Infection and Drug Resistance

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Probiotics improve the efficacy of standard triple therapy in the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*: a meta-analysis

Christine S M Lau^{1,2} Amanda Ward² Ronald S Chamberlain¹⁻⁴

¹Department of Surgery, Saint Barnabas Medical Center, Livingston, NJ, USA;²Saint George's University School of Medicine, Grenada, West Indies;³Department of Surgery, Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center, Gilbert, AZ, USA; ⁴Department of Surgery, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ, USA

Correspondence: Ronald S Chamberlain Department of Surgery, Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center, 2940 East Banner Gateway Dr, Gilbert, AZ 85234, USA Tel + I 480 256 4737 Fax + I 480 256 4734 Email Ronald.Chamberlain@ bannerhealth.com



Introduction: *Helicobacter pylori* colonization is present in half of the world's population and can lead to numerous gastrointestinal diseases if left untreated, including peptic ulcer disease and gastric cancer. Although concurrent triple therapy remains the recommended treatment regimen for *H. pylori* eradication, its success rate and efficacy have been declining. Recent studies have shown that the addition of probiotics can significantly increase eradication rates by up to 50%. This meta-analysis examines the impact of probiotic supplementation on the efficacy of standard triple therapy in eradicating *H. pylori*.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials, and Google Scholar (time of inception to 2016) to identify all published randomized control trials (RCTs) assessing the use of probiotics in addition to triple therapy for the treatment of H. pylori. Searches were conducted using the keywords "probiotics", "triple therapy", and "Helicobacter pylori". RCTs comparing the use of probiotics and standard triple therapy with standard triple therapy alone for any duration in patients of any age diagnosed with H. pylori infection were included. H. pylori eradication rates (detected using urea breath test or stool antigen) were analyzed as-per-protocol (APP) and intention-to-treat (ITT). **Results:** A total of 30 RCTs involving 4,302 patients APP and 4,515 patients ITT were analyzed. The addition of probiotics significantly increased eradication rates by 12.2% (relative risk [RR] =1.122; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.091–1.153; P<0.001) APP and 14.1% (RR =1.141; 95% CI, 1.106–1.175; P<0.001) ITT. Probiotics were beneficial among children and adults, as well as Asians and non-Asians. No significant difference was observed in efficacy between the various types of probiotics. The risk of diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and epigastric pain was also reduced. Conclusion: The addition of probiotics is associated with improved H. pylori eradication rates in both children and adults, as well as Asians and non-Asians. Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Saccharomyces, and mixtures of probiotics appear beneficial in H. pylori eradication. Furthermore, the reduction in antibiotic-associated side effects such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and epigastric pain improves medication tolerance and patient compliance. Given the consequences associated with chronic *H. pylori* infection, the addition of probiotics to the concurrent triple therapy regimen should be considered in all patients with H. pylori infection. However, further studies are required to identify the optimal probiotic species and dose.

Keywords: probiotics, Helicobacter pylori, triple therapy, meta-analysis

Introduction

Helicobacter pylori, previously referred to as *Campylobacter pylori*, is a Gram-negative, spiral bacterium that is present on the gastric epithelium mucus layer.¹ *H. pylori* colonization almost always leads to acute gastritis, with neutrophilic and mononuclear

Infection and Drug Resistance 2016:9 275-289

Construction of the set of the se

Dovepress

infiltrates in the gastric mucosa.² If left untreated, it can cause chronic gastritis, which is associated with various gastrointestinal diseases.² Various extragastric manifestations of *H. pylori* have also been reported, including idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura, vitamin B12 deficiency, and metabolic syndrome.³ Studies have reported *H. pylori* colonization to be as high as 90% among patients with gastric ulcers or cancer.^{4,5} Furthermore, virtually, all patients with mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas (MALTomas) are colonized with *H. pylori*.^{6,7} It is estimated that over half of the current world population has *B. pylori* in their gastric flora.¹ Early *H. pylori* eradication has been associated with a sixfold reduction in the recurrence of ulcers as well as a two- to threefold reduction in the risk of gastric carcinoma.⁸

Current treatment guidelines recommend concomitant triple therapy for the eradication of H. pylori, utilizing clarithromycin, either amoxicillin or metronidazole, as well as a proton pump inhibitor for 7-14 days.⁹⁻¹¹ Despite initial successes, there has been a constant decline in H. pylori eradication rates with standard triple therapy in both adult and pediatric populations, from 75 to 55% between 2009 and 2014.12 Although several mechanisms have been proposed, most of the studies agree that the main reasons for the declining efficacy are the increasing resistance to clarithromycin and poor medication compliance as a result of antibiotic-induced nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea.¹³⁻¹⁵ While eradication rates of 88% are seen with clarithromycinsensitive H. pylori strains, eradication rates are only 14% among strains resistant to clarithromycin.16 As with all antibiotics, H. pylori medications often cause diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting, which lead to poor tolerance and ultimately decreased patient compliance, the single most important factor in *H. pylori* eradication.^{14,17} Graham et al¹⁸ reported H. pylori eradication rates of 96% in high medication-compliant patients (taking $\geq 60\%$ of the prescribed antibiotics), while only 69% eradication rates were observed among low medication-compliant patients (taking <60% of prescribed antibiotics). With the decline in H. pylori eradication rates, novel therapeutic alternatives are being studied and evaluated. Eradication rates are highest during the early phase of treatment when antibiotic sensitivity and patient compliance are greatest. Early treatment failure results in elevated risk of secondary antibiotic resistance due to the need for additional, less effective antibiotics used over longer periods of time with the possibility of additional medication side effects, thereby perpetuating the increase in antibiotic resistance and decreased medication compliance cycle.19 Since medication compliance has been considered the

276 submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress most important factor in *H. pylori* eradication, a major goal of therapy is aimed at improving the compliance. Recently, the use of probiotic supplementation has been proposed for both preventing and treating various gastrointestinal conditions, including antibiotic-induced side effects such as diarrhea, which may in turn increase medication tolerability and patient compliance.

Probiotics are defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) as living microorganisms that could potentially benefit health.²⁰ Although a variety of different probiotic species have been studied, the *Lactobacillus* genus, *Bifidobacterium* genus, and *Saccharomyces* genus remain the most commonly studied.²⁰ Probiotics act in numerous pathways, and both immune-mediated and nonimmune-mediated mechanisms have been documented.¹⁴

Gong et al²¹ reported lower odds of *H. pylori* eradication with triple therapy alone, compared to triple therapy with probiotic supplementation (odds ratio [OR] 0.58; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.50–0.68; P<0.05). Significant reductions in side effects, including nausea, vomiting, bloating, epigastric pain, diarrhea, constipation, taste distortion, and skin rash, were also observed.²¹ Numerous randomized control trials (RCTs) not included in the Gong et al study have recently been published. Furthermore, no subgroup analysis has been conducted to determine whether probiotics are beneficial in all populations, such as adults and children, as well as Asians and non-Asians.

Given the grave long-term consequences associated with chronic *H. pylori* infection, this meta-analysis provides an updated analysis on the efficacy of probiotic supplementation to triple therapy on *H. pylori* eradication rates in both children and adults, as well as the Asian and non-Asian populations.

Methods Study selection

A comprehensive literature search of PubMed, Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials, and Google Scholar from the time of inception (1966) to the present day (2016) was conducted to identify all published RCTs evaluating the effect of probiotic supplementation on the efficacy of standard triple therapy in the treatment of *H. pylori*. Using the yielded search results, additional references and studies were searched. The last search was performed on February 22, 2016. Combinations of the keywords "probiotics", "triple therapy", "*Campylobacter pylori*", "*Helicobacter pylori*", and "*H. pylori*" were used. Studies comparing the use of probiotics and

Probiotics and H. pylori

standard triple therapy with standard triple therapy alone for any duration in patients of any age diagnosed with *H. pylori* infection were included. If there were duplicate publications of the same study, only the most updated and comprehensive data set for the study was included.

Data extraction

Each article retrieved from the database searches as described earlier was reviewed and assessed for eligibility and study inclusion. Data related to the patients, comparison groups (probiotic and standard triple therapy group vs standard triple therapy alone group), clinical outcomes, and study methodology were extracted (Figure 1). The incidence rates of *H. pylori* eradication (detected via urea breath test or stool antigen) and adverse events (including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and epigastric pain) were assessed.

Statistical analysis

Relative risk (RR) along with a 95% CI for the incidence of *H. pylori* eradication and medication side effects was calculated for each included study. If any study reported a zero incidence in either the intervention (standard triple therapy and probiotic) or the control (standard triple therapy alone) group, a "0.5" continuity correction factor was applied to allow for calculation of RR and variance. Depending on the heterogeneity of the included study, either

a fixed-effects model or a random-effects model was used. Both Cochrane's Q statistic and I^2 statistic were used to assess heterogeneity, and a P < 0.05 or $I^2 > 50$ was utilized for determining the presence of significant heterogeneity. Data were analyzed using a random-effects model when heterogeneity was deemed significant, while a fixed-effects model was used in the absence of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis to determine the influence of each individual included study on the overall effect size (RR estimates) was assessed by removing each study one-by-one and calculating the overall effect sizes. Publication bias for the pooled H. pylori eradication rates was evaluated, both visually using a funnel plot and quantitatively using Egger's and Begg's tests. Subgroup analysis was performed to determine any differences based on probiotic genus (Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Saccharomyces, and mixed), patient age (children vs adults), ethnicity (Asians vs non-Asians), as well as the control group utilized (placebo vs no treatment). All meta-analyses of pooled study data were conducted using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software Version 3 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA), and statistical significance was accepted at a level of P<0.05 (two tail).

Results

A total of 30 RCTs meeting the inclusion criteria were identified (Table 1). There were 4,302 patients when analyzed



Figure I CONSORT diagram detailing the study selection process. Abbreviation: CONSORT, consolidated standards of reporting trials.

Table	I Characteristics of all published rando	mized control trials evalua	ting the use of probioti	c supplementation	on standard triple
therapy	in Helicobacter pylori eradication (1966-	2016)			

Study	Antibiotic regimen and duration	Probiotic	Method of diagnosing H. pylori	Method of detecting H. pylori eradication	Age	Country
Akcam et al ³⁵	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (14 days)	L. acidophilus, L. casei, Bifidobacterium	Histology	UBT	Children	Turkey
Bin et al ³⁶	Omeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (or metronidazole) (14 days)	S. boulardii	Serology, histology	UBT	Children	China
Hauser et al ³⁷	Omeprazole or pantoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (or metronidazole) (14 days)	L. rhamnosus GG, Bifidobacterium	Histology, UBT, stool antigen	Rapid urease test, UBT, or stool antigen	Adults	Croatia
Ma et al ³⁸	Omeprazole, clarithromycin, metronidazole (7 days)	L. acidophilus	Histology	UBT, histology, union of ulcer	Adults	China
Emara et al ³⁹	Omeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (14 days)	L. reuteri	Stool antigen, histology	Stool antigen, histology	Adults	Egypt
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	PPI, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. reuteri	UBT, serology of gastrin-17, histology	UBT	Adults	Italy
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin, bismuth (7 or 14 days)	B. lactis, L. acidophilus, L. paracasei	Histology	UBT	Adults	Thailand
Wang et al ³¹	PPI, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (or	L. acidophilus, B. bifidum	UBT	UBT	Children	China
Navarro-	Lansoprazole, furazolidone,	L. acidophilus, L. rhamnosus,	UBT and	UBT or histology	Adults	Brazil
Rodriguez et al ¹⁹ Du et al ⁴²	tetracycline (7 days) Omeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	B. bifidum, S. faecium L. acidophilus	histology UBT and histology	UBT	Adults	China
Mirzaee and Rezahosseini ⁴³	Pantoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	Probiotic yogurt	UBT	UBT	Adults	Iran
Bekar et al ⁴⁴	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (14 days)	Kefir	UBT	UBT	Adults	Turkey
Deguchi et al⁴⁵	Rabeprazole, clarithromycin,	Yogurt – L gasseri	Histology, culture	UBT and stool	Adults	Japan
Medeiros et al ⁴⁶	Esomeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (8 days)	L. acidophilus	Histology	UBT	Adults	Portugal
Song et al ⁴⁷	Omeprazole, clarithromycin amoxicillin (7 days)	S. boulardii	Histology	UBT	Adults	Korea
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	Pantoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	Bifidobacterium	Histology	UBT	Adults	Turkey
Hurduc et al ⁴⁹	Omeprazole or esomeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7–10 days)	S. boulardii	Histology	Histology	Children	Romania
Szajewska et al ⁵⁰	Omeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. rhamnosus GG	UBT, histology, rapid urease test	UBT	Children	Poland

Table I (Continued)

Study	Antibiotic regimen and duration	Probiotic	Method of diagnosing H. pylori	Method of detecting H. pylori eradication	Age	Country
Kim et al ⁵¹	PPI, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. acidophilus, L. casei, B. longum, S. thermophiles	UBT, histology, rapid urease test	UBT	Adults	Korea
Scaccianoce et al ⁵²	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. reuteri, L. plantarum, L. casei, B. infantis, B. longum, L. salivarius, L. acidophilus, S. termophilus, L. sporogenes	Histology	UBT	Adults	Italy
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (14 days)	S. boulardii	Histology	UBT	Adults	Turkey
Goldman et al ⁵⁴	Omeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. casei, B. animalis	Histology, UBT	UBT	Children	Argentina
Ziemniak ⁵⁵	PPI, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (10 days)	L. acidophilus, L. rhamnosus	Histology and UBT	UBT	Adults	Poland
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. rhamnosus GG, L. rhamnosus, P. freudenreichii, B. breve	Rapid whole blood test, UBT, serology	UBT	Adults	Finland
Sykora et al ⁵⁷	Omeprazole, clarithromycin amoxicillin (7 days)	L. casei	Histology, rapid urease test, culture, stool antigen	UBT, stool antigen	Children	Czech Republic
Nista et al ⁵⁸	Rabeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	B. clausii	UBT	UBT	Adults	Italy
Cremonini et al ¹⁵	Rabeprazole, tinidazole, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. rhamnosus GG, S. boulardii, L. acidophilus	UBT	UBT	Adults	Italy
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	Lansoprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium	Histology and rapid urease test	UBT	Adults	Taiwan
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	Pantoprazole, tinidazole clarithromycin (7 days)	L. rhamnosus GG	UBT, lgG antibodies	UBT	Adults	Italy
Canducci et al ⁶¹	Rabeprazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin (7 days)	L. acidophilus	UBT, histology	UBT	Adults	Italy

Abbreviations: B, Bifidobacterium; L, Lactobacillus; P, Propionibacterium; PPI, proton-pump inhibitor; S, Saccharomyces; UBT, urea breath test.

as-per-protocol (APP) and 4,515 patients when analyzed intention-to-treat (ITT).

Effects of probiotics on triple therapy efficacy in H. pylori eradication rates (APP treated)

All studies reported on *H. pylori* eradication rates in both the probiotic-supplemented and triple therapy alone groups. The addition of probiotics to the triple therapy regimen significantly increased eradication rates compared to triple therapy alone (1,786/2,140 [83.5%] vs 1,602/2,162 [74.1%]). No significant heterogeneity between trials (*P*=0.321, *I*²<8.993) was found, and a fixed-effects model was therefore utilized. There was a 12.2% increase in eradication rates with probiotic supplementation (RR =1.122; 95% CI, 1.091–1.153; *P*<0.001) (Figure 2).

Subgroup analysis by patient age revealed that probiotic supplementation improved the efficacy of triple therapy in

both children (RR =1.176; 95% CI, 1.050-1.317; P=0.005) and adults (RR =1.118; 95% CI, 1.087-1.150; P<0.001) with no significant between group heterogeneity (P=0.491).

Subgroup analysis by the type of probiotic identified benefit for *Lactobacillus* (RR =1.142; 95% CI, 1.084–1.203; P<0.001), *Saccharomyces* (RR =1.088; 95% CI, 1.022–1.158; P=0.008), and mixture of probiotics (RR =1.135; 95% CI, 1.088–1.185; P<0.001). A trend toward an increase in eradication rates with *Bifidobacterium* (RR =1.094; 95% CI, 0.992–1.207; P=0.073) was also observed. There was no significant between group heterogeneity (P=0.589).

Subgroup analysis by ethnicity identified that probiotic supplementation improved the efficacy of triple therapy in both Asians (RR =1.108; 95% CI, 1.066–1.152; P<0.001) and non-Asians (RR =1.136; 95% CI, 1.092–1.181; P<0.001), with no statistically significant between group differences (P=0.826).

279

Study name	<u>Statis</u>	stics for	each st	udy	Risk ratio and 95%Cl	
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -value		Relative weight
Akcam et al ³⁵	0.967	0.673	1.388	0.854		0.58
Bin et al ³⁶	1.154	0.750	1.775	0.515	·	0.41
Hauser et al ³⁷	1.204	1.113	1.303	0.000		12.16
Ma et al ³⁸	1.381	1.127	1.692	0.002		1.84
Emara et al ³⁹	1.130	0.830	1.539	0.436		0.80
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	1.138	0.873	1.483	0.339		1.08
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	1.063	0.982	1.151	0.130		12.06
Wang et al ³¹	1.299	1.008	1.675	0.043		1.18
Navarro-Rodriguez et al ¹⁹	1.053	0.908	1.221	0.498		3.45
Du et al ⁴²	1.350	1.113	1.636	0.002		2.05
Mirzaee and Rezahosseini ⁴³	1.839	0.583	1.207	0.344		0.57
Bekar et al44	1.565	1.092	2.244	0.015	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.58
Deguchi et al45	1.148	1.003	1.314	0.045		4.17
Medeiros et al ⁴⁶	1.040	0.825	1.311	0.740	_	1.42
Song et al ⁴⁷	1.067	0.992	1.148	0.082		14.20
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	1.250	0.856	1.826	0.248		0.53
Hurduc et al ⁴⁹	1.158	0.983	1.364	0.079		2.53
Szajewska et al ⁵⁰	0.984	0.708	1.368	0.923		0.70
Kim et al ⁵¹	1.112	1.007	1.229	0.036		7.62
Scaccianoce et $al_{52}^{52}(L)$	0.794	0.448	1.409	0.431	<u>← • </u>	0.23
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (M)	0.800	0.442	1.448	0.461	K	0.22
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	1.189	0.918	1.541	0.190		1.13
Goldman et al ⁵⁴	1.212	0.677	2.171	0.518	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.22
Ziemniak ⁵⁵	1.120	1.035	1.211	0.005		12.42
Myllyluoma et al ³⁶	1.153	0.906	1.467	0.246		1.31
Sykora et al ⁵⁷	1.494	1.158	1.926	0.002		1.17
Nista et al ⁵⁸	1.054	0.845	1.314	0.640		1.56
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (L)	0.952	0.689	1.317	0.768		0.72
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	1.063	0.798	1.415	0.678		0.93
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	1.071	0.810	1.418	0.629		0.97
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	1.083	0.979	1.200	0.122		7.33
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	1.042	0.819	1.324	0.739		1.32
Canducci et al ⁶¹	1217	1.012	1.464	0.037		2.23
Overall	1.122	1.091	1.153	0.000		
					0.5 1 2	
					Favors control Favors probiotics	

Figure 2 Forest plot evaluating the relative risk of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication associated with probiotic supplementation (as-per-protocol treated). Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; *L*, *Lactobacillus*; *S*, *Saccharomyces*; M, mixture of probiotics.

Subgroup analysis based on the type of control group utilized revealed a significant improvement in triple therapy efficacy in both the no treatment group (RR =1.152; 95% CI, 1.106–1.200; P<0.001) and placebo group (RR =1.122; 95% CI, 1.075–1.170; P<0.001), with no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.365).

Effects of probiotics on triple therapy efficacy in *H. pylori* eradication rates (ITT)

The addition of probiotics to the triple therapy regimen significantly increased eradication rates compared to triple therapy alone (1,744/2,222 [78.5%] vs 1,564/2,293 [68.2%]). Heterogeneity between trials was deemed not significant (P=0.459, I^2 <0.445), and therefore a fixed-effects model

was employed. There was a 14.1% increase in eradication rates with the addition of probiotics (RR =1.141; 95% CI, 1.106-1.176; *P*<0.001) (Figure 3).

Subgroup analysis based on patient age showed that probiotic supplementation improved the efficacy of triple therapy in both children (RR =1.193; 95% CI, 1.106–1.176; P<0.001) and adults (RR =1.138; 95% CI, 1.102–1.174; P<0.001), with no significant between group heterogeneity (P=0.557).

Subgroup analysis based on the type of probiotic identified benefit for *Lactobacillus* (RR =1.153; 95% CI, 1.092–1.217; P<0.001), *Bifidobacterium* (RR =1.168; 95% CI, 1.031–1.324; P=0.015), *Saccharomyces* (RR =1.127; 95% CI, 1.050–1.211; P=0.001), and mixture of probiotics (RR =1.140; 95% CI, 1.086–1.197; P<0.001). There was no significant difference in heterogeneity between the groups (P=0.938).

<u>Study name</u>	Statistics for each study			study	Risk ratio and 95% CI	
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -value		Relative weight
Akcam et al ³⁵	0.930	0.629	1.378	0.717		0.51
Hauser et al ³⁷	1.291	1.163	1.432	0.000	│ │ _∎_	8.73
Ma et al ³⁸	1.381	1.127	1.892	0.002		2.28
Emara et al ³⁹	1.130	0.830	1.539	0.438		0.99
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	1.138	0.888	1.494	0.353		1.27
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	1.063	0.982	1.151	0.130	┤╴┼╋╌	14.98
Wang et al ³¹	1.292	0.985	1.731	0.088		1.10
Navarro-Rodriguez et al ¹⁹	1.038	0.880	1.252	0.700	│	2.88
Du et al ⁴²	1.306	1.075	1.587	0.007		2.49
Mirzaee and Rezahosseini ⁴³	1.000	0.855	1.528	1.000		0.53
Bekar et al ⁴⁴	1.585	1.092	2.244	0.015		• 0.72
Deguchi et al ⁴⁵	1.192	1.028	1.382	0.020	│	4.29
Medeiros et al ⁴⁶	1.040	0.825	1.311	0.740		1.78
Song et al ⁴⁷	1.117	1.025	1.218	0.012		12.53
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	1.250	0.858	1.828	0.248		0.88
Hurduc et al ⁴⁹	1.158	0.983	1.384	0.079		3.51
Kim et al ⁵¹	1.099	0.975	1.238	0.124	╎╷┼╋╌	8.57
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (L)	0.847	0.471	1.524	0.580	↓	0.27
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (M)	0.853	0.485	1.585	0.808	↓	0.28
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	1.189	0.918	1.541	0.190		1.40
Goldman et al ⁵⁴	1.212	0.677	2.171	0.518		→ 0.28
Ziemniak ⁵⁵	1.120	1.035	1.211	0.005		15.40
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	1.153	0.908	1.487	0.248		1.82
Sykora et al ⁵⁷	1.473	1.113	1.949	0.007		- 1.20
Nista et al ⁵⁸	1.015	0.799	1.290	0.903	_	1.84
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (<i>L</i>)	1.000	0.713	1.402	1.000		0.82
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	1.083	0.774	1.458	0.707		0.94
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	1.074	0.788	1.484	0.852		0.98
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	1.158	1.015	1.323	0.029		5.38
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	1.042	0.819	1.324	0.739		1.63
Canducci et al ⁶¹	1.238	1.021	1.502	0.030		2.53
Overall	1.141	1.108	1.178	0.000		1.00
					0.5 1	2
					Favors control Favors probiotics	

Figure 3 Forest plot evaluating the relative risk of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication associated with probiotic supplementation (intention-to-treat). Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; *L*, *Lactobacillus*; S, *Saccharomyces*; M, mixture of probiotics.

Subgroup analysis by ethnicity identified that probiotic supplementation improved the efficacy of triple therapy in both Asians (RR =1.132; 95% CI, 1.084–1.182; P<0.001) and non-Asians (RR =1.150; 95% CI, 1.101–1.200; P<0.001), with no significant difference based on ethnicity (P=0.959).

Subgroup analysis of the control group utilized identified a significant improvement in triple therapy efficacy in both the no treatment group (RR =1.149; 95% CI, 1.103–1.197; P<0.001) and placebo group (RR =1.130; 95% CI, 1.079– 1.184; P<0.001), with no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.636).

Adverse events

A total of 18 of the studies (N=2,916 patients) reported on the incidence of nausea, 14 of the studies (N=1,703 patients) reported on the incidence of vomiting, 19 of the studies (N=2,554 patients) reported on the incidence of diarrhea, and 14 of the studies (N=2,537 patients) reported on the incidence of epigastric pain. There was a significant reduction in the risk of nausea (RR =0.606; 95% CI, 0.520–0.705; P<0.001), vomiting (RR =0.724; 95% CI, 0.533–0.985; P=0.040), diarrhea (RR =0.549; 95% CI, 0.391–0.771; P=0.001), and epigastric pain (RR =0.812; 95% CI, 0.727–0.907; P<0.001) with the addition of probiotics to standard triple therapy compared to triple therapy alone (Figures S1–S4).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis revealed similar overall effect sizes and RR estimates for *H. pylori* eradication rates after the removal of each individual study. *H. pylori* eradication rates ranged from 11.1% increase (RR =1.111; 95% CI, 1.078–1.144;

P<0.001) to 13.1% increase (RR =1.131; 95% CI, 1.098–1.165; *P*<0.001) (Figure S5).

Publication bias

Publication bias for *H. pylori* eradication rates was assessed utilizing both a funnel plot for qualitative analysis and Egger's and Begg's tests to quantitatively calculate the bias. There was no asymmetry on the funnel plot (Figure S6) and no significant publication bias calculated by either Egger's test (P=0.784) or Begg's test (P=0.566).

Discussion

H. pylori, a Gram-negative, spiral bacterium, was first discovered in 1982 by Warren and Marshall.¹ Over half of the world's population is colonized with *H. pylori*, which if left untreated potentially leads to chronic gastritis, gastric and duodenal ulcers, gastric cancer, and MALTomas.^{2,4,5,7,22} *H. pylori* induces an initial inflammatory response (histological gastritis), followed by chronic inflammation and gastritis, causing damage to the epithelium and atrophy of the gastric lining.² Chronic inflammation leads to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to DNA damage, which in turn leads to mutations, intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia, and further gastric pathology.²

Standard triple therapy remains the gold standard for eradicating H. pylori; however, more recent studies have shown a constant decline in H. pylori eradication rates, to as low as 50%.12,23,24 This decline has been attributed to increased clarithromycin resistance and low medication compliance secondary to medication side effects.^{13,14} Numerous alternative therapeutic regimens to enhance eradication rates have been proposed, including sequential therapy, which utilizes a dual 5-day therapy, with an initial 5-day regimen of amoxicillin and a proton pump inhibitor followed by 5 days of clarithromycin, metronidazole, and a proton pump inhibitor.²⁵ Lau et al²⁵ conducted a meta-analysis with 12 RCTs and 1,221 patients and revealed that sequential therapy improved eradication rates by 14.2%. Low medication compliance due to side effects has been deemed the most important factor in eradicating H. pylori, highlighting the need for novel treatments that increase medication tolerability and patient compliance.17

Probiotics, living commensal microorganisms naturally found in the host intestinal flora, exert a protective effect on the gastrointestinal tract.^{26,27} Although the precise mechanism of probiotics has not been fully elucidated, numerous mechanisms have been proposed. Each probiotic strain has a unique mechanism of action that may be more or less effective in increasing *H. pylori* eradication and reducing the side effects. Previous studies have shown that probiotics significantly reduce the risk of antibioticassociated side effects, including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and epigastric pain.^{24,26} These commensal bacteria inhibit enteric pathogens and suppress pathogenic bacterial growth and invasion, ultimately improving intestinal barrier function.²⁸ Probiotics also modulate proinflammatory cytokines, which help maintain homeostasis and regulate immune responses.^{28,29} Lactobacillus species have been shown to modify immune response by decreasing the levels of proinflammatory cytokines, stimulate mucin secretion, suppress bacterial growth, and inhibit H. pylori adhesion to the gastric epithelium.^{14,20} Studies have shown that Lactobacillus salavaris reduces interleukin (IL)-8 secretion from the gastric epithelial cells, Lactobacillus acidophilus inactivates the Smad7 and NFkB inflammatory pathways, and Lactobacillus bulgaricus inhibits the activation of the TLR4 signaling pathway and IL-8 production.²⁰ Lactobacilli are also able to enhance the local IgA secretion and reduce specific anti-H. pylori IgG antibodies.20 Additionally, strains of Lactobacillus are responsible for increasing mucin production.²⁰ Mucins protect the gastric epithelium, and H. pylori suppresses MUC5AC and MUC1 gene expressions. Lactobacillus plantarum 299v increases MUC2 expression while Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG stimulates MUC3 gene expression.²⁰ Lactobacilli also secrete antibacterial substances, including lactic acid, hydrogen peroxidase, bactericines, and short-chain fatty acids.^{14,20} L. acidophilus contains an autolysin, a proteinaceous compound, and antibacterial that is released after the cell lyses.²⁰ Lactobacillus reuteri produces reuterina that suppresses the growth of bacteria and also inhibits bacterial adhesion and colonization by binding of spiral bacterium to glycolipid protein receptors asialo-GMI and sulfatide.²⁰ Bifidobacterium acts by inhibiting DNA gyrase enzymes involved in bacterial cell division.²⁰ Saccharomyces contains neuroaminidase activity that removes the ligand for sialic acid-binding H. pvlori adhesion to the gastric epithelium, $\alpha(2-3)$ -linked sialic acid.²⁰

This current meta-analysis found that the addition of probiotics to the triple therapy is associated with a 13.8% increase in the chance of eradicating *H. pylori* infection compared to triple therapy alone, which is consistent with the results of a prior meta-analysis.²¹ Additionally, probiotics have shown a significant decrease in side effects, including nausea, vomiting, epigastric pain, and diarrhea.

Despite the improvements in *H. pylori* eradication with adjunct probiotics, the use of probiotics as monotherapy has been shown to reduce bacterial load but not effective in

eradicating *H. pylori*.^{30,31} Bhatia et al³² discovered that *H. pylori* growth was inhibited in vitro if *L. acidophilius* was present. Michetti et al³³ was the first to study the effect of *L. acidophilus* in vivo, concluding that the probiotic decreased the density of the bacterial load, but complete eradication was unsuccessful. Wang et al studied adult patients taking multispecies probiotic therapies that included *L. acidophilus* and concluded a decrease in urea breath test values but not in complete *H. pylori* eradication.³¹

Although probiotic efficacy has been studied extensively with numerous RCTs, the side effect profile for probiotics is not well documented. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality conducted a comprehensive review of 622 studies on the safety of probiotic use, and reported that a majority of the published studies only state the presence or absence of one or more specific side effect, but lack specific details, and only a third provided vague statements indicating that the probiotics were well tolerated.³⁴ Case reports of sepsis, bacteremia, and fungemia with probiotic use have been reported; however, these adverse events are inconsistent and, when pooled together, are not statistically significant.³⁴

Despite the significant and positive results from this meta-analysis, there are several limitations, mainly a result of the variation and heterogeneity of the included RCTs. Age, gender, ethnicity, and country of origin varied. The specific medications and dosage regimen, as well as diagnostic methods and any follow-up conducted, varied between studies. Similarly, the specific probiotic strain, dose, and treatment duration utilized were also slightly different. Additional RCTs are required to determine the best probiotic supplement for *H. pylori* eradication. Given the promise of probiotics in *H. pylori* eradication, further studies evaluating the bactericidal effects of different probiotic strains and potentially comparing the efficacy of probiotics alone vs probiotics in combination with triple therapy are warranted.

Despite the limitations discussed, this study identified that probiotic supplementation is associated with increased *H. pylori* eradication rates in adults and children, as well as Asians and non-Asians, compared to standard triple therapy alone. *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Saccharomyces*, and mixtures of probiotics appear beneficial in *H. pylori* eradication. Furthermore, the reduction in antibioticassociated side effects, such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and epigastric pain, improves medication tolerance and patient compliance. Given the significant increase in *H. pylori* eradication rate and reduction in side effects, probiotics should be administered concurrently with standard triple therapy.

Acknowledgments

The abstract of this study was presented at the Digestive Disease Week conference (May 21–24, 2016, San Diego, CA, USA) as a poster presentation with interim findings. The poster abstract was published in "Poster Abstracts" in *Gastroenterology* (Volume 150, Issue 4, Supplement 1, Page S878).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work and have not accepted financial sponsorship in producing and presenting this article.

References

- Brown LM. *Helicobacter pylori*: epidemiology and routes of transmission. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2000;22(2):283–297.
- Kusters JG, van Vliet AH, Kuipers EJ. Pathogenesis of *Helicobacter* pylori infection. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2006;19(3):449–490.
- 3. Goni E, Franceschi F. *Helicobacter pylori* and extragastric diseases. *Helicobacter*. 2016;21(suppl 1):45–48.
- Nomura A, Stemmermann GN, Chyou PH, Kato I, Perez-Perez GI, Blaser MJ. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastric carcinoma among Japanese Americans in Hawaii. N Engl J Med. 1991;325(16):1132–1136.
- Nomura A, Stemmermann GN, Chyou PH, Perez-Perez GI, Blaser MJ. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk for duodenal and gastric ulceration. *Ann Intern Med.* 1994;120(12):977–981.
- Rauws EA, Langenberg W, Houthoff HJ, Zanen HC, Tytgat GN. Campylobacter pyloridis-associated chronic active antral gastritis. A prospective study of its prevalence and the effects of antibacterial and antiulcer treatment. *Gastroenterology*. 1988;94(1):33–40.
- Eidt S, Stolte M, Fischer R. *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis and primary gastric non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *J Clin Pathol*. 1994;47(5):436–439.
- Hung IF, Wong BC. Assessing the risks and benefits of treating *Helico-bacter pylori* infection. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2009;2(3):141–147.
- Bazzoli F, Pozzato P. Therapy of H. pylori infection. J Physiol Pharmacol. 1997;48(suppl 4):39–46.
- Papastergiou V, Georgopoulos SD, Karatapanis S. Treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: past, present and future. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol*. 2014;5(4):392–399.
- Lind T, Veldhuyzen van ZS, Unge P, et al. Eradication of *Helicobacter* pylori using one-week triple therapies combining omeprazole with two antimicrobials: the MACH I Study. *Helicobacter*. 1996;1(3):138–144.
- Kutluk G, Tutar E, Bayrak A, et al. Sequential therapy versus standard triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in children: any advantage in clarithromycin-resistant strains? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2014;26(11):1202–1208.
- Megraud F, Doermann HP. Clinical relevance of resistant strains of *Helicobacter pylori*: a review of current data. *Gut.* 1998;43(suppl 1): S61–S65.
- 14. Ruggiero P. Use of probiotics in the fight against *Helicobacter pylori*. World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol. 2014;5(4):384–391.
- Cremonini F, Di CS, Covino M, et al. Effect of different probiotic preparations on anti-*Helicobacter pylori* therapy-related side effects: a parallel group, triple blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2002;97(11):2744–2749.
- Venerito M, Krieger T, Ecker T, Leandro G, Malfertheiner P. Metaanalysis of bismuth quadruple therapy versus clarithromycin triple therapy for empiric primary treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Digestion*. 2013;88(1):33–45.

- O'Connor JP, Taneike I, O'Morain C. Improving compliance with *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy: when and how? *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* 2009;2(5):273–279.
- Graham DY, Lew GM, Malaty HM, et al. Factors influencing the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* with triple therapy. *Gastroenterology*. 1992;102(2):493–496.
- Navarro-Rodriguez T, Silva FM, Barbuti RC, et al. Association of a probiotic to a *Helicobacter pylori* eradication regimen does not increase efficacy or decreases the adverse effects of the treatment: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2013;13:56.
- Homan M, Orel R. Are probiotics useful in *Helicobacter pylori* eradication? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21(37):10644–10653.
- Gong Y, Li Y, Sun Q. Probiotics improve efficacy and tolerability of triple therapy to eradicate *Helicobacter pylori*: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2015;8(4):6530–6543.
- Dooley CP, Cohen H, Fitzgibbons PL, et al. Prevalence of *Helicobacter* pylori infection and histologic gastritis in asymptomatic persons. *NEngl* J Med. 1989;321(23):1562–1566.
- Francavilla R, Lionetti E, Castellaneta SP, et al. Improved efficacy of 10-Day sequential treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in children: a randomized trial. *Gastroenterology*. 2005;129(5): 1414–1419.
- Huang J, Zhou L, Geng L, et al. Randomised controlled trial: sequential vs. standard triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in Chinese children-a multicentre, open-labelled study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;38(10):1230–1235.
- Lau CS, Ward A, Chamberlain RS. Sequential (as Opposed to Simultaneous) antibiotic therapy improves *Helicobacter Pylori* eradication in the pediatric population: a meta-analysis. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2016;55(7):614–625.
- Hempel S, Newberry SJ, Maher AR, et al. Probiotics for the prevention and treatment of antibiotic-associated diarrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2012;307(18):1959–1969.
- Lau C, Chamberlain RS. Probiotics are effective at preventing *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Int J Gen Med.* 2016;9:27–37.
- Rohde CL, Bartolini V, Jones N. The use of probiotics in the prevention and treatment of antibiotic-associated diarrhea with special interest in *Clostridium* difficile-associated diarrhea. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2009;24(1):33–40.
- Jones SE, Versalovic J. Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* biofilms produce antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory factors. *BMC Microbiol*. 2009;9:35.
- Namkin K, Zardast M, Basirinejad F. Saccharomyces boulardii in Helicobacter Pylori eradication in children: a randomized trial from Iran. Iran J Pediatr. 2016;26(1):e3768.
- Wang KY, Li SN, Liu CS, et al. Effects of ingesting *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*-containing yogurt in subjects with colonized *Helicobacter pylori*. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80(3):737–741.
- Bhatia SJ, Kochar N, Abraham P, Nair NG, Mehta AP. Lactobacillus acidophilus inhibits growth of Campylobacter pylori in vitro. J Clin Microbiol. 1989;27(10):2328–2330.
- Michetti P, Dorta G, Wiesel PH, et al. Effect of whey-based culture supernatant of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (johnsonii) La1 on *Helicobacter pylori* infection in humans. *Digestion*. 1999;60(3): 203–209.
- Hempel S, Newberry S, Ruelaz A, et al. Safety of probiotics used to reduce risk and prevent or treat disease. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)*. 2011:1–645.
- Akcam M, Koca T, Salman H, Karahan N. The effects of probiotics on treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in children. *Saudi Med J*. 2015;36(3):286–290.
- 36. Bin Z, Ya-Zheng X, Zhao-Hui D, Bo C, Li-Rong J, Vandenplas Y. The efficacy of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 in addition to standard *Helicobacter pylori* eradication treatment in children. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr.* 2015;18(1):17–22.

- Hauser G, Salkic N, Vukelic K, JajacKnez A, Stimac D. Probiotics for standard triple *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015;94(17):e685.
- Ma F, Zhou C, Wang J, Liu T, Liu J. Probiotics in the treatment of peptic ulcer infected by helicobacter pylory and its safety. *Pak J Pharm Sci.* 2015;28(3 suppl):1087–1090.
- Emara MH, Mohamed SY, Abdel-Aziz HR. Lactobacillus reuteri in management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in dyspeptic patients: a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2014;7(1):4–13.
- Francavilla R, Polimeno L, Demichina A, et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* strain combination in *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2014;48(5):407–413.
- 41. Srinarong C, Siramolpiwat S, Wongcha-um A, Mahachai V, Vilaichone RK. Improved eradication rate of standard triple therapy by adding bismuth and probiotic supplement for *Helicobacter pylori* treatment in Thailand. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15(22):9909–9913.
- Du YQ, Su T, Fan JG, et al. Adjuvant probiotics improve the eradication effect of triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2012;18(43):6302–6307.
- Mirzaee V, Rezahosseini O. Randomized control trial: comparison of triple therapy plus probiotic yogurt vs. standard triple therapy on *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2012;14(10):657–666.
- Bekar O, Yilmaz Y, Gulten M. Kefir improves the efficacy and tolerability of triple therapy in eradicating *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Med Food*. 2011;14(4):344–347.
- Deguchi R, Nakaminami H, Rimbara E, et al. Effect of pretreatment with *Lactobacillus* gasseri OLL2716 on first-line *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012; 27(5):888–892.
- Medeiros JA, Goncalves TM, Boyanova L, et al. Evaluation of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication by triple therapy plus *Lactobacillus acidophilus* compared to triple therapy alone. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2011;30(4):555–559.
- Song MJ, Park DI, Park JH, et al. The effect of probiotics and mucoprotective agents on PPI-based triple therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter.* 2010;15(3):206–213.
- Yasar B, Abut E, Kayadibi H, et al. Efficacy of probiotics in *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy. *Turk J Gastroenterol*. 2010; 21(3):212–217.
- Hurduc V, Plesca D, Dragomir D, Sajin M, Vandenplas Y. A randomized, open trial evaluating the effect of *Saccharomyces boulardii* on the eradication rate of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children. *Acta Paediatr*. 2009;98(1):127–131.
- Szajewska H, Albrecht P, Topczewska-Cabanek A. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial: effect of *Lactobacillus* GG supplementation on *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates and side effects during treatment in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2009;48(4):431–436.
- Kim MN, Kim N, Lee SH, et al. The effects of probiotics on PPItriple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Helicobacter*. 2008;13(4):261–268.
- Scaccianoce G, Zullo A, Hassan C, et al. Triple therapies plus different probiotics for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2008;12(4):251–256.
- Cindoruk M, Erkan G, Karakan T, Dursun A, Unal S. Efficacy and safety of *Saccharomyces boulardii* in the 14-day triple anti-*Helicobacter pylori* therapy: a prospective randomized placebo-controlled double-blind study. *Helicobacter*. 2007;12(4):309–316.
- Goldman CG, Barrado DA, Balcarce N, et al. Effect of a probiotic food as an adjuvant to triple therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children. *Nutrition*. 2006;22(10):984–988.
- Ziemniak W. Efficacy of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication taking into account its resistance to antibiotics. *J Physiol Pharmacol*. 2006;57 (suppl 3):123–141.

- 56. Myllyluoma E, Veijola L, Ahlroos T, et al. Probiotic supplementation improves tolerance to *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy – a placebo-controlled, double-blind randomized pilot study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2005;21(10):1263–1272.
- 57. Sykora J, Valeckova K, Amlerova J, et al. Effects of a specially designed fermented milk product containing probiotic *Lactobacillus* casei DN-114 001 and the eradication of *H. pylori* in children: a prospective randomized double-blind study. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2005;39(8):692–698.
- Nista EC, Candelli M, Cremonini F, et al. Bacillus clausii therapy to reduce side-effects of anti-*Helicobacter pylori* treatment: randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2004; 20(10):1181–1188.
- Sheu BS, Wu JJ, Lo CY, et al. Impact of supplement with *Lactobacillus*and *Bifidobacterium*-containing yogurt on triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2002;16(9): 1669–1675.
- 60. Armuzzi A, Cremonini F, Bartolozzi F, et al. The effect of oral administration of *Lactobacillus* GG on antibiotic-associated gastrointestinal side-effects during *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2001;15(2):163–169.
- Canducci F, Armuzzi A, Cremonini F, et al. A lyophilized and inactivated culture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* increases *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2000;14(12): 1625–1629.

Study name	<u>St</u>	atistics	for each s	each study Risk rati			ratio an	d 95%	<u>CI</u>		
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -value							Relative weight
Akcam et al ³⁵	0.895	0.309	2.596	0.838				<u> </u>			2.03
Hauser et al ³⁷	0.628	0.515	0.767	0.000							58.37
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	0.400	0.082	1.951	0.257		+					0.92
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	0.917	0.447	1.880	0.812				<u> </u>			4.47
Wang et al ³¹	0.149	0.008	2.809	0.204	◀		•				0.27
Bekar et al ⁴⁴	0.470	0.233	0.947	0.035							4.68
Song et al ⁴⁷	0.716	0.230	2.235	0.566							1.78
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	0.556	0.296	1.042	0.067							5.83
Kim et al ⁵¹	7.456	0.388	143.267	0.183					·	→	0.26
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (M)	1.067	0.073	15.570	0.962		- +			+		0.32
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	0.538	0.230	1.258	0.153				-			3.20
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	0.626	0.169	2.325	0.484							1.34
Nista et al ⁵⁸	0.385	0.222	0.668	0.001							7.60
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (<i>L</i>)	0.635	0.118	3.411	0.596							0.82
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	0.333	0.038	2.939	0.323		-+					0.49
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	0.635	0.118	3.411	0.596							0.82
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	0.625	0.214	1.829	0.391							2.00
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	0.300	0.092	0.983	0.047		ł					1.64
Canducci et al ⁶¹	1.000	0.427	2.344	1.000				<u> </u>			3.18
Overall	0.606	0.520	0.705	0.000			•				
					0.01	0.	1	1	10	100	
	Favors probiotics Favors control										

Supplemenatary Materials

Figure SI Forest plot evaluating the relative risk of nausea associated with probiotic supplementation. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; *L*, *Lactobacillus*; S, *Saccharomyces*; M, mixture of probiotics.

Study name	Stat	istics for	each stu	dy	Risk ratio and 95% CI					
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -Value						Relative weight
Akcam et al ³⁵	2.148	0.206	22.357	0.522		-		<u> </u>		1.72
Hauser et al ³⁷	0.740	0.463	1.184	0.209			╶╋			42.87
Emara et al ³⁹	1.000	0.149	6.707	1.000				-		2.61
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	3.000	0.126	71.652	0.497				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0.94
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	0.917	0.447	1.880	0.812						18.31
Wang et al ³¹	0.149	0.008	2.809	0.204						1.10
Bekar et al ⁴⁴	0.783	0.116	5.289	0.801				-		2.59
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	0.600	0.154	2.335	0.461						5.11
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	0.208	0.011	4.119	0.303				-		1.06
Nista et al ⁵⁸	0.321	0.068	1.519	0.152		-				3.91
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (<i>L</i>)	0.318	0.014	7.383	0.475	—					0.96
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	0.333	0.014	7.724	0.493						0.96
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	0.318	0.014	7.383	0.475						0.96
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	0.143	0.018	1.135	0.066						2.20
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	0.500	0.048	5.224	0.563		-		-		1.71
Canducci et al ⁶¹	1.000	0.427	2.344	1.000			- +			13.01
Overall	0.724	0.533	0.985	0.040						
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100	
					Favo	rs probio	tics F	avors con	itrol	

Figure S2 Forest plot evaluating the relative risk of vomiting associated with probiotic supplementation. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; L, Lactobacillus; S, Saccharomyces; M, mixture of probiotics.

Study name	<u>Stati</u>	istics fo	r each s	study	Risk ratio and 95% Cl
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -value	e Relative weigh
Akcam et al ³⁵	2.148	0.206	22.357	0.522	2
Hauser et al ³⁷	0.263	0.145	0.477	0.000) - 8.94
Emara et al ³⁹	0.100	0.014	0.740	0.024	L .33
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	0.333	0.117	0.952	0.040	5.65
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	0.600	0.330	1.092	0.095	5 – – 8.90
Wang et al ³¹	0.628	0.160	2.468	0.505	5 - 4.09
Bekar et al ⁴⁴	0.478	0.260	0.880	0.018	3 – – – 8.8 [.]
Deguchi et al ⁴⁵	1.487	0.431	5.130	0.530) 4.66
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	0.933	0.526	1.655	0.813	3 9.12
Kim et al ⁵¹	1.218	0.613	2.417	0.573	8 8.19
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (M)	1.067	0.171	6.643	0.945	5 2.69
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	0.474	0.233	0.964	0.039) - 7.99
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	2.087	0.422	10.317	0.367	3.30
Nista et al ⁵⁸	0.481	0.154	1.503	0.208	3 - 5.16
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (<i>L</i>)	0.159	0.021	1.204	0.075	5 2.28
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	0.167	0.022	1.262	0.083	3 2.28
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	0.159	0.021	1.204	0.075	5 2.28
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	0.200	0.045	0.884	0.034	3.66
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	0.125	0.017	0.939	0.043	3 2.30
Canducci et al ⁶¹	1.600	0.555	4.611	0.384	↓ 5.60
Overall	0.549	0.391	0.771	0.001	
					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
					Favors probiotics Favors control

Figure S3 Forest plot evaluating the relative risk of diarrhea associated with probiotic supplementation. **Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; *L, Lactobacillus; S, Saccharomyces;* M, mixture of probiotics.

Study name	Stat	istics fo	r aach e	udy Pisk ratio and 95% Cl						
Study hame	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -value		INISK I		<u>578 CI</u>		Relative weight
Akcam et al ³⁵	1.534	0.682	3.454	0.301			+	-		1.86
Hauser et al ³⁷	0.859	0.751	0.982	0.026						67.66
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	0.333	0.097	1.148	0.082		- F				0.80
Bekar et al44	0.376	0.221	0.640	0.000						4.32
Song et al ⁴⁷	1.003	0.441	2.281	0.994						1.81
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	0.750	0.359	1.569	0.445						2.25
Kim et al ⁵¹	0.852	0.233	3.121	0.809				-		0.73
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	0.333	0.171	0.650	0.001			— —			2.75
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	0.596	0.201	1.769	0.351						1.04
Nista et al ⁵⁸	0.850	0.623	1.158	0.303						12.75
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (L)	0.952	0.217	4.178	0.948				-		0.56
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	1.000	0.229	4.373	1.000				-		0.56
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	0.317	0.036	2.805	0.302						0.26
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	1.111	0.528	2.340	0.782			-+			2.21
Canducci et al ⁶¹	2.000	0.381	10.511	0.413						0.44
Overall	0.812	0.727	0.907	0.000			•			
0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favors probiotics Favors control)0 10	

Figure S4 Forest plot evaluating the relative risk of epigastric pain associated with probiotic supplementation. **Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; *L, Lactobacillus*; S, Saccharomyces; M, mixture of probiotics.

Study name	Statistics with study removed			emoved	Risk ratio (95% CI) with study removed				
	Point	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>P</i> -value					
Akcam et al ³⁵	1.139	1.106	1.173	0.000			L		
Bin et al ³⁶	1.137	1.104	1.171	0.000					
Hauser et al ³⁷	1.127	1.092	1.163	0.000					
Ma et al ³⁸	1.133	1.100	1.167	0.000					
Emara et al ³⁹	1.138	1.104	1.172	0.000					
Francavilla et al ⁴⁰	1.138	1.104	1.172	0.000					
Srinarong et al ⁴¹	1.150	1.114	1.187	0.000					
Wang et al ³¹	1.135	1.102	1.170	0.000					
Navarro-Rodriguez et al ¹⁹	1.140	1.107	1.175	0.000					
Du et al ⁴²	1.133	1.100	1.167	0.000					
Mirzaee and Rezahosseini ⁴³	1.138	1.105	1.172	0.000					
Bekar et al ⁴⁴	1.135	1.102	1.169	0.000					
Deguchi et al ⁴⁵	1.135	1.102	1.170	0.000					
Medeiros et al ⁴⁶	1.139	1.106	1.173	0.000					
Song et al ⁴⁷	1.140	1.105	1.176	0.000					
Yasar et al ⁴⁸	1.137	1.104	1.171	0.000					
Hurduc et al ⁴⁹	1.137	1.103	1.171	0.000					
Szajewska et al ⁵⁰	1.139	1.106	1.173	0.000					
Kim et al ⁵¹	1.140	1.106	1.175	0.000					
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (L)	1.138	1.105	1.172	0.000					
Scaccianoce et al ⁵² (M)	1.138	1.105	1.172	0.000					
Cindoruk et al ⁵³	1.137	1.104	1.171	0.000					
Goldman et al ⁵⁴	1.137	1.104	1.171	0.000					
Ziemniak ⁵⁵	1.140	1.105	1.177	0.000					
Myllyluoma et al ⁵⁶	1.137	1.104	1.172	0.000					
Sykora et al ⁵⁷	1.134	1.101	1.168	0.000					
Nista et al ⁵⁸	1.140	1.106	1.174	0.000					
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (<i>L</i>)	1.139	1.106	1.173	0.000					
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (S)	1.138	1.105	1.173	0.000					
Cremonini et al ¹⁵ (M)	1.138	1.105	1.172	0.000					
Sheu et al ⁵⁹	1.136	1.103	1.171	0.000					
Armuzzi et al ⁶⁰	1.139	1.106	1.173	0.000					
Canducci et al ⁶¹	1.135	1.102	1.170	0.000					
Overall	1.138	1.105	1.171	0.000		▲			
					0.5	1	2		
						Favors control Favors probiotics			

Figure S5 Sensitivity analysis evaluating the impact of removing each randomized control trial on the overall relative risk of Helicobacter pylori eradication with probiotic supplementation.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; L, Lactobacillus; S, Saccharomyces; M, mixture of probiotics.



Figure S6 Funnel plot assessing publication bias (analyzing the effect of probiotics on the efficacy of triple therapy in the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*). Notes: Each circle represents a RCT included in the current meta-analysis. The diamond represents the overall result. Abbreviation: RCT, randomized control trial.

Infection and Drug Resistance

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed openaccess journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal

Dovepress