

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Inpatient versus outpatient induction of labour: a systematic review and metaanalysis

Susan Dong^{1,2}, Maria Khan¹, Farahnosh Hashimi¹, Caroline Chamy³ and Rohan D'Souza^{1,4,5*}

Correction to: *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 20, 382 (2020)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03060-1>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified an error in Fig. 3. The correct figure is given below.

Author details

¹Division of Maternal and Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Mount Sinai Hospital and University of Toronto, 600 University Avenue, Toronto, Canada. ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ³Ferring Inc., 200 Yorkland Blvd, Toronto, Canada. ⁴Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, 60 Murray Street, Toronto, Canada. ⁵Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, 700 University Avenue, Room 3-908, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z5, Canada.

Published online: 13 July 2020

Reference

1. Dong, et al. Inpatient versus outpatient induction of labour: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;20:382 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03060-1>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03060-1>.

* Correspondence: Rohan.DSouza@sinaihealthsystem.ca

¹Division of Maternal and Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Mount Sinai Hospital and University of Toronto, 600 University Avenue, Toronto, Canada

⁴Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, 60 Murray Street, Toronto, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.




Fig. 3 Subgroup analysis: Significant outcomes in trials where balloon catheters were used in both arms. [COPRA, Comparison of Inpatient with outpatient Balloon Catheter Cervical Ripening]