



# Perspectives and horizons of non-intubated robotic-assisted tracheal surgery

Isabella B. Metelmann<sup>^</sup>, Matthias Steinert, Sebastian Kraemer<sup>^</sup>

Department of Visceral, Transplant, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, University Hospital of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

*Correspondence to:* Isabella B. Metelmann, MD, MA. Department of Visceral, Transplant, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, University Hospital of Leipzig, Liebigstrasse 20, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. Email: isabella.metelmann@medizin.uni-leipzig.de.

*Comment on:* Li S, Ai Q, Liang H, *et al.* Non-intubated robotic-assisted thoracic surgery for tracheal/airway resection and reconstruction: technique description and preliminary results. *Ann Surg* 2021. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000004887.

Submitted Sep 07, 2021. Accepted for publication Oct 09, 2021.

doi: 10.21037/atm-21-4683

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-4683>

Tracheal surgery remains a field of complex interdisciplinary collaboration given proximity of surgical site and anesthetic working space. Multitude medical advances aimed to reduce hurdles in ventilation during resection resulting in established intubation and ventilation techniques reaching from general anesthesia with small-sized endotracheal tube with or without lung separation, jet-ventilation or apneic oxygenation, over spontaneous ventilation under mild sedation even to extracorporeal life support (1). In a similar way, surgical management experienced relevant paradigm shift: while lower parts of trachea were traditionally reached via sternotomy or thoracotomy, current endeavors established video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) or even robotic-assisted thoracic surgery (RATS) as suitable techniques for resection.

Recently published article combines both progresses made in anesthetic and surgical management by presenting a case series of tracheal resections under spontaneous ventilation via RATS (2). The authors have made groundbreaking work by proving this combination as feasible in tracheal surgery.

Generally, maximum extent of tracheal resection is recognized as 4 or 6 cm when applying mobilization strategies such as bilateral hilar or suprahyoid laryngeal release, opening of the pleural spaces, and division of the inferior pulmonary ligaments (3,4). This may be a drawback of minimally invasive approaches allowing for unilateral maneuvers only (5). Li *et al.* described cases of 1.2 cm resections in average with a maximum length of 4.3 cm (2).

In consequence, copious release was not necessary. Other case reports of tracheal resections via RATS as well describe resection lengths of less than 3 cm (6,7). The need for extensive bilateral mobilizations strategies may be a limitation criterion for RATS in tracheal surgery. However, compared to VATS techniques, the 3D visualization as well as the facilitation of 7 degrees of freedom, RATS opens up for increased accuracy and handleability of minimal-invasive tracheal surgery (8).

Previous work of the authors has described multiple benefits from spontaneous ventilation regarding easier surgical practicability of tracheal resection and anastomosis as well as more stable hemodynamic status and blood oxygen saturation (9-11). However, hypercapnia is one of the most relevant risks of spontaneous ventilation for thoracic surgery (1). End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> was reported in one publication only, ranging from 40 and 48 mmHg without respiratory acidosis (9). Yet, information on measurement method and end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> might be relevant in the current publication as well, since values might be distorted for various reasons such as suction and pneumothorax. Duration of resection might have a significant influence on acid-base balance as well. Hence, information on accurate resection time and whether or how end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> changed during this period would be interesting as well.

Though Li *et al.* presented a novel and impressive series of cases one must acknowledge that it cannot serve as robust data basis for widespread use and may only be practicable

<sup>^</sup> ORCID: Isabella B. Metelmann, 0000-0003-1963-8189; Sebastian Kraemer, 0000-0003-4867-8884.

in high-volume centers of this seldom disease. Surgical experience based on solitary cases or small series only does not meet modern standards of evidence-based medicine and should not be appropriate anymore. Fundamental and comprehensive upheaval of operative techniques must be built upon solid scientific data from prospective randomized multicenter clinical trials.

### Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Translational Medicine*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-4683>). SK reports that as part of an educational concept series initiated by Merck (KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). He received a solitary payment for a lecture about surgical options in the treatment of NSCLC & lung metastasis, outside the submitted work. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

**Cite this article as:** Metelmann IB, Steinert M, Kraemer S. Perspectives and horizons of non-intubated robotic-assisted tracheal surgery. *Ann Transl Med* 2021;9(22):1708. doi: 10.21037/atm-21-4683

### References

1. Smeltz AM, Bhatia M, Arora H, et al. Anesthesia for resection and reconstruction of the trachea and carina. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2020;34:1902-13.
2. Li S, Ai Q, Liang H, et al. Non-intubated robotic-assisted thoracic surgery for tracheal/airway resection and reconstruction: technique description and preliminary results. *Ann Surg* 2021. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000004887.
3. Blasberg JD, Wright CD. Surgical considerations in tracheal and carinal resection. *Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2012;16:190-5.
4. Wright CD, Grillo HC, Wain JC, et al. Anastomotic complications after tracheal resection: prognostic factors and management. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004; 128:731-9.
5. Lazar JF. Confronting the fundamental challenges of airway surgery: a paradigm shift is practically upon us. *J Thorac Dis* 2017;9:3670-1.
6. Jiao W, Zhao Y, Luo Y, et al. Totally robotic-assisted non-circumferential tracheal resection and anastomosis for leiomyoma in an elderly female. *J Thorac Dis* 2015;7:1857-60.
7. Hu D, Wang Z, Tantai J, et al. Robotic-assisted thoracoscopic resection and reconstruction of the carina. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2020;31:912-4.
8. Lazar JF, Posner DH, Palka W, et al. Robotically assisted bilateral bronchoplasty for tracheobronchomalacia. *Innovations (Phila)* 2015;10:428-30.
9. Li S, Liu J, He J, et al. Video-assisted transthoracic surgery resection of a tracheal mass and reconstruction of trachea under non-intubated anesthesia with spontaneous breathing. *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8:575-85.
10. Liu J, Li S, Shen J, et al. Non-intubated resection and reconstruction of trachea for the treatment of a mass in the upper trachea. *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8:594-9.
11. Peng G, Cui F, Ang KL, et al. Non-intubated combined with video-assisted thoracoscopic in carinal reconstruction. *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8:586-93.