

Do oral bacteria alter the regenerative potential of stem cells? A concise review

Kyriaki Chatzivasileiou^{a,*}, Katja Kriebel^a, Gustav Steinhoff^c, Bernd Kreikemeyer^b, Hermann Lang^a

^a Department of Operative Dentistry and Periodontology, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

^b Institute of Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

^c Department of Cardiac Surgery, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

Received: December 15, 2014; Accepted: March 15, 2015

- Introduction
- Oral microflora
- Periodontitis
- Dental stem cells
- Clinical relevance
- *In vitro* experimental models

- Influence of oral bacteria on stem cells
 - Effects on cell viability and proliferation of stem cells
 - Effects on differentiation capacity of stem cells
 - Effects on the immunomodulatory properties of stem cells
- Current challenges and future perspectives

Abstract

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are widely recognized as critical players in tissue regeneration. New insights into stem cell biology provide evidence that MSCs may also contribute to host defence and inflammation. In case of tissue injury or inflammatory diseases, *e.g.* periodontitis, stem cells are mobilized towards the site of damage, thus coming in close proximity to bacteria and bacterial components. Specifically, in the oral cavity, complex ecosystems of commensal bacteria live in a mutually beneficial state with the host. However, the formation of polymicrobial biofilm communities with pathogenic properties may trigger an inadequate host inflammatory-immune response, leading to the disruption of tissue homeostasis and development of disease. Because of their unique characteristics, MSCs are suggested as crucial regulators of tissue regeneration even under such harsh environmental conditions. The heterogeneous effects of bacteria on MSCs across studies imply the complexity underlying the interactions between stem cells and bacteria. Hence, a better understanding of stem cell behaviour at sites of inflammation appears to be a key strategy in developing new approaches for *in situ* tissue regeneration. Here, we review the literature on the effects of oral bacteria on cell proliferation, differentiation capacity and immunomodulation of dental-derived MSCs.

Keywords: stem cells • bacteria • regeneration • inflammation • periodontitis

Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), also referred to as multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells, are present in all the organs throughout the body and play a key role in tissue regeneration. Aside from their ability to orchestrate regeneration processes, MSCs are newly proposed as critical players in host defence and inflammation [1].

Under physiological conditions, the oral cavity, gastrointestinal tract and skin home complex ecosystems of commensal bacteria that live in a mutually beneficial state with the host. In case of tissue injury or inflammatory diseases, stem cells are mobilized towards the site of damage, thus coming to close vicinity of bacteria and

bacterial components. Bacterial infection of stem cells could even lead to long-term functional consequences for the host [2]. Recent reports suggest that MSCs may be able to actively participate in the control of infectious challenges by direct targeting of bacteria and through indirect effects on the host primary and adaptive immune response [3].

Oral microflora

The oral cavity, like the skin, the respiratory tract and the gut, is habitat to a plethora of microbiota living in symbiosis with the host [4]. The oral microflora is known to contain over 700 species of aerobic

*Correspondence to: Kyriaki CHATZIVASILEIOU
E-mail: chatziva@med.uni-rostock.de

and anaerobic bacteria [5]. These organisms can be isolated from tooth surfaces, periodontal pockets and other oral sites such as the tongue and oral mucous membranes [6]. Oral microbiota grow as complex, mixed, interdependent colonies organized in biofilms [7]. Reports in the literature suggest that oral bacterial biofilms may contain more than 10^5 microorganisms [8], while the concentrations and compositions of pathogenic bacteria in the subgingival biofilm vary greatly depending on the local microenvironmental conditions [9, 10]. The bacterial genera which are mostly represented in the oral cavity include the following: *Gemella*, *Granulicatella*, *Streptococcus*, *Veillonella*, *Neisseria*, *Haemophilus*, *Rothia*, *Actinomyces*, *Prevotella*, *Capnocytophaga*, *Porphyromona*, *Fusobacterium*, *Corynebacterium*, *Cardiobacterium*, *Campylobacter*, *Corynebacterium*, *Atopobium* and *Bergeyella* [11–13]. It should be noticed that almost 60% of the species detected by new molecular methods are not presently cultivable and remain uncharacterized [11].

The natural oral microflora is vital for the normal development and physiological integrity of the oral cavity. It also contributes to host defence by excluding exogenous microorganisms [14]. It is widely recognized that the maintenance of an ecologically balanced biodiversity of the microflora within the oral cavity is crucial not only to the oral health but also to the general health of the host [15]. Microbes have commensal relationships with their co-habitants, while being symbiotic with their host [16]. However, ecological shifts may lead to pathological conditions, which alter the relationships between microbes and the host [17]. In disease, pathogenic bacteria grow with disregard to their co-habitant bacteria and express their virulence properties, so that the host becomes infected or susceptible to infection [16].

Periodontitis

Periodontitis is a bacterially induced inflammatory disease of the supporting tissues of the teeth. It represents one of the major dental diseases that affect human populations worldwide at high prevalence rates and has a huge economic impact on national health care systems [18]. In fact, periodontitis is characterized by progressive periodontal tissue destruction that may finally lead to the loosening and subsequent loss of teeth [19]. The predominant pathogens involved in periodontitis are *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella intermedia*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Tannerella forsythia*, and *Eikenella corrodens*, and *Treponema denticola* [15]. In addition, several forms of uncultivable spirochetes are supposed to play a major role in the pathogenesis of this disease [20].

Periodontal pathogens induce tissue destruction by activating the host defence. The infection of periodontal tissues is accompanied by the release of bacterial leucotoxins, collagenases, fibrinolysins and other proteases that break down host tissues and may result in gingival inflammation [21]. Specifically, microbial components, like lipopolysaccharide (LPS), have the capacity to activate macrophages and lymphocytes to synthesize and secrete a wide array of molecules including cytokines, prostaglandins, hydrolytic enzymes and tumour necrosis factor alpha, which in turn stimulate the effectors of

periodontal tissue breakdown [22]. Cell activation occurs mainly through two members of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) family, TLR2 and TLR4 that are documented as predominant signalling receptors for most bacterial components [23, 24].

Once a periodontal pocket forms and becomes colonized by bacteria, the pathologic situation becomes irreversible [18]. The conventional periodontal treatment involves the mechanical removal of the pathogenic dental biofilm. Successful clinical outcomes such as probing depth reduction and gain of clinical attachment after treatment are well documented in a plethora of studies [25–27]. However, histological analyses of healed periodontal tissues reveal in most of the cases the presence of an epithelial lining along the treated root surfaces of the teeth, instead of true periodontal regeneration [28].

Dental stem cells

Stem cells are defined by their capacity to self-renew and differentiate into multiple cell lineages. One of the most studied adult stem cell types are MSCs [29]. Friedenstein *et al.* first described bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs) as a heterogeneous population of multipotent cells derived from bone marrow aspirates with the ability to adhere to plastic surfaces and form colonies of fibroblast-like cells within the first days of cultivation [30, 31]. Although MSCs were originally isolated from the bone marrow, similar populations of mesenchymal precursors were isolated from other tissues, including adipose tissue [32], amniotic fluid [33], foetal liver [34] and umbilical cord blood (UCB) [35].

During the last decades, rapid progress in dental research has shed light on the molecular and cellular biology of periodontal tissue development. Recently, multipotent cells have been successfully isolated from several dental tissues including dental pulp [36], dental follicle [37], exfoliated deciduous teeth [38] and the root apical papilla [39]. Several *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies on dental stem cells (DSCs) provide evidence of their multipotent character and their key role in periodontal regeneration [40]. It has been demonstrated that DSCs have a fibroblast-like morphology and are plastic-adherent. Similar to other stem cell populations, DSCs express several surface markers such as CD10, CD13, CD29, CD44, CD53, CD59, CD73, CD90 and CD105, and do not express CD34, CD45 or HLA-DR [41, 42]. Demonstration of self-renewal ability and multilineage differentiation capacity are additional indications of their stem cell phenotype. Indeed, it has been proven that DSCs are able to form single cell-derived colonies and differentiate into several lineages, when induced by special media *in vitro* [43].

Clinical relevance

The identification of DSCs has stimulated interest in the potential use of cell-based therapies as prospective alternatives to existing therapeutic approaches for the repair and regeneration of the periodontium [44]. One of the critical requirements for the success of such therapeutic interventions would be the repopulation of the periodontal wound by *ex vivo* expanded progenitor populations or the mobilization

of endogenous progenitor cells capable of promoting regeneration [45]. Specifically, DSCs grafts may support the restoration of the complex ultrastructure of the periodontal ligament and the dynamic functional relationships of its components. Numerous animal studies have already proved the regenerative potency of these cell populations *in vivo* [46].

However, one of the growing concerns in dental research is the exposure of DSCs to the inflamed microenvironment of periodontal pockets [47]. This may affect many cell properties such as self-renewal, differentiation potential, production of cytokines and extracellular matrix compounds secretion. Sorrell and Caplan demonstrated that multipotent cell grafts might trigger regenerative processes not only through direct commitment, but also by infiltrating inflammatory or antigen-presenting cells [48]. Such a regenerative microenvironment may impel self-regulated regenerative cascades and limit the area of damage in the inflamed adult tissues [49]. Hence, a better understanding of cell behaviour at sites of bacterial infection appears to be a key strategy for the development of new approaches for periodontal regeneration.

***In vitro* experimental models**

The microenvironment of a periodontal pocket is characterized by the constant presence of bacterial biofilms. This condition results in a continuous cross-talk of periodontal tissue cells with a wide variety of oral microorganisms. Further, in periodontitis, several types of host immune cells (*e.g.* neutrophils and macrophages) migrate to the site of inflammation [50]. The better understanding of the complex cell–bacteria interactions is essential for the development of successful periodontal therapies. While several *in vivo* models have been already used, the design of an *in vitro* model that could sufficiently mimic the *in vivo* situation of inflamed periodontal tissues remains to be developed [51].

Till now most of the *in vitro* experimental settings are based on the analysis of the LPS effects on cells. Lipopolysaccharide is a major membrane component of Gram-negative bacteria and can be derived from several bacterial species, *e.g.* *Escherichia coli* or *P. gingivalis* [47, 52, 53]. The easy isolation method and the fact that LPS is responsible for many of the inflammatory responses and pathogenic effects of Gram-negative bacteria are the main arguments for the use of LPS in numerous *in vitro* experiments. Experimental settings using heat-inactivated or sonicated bacteria have also been proposed as models that may correspond to the *in vivo* condition of bacterial infection [54, 55]. Further methods used for the analysis of cell–bacteria interactions are based on the fact that periopathogenic bacterial pathogens produce a broad array of potential virulence factors apart from LPS that are released into the gingival crevicular fluid [56]. Thus, the culture of cells with bacterial pre-conditioned medium or the co-cultivation of cells and bacteria in transwell systems have been used to evaluate the secretion of soluble factors and the activation of cellular downstream cascades by bacteria [57, 58]. Although many biological effects can be elicited by non-viable bacteria, it is known that some cell responses require the presence of live bacteria [59].

Experimental models utilizing microorganisms in a planktonic state were used to imitate the periodontal infection [60]. Nevertheless, such systems may not adequately portray the bacterial challenge conferred by a polymicrobial, biofilm-induced disease, such as periodontitis [61]. Thus, *in vitro* multispecies dental biofilm settings have been proposed as laboratory models that better mimic the environment of chronic periodontitis [62–64]. Finally, cell invasion is a common strategy of pathogens that facilitates their escape from host immune system, access to nutrients, persistence and spread into tissues [65]. Recent studies using viable bacteria have been demonstrated as models for the analysis of host cell invasion processes such as bacterial adherence and internalization by cells [66, 67]. However, the subgingival bacteria that are closely correlated with periodontitis are mainly anaerobes. The co-culture of these bacteria with oxygen-requiring cells in conventional systems is not possible [68]. Therefore, one weak point of the experimental studies on periodontal infection is the fact that most *in vitro* settings are conducted under aerated conditions. Given the fact that aerotolerance of strictly anaerobic pathogens like *P. gingivalis* is very low, the interpretation of such experimental results may not directly reflect the *in vivo* situation [69]. Until now only few models have been proposed utilizing direct contact between live obligate anaerobic bacteria and human cell lines under oxygen-free conditions [70, 71].

Influence of oral bacteria on stem cells

Effects on cell viability and proliferation of stem cells

Cell proliferation is fundamental in tissue homeostasis and can be controlled by either physiological or pathological conditions. Previous studies have demonstrated that LPS derived from periopathogenic bacteria may induce controversial effects on the proliferation of periodontal ligament fibroblasts [72–74]. Currently, the possible effect of bacteria on the proliferative rates of multipotent cells is in the focus of interest of several research groups. Kato *et al.* demonstrated that *P. gingivalis* LPS promoted cell proliferation in periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs) [53]. Stimulation of TLR2 also led to enhanced proliferation of adult BMSCs [75]. Further, Jiang *et al.* and Buchon *et al.* proposed that intestinal stem cells are able to maintain tissue homeostasis by increasing their proliferation rates to repair tissue damage at sites of infection through the JAK-STAT signalling pathway [76, 77].

On the contrary, according to an *in vitro* study on canine adipose-derived MSCs (ADSCs), gastrointestinal microbes did not induce cell death nor diminished cell proliferation. Previous studies on dental follicle progenitors also demonstrated that cell viability of both dental follicle progenitor cells (DFPCs) and BMSCs was not affected by *P. gingivalis* LPS treatment [47, 78]. In addition, TLR ligands such as LPS and flagellin do not alter proliferation rates of a newly identified population of pluripotent UCB cells,

which are termed as unrestricted somatic stem cells [79]. Nevertheless, LPS and extracts from *Streptococcus mutans* treatment were able to inhibit the proliferation of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) *in vitro* [80].

These heterogeneous effects of bacteria on the induction or inhibition of cell proliferation across studies could imply the complexity of the underlying mechanisms that rule the interactions between host cells and bacteria. Specifically, cell response to bacterial stimuli seems to be associated with the cell type, bacterial strain and specific bacterial components used in each experimental setting [81].

Effects on differentiation capacity of stem cells

The ability of stem cells to differentiate into multiple lineages is well documented. Especially the differentiation capacity of DSCs across the osteogenic, chondrogenic, adipogenic and neurogenic lineages has been demonstrated from several research groups in the last years [82, 83]. Nevertheless, the impact of bacteria on the differentiation capacity of stem cells remains to be explored. In a recent study, Ronay *et al.* demonstrated that infected periodontal granulation tissues harbour cells expressing embryonic stem cell markers, and exhibit osteogenic capacities [84]. These results are in accordance with other studies demonstrating elevated alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, an early marker for osteogenic differentiation, and calcium deposition after *E. coli* LPS treatment of BMSCs [52].

Nevertheless, an increased ALP activity after LPS treatment may not always lead to formation of mineralized nodules *in vitro*. It is suggested that LPS may partly block the progression of molecular processes involved in osteogenic differentiation [47]. Interestingly, Abe *et al.* demonstrated that low concentrations of *P. gingivalis* extracts improve the osteogenic differentiation of human dental pulp-derived cells while high concentrations may inhibit ALP activity and bone sialoprotein gene expression [85]. High concentration of sodium butyrate, a major metabolic by-product of anaerobic Gram-negative periodontopathogenic bacteria, could inhibit the osteoblastic differentiation and mineralized nodule formation in an osteoblastic cell line *in vitro* [86]. In accordance with these results *P. gingivalis* LPS was shown to suppress the osteoblastic differentiation in both PDLSCs and DFPCs [47, 53]. Nomiyama *et al.* suggested that Gram-negative bacterial infection might down-regulate the odontoblastic properties of rat pulp progenitor cells after stimulation with *A. actinomycetemcomitans* LPS [87]. Treatment with LPS from *P. gingivalis* was shown to impair both ALP activity and the formation of mineral deposits in DPSCs [88]. In this context, TLR ligands have been proposed as possible regulators of stem cell differentiation state *in vitro* [78].

Further, *P. gingivalis* fimbriae are proposed as potent inducers of a monocyte/macrophage tumour cell line differentiation, *via* cyclic nucleotide-independent protein kinase C [89]. However, *P. gingivalis* fimbriae were proved unable to alter the osteoblastic differentiation and mineralization in long-term mouse calvarial osteoblast cultures [90].

Effects on the immunomodulatory properties of stem cells

In the last years the immunomodulatory functions of the stem cells have been in the focus of research [91]. Accumulating evidence indicated that MSCs may affect neighbouring innate and adaptive immune cells by two main ways: the direct cell–cell contact and the release of a variety of soluble factors [92–97]. Gingiva-derived MSCs (GMSCs) were shown to have immunomodulatory functions. Specifically, GMSCs were able to suppress peripheral blood lymphocyte proliferation and induce expression of a wide panel of immunosuppressive factors including interleukin (IL)-10, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, inducible nitric oxide synthase and cyclooxygenase 2 in response to the inflammatory cytokine, interferon- γ [98]. However, the behaviour of cells under the direct influence of bacteria remains less understood.

Reed *et al.* recently demonstrated that human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells (hESC-ECs) are TLR4 deficient but respond to bacteria *via* the intracellular receptor nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1 (NOD1). The authors suggested that hESC-ECs may be protected from unwanted TLR4-mediated vascular inflammation, thus offering a potential therapeutic advantage [99]. On the other side, studies on DFPCs revealed the expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in both mRNA and protein level. Nevertheless, when these cells were treated with *P. gingivalis* LPS no effect on the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines has been observed [47, 78]. Further, treatment with TLR4 agonist augmented the suppressive potential of DFPCs and increased the transforming growth factor-beta production [100]. In accordance with these results canine ADSCs were shown to enhance immunomodulation after interacting with gastrointestinal microbes *in vitro* [101].

It is reported that LPS is able to induce the expression of the nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B) -dependent gene IL-8 by DPSCs [102]. He *et al.* suggested that LPS-mediated transcriptional and post-translational up-regulation of IL-8 in DPSCs is a process that also involves TLR4, myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88), NF- κ B and mitogen-activated protein kinases [103]. Further, Mei *et al.* demonstrated that MSCs improve the survival of sepsis by the down-regulation of inflammation-related genes (such e.g. IL-10 and IL-6) and a shift towards the up-regulation of genes involved in promoting phagocytosis and bacterial killing [104]. The direct interaction of MSCs with the oral bacteria *F. nucleatum* and *P. gingivalis* led to a lower secretion of IL-8 compared to a differentiated tumour cell line [66]. Raffaghello *et al.* support the immunomodulatory function of MSCs showing the inhibition of neutrophil apoptosis because of the secretion of IL-6 by MSCs [105]. Nevertheless, these results should be interpreted carefully as it is speculated that the cytokine induction profile of stem cells is dependent on the cell type, bacterial species and methodology used (e.g. period of stimulation) [79, 106].

Current challenges and future perspectives

The rapid advancements in the field of dental research over the last few years could realize the promise of tissue regeneration through

stem cells. Specifically, the demand for novel therapies against inflammatory diseases, like periodontitis, has created the need for a better understanding of the behaviour of the multipotent cells at sites of infection. Stem cells are supposed to support tissue homeostasis by providing soluble factors, transdifferentiation or cell fusion [107]. Hence, studies demonstrating stem cell responsiveness to bacteria raise questions on the possible contribution of multipotent cells to both tissue regeneration and outbreak of inflammation. Selected reports on the impact of bacteria on stem cells are listed in Table 1.

The notion that bacteria may stimulate and drive the regenerative potential of stem cells should be further explored. Till now, data from *in vitro* studies utilizing single populations of cells challenged with bacterial components or mono-infections of planktonic bacteria may not adequately portray human periodontal diseases. Another significant parameter, which should be taken into consideration, is the oxygen concentration of *in vitro* models, as most of the pathogenic species implicated in the pathogenesis of periodontitis are obligate anaerobes. It is also remarkable that only few studies have used

Table 1 Selected reports on the impact of bacteria on stem cells

Biological impact	Cell populations	Bacterial species	Experimental model	Reference
Cell viability	DFPCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[47]
	PDLSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[53]
	DFPCs, BMSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[78]
	USSCs	Undefined	Treatment with LPS and flagellin	[79]
	DPSCs	<i>S. mutans</i>	Treatment with LPS	[80]
Differentiation	DFPCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[47]
	BMSCs	<i>E. coli</i>	Treatment with LPS	[52]
	PDLSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[53]
	USSCs	Undefined	Treatment with LPS and flagellin	[79]
	DPPCs	<i>A.actinomyce-temcomitans</i>	Treatment with LPS	[87]
	DPSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[88]
Immunomodulation	DFPCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[47]
	BMSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i> , <i>F. nucleatum</i> , <i>A.actinomyce-temcomitans</i>	Co-culture model	[69]
	DFPCs, BMSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i> , <i>F. nucleatum</i>	Co-culture model	[70]
	DFPCs, BMSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[78]
	USSCs	Undefined	Treatment with LPS and flagellin	[79]
	ESC-ECs	Undefined	Treatment with LPS and <i>C12-iE-DAP</i>	[99]
	DFSCs, DPSCs	Undefined	Treatment with LPS	[100]
	AMSCs	<i>S. typhimurium</i> , <i>L. acidophilus</i>	Co-culture model	[101]
	DPSCs	<i>P. gingivalis</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , <i>P. endodontalis</i>	Treatment with LPS	[102]
	DPSCs	Undefined	Treatment with LPS	[103]
	MSCs	Undefined	Polymicrobial model of sepsis	[104]

Stem cells: AMSCs, adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells; BMSCs, bone marrow stem cells; DFPCs, dental follicle progenitors cells; DPPCs, dental pulp progenitor cells; DPSCs, dental pulp stem cells; ESC-ECs, human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells; MSCs, mesenchymal stem cells; PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells; USSCs, unrestricted somatic stem cells. Bacteria: *A. actinomycetemcomitans*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*; *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; *F. Prausnitzii*, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*; *L. acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*; *P. endodontalis*, *Porphyromonas endodontalis*; *P. gingivalis*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*; *S. mutans*, *Streptococcus mutans*; *S. typhimurium*, *Salmonella typhimurium*.

PDLSCs, which are the main population of multipotent cells residing within the periodontium. Thus, the development of new experimental settings to better resemble the *in vivo* periodontal milieu seems to be crucial.

A better understanding of the beneficial effects of bacteria on stem cells may allow future interventions based on cell priming with bacterial components prior to transplantation in sites of tissue destruction. Even the colonization of inflamed tissues with specific bacterial species that promote the mobilization of tissue-resident multipotent cell populations could be part of new therapeutic approaches. On the other side, the extent of stem cells' involvement in immunomodulation remains to be clarified. Both immunosuppression and stimulation of host immune responses regulated by stem cells could be used as advanced tools against bacterially induced inflammation. In conclusion, the identification of intracellular signalling pathways regulating multipotency and immunomodulation of stem cells being

exposed to bacteria may enable the development of successful therapeutic interventions in inflammatory diseases.

Conflicts of interest

The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

Kyriaki Chatzivasileiou and Katja Kriebel contributed substantially to the conception and design of the study and wrote the paper. Hermann Lang, Bernd Kreikemeyer and Gustav Steinhoff contributed to the conception and critical revision of the article and provided the final approval of the version to be published.

References

- Nemeth K, Mayer B, Mezey E. Modulation of bone marrow stromal cell functions in infectious diseases by toll-like receptor ligands. *J Mol Med*. 2010; 88: 5–10.
- Kolb-Mäurer A, Goebel W. Susceptibility of hematopoietic stem cells to pathogens: role in virus/bacteria tropism and pathogenesis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*. 2003; 226: 203–7.
- Auletta JJ, Deans RJ, Bartholomew AM. Emerging roles for multipotent, bone marrow-derived stromal cells in host defense. *Blood*. 2012; 119: 1801–9.
- Zarco MF, Vess TJ, Ginsburg GS. The oral microbiome in health and disease and the potential impact on personalized dental medicine. *Oral Dis*. 2012; 18: 109–20.
- Paster BJ, Olsen I, Aas JA, et al. The breadth of bacterial diversity in the human periodontal pocket and other oral sites. *Periodontol 2000*. 2006; 42: 80–7.
- Listgarten MA. Structure of the microbial flora associated with periodontal health and disease in man. A light and electron microscopic study. *J Periodontol*. 1976; 47: 1–18.
- Avila M, Ojcius DM, Yilmaz O. The oral microbiota: living with a permanent guest. *DNA Cell Biol*. 2009; 28: 405–11.
- Jain S, Darveau RP. Contribution of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* lipopolysaccharide to periodontitis. *Periodontol 2000*. 2010; 54: 53–70.
- Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Periodontal microbial ecology. *Periodontol 2000*. 2005; 38: 135–87.
- Dewhirst FE, Chen T, Izard J, et al. The human oral microbiome. *J Bacteriol*. 2010; 192: 5002–17.
- Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, et al. Defining the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005; 43: 5721–32.
- Zaura E, Keijsers BJ, Huse SM, et al. Defining the healthy “core microbiome” of oral microbial communities. *BMC Microbiol*. 2009; 9: 259.
- Bik EM, Long CD, Armitage GC, et al. Bacterial diversity in the oral cavity of 10 healthy individuals. *ISME J*. 2010; 4: 962–74.
- Marsh PD, Percival RS. The oral microflora—friend or foe? Can we decide? *Int Dent J*. 2006; 56: 233–9.
- Filоче S, Wong L, Sissons CH. Oral biofilms: emerging concepts in microbial ecology. *J Dent Res*. 2010; 89: 8–18.
- Ruby EG. Symbiotic conversations are revealed under genetic interrogation. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2008; 6: 752–62.
- Parahitiyawa NB, Scully C, Leung WK, et al. Exploring the oral bacterial flora: current status and future directions. *Oral Dis*. 2010; 16: 136–45.
- Pihlstrom BL, Michalowicz BS, Johnson NW. Periodontal diseases. *Lancet*. 2005; 366: 1809–20.
- Van Dyke TE. The management of inflammation in periodontal disease. *J Periodontol*. 2008; 79: 1601–8.
- Dewhirst FE, Tamer MA, Ericson RE, et al. The diversity of periodontal spirochetes by 16S rRNA analysis. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. 2000; 15: 196–202.
- Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Evidence of bacterial etiology: a historical perspective. *Periodontol 2000*. 1994; 5: 7–25.
- Page RC. The role of inflammatory mediators in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. *J Periodontol Res*. 1991; 26: 230–42.
- Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN. Bacterial pathogenesis and mediators in apical periodontitis. *Braz Dent J*. 2007; 18: 267–80.
- Noreen M, Shah MA, Mall SM, et al. TLR4 polymorphisms and disease susceptibility. *Inflamm Res*. 2012; 61: 177–88.
- Lindhe J, Westfelt E, Nyman S, et al. Long-term effect of surgical/non-surgical treatment of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1984; 11: 448–58.
- Badersten A, Nilveus R, Egelberg J. Effect of nonsurgical periodontal therapy (VIII). Probing attachment changes related to clinical characteristics. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1987; 14: 425–32.
- Kaldahl WB, Kalkwarf KL, Patil KD, et al. Evaluation of four modalities of periodontal therapy. Mean probing depth, probing attachment level and recession changes. *J Periodontol*. 1988; 59: 783–93.
- Caton JG. Overview of clinical trials on periodontal regeneration. *Ann Periodontol*. 1997; 2: 215–22.
- Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science*. 1999; 284: 143–7.
- Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhjan RK, Lalykina KS. The development of fibroblast colonies in monolayer cultures of guinea-pig bone marrow and spleen cells. *Cell Tissue Kinet*. 1970; 3: 393–403.
- Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhyan RK, Latsinik NV, et al. Stromal cells responsible for transferring the microenvironment of the

- hemopoietic tissues. Cloning *in vitro* and retransplantation *in vivo*. *Transplantation*. 1974; 17: 331–40.
32. Zuk PA, Zhu M, Ashjian P, *et al*. Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2002; 13: 4279–95.
 33. Roubelakis MG, Bitsika V, Zagoura D, *et al*. *In vitro* and *in vivo* properties of distinct populations of amniotic fluid mesenchymal progenitor cells. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2011; 15: 1896–913.
 34. Fiegel HC, Lange C, Kneser U, *et al*. Fetal and adult liver stem cells for liver regeneration and tissue engineering. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2006; 10: 577–87.
 35. Kögler G, Sensken S, Airey JA, *et al*. A new human somatic stem cell from placental cord blood with intrinsic pluripotent differentiation potential. *J Exp Med*. 2004; 200: 123–35.
 36. Gronthos S, Mankani M, Brahimi J, *et al*. Postnatal human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2000; 97: 13625–30.
 37. Morsczeck C, Götz W, Schierholz J, *et al*. Isolation of precursor cells (PCs) from human dental follicle of wisdom teeth. *Matrix Biol*. 2005; 24: 155–65.
 38. Miura M, Gronthos S, Zhao M, *et al*. SHED: stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100: 5807–12.
 39. Sonoyama W, Liu Y, Fang D, *et al*. Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated functional tooth regeneration in swine. *PLoS ONE*. 2006; 1: e79.
 40. Chen FM, Sun HH, Lu H, *et al*. Stem cell-delivery therapeutics for periodontal tissue regeneration. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33: 6320–44.
 41. Lindroos B, Mäenpää K, Ylikomi T, *et al*. Characterisation of human dental stem cells and buccal mucosa fibroblasts. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2008; 368: 329–35.
 42. Huang GT, Gronthos S, Shi S. Mesenchymal stem cells derived from dental tissues vs. those from other sources: their biology and role in regenerative medicine. *J Dent Res*. 2009; 88: 792–806.
 43. Kim BC, Bae H, Kwon IK, *et al*. Osteoblastic/cementoblastic and neural differentiation of dental stem cells and their applications to tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev*. 2012; 18: 235–44.
 44. Bartold PM, Shi S, Gronthos S. Stem cells and periodontal regeneration. *Periodontol 2000*. 2006; 40: 164–72.
 45. Ivanovski S, Gronthos S, Shi S, *et al*. Stem cells in the periodontal ligament. *Oral Dis*. 2006; 12: 358–63.
 46. Trofin EA, Monsarrat P, Kémoun P. Cell therapy of periodontium: from animal to human? *Front Physiol*. 2013; 4: 325.
 47. Morsczeck CO, Drees J, Gosau M. Lipopolysaccharide from *Escherichia coli* but not from *Porphyromonas gingivalis* induce pro-inflammatory cytokines and alkaline phosphatase in dental follicle cells. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2012; 57: 1595–601.
 48. Sorrell JM, Caplan AI. Topical delivery of mesenchymal stem cells and their function in wounds. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2010; 1: 30.
 49. Caplan AI. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. *J Cell Physiol*. 2007; 213: 341–7.
 50. Kinane DF, Lippin DF. Immune processes in periodontal disease: a review. *Ann Periodontol*. 2002; 7: 62–71.
 51. Kepschull M, Papananou PN. Periodontal microbial complexes associated with specific cell and tissue responses. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2011; 38: 17–27.
 52. Mo IF, Yip KH, Chan WK, *et al*. Prolonged exposure to bacterial toxins downregulated expression of toll-like receptors in mesenchymal stromal cell-derived osteoprogenitors. *BMC Cell Biol*. 2008; 9: 52.
 53. Kato H, Taguchi Y, Tominaga K, *et al*. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* LPS inhibits osteoblastic differentiation and promotes pro-inflammatory cytokine production in human periodontal ligament stem cells. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2014; 59: 167–75.
 54. Stathopoulou PG, Galicia JC, Benakanakere MR, *et al*. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* induce apoptosis in human gingival epithelial cells through a gingipain-dependent mechanism. *BMC Microbiol*. 2009; 9: 107.
 55. Laheij AM, de Soet JJ, Veerman EC, *et al*. The influence of oral bacteria on epithelial cell migration *in vitro*. *Mediators Inflamm*. 2013; 2013: 154532.
 56. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Microbial etiological agents of destructive periodontal diseases. *Periodontol 2000*. 1994; 5: 78–111.
 57. Bostanci N, Allaker RP, Belibasakis GN, *et al*. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* antagonises *Campylobacter rectus* induced cytokine production by human monocytes. *Cytokine*. 2007; 39: 147–56.
 58. Yin L, Swanson B, An J, *et al*. Differential effects of periopathogens on host protease inhibitors SLPI, elafin, SCCA1, and SCCA2. *J Oral Microbiol*. 2010; 2: doi: 10.3402/jom.v2i0.5070.
 59. Adams CA. The probiotic paradox: live and dead cells are biological response modifiers. *Nutr Res Rev*. 2010; 23: 37–46.
 60. Guggenheim B, Gmür R, Galicia JC, *et al*. *In vitro* modeling of host-parasite interactions: the 'subgingival' biofilm challenge of primary human epithelial cells. *BMC Microbiol*. 2009; 9: 280.
 61. Zijng V, van Leeuwen MB, Degener JE, *et al*. Oral biofilm architecture on natural teeth. *PLoS ONE*. 2010; 5: e9321.
 62. Sissons CH. Artificial dental plaque biofilm model systems. *Adv Dent Res*. 1997; 11: 110–26.
 63. Park JH, Lee JK, Um HS, *et al*. A periodontitis-associated multispecies model of an oral biofilm. *J Periodontal Implant Sci*. 2014; 44: 79–84.
 64. Tabenski L, Maisch T, Santarelli F, *et al*. Individual growth detection of bacterial species in an *in vitro* oral polymicrobial biofilm model. *Arch Microbiol*. 2014; 196: 819–28.
 65. Li L, Michel R, Cohen J, *et al*. Intracellular survival and vascular cell-to-cell transmission of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *BMC Microbiol*. 2008; 8: 26.
 66. Saito A, Inagaki S, Ishihara K. Differential ability of periodontopathic bacteria to modulate invasion of human gingival epithelial cells by *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Microb Pathog*. 2009; 47: 329–33.
 67. Dabija-Wolter G, Sapkota D, Cimpan MR, *et al*. Limited in-depth invasion of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* into *in vitro* reconstructed human gingiva. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2012; 57: 344–51.
 68. Maier E, Anderson RC, Roy NC. Understanding how commensal obligate anaerobic bacteria regulate immune functions in the large intestine. *Nutrients*. 2014; 7: 45–73.
 69. Kriebel K, Biedermann A, Kreikemeyer B, *et al*. Anaerobic co-culture of mesenchymal stem cells and anaerobic pathogens - a new *in vitro* model system. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8: e78226.
 70. Biedermann A, Kriebel K, Kreikemeyer B, *et al*. Interactions of anaerobic bacteria with dental stem cells: an *in vitro* study. *PLoS ONE*. 2014; 9: e110616.
 71. Ulluwishewa D, Anderson RC, Young W, *et al*. Live *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* in an apical anaerobic model of the intestinal epithelial barrier. *Cell Microbiol*. 2015; 17: 226–40.
 72. Yamasaki M, Nakata K, Imaizumi I, *et al*. Cytotoxic effect of endodontic bacteria on periapical fibroblasts. *J Endod*. 1998; 24: 534–9.
 73. Tabeta K, Yamazaki K, Akashi S, *et al*. Toll-like receptors confer responsiveness

- to lipopolysaccharide from *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in human gingival fibroblasts. *Infect Immun.* 2000; 68: 3731–5.
74. Wang PL, Oido-Mori M, Fujii T, et al. Heterogeneous expression of Toll-like receptor 4 and downregulation of Toll-like receptor 4 expression on human gingival fibroblasts by *Porphyromonas gingivalis* lipopolysaccharide. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2001; 288: 863–7.
 75. Pevsner-Fischer M, Morad V, Cohen-Sfady M, et al. Toll-like receptors and their ligands control mesenchymal stem cell functions. *Blood.* 2007; 109: 1422–32.
 76. Jiang H, Patel PH, Kohlmaier A, et al. Cytokine/Jak/Stat signaling mediates regeneration and homeostasis in the Drosophila midgut. *Cell.* 2009; 137: 1343–55.
 77. Buchon N, Broderick NA, Poidevin M, et al. Drosophila intestinal response to bacterial infection: activation of host defense and stem cell proliferation. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2009; 5: 200–11.
 78. Chatzivasileiou K, Lux CA, Steinhoff G, et al. Dental follicle progenitor cells responses to *Porphyromonas gingivalis* LPS. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2013; 17: 766–73.
 79. van den Berk LC, Jansen BJH, Siebers-Vermeulen KG, et al. Toll-like receptor triggering in cord blood mesenchymal stem cells. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2009; 13: 3415–26.
 80. Liu Y, Gao Y, Zhan X, et al. TLR4 activation by lipopolysaccharide and *Streptococcus mutans* induces differential regulation of proliferation and migration in human dental pulp stem cells. *J Endod.* 2014; 40: 1375–81.
 81. Yilmaz O. The chronicles of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*: the microbium, the human oral epithelium and their interplay. *Microbiology.* 2008; 154: 2897–903.
 82. Hynes K, Menicanin D, Gronthos S, et al. Clinical utility of stem cells for periodontal regeneration. *Periodontol 2000.* 2012; 59: 203–27.
 83. Xiao L, Tsutsui T. Human dental mesenchymal stem cells and neural regeneration. *Hum Cell.* 2013; 26: 91–6.
 84. Ronay V, Belibasakis GN, Attin T, et al. Expression of embryonic stem cell markers and osteogenic differentiation potential in cells derived from periodontal granulation tissue. *Cell Biol Int.* 2014; 38: 179–86.
 85. Abe S, Imaizumi M, Mikami Y, et al. Oral bacterial extracts facilitate early osteogenic/dentinogenic differentiation in human dental pulp-derived cells. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2010; 109: 149–54.
 86. Morozumi A. High concentration of sodium butyrate suppresses osteoblastic differentiation and mineralized nodule formation in ROS17/2.8 cells. *J Oral Sci.* 2011; 53: 509–16.
 87. Nomiya K, Kitamura C, Tsujisawa T, et al. Effects of lipopolysaccharide on newly established rat dental pulp-derived cell line with odontoblastic properties. *J Endod.* 2007; 33: 1187–91.
 88. Yamagishi VT, Torneck CD, Friedman S, et al. Blockade of TLR2 inhibits *Porphyromonas gingivalis* suppression of mineralized matrix formation by human dental pulp stem cells. *J Endod.* 2011; 37: 812–8.
 89. Hirose K, Isogai E, Mizugai H, et al. Inductive effect of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* fimbriae on differentiation of human monocytic tumor cell line U937. *Oral Microbiol Immunol.* 1996; 11: 62–4.
 90. Zhang W, Swearingen EB, Ju J, et al. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* invades osteoblasts and inhibits bone formation. *Microbes Infect.* 2010; 12: 838–45.
 91. Li Z, Jiang CM, An S, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of dental tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Oral Dis.* 2014; 20: 25–34.
 92. Meisel R, Zibert A, Laryea M, et al. Human bone marrow stromal cells inhibit allogeneic T-cell responses by indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase-mediated tryptophan degradation. *Blood.* 2004; 103: 4619–21.
 93. Sotiropoulou PA, Perez SA, Gritzapis AD, et al. Interactions between human mesenchymal stem cells and natural killer cells. *Stem Cells.* 2006; 24: 74–85.
 94. Sato K, Ozaki K, Oh I, et al. Nitric oxide plays a critical role in suppression of T-cell proliferation by mesenchymal stem cells. *Blood.* 2007; 109: 228–34.
 95. Selmani Z, Najj A, Zidi I, et al. Human leukocyte antigen-G5 secretion by human mesenchymal stem cells is required to suppress T lymphocyte and natural killer function and to induce CD4⁺ CD25^{high} FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells. *Stem Cells.* 2008; 26: 212–22.
 96. English K, Ryan JM, Tobin L, et al. Cell contact, prostaglandin E(2) and transforming growth factor beta 1 play non-redundant roles in human mesenchymal stem cell induction of CD4⁺ CD25(High) forkhead box P3⁺ regulatory T cells. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2009; 156: 149–60.
 97. Ren G, Su J, Zhang L, et al. Species variation in the mechanisms of mesenchymal stem cell-mediated immunosuppression. *Stem Cells.* 2009; 27: 1954–62.
 98. Zhang Q, Shi S, Liu Y, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells derived from human gingiva are capable of immunomodulatory functions and ameliorate inflammation-related tissue destruction in experimental colitis. *J Immunol.* 2009; 183: 7787–98.
 99. Reed DM, Foldes G, Gatheral T, et al. Pathogen sensing pathways in human embryonic stem cell derived-endothelial cells: role of NOD1 receptors. *PLoS ONE.* 2014; 9: e91119.
 100. Tomic S, Djokic J, Vasilijic S, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stem cells derived from dental pulp and dental follicle are susceptible to activation by toll-like receptor agonists. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2011; 20: 695–708.
 101. Kol A, Foutouhi S, Walker NJ, et al. Gastrointestinal microbes interact with canine adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells *in vitro* and enhance immunomodulatory functions. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2014; 23: 1831–43.
 102. Chang J, Zhang C, Tani-Ishii N, et al. NF-kappaB activation in human dental pulp stem cells by TNF and LPS. *J Dent Res.* 2005; 84: 994–8.
 103. He W, Qu T, Yu Q, et al. LPS induces IL-8 expression through TLR4, MyD88, NF-kappaB and MAPK pathways in human dental pulp stem cells. *Int Endod J.* 2013; 46: 128–36.
 104. Mei SH, Haitzma JJ, Dos Santos CC, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells reduce inflammation while enhancing bacterial clearance and improving survival in sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010; 182: 1047–57.
 105. Raffaghello L, Bianchi G, Bertolotto M, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells inhibit neutrophil apoptosis: a model for neutrophil preservation in the bone marrow niche. *Stem Cells.* 2008; 26: 151–62.
 106. Feng Z, Weinberg A. Role of bacteria in health and disease of periodontal tissues. *Periodontol 2000.* 2006; 40: 50–76.
 107. Nauta AJ, Fibbe WE. Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Blood.* 2007; 110: 3499–506.