

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 6531

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 26th February 2019
Accepted 25th May 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00978g

rsc.li/chemical-science

Very short highly enantioselective Grignard synthesis of 2,2-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans and tetrahydropyrans†

Claudio Monasterolo,[‡] Helge Müller-Bunz[‡] and Declan G. Gilheany[‡]*

Phenones with elongated chains are shown to be excellent substrates for ligand-promoted asymmetric Grignard synthesis of tertiary alcohols. In turn this enables the simple, short and highly enantioselective (up to 96% ee) preparation of chiral 2,2-disubstituted THFs and THPs. Thus, asymmetric addition of Grignard reagents to γ -chlorobutyrophenones and δ -chlorovalerophenones takes place in the presence of a chiral diaminocyclohexyl-derived tridentate ligand and subsequent base-promoted intramolecular cyclisation occurs with complete retention of asymmetry. As examples of the methodology, we report the shortest syntheses of gossonorol, γ -ethyl- γ -phenylbutyrolactone and δ -methyl- δ -tolylvalerolactone, the joint-shortest and flexible synthesis of boivinianin A and the shortest formal syntheses of boivinianin B and yingzhaosu C.

Introduction

One of the driving forces for improvement in organic synthesis is the relentless pressure for shorter routes to the desired compounds. However, ultimately, there must be a limit to that development when the shortest possible route is approached. The question then arises as to when to cease development of new routes to the target as the number of steps becomes very low. In that context the Grignard synthesis of alcohols is a powerful tool because of the inherent flexibility of its disconnections but, until recently, it lacked a crucial aspect, namely a complementary flexible stereoselectivity. Recently we,¹ and others,^{2,3} have made progress towards a general asymmetric Grignard synthesis of tertiary alcohols. Here we present a notable expansion of the substrate scope of our methodology, applied in a series of very short syntheses.

Our search for a test bed for the above ideas within our own work led us to consider chiral 2,2-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans (THFs) and tetrahydropyrans (THPs). The former have come to prominence recently through the work of List and co-workers⁴ but more generally THFs, THPs and their derivatives are found as widespread structural motifs in many classes of bioactive compounds showing a range of biological activities

(e.g. Fig. 1).⁵ The challenge to develop efficient methods for their asymmetric construction has led to the devising of many diverse, highly stereoselective synthetic strategies.^{6–10} However the 2,2-disubstituted cases remain particularly recalcitrant. There are only a handful of asymmetric syntheses reported, all of which suffer from limitations of very narrow scope, use of complex substrates and/or low selectivity.¹¹

We now report a novel general asymmetric synthesis of both the THFs and the THPs that is complementary to those of List and co-workers and which enables a number of extremely short syntheses of products of biological interest.

Results and discussion

The route is based on our ligand-promoted asymmetric Grignard addition to ketones.¹ Previously we reported that acetophenones gave only moderate selectivity but we have now discovered that chain extension in phenones renders them especially good substrates (illustrative examples in Scheme 1). In turn, this allowed us to explore their application in synthesis and we now report powerful ring-closure protocols using the resultant alcohols.


Tertiary alcohols have not been commonly used for ring closure before but an exception is the use of the sesquiterpenoid (*S*)-gossonorol as an intermediate in the syntheses of a number of biologically interesting products such as boivinianin B.¹² Our method does indeed provide the shortest route to date to (*S*)-gossonorol (2 steps, Scheme 2) from ketone **1**, itself prepared by alkylation of *p*-methylacetophenone with 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide. Asymmetric addition of MeMgBr in the presence of ligand (*S,S*)-**L1** delivered (*S*)-(-)-gossonorol in 66% yield and 93% ee.

Centre for Synthesis and Chemical Biology, School of Chemistry, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. E-mail: claudio.monasterolo@ucd.ie; declan.gilheany@ucd.ie

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1883098. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00978g

‡ To whom correspondence about the single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis should be addressed helge.muellerbunz@ucd.ie



Table 1 Asymmetric addition of MeMgX to γ -chlorobutyrophenone^a

Entry	Solvent	Ligand (equiv.)	MeMgX (equiv.)	Conversion ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	Toluene	L1 (1.0)	Br (2.1)	71	91
2	Et ₂ O	L1 (1.0)	Br (2.1)	65	53
3	THF	L1 (1.0)	Br (2.1)	87	0
4	Toluene	L2 (1.0)	Br (2.1)	78	80
5	Toluene	L1 (1.0)	I (2.1)	73	78
6 ^d	Toluene	L1 (1.0)	Br (2.1)	74	88
7 ^e	Toluene	L1 (1.0)	Br (2.1)	74	85
8	Toluene	L1 (1.3)	Br (2.4)	78	91
9	Toluene	L1 (1.3)	Br (2.6)	86	83

^a On 0.1 mmol scale using (*R,R*)-ligand. MeMgX solution in Et₂O, diluted in toluene (1 : 5). Overall concentration 0.05 M. Quenched at -78 °C with IPA/H₂O and NH₄Cl sat (see ESI for details). ^b Determined by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture after work-up, only product and returned starting material were present. ^c Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase. ^d Overall concentration 0.08 M. ^e Overall concentration 0.1 M.

As a test, we tried the reaction of 4-chlorobutyrophenone **2a** with methylmagnesium bromide in the presence of ligand **L1** (Table 1). To our delight, the reaction furnished alcohol **4aa** in high enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 1). Notably, only product **4aa** was present in the crude reaction mixture, along with unreacted **2a**, presumably derived from enolisation. In exploring the best conditions for the process, we found that, as we had previously observed,^{1a} toluene is the solvent of choice to deliver high enantioselectivity (Table 1, entries 1–3). But in contrast to the previous work, in this case, it is the *tert*-butyl motif (**L1**) that gives the superior selection compared to the trifluoromethyl substituted ligand (**L2**, entry 4). Also in contrast, switching to the iodo Grignard reagent reduced selectivity (entry 5). Finally, variation of concentration and reactant equivalents allowed an increase in conversion without affecting the enantioselectivity (entries 6–9).

With optimised conditions in hand, we investigated the scope of the Grignard reagent and the substitution pattern on both γ -chlorobutyrophenones **2a–c** and δ -chlorovalerophenones **3a–c** (Table 2, R¹ = H, Br, OMe). Various linear and branched Grignard reagents reacted well, producing the corresponding tertiary alcohols **4ab–4ad** and **5aa–5ad** in good yields and enantioselectivities. Similarly, electronic variation on the substrate was well tolerated for **4/5bb–cb**, with just slight *ee* decreases for the more activated *para*-bromo cases **4bb** and **5bb** versus the deactivated *para*-methoxy cases **4cb** and **5cb**, which gave higher selectivity.¹⁵

With a successful asymmetric synthesis of chiral, non-racemic, γ - and δ -chloro tertiary alcohols in hand, it was of great interest to see if they could be cyclised to the corresponding THF/THP derivatives (**6** and **7**) without loss of asymmetry. Again, we were delighted to find that this was so. Two slightly different methods (A & B) had to be used as


detailed in Table 3. For the THFs, to avoid substitution side-products, sodium hydride was chosen as strong, non-nucleophilic base,¹⁶ with which alcohols **4** delivered the desired 2,2-disubstituted THFs **6** in excellent yields retaining the initial enantiomeric excesses (Table 3, Method A). For the THPs, NaH was much less effective and, after screening several bases (see Table 1, ESI[†]), we settled on KHMDS, with which chiral tertiary δ -chloro-alcohols **5** were smoothly cyclised delivering the corresponding THP derivatives **7** in high yields, again without loss of asymmetry and with only minor amounts of elimination to undesired terminal alkenes (Table 3, Method B). A one-pot addition/cyclisation strategy proved to be unsuccessful, resulting in complete recovery of tertiary alcohols.

Regarding the absolute configurations of our produced materials, after much experimentation, we were able to unequivocally determine this to be (*R*) for (6-chloro-2-phenylhexan-2-ol) **5aa** (obtained with *R,R*-**L1**) by single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis of its urethane derivative **8** (Fig. 2) prepared by reaction of **5aa** with *p*-bromophenyl isocyanate¹⁷ (see ESI[†]). The functionalization of chiral tertiary alcohols as urethanes to establish absolute configuration is particularly effective because the neutral conditions used avoid the risk of acid-catalysed racemisation.

The (*R*)-enantiomer obtained for compound **5aa** is consistent with the configurations observed in our preparations of (*S*)-gossonorol (Scheme 2), (*S*)-(-)-boivinianin A and lactones **9** & **12** (*vide infra*) and is consistent with our previous observations for asymmetric Grignard addition to phenones.¹ The results suggest that the selectivity for asymmetric Grignard addition is such that (*R,R*)-**L1** promotes addition of RMgBr to the phenone Si face and we have assumed that in showing the rest of the configurations in Tables 2 and 3. However this is still a tentative



Table 2 Asymmetric synthesis of γ -chloro and δ -chloro tertiary alcohols by addition of Grignard reagents to γ -chloro and δ -chlorobutyrophenones^{abc}




^a Procedure as for Table 1, entry 8. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Enantiomeric excess determined by HPLC analysis on chiral stationary phase. ^d Results in brackets refers to the opposite enantiomer obtained by using $(S,S)\text{-L1}$. ^e Absolute configuration from derived compound **9**. ^f Absolute configuration determined by conversion to urethane **8** – see text and Fig. 2, configurations of other compounds shown assumed by analogy, see text.

conclusion and more data are needed to build a definitive selectivity model.


We were now in a position to apply the novel asymmetric methodology for very short syntheses of suitable targets. γ -Ethyl- γ -phenylbutyrolactone **9**, is an anticonvulsant and

hypnotic drug, and is a candidate as a potential treatment for refractive epilepsy and Parkinson's disease.¹⁸ Our 3-step synthesis utilised compound $(S,S)\text{-6ab}$ (Table 3, entry 2, 93% ee, prepared using $(S,S)\text{-L1}$), which was oxidised with ruthenium oxide and sodium periodate (Scheme 4) to $(S)\text{-9}$ in 66% yield




Table 3 Base promoted cyclisation of alcohols 4/5 to 2,2-disubstituted THFs and THPs^{abc}

Method A



Method B



^a Reactions were run on 0.1 mmol scale. Method A: NaH (5.0 equiv.), THF, 0 °C to r.t., 18 h. Method B: KHMDS (1.0 equiv.), THF, 0 °C to r.t., 1 h.

^b Isolated yields. ^c Enantiomeric excess determined by HPLC analysis on chiral stationary phase. ^d Results in brackets refer to the opposite enantiomer obtained by using (*S,S*)-L1. ^e Absolute configuration by comparison to literature (ref. 4a). ^f Absolute configuration from derived compound **9**. ^g Absolute configuration based on that of compound **8** – see text and Fig. 2, configurations of other compounds shown assumed by analogy, see text.

without loss of enantiopurity. Its configuration was determined from the literature optical rotation.²⁰

A 2-step preparation of **9** has been reported¹⁹ but this was with moderate enantioselectivity (70% ee) while a previous 4-

step route (with a BINOL-derived chiral auxiliary) gave **9** with good selectivity (92% ee).²⁰

The method was then applied to the preparation of 2-methyl-2-tolyltetrahydrofuran **10**, by addition of






Fig. 2 ORTEP diagram of **8** (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability level).

methylmagnesium bromide to commercially available 4'-methyl-4-chlorobutyrophenone **11**, followed by cyclisation. Compound **10** could then undergo oxidation, thereby producing (*S*)-(-)-boivinianin A in three steps. (Scheme 5). Its configuration was determined from both the literature optical rotation and CSP-HPLC comparison.⁴


It is interesting that there are four previous asymmetric syntheses of boivinianin A, all of which are also 3-steps. List and co-workers reported two highly enantioselective (96% *ee*) preparations, the earlier involving resolution/oxidation,²¹ while this year's⁴ used the intramolecular hydroalkoxylation strategy. Alternative 3-step methods were

reported by Shi and co-workers²² and Yeung and co-workers²³ but these had relatively lower selectivities (79% & 82% *ee* respectively).


We also wished to check if a similar Grignard addition/cyclisation/oxidation strategy would work for THP analogues. We chose lactone (*S*)-**12**, previously used as an intermediate in the synthesis of (*S*)-gossonorol on route to boivinianin B^{12b} and the anti-malarial yingzhaosu C.²⁴ Addition of MeMgBr to commercially available ketone **13** in the presence of (*S,S*)-**L1**, followed by cyclisation gave THP **14**, which could be oxidised with potassium permanganate and iron(III) chloride²⁵ to lactone (*S*)-**12** with excellent enantiopurity (Scheme 6). Its configuration was determined from the literature optical rotation.^{12b}

It seems that *para*-substitution on the phenyl ring (at least with methyl) does not change the face-selectivity on the phenone. This 3-step synthesis of lactone **12** marks a notable improvement compared to other strategies reported so far, the shortest of which were both 5-steps.^{12b,26}


Finally we briefly examined the utility of the method in the preparation of chiral 2,2-disubstituted oxetanes by addition of EtMgBr to 3-chloropropiophenone. The corresponding tertiary alcohol was obtained with reasonable stereocontrol (85% *ee*) but was contaminated with a significant amount of elimination side-product acrylophenone.



Scheme 4 Preparation of γ -ethyl- γ -phenylbutyrolactone **9**.



Scheme 5 Preparation of (*S*)-(-)-boivinianin A. ^aAfter correcting for recovered starting material **11** in step (i).



Scheme 6 Application of methodology to THPs. ^aAfter correcting for recovered starting material **13** in step (i).



Conclusions

We have shown (i) that phenones with extended chains are excellent substrates for our ligand-promoted asymmetric Grignard synthesis of tertiary alcohols and (ii) that the methodology tolerates primary alkyl halides. This has enabled us to develop a new, very short, highly enantioselective method for the preparation of both 2,2-disubstituted THFs and THPs. We believe this is the first asymmetric preparation of these challenging oxygen heterocycle classes by Grignard synthesis from simple commercially available ketones. The avoidance of transition metals, together with the straightforward preparation and reuse of the ligand, ensures wide applicability of the method. This is demonstrated by the extremely short and selective syntheses of lactones **9** and **11** and natural compounds boivinianin A and gossonorol, the latter also providing formal syntheses of boivinianin B and yingzhaosu C. In each of these cases, our methodology is shorter and/or complementary to existing methods and easily allows analogue generation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Enterprise Ireland is acknowledged for postdoctoral funding for CM (grant CF/2013/3321). Arran Chemicals, Athlone, Ireland are gratefully acknowledged for gifts of resolved *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane. We thank Dr Kirill Nikitin for valuable discussions.

Notes and references

- (a) B. Bieszczad and D. G. Gilheany, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 4272; (b) B. Bieszczad and D. G. Gilheany, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 6483.
- A. V. R. Madduri, S. R. Harutyunyan and A. J. Minnaard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3164; A. V. R. Madduri, A. J. Minnaard and S. R. Harutyunyan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1478; J. F. Collados, R. Solà, S. R. Harutyunyan and B. Macià, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 1952.
- M. R. Luderer, W. F. Bailey, M. R. Luderer, J. D. Fair, R. J. Dancer and M. B. Sommer, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2009, **20**, 981; Y.-L. Liu and X.-T. Lin, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2019, **361**, 876.
- (a) N. Tsuji, J. L. Kennemur, T. Buyck, S. Lee, S. Prévost, P. S. J. Kaib, D. Bykov, C. Farès and B. List, *Science*, 2018, **359**, 1501; (b) S. Lee, H. Y. Bae and B. List, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 12162; *Angew. Chem.*, 2018, **130**, 12339.
- Leading references: (a) Nucleosides: B. Roy, A. Depaix, C. Périgaud and S. Peyrottes, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 7854; (b) Macrolides: A. Lorente, J. Lamariano-Merketegi, F. Albericio and M. Álvarez, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 4567; (c) Lignans: R. B. Teponno, S. Kusari and M. Spiteller, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2016, **33**, 1044; (d) Acetogenins: ; C.-C. Liaw, J.-R. Liou, T.-Y. Wu, F.-R. Chang and Y.-C. Wu, in *Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products 101*, ed. A. D. Kinghorn, H. Falk, S. Gibbons and J. i. Kobayashi, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 113–230(e) Ionophores: A. Huczyński, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **22**, 7002.
- For general reviews on oxygen heterocycles see: (a) M. C. Elliott, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 2002, 2301; (b) R. Jacques, R. Pal, N. A. Parker, C. E. Sear, P. W. Smith, A. Ribaucourta and D. M. Hodgson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 5875, specifically for THFs, see: (c) J. P. Wolfe and M. B. Hay, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 261; (d) G. Jalce, X. Franck and B. Figadère, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2009, **20**, 2537; (e) A. de la Torre, C. Cuyamendous, V. Bultel-Poncé, T. Durand, J.-M. Galano and C. Oger, *Tetrahedron*, 2016, **72**, 5003, for THPs, see: (f) P. A. Clarke and S. Santos, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **2006**, 2045; (g) I. Larrosa, P. Romea and F. Urpi, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 2683; (h) N. M. Nasir, K. Ermanisa and P. A. Clarke, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 3323; (i) F. Vetica, P. Chauhan, S. Dochaina and D. Enders, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 1661.
- Metal-catalysed cyclisations: Pd: (a) A. F. Ward and J. P. Wolfe, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 1268; (b) J. Kim, W. Jeong and Y. H. Rhee, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 242, Rh: (c) S. M. Nicolle, W. Lewis, C. J. Hayes and C. J. Moody, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8485, Au: (d) J. L. Mascareñas and F. López, in *Au-Catalyzed Synthesis and Functionalization of Heterocycles*, ed. M. Bandicini, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 1–52, Os: (e) H. Sugimoto, T. Kanetake, K. Maeda and S. Itoh, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 1246, Other metals: (f) L. Ferrand, Y. Tang, C. Aubert, L. Fensterbank, V. Mouriès-Mansuy, M. Petit and M. Amatore, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 2062.
- Organocatalysed cyclisations: (a) D. Enders, C. Wang and A. Greb, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 987; (b) K. Asano and S. Matsubara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 16711; (c) G. He, F. Wu, W. Huang, R. Zhou, L. Ouyang and B. Han, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 2311; (d) Y. Xie, G.-J. Cheng, S. Lee, P. S. J. Kaib, W. Thiel and B. List, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 14538.
- Cycloadditions: (a) A. T. Parsons, M. J. Campbell and J. S. Johnson, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2541; (b) C. Zhang, M. Xu, J. Ren and Z. Wang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **2016**, 2467; (c) Y. Zhou, F.-L. Zhu, Z.-T. Liu, X.-M. Zhou and X.-P. Hu, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 2734; (d) A. Gupta, R. Kholiya and D. S. Rawat, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **6**, 993.
- Sugar derivatisation: (a) L. V. R. Reddy, A. D. Roy, R. Roy and A. K. Shaw, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 3444; (b) R. W. Foster, C. J. Tame, D.-K. Bučar, H. C. Hailes and T. D. Sheppard, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 15947.
- (a) A. Blanc and F. D. Toste, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 2096; (b) A. G. Smith, M. C. Slade and J. S. Johnson, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 1996; (c) N. Cox, M. R. Uehling, K. T. Haelsig and G. Lalic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 4878; (d) B. M. Trost and D. A. Bringley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 4466; (e) B. A. Hopkins, Z. J. Garlets and J. P. Wolfe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 13390; (f) Y.-F. Cheng, X.-Y. Dong, Q.-S. Gu, Z.-L. Yu and X.-Y. Liu,



- Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8883; (g) J. Y. See, H. Yang, Y. Zhao, M. W. Wong, Z. Ke and Y.-Y. Yeung, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 850.
- 12 (a) K. Abecassis and S. E. Gibson, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **2010**, 2938; (b) M. Aursnes, J. E. Tungen and T. V. Hansen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 8287.
- 13 (a) S. González-López, M. Yus and D. J. Ramón, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2012, **23**, 611; (b) V. K. Aggarwal, L. T. Ball, S. Carobene, R. L. Connelly, M. J. Hesse, B. M. Partridge, P. Roth, S. P. Thomas and M. P. Webster, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9230.
- 14 L. Cicco, S. Sblendorio, R. Mansueto, F. M. Perna, A. Salomone, S. Florio and V. Capriati, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 1192.
- 15 However alcohol **4cb** was configurationally unstable and slowly underwent racemisation at room temperature, unlike the other tertiary alcohols prepared. This can be attributed to stabilisation by the methoxy group of the corresponding carbocation formed during the racemisation process.
- 16 (a) C. Gronnier, S. Kramer, Y. Odabachian and F. Gagosz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 828; (b) B. Guo, G. Schwarzwald and J. T. Njardarson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 5675; (c) B. Guo and J. T. Njardarson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10802.
- 17 Alcohol **5aa** was reacted with *p*-bromophenylisocyanate in the presence of Sn^{II} Lewis acid to obtain urethane **8** with complete retention of configuration, see ESI.† T. Francis and M. P. Thorne, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1976, **54**, 24. Crystallographic data for **8** have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre [CCDC 1883098].
- 18 (a) V. Díaz, F. Rasgado and R. L. Y. Avila, *Acta Med.*, 1994, **30**, 9; (b) A. V. L. Rasgado, I. Villanueva and V. D. Fernando, *Acta Pharm.*, 2017, **67**, 215.
- 19 (a) S. Fukuzawa, K. Seki, M. Tatsuzawa and K. Mutoh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 1482; (b) K. Mikami and M. Yamaoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 4501; (c) N. J. Kerrigan, P. C. Hutchison, T. D. Heightman and D. J. Procter, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, **2**, 2476.
- 20 Y. Tamai, T. Hattori, M. Date, S. Koike, Y. Kamikubo, M. Akiyama, K. Seino, H. Takayama, T. Oyama and S. Miyano, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1999, 1685.
- 21 I. Čorić, S. Müller and B. List, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 17370.
- 22 B. Wang, Y.-M. Shen and Y. Shi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 9519.
- 23 X. Jiang, C. K. Tan, L. Zhou and Y.-Y. Yeung, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7771.
- 24 J. Boukouvalas, R. Pouliot and Y. Fréchette, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 4167, this is racemic synthesis.
- 25 The use of RuO₂/NaIO₄ resulted in degradation of THP derivative **14** to a mixture of unidentified products.
- 26 K. Spielmann, R.-M. de Figueiredo and J.-M. Campagne, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 4737.

