

Predicting Effects of Clinicopathological Variables on *Her2* Gene Amplification by Chromogenic in situ Hybridization (CISH) in IHC *Her2* (2+) Breast Cancer Patients; A Study from Iran

Mohammad Hashemi Bahremani¹, Abdolali Ebrahimi¹, Mohaddese Fallahi^{1*}

1. Department of Pathology, Imam Hosein Educational Hospital, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

KEYWORDS

Breast cancer,
In situ Hybridization,
chromogenic Genes,
Her2

Scan to discover online



Main Subjects:
Breast Pathology

Received 27 Jun 2019;

Accepted 10 April 2020;

Published Online 21 Apr 2020;

doi:10.30699/IJP.2020.110293.2172

ABSTRACT

Background & Objective: The *her2* amplification plays an important role in breast cancer management. Therefore, there is a need for using supplementary molecular methods in IHC equivocal cases. Present study has been conducted to determine the effects of clinicopathological variables on *her2* gene amplification by chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) in IHC *Her2* (2+) breast cancer individuals.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in Zaferanyeh Laboratory collaborated with Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (Tehran-Iran; 2015-2018). All pathological data related invasive breast cancer patients with equivocal IHC results were included. CISH method was performed as a supplementary technique. The associations between histopathologic variables, status of Ki-67 index, progesterone and estrogen receptors (PR & ER) with *her2* amplification by CISH were investigated and analyzed. The level of significance was considered as P-value < 0.05.

Results: Totally, 239 patients with mean age of 53.2 years were studied. CISH identified *her2* gene amplification in 51 subjects (21.3%). The type of tumor (invasive ductal carcinoma), the tumor grade, and the value of Ki-67 index were directly correlated with *her2* amplification. Significant negative associations were also observed between CISH results and ER and PR expression.

Conclusion: As *her2* gene amplification was identified in 21.3% of invasive breast cancer patients with equivocal IHC results, it is supposed that applying CISH method may consider as a potentially valuable supplementary method. Results have also shown that higher grades of tumor, invasive ductal carcinoma, absences of hormone receptors and high Ki-67 index significantly correlated with the *her2* amplification.

Corresponding Information:

Mohaddese Fallahi; Department of Pathology, Imam Hosein Educational Hospital, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: dr.fallahi2020@yahoo.com

Copyright © 2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- 4.0 International License which permits Share, copy and redistribution of the material in any medium or format or adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

Introduction

The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (*Her2*) with tyrosine kinase activity is a 185-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein. Its gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 17 and expressed at low levels in a variety of body epithelial cells. *her2* gene amplification is a crucial proto-oncogene and well established as a prognostic-predictive biomarker in breast cancers (1-4). Former studies have indicated different frequency of *Her2* over-expression in breast cancer patients from 18 to 30% (5,6).

It has been reported that the amplification of *her2* gene was notable in 10–34% of subjects with invasive breast cancers. Amplification of *her2* gene has been identified in cases with progression of the breast cancer or cancer metastasis. Therapy with trastuzumab; a monoclonal antibody to *Her2* protein would be

effective merely in cases with *her2* gene amplification and protein overexpression. Moreover, resistance to each therapy protocols including chemotherapeutic factors or hormonal medications are observed with amplification of *her2*. Thereby, accurate and consistent assessment of *her2* status is a crucial step in the guidance of disease management and treatment (7-9)

Breast cancer prognosis, recurrence, management and response to therapy are strongly associated to the laboratory study of *her2* status. Evaluation of the *her2* status is performed by different FDA (Food and Drug Administration) approved methods. For instance, cell membrane protein overexpression is assessed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) but this method is unable to determine the chromosomal and genetic alterations. The gene amplification is evaluated by in situ

hybridization methods like FISH and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) as highly sensitive techniques. In IHC equivocal cases FISH is considered as the gold standard; however, this method is not very practical for routine diagnostic laboratories. FISH technique requires modern and expensive fluorescence microscope with high-quality immersion objectives, filters and recording camera. Analyses of FISH data is time consuming and fluorescence signals can be faded after several weeks (7,10-13). Recently, Chromogenic in situ Hybridization (CISH) Method has been introduced as another technique for determination of the level of *her2* gene amplification based on enzymatic reaction. In more than 90% of cases, the results of CISH are compatible with the other diagnostic methods and it can be performed as a check test for patients with score +2 in IHC method. As another important advantage, using chromogens instead of fluorochromes for signal detection could provide a standard bright field microscope. Finally, visualized signals using CISH method do not fade during time and a long-time archives could be accessible (12,14,15).

Among Iranian women, breast cancer is the most frequent malignancy with standard incidence rate of 27.4 per 100,000 populations (16). Determination of *her2* gene status is of importance to know about the prognosis and prediction of patient's response to anti-Her2 monoclonal antibody therapy. In Iran, using CISH method is not routine because of some limitations as well as high price of CISH Kit. It was reported that 11% of patients with invasive primary breast carcinoma had equivocal IHC results (17), so it is supposed that in such cases, applying CISH method may consider as a potentially valuable supplementary tool. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the predicting effects of clinicopathological variables on *her2* gene amplification by CISH assay in Her2 (2+) breast cancer subjects. Moreover ER, PR, and Ki-67 status in the cases were evaluated.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Zaferanyeh Laboratory a referral private pathobiology center collaborated with Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (Tehran-Iran from 2015 to 2018). Population study included all invasive breast cancer patients with equivocal (2+) results on immunohistochemistry technique (IHC) who were referred for more investigation by chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) method. Confirmation of IHC-equivocal (2+) Her2 result (by an expert pathologist) and successful CISH staining were considered as inclusion criteria. Subsequently, availability of archival H&E slides as well as ER, PR, and Ki67 IHC slides of tumors were considered for further investigation. Participants' clinicodemographic data such as gender, age, type of sample, tumor size and tumor focality were obtained from patients' medical records. The H&E and IHC slides were reviewed and assessed

histopathological data; were histologic tumor types, histologic tumor grade, lymph nodes involvement, vascular invasion, calcifications, carcinoma in situ, status of ER, PR and Ki67 IHC markers. In the next step, CISH slides were interpreted by an expert pathologist and CISH results were recorded in the checklists. In this study CISH technique using Zyto Dot 2C SPEC ERBB2/CEN 17 Probe Kit was performed by trained laboratory technician according to the manufacturer's instruction (www.Zytovision.com). *ERBB2* gene sequencing and genomic organization of alpha satellite DNA on centromeric regions of chromosome 17 were visualized in green and red signals, using a light microscope.

CISH interpretation was performed by objective lens x60. All red and green signals of 20 tumor cells were counted in invasive component of tumor, in high signals region without necrosis or nuclei overlapping. Then the ratio was calculated. The results less than 1.8 and equal or more than 2 were defined as negative and positive values, respectively. In intermediate results (ratios between 1.8 and 2), 20 other tumor cells were considered, and the ratios were determined in 40 cells.

Finally, associations between *her2* gene amplification status using CISH technique and clinicopathological variables in IHC-equivocal (2+) Her2 in invasive breast cancer subjects were statistically evaluated.

The present study was taken from a medical resident thesis with ID; M317. Ethics approval was obtained from the institutional review board of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences according to Helsinki declaration (IR.SBMU.MSP.REC.1397.139). All gathered data were considered confidential and no extra cost was imposed on our participants.

Data Analysis

Analyses were statistically performed by using SPSS 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Quantitative and qualitative variables were reported by mean+SD and percent, respectively. Student t test and Chi square were used for comparing quantitative and qualitative variables data. Moreover, the level of significance was considered as P-value<0.05.

Results

Totally, 239 (236 women and 3 men) cases with the mean age of 53.12±12.35 years (Min; 30 & Max; 86) entered the study. The overall frequency of *her2* gene amplifications was found in 51 subjects (21.3%), by CISH- positive results. Tissue section H&E slides and IHC markers related to 207 cases including 189 resection and 18 core needle biopsy samples were available.

Descriptive Data Related Subjects with Resection Samples

The mean age of subjects with resection sample was 54.20 ±11.98 years (Min; 32 & Max; 86). The mean size of tumor was 2.47±1.20 cm (Min; 0.50 & Max; 6.5). The most of tumor were unifocal (92.6%). More frequent histologic tumor type was invasive ductal

carcinoma (70.9%) in grade 2 (68.8%). Vascular invasion in 41.3%, lymph nodes involvement in 50% and Carcinoma in situ in 26.5% of cases were demonstrated. Detailed data are shown in [Table 1](#).

ER in 149 patients (78.8%) was positive based on Allred scoring and intensity as follows; 18 (9.5%)

weak, 77 (40.7%) moderate and 52 (27.5%) severe. PR in 142 patients (75.1%) was positive based on Allred scoring and intensity as follows; 16 cases (8.5%) weak, 73 (38.6%) moderate and 51 (27%) severe. The mean of Ki-67 was 25.66 ± 16.37 . Thirty-nine (20.6%) cases of resection samples demonstrated CISH-positive results.

Table 1. Characteristics of tumor resection specimens

Variables	Number	Percent	
Tumor focality	Unifocal	175	92.6
	Two foci	8	4.2
	More than two	5	2.6
Tumor grade	Bilateral	1	.5
	I	18	9.5
	II	130	68.8
Tumor vascular invasion	III	41	21.7
	Not identified	111	58.7
	Present	78	41.3
Tumor type	Invasive ductal carcinoma	134	70.9
	Invasive lobular carcinoma	28	14.8
	Mixed	20	10.6
	Others	7	3.7
CIS	Negative	132	69.8
	DCIS	50	26.5
	Mixed	2	1.1
	LCIS	5	2.6
Calcification	Absent	157	83.1
	Present	32	16.9

Comparative Data Related Subjects with Resection Samples

Significant differences were observed between 2 groups (CISH positive vs CISH negative) regarding histologic type of tumor (0.003). Invasive ductal carcinomas in positive CISH group were more frequent compared with negative CISH group. Tumor grades were also significantly different between 2 groups ($P=0.017$) with direct relationship. The numbers of positive ER and PR samples in negative CISH group

were significantly higher than positive CISH group ($P<0.001$ & $P= 0.002$). Medians of markers including Ki-67 index, ER & PR percent were also statistically different between two groups $P<0.05$).

On the other hand, tumor size was not different between groups (2.47 ± 1.22 vs. 2.49 ± 1.13 ; $P=0.937$) and no significant difference was found between 2 CISH groups regarding the age of patients ($P=0.117$). Detailed data regarding the positive and negative CISH groups are demonstrated in [Table 2](#).

Table 2. Comparing characteristics in positive and negative CISH groups

variables	Positive CISH	Negative CISH	P-value
Age (year)	51.26±11.39	55.29±11.96	0.117
Gender			
Female	39 (20.9)	148 (79.1)	

variables	Positive CISH	Negative CISH	P-value
Male	0	2 (100)	0.468
Tumor size	2.47± 1.22	2.49±1.13	0.937
Tumor Type (Count %)			
Invasive ductal carcinoma	33 (24.6)	101 (75.4)	0.003
Invasive lobular carcinoma	1 (3.6)	27(96.4)	
Mixed	1 (50)	19 (95.0)	
Other	4 (57)	3 (43.0)	
Tumor focality (count %)			
unifocal	36 (20.9)	136 (79.1)	0.999
two foci	2 (25)	6 (75.0)	
more than two	1 (20)	4 (80)	
bilateral	0	1 (100)	
Tumor grade (count %)			
I	1 (5.6)	17 (94.4)	0.017
II	25 (19.2)	105(80.8)	
III	13 (31.7)	28(68.3)	
Positive vascular invasion (count %)	19 (24.4)	59(75.6)	0.303
Carcinoma in situ (count %)			
negative	25 (19.1)	106 (80.9)	0.247
DCIS	14 (28.0)	36 (72.0)	
mixed	0	2(100)	
LCIS	0	5(100)	
Micro calcification (count %)	4(12.5)	28(87.5)	0.207
Positive ER (Count %)	23(15.4)	126 (84.6)	<0.001
ER intensity (count %)			
Mild	5 (27.8)	13 (72.2)	0.541
Moderate	10 (13.0)	67 (87)	
Severe	8 (15.4)	44 (84.6)	
Positive PR (count %)	22(15.5)	120 (84.5)	0.002
PR intensity (count %)			
weak	4 (25.0)	12 (75.0)	0.430
Moderate	11 (15.1)	62 (84.9)	
Severe	7 (13.7)	44 (86.3)	
Markers; Median (IQR)			
Number of involved lymph node	0 (2)	0 (2)	0.860
ER percent	5 (60)	80 (50)	<0.001
PR percent	3 (30)	60 (80)	<0.001
Ki-67	30 (24)	19 (23)	0.010
Number of involved LN (count %)			
1-3	11 (26.2)	31 (73.8)	0.421
4-9	2 (8.7)	21 (91.3)	
>9	3 (27.3)	8 (72.7)	
Tumor size (count %)			
<2 cm	17 (18.1)	77 (81.9)	0.415
2-5 cm	22 (25.6)	64 (74.4)	
>5 cm	0	5	

Descriptive data related subjects with fine needle biopsies

Of all included participants, 18 patients had fine needle biopsies. The mean age of subjects was 46 ±12.39 years (Min; 31 & Max; 80). Nobody had tumor grade I while the most cases (77.8%) had tumor grade II. Vascular invasion in 11.1% of specimens was observed and type of tumor in 88.9% was invasive ductal

carcinoma. DCIS and calcification in 11.1% and 5.6% were demonstrated. Detailed data are shown in [Table 3](#).

ER in 10 patients (55.6%) was positive based on Allred scoring and intensity as follow; 2 cases weak, 6 moderate and 2 severe. PR in 12 patients (66.7%) was positive based on Allred scoring and intensity as follow; 3 cases weak, 5 moderate and 4 severe. The mean of Ki-67 was 38.31±19.94.

Table 3. Characteristics of needle biopsy specimens

Variables	Number	Percent
Tumor grade	I	0
	II	14
	III	4
Tumor vascular invasion	Not identified	16
	Present	2
Tumor type	Invasive ductal Carcinoma	16
	Invasive lobular Carcinoma	0
	Mixed	0
	Others	2
CIS	Negative	16
	Present	2
	Mixed	0
	LCIS	0
Calcification	Absent	17
	Present	1

Comparative Data Related Subjects with Fine Needle Biopsies

Detailed data regarding the positive and negative CISH groups are demonstrated in [Table 4](#). The mean ages in patients with positive and negative CISH were 44.33±9.29 and 46.33±13.16 years. No significant difference was found between 2 positive and negative CISH groups in regard with age of patients ($P=0.708$). Significant differences were not observed between 2 groups regarding the histologic type of tumor ($P=0.314$). Grades of tumor, presence of vascular

invasion and carcinoma in situ were not significantly different between the groups ($P=0.999$, $P=0.313$ & $P=0.999$, respectively). Nobody in positive CISH group and one case in negative CISH group showed calcification ($P=0.999$). The numbers of positive ER and PR in negative CISH group were higher than positive CISH group; however, differences were not statistically significant ($P=0.537$ & $P=0.515$). Medians of markers including Ki-67 index, ER & PR percent were not also statistically different between two groups ($P>0.05$).

Table 4. Comparing characteristics in positive and negative CISH groups

Variables	Positive CISH	Negative CISH	P-value
Age (year)	44.33±9.29	46.33±13.16	0.708
Tumor Type (Count %)			
Invasive ductal carcinoma	2 (12.5)	14 (87.5)	0.314
Invasive lobular carcinoma	0	0	
Mixed	0	0	
Invasive medullary carcinoma	0	0	
Other	1 (50)	1 (50)	

Variables	Positive CISH	Negative CISH	P-value
Tumor grade (count %)			
II	2 (14.3)	12 (85.7)	0.999
III	1 (25.0)	3 (75)	
Positive vascular invasion (count %)	1 (50)	1 (50)	0.331
Carcinoma in situ (count %)			
Negative	3 (21.4)	11 (78.6)	0.999
DCIS	0	2 (100)	
Mixed	0	0	
LCIS	0	0	
Micro calcification (count %)	0	1(100)	0.999
Positive ER (Count %)	1(10.0)	9(90)	0.537
ER intensity (count %)			
weak	0	2 (100)	0.340
Moderate	1 (50)	1 (50)	
Severe	0	4 (100)	
Positive PR (count %)	3 (25)	9 (75)	0.515
PR intensity (count %)			
weak	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	0.845
Moderate	1 (20)	4 (80)	
Severe	1 (25)	3 (75)	
Markers; Median (IQR)			
ER percent	0 (-)	10 (83)	0.432
PR percent	30 (-)	5 (73)	0.432
Ki-67	30 (-)	40 (45)	0.364

Discussion

Evaluation of *Her2* protein overexpression by IHC method is routinely used to determine prognosis and therapeutic responsiveness in cases with invasive breast cancer. This technique can be easily accessed and it is not expensive compared to in situ hybridization methods or PCR. Nevertheless in cases with equivocal IHC results, using other evaluating methods seems inevitable. In situ hybridization methods are being performed in very limited Iranian laboratories due to high expenses, not being covered by insurance companies and lack of laboratory facilities. On the other hand, increasing trend of breast malignancies rates in our country necessitates more available laboratory techniques with high sensitivity and accuracy. Therefore, the present study has been conducted to determine statistical data related CISH results detecting *her2* gene amplification in invasive breast cancer patients with equivocal IHC results. Moreover, associations between some biomarkers and clinicopathological criteria with CISH results were also assessed. Determination of validity of such clinical data correlated to CISH results may provide a valuable index in patient selection for using other supplementary methods.

According to our results, frequency of *her2* gene amplifications in CISH- positive group was 21.3%; including resections (20.6%) and needle biopsies (16.7%). This rate shows that about one-fifth of invasive

breast cancer cases with equivocal IHC results need more supplementary investigations. Consistent to our results, Zhao *et al.* demonstrated a near frequency rate by 19% (7). Mehrazma *et al.* have also indicated that of 201 equivocal IHC cases, *her2* gene amplification by CISH was observed in 42 patients (20.9%) (kappa: 0.42). In investigations by Lan *et al.*, Wolff *et al.* and Alsafi *et al.*, this rate was reported 24 and 44 and 44.4 %, respectively (18-20). Overall, it is supposed that the rate of *her2* gene amplification by CISH in equivocal IHC cases vary from 19 to 44%.

Among resection biopsies, most of them showed unifocal, grade II, no vascular invasion, and invasive ductal carcinoma form. Like resection biopsies, grade II, invasive ductal carcinoma, and no vascular invasion were the most frequent characteristics in samples by needle biopsy.

According to the results, a positive association was observed between tumor type and *her2* amplification; frequency of invasive ductal carcinoma in resection biopsies with positive CISH group was higher compared to negative CISH group ($P=0.003$). Prior studies have also confirmed this relationship between *her2* amplification and histopathologic forms of ductal carcinoma. It is supposed that *her2* amplification defines a group of ductal carcinoma cases with greater invasive potential which need more aggressive therapy (21).

Compatible to our finding, Foruhesh Tehrani *et al.* have indicated a significant difference between frequency of invasive ductal carcinoma in positive and negative CISH groups (100% vs. 76.5%; $P=0.026$) (22). Karegar *et al.* demonstrated a higher frequency of ductal carcinoma diagnosis in positive Her-2 overexpression in comparison with negative Her-2 overexpression patients; however, the difference was not statistically significant (52.8 vs. 47.2%; $P=0.77$) (23). Taghipour *et al.* also could not demonstrate a meaningful relationship between the type of tumor and Her2/neu overexpression (24).

Comparing characteristics in resection group with positive CISH results; grade 2 was the most prevalent (25 cases; 19.2%) and also a positive association was found between Her2 overexpression with higher grades of tumor ($P=0.017$). Consistent to our result, Taghipour *et al.* have revealed both the most prevalent tumor grade 2 as well as a meaningful correlation between the Her2/neu overexpression and grade of tumor ($P=0.002$) (24). Alsafi *et al.* have also shown a significant relationship between *her2* gene amplification and the higher grade of tumor ($P<0.05$) (20).

Regarding hormone receptors in resection group, estrogen receptor in 78.8% was positive including 31.2% moderate and 27.5% severe intensity. Progesterone receptor in 75.11% was also positive as follows: 28.6% with moderate and 27% with severe intensity. Analyses of data demonstrated that in resection group, positive CISH results in positive ER were significantly lower than in negative ER (15.4% vs. 43.9% $P<0.001$). This significant difference was also observed regarding the progesterone receptors (15.5% vs. 37%; $P=0.002$). On the other hand, no significant associations were found between positive CISH and intensities of both receptors. In accordance with our findings, Zaidoon *et al.* reported a negative significant relationship between both estrogen and progesterone receptors with *her2* amplification ($P=0.002$ and 0.017, respectively) (8). These findings related *her2* gene status with negative ER and PR were also confirmed in 182 invasive breast cancer patients with equivocal IHC by Ji *et al.* ($P<0.001$) (9).

Based on the results of present study, the median of Ki-67 in resection biopsies was 25.66%. This marker between positive and negative CISH groups was also statistically different; Ki-67 index was significantly expressed in positive CISH groups ($P=0.010$). Other investigations by Ji *et al.* and Taghipour *et al.* have demonstrated a significant association between increase of Ki-67 index and *her2* amplification ($P=0.006$ and $P=0.03$, respectively) (9,24). On the other hand, Alsafi *et al.* have not found this significant association between *her2*/neu gene amplification and ki-67 index (20).

Our study had some limitations. First, the number of samples was limited particularly in needle biopsy group. This limitation could affect validity and reliability of our findings. Second, our results were based on patients from one center and the results cannot be generalized. For better outcome we recommend a bigger sample from different centers.

Conclusion

Results obtained by CISH method indicated that *her2* gene amplification was identified in 21.3% of invasive breast cancer patients with equivocal IHC results. There were significant associations between *her2* gene amplification with some clinicopathologic variables in equivocal IHC cases. Higher grades of tumor, invasive ductal carcinoma, absences of hormone receptors and high Ki-67 index significantly correlated with the *her2* amplification. In equivocal IHC cases, mentioned above clinicopathological variables may consider as a potentially valuable predicting factors on *her2* gene amplification status, in spite of some limitations related using CISH method in our country.

Acknowledgements

This article has been extracted from the thesis written by Ms Mohaddese Fallahi (Registration No: M317).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

1. Moatter T, Aban M, Iqbal W, Azam I, Pervaiz A, Siddiqui F, Murad F, Pervez S. Status of HER2 amplification, polysomy 17 and histopathological features of 425 Pakistani breast cancer patients. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2011;12(11):3069-73.
2. Lambein K, Van Bockstal M, Vandemaele L, Van den Broecke R, Cocquyt V, Geenen S, Denys H, et al. Comparison of HER2 amplification status among breast cancer subgroups offers new insights in pathways of breast cancer progression. *Virchows Arch.* 2017 Nov;471(5):575-587. [DOI:10.1007/s00428-017-2161-8] [PMID]
3. Gown AM. Current issues in ER and HER2 testing by IHC in breast cancer. *Modern pathology.* 2008 May;21(2):S8-15. [DOI:10.1038/modpathol.2008.34] [PMID]
4. Kammori M, Kurabayashi R, Kashio M, Sakamoto A, Yoshimoto M, Amano S, Kaminishi M, Yamada T, Takubo K. Prognostic utility of fluorescence in situ hybridization for determining HER2 gene amplification in breast cancer. *Oncol Rep.* 2008 Mar;19(3):651-6. [DOI:10.3892/or.19.3.651] [PMID]
5. Yaziji H, Goldstein LC, Barry TS, Werling R, Hwang H, Ellis GK, Gralow JR, Livingston RB, Gown AM. HER-2 testing in breast cancer using parallel tissue-based methods. *Jama.* 2004 Apr 28;291(16):1972-7. [DOI:10.1001/jama.291.16.1972] [PMID]
6. Owens MA, Horten BC, Da Silva MM. HER2 amplification ratios by fluorescence in situ hybridization and correlation with immunohistochemistry in a cohort of 6556 breast cancer tissues. *Clinical breast cancer.* 2004 Apr 1;5(1):63-9. [DOI:10.3816/CBC.2004.n.011] [PMID]
7. Zhao J, Wu R, Au A, Marquez A, Yu Y, Shi Z. Determination of HER2 gene amplification by chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) in archival breast carcinoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2002 Jun;15(6):657-65. [DOI:10.1038/modpathol.3880582] [PMID]

8. Zaidoon AM, Ban JQ, Al Shaikhly AW. Evaluation of Immunohistochemistry-Equivocal (2+) HER2 Gene Status in Invasive Breast Cancer by Silver DNA in Situ Hybridization (SISH) and its Association with Clinicopathological Variables. *Iran J Pathol.* 2017 Winter; 12(1): 9-19.
9. Ji Y, Sheng L, Du X, Qiu G, Chen B, Wang X. Clinicopathological variables predicting HER-2 gene status in immunohistochemistry-equivocal (2+) invasive breast cancer. *J Thorac Dis.* 2014 Jul;6(7):896-904.
10. Hicks DG, Tubbs RR. Assessment of the HER2 status in breast cancer by fluorescence in situ hybridization: a technical review with interpretive guidelines. *Hum Pathol.* 2005 Mar;36(3):250-61. [DOI:10.1016/j.humpath.2004.11.010] [PMID]
11. Akhdar A, Bronsard M, Lemieux R, Geha S. HER-2 oncogene amplification assessment in invasive breast cancer by dual-color in situ hybridization (dc-CISH): a comparative study with fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). *Ann Pathol.* 2011 Dec;31(6):472-9. [DOI:10.1016/j.annpat.2011.10.013] [PMID]
12. Tanner M, Gancberg D, Di Leo A, Larsimont D, Rouas G, Piccart MJ, Isola J. Chromogenic in situ hybridization: a practical alternative for fluorescence in situ hybridization to detect HER-2 /neu oncogene amplification in archival breastcancer samples. *Am J Pathol.* 2000 Nov;157(5):1467-72 [DOI:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64785-2]
13. Afzal M, Amir M, Hassan MJ, Hussain MS, Aziz MN, Murad S, Murtaza I, et al. Clinical role of HER2 gene amplification and chromosome 17: a study on 154 IHC-equivocal cases of invasive breast carcinoma patients. *Tumour Biol.* 2016 Jul;37(7):8665-72. [DOI:10.1007/s13277-015-4657-7] [PMID]
14. Atabati H, Raofi A, Amini A, Farahani RM. Evaluating HER2 Gene Amplification Using Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization(CISH) Method In Comparison To Immunohistochemistry Method in BreastCarcinoma. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci.* 2018 Nov 20;6(11):1977-1981. [DOI:10.3889/oamjms.2018.455] [PMID] [PMCID]
15. Ayatollahi H, Fani A, Ghayoor Karimiani E, Homae F, Shajiei A, Sheikh M1, Shakeri S, Shams SF. Chromogenic in situ Hybridization Compared with Real time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction to Evaluate HER2/neu Status in Breast Cancer. *Iran J Pathol.* 2017 Spring;12(2):128-134.
16. Enayatrad M, Mirzaei M, Salehiniya H, Karimirad MR, Vaziri S, Mansouri F, Moudi A. Trends in Incidence of Common Cancers in Iran. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2016;17(S3):39-42. [DOI:10.7314/APJCP.2016.17.S3.39] [PMID]
17. Thang VH, Tani E, Van TT, Krawiec K, Skoog LHER2 status in operable breast cancers from Vietnamese women: Analysis by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and automated silver enhanced in situ hybridization (SISH). *Acta Oncol.* 2011 Apr;50(3):360-6. [DOI:10.3109/0284186X.2010.547217] [PMID]
18. Lan C, Liu JM, Liu TW. erb-b2 amplification by fluorescence in situ hybridization in breast cancer specimens read as 2+ in immunohistochemical analysis. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2005; 124: 97-102. [DOI:10.1309/R2X4KK22QCL7PLME] [PMID]
19. Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Schwartz JN, Hagerty KL, Allred DC, Cote RJ, Dowsett M, Fitzgibbons PL, Hanna WM, Langer A, McShane LM. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer. *Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine.* 2007 Jan;131(1):18-43. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2006.09.2775] [PMID]
20. Alsafi RA, Sahira AA, Ali Hausin A-K, Manal Adnan H. Assessment Of Her-2/Neu Gene Amplification Status by Chromogenic in Situ Hybridization in Breast Cancer Patients with Equivocal 2+ Her-2/Neu Immunostaining and Its Relation to The Clinic Pathological Parameters. *Kerbala Jorunal of Medicine.* 2016;9(2):2483-90.
21. Cooke T, Reeves J, Lanigan A, Stanton P.HER2 as a prognostic and predictive marker for breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2001;12 (1):23-8. [DOI:10.1093/annonc/12.suppl_1.S23] [PMID]
22. Foruhesh Tehrani Z, Khazaeian K , Malayeri A, Faghani R. Correlation of HER2 overexpression with histopathologic features in breast cancer: a two- year study. *Tehran University Medical Journal.* 2010;67(11):766-71.
23. Kargar S, alavi farzane B. Frequency of HER-2 over Expression in the Patients with Breast Cancer after Mastectomy. *The Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences.* 2013;21(4):459-64.
24. Taghipour Zahir Sh, Aalipour E, and Poorya B. Interrelationships Between Ki67, HER2/neu, p53, ER, and PR Status and Their Associations With Tumor Grade and Lymph Node Involvement in Breast Carcinoma Subtypes. *Md J* 2015; 94(32). [DOI:10.1097/MD.0000000000001359] [PMID] [PMCID]

How to Cite This Article

Hashemi-Bahremani, M., Ebrahimi, A., Fallahi, M. Predicting Effects of Clinicopathological Variables on Her2 Gene Amplification by Chromogenic in situ Hybridization (CISH) in IHC Her2 (2+) Breast Cancer Patients; A Study from Iran. *Iranian Journal of Pathology*, 2020; 15(3): 217-224. doi: 10.30699/ijp.2020.110293.2172