SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 13 October 2015 Accepted: 17 December 2015 Published: 01 February 2016

OPEN Fifteen new earthworm mitogenomes shed new light on phylogeny within the Pheretima complex

Liangliang Zhang¹, Pierfrancesco Sechi², Minglong Yuan³, Jibao Jiang¹, Yan Dong¹ & Jiangping Qiu¹

The Pheretima complex within the Megascolecidae family is a major earthworm group. Recently, the systematic status of the Pheretima complex based on morphology was challenged by molecular studies. In this study, we carry out the first comparative mitogenomic study in oligochaetes. The mitogenomes of 15 earthworm species were sequenced and compared with other 9 available earthworm mitogenomes, with the main aim to explore their phylogenetic relationships and test different analytical approaches on phylogeny reconstruction. The general earthworm mitogenomic features revealed to be conservative: all genes encoded on the same strand, all the protein coding loci shared the same initiation codon (ATG), and tRNA genes showed conserved structures. The Drawida japonica mitogenome displayed the highest A+T content, reversed AT/GC-skews and the highest genetic diversity. Genetic distances among protein coding genes displayed their maximum and minimum interspecific values in the ATP8 and CO1 genes, respectively. The 22 tRNAs showed variable substitution patterns between the considered earthworm mitogenomes. The inclusion of rRNAs positively increased phylogenetic support. Furthermore, we tested different trimming tools for alignment improvement. Our analyses rejected reciprocal monophyly among Amynthas and Metaphire and indicated that the two genera should be systematically classified into one.

Earthworms (Annelida: Oligochaeta) are arguably the most important global players of the soil biota in terms of soil formation, impact on soil structure and fertility¹. They were a major interest of Charles Darwin during his lifetime. His investigations on the subject led him to state that earthworms are likely the most important animal in the history of the world². The understanding of the earthworms' impact on global ecology increased vastly and steadily since the days of Darwin, giving depth to his original statement. Interestingly, it was recently discovered that, although they are clearly the primary ecosystem engineers of soil environments and beneficial to soil fertility³, earthworms even contribute to net soil greenhouse-gas emissions⁴.

Pheretima complex is one of the largest groups within the Megascolecidae earthworm family, with 12 genera, including approximately 930 valid species^{5,6}. Amynthas and Metaphire are two pheretimoid genera widely distributed in East Asia. In China, these two genera are dominant, as their presence sums up to the 81.9% of the total earthworm fauna⁷. They have similar morphological characteristics; the only difference between them concerns the presence of copulatory pouches in the male pore areas, as these structures are present in Metaphire but missing in Amynthas^{8,9}. However, the definition of copulatory pouch is now controversial, largely due to different criteria¹⁰. Recent phylogenetic analyses based on the mitochondrial COI and 16S genes, did not support the reciprocal monophyly of both Amynthas and Metaphire^{6,11,12}. However, molecular works so far were based on only a few loci, and they were poorly resolved. To deal with this challenge, better approaches would involve the use of multiple concatenated genes longer than 2000 bp, in order to provide enough phylogenetic information¹³.

The rise in availability of genomic resources and data in the last decades is leading to an increasing number of studies using complete mitochondrial genomes, in order to investigate phylogenetic relationships among taxa.

¹School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China. ²Institute of Ecosystem Study (ISE), Italian National Research Council, Sassari, Italy. ³College of Pastoral Agricultural Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Gansu, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.Q. (email: jpq@sjtu.edu.cn)

species	family	GenBank Accession	Genome Length (bp)	AT%	AT Skew	GCSkew	Reference	Location	GPS Coordinates
L. terrestris	Lumbricidae	NC_001673	14998	61.6	-0.03	-0.18	17		
M. vulgaris	Megascolecidae	NC_023836	15061	64.6	0.04	-0.15	57	Shanghai	N31.1477°E121.3613°
A. aspergillus	Megascolecidae	NC_025292	15115	63.0	0.06	-0.21	58	Guangdong	N23.1139°E113.3011°
P. excavatus	Megascolecidae	NC_009631	15083	64.5	0.04	-0.20	unpublished		
T. birmanicus	Megascolecidae	KF425518	15170	63.3	0.06	-0.20	59		
M. californica	Megascolecidae	KP688581	15147	64.1	0.05	-0.19	60	Shanghai	N31.0324°E121.4419°
A. longisiphonus	Megascolecidae	KM199289	15176	66.2	0.04	-0.15	60	Chongqing	N29.0146°E107.1394°
A.corticis	Megascolecidae	KM199290	15127	66.3	0.05	-0.17	60	Guangxi	N21.8471°E107.8887°
A. gracilis	Megascolecidae	KP688582	15161	65.5	0.05	-0.18	60	Guangxi	N21.4858°E107.5701°
A. carnosus	Megascolecidae	KT429008	15160	62.6	0.05	-0.16	This study	Shanghai	N31.1477°E121.3613°
A. hupeiensis	Megascolecidae	KT429009	15069	65.9	0.07	-0.19	This study	Shanghai	N30.9675°E121.0111°
M. guillelmi	Megascolecidae	KT429017	15174	65.3	0.03	-0.16	This study	Shanghai	N31.0324°E121.4419°
A. pectiniferus	Megascolecidae	KT429018	15188	66.2	0.06	-0.18	This study	Shanghai	N31.0324°E121.4419°
A. morrisi	Megascolecidae	KT429011	15026	65.4	0.04	-0.17	This study	Chongqing	N29.6042°E106.3947°
A. robustus	Megascolecidae	KT429019	15013	64.9	0.04	-0.17	This study	Guangxi	N24.1690°E110.2441°
A. triastriatus	Megascolecidae	KT429016	15160	65.3	0.04	-0.18	This study	Guangxi	N21.8471°E107.8887°
A. instabilis	Megascolecidae	KT429007	15159	64.9	0.06	-0.18	This study	Guangxi	N21.8452°E107.8872°
M. schmardae	Megascolecidae	KT429015	15156	66.7	0.03	-0.15	This study	Hunan	N27.2568°E112.7243°
A. cucullatus	Megascolecidae	KT429012	15122	64.8	0.05	-0.16	This study	Jiangxi	N28.0939°E117.0189°
A. redactus sp.nov	Megascolecidae	KT429010	15131	67.6	0.05	-0.18	This study	Hunan	N26.0078°E113.8866°
A. moniliatus	Megascolecidae	KT429020	15133	66.7	0.06	-0.18	This study	Hunan	N25.9771°E113.7163°
A. spatiosus sp.nov	Megascolecidae	KT429013	15152	66.2	0.05	-0.18	This study	Jiangxi	N28.1238°E116.9897°
A. rongshuiensis sp.nov	Megascolecidae	KT429014	15086	67.2	0.04	-0.17	This study	Guangxi	N25.2032°E108.6807°
D. japonica	Moniligastridae	KM199288	14646	69.7	-0.15	0.04	This study	Shanghai	N31.1477°E121.3613°

Table 1. Characteristics of 24 eathworm Mitochondrial Genomes. Abbreviation: *L. Lumbricus; P. Perionyx; T. Tonoscolex; M. Metaphire; A. Amynthas; D. Drawida.*

.....

Mitogenomes have been proven powerful in resolving phylogenetic relationships across a wide range of metazoans^{14,15}. In addition to be more informative than single genes, complete mitogenomes enable the additional analysis of evolutionary significant genome features, such as gene content and gene order¹⁶. Up to now, more than 5,000 mitochondrial genome sequences of metazoans have been deposited in the public databases (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, last accessed August 22, 2015). Surprisingly, despite the important role that earthworms play as key organisms in terrestrial ecosystems, very few earthworm mitogenomes were published since the first report on the mitogenome of *Lumbricus terrestris*¹⁷. To date, only 9 earthworm mitogenomes are available in GenBank. Among them, six species were sequenced in our previous studies (Table 1). This number is clearly negligible when compared to the wealth of earthworm diversity. More earthworm mitogenomes are needed in order to deepen our understanding of evolutionary relationships within this important animal group at the genomic level.

Here we determined the complete mitochondrial sequences of 15 earthworm species, and analyzed them with the 9 ones previously available in Genebank, with the aim to explore the phylogenetic signal of complete mitochondrial genomes in earthworms. We investigated the influence of different approaches to phylogeny reconstruction. In addition, we tested the hypothesis that *Amynthas* and *Metaphire* are not monophyletic separate clades.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and DNA extraction. Specimen information is shown in Table 1. All experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Agriculture and Biology. All specimens were anesthetized in a 10% ethanol solution and then preserved in 90% ethanol and stored at 4°C until DNA extraction. Whole genomic DNA was obtained from fresh tissue by dissection of individual adult earthworms and extracted following the protocol of Mollusc DNA Kit (OMEGA E.Z.N.A.TM).

PCR and sequencing. Primers designed to amplify generally conserved regions of earthworm <u>mtDNA</u> were used to obtain short fragments from *CO1*, *CO2*, *CO3*, *Cytb*, *ND5*, *ND4*, *16S* and *ND1* (Supplementary Table S1). Specific primers (Supplementary Table S2) were designed based on these conserved regions and used to amplify the remainder <u>mtDNA</u> sequence in several PCR reactions. The PCR reactions were carried out with LA Taq polymerase for 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, and annealed at 50 °C for 30 s, followed by extension at 72 °C for 1 min per 1 kb. The final MgCl₂ concentration in the PCR reaction was 2.0 mmol/L. PCR products were cloned with the pGEM-T vector (Promega, USA) and then sequenced, or sequenced directly by Sanger sequencing, using an ABI 3730 automatic sequencer. The fragments obtained were assembled with the software DNAstar and adjusted manually to generate complete mitochondrial DNA sequences.

Dataset name	Dataset size (bp)				
PCGgb	10761				
PCGtri	10857				
PROgb	3472				
PROtri	3599				
PRgb	12069				
PRtri	12568				
PT	12053				
PRT	13764				
rRNA	1711				
tRNA	1257				

Table 2. Brief summary of the datasets. Abbreviation: gb, Gblocks; tri, TrimAl.

Sequence analysis. The online softwares MITOS¹⁸ and DOGMA¹⁹ were used for gene annotation. The online tools ARWEN²⁰ and tRNAscan-SE²¹ were used to confirm <u>tRNA</u> annotation results. The boundaries of the predicted genes were finally confirmed by sequence comparisons with the reported earthworms mitogenomes. Comparison of nucleotide identity was made using the CG View Comparison Tool (CCT). The base composition and pairwise genetic distances of both PCGs (Protein Coding Genes) and earthworm species were analyzed with MEGA 5²². AT and GC skews were estimated with the formula AT-skew = (A-T)/(A + T) and GC-skew = $(G-C)/(G+C)^{23}$.

Phylogenetic analysis. Besides the mitochondrial genomes of 24 earthworms, 2 species from Hirudinea (*Whitmania laevis* and *Whitmania pigra*) were selected as outgroup. For phylogenetic analyses, the 37 mitochondrial genes were separately aligned by the MUSCLE algorithm, and DNA sequences of PCGs were translated to protein in MEGA5. The individual alignments were then concatenated using the software SequenceMatrix v1.7.6²⁴. In order to evaluate the effect of data partitioning and incorporation of RNAs on phylogeny, several datasets were generated as follows to test the effect of the optimizing schemes (Table 2):

(1) PCGs, nucleotide sequences of 13 Protein Coding Genes (PCG);

(2) PRO, protein sequence of 13 PCGs (PRO);

(3) PCGs + rRNAs, nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs plus rRNAs (PR);

(4) PCGs + tRNAs, nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs plus tRNAs (PT);

(5) PCGs + rRNAs + tRNAs (PRT);

(6) rRNAs;

(7) tRNAs;

In order to remove unreliably aligned regions within the datasets, we used Gblocks (gb) and trimAl (tri) in the datasets (1), (2) and (3) to identify the conserved regions with default parameters. For the remainder datasets, only trimAl was used.

All datasets were partitioned by gene, with the exception of tRNAs dataset which was combined as one partition due to their small size. Two phylogenetic approaches were applied, including Maximum Likelihood (ML) using raxmlGUI²⁵ and Bayesian phylogenies using Mrbayes3.2.1²⁶. Evolutionary models selections for each dataset were carried out using MrModeltest implemented in MrMTgui for nucleotide sequences, and ModelGenerator v0.85²⁷ for protein sequences. Since the MtZoa evolutionary model²⁸ for amino acid data was not available on ModelGenerator, we evaluated tree topologies based on MtZoa and Mtrev + I + G (the best-fit model according to ModelGenerator) separately, and MtZoa was chosen as the best-fit model because it provided better support values and less computational time. For ML analyses, node support was calculated via rapid bootstrapping and "autoMR" bootstopping under GTRCAT. For BI analyses, two independent runs of 10⁷ generations (until <u>average</u> <u>standard deviation</u> of split frequencies < 0.01) were conducted simultaneously, sampling every 1000 generations and discarding 25% of the initial trees as burnin. The remaining sampled trees were used to estimate the 50% majority rule consensus tree.

In addition, to avoid arbitrary and subjective defining data blocks, we also used PartitionFinder $1.1.1^{29}$ to objectively assess the best partition scheme in PRTtri (PCGs + rRNAs + tRNAs after trimAl) and PRtri (PCGs + rRNAs after trimAl) datasets. For the PRtri dataset, the input alignment was predefined to 41 data blocks, corresponding to the codon position of each of the 13 PCGs, plus the two rRNA genes. We used the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and the "greedy" algorithm with branch lengths estimated as "linked" to search for the best-fit scheme. However, subsequent phylogenetic analyses had no effect on tree topology and slight effect on nodal support compared to manual partition schemes.

Results

Characteristics of earthworm Mitochondrial Genomes. The characteristics of the 15 newly sequenced earthworm mitogenomes were summarized and compared with the 9 previously published earthworm mitogenomes. All the twenty-four earthworm mitogenomes contain the typical 37 genes present in metazoans³⁰. As already observed in all the annelid species studied so far, all genes are transcribed from the same strand. Furthermore, these genomes show that the mitochondrial gene order is always conserved within <u>oligochaetes</u>.





The earthworm mitogenomes display slight size variation, ranging from 14,998 bp in *D. japonica* to 15,188 bp in *A. pectiniferus* (Table 1). For *D. japonica*, however, we failed to obtain the non-coding region between *trnR* and *trnH* (assumed to be the A + T-rich region). The CCT BLAST map shows the sequence identity between *A. carnosus* and other worm species, varying between 63–82% (Fig. 1). The control region sequences of these earthworms are highly divergent. All analyzed mitogenomes are relatively uniform in the overall nucleotide composition (A + T content between 61.6–69.7%). Interestingly, *L. terrestris* (Lumbricidae) is at the low end and *D. japonica* (Moniligastridae) is at the high end of the range (Table 1), leaving worms within Megascolecidae in the middle. The nucleotide bias was also evident when analyzing base skewness. The earthworms within Megascolecidae are slightly A-skewed (0.03–0.07), while *L.terrestris* and *D. japonica* is 0.04, whereas other worms show negative GC-skews.

Protein-coding genes. Earthworm mtDNA typically contains 13 Protein coding genes (PCGs). In all species, ATG is the unique start codon; this is common in annelid mitogenomes, whereas most of metazoan mt genomes use also alternative start codons^{31,32}. The PCGs are terminated by either the complete (TAA or TAG) or incomplete stop codons (TA-, T-), which can complete to TAA by polyadenylation after transcription³³.

The pairwise genetic distances within 24 species based on single PCG are shown in Fig. 2. *ATP8* is the least conserved gene (averaged 0.303, range 0.013–0.551). *CO1* is the most conserved gene (averaged 0.172, range 0.063–0.248), and is therefore a useful marker inferring phylogenetic relationships at higher taxonomic levels.

Pairwise genetic distances based on concatenated 13 PCGs were estimated for three different taxonomic levels. Distances among *Pheretima* complex reveal uniform variation (the first 20 species, mean 0.194), ranging from 0.062 between *M. vulgaris* and *M. guillelmi* to 0.220 between *M. californica* and *A. longisiphonus* (Supplementary Fig. S1, Supplementary Table S3). Interestingly, the *CO1* divergence between *M. vulgaris* and *M. guillelmi* is also 0.063, nearly identical to the whole PCGs level. Our results suggest that these two species are closely related, as they display the lowest *CO1* interspecific distance, which is far less than the mean interspecific value of 0.172 in our dataset, and also the mean interspecific P-distance in Megascolecidae (18.66%) as demonstrated by Chang³⁴. Within the family Megascolecidae, *P. excavatus* and *T. birmanicus* show relatively higher variation; and beyond Megascolecidae, *L. terrestris* and *D. japonica* reveal high sequence divergence compared to other worms, and there is extremely high nucleotide diversity in *D. japonica* (averaged 0.334, range 0.322–0.346).

Comparison of tRNA genes. The results of comparative analyses on secondary structures of earthworm tRNAs are provided in Figs 3 and 4. The postulated tRNA cloverleaf structures always contain 7 bp in the aminoacyl stem, 2–5 bp in the T ψ C stem, 4–6 bp in the anticodon stem, and and 3–4 bp in the DHU stem. Among the 22 tRNAs, only *trnS1* does not exhibit the common cloverleaf structure, due to the absence of DHU stem. The lack of D stems in *trnS1* is a widespread feature of mitochondrial tRNA genes^{35,36}. The percent of identical



Figure 2. Genetic distances of individual genes. Each boxplot represents P distance for 13 individual genes in 24 earthworm species. Lower horizontal bar, non-outlier smallest observation; lower edge of rectangle, 25 percentile; central bar within rectangle, median; upper edge of rectangle, 75 percentile; upper horizontal bar, non-outlier largest observation; open circle, outlier.

nucleotides (%INUC) is calculated based on alignments of orthologous sequences (Supplementary Table S4). *trnM, trnN, trnK and trnI* show the highest levels of nucleotide conservation (%INUC > 60), followed by tRNAs at large in 50 < %INUC < 60. *TrnF, trnL1* and *trnP* are between 40 and 50, while *trnS2* is the least conserved tRNA (%INUC < 40).

The most conserved tRNAs show nucleotide substitutions largely restricted to T Ψ C loops and acceptor arms (Figs 3 and 4). Acceptor stems show 0–4 fully compensatory base changes (cbcs) (e.g., G-C vs. A-T in *trnM*) and/ or hemi-cbc (e.g., A-T vs. G-T in *trnM*). In most tRNAs, it was impossible to model the substitution patterns in the T Ψ C loop due to a high level of variation among orthologous sequences. Notablely, the D stem, which is assumed to act as a recognition site for aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase³⁷, keeps the highest conservation with a few sustitutions.

Cbcs and hemi-cbcs are restricted to individual species or characterized taxa at a higher taxonomic level (family/order), as reported in insects³⁸. We also find the similar substitution patterns in our study. An example of the first type is the C-G pair in the *trnN* acceptor arm of *D. japonica* is mirrored by T-A in all other earthworms (Fig. 3). In addition, the DHU loop in the *trnN* of *D. japonica* is distinct from that of any other species. An example of a full cbc characterizing a unique family is the T-A pair found in the acceptor stem of *trnAs* of the family Megascolecidae, while the other two species *D. japonica* and *L. terrestris*, not belonging to Megascolecidae, exhibit the C-G pair. Note that in *M. vulgaris* and *M. guillelmi*, not only the same substitutions patterns are present in compensatory changes of stems (e.g. *trnM*), but also in base changes of loops and extra arms (e.g. *trnY*), indicating they are closely related species. Figures 3 and 4 depict more examples.

Furthermore, some tRNAs present mismatched pairs in stems (e.g., T-T in the anticodon stem of *trnN*; C-C in the T ψ C stem of *trnQ*; G-G in the aminoacyl stem of *trnA*). These mismatches are common in annelids^{36,39} and it has been proposed that they may be corrected through editing processes⁴⁰ or that they could represent unusual pairings⁴¹.

Methodological effects of various approaches. We have performed 20 independent phylogenetic analysis to test the influence of the optimizing schemes under two inference methods (BI and ML), different datasets types (DNA or protein, RNA inclusion/exclusion), and two alignment trimming tools (Gblocks or trimAl). Several datasets are generated in Table 2. In general, five different tree topologies were recovered (Fig. 5).

Nine phylogenetic analyses (BI and ML trees of PCGgb, PCGtri, PRgb, PRtri and ML tree from PRT) produce a consensus topology, and the tree topology of PRtri with high node support is presented in Fig. 5. Three analyses—BI and ML trees of PROtri, and ML tree of PT—recovered similar topologies to the consensus tree. Only a minor difference was detectable when these three topologies were compared to the consensus topology: in these datasets, *A.instabilis* located in a more basal position within the pheretima complex. BI and ML methods often converge on a single topology using the same dataset (PCGgb, PCGtri, PROgb, PROtri, PRgb and PRtri). The results of different analyses are presented in Supplementary Fig. S2.

For the different data treatments, we compared the effects of recoding PCG nucleotide sequences into amino acid sequences, inclusion of rRNA or tRNA genes with PCGs against PCGs alone (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. S2). The PRO dataset fails to get a consensus tree, different basal taxa were recovered within Pheretima complex. Inclusion of rRNA genes has slight effect on BI tree topology but positive effect on nodal support in ML trees. This suggest rRNAs contribute positive signal to phylogenetic analyses. However, different relationships are recovered



Figure 3. Secondary structure of tRNA families (trnN-trnP) in earthworm mtDNAs. The nucleotide substitution pattern for each tRNA family was modeled using as reference the structure determined for *A. carnosus.*

within Pheretima complex when tRNA genes are included. The single analyses based on rRNAs ant tRNAs perform poorly compared to the combined dataset, resulting in incongruent topologies from ML and BI inference methods and internal polytomy topologies (data not shown).

Three datasets (PCG, PRO and PR) were compared using these two trimming methods trimAl and gblocks. For the PCG and PR dataset, we observed an overall improvement of supported topologies using trimAl over gblocks. Furthermore, after gblocks trimming the PROgb dataset showed a totally different topology from the PROtri and remaining datasets, thus we considered it unsupported. Gblocks is over stringent especially when trimming rRNA, trimmed alignments are much shorter than the alignments after trimAl (1444 vs 1711 bp). We checked the less stringent parameters in Gblocks and yield 1973 bp, which may be better than its default setting. Collectivelly, trimAl outperformed Gblocks with default parameters in our datasets.





To avoid arbitrary and subjective defining data blocks, we also used PartitionFinder to find the best partitioning scheme. Unexpectedly, subsequent phylogenetic analyses had no effect on tree topology and slight effect on nodal support compared to manual partition schemes.

Phylogeny. The consensus tree from PRtri (Fig. 5) shows that there is strong support for the monophyly of Megascolecidae and Pheretima complex (Both PP = 1.00, BS = 100). It is evident that *M. vulgaris* and *M. guillelmi* within the genus *Metaphire* cluster in a sister group and split early from other pheretimoids. Other two *Metaphire* species *M. californica* and *M. schmardae* scatter and mingle in the genus *Amynthas*; thus, the two genera are not reciprocally monophyletic.



Figure 5. The consensus tree is shown on the right. It was inferred from the PRtri dataset (PCGs + rRNAs after trimming with the trimAl software). The internal branches within the Pheretima complex are too short to discern; thus, the amplified tree without the outgroup is presented. Support values are posterior probabilities from Bayesian inferences (PP) and likelihood values from ML analyses (BP). The datasets displayed on the left show different types of relationships, recovered either in Bayesian and Maximum likelihood analyses or only in Bayesian analyses. Species with yellow colour in the main tree belongs to genus Metaphire.

Discussion

In the present study, we sequenced 15 new earthworm mitochondrial genomes and we analyzed them together with the 9 ones already available in Genbank, including one incomplete mitogenome (D. japonica) from a previously unsampled family (Moniligastridae). The main non-coding region of the D. japonica genome, however, could not be retrieved. According to the sequence length reduction of D. japonica when compared to other earthworm species, and judging from the high AT content, the secondary structure, and the stretches of polyT in earthworm A + T-rich region, it is likely that a sequence of about 500 bp was omitted in our PCR amplification of the D. japonica, in spite of repeated amplification and sequencing efforts. This region likely contains regulatory secondary structures, several tandem repeats, and stable stem-loop structures; these features may hinder results of PCR and sequencing reactions, as previously reported for other annelids^{36,39,42-44}. Interestingly, the D. japonica mitogenome displayed the highest A + T content (69.7%), AT/GC-skews reversal and the highest genetic diversity when compared to any other earthworm genomes. It was worth noting that the AT-skew and GC-skew of the D. japonica are precisely opposite when compared with other earthworms, which have a positive AT-skew and negative GC-skew. In insect mitogenomes, the reverse skewness may be caused by inversion of replication origin in the A + T-rich region⁴⁵, but as the non-coding region for *D. japonica* could not be retrieved, the exact reason for its skewness reversal remains unknown. D. japonica is a member of Moniligastridae, which might explain its distinct mitochondrial genome features, as they retain primitive features (large-yolked eggs and single layered clitellum) typical of aquatic oligochaetes, presumably more primitive than any other earthworms⁴⁶.

In this study, we tested different mitogenome phylogenies by implementing two inference methods (BI and ML), including combinations of rRNA or tRNA genes, and removing variable regions with Gblocks or trimAl softwares. In most instances (except the PT and PRT datasets), BI analyses recovered a more consistent tree topology across different datasets, and nodal support was remarkably high for the majority of nodes. It has been suggested in several studies that posterior probabilities overestimate the real support^{47,48}. Thus, any conclusions drawn from the BI analysis alone should be considered with caution.

We further tested the effect of gene exclusion by comparing the combined analyses of PCGs + rRNA/tRNA with analyses of PCGs alone. RNAs are often discarded in phylogenetic analyses⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. However, some studies concluded that the inclusion of these genes is beneficial, as it resulted in improved resolution and nodal support^{52,53}. In our analyses, the inclusion of rRNA genes had positive effect on nodal support and in the congruence of topologies, especially in ML analyses. By contrast, inclusion of tRNAs resulted in more diverse and poorly supported phylogenetic relationships comparing with other datasets (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. S2).

Removal of poorly aligned regions should greatly improve phylogeny reconstruction⁵⁴. In order to improve alignments, dedicated trimming softwares have been developed, selecting blocks of conserved regions and removing poorly aligned or ambiguous positions. We tested the Gblocks and trimAl softwares^{54,55}. Compared to Gblocks, trimAl is more recently developed and better fit to analyze large-scale data, as it has the possibility to automatically adjust the parameters to improve the phylogenetic signal-to-noise ratio⁵⁶. In our analyses, trimAl

outperformed Gblocks v0.91 when set to default parameters, resulting in an overall improvement of supported topologies. Therefore, trimAl seems to be a softer, good alternative.

Although some conflicting results are observed from different datasets and inference methods, our results all strongly support the monophyly of Megascolecidae and Pheretima complex (Fig. 5). Furthermore, all analyses consistently reject the monophyly of *Amynthas* and *Metaphire*. This hypothesis received also support by recent works based on individual genes^{6,11,12}. In fact, the only diagnostic character between *Amynthas* and *Metaphire* is the presence/absence of copulatory pouches¹⁰. Considering their morphological similarity and our mitogenome phylogenetic evidence, we suggest that these two genera should be put into one genus. Indeed, the inclusion of extra species from other genera within the Pheretima complex is clearly needed to validate this taxonomic revision. Furthermore, as can be seen in Supplementary Fig. S3, branch lengths within the *Pheretima* complex are generally short, suggesting that pheretimoid worms diversity may have resulted from a relatively recent and rapid radiation.

Conclusions

In this study, we sequenced and annotated the mitogenomes of fifteen pheretimoid earthworm species. This work includes the first comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of earthworms using mitogenomes. Our results showed that the general earthworm genomic features are conservative. Peculiarly, The *D. japonica* genome showed the highest A + T content (69.7%), reversed AT/GC-skews and highest genetic diversity compared to any other earthworm genomes. Our Phylogenetic results indicated that inclusion of rRNAs positively increase the nodal support of topologies. Our Phylogenetic analyses provided evidence that *Amynthas* and *Metaphire* are non-monophyletic separate clades; in view of their morphological similarity, these two genera should be put into one genus, and their current taxonomic classifications should be revised. This study provides insights into the evolution and phylogeny of chinese pheretimoid earthworms and related species, and it is the first study to investigate the deep evolutionary relationship between important earthworm clades. Our conclusions will be strengthened by the inclusion of extra species from other genera within the Pheretima complex and possibly other earthworm orders and families.

References

- 1. Edwards, C. A. Earthworm ecology 3 (CRC Press, 2004).
- 2. Darwin, C. The formation of vegetable mould, through the action of worms, with observations on their habits (John Murray, 1881).
- 3. Lavelle, P. et al. Soil function in a changing world: the role of invertebrate ecosystem engineers. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 33, 159-193 (1997).
- 4. Lubbers, I. M. et al. Greenhouse-gas emissions from soils increased by earthworms. Nature Clim. Change 3, 187-194 (2013).
- Blakemore, R. An updated checklist of pheretimoids (eg Amynthas, Duplodicodrilus, Metaphire, Pheretima, Polypheretima, etc.). A Series of Searchable Texts on Earthworm Biodiversity, Ecology and Systematics. (2008) [CD publication, Available at: http://www. annelida.net/earthworm/Pheretimoids.pdf]. (Accessed: 4th October 2015).
- Chang, C.-H., Lin, S.-M. & Chen, J.-H. Molecular systematics and phylogeography of the gigantic earthworms of the *Metaphire formosae* species group (Clitellata, Megascolecidae). *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 49, 958–968 (2008).
- Tsai, C.-F., Shen, H.-P. & Tsai, S.-C. Native and exotic species of terrestrial earthworms (Oligochaeta) in Taiwan with reference to Northeast Asia. Zool. Stud. 39, 285–294 (2000).
- Sims, R. W. & Easton, E. G. A numerical revision of the earthworm genus Pheretima auct. (Megascolecidae: Oligochaeta) with the recognition of new genera and an appendix on the earthworms collected by the Royal Society North Borneo Expedition. *Biol. J. Linnean Soc.* 4, 169–268 (1972).
- Chang, C.-H. & Chen, J.-H. Taxonomic status and intraspecific phylogeography of two sibling species of Metaphire (Oligochaeta: Megascolecidae) in Taiwan. *Pedobiologia* 49, 591–600 (2005).
- James, S. W., Shih, H. T. & Chang, H. W. Seven new species of Amynthas (Clitellata: Megascolecidae) and new earthworm records from Taiwan. J. Nat. Hist. 39, 1007–1028 (2005).
- James, S. Preliminary molecular phylogeny in the Pheretima group of genera (Crassiclitellata: Megascolecidae) using Bayesian analysis. Advances in earthworm taxonomy II (Annelida: Oligochaeta). Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania. pp. 129–142 (2005).
- 12. Pérez-Losada, M., Bloch, R., Breinholt, J. W., Pfenninger, M. & Domínguez, J. Taxonomic assessment of Lumbricidae (Oligochaeta) earthworm genera using DNA barcodes. *Eur J Soil Biol.* **48**, 41–47 (2012).
- 13. Chang, C. H. & James, S. A critique of earthworm molecular phylogenetics. Pedobiologia 54, S3-S9 (2011).
- Bernt, M. et al. A comprehensive analysis of bilaterian mitochondrial genomes and phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 69, 352–364, doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.05.002 (2013).
- Osigus, H. J., Eitel, M., Bernt, M., Donath, A. & Schierwater, B. Mitogenomics at the base of Metazoa. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 69, 339–351, doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.07.016 (2013).
- Simon, C., Buckley, T. R., Frati, F., Stewart, J. B. & Beckenbach, A. T. Incorporating molecular evolution into phylogenetic analysis, and a new compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers for animal mitochondrial DNA. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst.* 37, 545–579 (2006).
- Boore, J. L. & Brown, W. M. Complete sequence of the mitochondrial DNA of the annelid worm Lumbricus terrestris. *Genetics* 141, 305–319 (1995).
- 18. Bernt, M. et al. MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 69, 313-319 (2013).
- Wyman, S. K., Jansen, R. K. & Boore, J. L. Automatic annotation of organellar genomes with DOGMA. *Bioinformatics* 20, 3252–3255 (2004).
- Laslett, D. & Canbäck, B. ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 24, 172–175 (2008).
- 21. Schattner, P., Brooks, A. N. & Lowe, T. M. The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, W686–W689 (2005).
- 22. Tamura, K. *et al.* MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol.* **28**, 2731–2739 (2011).
- Perna, N. T. & Kocher, T. D. Patterns of nucleotide composition at fourfold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. J Mol Evol. 41, 353–358 (1995).
- 24. Vaidya, G., Lohman, D. J. & Meier, R. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. *Cladistics* 27, 171–180 (2011).
- 25. Silvestro, D. & Michalak, I. raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML. Org Divers Evol. 12, 335-337 (2012).

- 26. Ronquist, F. *et al.* MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst Biol.* **61**, 539–542 (2012).
- Keane, T. M., Creevey, C. J., Pentony, M. M., Naughton, T. J. & McInerney, J. O. Assessment of methods for amino acid matrix selection and their use on empirical data shows that ad hoc assumptions for choice of matrix are not justified. BMC Evol Biol. 6, 29 (2006).
- Rota-Stabelli, O., Yang, Z. & Telford, M. J. MtZoa: a general mitochondrial amino acid substitutions model for animal evolutionary studies. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 52, 268–272 (2009).
- Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S. Y. & Guindon, S. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Mol Biol Evol. 29, 1695–1701 (2012).
- 30. Boore, J. L. Animal mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 27, 1767-1780 (1999).
- Wei, S.-j., Shi, M., Sharkey, M. J., van Achterberg, C. & Chen, X.-x. Comparative mitogenomics of Braconidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera) and the phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial genomes with special reference to Holometabolous insects. *BMC genomics* 11, 371 (2010).
- Chen, W.-J., Koch, M., Mallatt, J. M. & Luan, Y.-X. Comparative analysis of mitochondrial genomes in Diplura (Hexapoda, Arthropoda): taxon sampling is crucial for phylogenetic inferences. *Genome Biol Evol.* 6, 105–120 (2014).
- Ojala, D., Montoya, J. & Attardi, G. tRNA punctuation model of RNA processing in human mitochondria. Nature 290, 470–474 (1981).
- Chang, C.-H., Rougerie, R. & Chen, J.-H. Identifying earthworms through DNA barcodes: Pitfalls and promise. Pedobiologia 52, 171–180 (2009).
- 35. Boore, J. L. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Urechis caupo*, a representative of the phylum Echiura. *BMC genomics* 5, 67 (2004).
- Jennings, R. M. & Halanych, K. M. Mitochondrial genomes of *Clymenella torquata* (Maldanidae) and *Riftia pachyptila* (Siboglinidae): evidence for conserved gene order in Annelida. *Mol Biol Evol.* 22, 210–222 (2005).
- 37. Clark, B. The crystal structure of tRNA. J. Biosci 31, 453-457 (2006).
- Negrisolo, E., Babbucci, M. & Patarnello, T. The mitochondrial genome of the ascalaphid owlfly *Libelloides macaronius* and comparative evolutionary mitochondriomics of neuropterid insects. *BMC genomics* 12, 221 (2011).
- Boore, J. L. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of the polychaete annelid *Platynereis dumerilii*. Mol Biol Evol. 18, 1413–1416 (2001).
- Lavrov, D. V., Brown, W. M. & Boore, J. L. A novel type of RNA editing occurs in the mitochondrial tRNAs of the centipede *Lithobius forficatus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 97, 13738–13742 (2000).
- 41. Cannone, J. J. *et al.* The comparative RNA web (CRW) site: an online database of comparative sequence and structure information for ribosomal, intron, and other RNAs. *BMC bioinformatics* **3**, 2 (2002).
- Boore, J. L. & Brown, W. M. Mitochondrial genomes of Galathealinum, Helobdella, and Platynereis: sequence and gene arrangement comparisons indicate that Pogonophora is not a phylum and Annelida and Arthropoda are not sister taxa. *Mol Biol Evol.* 17, 87–106 (2000).
- Bleidorn, C., Podsiadlowski, L. & Bartolomaeus, T. The complete mitochondrial genome of the orbinid polychaete Orbinia latreillii (Annelida, Orbiniidae)–A novel gene order for Annelida and implications for annelid phylogeny. Gene 370, 96–103 (2006).
- 44. Zhong, M., Struck, T. H. & Halanych, K. M. Phylogenetic information from three mitochondrial genomes of Terebelliformia (Annelida) worms and duplication of the methionine tRNA. *Gene* **416**, 11–21 (2008).
- 45. Wei, S.-J. et al. New views on strand asymmetry in insect mitochondrial genomes. PLoS One 5, e12708 (2010).
- 46. Jamieson, B. On the phylogeny of the Moniligastridae, with description of a new species of Moniligaster (Oligochaeta, Annelida). *Evol. Theory* **2**, 95–114 (1977).
- Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Rannala, B. Frequentist properties of Bayesian posterior probabilities of phylogenetic trees under simple and complex substitution models. Syst Biol. 53, 904–913 (2004).
- 48. Yang, Z. & Rannala, B. Branch-length prior influences Bayesian posterior probability of phylogeny. Syst Biol. 54, 455-470 (2005).
- Chen, W.-J. et al. The mitochondrial genome of Sinentomon erythranum (Arthropoda: Hexapoda: Protura): an example of highly divergent evolution. BMC Evol Biol. 11, 246 (2011).
- Liu, G. H., Shao, R., Cai, X. Q., Li, W. W. & Zhu, X. Q. Gnathostoma spinigerum Mitochondrial Genome Sequence: a Novel Gene Arrangement and its Phylogenetic Position within the Class Chromadorea. Sci Rep 5, 12691, doi: 10.1038/srep12691 (2015).
- 51. Ma, H. *et al.* First mitochondrial genome for the red crab (*Charybdis feriata*) with implication of phylogenomics and population genetics. *Sci Rep* **5**, 11524, doi: 10.1038/srep11524 (2015).
- Cameron, S. L., Lambkin, C. L., Barker, S. C. & Whiting, M. F. A mitochondrial genome phylogeny of Diptera: whole genome sequence data accurately resolve relationships over broad timescales with high precision. *Syst Entomol.* 32, 40–59 (2007).
- Cameron, S. L. Insect mitochondrial genomics: implications for evolution and phylogeny. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 59, 95–117 (2014).
 Castresana, J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol.* 17, 540–552 (2000)
- Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J. M. & Gabaldon, T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1972–1973, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348 (2009).
- Roquet, C., Thuiller, W. & Lavergne, S. Building megaphylogenies for macroecology: taking up the challenge. *Ecography* 36, 13–26 (2013).
- Zhang, L., Jiang, J., Dong, Y. & Qiu, J. Complete mitochondrial genome of a Pheretimoid earthworm Metaphire vulgaris (Oligochaeta: Megascolecidae). *Mitochondrial DNA*. doi: 10.3109/19401736.2014.892085 (2014).
- Zhang, L., Jiang, J., Dong, Y. & Qiu, J. Complete mitochondrial genome of an Amynthas earthworm, Amynthas aspergillus (Oligochaeta: Megascolecidae). *Mitochondrial DNA*. doi: 10.3109/19401736.2014.971267 (2014).
- Wang, A. R., Hong, Y., Win, T. M. & Kim, I. Complete mitochondrial genome of the Burmese giant earthworm, Tonoscolex birmanicus (Clitellata: Megascolecidae). *Mitochondrial DNA*, 26, 467–468 (2015).
- 60. Zhang, L., Jiang, J., Dong, Y. & Qiu, J. Complete mitochondrial genome of four pheretimoid earthworms (Clitellata: Oligochaeta) and their phylogenetic reconstruction. *Gene* **574**, 308–316 (2015).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Science Foundation of China grant (NO. 31272265, 41471204, 31172360, 41071170, 4111130195).

Author Contributions

L.Z., J.Q. and J.J. conceived and designed the experiments. L.Z., J.J. and Y.D. performed the experiments. L.Z. analyzed the data and wrote the paper. P.S. and M.Y. revised the paper.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhang, L. *et al.* Fifteen new earthworm mitogenomes shed new light on phylogeny within the *Pheretima* complex. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 20096; doi: 10.1038/srep20096 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/