



# **Pathophysiology and Therapy of Associated Features of Migraine**

Maria Dolores Villar-Martinez <sup>1,2</sup> and Peter J. Goadsby <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Headache Group, Wolfson CARD, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London WC2R 2LS, UK
- <sup>2</sup> NIHR King's Clinical Research Facility, SLaM Biomedical Research Centre, King's College Hospital, London SE5 9RS, UK
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
- \* Correspondence: peter.goadsby@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract: Migraine is a complex and debilitating disorder that is broadly recognised by its characteristic headache. However, given the wide array of clinical presentations in migraineurs, the headache might not represent the main troublesome symptom and it can even go unnoticed. Understanding migraines exclusively as a pain process is simplistic and certainly hinders management. We describe the mechanisms behind some of the most disabling associated symptoms of migraine, including the relationship between the central and peripheral processes that take part in nausea, osmophobia, phonophobia, vertigo and allodynia. The rationale for the efficacy of the current therapeutic arsenal is also depicted in this article. The associated symptoms to migraine, apart from the painful component, are frequent, under-recognised and can be more deleterious than the headache itself. The clinical anamnesis of a headache patient should enquire about the associated symptoms as a fundamental part of migraine has permitted a deeper and more coherent comprehension of the pathophysiology of migraine.

Keywords: migraine pathophysiology; nausea; osmophobia; phonophobia; vertigo; allodynia

## 1. Introduction

Migraine has been traditionally associated with the core symptom, headache [1]. Photophobia and vomiting, two of the canonical symptoms associated with migraine [2], are also widely accepted features of the typical migraine attack, as understood classically by patients and physicians [3]. However, reducing the understanding of migraine to a few symptoms would be as simplistic, perhaps, as reducing Parkinson's disease to tremors.

The way that migraineurs deal with their attacks provides valuable information about hypersensitivity to sensorial stimulation, including avoiding movement, light, sounds, touch or smells [4]. These are usually subjective, unpleasant experiences, unshared by family, friends or colleagues. Consequently, migraine patients presenting associated symptoms as prominent features can usually be labelled as sensitive. The Greek translation for sensitive,  $Ev\alpha i\sigma\theta\eta\tau\sigma\varsigma$  "evahistos", can be separated into the following two parts: the prefix meaning good or well, and the rest meaning sense or perception. However, any positive connotation of the term has nowadays dissipated. Many of these "evahistic" manifestations can actually be the main symptom of the clinical picture in a patient with migraine, and imply a higher disability [5]. Migraine patients with sensory hypersensitivity may have more attention difficulties during daily activities [6], or more cranial autonomic symptoms associated to the headache [7], and the response to preventive treatments may vary [8]. Exogenous factors, such as stress, obesity, intestinal microbiota and even parental behaviour, have been speculated to play a role in the chronification and sensitization process [9–12].



Citation: Villar-Martinez, M.D.; Goadsby, P.J. Pathophysiology and Therapy of Associated Features of Migraine. *Cells* **2022**, *11*, 2767. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cells11172767

Academic Editors: László Vécsei, Bernadett Tuka and Masaru Tanaka

Received: 9 August 2022 Accepted: 31 August 2022 Published: 5 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). In recent years, the study of non-headache symptoms has been useful in demonstrating their important role, yet independence from pain, in the pathophysiology of migraine. In this paper, we will focus on some frequently disabling associated symptoms, such as nausea, osmophobia, phonophobia, neuro-otological manifestations and cutaneous allodynia, and will spare comments on some premonitory-like symptoms, such as yawning. Photophobia has recently been reviewed elsewhere [13], and the usually omnipresent symptom in migraineurs, movement sensitivity, could be explained by some mechanisms that are commented on below.

# 2. Nausea and Vomiting

# 2.1. Nausea in Migraine and Conditions Related to Migraine

Nausea is one of the symptoms associated with migraine that is considered canonical, according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd Edition (ICHD-3) [2]. Ictal and interictal nausea has a high impact on quality of life and economic cost [14,15], and is the second most bothersome migraine symptom, reported in 28% of patients, exceeded only by photophobia [16].

Up to half of the people with episodic migraine suffer from nausea in more than half of their headache episodes, and the attacks were accompanied by more headache symptoms and a higher impact, compared to patients with less frequency of nausea. The majority of those reporting high-frequency nausea were women [17] and had an increased risk of developing chronic migraine in 2 years [18].

Having migrainous biology could result in patients having more disability when presenting with other disorders that are generally associated with nausea and vomiting.

## 2.1.1. Cyclic Vomiting Syndrome

It is well known that there is a strong link between migraine and cyclic vomiting syndrome [19], with similar associated symptoms during the attacks, and triggers, as reported by the patients [20,21]. Both nausea and cyclic vomiting syndrome patients have a decreased connectivity between the sensorimotor network and the insula, which manages viscero-sensory processing [22] and may be regulated by the endocannabinoid system [23]. Cannabis can act as a pro-emetic or antiemetic and can cause cannabis hyperemesis syndrome, which shares similar features to cyclic vomiting syndrome [24], and whose recommended treatment is cannabis cessation [25]. Remarkably, the management of cyclic vomiting syndrome consists predominantly of treatments also used for migraine [26].

## 2.1.2. Motion Sickness

Motion sickness and migraine may share a similar pathophysiology, as patients with motion sickness have a robust migrainous biology [27] and around half of migraineurs present with motion sickness, in comparison with 20% of those with non-migrainous headaches [28]. Patients with "migrainous vertigo" had an improvement in severe motion sickness following rizatriptan [29]. Nociceptive stimulation in the trigeminal area is capable of increasing nausea during motion sickness caused by optokinetic stimulation [30], whereas nausea did not increase following extra-trigeminal nociceptive inputs [31]. Having a history of migraine has also been associated with developing post-operative nausea [32,33], and having motion sickness and being a female are independent risk factors for post-operative vomiting [32,34].

#### 2.1.3. Pregnancy

Pregnancy is potentially a particularly disabling period for women with migraine. During pregnancy, one third of migrainous women require hospitalization due to hyperemesis gravidarum, and almost forty percent of women with hyperemesis reported migraine headaches [35]. Finally, a migrainous background may determine the quality of life related to nausea in palliative care, and migraine preventive treatments serve as efficacious relief in treating incoercible nausea in terminal patients with a history of migraine [36].

## 2.2. Neuroanatomy and Neuropharmacology

There is a matrix of neuro-anatomical structures involved in the onset and control of nausea, as well as several neurotransmitters that have been the main targets of antiemetic and acute treatment schemes.

Dopamine has been the main compound implicated in the pathophysiology of nausea associated with migraine, at least since the 1970s [37]. Patients with migraine are sensitive to dopaminergic pharmacological agents [38–40] and develop nausea and other classically considered dopaminergic symptoms, such as yawning, not necessarily accompanied by headache [38,40]. This propensity may entail a genetic predisposition, and a particular allelic distribution was found to be significantly different for the D<sub>2</sub> dopamine receptor in a subpopulation of migraineurs with prominent dopaminergic symptoms [41]. Among the dopaminergic symptoms, nausea, unlike yawning, is considered post-synaptic, and is triggered by apomorphine and inhibited by domperidone, which targets D<sub>2</sub> receptors [40]. Dopamine may also regulate headache pain, as dopaminergic neurons play a role in nociceptive control by modulating trigemino-vascular neurons [42].

Serotonin also has a major role in nausea, with the receptor 5-hydroxytryptamine-5-HT<sub>3</sub> as the main target not only of modern antiemetic pharmacological compounds, but also of natural antiemetics used for centuries, such as the gingerol compounds contained in ginger [43].

Hyporexia during headaches may be explained by the loss of appetite that can be observed during noxious dural stimulation, which activates the nucleus parabrachial and the ventromedial of the hypothalamus, and may be mediated by cholecystokinin [44]. However, nausea can also appear before the headache, during the premonitory phase, in almost a quarter of spontaneous attacks [45]. This percentage was doubled when headache attacks were triggered in a controlled environment [46].

Another intriguing component in migrainous nausea is substance P. Neurokinin 1 (NK-1) receptor antagonists can inhibit vomit produced by central or peripheral stimuli [47], and its central action may be mediated by inhibiting the substance P emetic effect [48], which may take place predominantly in the locus coeruleus [49].

Early pre-clinical experiments are good examples of the extent of anatomical structures that could be involved in the process of vomiting. Monkeys presented vomiting following the electrical stimulation of the olfactory tubercle, amygdala, septum, fornix and the thalamic ventral anterior nucleus [50]. In cats, lesions in the medulla abolished the characteristic pattern of respiratory motor nerve discharge, observed in vomiting [51], induced by emetic drugs and electrical vagal stimulation of abdominal afferents. This study suggested that the regions that control vomiting were localised between the obex and the retrofacial nucleus [52], both localized in the medulla.

In human neuroimaging studies, some brainstem areas showed significant activation with a  $H_2^{15}O$  positron emission tomography (PET) scan in the premonitory phase of migraine participants with nausea, including the periaqueductal grey, dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus, nucleus ambiguous and nucleus tractus solitarius [53], as shown in the following paragraphs. Following a rostral-caudal approach, among them, the mesencephalic periaqueductal grey (PAG) deserves a special mention [53].

PAG has an important role in the descending modulation of the trigeminovascular processes (Figure 1) [54]. PAG has been related to other autonomic sympathetic activity [55,56], emotional perception of pain and aversive behaviours [57,58] cough [59] and breathing control [60]. It is involved in modulating the descending pain pathways [61–63]. This modulation has recently been shown to be activated by mu opioids by means of presynaptic disinhibition and reducing GABAergic postsynaptic currents [64]. It is yet



unknown whether this area is related to the chronification observed in migraineurs with frequent use of opioids, as commented on below.

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of ascending and descending mechanisms involved in the pathophysiology of migraine, interaction between peripheral and central nervous systems and the trigeminal autonomic reflex. (**A**) Ascending mechanisms; (**B**) Descending mechanisms; (**C**) Connection of dural, cervical and trigeminal inputs in the trigeminocervical complex; (**D**) Potential interfaces between trigeminal and parasympathetic arms of the trigeminal autonomic reflex. Cervical dermatomes (C1, C2); dorsal root ganglia (DRG); locus coeruleus (LC); periaqueductal gray (PAG); sphenopalatine ganglion (SPG); trigeminal ganglion (TG); trigeminocervical complex (TCC) rostral ventromedial medulla (RVM); ophthalmic, maxillary, and mandibular dermatomes of the trigeminal nerve (V1, V2, V3, respectively). Reproduced from Goadsby and Holland 2019 with permission.

More caudal areas in the rostral dorsal medulla were involved, including the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus [53], which may relax the lower esophageal sphincter [65].

The nucleus tractus solitarius has connections with hypothalamic areas that play a role in autonomic control [66]. Both the nucleus tractus solitarius and dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus conform, along with the area postrema, the dorsal vagal complex, which is one of the main termination sites of the afferent fibres of the vagal nerve [67] and has a high distribution of dopamine  $D_{2-4}$  receptors [68]. The area postrema is one of the sensory circumventricular organs with a possible chemoreceptive function, situated outside the blood–brain barrier and connected to the hypothalamus, which is thought to be essential in controlling neuroendocrine functions [69], is rich in type  $D_2$  dopamine receptors [70] and is the brain area with the higher estimates of substance P [71].

#### 2.3. Treatment of Nausea

The treatment of nausea during migraine attacks must be considered in every patient presenting with that symptom. When nausea does not respond to analgesic treatment, specific antiemetic treatment should focus on the pathways of the neurotransmitters described above (dopamine, serotonin, substance P) as main targets for treatment. Nevertheless, acute treatment can be essential in the management of nausea associated with migraine. NSAIDs could be effective in alleviating nausea in patients who have not taken any triptans [72] and there is a recent meta-analysis that supports gepants as an effective treatment for

nausea in patients with episodic migraine [73]. Special attention must be paid to patients consuming opioids. Nausea is a recognised side effect following opioid use [74]. Patients with episodic migraines who are exposed to opioids have a twofold risk of migraine chronification [75], a likely reduction in the efficacy of triptans for acute treatment [76] and the issue of developing gastro-intestinal adverse events after long-term consumption [77]. For the treatment of nausea, we have focused on the three main neurotransmitters involved, serotonin, substance P and dopamine.

## 2.3.1. Serotonin

Triptans are serotonin 5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub> receptor agonists, and can help in alleviating nausea, as exemplified by rizatriptan [78,79]. However, having a sensation of nausea pre-treatment predicts a low efficacy response [80], perhaps due to the delay in treatment intake, as discussed in the allodynia section. Ondansetron is a highly-specific 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist, although there are no randomized-controlled trials on migraine. Granisetron, however, was significantly more effective than placebo for nausea at 30 min [81], and was more effective than metoclopramide as an adjuvant treatment for acute migraine [82].

Ginger could be a reasonable "over the counter" serotonergic therapeutic strategy for patients trying to avoid chemical treatments. It might be effective in lowering nausea according to a meta-analysis of three studies [83], and headache relief similar to that of sumatriptan has been reported in a double-blind, randomized controlled study [84].

### 2.3.2. Dopamine

Among the several antiemetics available, metoclopramide is an antagonist of dopamine  $D_2$  receptors and has also an antagonist effect on serotonin 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors [85]. Metoclopramide presents the highest passage of the blood–brain barrier, compared to domperidone or chlorpromazine [86]. Metoclopramide helps with the impairment of gastric motility during migraine attacks, improving the absorption rate of NSAIDs [87], and may also exert its effect as a pain relief agent [88], probably due to its action in the trigemino–cervical complex [89]. However, recent literature found conflicting results as a single therapeutic approach, with either an efficacy similar to that of NSAIDs [90], or no difference of intravenous metoclopramide compared to saline [91]. Prochlorperazine is a phenothiazine antipsychotic with antagonizing effect of dopamine  $D_2$  receptors, similar to chlorpromazine [87,92] and might be the most effective intravenous antiemetic, which also has a higher risk of extrapyramidal adverse events [93]. Chlorpromazine is also an effective option to consider for the treatment of nausea in emergency settings [94].

## 2.3.3. Substance P

By inhibiting the substance P pathway, NK-1 receptor antagonists, such as aprepitant, have been used in the treatment of nausea generated by intravenous dihydroergotamine in patients with migraine [95]. NK1 receptor antagonists are potent antiemetics that have been approved for the treatment of severe nausea associated with chemotherapy [96], and are also recommended for cyclic vomiting syndrome, along with ondansetron or triptans [26].

## 3. Osmophobia

The perception of odour is certainly an extremely subjective experience, or we would all be wearing the same perfume. Being perhaps the less studied of the senses, the mechanisms behind the way a fragrance is perceived is not yet fully understood. A brief mention here is appropriate for two interesting theories that were proposed in the twentieth century, involving a lock-and-key system and vibrational wavelengths [97], which have not yet been fully developed.

There are several substances whose consumption or inhalation has been popularly related to headaches [98–101]. Remarkably, *Umbellularia californica* is a type of tree, commonly known as "the headache tree" [102], which contains umbellulone, a ketone that was reported of being capable of triggering cluster headache-like attacks in a gardener with a

history of cluster headaches [103]. It was later discovered that this mechanism was mediated by the activation of the transient receptor potential (TRP) ankyrin 1 (TRPA1) [104,105], followed by the release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) [104]. CGRP is also released through the activation of vanilloid receptors, following stimulation with nitric oxide [106] or ethanol [107,108], one of the most relevant cluster headache triggers. TRPA1 has also been involved in the responses to some inhaled chemicals, including the smoke of cigarettes [109], chloride [110,111] hydrogen peroxide-containing substances [111] or formalin, the noxious compound largely used in pain models [112].

It has been reported that up to 70% of migraineurs can develop a headache after the stimulation with some odorants, which happened around 25 minutes following the exposure [113], and there is a case report of migraine improvement following the imposition of mandatory masks in the workplace during the COVID-19 pandemic [114]. Increased sensitivity to smells can be part of the premonitory-like symptoms experienced by migraineurs; therefore, certain smells may be misinterpreted as the trigger for a migraine attack, which might not be a necessary factor for its occurrence [115,116]. As a consequence, the results of studies that assess migraine triggers have debatable interpretations.

Nevertheless, the presence of osmophobia may be related to more florid migraine phenotypes and greater disability, and a scale has been developed recently for the quantification of quality of life related to osmophobia [117]. Migraineurs that present with ictal osmophobia may have more painful headaches [118,119]. Ictal and interictal osmophobia have been associated with a longer history of migraines or high frequency of the attacks, as well as other associated symptoms, such as cranial allodynia [120–122], suggesting a central sensitization process [123]. Vomiting can also be more common in the presence of osmophobia [119,121]. Osmophobic migraineurs may also have a higher prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities than those without it [118,124–126].

Osmophobia has been proposed as a specific marker, helpful for the diagnosis of migraine [119,124,127–132]; however, it is not very sensitive [122]. Around half of the patients with migraines reported an increased sense of smell or reduced tolerability to smells [129,133]. Remarkable examples of patients reporting hyperosmia include the smell of a rose from more than 5 meters of distance, or soap from a different room, and the main scents triggers for osmophobia arose from food, specifically fried food and onions, cigarettes or self-care products, and perfume or paint specifically were reported as triggers [133]. More recently, forty percent of patients with chronic migraine reported osmophobia [134], and a similar number suggested odours or perfumes as potential triggers of a migraine attack [101].

Paradoxically, despite their hypersensitivity to smells, migraineurs have a lower capability for the threshold, identification and discrimination of smells [135,136]. Patients with episodic migraine were found to have a similar olfactory acuity to controls, and furthermore, around one fifth of them developed hyposmia during the attack [137]. Taste abnormalities in migraineurs [133] are a matter of debate [138].

Patients with migraine and osmophobia have neuroanatomical alterations. A significantly reduced volume of the olfactory bulb was observed in 1.5 Tesla MRI, compared to patients with other types of headache [139], and might be more pronounced on the left, in comparison with controls [140]. In migraineurs with reported hypersensitivity to odours, regional blood flow in a study using  $H_2^{15}O$ -positron emission tomography was found to be increased in areas of the left piriform cortex and antero-superior temporal gyrus, as compared to controls, both with and without multiple odour stimuli [141]. During odour stimulation, blood flow was found to be decreased in bilateral fronto-temporo-parietal regions, as well as the posterior cingulate gyrus and right locus coeruleus [141]. Another study using fMRI to compare responses to the smell of roses found higher blood oxygen level-dependent activity in the amygdala and insular cortices of the amygdala and also in the midbrain, particularly the rostral pons. However, the smell of roses did not show significant interictal differences compared to the controls [142]. Activation of the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex might be related, respectively, with the intensity and valence of the smell emotional experience [143]. The amygdala and cingulate cortex also showed abnormal activation in patients with multiple chemical sensitivity [144,145], which is associated with a high prevalence of headache [146] and was observed in up to 20% of migraineurs [147].

Olfactory hallucinations or phantosmia is a hallmark of temporal lobe epilepsy, and currently a no man's land when it presents in the form of aura. It is a rare symptom, with a reported prevalence of 0.66% in a headache center [148]. The majority of reported cases had normal electroencephalograms that were, however, taken during the interictal period, and usually respond to antiepileptic drugs.

The reported cases showed that the episodes have an average duration of less than 10 min and the onset occurs prior to the migraine attack [148,149]. Patients with symptoms of phantosmia scanned with FLASH and eco-planar imaging MRI techniques showed increased activation of different brain areas associated with the process of the sense of smell, such as the prefrontal, cingulate, temporal or insular cortex MRI activation was inhibited by typical antipsychotics that perform its activity through a wide range of binding receptors [150]. Peripheral blocking activities can alleviate phantosmia [151].

#### 4. Neuro-Otological Manifestations

In 1984, Kayan and Hood described how vestibulocochlear symptoms were frequently reported, in up to 60% of patients with migraine, and these can be important or disabling enough for the patient to be the primary reason for referral to a specialist. The incidence of neuro-otological symptoms for migraineurs seemed homogeneous throughout all ages in males, but had a peculiar distribution in females. For women who reported audiovestibular symptoms only when asked during the study, a positive skew distribution could be observed, with the peak situated in the 3rd decade. However, the female patients whose reason of referral was the presence of disabling audio-vestibular symptoms had a peak in the peri-menopausal 5th and 6th decades. This group with disabling symptoms had a higher incidence in males [28]. They compared 80 patients referred for vestibulocochlear symptoms with 500 patients with multiple sclerosis for benign positional vertigo and Méniere's [28]. Only migraineurs described cochlear sensations, such as tinnitus, distortion of pitch, or hearing loss [28].

The frequency of migraine in Méniere's disease is higher than in normal subjects, and phonophobia has a high prevalence in these patients, independently of the presence of migraine headache [152].

## 4.1. Phonophobia

Phonophobia, along with photophobia, is one of the associated symptoms that define a migraine attack, according to the ICHD-3. As an asset for differential diagnosis, the presence of phonophobia may be able to exclude secondary headache types, such as cardiac cephalgia or sleep apnea headache; however, phonophobia is also reported in other headaches, such as a "tension-type headache", if it is not accompanied by photophobia in the episodic categories, or a "cervicogenic headache", which may make the clinician hesitate if the patient has a migrainous background [2]. This complication is simplified by using the appendix criteria for tension-type headaches that exclude both photophobia and phonophobia; and are clinically preferable.

In 1984, up to 81% of patients with migraine reported phonophobia, in comparison with only 12.1% of patients with a non-migrainous headache, and the combination of phonophobia and hearing loss was reported by some patients [28]. A recent meta-analysis showed that migraineurs may have a higher risk of developing sensorineural hearing loss [153]; therefore, the exclusion of migraine patients with hearing loss from the majority of the trials may lead to biased conclusions. In 1985, Blau and Solomon reported noise as a migraine trigger in 4/50 patients with migraine [133] and the potential measurability of phonophobia was suggested. Recently, it has been reported that annoying sounds, as well as other usually reported migraine triggers, may just represent early manifesta-

tions of migraine premonitory symptoms, as they demonstrate significant agreement with premonitory spontaneous phonophobia [154]. In studies that assessed sound discomfort using a range of Hertz stimuli, ictal [155,156] and interictal hearing discomfort thresholds were lower in migraineurs, as compared with healthy participants [156–158], with a low positive correlation with age [157]. Women may have a lower threshold than men [159]. Among migraineurs, ictal thresholds are lower than interictal ones [158]. Differences in monaural and binaural thresholds do not relate to the side of headache [156], and only a small proportion of participants with chronic migraine (5/48) report unilateral phonophobia, which was nonexistent in 54 participants with episodic migraine [160]. Similar to photophobia, unilaterality of phonophobia can be more specific to trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias [160].

The use of close-ended questions can be useful in increasing sensitivity for phonophobia during the neurological anamnesis [161].

Several electrophysiological studies have evaluated the hearing pathway in migraineurs with phonophobia. Phonophobia does not seem to be related with a recruitment phenomenon [155], which is commonly associated with cochlear damage.

The function of the cochlear efferents can be assessed by otoacoustic emission tests, which evaluates the suppression in the amplitude of transiently evoked signals from the olivary complex when a sound is produced on the contralateral ear [162,163]. It has been reported that for healthy controls, these amplitudes are significantly decreased, whereas in migraineurs, they are not suppressed [162,164]. This was specially observed in low-to-middle frequencies of 1–1.5 kHz, in a cohort of female phonophobic migraineurs during the interictal period [165]. However, this was not replicated in another study in patients with prominent vestibular symptoms, and phonophobia was not significantly associated with lack of suppression [163]. Neurotransmission in the outer hair cells of the cochlea may be mediated by CGRP [166], and increased CGRP activity in the inner ear has been hypothesized to be the cause of an insufficient suppression of the auditory pathway [165].

Another abnormality leading the patient to find sounds uncomfortable may lay in the cortical processing of auditory stimuli. Whereas latencies are similar, healthy participants experience a decrease in the amplitude of the auditory N1–P2 component following sequential blocks of stimuli in cortical-evoked auditory-evoked potentials, whereas participants with migraine experienced an increase, which could be considered a potentiation, instead of habituation. Intensity dependence of auditory-evoked potentials, which is measured as a slope after stimulation at increasing intensities, was also greater in migraineurs [159,167,168], and these may have a lower amplitude in the first blocks of stimuli, which may mean a decreased pre-activation of the sensory cortex [167,169]. The slope does not correlate with migraine frequency or duration, or with changes in visually evoked potentials [169], but may correlate with age [168], and has been associated with serotonergic activity [159,170–172] and response to preventive treatments [173].

Several studies have used brainstem auditory-evoked potentials. Interictal migraine patients have similar latency results to those of controls [174]. Podoshin et al. showed a significant impairment in interpeak latency differences in a group of patients during the migraine attack, when the rate of click sound stimuli was increased to 55 per second, in comparison with the same group between attacks [175]. Some studies found no differences between the side of the headache [175], but differences between sides were found by Schlake et al. in peak latencies at 10 clicks per second [174]. Peak latencies were delayed in 6/38 migraine patients, 2 of them with so-called basilar migraine [174], which can be normal [176] or abnormal during the ictal period [177]. Sand and Vingen showed that the discomfort threshold for low sound inversely correlated with low levels of habituation in wave IV-V, which corresponds with the lateral lemniscus in the pons and inferior colliculus in the midbrain [178]. Latency in waves III to V, corresponding to the tract between the cochlear nuclei to colliculus, has been correlated with migraine and attack duration [171]. In a recent study, participants with migraines showed that hearing threshold was inversely correlated with the severity of photophobia, and paradoxically, not with phonophobia,

and was higher in patients on prophylactic medication or those who had taken a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug on the day of the test, and had higher wave amplitude in comparison with the controls [179].

There is increased blood flow in the auditory association cortex during an acute attack in patients with migraine and phonophobia [180].

Patients with episodic migraine that present with cranial and extracranial cutaneous allodynia have lower thresholds for auditory stimuli either between or during the attacks [181].

## 4.2. Vertigo

Vertigo is more frequent in people with migraine and vice versa [28,182–187].

Vestibular migraine (VM) is possibly the most frequent cause of recurrent vertigo [188]. It has received many names in the past [186,189,190], and recently, more conditions have been found to fall possibly under the current umbrella of what is considered today VM [191], as well as some diagnoses classified as functional disorders today that may, in the near future, be included. The mere fact of having a diagnosis has proven to be a positive predictor for the improvement of dizziness [192]. However, currently, VM still remains largely underdiagnosed [193]. Despite the consensus diagnostic criteria involving balance and headache societies [2,194], there are several mechanistic questions that remain unanswered, such as the controversy of whether migraine and VM are a continuum along the same spectrum or different entities, as well as important classification queries, such as whether there is a chronic form [195]. The current term of VM may not be well received by the patient, especially those examined outside a headache clinic environment, who usually do not report headaches as the main reason for referral [196], and a source of frustration for the clinician giving a diagnosis to patients who repeatedly report that they do not suffer from headaches.

The features of the attack of VM have been studied mainly retrospectively [186,189, 190,197–200], and during the acute episode [201]. There may be a relationship between VM and Méniere disease (MD) [202]. Aural fullness may be an anamnestic key to differentiate VM from MD [203]. Patients with VM may have a high incidence of endolymphatic hydrops, although smaller than that of MD [204]; however, no anatomical differences were found between VM patients and healthy subjects with 3D-SPACE MRI [205]. A correlation between dizziness severity and cognitive dysfunction has been found [206].

Migraine and vestibular migraine: Similarities between migraine and VM are abundant. The majority (72/118) of patients with vestibular symptoms were considered in the 1980s as patients with "non-classical" migraine. Among those without vestibular symptoms, 59 out of 82 were given a diagnosis of "classical migraine". The incidence of "classical migraine" was therefore 11% higher among those without vestibular symptoms [28]. Vertigo can be triggered with nitroglycerin in up to 84% of migraineurs reporting vertigo during spontaneous attacks [207]. Patients with migraines exhibit greater visual and vestibular functional impairment, as well as lower results in the sensory organization test [208]. VM patients may be more sensitive to moving scenes and find it harder to maintain their posture [209–212], as they may tend to rely more on visual cues [213], whereas changes in the position of the head or posture could also trigger vestibular symptoms in some migrainous patients [28].

Patients with definite vestibular migraines demonstrated some changes in videonystagmography, but not canal paresis [214]. Spontaneous nystagmus can be triggered in migraineurs following supraorbital nociceptive inputs, which did not occur following extracephalic stimulation of the median nerve [215].

Pathophysiology: The pathophysiological research that has used neuroimaging approaches has contributed enormously to understanding the central anatomical structures with altered function in VM. In a small study using <sup>18</sup>F-deoxyglucose position-emission tomography, patients showed activation of the cerebellum, frontal cortices, thalami, dorsal pons and midbrain, right and insula and temporal cortex, and a deactivation of the poste-

rior parietal and occipito-temporal areas during the attacks [216]. By using imaging-based voxel-based morphometry, patients with definite vestibular migraine showed a reduction in grey matter volume in several cortical areas, including the insula, parieto-occipital, dorsolateral prefrontal, cingulate cortex and the cingulate gyrus, and the volume of areas associated with vestibular and pain processing was negatively correlated with disease duration [217]. During caloric tests, patients with vestibular migraine exhibited increased thalamic activation, as observed in blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) MRIs, which correlated with the attack frequency [218] and was proposed to hold right dominance [219]. A peripheral, vestibular alteration that involves serotonergic axons has also been suggested [220–223].

Treatment: Patient's treatment remains a grey zone, where the therapeutic choice is dependent on observational studies, as there are only a few randomized, placebo-controlled trials in this field for preventive [224,225] and acute medication [29,226]. A recent metaanalysis identified an improvement in the outcomes selected for several therapeutic agents, most of them migraine preventives, such as tricyclics and beta-blockers [224]. Vestibular rehabilitation can also be of help [227].

Recently, the inhibition of CGRP receptors has been shown to improve the vestibular function in animal models of chronic migraines [228], and retrospective studies in humans show a potential benefit when targeting the CGRP pathway [229]. Half of the patients were reported to respond to one prophylactic, 17% responded to a combination of two, and 10% did not have a response [203]. Predictors of poor response have been reported to be female sex, interictal imbalance, anxiety or depression, and our next topic, cutaneous allodynia [203].

#### 4.3. Allodynia

Scalp tenderness was reported by 65% of the 500 patients characterized by Selby and Lance in 1960, and they described that this sensitivity could not be correlated with any trigeminal or cervical radicular innervation [230]. Cutaneous allodynia can be quantified in humans objectively [231,232] or by assessing the subjective patient's experience, by questionnaires [233,234]. A similar prevalence to that reported by Selby and Lance was found in large surveys of headache patients, slightly higher in those with the now obsolete term "transformed migraine", and was associated with female sex, high body mass index or depression [235]. Up to one-fifth of patients report severe allodynic symptoms [234]. When specifically measured, the prevalence increases up to 80% [236] and can be higher in patients with another concomitant pain syndrome, such as temporomandibular disorders [237]. Patients with chronification of attacks and migraine with aura may also have a higher prevalence of cutaneous allodynia during the attack [238], although other studies have not found an association with age or headache frequency of years having migraine in migraineurs reporting spontaneous attacks [231,239].

*Pathophysiology*: The mechanisms that predispose a patient to allodynia may represent a risk for other forms of sensory dysfunction [164,203]. Migraineurs have, in general, lower pressure-pain and heat thresholds than the general population [232]. The majority of cutaneous allodynia symptoms are focused on the cranial regions, but a proportion can also experience the symptoms in extracranial regions [231]. In contrast to patients with migraine, patients with trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias, such as cluster headaches, do not report cutaneous allodynia, unless they have a personal or family history of migraine, and have higher pain threshold both interictally and during the attack [240]. Allodynia can be triggered experimentally in humans [239], and the clinical sequence of onset and anatomical spread has been described [241].

Allodynia was initially reported to be an ictal marker of a "no-return point" that divides triptan efficacy [242]; however, triptans can treat spontaneous [243] and nitroglycerineinduced allodynia associated with migraine in humans [239], and the association appeared to be, instead, time-dependent [244,245]. In a similar way to low pain intensity, which can also increase as the attack progresses, lower allodynia may be an independent predictor for the efficacy of over-the-counter acute treatments [246], and recently, allodynia has been shown to be an independent risk factor for the worsening of migraine associated with the utilization of masks during the COVID-19 pandemic [247].

A complex network of peripheral and central structures is involved in allodynia. In 1994, reduced efficacy in the spinal inhibitory circuits, mediated by GABA-A, was proposed as a potential cause of allodynia in preclinical models of pain [248]. Two years later, it was shown that trigeminal afferents could be sensitized with a variety of chemical substances applied in the dural regions [249]. However, it is unlikely that the simple sensitization of peripheral afferents accounts for the single cause of allodynia. The periaqueductal grey holds inhibitory control over trigeminal afferent neurons [250,251] and also has a regulatory effect on the trigeminocervical nucleus (Figure 1), facilitated by CGRP [252]. Another neuropeptide, pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide 38 (PACAP-38) can cause sensitization and delayed activation of trigemino-cervical neurons [253]. Under the bases of the role of the trigemino-cervical complex as a convergence center for afferent inputs [254], and its diencephalic connections, an increased response in central neurons could bring a reduced pain threshold in extracranial regions [255].

The diencephalon may be, indeed, strongly involved in the process of allodynia. Stressrelated hypothalamic dysregulation of prolactin has recently been associated with allodynia in females [256]. Activation in posterior thalamic areas was demonstrated in rodents and also in migraine patients with extracephalic allodynia, with functional MRI BOLD techniques [257]. A first-line treatment in the prevention of migraine, propranolol, exerts part of its mechanisms upon these thalamic areas [258]. Thalamic projections are widely spread to many areas of the cortex, and have been traced from posterior and lateral nuclei to several cortical regions, including the auditory, entorhinal or visual cortex [259]. The medial area of the temporal lobe, for example, may be hyperexcitable in migraineurs, both during ictal and interictal moments, when applying painful heat stimuli to the forehead, as detected with diffusion tensor imaging in functional MRI [260]. An hyperexcitable state has also been suggested in subcortical regions in migraineurs [261].

Somatosensory-evoked potentials have not found significant abnormalities in migraineurs [262]. However, cortical thickness may be different in the associated temporoparietal areas of migraineurs, and there is a positive correlation with pain threshold, contrary to healthy controls [263,264]. Activity is also increased in primary sensory areas, and between the pons and insula, implying a role in the patient's emotional response [265].

In preclinical models of allodynia, nitroglycerine is capable of increasing the firing of trigeminal neurons and dural-evoked action potentials, in addition to creating hypersensitive responses to facial stimulation with innocuous brush or noxious pinch. These responses were reversible with naratriptan [239] and also ibuprofen, suggesting both a serotonin and an inflammatory-mediated mechanism [266,267].

Allodynia has not been directly related to levels of amylin or CGRP [268]; however, it can be modulated to target CGRP [269–271], which may have a glial site of action [272] and stronger activity in females [271]. Nitroglycerine was able to trigger allodynia in 17/53 patients with migraine; among them, 14 responded to acute treatment with aspirin or sumatriptan, and those who reported allodynia in their usual attacks were more likely to experience it during the triggering session [239].

Finally, TRP channels are an interesting area in the understanding and treatment of migraine [273]. Migraineurs have less tolerance to heat during the interictal period [274]. Recent studies did not find an association between thermal quantitative sensory testing (QST) and allodynia. However, preclinical models suggest a potential genetic predisposition to mechanical allodynia, involving the non-selective cold-sensitive cation channel transient receptor potential melastatin 8 (TRPM8), the activation of which causes cranial and extracranial allodynia [275]. Fibres that express TRPM8 were progressively reduced in postnatal mice, in contrary to the fibres that express CGRP. Paradoxically, the use of the TRPM8 agonist menthol can reduce behavioural responses to meningeal chemical stimulation [276]. These channels may have potential hypothalamic modulation, as orexins

may play a part in the emotional response to heat [277]. It may be speculated that these differences could potentially translate to different phenotypes of migraineurs, which find relief either with fresh air or a heated pad.

# 5. Conclusions

This article summarizes the literature regarding the associated symptoms in migraineurs. Knowledge concerning migraines and their associated symptoms continues to grow and is evolving into a concept that might not be as clinically simple as once imagined [278], with a wide spectrum of presentations of the same migrainous biology. Trials that have reported the most bothersome associated symptoms, together with pain, represent a more holistic approach to migraine research.

Associated symptoms of migraine are varied, extremely prevalent, and contribute to the disabling nature of migraines. Acknowledging the associated symptoms could contribute to a better outcome for the patient, and should never be forgotten in the anamnesis of the migraineur. Treatment should be focused on correct acute, preventive and anti-emetic migraine treatments, where needed.

The relationship between the central and peripheral sensitization processes with the associated symptoms of migraines is evident, and is comparable to the question of what was first to come, the chicken or the egg.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.D.V.-M. and P.J.G.; methodology, M.D.V.-M. and P.J.G.; writing—original draft preparation, M.D.V.-M.; writing—review and editing, M.D.V.-M. and P.J.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The research was funded, in part, by the National Institute for Health and Social Care Research (NIHR203970). The APC was funded by King's College London.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Michael Murray and Andria Panteli for their etymological guidance, and Kostis Christoforou for his etymological guidance and continuous clinical support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** M.D.V.-M. has no conflict of interest. P.J.G. reports, over the last 36 months, grants and personal fees from Eli-Lilly and Company, a grant from Celgene, and personal fees from Aeon Biopharma, Allergan/Abbvie, Amgen, Biodelivery Sciences Intern, Biohaven Pharmaceuticals Inc., CoolTech LLC, Reddys, Epalex, Impel Neuropharma, Lundbeck, Novartis, Praxis, Sanofi, Satsuma and Teva Pharmaceuticals, and personal fees for advice through the Gerson Lehrman Group, Guidepoint, SAI Med Partners, Vector Metric, and fees for educational materials from CME Outfitters, Omnia Education, WebMD, and publishing royalties or fees from the Massachusetts Medical Society, Oxford University Press, UptoDate and Wolters Kluwer, and for medicolegal advice on headaches, and a patent magnetic stimulation for headaches (No. WO2016090333 A1) assigned to eNeura without fees.

## References

- Willis, T.; Pordage, S. Two Discourses Concerning the Soul of Brutes, Which Is That of the Vital and Sensitive of Man: The First Is Physiological, Shewing the Nature, Parts, Powers, and Affections of the Same; and the Other Is Pathological, Which Unfolds the Diseases which Affect It and Its Primary Seat, to Wit, the Brain and Nervous Stock, and Treats of Their Cures: With Copper Cuts; Thomas Dring, Ch. Harper and John Leigh: London, UK, 1683.
- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. *Cephalalgia* 2018, 38, 1–211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Gowers, W.R. A Manual of Diseases of the Nervous System, 3rd ed.; P. Blakiston, Son & Co.: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1899; p. 1357.
- Goadsby, P.; Holland, P.; Martins-Oliveira, M.; Hoffmann, J.; Schankin, C.; Akerman, S. Pathophysiology of Migraine: A Disorder of Sensory Processing. *Physiol. Rev.* 2017, 97, 553–622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Suzuki, K.; Suzuki, S.; Shiina, T.; Okamura, M.; Haruyama, Y.; Tatsumoto, M.; Hirata, K. Investigating the relationships between the burden of multiple sensory hypersensitivity symptoms and headache-related disability in patents with migraine. *J. Headache Pain* 2021, 22, 77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Lévêque, Y.; Masson, R.; Fornoni, L.; Moulin, A.; Bidet-Caulet, A.; Caclin, A.; Demarquay, G. Self-perceived attention difficulties are associated with sensory hypersensitivity in migraine. *Rev. Neurol.* 2020, 176, 829–838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Danno, D.; Wolf, J.; Ishizaki, K.; Kikui, S.; Hirata, K.; Takeshima, T. Cranial autonomic symptoms in migraine are related to central sensitization: A prospective study of 164 migraine patients at a tertiary headache center. *BMC Neurol.* 2022, 22, 89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pan, L.-L.H.; Wang, Y.-F.; Ling, Y.-H.; Lai, K.-L.; Chen, S.-P.; Chen, W.-T.; Treede, R.-D.; Wang, S.-J. Pain sensitivities predict prophylactic treatment outcomes of flunarizine in chronic migraine patients: A prospective study. *Cephalalgia* 2022, 11, 899–909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Stubberud, A.; Buse, D.C.; Kristoffersen, E.S.; Linde, M.; Tronvik, E. Is there a causal relationship between stress and migraine? Current evidence and implications for management. *J. Headache Pain* **2021**, *22*, 155. [CrossRef]
- 10. Westgate, C.S.J.; Israelsen, I.M.E.; Jensen, R.H.; Eftekhari, S. Understanding the link between obesity and headache- with focus on migraine and idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *J. Headache Pain* **2021**, *22*, 123. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kang, L.; Tang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, M.; Liu, J.; Li, Y.; Kong, S.; Zhao, D.; Yu, S. The gut microbiome modulates nitroglycerininduced migraine-related hyperalgesia in mice. *Cephalalgia* 2022, 42, 490–499. [CrossRef]
- 12. Raibin, K.; Markus, T.E. Cutaneous allodynia in pediatric and adolescent patients and their mothers: A comparative study. *Cephalalgia* **2022**, *42*, 579–589. [CrossRef]
- Villar-Martinez, M.D.; Goadsby, P.J. Dim the Lights: A Narrative Review of Photophobia in Migraine. *TouchREVIEWS Neurol.* 2022, 18, 14–21. [CrossRef]
- Lipton, R.B.; Buse, D.C.; Saiers, J.; Serrano, D.; Reed, M.L. Healthcare resource utilization and direct costs associated with frequent nausea in episodic migraine: Results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) Study. J. Med. Econ. 2013, 16, 490–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Gajria, K.; Lee, L.K.; Flores, N.M.; Aycardi, E.; Gandhi, S.K. Humanistic and economic burden of nausea and vomiting among migraine sufferers. *J. Pain Res.* 2017, *10*, 689–698. [CrossRef]
- Munjal, S.; Singh, P.; Reed, M.L.; Fanning, K.; Schwedt, T.J.; Dodick, D.W.; Buse, D.C.; Lipton, R.B. Most Bothersome Symptom in Persons with Migraine: Results from the Migraine in America Symptoms and Treatment (MAST) Study. *Headache* 2020, 60, 416–429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Lipton, R.B.; Buse, D.C.; Saiers, J.; Fanning, K.M.; Serrano, D.; Reed, M.L. Frequency and burden of headache-related nausea: Results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) study. *Headache* **2013**, *53*, 93–103. [CrossRef]
- 18. Reed, M.L.; Fanning, K.M.; Serrano, D.; Buse, D.C.; Lipton, R.B. Persistent frequent nausea is associated with progression to chronic migraine: AMPP study results. *Headache* 2015, 55, 76–87. [CrossRef]
- 19. Kovacic, K.; Li, B.U.K. Cyclic vomiting syndrome: A narrative review and guide to management. *Headache* 2021, 61, 231–243. [CrossRef]
- 20. Li, B.U.; Murray, R.D.; Heitlinger, L.A.; Robbins, J.L.; Hayes, J.R. Is cyclic vomiting syndrome related to migraine? *J. Pediatr.* **1999**, 134, 567–572. [CrossRef]
- Abell, T.L.; Adams, K.A.; Boles, R.G.; Bousvaros, A.; Chong, S.K.F.; Fleisher, D.R.; Hasler, W.L.; Hyman, P.E.; Issenman, R.M.; Li, B.U.K.; et al. Cyclic vomiting syndrome in adults. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 2008, 20, 269–284. [CrossRef]
- Ellingsen, D.-M.; Garcia, R.G.; Lee, J.; Lin, R.L.; Kim, J.; Thurler, A.H.; Castel, S.; Dimisko, L.; Rosen, B.R.; Hadjikhani, N.; et al. Cyclic Vomiting Syndrome is characterized by altered functional brain connectivity of the insular cortex: A cross-comparison with migraine and healthy adults. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 2017, 29, e13004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sticht, M.A.; Limebeer, C.L.; Rafla, B.R.; Abdullah, R.A.; Poklis, J.L.; Ho, W.; Niphakis, M.J.; Cravatt, B.F.; Sharkey, K.A.; Lichtman, A.H.; et al. Endocannabinoid regulation of nausea is mediated by 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) in the rat visceral insular cortex. *Neuropharmacology* 2016, 102, 92–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Perisetti, A.; Goyal, H. Endocannabinoid system and cannabis hyperemesis syndrome: A narrative update. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2022, 34, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sorensen, C.J.; DeSanto, K.; Borgelt, L.; Phillips, K.T.; Monte, A.A. Cannabinoid Hyperemesis Syndrome: Diagnosis, Pathophysiology, and Treatment-a Systematic Review. J. Med. Toxicol. 2017, 13, 71–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Venkatesan, T.; Levinthal, D.; Tarbell, S.E.; Jaradeh, S.S.; Hasler, W.L.; Issenman, R.M.; Adams, K.A.; Sarosiek, I.; Stave, C.; Sharaf, R.N.; et al. Guidelines on management of cyclic vomiting syndrome in adults by the American Neurogastroenterology and Motility Society and the Cyclic Vomiting Syndrome Association. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 2019, 2 (Suppl. S2), e13604. [CrossRef]
- 27. Abouzari, M.; Cheung, D.; Pham, T.; Goshtasbi, K.; Sarna, B.; Tajran, S.; Sahyouni, S.; Lin, H.W.; Djalilian, H.R. The Relationship between Vestibular Migraine and Motion Sickness Susceptibility. *Otol. Neurotol.* **2020**, *41*, 1116–1121. [CrossRef]
- 28. Kayan, A.; Hood, J.D. Neuro-otological manifestations of migraine. Brain 1984, 107 Pt 4, 1123–1142. [CrossRef]
- Marcus, D.A.; Furman, J.M. Prevention of motion sickness with rizatriptan: A double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2006, 12, PI1-7.
- Drummond, P.D.; Granston, A. Facial pain increases nausea and headache during motion sickness in migraine sufferers. *Brain* 2004, 127 Pt 3, 526–534. [CrossRef]
- Drummond, P.D.; Granston, A. Painful stimulation of the temple induces nausea, headache and extracranial vasodilation in migraine sufferers. *Cephalalgia* 2005, 25, 16–22. [CrossRef]

- 32. Stadler, M.; Bardiau, F.; Seidel, L.; Albert, A.; Boogaerts, J.G. Difference in risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Anesthesiology* **2003**, *98*, 46–52. [CrossRef]
- 33. Khoronenko, V.E.; Baskakov, D.S. Role of migraine history in the development of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing general and combined general-epidural anesthesia. *Anesteziol. Reanimatol.* **2014**, *2*, 41–44.
- Apfel, C.C.; Kranke, P.; Eberhart, L.H. Comparison of surgical site and patient's history with a simplified risk score for the prediction of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Anaesthesia* 2004, 59, 1078–1082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Heinrichs, L. Linking olfaction with nausea and vomiting of pregnancy, recurrent abortion, hyperemesis gravidarum, and migraine headache. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2002**, *186* (Suppl. S5), *123053.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hirst, B.; Noble, B. Migraine as a cause of persistent nausea or vomiting in palliative care: A case series. *J. Pain Symptom Manag.* 2009, 37, 918–922. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Sicuteri, F. Dopamine, the second putative protagonist in headache. Headache 1977, 17, 129–131. [CrossRef]
- 38. Bes, A.; Dupui, P.; Guell, A.; Bessoles, G.; Geraud, G. Pharmacological exploration of dopamine hypersensitivity in migraine patients. *Int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Res.* **1986**, *6*, 189–192.
- Calabresi, P.; Silvestrini, M.; Stratta, F.; Cupini, L.M.; Argiro, G.; Atzei, G.P.; Bernardi, G. I-deprenyl test in migraine: Neuroendocrinological aspects. *Cephalalgia* 1993, 13, 406–409. [CrossRef]
- 40. Cerbo, R.; Barbanti, P.; Buzzi, M.G.; Fabbrini, G.; Brusa, L.; Roberti, C.; Zanette, E.; Lenzi, G.L. Dopamine hypersensitivity in migraine: Role of the apomorphine test. *Clin. Neuropharmacol.* **1997**, *20*, 36–41. [CrossRef]
- Del Zompo, M.; Cherchi, A.; Palmas, M.A.; Ponti, M.; Bocchetta, A.; Gessa, G.L.; Piccardi, M.P. Association between dopamine receptor genes and migraine without aura in a Sardinian sample. *Neurology* 1998, 51, 781–786. [CrossRef]
- 42. Charbit, A.R.; Akerman, S.; Goadsby, P.J. Dopamine: What's new in migraine? Curr. Opin. Neurol. 2010, 23, 275–281. [CrossRef]
- Walstab, J.; Krüger, D.; Stark, T.; Hofmann, T.; Demir, I.E.; Ceyhan, G.O.; Feistel, B.; Schemann, M.; Niesler, B. Ginger and its pungent constituents non-competitively inhibit activation of human recombinant and native 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors of enteric neurons. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 2013, 25, 439–447, e302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Malick, A.; Jakubowski, M.; Elmquist, J.K.; Saper, C.B.; Burstein, R. A neurohistochemical blueprint for pain-induced loss of appetite. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2001, 98, 9930–9935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Giffin, N.; Ruggiero, L.; Lipton, R.; Silberstein, S.; Tvedskov, J.F.; Olesen, J.; Altman, J.; Goadsby, P.; Macrae, A. Premonitory symptoms in migraine: An electronic diary study. *Neurology* **2003**, *60*, 935–940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Karsan, N.; Bose, P.R.; Thompson, C.; Newman, J.; Goadsby, P.J. Headache and non-headache symptoms provoked by nitroglycerin in migraineurs: A human pharmacological triggering study. *Cephalalgia* **2020**, *40*, 828–841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Watson, J.; Gonsalves, S.; Fossa, A.; McLean, S.; Seeger, T.; Obach, S.; Andrews, P. The anti-emetic effects of CP-99,994 in the ferret and the dog: Role of the NK1 receptor. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **1995**, *115*, 84–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saria, A. The tachykinin NK1 receptor in the brain: Pharmacology and putative functions. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1999, 375, 51–60. [CrossRef]
- 49. McLean, S.; Ganong, A.H.; Seeger, T.F.; Bryce, D.K.; Pratt, K.G.; Reynolds, L.S.; Siok, C.J.; Lowe, J.A.; Heym, J. Activity and distribution of binding sites in brain of a nonpeptide substance P (NK1) receptor antagonist. *Science* **1991**, 251, 437–439. [CrossRef]
- 50. Robinson, B.W.; Mishkin, M. Alimentary responses to forebrain stimulation in monkeys. *Exp. Brain Res.* **1968**, *4*, 330–366. [CrossRef]
- Grélot, L.; Milano, S.; Portillo, F.; Miller, A.D.; Bianchi, A.L. Membrane potential changes of phrenic motoneurons during fictive vomiting, coughing, and swallowing in the decerebrate cat. *J. Neurophysiol.* **1992**, *68*, 2110–2119. [CrossRef]
- 52. Miller, A.D.; Nonaka, S.; Jakus, J. Brain areas essential or non-essential for emesis. Brain Res. 1994, 647, 255–264. [CrossRef]
- 53. Maniyar, F.H.; Sprenger, T.; Schankin, C.; Goadsby, P.J. The origin of nausea in migraine-a PET study. *J. Headache Pain* **2014**, *15*, 84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Goadsby, P.J.; Holland, P.R. An Update: Pathophysiology of Migraine. Neurol. Clin. 2019, 37, 651–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Pereira, E.A.; Lu, G.; Wang, S.; Schweder, P.M.; Hyam, J.A.; Stein, J.F.; Paterson, D.J.; Aziz, T.Z.; Green, A.L. Ventral periaqueductal grey stimulation alters heart rate variability in humans with chronic pain. *Exp. Neurol.* **2010**, 223, 574–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Green, A.L.; Hyam, J.A.; Williams, C.; Wang, S.; Shlugman, D.; Stein, J.F.; Paterson, D.J.; Aziz, T.Z. Intra-operative deep brain stimulation of the periaqueductal grey matter modulates blood pressure and heart rate variability in humans. *Neuromodulation* 2010, 13, 174–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Luo, H.; Huang, Y.; Green, A.L.; Aziz, T.Z.; Xiao, X.; Wang, S. Neurophysiological characteristics in the periventricular/periaqueductal gray correlate with pain perception, sensation, and affect in neuropathic pain patients. *Neuroimage Clin.* **2021**, 32, 102876. [CrossRef]
- 58. Aguiar, D.C.; Almeida-Santos, A.F.; Moreira, F.A.; Guimaraes, F.S. Involvement of TRPV1 channels in the periaqueductal grey on the modulation of innate fear responses. *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* **2015**, *27*, 97–105. [CrossRef]
- McGovern, A.E.; Ajayi, I.E.; Farrell, M.J.; Mazzone, S.B. A neuroanatomical framework for the central modulation of respiratory sensory processing and cough by the periaqueductal grey. J. Thorac. Dis. 2017, 9, 4098–4107. [CrossRef]
- 60. Subramanian, H.H.; Balnave, R.J.; Holstege, G. The midbrain periaqueductal gray control of respiration. *J. Neurosci.* 2008, 28, 12274–12283. [CrossRef]
- 61. Oliveras, J.L.; Besson, J.M.; Guilbaud, G.; Liebeskind, J.C. Behavioral and electrophysiological evidence of pain inhibition from midbrain stimulation in the cat. *Exp. Brain Res.* **1974**, *20*, 32–44. [CrossRef]

- Makovac, E.; Venezia, A.; Hohenschurz-Schmidt, D.; Dipasquale, O.; Jackson, J.B.; Medina, S.; O'Daly, O.; Williams, S.C.R.; McMahon, S.B.; Howard, M.A. The association between pain-induced autonomic reactivity and descending pain control is mediated by the periaqueductal grey. *J. Physiol.* 2021, 599, 5243–5260. [CrossRef]
- 63. Wu, D.; Wang, S.; Stein, J.F.; Aziz, T.Z.; Green, A.L. Reciprocal interactions between the human thalamus and periaqueductal gray may be important for pain perception. *Exp. Brain Res.* **2014**, 232, 527–534. [CrossRef]
- 64. Lau, B.K.; Winters, B.L.; Vaughan, C.W. Opioid presynaptic disinhibition of the midbrain periaqueductal grey descending analgesic pathway. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2020, 177, 2320–2332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Hyland, N.P.; Abrahams, T.P.; Fuchs, K.; Burmeister, M.A.; Hornby, P.J. Organization and neurochemistry of vagal preganglionic neurons innervating the lower esophageal sphincter in ferrets. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2001**, *430*, 222–234. [CrossRef]
- 66. Kannan, H.; Yamashita, H. Connections of neurons in the region of the nucleus tractus solitarius with the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus: Their possible involvement in neural control of the cardiovascular system in rats. *Brain Res.* **1985**, *329*, 205–212. [CrossRef]
- 67. Miller, A.D.; Leslie, R.A. The area postrema and vomiting. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 1994, 15, 301–320. [CrossRef]
- 68. Hyde, T.M.; Knable, M.B.; Murray, A.M. Distribution of dopamine D1–D4 receptor subtypes in human dorsal vagal complex. *Synapse* **1996**, *24*, 224–232. [CrossRef]
- Jeong, J.K.; Dow, S.A.; Young, C.N. Sensory Circumventricular Organs, Neuroendocrine Control, and Metabolic Regulation. *Metabolites* 2021, 11, 494. [CrossRef]
- 70. Stafanini, E.; Clement-Cormier, Y. Detection of dopamine receptors in the area postrema. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1981**, *74*, 257–260. [CrossRef]
- 71. Amin, A.H.; Crawford, T.B.; Gaddum, J.H. The distribution of substance P and 5-hydroxytryptamine in the central nervous system of the dog. *J. Physiol.* **1954**, *126*, 596–618. [CrossRef]
- Lipton, R.B.; Schmidt, P.; Diener, H.C. Post Hoc Subanalysis of Two Randomized, Controlled Phase 3 Trials Evaluating Diclofenac Potassium for Oral Solution: Impact of Migraine-Associated Nausea and Prior Triptan Use on Efficacy. *Headache* 2017, 57, 756–765. [CrossRef]
- Chan, T.L.H.; Cowan, R.P.; Woldeamanuel, Y.W. Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide Receptor Antagonists (Gepants) for the Acute Treatment of Nausea in Episodic Migraine: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Headache* 2020, 60, 1489–1499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Duthie, D.J.; Nimmo, W.S. Adverse effects of opioid analgesic drugs. Br. J. Anaesth. 1987, 59, 61–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bigal, M.E.; Serrano, D.; Buse, D.; Scher, A.; Stewart, W.F.; Lipton, R.B. Acute migraine medications and evolution from episodic to chronic migraine: A longitudinal population-based study. *Headache* 2008, 48, 1157–1168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ho, T.W.; Rodgers, A.; Bigal, M.E. Impact of recent prior opioid use on rizatriptan efficacy. A post hoc pooled analysis. *Headache* 2009, 49, 395–403. [CrossRef]
- 77. Bonafede, M.; Wilson, K.; Xue, F. Long-term treatment patterns of prophylactic and acute migraine medications and incidence of opioid-related adverse events in patients with migraine. *Cephalalgia* **2019**, *39*, 1086–1098. [CrossRef]
- 78. Lipton, R.B.; Pascual, J.; Goadsby, P.J.; Massiou, H.; McCarroll, K.A.; Vandormael, K.; Jiang, K.; Lines, C.R. Effect of rizatriptan and other triptans on the nausea symptom of migraine: A post hoc analysis. *Headache* **2001**, *41*, 754–763. [CrossRef]
- Freitag, F.; Taylor, F.R.; Hamid, M.A.; Ms, A.R.; Hustad, C.M.; Ramsey, K.E.; Skobieranda, F. Elimination of migraine-associated nausea in patients treated with rizatriptan orally disintegrating tablet (ODT): A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Headache* 2008, 48, 368–377. [CrossRef]
- 80. Pierce, M. Oral triptans and nausea: Treatment considerations in migraine. Headache 2013, 1, 17–20. [CrossRef]
- 81. Rowat, B.M.; Merrill, C.F.; Davis, A.; South, V. A double-blind comparison of granisetron and placebo for the treatment of acute migraine in the emergency department. *Cephalalgia* **1991**, *11*, 207–213. [CrossRef]
- Abiri, S.; Chegin, M.; Soleimani, R.; Hatami, N.; Kalani, N.; Rayatdoost, E. Propofol + Granisetron vs. Propofol + Metoclopramide in Symptom Management of Acute Migraine Headache; a Double-Blind Randomized Clinical Trial. *Arch. Acad. Emerg. Med.* 2022, 10, e19.
- 83. Chen, L.; Cai, Z. The efficacy of ginger for the treatment of migraine: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* **2021**, *46*, 567–571. [CrossRef]
- 84. Maghbooli, M.; Golipour, F.; Moghimi Esfandabadi, A.; Yousefi, M. Comparison between the efficacy of ginger and sumatriptan in the ablative treatment of the common migraine. *Phytother. Res.* **2014**, *28*, 412–415. [CrossRef]
- 85. Sweetman, S.C. Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference, 36th ed.; Pharmaceutical Press: London, UK, 2009.
- 86. Jolliet, P.; Nion, S.; Allain-Veyrac, G.; Tilloy-Fenart, L.; Vanuxeem, D.; Berezowski, V.; Cecchelli, R. Evidence of lowest brain penetration of an antiemetic drug, metopimazine, compared to domperidone, metoclopramide and chlorpromazine, using an in vitro model of the blood-brain barrier. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2007**, *56*, 11–17. [CrossRef]
- 87. Volans, G.N. The effect of metoclopramide on the absorption of effervescent aspirin in migraine. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **1975**, *2*, 57–63. [CrossRef]
- Eken, C. Critical reappraisal of intravenous metoclopramide in migraine attack: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* 2015, 33, 331–337. [CrossRef]
- 89. Aydin, H.D.; Vuralli, D.; Akçali, D.T.; Bolay, H. Metoclopramide inhibits trigeminovascular activation:evidence for effective acute attack treatment in migraine. *Turk. J. Med. Sci.* 2017, 47, 343–347. [CrossRef]

- Soltani, K.M.; Motamed, H.; Eslami, K.; Majdinasab, N.; Kouti, L. Randomised trial of IV metoclopramide vs. IV ketorolac in treatment of acute primary headaches. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* 2021, 50, 376–380. [CrossRef]
- 91. Doğan, N.; Pekdemir, M.; Yılmaz, S.; Yaka, E.; Karadaş, A.; Durmuş, U.; Avcu, N.; Koçkan, E. Intravenous metoclopramide in the treatment of acute migraines: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* **2019**, *139*, 334–339. [CrossRef]
- Lapierre, J.; Amin, M.; Hattangadi, S. Prochlorperazine—A review of the literature since 1956. Can. Psychiatr. Assoc. J. 1969, 14, 267–274. [CrossRef]
- Golikhatir, I.; Cheraghmakani, H.; Bozorgi, F.; Jahanian, F.; Sazgar, M.; Montazer, S.H. The Efficacy and Safety of Prochlorperazine in Patients with Acute Migraine: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Headache* 2019, 59, 682–700. [CrossRef]
- 94. Bigal, M.E.; Bordini, C.A.; Speciali, J.G. Intravenous chlorpromazine in the emergency department treatment of migraines: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Emerg. Med.* 2002, 23, 141–148. [CrossRef]
- Chou, D.E.; Tso, A.R.; Goadsby, P.J. Aprepitant for the management of nausea with inpatient IV dihydroergotamine. *Neurology* 2016, 87, 1613–1616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dos Santos, L.V.; Souza, F.H.; Brunetto, A.T.; Sasse, A.D.; da Silveira Nogueira Lima, J.P. Neurokinin-1 receptor antagonists for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: A systematic review. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2012, 104, 1280–1292. [CrossRef]
- 97. Douek, E.E. Smell: Recent theories and their clinical application. J. Laryngol. Otol. 1967, 81, 431–439. [CrossRef]
- Courteau, J.P.; Cushman, R.; Bouchard, F.; Quevillon, M.; Chartrand, A.; Bherer, L. Survey of construction workers repeatedly exposed to chlorine over a three to six month period in a pulpmill: I. Exposure and symptomatology. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 1994, 51, 219–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Peatfield, R.C. Relationships between food, wine, and beer-precipitated migrainous headaches. *Headache* **1995**, *35*, 355–357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wantke, F.; Focke, M.; Hemmer, W.; Bracun, R.; Wolf-Abdolvahab, S.; Gotz, M.; Jarisch, R.; Tschabitscher, M.; Gann, M.; Tappler, P.; et al. Exposure to formaldehyde and phenol during an anatomy dissecting course: Sensitizing potency of formaldehyde in medical students. *Allergy* 2000, 55, 84–87. [CrossRef]
- 101. Kelman, L. The triggers or precipitants of the acute migraine attack. Cephalalgia 2007, 27, 394–402. [CrossRef]
- 102. Barrett, S.A.; Gifford, E.W. Miwok Material Culture. Bull. Public Mus. City Milwaukee 1933, 2, 117–376.
- 103. Benemei, S.; Appendino, G.; Geppetti, P. Pleasant natural scent with unpleasant effects: Cluster headache-like attacks triggered by Umbellularia californica. *Cephalalgia* **2010**, *30*, 744–746. [CrossRef]
- 104. Nassini, R.; Materazzi, S.; Vriens, J.; Prenen, J.; Benemei, S.; De Siena, G.; La Marca, G.; Andrè, E.; Preti, D.; Avonto, C.; et al. The 'headache tree' via umbellulone and TRPA1 activates the trigeminovascular system. *Brain* 2012, 135 Pt 2, 376–390. [CrossRef]
- 105. Zhong, J.; Minassi, A.; Prenen, J.; Taglialatela-Scafati, O.; Appendino, G.; Nilius, B. Umbellulone modulates TRP channels. *Pflugers Arch.* **2011**, *462*, 861–870. [CrossRef]
- Strecker, T.; Dux, M.; Messlinger, K. Nitric oxide releases calcitonin-gene-related peptide from rat dura mater encephali promoting increases in meningeal blood flow. J. Vasc. Res. 2002, 39, 489–496. [CrossRef]
- 107. Nicoletti, P.; Trevisani, M.; Manconi, M.; Gatti, R.; De Siena, G.; Zagli, G.; Benemei, S.; Capone, J.A.; Geppetti, P.; Pini, L.A. Ethanol causes neurogenic vasodilation by TRPV1 activation and CGRP release in the trigeminovascular system of the guinea pig. *Cephalalgia* 2008, 28, 9–17. [CrossRef]
- 108. Trevisani, M.; Smart, D.; Gunthorpe, M.J.; Tognetto, M.; Barbieri, M.; Campi, B.; Amadesi, S.; Gray, J.; Jerman, J.C.; Brough, S.J.; et al. Ethanol elicits and potentiates nociceptor responses via the vanilloid receptor-1. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2002, *5*, 546–551. [CrossRef]
- 109. Andre, E.; Campi, B.; Materazzi, S.; Trevisani, M.; Amadesi, S.; Massi, D.; Creminon, C.; Vaksman, N.; Nassini, R.; Civelli, M.; et al. Cigarette smoke-induced neurogenic inflammation is mediated by alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes and the TRPA1 receptor in rodents. J. Clin. Investig. 2008, 118, 2574–2582.
- 110. Fujita, F.; Uchida, K.; Moriyama, T.; Shima, A.; Shibasaki, K.; Inada, H.; Sokabe, T.; Tominaga, M. Intracellular alkalization causes pain sensation through activation of TRPA1 in mice. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2008**, *118*, 4049–4057. [CrossRef]
- 111. Bessac, B.F.; Sivula, M.; von Hehn, C.A.; Escalera, J.; Cohn, L.; Jordt, S.E. TRPA1 is a major oxidant sensor in murine airway sensory neurons. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2008**, *118*, 1899–1910. [CrossRef]
- 112. McNamara, C.R.; Mandel-Brehm, J.; Bautista, D.M.; Siemens, J.; Deranian, K.L.; Zhao, M.; Hayward, N.J.; Chong, J.A.; Julius, D.; Moran, M.M.; et al. TRPA1 mediates formalin-induced pain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 13525–13530. [CrossRef]
- 113. Silva-Néto, R.P.; Peres, M.F.; Valença, M.M. Odorant substances that trigger headaches in migraine patients. *Cephalalgia* **2014**, *34*, 14–21. [CrossRef]
- 114. Martins, B.; Costa, A. Migraine Improvement during COVID-19 Pandemic—A Case Report on the Wonders of a Mask. *Headache* **2020**, *60*, 2608–2609. [CrossRef]
- Schulte, L.H.; Jürgens, T.P.; May, A. Photo-, osmo- and phonophobia in the premonitory phase of migraine: Mistaking symptoms for triggers? J. Headache Pain 2015, 16, 015–0495. [CrossRef]
- 116. Hoffmann, J.; Recober, A. Migraine and triggers: Post hoc ergo propter hoc? Curr. Pain Headache Rep. 2013, 17, 370. [CrossRef]
- 117. Tanik, N.; Bektas, M. Development of quality of life assessment questionnaire associated with osmophobia in people with migraine. *Pain Med.* **2021**, *21*, 1006–1014. [CrossRef]
- 118. Baldacci, F.; Lucchesi, C.; Ulivi, M.; Cafalli, M.; Vedovello, M.; Vergallo, A.; Prete, E.D.; Nuti, A.; Bonuccelli, U.; Gori, S. Clinical features associated with ictal osmophobia in migraine. *Neurol. Sci.* **2015**, *36*, 43–46. [CrossRef]

- Albanês Oliveira Bernardo, A.; Lys Medeiros, F.; Sampaio Rocha-Filho, P.A. Osmophobia and Odor-Triggered Headaches in Children and Adolescents: Prevalence, Associated Factors, and Importance in the Diagnosis of Migraine. *Headache* 2020, 60, 954–966. [CrossRef]
- Lovati, C.; Giani, L.; Capiluppi, E.; Preziosa, G.; D'Amico, D.; Mariani, C. 0067. Osmophobia in allodynic migraine: Role of frequency of attacks and headache duration. *J. Headache Pain* 2015, *16* (Suppl. S1), 1129–2377. [CrossRef]
- 121. Lovati, C.; Lombardo, D.; Peruzzo, S.; Bellotti, A.; Capogrosso, C.A.; Pantoni, L. Osmophobia in migraine: Multifactorial investigation and population-based survey. *Neurol. Sci.* **2020**, *41* (Suppl. S2), 453–454. [CrossRef]
- 122. Delussi, M.; Laporta, A.; Fraccalvieri, I.; de Tommaso, M. Osmophobia in primary headache patients: Associated symptoms and response to preventive treatments. *J. Headache Pain* **2021**, *22*, 109. [CrossRef]
- 123. Lovati, C.; Giani, L.; Castoldi, D.; Mariotti D'Alessandro, C.; DeAngeli, F.; Capiluppi, E.; D'Amico, D.; Mariani, C. Osmophobia in allodynic migraineurs: Cause or consequence of central sensitization? *Neurol. Sci.* 2015, *1*, 145–147. [CrossRef]
- 124. Wang, Y.F.; Fuh, J.L.; Chen, S.P.; Wu, J.C.; Wang, S.J. Clinical correlates and diagnostic utility of osmophobia in migraine. *Cephalalgia* **2012**, *32*, 1180–1188. [CrossRef]
- 125. Park, S.P.; Seo, J.G.; Lee, W.K. Osmophobia and allodynia are critical factors for suicidality in patients with migraine. *J. Headache Pain* **2015**, *16*, 44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Saçmacı, H.; Cengiz, G.F.; Aktürk, T. Impact of dissociative experiences in migraine and its close relationship with osmophobia. *Neurol. Res.* **2020**, *42*, 529–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Zanchin, G.; Dainese, F.; Mainardi, F.; Mampreso, E.; Perin, C.; Maggioni, F. Osmophobia in primary headaches. *J. Headache Pain* **2005**, *6*, 213–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. De Carlo, D.; Toldo, I.; Dal Zotto, L.; Perissinotto, E.; Sartori, S.; Gatta, M.; Balottin, U.; Mazzotta, G.; Moscato, D.; Raieli, V.; et al. Osmophobia as an early marker of migraine: A follow-up study in juvenile patients. *Cephalalgia* **2012**, *32*, 401–406. [CrossRef]
- 129. Rocha-Filho, P.A.; Marques, K.S.; Torres, R.C.; Leal, K.N. Osmophobia and Headaches in Primary Care: Prevalence, Associated Factors, and Importance in Diagnosing Migraine. *Headache* 2015, *55*, 840–845. [CrossRef]
- Silva-Néto, R.P.; Rodrigues, Â.B.; Cavalcante, D.C.; Ferreira, P.H.; Nasi, E.P.; Sousa, K.M.; Peres, M.F.; Valença, M.M. May headache triggered by odors be regarded as a differentiating factor between migraine and other primary headaches? *Cephalalgia* 2017, 37, 20–28. [CrossRef]
- Chalmer, M.A.; Hansen, T.F.; Olesen, J. Nosographic analysis of osmophobia and field testing of diagnostic criteria including osmophobia. *Cephalalgia* 2019, 39, 38–43. [CrossRef]
- 132. Terrin, A.; Mainardi, F.; Lisotto, C.; Mampreso, E.; Fuccaro, M.; Maggioni, F.; Zanchin, G. A prospective study on osmophobia in migraine versus tension-type headache in a large series of attacks. *Cephalalgia* **2020**, *40*, 337–346. [CrossRef]
- 133. Blau, J.N.; Solomon, F. Smell and other sensory disturbances in migraine. J. Neurol. 1985, 232, 275–276. [CrossRef]
- Porta-Etessam, J.; Casanova, I.; García-Cobos, R.; Lapeña, T.; Fernández, M.J.; García-Ramos, R.; Serna, C. Osmophobia analysis in primary headache. *Neurologia* 2009, 24, 315–317. [PubMed]
- 135. Kayabaşoglu, G.; Altundag, A.; Kotan, D.; Dizdar, D.; Kaymaz, R. Osmophobia and olfactory functions in patients with migraine. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2017**, 274, 817–821. [CrossRef]
- 136. Kandemir, S.; Pamuk, A.E.; Habipoğlu, Y.; Özel, G.; Bayar Muluk, N.; Kılıç, R. Olfactory acuity based on Brief Smell Identification Test (BSIT<sup>®</sup>) in migraine patients with and without aura: A cross-sectional, controlled study. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 2021, 49, 613–617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Marmura, M.J.; Monteith, T.S.; Anjum, W.; Doty, R.L.; Hegarty, S.E.; Keith, S.W. Olfactory function in migraine both during and between attacks. *Cephalalgia* 2014, *34*, 977–985. [CrossRef]
- 138. Hirsch, A.R. Osmophobia and taste abnormality in migraineurs: A tertiary care study. Headache 2005, 45, 763–764. [CrossRef]
- Doğan, A.; Bayar Muluk, N.; Şahan, M.H.; Asal, N.; Inal, M.; Ergün, U. Olfactory bulbus volume and olfactory sulcus depth in migraine patients: An MRI evaluation. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 2018, 275, 2005–2011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aktürk, T.; Tanık, N.; Serin, H.; Saçmacı, H.; İnan, L.E. Olfactory bulb atrophy in migraine patients. *Neurol. Sci.* 2019, 40, 127–132. [CrossRef]
- 141. Demarquay, G.; Royet, J.P.; Mick, G.; Ryvlin, P. Olfactory hypersensitivity in migraineurs: A H(2)(15)O-PET study. *Cephalalgia* **2008**, *28*, 1069–1080. [CrossRef]
- Stankewitz, A.; May, A. Increased limbic and brainstem activity during migraine attacks following olfactory stimulation. *Neurology* 2011, 77, 476–482. [CrossRef]
- 143. Anderson, A.K.; Christoff, K.; Stappen, I.; Panitz, D.; Ghahremani, D.G.; Glover, G.; Gabrieli, J.D.; Sobel, N. Dissociated neural representations of intensity and valence in human olfaction. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2003, *6*, 196–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Hillert, L.; Jovanovic, H.; Ahs, F.; Savic, I. Women with multiple chemical sensitivity have increased harm avoidance and reduced 5-HT(1A) receptor binding potential in the anterior cingulate and amygdala. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e54781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Hillert, L.; Musabasic, V.; Berglund, H.; Ciumas, C.; Savic, I. Odor processing in multiple chemical sensitivity. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **2007**, *28*, 172–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Del Casale, A.; Ferracuti, S.; Mosca, A.; Pomes, L.M.; Fiaschè, F.; Bonanni, L.; Borro, M.; Gentile, G.; Martelletti, P.; Simmaco, M. Multiple Chemical Sensitivity Syndrome: A Principal Component Analysis of Symptoms. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 6551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 147. Suzuki, K.; Okamura, M.; Haruyama, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Shiina, T.; Kobashi, G.; Hirata, K. Exploring the contributing factors to multiple chemical sensitivity in patients with migraine. *J. Occup. Health* **2022**, *64*, 1348–9585. [CrossRef]
- 148. Coleman, E.R.; Grosberg, B.M.; Robbins, M.S. Olfactory hallucinations in primary headache disorders: Case series and literature review. *Cephalalgia* **2011**, *31*, 1477–1489. [CrossRef]
- Mainardi, F.; Rapoport, A.; Zanchin, G.; Maggioni, F. Scent of aura? Clinical features of olfactory hallucinations during a migraine attack (OHM). *Cephalalgia* 2017, 37, 154–160. [CrossRef]
- Henkin, R.I.; Levy, L.M.; Lin, C.S. Taste and smell phantoms revealed by brain functional MRI (fMRI). J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr. 2000, 24, 106–123. [CrossRef]
- 151. Henkin, R.I.; Potolicchio, S.J.; Levy, L.M. Olfactory Hallucinations without Clinical Motor Activity: A Comparison of Unirhinal with Birhinal Phantosmia. *Brain Sci.* 2013, *3*, 1483–1553. [CrossRef]
- 152. Saberi, A.; Nemati, S.; Amlashi, T.T.; Tohidi, S.; Bakhshi, F. Phonophobia and migraine features in patients with definite meniere's disease: Pentad or triad/tetrad? *Acta Otolaryngol.* 2020, 140, 548–552. [CrossRef]
- 153. Mohammadi, M.; Taziki Balajelini, M.H.; Rajabi, A. Migraine and risk of sudden sensorineural hearing loss: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Laryngoscope Investig. Otolaryngol.* **2020**, *5*, 1089–1095. [CrossRef]
- Karsan, N.; Bose, P.; Newman, J.; Goadsby, P.J. Are some patient-perceived migraine triggers simply early manifestations of the attack? J. Neurol. 2021, 268, 1885–1893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Woodhouse, A.; Drummond, P.D. Mechanisms of increased sensitivity to noise and light in migraine headache. *Cephalalgia* **1993**, 13, 417–421. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Vingen, J.V.; Pareja, J.A.; Støren, O.; White, L.R.; Stovner, L.J. Phonophobia in migraine. *Cephalalgia* **1998**, *18*, 243–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Main, A.; Dowson, A.; Gross, M. Photophobia and phonophobia in migraineurs between attacks. *Headache* **1997**, 37, 492–495. [CrossRef]
- 158. Ashkenazi, A.; Mushtaq, A.; Yang, I.; Oshinsky, M.L. Ictal and interictal phonophobia in migraine-a quantitative controlled study. *Cephalalgia* **2009**, 29, 1042–1048. [CrossRef]
- 159. Wang, W.; Timsit-Berthier, M.; Schoenen, J. Intensity dependence of auditory evoked potentials is pronounced in migraine: An indication of cortical potentiation and low serotonergic neurotransmission? *Neurology* **1996**, *46*, 1404–1409. [CrossRef]
- 160. Irimia, P.; Cittadini, E.; Paemeleire, K.; Cohen, A.S.; Goadsby, P.J. Unilateral photophobia or phonophobia in migraine compared with trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias. *Cephalalgia* **2008**, *28*, 626–630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 161. Evans, R.W.; Seifert, T.; Kailasam, J.; Mathew, N.T. The use of questions to determine the presence of photophobia and phonophobia during migraine. *Headache* 2008, 48, 395–397. [CrossRef]
- 162. Bolay, H.; Bayazit, Y.A.; Gunduz, B.; Ugur, A.K.; Akcali, D.; Altunyay, S.; Ilica, S.; Babacan, A. Subclinical dysfunction of cochlea and cochlear efferents in migraine: An otoacoustic emission study. *Cephalalgia* **2008**, *28*, 309–317. [CrossRef]
- Murdin, L.; Premachandra, P.; Davies, R. Sensory dysmodulation in vestibular migraine: An otoacoustic emission suppression study. *Laryngoscope* 2010, 120, 1632–1636. [CrossRef]
- 164. Albanese, M.; Di Girolamo, S.; Silvani, L.; Ciaschi, E.; Chiaramonte, B.; Conti, M.; Passali, F.M.; Di Gioia, B.; Mercuri, N.B.; Di Stadio, A. Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions and Their Suppression as Predictors of Peripheral Auditory Damage in Migraine: A Case-Control Study. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5007. [CrossRef]
- 165. Joffily, L.; de Melo Tavares de Lima, M.A.; Vincent, M.B.; Frota, S.M. Assessment of otoacoustic emission suppression in women with migraine and phonophobia. *Neurol. Sci.* 2016, *37*, 703–709. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 166. Cabanillas, L.A.; Luebke, A.E. CGRP- and cholinergic-containing fibers project to guinea pig outer hair cells. *Hear. Res.* **2002**, 172, 14–17. [CrossRef]
- 167. Ambrosini, A.; Rossi, P.; De Pasqua, V.; Pierelli, F.; Schoenen, J. Lack of habituation causes high intensity dependence of auditory evoked cortical potentials in migraine. *Brain* **2003**, *126 Pt 9*, 2009–2015. [CrossRef]
- Siniatchkin, M.; Kropp, P.; Neumann, M.; Gerber, W.; Stephani, U. Intensity dependence of auditory evoked cortical potentials in migraine families. *Pain* 2000, *85*, 247–254. [CrossRef]
- Afra, J.; Proietti Cecchini, A.; Sándor, P.S.; Schoenen, J. Comparison of visual and auditory evoked cortical potentials in migraine patients between attacks. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 2000, 111, 1124–1129. [CrossRef]
- 170. Hegerl, U.; Juckel, G. Intensity dependence of auditory evoked potentials as an indicator of central serotonergic neurotransmission: A new hypothesis. *Biol. Psychiatry* **1993**, *33*, 173–187. [CrossRef]
- 171. Sand, T.; Zhitniy, N.; White, L.R.; Stovner, L.J. Brainstem auditory-evoked potential habituation and intensity-dependence related to serotonin metabolism in migraine: A longitudinal study. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **2008**, *119*, 1190–1200. [CrossRef]
- 172. Viganò, A.; Torrieri, M.C.; Toscano, M.; Puledda, F.; Petolicchio, B.; Sasso D'Elia, T.; Verzina, A.; Ruggiero, S.; Altieri, M.; Vicenzini, E.; et al. Neurophysiological correlates of clinical improvement after greater occipital nerve (GON) block in chronic migraine: Relevance for chronic migraine pathophysiology. J. Headache Pain 2018, 19, 73. [CrossRef]
- 173. Sándor, P.S.; Afra, J.; Ambrosini, A.; Schoenen, J. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with beta-blockers and riboflavin: Differential effects on the intensity dependence of auditory evoked cortical potentials. *Headache* **2000**, *40*, 30–35. [CrossRef]
- 174. Schlake, H.P.; Grotemeyer, K.H.; Hofferberth, B.; Husstedt, I.W.; Wiesner, S. Brainstem auditory evoked potentials in migraineevidence of increased side differences during the pain-free interval. *Headache* **1990**, *30*, 129–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 175. Podoshin, L.; Ben-David, J.; Pratt, H.; Fradis, M.; Sharf, B.; Weller, B.; Wajsbort, J.; Zellinger, M. Auditory brainstem evoked potentials in patients with migraine. *Headache* **1987**, *27*, 27–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Ganji, S. Basilar artery migraine: EEG and evoked potential patterns during acute stage. *Headache* **1986**, *26*, 220–223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Ganji, S.; Hellman, S.; Stagg, S.; Furlow, J. Episodic coma due to acute basilar artery migraine: Correlation of EEG and brainstem auditory evoked potential patterns. *Clin. Electroencephalogr.* **1993**, *24*, 44–48. [CrossRef]
- Benna, P.; Bianco, C.; Costa, P.; Piazza, D.; Bergamasco, B. Visual evoked potentials and brainstem auditory evoked potentials in migraine and transient ischemic attacks. *Cephalalgia* 1985, 5 (Suppl. 2), 53–58. [CrossRef]
- 179. Kalita, J.; Misra, U.K.; Bansal, R. Phonophobia and brainstem excitability in migraine. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2021, 53, 1988–1997. [CrossRef]
- Weiller, C.; May, A.; Limmroth, V.; Juptner, M.; Kaube, H.; Schayck, R.V.; Coenen, H.H.; Diener, H.C. Brain stem activation in spontaneous human migraine attacks. *Nat. Med.* 1995, 1, 658–660. [CrossRef]
- 181. Ashkenazi, A.; Yang, I.; Mushtaq, A.; Oshinsky, M.L. Is phonophobia associated with cutaneous allodynia in migraine? *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **2010**, *81*, 1256–1260. [CrossRef]
- 182. Akdal, G.; Ozge, A.; Ergor, G. The prevalence of vestibular symptoms in migraine or tension-type headache. *J. Vestib. Res.* **2013**, 23, 101–106. [CrossRef]
- 183. Kuritzky, A.; Ziegler, D.K.; Hassanein, R. Vertigo, motion sickness and migraine. Headache 1981, 21, 227–231. [CrossRef]
- Lee, H.; Sohn, S.I.; Jung, D.K.; Cho, Y.W.; Lim, J.G.; Yi, S.D.; Yi, H.A. Migraine and isolated recurrent vertigo of unknown cause. *Neurol. Res.* 2002, 24, 663–665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 185. Moretti, G.; Manzoni, G.C.; Caffarra, P.; Parma, M. "Benign recurrent vertigo" and its connection with migraine. *Headache* **1980**, 20, 344–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reploeg, M.D.; Goebel, J.A. Migraine-associated dizziness: Patient characteristics and management options. *Otol. Neurotol.* 2002, 23, 364–371. [CrossRef]
- 187. Vukovic, V.; Plavec, D.; Galinovic, I.; Lovrencic-Huzjan, A.; Budisic, M.; Demarin, V. Prevalence of vertigo, dizziness, and migrainous vertigo in patients with migraine. *Headache* 2007, 47, 1427–1435. [CrossRef]
- Dieterich, M.; Obermann, M.; Celebisoy, N. Vestibular migraine: The most frequent entity of episodic vertigo. J. Neurol. 2016, 263 (Suppl. 1), S82–S89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cass, S.P.; Furman, J.M.; Ankerstjerne, K.; Balaban, C.; Yetiser, S.; Aydogan, B. Migraine-related vestibulopathy. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 1997, 106, 182–189. [CrossRef]
- 190. Cutrer, F.M.; Baloh, R.W. Migraine-associated dizziness. Headache 1992, 32, 300–304. [CrossRef]
- 191. Van Leeuwen, R.B.; Colijn, C.; van Esch, B.F.; Schermer, T.R. Benign Recurrent Vertigo: The Course of Vertigo Attacks Compared to Patients with Menière's Disease and Vestibular Migraine. *Front. Neurol.* **2022**, *13*, 817812. [CrossRef]
- Prell, T.; Finn, S.; Zipprich, H.M.; Axer, H. What Predicts Improvement of Dizziness after Multimodal and Interdisciplinary Day Care Treatment? J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 2005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Formeister, E.J.; Rizk, H.G.; Kohn, M.A.; Sharon, J.D. The Epidemiology of Vestibular Migraine: A Population-based Survey Study. Otol. Neurotol. 2018, 39, 1037–1044. [CrossRef]
- 194. Lempert, T.; Olesen, J.; Furman, J.; Waterston, J.; Seemungal, B.; Carey, J.; Bisdorff, A.; Versino, M.; Evers, S.; Newman-Toker, D. Vestibular migraine: Diagnostic criteria. *J. Vestib. Res.* **2012**, *22*, 167–172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Chae, R.; Krauter, R.; Pasquesi, L.L.; Sharon, J.D. Broadening the vestibular migraine diagnosis criteria: A prospective cohort study on vestibular migraine subtypes. *J. Vestib. Res.* 2022, 2, VES-210117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Neuhauser, H.K.; Radtke, A.; von Brevern, M.; Feldmann, M.; Lezius, F.; Ziese, T.; Lempert, T. Migrainous vertigo: Prevalence and impact on quality of life. *Neurology* **2006**, *67*, 1028–1033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Dieterich, M.; Brandt, T. Episodic vertigo related to migraine (90 cases): Vestibular migraine? J. Neurol. 1999, 246, 883–892. [CrossRef]
- 198. Eggers, S.D.; Staab, J.P.; Neff, B.A.; Goulson, A.M.; Carlson, M.L.; Shepard, N.T. Investigation of the coherence of definite and probable vestibular migraine as distinct clinical entities. *Otol. Neurotol.* **2011**, *32*, 1144–1151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 199. Teggi, R.; Colombo, B.; Albera, R.; Asprella Libonati, G.; Balzanelli, C.; Batuecas Caletrio, A.; Casani, A.; Espinoza-Sanchez, J.M.; Gamba, P.; Lopez-Escamez, J.A.; et al. Clinical Features, Familial History, and Migraine Precursors in Patients with Definite Vestibular Migraine: The VM-Phenotypes Projects. *Headache* **2018**, *58*, 534–544. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.Y.; Shen, B.; Si, L.H.; Ling, X.; Li, K.Z.; Yang, X. Clinical characteristics of definite vestibular migraine diagnosed according to criteria jointly formulated by the Bárány Society and the International Headache Society. *Braz. J. Otorhinolaryngol.* 2022, 21, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- Von Brevern, M.; Zeise, D.; Neuhauser, H.; Clarke, A.H.; Lempert, T. Acute migrainous vertigo: Clinical and oculographic findings. *Brain* 2005, 128 Pt 2, 365–374. [CrossRef]
- 202. Çelebisoy, N.; Kısabay Ak, A.; Özdemir, H.N.; Gökçay, F.; Durmaz, G.S.; Kartı, D.T.; Toydemir, H.E.; Yayla, V.; Çolpak Işıkay, A.; Erkent, İ.; et al. Vestibular migraine, demographic and clinical features of 415 patients: A multicenter study. *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg.* 2022, 215, 107201. [CrossRef]

- Kısabay Ak, A.; Çelebisoy, N.; Özdemir, H.N.; Gökçay, F.; Saruhan Durmaz, G.; Top Kartı, D.; Ertaşoğlu Toydemir, H.; Yayla, V.; Çolpak Işıkay, A.; Erkent, İ.; et al. Factors determining the response to treatment in patients with vestibular migraine. *Neurol. Res.* 2022, 29, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 204. Seo, T.; Okano, Y.; Aomi, M.; Yamada, Z.; Kasugai, S.; Nakamura, M.; Miyamoto, Y.; Koizuka, I. Endolymphatic hydrops presumption tests for patients with vestibular migraine. *Acta Otolaryngol.* **2022**, 142, 406–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leng, Y.; Lei, P.; Chen, C.; Liu, Y.; Xia, K.; Liu, B. Non-contrast MRI of Inner Ear Detected Differences of Endolymphatic Drainage System between Vestibular Migraine and Unilateral Ménière's Disease. *Front. Neurol.* 2022, 13, 814518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 206. Donaldson, L.B.; Yan, F.; Liu, Y.F.; Nguyen, S.A.; Rizk, H.G. Does cognitive dysfunction correlate with dizziness severity in patients with vestibular migraine? *Am. J. Otolaryngol.* **2021**, *42*, 103124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 207. Karsan, N.; Perez-Rodriguez, A.; Nagaraj, K.; Bose, P.R.; Goadsby, P.J. The migraine postdrome: Spontaneous and triggered phenotypes. *Cephalalgia* **2021**, *41*, 721–730. [CrossRef]
- 208. Carvalho, G.F.; Luedtke, K.; Pinheiro, C.F.; Moraes, R.; Lemos, T.W.; Carneiro, C.G.; Bigal, M.E.; Dach, F.; Bevilaqua-Grossi, D. Migraine and balance impairment: Influence of subdiagnosis, otoneurological function, falls, and psychosocial factors. *Headache* 2022, 62, 548–557. [CrossRef]
- Nocini, R.; Baraldi, C.; Apa, E.; Ciorba, A.; Monzani, D.; Palma, S. Visually Evoked Postural Responses (VEPRs) in Children with Vestibular Migraine. *Children* 2021, 9, 14. [CrossRef]
- Lim, Y.H.; Kim, J.S.; Lee, H.W.; Kim, S.H. Postural Instability Induced by Visual Motion Stimuli in Patients With Vestibular Migraine. Front. Neurol. 2018, 9, 433. [CrossRef]
- 211. So, C.W.; Bent, L.R. Increased vestibular contribution to posture control in individuals with chronic headache. *J. Vestib. Res.* **2009**, 19, 49–58.
- 212. Ishizaki, K.; Mori, N.; Takeshima, T.; Fukuhara, Y.; Ijiri, T.; Kusumi, M.; Yasui, K.; Kowa, H.; Nakashima, K. Static stabilometry in patients with migraine and tension-type headache during a headache-free period. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2002, 56, 85–90. [CrossRef]
- 213. Teggi, R.; Colombo, B.; Bernasconi, L.; Bellini, C.; Comi, G.; Bussi, M. Migrainous vertigo: Results of caloric testing and stabilometric findings. *Headache* 2009, *49*, 435–444. [CrossRef]
- Janiak-Kiszka, J.; Nowaczewska, M.; Wierzbiński, R.; Kaźmierczak, W.; Kaźmierczak, H. The visual-ocular and vestibulo-ocular reflexes in vestibular migraine. *Otolaryngol. Pol.* 2021, 76, 21–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marano, E.; Marcelli, V.; Di Stasio, E.; Bonuso, S.; Vacca, G.; Manganelli, F.; Marciano, E.; Perretti, A. Trigeminal stimulation elicits a peripheral vestibular imbalance in migraine patients. *Headache* 2005, 45, 325–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shin, J.H.; Kim, Y.K.; Kim, H.J.; Kim, J.S. Altered brain metabolism in vestibular migraine: Comparison of interictal and ictal findings. *Cephalalgia* 2014, 34, 58–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Obermann, M.; Wurthmann, S.; Steinberg, B.S.; Theysohn, N.; Diener, H.C.; Naegel, S. Central vestibular system modulation in vestibular migraine. *Cephalalgia* 2014, 34, 1053–1061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Russo, A.; Marcelli, V.; Esposito, F.; Corvino, V.; Marcuccio, L.; Giannone, A.; Conforti, R.; Marciano, E.; Tedeschi, G.; Tessitore, A. Abnormal thalamic function in patients with vestibular migraine. *Neurology* 2014, 82, 2120–2126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 219. Fasold, O.; von Brevern, M.; Kuhberg, M.; Ploner, C.J.; Villringer, A.; Lempert, T.; Wenzel, R. Human vestibular cortex as identified with caloric stimulation in functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroimage* **2002**, *17*, 1384–1393. [CrossRef]
- Koo, J.W.; Balaban, C.D. Serotonin-induced plasma extravasation in the murine inner ear: Possible mechanism of migraineassociated inner ear dysfunction. *Cephalalgia* 2006, 26, 1310–1319. [CrossRef]
- 221. Ahn, S.K.; Balaban, C.D. Distribution of 5-HT1B and 5-HT1D receptors in the inner ear. Brain Res. 2010, 1346, 92–101. [CrossRef]
- 222. Vass, Z.; Steyger, P.S.; Hordichok, A.J.; Trune, D.R.; Jancso, G.; Nuttall, A.L. Capsaicin stimulation of the cochlea and electric stimulation of the trigeminal ganglion mediate vascular permeability in cochlear and vertebro-basilar arteries: A potential cause of inner ear dysfunction in headache. *Neuroscience* 2001, 103, 189–201. [CrossRef]
- 223. Halberstadt, A.L.; Balaban, C.D. Organization of projections from the raphe nuclei to the vestibular nuclei in rats. *Neuroscience* **2003**, *120*, 573–594. [CrossRef]
- Byun, Y.J.; Levy, D.A.; Nguyen, S.A.; Brennan, E.; Rizk, H.G. Treatment of Vestibular Migraine: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Laryngoscope* 2021, 131, 186–194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 225. Bayer, O.; Adrion, C.; Al Tawil, A.; Mansmann, U.; Strupp, M. Results and lessons learnt from a randomized controlled trial: Prophylactic treatment of vestibular migraine with metoprolol (PROVEMIG). *Trials* **2019**, *20*, 813. [CrossRef]
- 226. Neuhauser, H.; Radtke, A.; von Brevern, M.; Lempert, T. Zolmitriptan for treatment of migrainous vertigo: A pilot randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology* **2003**, *60*, 882–883. [CrossRef]
- 227. Stancel-Lewis, J.; Lau, J.W.L.; Male, A.; Korres, G.; Rogel-Salazar, J.; Pavlou, M.; Bamiou, D.E. Vestibular Rehabilitation Therapy for the Treatment of Vestibular Migraine, and the Impact of Traumatic Brain Injury on Outcome: A Retrospective Study. *Otol. Neurotol.* 2022, 43, 359–367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 228. Tian, R.; Zhang, Y.; Pan, Q.; Wang, Y.; Wen, Q.; Fan, X.; Qin, G.; Zhang, D.; Chen, L.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor antagonist BIBN4096BS regulates synaptic transmission in the vestibular nucleus and improves vestibular function via PKC/ERK/CREB pathway in an experimental chronic migraine rat model. *J. Headache Pain* 2022, 23, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 229. Hoskin, J.L.; Fife, T.D. New Anti-CGRP Medications in the Treatment of Vestibular Migraine. *Front. Neurol.* **2022**, *12*, 799002. [CrossRef]
- Selby, G.; Lance, J.W. Observations on 500 cases of migraine and allied vascular headache. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 1960, 23, 23–32. [CrossRef]
- Ashkenazi, A.; Sholtzow, M.; Shaw, J.W.; Burstein, R.; Young, W.B. Identifying cutaneous allodynia in chronic migraine using a practical clinical method. *Cephalalgia* 2007, 27, 111–117. [CrossRef]
- 232. Nahman-Averbuch, H.; Shefi, T.; Schneider, V.J.; Li, D., 2nd; Ding, L.; King, C.D.; Coghill, R.C. Quantitative sensory testing in patients with migraine: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* **2018**, *159*, 1202–1223. [CrossRef]
- Ashkenazi, A.; Silberstein, S.; Jakubowski, M.; Burstein, R. Improved identification of allodynic migraine patients using a questionnaire. *Cephalalgia* 2007, 27, 325–329. [CrossRef]
- Lipton, R.B.; Bigal, M.E.; Ashina, S.; Burstein, R.; Silberstein, S.; Reed, M.L.; Serrano, D.; Stewart, W.F. Cutaneous allodynia in the migraine population. *Ann. Neurol.* 2008, 63, 148–158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bigal, M.E.; Ashina, S.; Burstein, R.; Reed, M.L.; Buse, D.; Serrano, D.; Lipton, R.B.; Group, A. Prevalence and characteristics of allodynia in headache sufferers: A population study. *Neurology* 2008, 70, 1525–1533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Burstein, R.; Yarnitsky, D.; Goor-Aryeh, I.; Ransil, B.J.; Bajwa, Z.H. An association between migraine and cutaneous allodynia. *Ann. Neurol.* 2000, 47, 614–624. [CrossRef]
- 237. Bevilaqua-Grossi, D.; Lipton, R.B.; Napchan, U.; Grosberg, B.; Ashina, S.; Bigal, M.E. Temporomandibular disorders and cutaneous allodynia are associated in individuals with migraine. *Cephalalgia* **2010**, *30*, 425–432. [CrossRef]
- Lovati, C.; D'Amico, D.; Rosa, S.; Suardelli, M.; Mailland, E.; Bertora, P.; Pomati, S.; Mariani, C.; Bussone, G. Allodynia in different forms of migraine. *Neurol. Sci.* 2007, 28 (Suppl. S2), S220–S221. [CrossRef]
- 239. Akerman, S.; Karsan, N.; Bose, P.; Hoffmann, J.R.; Holland, P.R.; Romero-Reyes, M.; Goadsby, P.J. Nitroglycerine triggers triptan-responsive cranial allodynia and trigeminal neuronal hypersensitivity. *Brain* **2019**, *142*, 103–119. [CrossRef]
- Ladda, J.; Straube, A.; Forderreuther, S.; Krause, P.; Eggert, T. Quantitative sensory testing in cluster headache: Increased sensory thresholds. *Cephalalgia* 2006, 26, 1043–1050. [CrossRef]
- Burstein, R.; Cutrer, M.F.; Yarnitsky, D. The development of cutaneous allodynia during a migraine attack clinical evidence for the sequential recruitment of spinal and supraspinal nociceptive neurons in migraine. *Brain* 2000, 123 Pt 8, 1703–1709. [CrossRef]
- 242. Burstein, R.; Collins, B.; Jakubowski, M. Defeating migraine pain with triptans: A race against the development of cutaneous allodynia. *Ann. Neurol.* 2004, 55, 19–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 243. Cady, R.; Martin, V.; Mauskop, A.; Rodgers, A.; Hustad, C.; Ramsey, K.; Skobieranda, F. Symptoms of cutaneous sensitivity pre-treatment and post-treatment: Results from the rizatriptan TAME studies. *Cephalalgia* **2007**, *27*, 1055–1060. [CrossRef]
- 244. Goadsby, P.J.; Zanchin, G.; Geraud, G.; de Klippel, N.; Diaz-Insa, S.; Gobel, H.; Cunha, L.; Ivanoff, N.; Falques, M.; Fortea, J. Early vs. non-early intervention in acute migraine—'Act when Mild (AwM)'. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of almotriptan. *Cephalalgia* 2008, 28, 383–391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 245. Cady, R.K.; Freitag, F.G.; Mathew, N.T.; Elkind, A.H.; Mao, L.; Fisher, A.C.; Biondi, D.M.; Finlayson, G.; Greenberg, S.J.; Hulihan, J.F. Allodynia-associated symptoms, pain intensity and time to treatment: Predicting treatment response in acute migraine intervention. *Headache* 2009, *49*, 350–363. [CrossRef]
- Ezzati, A.; Fanning, K.M.; Buse, D.C.; Pavlovic, J.M.; Armand, C.E.; Reed, M.L.; Martin, V.T.; Lipton, R.B. Predictive models for determining treatment response to nonprescription acute medications in migraine: Results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention Study. *Headache* 2022, *11*, 14312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 247. Yuksel, H.; Kenar, S.G.; Gursoy, G.T.; Bektas, H. The impacts of masks and disinfectants on migraine patients in the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 2022, *97*, 87–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 248. Sivilotti, L.; Woolf, C.J. The contribution of GABAA and glycine receptors to central sensitization: Disinhibition and touch-evoked allodynia in the spinal cord. *J. Neurophysiol.* **1994**, *72*, 169–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 249. Strassman, A.M.; Raymond, S.A.; Burstein, R. Sensitization of meningeal sensory neurons and the origin of headaches. *Nature* **1996**, *384*, 560–564. [CrossRef]
- Hoskin, K.L.; Bulmer, D.C.; Lasalandra, M.; Jonkman, A.; Goadsby, P.J. Fos expression in the midbrain periaqueductal grey after trigeminovascular stimulation. J. Anat. 2001, 198 Pt 1, 29–35. [CrossRef]
- Knight, Y.E.; Goadsby, P.J. The periaqueductal grey matter modulates trigeminovascular input: A role in migraine? *Neuroscience* 2001, 106, 793–800. [CrossRef]
- Pozo-Rosich, P.; Storer, R.J.; Charbit, A.R.; Goadsby, P.J. Periaqueductal gray calcitonin gene-related peptide modulates trigeminovascular neurons. *Cephalalgia* 2015, 35, 1298–1307. [CrossRef]
- 253. Akerman, S.; Goadsby, P.J. Neuronal PAC1 receptors mediate delayed activation and sensitization of trigeminocervical neurons: Relevance to migraine. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2015, *7*, 308ra157. [CrossRef]
- Sessle, B.J.; Hu, J.W.; Amano, N.; Zhong, G. Convergence of cutaneous, tooth pulp, visceral, neck and muscle afferents onto nociceptive and non-nociceptive neurones in trigeminal subnucleus caudalis (medullary dorsal horn) and its implications for referred pain. *Pain* 1986, 27, 219–235. [CrossRef]
- 255. Burstein, R.; Yamamura, H.; Malick, A.; Strassman, A.M. Chemical stimulation of the intracranial dura induces enhanced responses to facial stimulation in brain stem trigeminal neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* **1998**, *79*, 964–982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 256. Watanabe, M.; Kopruszinski, C.M.; Moutal, A.; Ikegami, D.; Khanna, R.; Chen, Y.; Ross, S.; Mackenzie, K.; Stratton, J.; Dodick, D.W.; et al. Dysregulation of serum prolactin links the hypothalamus with female nociceptors to promote migraine. *Brain* 2022, 145, 2894–2909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 257. Burstein, R.; Jakubowski, M.; Garcia-Nicas, E.; Kainz, V.; Bajwa, Z.; Hargreaves, R.; Becerra, L.; Borsook, D. Thalamic sensitization transforms localized pain into widespread allodynia. *Ann. Neurol.* **2010**, *68*, 81–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 258. Shields, K.G.; Goadsby, P.J. Propranolol modulates trigeminovascular responses in thalamic ventroposteromedial nucleus: A role in migraine? *Brain* 2005, 128 Pt 1, 86–97. [CrossRef]
- Noseda, R.; Jakubowski, M.; Kainz, V.; Borsook, D.; Burstein, R. Cortical projections of functionally identified thalamic trigeminovascular neurons: Implications for migraine headache and its associated symptoms. *J. Neurosci.* 2011, 31, 14204–14217. [CrossRef]
- 260. Moulton, E.A.; Becerra, L.; Maleki, N.; Pendse, G.; Tully, S.; Hargreaves, R.; Burstein, R.; Borsook, D. Painful heat reveals hyperexcitability of the temporal pole in interictal and ictal migraine States. *Cereb. Cortex* **2011**, *21*, 435–448. [CrossRef]
- Lai, K.L.; Liao, K.K.; Fuh, J.L.; Wang, S.J. Subcortical hyperexcitability in migraineurs: A high-frequency oscillation study. *Can. J. Neurol. Sci.* 2011, 38, 309–316. [CrossRef]
- Ambrosini, A.; Schoenen, J. Electrophysiological response patterns of primary sensory cortices in migraine. J. Headache Pain 2006, 7, 377–388. [CrossRef]
- Schwedt, T.J.; Chong, C.D. Correlations between brain cortical thickness and cutaneous pain thresholds are atypical in adults with migraine. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e99791.
- Schwedt, T.J.; Berisha, V.; Chong, C.D. Temporal lobe cortical thickness correlations differentiate the migraine brain from the healthy brain. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0116687. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tso, A.R.; Trujillo, A.; Guo, C.C.; Goadsby, P.J.; Seeley, W.W. The anterior insula shows heightened interictal intrinsic connectivity in migraine without aura. *Neurology* 2015, *84*, 1043–1050. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 266. Wen, Q.; Wang, Y.; Pan, Q.; Tian, R.; Zhang, D.; Qin, G.; Zhou, J.; Chen, L. MicroRNA-155-5p promotes neuroinflammation and central sensitization via inhibiting SIRT1 in a nitroglycerin-induced chronic migraine mouse model. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2021, 18, 287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 267. Chen, H.; Tang, X.; Li, J.; Hu, B.; Yang, W.; Zhan, M.; Ma, T.; Xu, S. IL-17 crosses the blood-brain barrier to trigger neuroinflammation: A novel mechanism in nitroglycerin-induced chronic migraine. *J. Headache Pain* **2022**, 23, 1. [CrossRef]
- 268. Irimia, P.; Martinez-Valbuena, I.; Minguez-Olaondo, A.; Dominguez-Vivero, C.; Sanchez-Arias, J.A.; Martinez-Vila, E.; Luquin, M.-R.; Leira, R. Interictal amylin levels in chronic migraine patients: A case-control study. *Cephalalgia* 2021, 41, 604–612. [CrossRef]
- 269. De Luca, C.; Baldacci, F.; Mazzucchi, S.; Lombardo, I.; Curto, L.; Ulivi, M.; Chico, L.; Papa, M.; Siciliano, G.; Gori, S. CGRP Inhibitors and Oxidative Stress Biomarkers in Resistant Migraine: A Real-Life Study with Erenumab, Fremanezumab, and Galcanezumab. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 4586. [CrossRef]
- 270. Greco, R.; Demartini, C.; Francavilla, M.; Zanaboni, A.M.; Tassorelli, C. Dual Inhibition of FAAH and MAGL Counteracts Migraine-like Pain and Behavior in an Animal Model of Migraine. *Cells* **2021**, *10*, 2543. [CrossRef]
- 271. Paige, C.; Plasencia-Fernandez, I.; Kume, M.; Papalampropoulou-Tsiridou, M.; Lorenzo, L.E.; David, E.T.; He, L.; Mejia, G.L.; Driskill, C.; Ferrini, F.; et al. A Female-Specific Role for Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide (CGRP) in Rodent Pain Models. J. Neurosci. 2022, 42, 1930–1944. [CrossRef]
- 272. De Logu, F.; Nassini, R.; Hegron, A.; Landini, L.; Jensen, D.D.; Latorre, R.; Ding, J.; Marini, M.; de Araujo, D.S.M.; Ramírez-Garcia, P.; et al. Schwann cell endosome CGRP signals elicit periorbital mechanical allodynia in mice. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, 13, 646. [CrossRef]
- 273. Iannone, L.F.; De Logu, F.; Geppetti, P.; De Cesaris, F. The role of TRP ion channels in migraine and headache. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2022, 768, 136380. [CrossRef]
- Schwedt, T.J.; Krauss, M.J.; Frey, K.; Gereau, I.R.W. Episodic and chronic migraineurs are hypersensitive to thermal stimuli between migraine attacks. *Cephalalgia* 2011, *31*, 6–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 275. Burgos-Vega, C.C.; Ahn, D.D.; Bischoff, C.; Wang, W.; Horne, D.; Wang, J.; Gavva, N.; Dussor, G. Meningeal transient receptor potential channel M8 activation causes cutaneous facial and hindpaw allodynia in a preclinical rodent model of headache. *Cephalalgia* 2016, 36, 185–193. [CrossRef]
- 276. Ren, L.; Dhaka, A.; Cao, Y.Q. Function and postnatal changes of dural afferent fibers expressing TRPM8 channels. *Mol. Pain* 2015, 11, 37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 277. Askari-Zahabi, K.; Abbasnejad, M.; Kooshki, R.; Raoof, M.; Esmaeili-Mahani, S.; Pourrahimi, A.M.; Zamyad, M. The role of basolateral amygdala orexin 1 receptors on the modulation of pain and psychosocial deficits in nitroglycerin-induced migraine model in adult male rats. *Korean J. Pain* 2022, 35, 22–32. [CrossRef]
- Lance, J.W. Impact commentaries. Observations on 500 cases of migraine and allied vascular headache. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 2012, 83, 673–674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]