi Y, et al. Development of a severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mouse model consisting of highly disseminated human B-cell leukemia/lymphoma, cure of the tumors by systemic administration of immunotoxin, and development/application of a clonotype-specific polymerase chain reaction-based assay. Cancer Res 1997; 57: 678-85.
- [514] Bjorn MJ, Groetsema G. Immunotoxins to the Murine Transferrin Receptor: Intracavitary Therapy of Mice Bearing Syngeneic Peritoneal Tumors. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 6639-45.
- [515] Matsushita S, Koito A, Maeda Y, Hattori T, Takatsuki K. Selective killing of HIV-infected cells by anti-gp120 immunotoxins. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1990; 6: 193-203.
- [516] Pincus SH, Wehrly K, Cole R, et al. In vitro effects of anti-HIV immunotoxins directed against multiple epitopes on HIV type 1 envelope glycoprotein 160. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1996; 12: 1041-51.

- [517] Pincus SH, Cole RL, Hersh EM, et al. In vitro efficacy of anti-HIV immunotoxins targeted by various antibodies to the envelope protein. J Immunol 1991; 146: 4315-24.
- [518] Till MA, Zolla-Pazner S, Gorny MK, Patton JS, Uhr JW, Vitetta ES. Human immunodeficiency virus-infected T cells and monocytes are killed by monoclonal human anti-gp41 antibodies coupled to ricin A chain. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1989; 86: 1987-91.
- [519] Pincus SH, Fang H, Wilkinson RA, Marcotte TK, Robinson JE, Olson WC. In Vivo Efficacy of Anti-Glycoprotein 41, But Not Anti-Glycoprotein 120, Immunotoxins in a Mouse Model of HIV Infection. J Immunol 2003; 170: 2236-41.
- [520] Gilliland DG, Steplewski Z, Collier RJ, Mitchell KF, Chang TH, Koprowski H. Antibody-directed cytotoxic agents: use of monoclonal antibody to direct the action of toxin A chains to colorectal carcinoma cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1980; 77: 4539-43.
- [521] Efferth T, Volm M. Modulation of P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug resistance by monoclonal antibodies, immunotoxins or antisense oligodeoxynucleotides in kidney carcinoma and normal kidney cells. Oncology 1993; 50: 303-8.
- [522] Shitara K, Hanai N, Kusano A, et al. Application of anti-sialyl Lea monoclonal antibody, KM231, for immunotherapy of cancer. Anticancer Res 1991; 11: 2003-13.
- [523] Vallera D, Taylor P, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Blazar B. Therapy for ongoing graft-versus-host disease induced across the major or minor histocompatibility barrier in mice with anti-CD3F(ab')2-ricin toxin A chain immunotoxin. Blood 1995; 86: 4367-75.
- [524] Preijers FWMB, Tax WJM, Wessels JMC, Capel PJA, De Witte T, Haanen C. Different Susceptibilities of Normal T Cells and T Cell Lines to Immunotoxins. Scandinavian J Immunology 1988; 27: 533-40.
- [525] Preijers F, De Witte T, Wessels J, et al. Autologous transplantation of bone marrow purged in vitro with anti-CD7- (WT1-) ricin A immunotoxin in T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma. Blood 1989; 74: 1152-8.
- [526] Masui H, Kamrath H, Apell G, Houston LL, Mendelsohn J. Cytotoxicity against Human Tumor Cells Mediated by the Conjugate of Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibody to Recombinant Ricin A Chain. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 3482-8.
- [527] Krönke M, Schlick E, Waldmann TA, Vitetta ES, Greene WC. Selective Killing of Human T-Lymphotropic Virus-I Infected Leukemic T-Cells by Monoclonal Anti-Interleukin 2 Receptor Antibody-Ricin A Chain Conjugates: Potentiation by Ammonium Chloride and Monensin. Cancer Res 1986; 46: 3295-8.
- [528] Chignola R, Anselmi C, Serra MD, et al. Self-potentiation of Ligand-Toxin Conjugates Containing Ricin A Chain Fused with Viral Structures. J Biological Chem 1995; 270: 23345-51.
- [529] Ippoliti R, Ginobbi P, Lendaro E, *et al.* The effect of monensin and chloroquine on the endocytosis and toxicity of chimeric toxins. Cellular Mol Life Sci CMLS 1998; 54: 866-75.
- [530] Boyer CM, Pusztai L, Wiener JR, et al. Relative cytotoxic activity of immunotoxins reactive with different epitopes on the extracellular domain of the c-erbB-2 (HER-2/neu) gene product p185. Int J Cancer 1999; 82: 525-31.
- [531] Chignola R, Pasti M, Candiani C, et al. Escape mechanisms of human leukemic cells to long-term immunotoxin treatment in an in vitro experimental model. Int J Cancer 1995; 61: 535-41.
- [532] Derbyshire EJ, Wawrzynczak EJ. An anti-mucin immunotoxin BrE-3-ricin A-chain is potently and selectively toxic to human small-cell lung cancer. Int J Cancer 1992; 52: 624-30.
- [533] Calvete JA, Newell DR, Charlton CJ, Wright AF. Pharmacokinetic studies in mice with ICI D0490, a novel recombinant ricin A-chain immunotoxin. Br J Cancer 1993; 67: 1310-5.
- [534] Lustgarten J, Waks T, Eshhar Z. Prolonged inhibition of IgE production in mice following treatment with an IgE-specific immunotoxin. Mol Immunol 1996; 33: 245-51.
- [535] Engert A, Burrows F, Jung W, et al. Evaluation of Ricin A Chaincontaining Immunotoxins Directed against the CD30 Antigen as Potential Reagents for the Treatment of Hodgkin's Disease. Cancer Res 1990; 50: 84-8.
- [536] Ito T, Qiu H, Collins JA, Brill AB, Johnson DK, Griffin TW. Preclinical Assessments of 90Y-labeled C110 Anti-Carcinoembryonic Antigen Immunotoxin: A Therapeutic Immunoconjugate for Human Colon Cancer. Cancer Res 1991; 51: 255-60.
- [537] Levin L, Griffin T, Childs L, Davis S, Haagensen D, Jr. Comparison of multiple anti-CEA immunotoxins active against human ade-

nocarcinoma cells. Cancer Immunol Immunotherapy 1987; 24: 202-6.

- [538] Street NE, Fulton RJ, Sanders VM, Vitetta ES. Inhibition of the helper function of murine T cells with Fab'-anti-L3T4 ricin A chain immunotoxin. J Immunol1987; 139: 1734-8.
- [539] Thiesen HJ, Juhl H, Arndt R. Selective Killing of Human Bladder Cancer Cells by Combined Treatment with A and B Chain Ricin Antibody Conjugates. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 419-23.
- [540] Press OW, Martin PJ, Thorpe PE, Vitetta ES. Ricin A-chain containing immunotoxins directed against different epitopes on the CD2 molecule differ in their ability to kill normal and malignant T cells. J Immunology 1988; 141: 4410-7.
- [541] Roth JA, Ames RS, Byers V, Lee HM, Scannon PJ. Monoclonal antibody 45-2D9 conjugated to the A chain of ricin is specifically toxic to c-Ha-ras-transfected NIH 3T3 cells expressing gp74. J Immunology 1986; 136: 2305-10.
- [542] Roth JA, Ames RS, Fry K, Lee HM, Scannon PJ. Mediation of Reduction of Spontaneous and Experimental Pulmonary Metastases by Ricin A-Chain Immunotoxin 45-2D9-RTA with Potentiation by Systemic Monensin in Mice. Cancer Res 1988; 48: 3496-501.
- [543] Rennie D, Wright J, McGregor A, Weetman A, Hall R, Thorpe P. An immunotoxin of ricin A chain conjugated to thyroglobulin selectively supresses the anti-thyroglobulin autoantibody response. The Lancet 1983; 322: 1338-40.
- [544] Casellas P, Brown JP, Gros O, et al. Human melanoma cells can be killed in vitro by an immunotoxin specific for melanoma-associated antigen p97. Int J Cancer 1982; 30: 437-43.
- [545] Luo Y, Seon BK. Marked difference in the in vivo antitumor efficacy between two immunotoxins targeted to different epitopes of common acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigen (CD10). Mechanisms involved in the differential activities of immunotoxins. J Immunology 1990; 145: 1974-82.
- [546] Woo B, Lee J, Lee K. Ricin A immunotoxins of IgG and Fab of anti-CALLA monoclonal antibody: Effect of water soluble longchain SPDP on conjugate yield, immunoselectivity and cytotoxicity. Archives of Pharmacal Research 1994; 17: 452-7.
- [547] Ettenson D, Sheldon K, Marks A, Houston LL, Baumal R. Comparison of growth inhibition of a human ovarian adenocarcinoma cell line by free monoclonal antibodies and their corresponding antibody-recombinant ricin A chain immunotoxins. Anticancer Res 1988; 8: 833-8.
- [548] Burlet A, Chapleur-Chateau M, Haumont-Pellegri B, et al. Longterm reduction of vasopressin excretion induced by the central injection of an immunoconjugate (antibody to vasopressin linked to ricin a chain). Neuroscience 1992; 50: 965-73.
- [549] Derbyshire EJ, Wawrzynczak EJ. Monoclonal antibodies recognising the cluster 2 antigen associated with human small cell lung cancer mediate the toxic effects of ricin A chain in an indirect assay of immunotoxin cytotoxicity. Br J Cancer Suppl 1991; 14: 74-7.
- [550] Zhong W, Dai B. [Preparation of SOKT1-RTA immunotoxin and its specific cytotoxic effect on human T lymphocytes]. Hua Xi Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 1991; 22: 240-4.
- [551] Li S, Zhang XY, Chen XT, et al. Targeted anti-tumor agents and their cytotoxicity on gastric cancer cells in vitro. Chin Med J (Engl) 1990; 103: 376-9.
- [552] Paprocka M, Wiedlocha A, Radzikowski C. Mouse L1210V leukemia as a model to analyse efficiency of leukemic cell elimination by immunotoxin, antibody and complement, and cytostatic agents. In Vivo 1990; 4: 209-13.
- [553] Zenner HP. A monoclonal immunotoxin against laryngeal carcinoma cells. Otolaryngol Pol 1990; 44: 214-5.
- [554] Zenner H-P. Selective killing of laryngeal carcinoma cells by a monoclonal immunotoxin. The Annals of otology, rhinology & laryngology 1986; 95: 115-120.
- [555] Crews JR, Maier LA, Yu YH, *et al.* A combination of two immunotoxins exerts synergistic cytotoxic activity against human breastcancer cell lines. Int J Cancer 1992; 51: 772-9.
- [556] Derbyshire EJ, Stahel RA, Wawrzynczak EJ. Potentiation of a weakly active ricin A chain immunotoxin recognizing the neural cell adhesion molecule. Clin Exp Immunol 1992; 89: 336-40.
- [557] Shindo T, Ueda H, Makishima F, Suzuki E, Nishimura H. Efficient selection of mu m-mutants from mu m-expressing myeloma cells by treatment with ricin A-conjugated anti-mu antibody. Somat Cell Mol Genet 1992; 18: 553-8.
- [558] Zangemeister-Wittke U, Collinson AR, Frosch B, Waibel R, Schenker T, Stahel RA. Immunotoxins recognising a new epitope

on the neural cell adhesion molecule have potent cytotoxic effects against small cell lung cancer. Br J Cancer 1994; 69: 32-9.

- [559] Menzaghi F, Heinrichs SC, Merlo-Pich E, Tilders FJH, Koob GF. Involvement of hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing factor neurons in behavioral responses to novelty in rats. Neuroscience Letters 1994; 168: 139-42.
- [560] Usui H, Hakomori S. Evaluation of ricin A chain-containing immunotoxins directed against glycolipid and glycoprotein on mouse lymphoma cells. Acta Med Okayama 1994; 48: 305-9.
- [561] Thompson PA, McAtee R, Infante AJ, Currier P, Beninati W, Krolick KA. V beta-specific immunotoxin selectively kills acetylcholine receptor-reactive T lymphocytes from mice with experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis. Int Immunol 1994; 6: 1807-15.
- [562] Ohtomo T, Kawata H, Sekimori Y, et al. A humanized single-chain Fv fragment with high targeting potential against human malignant gliomas. Anticancer Res 1998; 18: 4311-5.
- [563] Shimizu K, Park KC, Tamura K, et al. Internalization with high targeting potential of mouse monoclonal antibody ONS-M21 recognizing human malignant glioma antigen. Cancer Letters 1998; 127: 171-6.
- [564] Shimuzu K, Nagai K, Nakagawa H. An Immunotoxin, Anti-VIP Antibody-Ricin A Chain Conjugate Eliminates Neurons in the Hypothalamic Suprachiasmatic Nucleus Selectively and Abolishes the Circadian Rhythm of Water Intake. Brain Res Bulletin 1996; 41: 369-78.
- [565] Marches R, Mota G, Margineanu M, et al. Treatment of murine EL4 leukemia in ascitic form with anti-Thy 1.2 specific immunotoxins. Neoplasma 1990; 37: 573-8.
- [566] Boltansky H, Slater J, Youle R, Isersky C, Kaliner M. IgEimmunotoxins. II. IgE-ricin A-chain. Immunopharmacology 1987; 14: 47-62.
- [567] Slater JE, Boltansky H, Kaliner M. IgE immunotoxins. Effect of an IgE-ricin A chain conjugate on rat skin histamine content. J Immunology 1988; 140: 807-11.
- [568] Weinberg AD, Bourdette DN, Sullivan TJ, et al. Selective depletion of myelin-reactive T cells with the anti-OX-40 antibody ameliorates autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Nat Med 1996; 2: 183-9.
- [569] Manjarrez-Orduño N, Parkhouse RME, Santos-Argumedo L. NIM-R7, a novel marker for resting B1 and marginal-zone B lymphocytes, is also expressed on activated T and B cells. Immunology 2003; 109: 232-7.
- [570] Thorpe PE, Brown AN, Bremner JA, Foxwell BM, Stirpe F. An immunotoxin composed of monoclonal anti-Thy 1.1 antibody and a ribosome-inactivating protein from Saponaria officinalis: potent antitumor effects in vitro and in vivo. J Natl Cancer Institute 1985; 75: 151-9.
- [571] Wiley RG, Oeltmann TN, Lappi DA. Immunolesioning: selective destruction of neurons using immunotoxin to rat NGF receptor. Brain Res 1991; 562: 149-53.
- [572] Wiley RG, Berbos TG, Deckwerth TL, Johnson Jr EM, Lappi DA. Destruction of the cholinergic basal forebrain using immunotoxin to rat NGF receptor: modeling the cholinergic degeneration of Alzheimer's disease. J the Neurological Sciences 1995; 128: 157-66.
- [573] Book AA, Wiley RG, Schweitzer JB. Specificity of 192 IgGsaporin for NGF receptor-positive cholinergic basal forebrain neurons in the rat. Brain Res 1992; 590: 350-5.
- [574] Kwok KHH, Law KB, Wong RNS, Yung KKL. Immunolesioning of nerve growth factor p75 receptor-containing neurons in the rat brain by a novel immunotoxin: anti-p75-anti-mouse IgGtrichosanthin conjugates. Brain Res 1999; 846: 154-63.
- [575] Lemoli RM, Tazzari PL, Fortuna A, et al. Positive selection of hematopoietic CD34+ stem cells provides 'indirect purging' of CD34- lymphoid cells and the purging efficiency is increased by anti-CD2 and anti-CD30 immunotoxins. Bone Marrow Transplant 1994; 13: 465-71.
- [576] Tazzari PL, Bolognesi A, de Totero D, et al. Immunotoxins containing saporin linked to different CD2 monoclonal antibodies: in vitro evaluation. Br J Haematol 1994; 86: 97-105.
- [577] Siena S, Lappi D, Bregni M, et al. Synthesis and characterization of an antihuman T-lymphocyte saporin immunotoxin (OKT1-SAP) with in vivo stability into nonhuman primates. Blood 1988; 72: 756-65.
- [578] Siena S, Bregni M, Formosa A, et al. Immunotoxin-mediated Inhibition of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Cell Proliferation in Humans. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 3328-32.

- [579] Siena S, Bregni M, Formosa A, et al. Immunotoxin mediated killing of clonable T-lymphocytes infiltrating an irreversibly rejected human renal allograft. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 1989; 3: 84-8.
- [580] Flavell DJ, Cooper S, Morland B, French R, Flavell SU. Effectiveness of combinations of bispecific antibodies for delivering saporin to human acute T-cell lymphoblastic leukaemia cell lines via CD7 and CD38 as cellular target molecules. Br J Cancer 1992; 65: 545-51.
- [581] Flavell DJ, Cooper S, Morland B, Flavell SU. Characteristics and performance of a bispecific F (ab'gamma)2 antibody for delivering saporin to a CD7+ human acute T-cell leukaemia cell line. Br J Cancer 1991; 64: 274-80.
- [582] Morland BJ, Barley J, Boehm D, et al. Effectiveness of HB2 (anti-CD7)--saporin immunotoxin in an in vivo model of human T-cell leukaemia developed in severe combined immunodeficient mice. Br J Cancer 1994; 69: 279-85.
- [583] Morland BJ, Boehm D, Flavell SU, Kohler JA, Flavell DJ. Immunotoxin studies in a model of human T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia developed in severe combined immune-deficient mice. Cell Biophysics 1994; 24-25: 315-29.
- [584] Flavell DJ, Boehm DA, Noss A, Warnes SL, Flavell SU. Therapy of human T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with a combination of anti-CD7 and anti-CD38-SAPORIN immunotoxins is significantly better than therapy with each individual immunotoxin. Br J Cancer 2001; 84: 571-8.
- [585] Flavell DJ, Flavell SU, Boehm DA, et al. Preclinical studies with the anti-CD19-saporin immunotoxin BU12-SAPORIN for the treatment of human-B-cell tumours. Br J Cancer 1995; 72: 1373-9.
- [586] Flavell DJ, Boehm DA, Emery L, Moss A, Ramsay A, Flavell SU. Therapy of human B-cell lymphoma bearing scid mice is more effective with anti-CD19-and anti-CD38-saporin immunotoxins used in combination than with either immunotoxin used alone. Int J Cancer 1995; 62: 337-44.
- [587] Flavell DJ, Warnes SL, Bryson CJ, et al. The anti-CD20 antibody rituximab augments the immunospecific therapeutic effectiveness of an anti-CD19 immunotoxin directed against human B-cell lymphoma. Br J Haematol 2006; 134: 157-70.
- [588] Polito L, Bolognesi A, Tazzari PL, et al. The conjugate Rituximab/saporin-S6 completely inhibits clonogenic growth of CD20expressing cells and produces a synergistic toxic effect with Fludarabine. Leukemia 2004; 18: 1215-22.
- [589] Bonardi MA, French RR, Amlot P, Gromo G, Modena D, Glennie MJ. Delivery of Saporin to Human B-Cell Lymphoma Using Bispecific Antibody: Targeting via CD22 but not CD19, CD37, or Immunoglobulin Results in Efficient Killing. Cancer Res 1993; 53: 3015-21.
- [590] French RR, Bell AJ, Hamblin TJ, Tutt AL, Glennie MJ. Response of B-cell lymphoma to a combination of bispecific antibodies and saporin. Leukemia Res 1996; 20: 607-617.
- [591] French RR, Tutt AL, Glennie MJ, Hamblin TJ, Bell AJ. Treatment of B-cell lymphomas with combination of bispecific antibodies and saporin. The Lancet 1995; 346: 223-4.
- [592] Bolognesi A, Polito L, Farini V, et al. CD38 as a target of IB4 mAb carrying saporin-S6: design of an immunotoxin for ex vivo depletion of hematological CD38+ neoplasia. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2005; 19: 145.
- [593] Vooijs WC, Otten HG, van Vliet M, van Dijk AJ, de Weger RA, de Boer M, Bohlen H, Bolognesi A, Polito L, de Gast GC. B7-1 (CD80) as target for immunotoxin therapy for Hodgkin's disease. Br J Cancer 1997; 76: 1163-9.
- [594] Palmisano GL, Tazzari PL, Cozzi E, et al. Expression of CTLA-4 in nonhuman primate lymphocytes and its use as a potential target for specific immunotoxin-mediated apoptosis: results of in vitro studies. Clin Exp Immunol 2004; 135: 259-66.
- [595] Tazzari P-L, Polito L, Bolognesi A, et al. Immunotoxins Containing Recombinant Anti-CTLA-4 Single-Chain Fragment Variable Antibodies and Saporin: In Vitro Results and In Vivo Effects in an Acute Rejection Model. J Immunol 2001; 167: 4222-9.
- [596] Pistillo MP, Tazzari PL, Palmisano GL, et al. CTLA-4 is not restricted to the lymphoid cell lineage and can function as a target molecule for apoptosis induction of leukemic cells. Blood 2003; 101: 202-9.
- [597] Polito L, Bortolotti M, Farini V, Pedrazzi M, Tazzari PL, Bolognesi A. ATG-saporin-S6 immunotoxin: a new potent and selective drug to eliminate activated lymphocytes and lymphoma cells. Br J Haematol 2009; 147: 710-8.

- [598] Bregni M, Siena S, Formosa A, et al. B-cell restricted saporin immunotoxins: activity against B-cell lines and chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. Blood 1989; 73: 753-62.
- [599] Heisler I, Sutherland M, Bachran C, et al. Combined application of saponin and chimeric toxins drastically enhances the targeted cytotoxicity on tumor cells. J Controlled Release 2005; 106: 123-37.
- [600] Fuchs H, Bachran C, Li T, Heisler I, Dürkop H, Sutherland M. A cleavable molecular adapter reduces side effects and concomitantly enhances efficacy in tumor treatment by targeted toxins in mice. J Controlled Release 2007; 117: 342-50.
- [601] Bachran C, Dürkop H, Sutherland M, et al. Inhibition of tumor growth by targeted toxins in mice is dramatically improved by saponinum album in a synergistic way. J Immunotherap 2009; 32: 713-25.
- [602] Hoffmann C, Bachran C, Stanke J, et al. Creation and characterization of a xenograft model for human cervical cancer. Gynecologic Oncology 2010; 118: 76-80.
- [603] Beitz JG, Davol P, Clark JW, et al. Antitumor Activity of Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor-Saporin Mitotoxin in Vitro and in Vivo. Cancer Res 1992; 52: 227-30.
- [604] Tetzke T, Caton M, Maher P, Parandoosh Z. Effect of fibroblast growth factor saporin mitotoxins on human bladder cell lines. Clin Experimental Metastasis 1997; 15: 620-9.
- [605] Davol P, Frackelton Jr AR. The Mitotoxin, Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor-Saporin, Effectively Targets Human Prostatic Carcinoma in an Animal Model. J Urol 1996; 156: 1174-9.
- [606] Siva AC, Wild MA, Kirkland RE, et al. Targeting CUB Domain-Containing Protein 1 with a Monoclonal Antibody Inhibits Metastasis in a Prostate Cancer Model. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 3759-66.
- [607] Kuroda K, Liu H, Kim S, Guo M, Navarro V, Bander NH. Saporin toxin-conjugated monoclonal antibody targeting prostate-specific membrane antigen has potent anticancer activity. The Prostate 2010; 70: 1286-94.
- [608] Tecce R, Nicotra M, Fraioli R, Cuomo M, Trizio D, Natali P. Saporin 6 conjugated to monoclonal antibody selectively kills human melanoma cells. Melanoma Res 1991; 1: 115-24.
- [609] Poccia F, Piselli P, Di Cesare S, et al. Recognition and killing of tumour cells expressing heat shock protein 65 kD with immunotoxins containing saporin. Br J Cancer 1992; 66: 427-32.
- [610] Piselli P, Vendetti S, Poccia F, et al. In vitro and in vivo efficacy of heat shock protein specific immunotoxins on human tumor cells. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 1995; 9: 55-62.
- [611] Piazza T, Cha E, Bongarzone I, et al. Internalization and recycling of ALCAM/CD166 detected by a fully human single-chain recombinant antibody. J Cell Science 2005; 118: 1515-25.
- [612] Foehr ED, Lorente G, Kuo J, Ram R, Nikolich K, Urfer R. Targeting of the Receptor Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase β with a Monoclonal Antibody Delays Tumor Growth in a Glioblastoma Model. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 2271-8.
- [613] Battelli MG, Buonamici L, Bolognesi A, Stirpe F. In vivo and in vitro uptake of an anti-CD30/saporin immunotoxin by rat liver parenchymal and nonparenchymal cells. Hepatology 1994; 20: 940-7.
- [614] Pasqualucci L, Wasik M, Teicher B, et al. Antitumor activity of anti-CD30 immunotoxin (Ber-H2/saporin) in vitro and in severe combined immunodeficiency disease mice xenografted with human CD30+ anaplastic large-cell lymphoma. Blood 1995; 85: 2139-46.
- [615] Falini B, Flenghi L, Aversa F, et al. Response of refractory Hodgkin's disease to monoclonal anti-CD30 immunotoxin. Lancet 1992; 339: 1195-6.
- [616] Tazzari PL, Bolognesi A, Totero Dd, et al. Ber-H2 (anti-CD30)saporin immunotoxin: a new tool for the the treatment of Hodgkin's disease and CD30+ lymphoma: in vitro evaluation. Br J Haematol 1992; 81: 203-11.
- [617] Giulian D, Haverkamp LJ, Yu JH, et al. Specific domains of betaamyloid from Alzheimer plaque elicit neuron killing in human microglia. J Neurosci 1996; 16: 6021-37.
- [618] Chen J, Zhou Y, Mueller-Steiner S, et al. SIRT1 protects against microglia-dependent amyloid-beta toxicity through inhibiting NFkappaB signaling. J Biol Chem 2005; 280: 40364-74.
- [619] Ancheta LR, Lappi DA. Basigin-2 (EMMPRIN), a Prognostic Marker, is a Dynamic Portal of Entry into Cancer Cells. Targeting Trends 2011; 12: 2.
- [620] Lei Z, Hadley GA. Application of anti-CD103 immunotoxin for saving islet allograft in context of transplantation. Chinese medical journal 2010; 123: 3644-51.

- [621] Laplante F, Lappi DA, Sullivan RM. Cholinergic depletion in the nucleus accumbens: Effects on amphetamine response and sensorimotor gating. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry 2011; 35: 501-9.
- [622] Laplante F, Zhang ZW, Huppé-Gourgues F, Dufresne MM, Vaucher E, Sullivan RM. Cholinergic depletion in nucleus accumbens impairs mesocortical dopamine activation and cognitive function in rats. Neuropharmacology 2012; 63: 1075-84.
- [623] Laplante F, Dufresne MM, Ouboudinar J, Ochoa-sanchez R, Sullivan RM. Reduction in cholinergic interneuron density in the nucleus accumbens attenuates local extracellular dopamine release in response to stress or amphetamine. Synapse 2013; 67: 21-9.
- [624] Wiley RG, Harrison MB, Levey AI, Lappi DA. Destruction of Midbrain Dopaminergic Neurons by Using Immunotoxin to Dopamine Transporter. Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology 2003; 23: 839-50.
- [625] Wrenn CC, Picklo MJ, Lappi DA, Robertson D, Wiley RG. Central noradrenergic lesioning using anti-DBH-saporin: anatomical findings. Brain Res 1996; 740: 175-84.
- [626] Ritter S, Bugarith K, Dinh TT. Immunotoxic destruction of distinct catecholamine subgroups produces selective impairment of glucoregulatory responses and neuronal activation. J Comparative Neurology 2001; 432: 197-216.
- [627] Milstein J, Lehmann O, Theobald DH, Dalley J, Robbins T. Selective depletion of cortical noradrenaline by anti-dopamine betahydroxylase-saporin impairs attentional function and enhances the effects of guanfacine in the rat. Psychopharmacology 2007; 190: 51-63.
- [628] Dias MB, Nucci TB, Margatho LO, et al. Raphe magnus nucleus is involved in ventilatory but not hypothermic response to CO2. J Applied Physiology 2007; 103: 1780-8.
- [629] Sun YG, Zhao ZQ, Meng XL, Yin J, Liu XY, Chen ZF. Cellular Basis of Itch Sensation. Science 2009; 325: 1531-4.
- [630] Liu XY, Liu ZC, Sun YG, et al. Unidirectional Cross-Activation of GRPR by MOR1D Uncouples Itch and Analgesia Induced by Opioids. Cell 2011; 147: 447-58.
- [631] Zhang W, Gardell S, Zhang D, et al. Neuropathic pain is maintained by brainstem neurons co-expressing opioid and cholecystokinin receptors. Brain 2009; 132: 778-87.
- [632] Maciejewski-Lenoir D, Heinrichs SC, Liu XJ, et al. Selective Impairment of Corticotropin-Releasing Factor1 (CRF1) Receptor-Mediated Function Using CRF Coupled to Saporin. Endocrinology 2000; 141: 498-504.
- [633] Turner LH, Lim CE, Heinrichs SC. Antisocial and seizure susceptibility phenotypes in an animal model of epilepsy are normalized by impairment of brain corticotropin-releasing factor. Epilepsy & Behavior 2007; 10: 8-15.
- [634] Jasmin L, Janni G, Moallem TM, Lappi DA, Ohara PT. Schwann cells are removed from the spinal cord after effecting recovery from paraplegia. J Neurosci 2000; 20: 9215-23.
- [635] Lemons LL, Wiley RG. Galanin receptor-expressing dorsal horn neurons: Role in nociception. Neuropeptides 2011; 45: 377-83.
- [636] Pang KCH, Jiao X, Sinha S, Beck KD, Servatius RJ. Damage of GABAergic neurons in the medial septum impairs spatial working memory and extinction of active avoidance: Effects on proactive interference. Hippocampus 2011; 21: 835-46.
- [637] Wiater MF, Li AJ, Dinh TT, Jansen HT, Ritter S. Leptin-sensitive neurons in the arcuate nucleus integrate activity and temperature circadian rhythms and anticipatory responses to food restriction. American J physiology. Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology 2013.
- [638] Li AJ, Wiater MF, Oostrom MT, et al. Leptin-sensitive neurons in the arcuate nuclei contribute to endogenous feeding rhythms. American J Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology 2012; 302: R1313-26.
- [639] Dommergues MA, Plaisant F, Verney C, Gressens P. Early microglial activation following neonatal excitotoxic brain damage in mice: a potential target for neuroprotection. Neuroscience 2003; 121: 619-28.
- [640] Zhao P, Waxman SG, Hains BC. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase-regulated microglia-neuron signaling by prostaglandin E2 contributes to pain after spinal cord injury. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 2357-68.
- [641] Domico LM, Cooper KR, Bernard LP, Zeevalk GD. Reactive oxygen species generation by the ethylene-bis-dithiocarbamate

(EBDC) fungicide mancozeb and its contribution to neuronal toxicity in mesencephalic cells. NeuroToxicology 2007; 28: 1079-91.

- [642] Heldmann U, Mine Y, Kokaia Z, Ekdahl CT, Lindvall O. Selective depletion of Mac-1-expressing microglia in rat subventricular zone does not alter neurogenic response early after stroke. Experimental Neurology 2011; 229: 391-8.
- [643] Fine A, Hoyle C, Maclean CJ, LeVatte TL, Baker HF, Ridley RM. Learning impairments following injection of a selective cholinergic immunotoxin, ME20.4 IgG-saporin, into the basal nucleus of Meynert in monkeys. Neuroscience 1997; 81: 331-43.
- [644] Ridley RM, Barefoot HC, Maclean CJ, Pugh P, Baker HF. Different effects on learning ability after injection of the cholinergic immunotoxin ME20. 4IgG-saporin into the diagonal band of Broca, basal nucleus of Meynert, or both in monkeys. Behavioral neuroscience 1999; 113: 303.
- [645] Göz D, Studholme K, Lappi DA, Rollag MD, Provencio I, Morin LP. Targeted Destruction of Photosensitive Retinal Ganglion Cells with a Saporin Conjugate Alters the Effects of Light on Mouse Circadian Rhythms. PLoS ONE 2008; 3: e3153.
- [646] Ingham ES, Günhan E, Fuller PM, Fuller CA. Immunotoxininduced ablation of melanopsin retinal ganglion cells in a nonmurine mammalian model. J Comparative Neurology 2009; 516: 125-40.
- [647] Mittelman-Smith MA, Williams H, Krajewski-Hall SJ, et al. Arcuate Kisspeptin/Neurokinin B/Dynorphin (KNDy) Neurons Mediate the Estrogen Suppression of Gonadotropin Secretion and Body Weight. Endocrinology 2012; 153: 2800-12.
- [648] Bugarith K, Dinh TT, Li AJ, Speth RC, Ritter S. Basomedial Hypothalamic Injections of Neuropeptide Y Conjugated to Saporin Selectively Disrupt Hypothalamic Controls of Food Intake. Endocrinology 2005; 146: 1179-91.
- [649] Li AJ, Dinh TT, Ritter S. Hyperphagia and obesity produced by arcuate injection of NPY-saporin do not require upregulation of lateral hypothalamic orexigenic peptide genes. Peptides 2008; 29: 1732-1739.
- [650] Baskin DG, Kim F, Gelling RW, et al. A New Oxytocin-Saporin Cytotoxin for Lesioning Oxytocin-Receptive Neurons in the Rat Hindbrain. Endocrinology 2010; 151: 4207-13.
- [651] Nattie EE, Li A. Substance P-saporin lesion of neurons with NK1 receptors in one chemoreceptor site in rats decreases ventilation and chemosensitivity. J Physiology 2002; 544: 603-16.
- [652] Mantyh PW, Rogers SD, Honore P, et al. Inhibition of Hyperalgesia by Ablation of Lamina I Spinal Neurons Expressing the Substance P Receptor. Science 1997; 278: 275-9.
- [653] Allen JW, Mantyh PW, Horais K, et al. Safety Evaluation of Intrathecal Substance P-Saporin, a Targeted Neurotoxin, in Dogs. Toxicological Sciences 2006; 91: 286-298.
- [654] Gerashchenko D, Salin-Pascual R, Shiromani PJ. Effects of hypocretin-saporin injections into the medial septum on sleep and hippocampal theta. Brain Res 2001; 913: 106-15.
- [655] Barbieri L, Bolognesi A, Dinota A, et al. Selective Killing of CD4+ and CD8+ Cells with Immunotoxins Containing Saporin. Scandinavian J Immunology 1989; 30: 369-72.
- [656] Tazzari P, Barbieri L, Gobbi M, et al. An immunotoxin containing a rat IgM monoclonal antibody (Campath 1) and saporin 6: effect on T lymphocytes and hemopoietic cells. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy 1988; 26: 231-6.
- [657] Bregni M, Lappi DA, Siena S, et al. Activity of a Monoclonal Antibody-Saporin-6 Conjugate Against B-Lymphoma Cells. J Natl Cancer Institute 1988; 80: 511-7.
- [658] Barbieri L, Dinota A, Gobbi M, et al. Immunotoxins containing saporin 6 and monoclonal antibodies recognizing plasma cellassociated antigens: Effects on target cells and on normal myeloid precursors (CFU-GM). Eur J Haematol 1989; 42: 238-45.
- [659] Flavell DJ, Noss A, Pulford KAF, Ling N, Flavell SU. Systemic Therapy with 3BIT, a Triple Combination Cocktail of Anti-CD19, -CD22, and -CD38-Saporin Immunotoxins, Is Curative of Human B-Cell Lymphoma in Severe Combined Immunodeficient Mice. Cancer Res 1997; 57: 4824-9.
- [660] Cazzola M, Bergamaschi G, Dezza L, et al. Cytotoxic Activity of an Anti-Transferrin Receptor Immunotoxin on Normal and Leukemic Human Hematopoietic Progenitors. Cancer Res 1991; 51: 536-41.
- [661] Tecce R, Fraioli R, De Fabritiis P, et al. Production and characterization of two immunotoxins specific for M5b ANLL leukaemia. Int J Cancer 1991; 49: 310-6.

- [662] Cavallaro U, del Vecchio A, Lappi DA, Soria MR. A conjugate between human urokinase and saporin, a type-1 ribosomeinactivating protein, is selectively cytotoxic to urokinase receptorexpressing cells. J Biological Chem 1993; 268: 23186-90.
- [663] Davol P, Beitz JG, Mohler M, et al. Saporin toxins directed to basic fibroblast growth factor receptors effectively target human ovarian teratocarcinoma in an animal model. Cancer 1995; 76: 79-85.
- [664] Chandler LA, Sosnowski BA, McDonald JR, et al. Targeting tumor cells via EGF receptors: Selective toxicity of an HBEGF-toxin fusion protein. Int J Cancer 1998; 78: 106-11.
- [665] Tazzari PL, Bolognesi A, De Totero D, et al. B-B10 (Anti-Cd25)-Saporin Immunotoxin-A Possible Tool in Graft-Versus-Host Disease Treatment. Transplantation 1992; 54: 351-6.
- [666] Tecce R, Digiesi G, Savarese A, Trizio D, Natali PG. Characterization of cytotoxic activity of saporin anti-gp185/HER-2 immunotoxins. Int J Cancer 1993; 55: 122-7.
- [667] Hess PR, Barnes C, Woolard MD, et al. Selective deletion of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells by MHC class I tetramers coupled to the type I ribosome-inactivating protein saporin. Blood 2007; 109: 3300-7.
- [668] Bak SP, Walters JJ, Takeya M, Conejo-Garcia JR, Berwin BL. Scavenger Receptor-A-Targeted Leukocyte Depletion Inhibits Peritoneal Ovarian Tumor Progression. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 4783-4789.
- [669] Ippoliti R, Lendaro E, Bellelli A, et al. A saporin-insulin conjugate: Synthesis and biochemical characterization. Natural Toxins 1996; 4: 156-62.
- [670] Vooijs WC, Post J, Wijdenes J, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of plasma-cell-reactive monoclonal antibodies B-B2 and B-B4 and their immunotoxins. Cancer Immunol Immunotherapy 1996; 42: 319-28.
- [671] Blank M, Manosroi J, Tomer Y, et al. Suppression of experimental systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) with specific anti-idiotypic antibody-saporin conjugate. Clin Experimental Immunol 1994; 98: 434-41.
- [672] Glennie MJ, McBride HM, Stirpe F, Thorpe PE, Worth AT, Stevenson GT. Emergence of immunoglobulin variants following treatment of a B cell leukemia with an immunotoxin composed of antiidiotypic antibody and saporin. J Exp Med 1987; 166: 43-62.
- [673] Chiaramonte R, Polizzi D, Bartolini E, Petroni D, Comi P. P1GFsaporin fusion protein: a potential anti-angiogenic agent. Anticancer Drug Des 1997; 12: 649-57.
- [674] Lombardi A, Bursomanno S, Lopardo T, Traini R, Colombatti M, Ippoliti R, Flavell DJ, Flavell SU, Ceriotti A, Fabbrini MS. Pichia pastoris as a host for secretion of toxic saporin chimeras. The FASEB Journal 2010; 24: 253-265.
- [675] Yip WL, Weyergang A, Berg K, Tønnesen HH, Selbo PK. Targeted Delivery and Enhanced Cytotoxicity of Cetuximab–Saporin by Photochemical Internalization in EGFR-Positive Cancer Cells. Mol Pharmaceutics 2007; 4: 241-51.
- [676] Gilabert-Oriol R, Thakur M, von Mallinckrodt B, et al. Modified trastuzumab and cetuximab mediate efficient toxin delivery while retaining antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity in target cells. Mol Pharmaceutics 2013.
- [677] Berstad MB, Weyergang A, Berg K. Photochemical internalization (PCI) of HER2-targeted toxins: Synergy is dependent on the treatment sequence. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects 2012; 1820: 1849-1858.
- [678] Zhao XY, Liu HL, Liu B, Willuda J, Siemeister G, Mahmoudi M, Dinter H. Tomoregulin internalization confers selective cytotoxicity of immunotoxins on prostate cancer cells. Transl Oncol 2008; 1: 102-9.
- [679] Duxbury MS, Ito H, Ashley SW, Whang EE. CEACAM6 as a novel target for indirect type 1 immunotoxin-based therapy in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Biochem Biophys Res Communicat 2004; 317: 837-43.
- [680] Yeung YA, Finney AH, Koyrakh IA, et al. Isolation and characterization of human antibodies targeting human aspartyl (asparaginyl) β-hydroxylase. Human Antibodies 2007; 16: 163-76.
- [681] Rouleau C, Curiel M, Weber W, et al. Endosialin Protein Expression and Therapeutic Target Potential in Human Solid Tumors: Sarcoma versus Carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14: 7223-36.
- [682] Quadros EV, Nakayama Y, Sequeira JM. Saporin Conjugated Monoclonal Antibody to the Transcobalamin Receptor TCblR/CD320 Is Effective in Targeting and Destroying Cancer Cells. J Cancer Therapy 2013; 4: 1074-81.

- [683] Yang MY, Chaudhary A, Seaman S, et al. The cell surface structure of tumor endothelial marker 8 (TEM8) is regulated by the actin cytoskeleton. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Res 2011; 1813: 39-49.
- [684] Dinota A, Tazzari PL, Michieli M, et al. In Vitro Bone Marrow Purging of Multidrug-resistant Cells with a Mouse Monoclonal Antibody Directed against Mr 170, 000 Glycoprotein and a Saporinconjugated Anti-Mouse Antibody. Cancer Res 1990; 50: 4291-4.
- [685] Wang QC, Ying WB, Xie H, Zhang ZC, Yang ZH, Ling LQ. Trichosanthin-monoclonal Antibody Conjugate Specifically Cytotoxic to Human Hepatoma Cells in Vitro. Cancer Res 1991; 51: 3353-5.
- [686] Qi L, Yu-Chen J, Wen-Jie L. Antitumor Effect of TCS-Hepama-1 Immunotoxin and Its Combined Use with ADM on Human Hepatoma Bearing Nude Mice. Chinese J Clin Oncol 1997; 1: 1.
- [687] Jlbin L, Xiaodong L, Mingwu W. The Preparation of McAB TCS Conjugate and Its in VItro Tests of Cytotoxicity Against Human Lung Cancer Cell. J China Med University 1994; 4.
- [688] Jibin L, Yaming L, Xiaodong L. Targeting Treatment with Imunotoxin Trichosanthin Conjugated with Monoclonal Antibody on Nude Mice Model Bearing Humen Lung Adenocarcinoma [J]. J China Med University 1995; 3.
- [689] Yuan H, Ji R, Zhang R, Cao H, Zhang Z. Purification of trichosanthin-monoclonal antibody conjugate by monoclonal antibody affinity chromatography. Chinese J immunol 1996; 12: 246-9.
- [690] Li Y, Chen J, Yang A, Luo R. Purification of EGF-TCS recombinant fusion protein and its targeting action on human tumor cells in vitro. Acta Acad Med Mil Tert 2007; 29: 1316-9.
- [691] Yang HW, Li YM. [Antitumor effect of recombinant immunotoxin EGF-TCS in nude mice bearing human hepatocellular carcinoma]. Nan fang yi ke da xue xue bao = J Southern Med University 2007; 27: 1535-6.
- [692] Li Y-m, Huo Y-h, Yang M-h. Antitumor Effect of Recombinant Immunotoxin EGF-TCSredlk on Tumor-bearing Mouse Model. J Changchun University Traditional Chinese Med 2011; 5: 9.
- [693] Casellas P, Bourrie BJ, Gros P, Jansen FK. Kinetics of cytotoxicity induced by immunotoxins. Enhancement by lysosomotropic amines and carboxylic ionophores. J Biological Chem 1984; 259: 9359-64.
- [694] Casellas P, Ravel S, Bourrie B, Derocq J, Jansen F, Laurent G, Gros P. T-lymphocyte killing by T101-ricin A-chain immunotoxin: pH-dependent potentiation with lysosomotropic amines. Blood 1988; 72: 1197-202.
- [695] Siena S, Villa S, Bregni M, Bonnadonna G, Gianni A. Amantadine potentiates T lymphocyte killing by an anti-pan-T cell (CD5) ricin A-chain immunotoxin. Blood 1987; 69: 345-8.
- [696] Marcil J, Ravindranath N, Sairam MR. Cytotoxic activity of lutropin-gelonin conjugate in mouse Leydig tumor cells: Potentiation of the hormonotoxin activity by different drugs. Mol Cellular Endocrinol 1993; 92: 83-90.
- [697] Geden SE, Gardner RA, Fabbrini MS, Ohashi M, Phanstiel Iv O, Teter K. Lipopolyamine treatment increases the efficacy of intoxication with saporin and an anticancer saporin conjugate. FEBS Journal 2007; 274: 4825-36.
- [698] Akiyama S-i, Seth P, Pirker R, FitzGerald D, Gottesman MM, Pastan I. Potentiation of Cytotoxic Activity of Immunotoxins on Cultured Human Cells. Cancer Res 1985; 45: 1005-7.
- [699] Singh M, Griffin T, Salimi A, Micetich RG, Atwal H. Potentiation of ricin A immunotoxin by monoclonal antibody targeted monensin containing small unilamellar vesicles. Cancer Letters 1994; 84: 15-21
- [700] Pirker R, FitzGerald DJP, Raschack M, Frank Z, Willingham MC, Pastan I. Enhancement of the Activity of Immunotoxins by Analogues of Verapamil. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 4791-5.
- [701] Jaffrézou J-P, Levade T, Kuhlein E, Thurneyssen O, Chiron M, Grandjean H, Carrière D, Laurent G. Enhancement of Ricin A Chain Immunotoxin Activity by Perhexiline on Established and Fresh Leukemic Cells. Cancer Res 1990; 50: 5558-66.
- [702] Jaffrézou JP, Levade T, Thurneyssen O, et al. In Vitro and in Vivo Enhancement of Ricin-A Chain Immunotoxin Activity by Novel Indolizine Calcium Channel Blockers: Delayed Intracellular Degradation Linked to Lipidosis Induction. Cancer Res 1992; 52: 1352-9
- [703] Boussif O, Lezoualc'h F, Zanta MA, et al. A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into cells in culture and in vivo: polyethylenimine. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1995; 92: 7297-301.

- [704] Pattrick NG, Richardson SCW, Casolaro M, Ferruti P, Duncan R. Poly(amidoamine)-mediated intracytoplasmic delivery of ricin Achain and gelonin. J Controlled Release 2001; 77: 225-32.
- [705] Lai PS, Pai CL, Peng CL, Shieh MJ, Berg K, Lou PJ. Enhanced cytotoxicity of saporin by polyamidoamine dendrimer conjugation and photochemical internalization. J Biomed Mater Res A 2008; 87: 147-55.
- [706] Lin C, Zhong Z, Lok MC, Jiang X, Hennink WE, Feijen J, Engbersen JF. Novel bioreducible poly(amido amine)s for highly efficient gene delivery. Bioconjug Chem 2007; 18: 138-45.
- [707] Cheung CY, Murthy N, Stayton PS, Hoffman AS. A pH-Sensitive Polymer That Enhances Cationic Lipid-Mediated Gene Transfer. Bioconjugate Chem 2001; 12: 906-10.
- [708] Farhood H, Serbina N, Huang L. The role of dioleoyl phosphatidylethanolamine in cationic liposome mediated gene transfer. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1995; 1235: 289-95.
- [709] Mudhakir D, Akita H, Tan E, Harashima H. A novel IRQ ligandmodified nano-carrier targeted to a unique pathway of caveolar endocytic pathway. J Controlled Release 2008; 125: 164-73.
- [710] Wu YN, Gadina M, Tao-Cheng JH, Youle RJ. Retinoic acid disrupts the Golgi apparatus and increases the cytosolic routing of specific protein toxins. J Cell Biol 1994; 125: 743-53.
- [711] Jaffrezou JP, Sikic BI, Laurent G. Cyclosporin A and cyclosporin SDZ PSC 833 enhance anti-CD5 ricin A-chain immunotoxins in human leukemic T cells. Blood 1994; 83: 482-9.
- [712] Yefenof E, Abboud G, Epszteyn S, Vitetta ES. Treatment of premalignancy: prevention of lymphoma in radiation leukemia virusinoculated mice by cyclosporin A and immunotoxin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992; 89: 728-32.
- [713] Hudson TH, Grillo FG. Brefeldin-A enhancement of ricin A-chain immunotoxins and blockade of intact ricin, modeccin, and abrin. J Biological Chem 1991; 266: 18586-92.
- [714] Biberacher V, Decker T, Oelsner M, Wagner M, Bogner C, Schmidt B, Kreitman RJ, Peschel C, Pastan I, Meyer zum Büschenfelde C, Ringshausen I. The cytotoxicity of anti-CD22 immunotoxin is enhanced by bryostatin 1 in B-cell lymphomas through CD22 upregulation and PKC-βII depletion. Haematologica 2012; 97: 771-9.
- [715] Davol PA, Bizuneh A, Frackelton AR, Jr. Wortmannin, a phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor, selectively enhances cytotoxicity of receptor-directed-toxin chimeras in vitro and in vivo. Anticancer Res 1999; 19: 1705-13.
- [716] Fielden ML, Perrin C, Kremer A, Bergsma M, Stuart MC, Camilleri P, Engberts JBFN. Sugar-based tertiary amino gemini surfactants with a vesicle-to-micelle transition in the endosomal pH range mediate efficient transfection in vitro. Eur J Biochem 2001; 268: 1269-79.
- [717] Wang X-L, Ramusovic S, Nguyen T, Lu Z-R. Novel Polymerizable Surfactants with pH-Sensitive Amphiphilicity and Cell Membrane Disruption for Efficient siRNA Delivery. Bioconjugate Chem 2007; 18: 2169-77.
- [718] Wang XL, Xu R, Lu ZR. A peptide-targeted delivery system with pH-sensitive amphiphilic cell membrane disruption for efficient receptor-mediated siRNA delivery. J Controlled Release 2009; 134: 207-13.
- [719] Fitzgerald DJP, Padmanabhan R, Pastan I, Willingham MC. Adenovirus-induced release of epidermal growth factor and pseudomonas toxin into the cytosol of KB cells during receptor-mediated endocytosis. Cell 1983; 32: 607-17.
- [720] Curiel DT, Agarwal S, Wagner E, Cotten M. Adenovirus enhancement of transferrin-polylysine-mediated gene delivery. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1991; 88: 8850-4.
- [721] Griffin TW, Childs LR, FitzGerald DJP, Levin LV. Enhancement of the Cytotoxic Effect of Anti-Carcinoembryonic Antigen Immunotoxins by Adenovirus and Carboxylic lonophores. J Natl Cancer Institute 1987; 79: 679-85.
- [722] Seth P, Fitzgerald D, Ginsberg H, Willingham M, Pastan I. Evidence that the penton base of adenovirus is involved in potentiation of toxicity of Pseudomonas exotoxin conjugated to epidermal growth factor. Mole Cellular Biol 1984; 4: 1528-33.
- [723] Goldmacher VS, Blättler WA, Lambert JM, McIntyre G, Stewart J. Cytotoxicity of gelonin conjugated to targeting molecules: effects of weak amines, monensin, adenovirus, and adenoviral capsid proteins penton, hexon, and fiber. Mol Pharmacol 1989; 36: 818-22.

- [724] Wiethoff CM, Wodrich H, Gerace L, Nemerow GR. Adenovirus Protein VI Mediates Membrane Disruption following Capsid Disassembly. J Virol 2005; 79: 1992-2000.
- [725] Kickhoefer VA, Garcia Y, Mikyas Y, et al. Engineering of vault nanocapsules with enzymatic and fluorescent properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 4348-52.
- [726] Plank C, Oberhauser B, Mechtler K, Koch C, Wagner E. The influence of endosome-disruptive peptides on gene transfer using synthetic virus-like gene transfer systems. J Biological Chem 1994; 269: 12918-24.
- [727] Subramanian A, Ma H, Dahl KN, Zhu J, Diamond SL. Adenovirus or HA-2 fusogenic peptide-assisted lipofection increases cytoplasmic levels of plasmid in nondividing endothelium with little enhancement of transgene expression. J Gene Medicine 2002; 4: 75-83.
- [728] Wagner E, Plank C, Zatloukal K, Cotten M, Birnstiel ML. Influenza virus hemagglutinin HA-2 N-terminal fusogenic peptides augment gene transfer by transferrin-polylysine-DNA complexes: toward a synthetic virus-like gene-transfer vehicle. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1992; 89: 7934-8.
- [729] Elliott G, O'Hare P. Intercellular Trafficking and Protein Delivery by a Herpesvirus Structural Protein. Cell 1997; 88: 223-33.
- [730] Kakudo T, Chaki S, Futaki S, et al. Transferrin-Modified Liposomes Equipped with a pH-Sensitive Fusogenic Peptide: An Artificial Viral-like Delivery System. Biochemistry 2004; 43: 5618-28.
- [731] Sasaki K, Kogure K, Chaki S, et al. An artificial virus-like nano carrier system: enhanced endosomal escape of nanoparticles via synergistic action of pH-sensitive fusogenic peptide derivatives. Analytical Bioanalytical Chem 2008; 391: 2717-27.
- [732] Wyman TB, Nicol F, Zelphati O, Scaria PV, Plank C, Szoka FC. Design, Synthesis, and Characterization of a Cationic Peptide That Binds to Nucleic Acids and Permeabilizes Bilayers. Biochemistry 1997; 36: 3008-17.
- [733] Min SH, Lee DC, Lim MJ, et al. A composite gene delivery system consisting of polyethylenimine and an amphipathic peptide KALA. J Gene Medicine 2006; 8: 1425-34.
- [734] Lee H, Jeong JH, Park TG. A new gene delivery formulation of polyethylenimine/DNA complexes coated with PEG conjugated fusogenic peptide. J Controlled Release 2001; 76: 183-92.
- [735] Jiang X, Lok MC, Hennink WE. Degradable-Brushed pHEMApDMAEMA Synthesized via ATRP and Click Chemistry for Gene Delivery. Bioconjugate Chem 2007; 18: 2077-84.
- [736] Oliveira S, van Rooy I, Kranenburg O, Storm G, Schiffelers RM. Fusogenic peptides enhance endosomal escape improving siRNAinduced silencing of oncogenes. Int J Pharmaceutics 2007; 331: 211-4.
- [737] Mastrobattista E, Koning GA, van Bloois L, Filipe ACS, Jiskoot W, Storm G. Functional Characterization of an Endosomedisruptive Peptide and Its Application in Cytosolic Delivery of Immunoliposome-entrapped Proteins. J Biological Chem 2002; 277: 27135-43.
- [738] Eguchi A, Akuta T, Okuyama H, et al. Protein Transduction Domain of HIV-1 Tat Protein Promotes Efficient Delivery of DNA into Mammalian Cells. J Biological Chem 2001; 276: 26204-10.
- [739] Torchilin VP, Levchenko TS, Rammohan R, Volodina N, Papahadjopoulos-Sternberg B, D'Souza GGM. Cell transfection in vitro and in vivo with nontoxic TAT peptide-liposome-DNA complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2003; 100: 1972-7.
- [740] Lewin M, Carlesso N, Tung CH, Tang XW, Cory D, Scadden DT, Weissleder R. Tat peptide-derivatized magnetic nanoparticles allow in vivo tracking and recovery of progenitor cells. Nat Biotech 2000; 18: 410-4.
- [741] Simeoni F, Morris MC, Heitz F, Divita G. Insight into the mechanism of the peptide-based gene delivery system MPG: implications for delivery of siRNA into mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res 2003; 31: 2717-24.
- [742] Kwon EJ, Bergen JM, Pun SH. Application of an HIV gp41-Derived Peptide for Enhanced Intracellular Trafficking of Synthetic Gene and siRNA Delivery Vehicles. Bioconjugate Chem 2008; 19: 920-7.
- [743] Kämper N, Day PM, Nowak T, et al. A Membrane-Destabilizing Peptide in Capsid Protein L2 Is Required for Egress of Papillomavirus Genomes from Endosomes. J Virol 2006; 80: 759-68.
- [744] Kimura T, Ohyama A. Association between the pH-dependent conformational change of West Nile flavivirus E protein and virus-

mediated membrane fusion. J General Virol 1988; 69 (Pt 6): 1247-54.

- [745] Tu Y, Kim JS. A fusogenic segment of glycoprotein H from herpes simplex virus enhances transfection efficiency of cationic liposomes. J Gene Med 2008; 10: 646-54.
- [746] Oess S, Hildt E. Novel cell permeable motif derived from the PreS2-domain of hepatitis-B virus surface antigens. Gene therapy 2000; 7: 750-8.
- [747] Hetzel C, Bachran C, Fischer R, Fuchs H, Barth S, Stöcker M. Small cleavable adapters enhance the specific cytotoxicity of a humanized immunotoxin directed against CD64-positive cells. J Immunotherapy 2008; 31: 370-6.
- [748] Lorenzi GL, Lee KD. Enhanced plasmid DNA delivery using anionic LPDII by listeriolysin O incorporation. J Gene Med 2005; 7: 1077-85.
- [749] Kullberg M, Owens JL, Mann K. Listeriolysin O enhances cytoplasmic delivery by Her-2 targeting liposomes. J Drug Targeting 2010; 18: 313-20.
- [750] Browne KA, Blink E, Sutton VR, Froelich CJ, Jans DA, Trapani JA. Cytosolic Delivery of Granzyme B by Bacterial Toxins: Evidence that Endosomal Disruption, in Addition to Transmembrane Pore Formation, Is an Important Function of Perforin. Mol Cellular Biol 1999; 19: 8604-15.
- [751] Potala S, Sahoo SK, Verma RS. Targeted therapy of cancer using diphtheria toxin-derived immunotoxins. Drug Discov Today 2008; 13: 807-15.
- [752] Kakimoto S, Hamada T, Komatsu Y, *et al.* The conjugation of diphtheria toxin T domain to poly(ethylenimine) based vectors for enhanced endosomal escape during gene transfection. Biomaterials 2009; 30: 402-8.
- [753] Weldon JE, Pastan I. A guide to taming a toxin recombinant immunotoxins constructed from Pseudomonas exotoxin A for the treatment of cancer. FEBS Journal 2011; 278: 4683-700.
- [754] Chaudhary VK, Jinno Y, FitzGerald D, Pastan I. Pseudomonas exotoxin contains a specific sequence at the carboxyl terminus that is required for cytotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1990; 87: 308-12.
- [755] Derossi D, Calvet S, Trembleau A, Brunissen A, Chassaing G, Prochiantz A. Cell Internalization of the Third Helix of the Antennapedia Homeodomain Is Receptor-independent. J Biological Chem 1996; 271: 18188-93.
- [756] Abes S, Turner JJ, Ivanova GD, et al. Efficient splicing correction by PNA conjugation to an R6-Penetratin delivery peptide. Nucleic Acids Res 2007; 35: 4495-502.
- [757] Lundberg P, El-Andaloussi S, Sütlü T, Johansson H, Langel Ü. Delivery of short interfering RNA using endosomolytic cellpenetrating peptides. FASEB Journal 2007; 21: 2664-71.
- [758] Schmidt MC, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Rist B, et al. Translocation of Human Calcitonin in Respiratory Nasal Epithelium Is Associated with Self-Assembly in Lipid Membrane. Biochemistry 1998; 37: 16582-90.
- [759] Lang S, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Perriard JC, Schmidt MC, Merkle HP. Permeation and Pathways of Human Calcitonin (hCT) Across Excised Bovine Nasal Mucosa. Peptides 1998; 19: 599-607.
- [760] Lin YZ, Yao S, Hawiger J. Role of the Nuclear Localization Sequence in Fibroblast Growth Factor-1-stimulated Mitogenic Pathways. J Biological Chem 1996; 271: 5305-8.
- [761] Dempsey CE. The actions of melittin on membranes. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Rev Biomembranes 1990; 1031: 143-61.
- [762] Ogris M, Carlisle RC, Bettinger T, Seymour LW. Melittin Enables Efficient Vesicular Escape and Enhanced Nuclear Access of Nonviral Gene Delivery Vectors. J Biological Chem 2001; 276: 47550-5.
- [763] Boeckle S, Fahrmeir J, Roedl W, Ogris M, Wagner E. Melittin analogs with high lytic activity at endosomal pH enhance transfection with purified targeted PEI polyplexes. J Controlled Release 2006; 112: 240-8.
- [764] Bettinger T, Carlisle RC, Read ML, Ogris M, Seymour LW. Peptide-mediated RNA delivery: a novel approach for enhanced transfection of primary and post-mitotic cells. Nucleic Acids Res 2001; 29: 3882-91.
- [765] Zhang L, Torgerson TR, Liu XY, et al. Preparation of functionally active cell-permeable peptides by single-step ligation of two peptide modules. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1998; 95: 9184-9.
- [766] Béven L, Chaloin L, Vidal P, Heitz F, Wróblewski H. Effects on mollicutes (wall-less bacteria) of synthetic peptides comprising a signal peptide or a membrane fusion peptide, and a nuclear local-

ization sequence (NLS) - a comparison with melittin. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1997; 1329: 357-69.

- [767] Tréhin R, Merkle HP. Chances and pitfalls of cell penetrating peptides for cellular drug delivery. Eur J Pharm Biopharmaceutics 2004; 58: 209-23.
- [768] Lundberg P, Magzoub M, Lindberg M, et al. Cell membrane translocation of the N-terminal (1-28) part of the prion protein. Biochemical Biophys Res Communicat 2002; 299: 85-90.
- [769] Magzoub M, Sandgren S, Lundberg P, et al. N-terminal peptides from unprocessed prion proteins enter cells by macropinocytosis. Biochemical Biophys Res Communicat 2006; 348: 379-85.
- [770] Wales R, Roberts LM, Lord JM. Addition of an endoplasmic reticulum retrieval sequence to ricin A chain significantly increases its cytotoxicity to mammalian cells. J Biological Chem 1993; 268: 23986-90.
- [771] Seetharam S, Chaudhary VK, FitzGerald D, Pastan I. Increased cytotoxic activity of Pseudomonas exotoxin and two chimeric toxins ending in KDEL. J Biological Chem 1991; 266: 17376-81.
- [772] Yokota S, Hara H, Luo Y, Seon BK. Synergistic Potentiation of In vivo Antitumor Activity of Anti-Human T-Leukemia Immunotoxins by Recombinant α-Interferon and Daunorubicin. Cancer Res 1990; 50: 32-7.
- [773] Kurschus FC, Jenne DE. Delivery and therapeutic potential of human granzyme B. Immunological Rev 2010; 235: 159-71.
- [774] Thiery J, Keefe D, Boulant S, *et al.* Perforin pores in the endosomal membrane trigger the release of endocytosed granzyme B into the cytosol of target cells. Nat Immunol 2011; 12: 770-7.
- [775] Bachran C, Sutherland M, Heisler I, Hebestreit P, Melzig MF, Fuchs H. The Saponin-Mediated Enhanced Uptake of Targeted Saporin-Based Drugs Is Strongly Dependent on the Saponin Structure. Exp Biol Med 2006; 231: 412-20.
- [776] Bachran D, Schneider S, Bachran C, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor expression affects the efficacy of the combined application of saponin and a targeted toxin on human cervical carcinoma cells. Int J Cancer 2010; 127: 1453-61.
- [777] McIntosh DP, Edwards DC, Cumber AJ, et al. Ricin B chain converts a non-cytotoxic antibody-ricin A chain conjugate into a potent and specific cytotoxic agent. FEBS Letters 1983; 164: 17-20.
- [778] Vitetta ES, Cushley W, Uhr JW. Synergy of ricin A chaincontaining immunotoxins and ricin B chain-containing immunotoxins in in vitro killing of neoplastic human B cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1983; 80: 6332-5.
- [779] Vitetta ES, Fulton RJ, Uhr JW. Cytotoxicity of a cell-reactive immunotoxin containing ricin A chain is potentiated by an antiimmunotoxin containing ricin B chain. J Exp Med 1984; 160: 341-6
- [780] Mitchell DJ, Steinman L, Kim DT, Fathman CG, Rothbard JB. Polyarginine enters cells more efficiently than other polycationic homopolymers. J Peptide Res 2000; 56: 318-25.
- [781] Melikov K, Chernomordik LV. Arginine-rich cell penetrating peptides: from endosomal uptake to nuclear delivery. Cellular Mol Life Sci CMLS 2005; 62: 2739-49.
- [782] El-Sayed A, Futaki S, Harashima H. Delivery of Macromolecules Using Arginine-Rich Cell-Penetrating Peptides: Ways to Overcome Endosomal Entrapment. The AAPS Journal 2009; 11: 13-22.
- [783] Zenke M, Steinlein P, Wagner E, Cotten M, Beug H, Birnstiel ML. Receptor-mediated endocytosis of transferrin-polycation conjugates: an efficient way to introduce DNA into hematopoietic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87: 3655-9.
- [784] Lo SL, Wang S. An endosomolytic Tat peptide produced by incorporation of histidine and cysteine residues as a nonviral vector for DNA transfection. Biomaterials 2008; 29: 2408-14.

Received: March 14, 2014

- Current Pharmaceutical Design, 2014, Vol. 20, No. 42 6643
- [785] Resina S, Abes S, Turner JJ, et al. Lipoplex and peptide-based strategies for the delivery of steric-block oligonucleotides. Int J Pharmaceutics 2007; 344: 96-102.
- [786] Kichler A, Leborgne C, Danos O, Bechinger B. Characterization of the gene transfer process mediated by histidine-rich peptides. J Mol Med 2007; 85: 191-201.
- [787] Kichler A, Leborgne C, März J, Danos O, Bechinger B. Histidinerich amphipathic peptide antibiotics promote efficient delivery of DNA into mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2003; 100: 1564-8.
- [788] del Pozo-Rodríguez A, Pujals S, Delgado D, et al. A proline-rich peptide improves cell transfection of solid lipid nanoparticle-based non-viral vectors. J Controlled Release 2009; 133: 52-9.
- [789] Sheldon K, Liu D, Ferguson J, Gariépy J. Loligomers: design of de novo peptide-based intracellular vehicles. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1995; 92: 2056-60.
- [790] Ochlke J, Scheller A, Wiesner B, et al. Cellular uptake of an αhelical amphipathic model peptide with the potential to deliver polar compounds into the cell interior non-endocytically. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1998; 1414: 127-39.
- [791] Ohmori N, Niidome T, Wada A, Hirayama T, Hatakeyama T, Aoyagi H. The enhancing effect of anionic alpha-helical peptide on cationic peptide-mediating transfection systems. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1997; 235: 726-9.
- [792] Fominaya J, Gasset M, Garcia R, Roncal F, Albar JP, Bernad A. An optimized amphiphilic cationic peptide as an efficient non-viral gene delivery vector. J Gene Med 2000; 2: 455-64.
- [793] Cartier R, Reszka R. Utilization of synthetic peptides containing nuclear localization signals for nonviral gene transfer systems. Gene Ther 2002; 9: 157-67.
- [794] Rousselle C, Clair P, Lefauconnier JM, Kaczorek M, Scherrmann JM, Temsamani J. New Advances in the Transport of Doxorubicin through the Blood-Brain Barrier by a Peptide Vector-Mediated Strategy. Mol Pharmacol 2000; 57: 679-86.
- [795] Morris MC, Depollier J, Mery J, Heitz F, Divita G. A peptide carrier for the delivery of biologically active proteins into mammalian cells. Nat Biotech 2001; 19: 1173-6.
- [796] Weyergang A, Selbo PK, Berg K. Photochemically stimulated drug delivery increases the cytotoxicity and specificity of EGF-saporin. J Control Release 2006; 111: 165-73.
- [797] Weyergang A, Selbo PK, Berstad MEB, Bostad M, Berg K. Photochemical internalization of tumor-targeted protein toxins. Lasers Surgery Med 2011; 43: 721-33.
- [798] Gargouri M, Sapin A, Arıca-Yegin B, Merlin JL, Becuwe P, Maincent P. Photochemical internalization for pDNA transfection: Evaluation of poly(d, l-lactide-co-glycolide) and poly(ethylenimine) nanoparticles. Int J Pharmaceutics 2011; 403: 276-84.
- [799] Omata D, Negishi Y, Hagiwara S, et al. Bubble Liposomes and Ultrasound Promoted Endosomal Escape of TAT-PEG Liposomes as Gene Delivery Carriers. Mol Pharmaceutics 2011; 8: 2416-23.
- [800] Omata D, Negishi Y, Hagiwara S, et al. Enhanced gene delivery using Bubble liposomes and ultrasound for folate-PEG liposomes. J Drug Targeting 2012; 20: 355-63.
- [801] Lukianova-Hleb EY, Belyanin A, Kashinath S, Wu X, Lapotko DO. Plasmonic nanobubble-enhanced endosomal escape processes for selective and guided intracellular delivery of chemotherapy to drug-resistant cancer cells. Biomaterials 2012; 33: 1821-6.
- [802] Luo Z, Cai K, Hu Y, et al. Redox-Responsive Molecular Nanoreservoirs for Controlled Intracellular Anticancer Drug Delivery Based on Magnetic Nanoparticles. Adv Materials 2012; 24: 431-5.