

REVIEW

Open Access

Born Too Soon: Care during pregnancy and childbirth to reduce preterm deliveries and improve health outcomes of the preterm baby

Jennifer Requejo^{1*}, Mario Merialdi², Fernando Althabe³, Matthais Keller⁴, Joanne Katz⁵, Ramkumar Menon⁶

Abstract

Pregnancy and childbirth represent a critical time period when a woman can be reached through a variety of mechanisms with interventions aimed at reducing her risk of a preterm birth and improving her health and the health of her unborn baby. These mechanisms include the range of services delivered during antenatal care for all pregnant women and women at high risk of preterm birth, services provided to manage preterm labour, and workplace, professional and other supportive policies that promote safe motherhood and universal access to care before, during and after pregnancy. The aim of this paper is to present the latest information about available interventions that can be delivered during pregnancy to reduce preterm birth rates and improve the health outcomes of the premature baby, and to identify data gaps. The paper also focuses on promising avenues of research on the pregnancy period that will contribute to a better understanding of the causes of preterm birth and ability to design interventions at the policy, health care system and community levels. At minimum, countries need to ensure equitable access to comprehensive antenatal care, quality childbirth services and emergency obstetric care. Antenatal care services should include screening for and management of women at high risk of preterm birth, screening for and treatment of infections, and nutritional support and counselling. Health workers need to be trained and equipped to provide effective and timely clinical management of women in preterm labour to improve the survival chances of the preterm baby. Implementation strategies must be developed to increase the uptake by providers of proven interventions such as antenatal corticosteroids and to reduce harmful practices such as non-medically indicated inductions of labour and caesarean births before 39 weeks of gestation. Behavioural and community-based interventions that can lead to reductions in smoking and violence against women need to be implemented in conjunction with antenatal care models that promote women's empowerment as a strategy for reducing preterm delivery. The global community needs to support more discovery research on normal and abnormal pregnancies to facilitate the development of preventive interventions for universal application. As new evidence is generated, resources need to be allocated to its translation into new and better screening and diagnostic tools, and other interventions aimed at saving maternal and newborn lives that can be brought to scale in all countries.

Declaration This article is part of a supplement jointly funded by Save the Children's Saving Newborn Lives programme through a grant from The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and March of Dimes Foundation and published in collaboration with the Partnership for Maternal, Newborn and Child Health and the World Health Organization (WHO). The original article was published in PDF format in the WHO Report "Born Too Soon: the global action report on preterm birth" (ISBN 978 92 4 150343 30), which involved collaboration from more than 50 organizations. The article has been reformatted for journal publication and has undergone peer review according to *Reproductive Health's* standard process for supplements and may feature some variations in content when compared to the original report. This co-publication makes the article available to the community in a full-text format.

*Correspondence: requejoj@who.int

¹Partnership for Maternal, Newborn & Child Health, Geneva, Switzerland
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

The pregnancy period and childbirth – a critical window of opportunity

Pregnancy and childbirth represent a critical window of opportunity for providing effective interventions to prevent preterm birth and other adverse health outcomes associated with an early birth. These interventions encompass services delivered during antenatal care for all pregnant women and women at high risk of preterm birth, services provided to manage preterm labour, and interventions targeted at improving health behaviours and knowledge about early warning signs of pregnancy complications, including preterm labour. They also include the provision of needed social and financial support to disadvantaged mothers as well as workplace, professional and other supportive policies promoting safe motherhood and women's universal access to care before, during, and after pregnancy.

This paper is based on chapter four in the *Born Too Soon Global Action Report on Preterm Birth* launched in May, 2012 [1] and is part of a series entitled "Born Too Soon". The aim of the paper is to provide a summary of latest information about available interventions that can be delivered during pregnancy to reduce preterm birth rates and improve the health outcomes of the premature baby. A second objective is to describe promising avenues of research on the pregnancy period that will contribute to a better understanding of the causes of preterm birth and ability to design interventions at the policy, health care system and community levels for scale-up. An action agenda is proposed outlining opportunities for scaling up effective interventions in low- and middle-income countries and identifying priority research themes that will ultimately translate into the development of preventive and treatment interventions for universal application.

Exhaustive systematic reviews on preterm birth interventions for the pregnancy period serve as the source of information for the interventions or intervention areas described with proven or potential effectiveness in either reducing preterm birth rates or improving birth outcomes for the premature baby [2-11] including the Cochrane database (*The Cochrane Collaboration*, 2013), which includes regularly updated systematic reviews on available evidence from clinical trials, considered the most robust evidence for guiding policy and programme development. Additional articles used to support statements made throughout the paper were identified through PubMed and Google Scholar.

Blencowe and colleagues describe in detail the complex risk factors and multiple mechanisms believed responsible for the two types of preterm delivery, spontaneous and indicated, which includes possible epigenetic/genetic predispositions [12]. Advancements in the field of epigenetics have found links between fetal methylation of genes and the preterm birth pathway [13]. The majority

of preterm births occur spontaneously, often following Preterm Premature Rupture of the Membranes (pPROM). Medically and non-medically indicated preterm births are related to early induction of labour or caesarean birth. Medical indications include pregnancy complications such as placental abnormalities (e.g., placenta previa), multiple gestations, maternal diabetes, pre-eclampsia and pre-existing conditions such as high blood pressure, asthma, thyroid and heart disease. Strategies for preventing or managing spontaneous and indicated types of preterm birth during the pregnancy period may differ due to variances in their underlying pathophysiological processes. Similarly, and for the same reason, clinical management of pre-existing conditions that may be exacerbated by pregnancy may differ from strategies used to address complications that develop during the pregnancy period. There are a large number of preventive interventions for spontaneous and indicated types of preterm birth that are currently part of the standard of care in high-income countries. Many of these interventions are not readily available or feasible to introduce in low- and middle-income countries, and supportive evidence of their effectiveness is lacking [14].

Available and proposed preterm birth interventions are tailored for delivery to three population groups: (1) all pregnant women; (2) pregnant women with a history of previous preterm delivery or other risk factors, including multiple gestation, bleeding during pregnancy, hypertensive disorders and diabetes; and (3) pregnant women experiencing or recovering from preterm labour. Interventions for the first two population groups are aimed primarily at prevention and range from basic and specialized packages of antenatal care services as well as supportive workplace and professional policies. Interventions designed for the third group are focused on improving the health outcomes of the premature baby. At the individual level, quality clinical management involves practitioners identifying each woman's own set of risk factors throughout the pregnancy and childbirth periods and responding with appropriate care.

This paper is divided into several sections. The first section describes services that can be provided during antenatal care visits to all women and to women at high risk of preterm delivery, and services that can be delivered to women experiencing preterm labour. The next section presents information on interventions that can be provided at the community level and in the policy arena to prevent preterm birth and improve obstetric outcomes for women and their babies. Table 1 summarizes the supportive policy and community measures that can be introduced, and the type of evidence-based services that can be provided during antenatal care and when a woman is experiencing preterm labour.

Table 1. Priority evidence-based interventions during pregnancy to reduce preterm birth rates and to benefit the premature baby

Services delivered during antenatal care:

- Basic package for all pregnant women
- Situational interventions for populations of women at high exposure risk (e.g. identification and treatment of malaria, tuberculosis and HIV)
- Behavioural, social support and financial interventions for disadvantaged women

Management of pregnant women at higher risk of preterm birth including:

- Identification and treatment of pre-existing conditions (e.g., diabetes, thyroid disease, heart disease, asthma and other chronic conditions)
- Identification and treatment of pregnancy complications (e.g., pre-eclampsia, antepartum haemorrhage)
- Monitoring multiple pregnancies
- Administration of progesterone to prolong pregnancy
- Identification and treatment of structural abnormalities (e.g., cervical cerclage, cervical pessary)

Management of women in preterm labour including:

- Tocolytics to slow down labour
- Antenatal corticosteroids to reduce mortality in the newborn
- Antibiotics for pPROM to prevent infection
- Provision of magnesium sulphate for neuro-protection of the newborn

Community interventions:

- Promote antenatal and skilled birth care for all women
- Smoking cessation programmes
- Reductions in exposure to secondhand smoke and other pollutants

Policy interventions:

- Policies to support safe motherhood and universal access to antenatal care
- Workplace policies regulating working hours and strenuous working conditions
- Professional and hospital policies to regulate infertility treatments and to reduce caesarean section rates and early induction of labour

Source: Born Too Soon report [1].

Following a short section on data limitations, the last section explores an action agenda, describing opportunities for scaling up programmes, identifying priority research themes, and listing a set of steps countries can introduce now to address the growing problem of preterm birth.

Section I. Delivering effective care to women during pregnancy and preterm labour

Increasing access to care during pregnancy for all women is an essential step towards addressing the growing problem of preterm birth. Research has shown that women who receive antenatal care services are at lower risk for having a preterm birth than women who are not reached by the health system prior to delivery [15]. Further studies are needed to determine if this association is related primarily to the timing, number, and content of services provided during antenatal care visits, or to differences between women who do and do not receive antenatal care [16,17].

Many countries around the world report high coverage levels of antenatal care, making antenatal care visits an opportune time to deliver proven interventions to all

pregnant women) [18]. Yet, there are large and unacceptable inequities in coverage between and within countries that need to be addressed. It is critical that all pregnant women receive at least the basic package of recommended antenatal care services for their own health and the health of their unborn babies. This section describes services that can be provided during antenatal care to all women, and to women at high risk of a preterm birth. It also describes effective services that can be provided to women experiencing preterm labour to improve the survival chances of the newborn.

Antenatal care services for prevention of preterm birth for all women

Effective and culturally appropriate care during pregnancy is essential for increasing the likelihood of positive birth outcomes [19]. Antenatal care is a service delivery platform through which all women can be reached at multiple times during pregnancy with a package of interventions that can prolong a healthy pregnancy and improve maternal and perinatal health. Basic services that can be delivered during antenatal care with a potential impact on reducing preterm birth rates include

identification of women at high risk of preterm birth; screening for and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases including HIV and other infections (tuberculosis, malaria, bacterial vaginosis, bacteriuria); identification and correction of malnutrition and nutrition counselling including on multiple micro-nutrient supplementation; counselling on birth preparedness and complication readiness for identification of early labour and other risk factors; and behavioural and social support interventions such as smoking cessation programmes and programmes aimed at the prevention of violence against women [11,19-25].

Infections during pregnancy can cross into the intra-amniotic cavity, establish intra-amniotic infection and result in preterm labour. In some women, such infections are associated with premature rupture of the membranes [6]. Screening for and treatment of infections such as asymptomatic bacteriuria and bacterial vaginosis during antenatal care may reduce preterm births, although study findings show inconsistent results. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses show that antibiotic treatment for infection and periodontal care does not reliably reduce the risk of preterm birth, and effectiveness may depend upon when during pregnancy the infection or periodontal disease is detected and treated. Three hypotheses have been proposed to explain the inconsistent findings of the effect of antibiotic treatment on reducing preterm delivery: 1) infections may be polymicrobial in nature and even broad spectrum antibiotics may not be sufficient to treat them all, 2) infections may not be the primary cause of preterm labour, and underlying [21,22] immunosuppressive conditions may be responsible for inducing alternate biomolecular pathways leading to preterm labour. Therefore, treating the bacterial infection alone may not be sufficient, and 3) clinical signs of infection based on immune biomarkers are not true indicators of infection due to heterogeneity in inflammatory markers of various intra amniotic pathogens. More research is needed on the inter-relationships between infection, pregnant women's immune response, and the cascade of events resulting in preterm birth [26]. Such research can inform the development of optimal screening and treatment protocols based on underlying risk factors that will take into consideration when in pregnancy, or prior to pregnancy, screening and treatment for infections should occur to reduce the preterm birth rate [15].

The advantages of prophylactic treatment for malaria (intermittent presumptive treatment during pregnancy for malaria [IPTp]) and the use of bednets to prevent malaria transmission in malaria-endemic areas on reducing preterm birth similarly needs further investigation. More rigorous studies examining the impact of HIV infection and the use of antiretrovirals and TB medication during pregnancy on the risk of preterm birth that

control for disease stage and other potential confounding factors are also needed [2].

Women's nutritional status during pregnancy has been linked to preterm birth, with underweight and obese pregnant women at elevated risk of preterm birth and other poor obstetrical outcomes [6,27]. Malnutrition increases vulnerability to infection and predisposes pregnant women to adverse obstetrical outcomes including preterm delivery, although findings on obesity and the risk of preterm birth are mixed. Recent research suggests that the association between body mass index (BMI) and risk of preterm birth may differ by race or ethnic group, underscoring the complexity of the role nutrition plays in triggering preterm birth [28]. Providers can administer nutritional supplements and counselling services during routine antenatal visits. Evidence from clinical trials to date does not, in general, show a clear beneficial effect of nutritional counselling, or protein and calorie supplements during pregnancy on the preterm birth rate. A small effect on reducing preterm births has been found from the use of multiple micro-nutrient supplementation, and evidence suggests that calcium supplementation during pregnancy can reduce the incidence of preterm births in populations at risk of low calcium uptake [11]. New findings also suggest a link between supplementation of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and overall greater gestation duration and infant size [29]. Further research is needed to determine the optimal timing during a woman's life course of introducing nutritional interventions for reducing the risk of preterm birth and how best to implement these interventions within the broader context of efforts to improve the overall nutritional status of pregnant women and women of reproductive age. Pregnant women should be encouraged to take multi-vitamin supplements and to gain an adequate amount of weight based on their nutritional status at the beginning of their pregnancy, and for other health-promoting reasons such as reducing the risk of small for gestational age babies and neural tube defects.

Antenatal care services for prevention of preterm births, women at higher risk

Women at increased risk of preterm delivery can be identified during antenatal care based on obstetric history (e.g., known uterine or cervical anomaly or previous preterm birth, pre-existing conditions such as chronic diseases) or presenting pregnancy characteristics (e.g., hypertensive disorder of pregnancy, diabetes, multiple gestation, bleeding). Young adolescents also are at greater risk [30,31]. Although prospective studies that evaluate the use of risk-screening tools based on epidemiologic, demographic biomarkers and clinical indicators in routine antenatal care are still needed, there are several approaches for providing preventive care for these

women. One such approach includes specialized antenatal clinics for women with evident risk of preterm birth that provide enhanced health education, and more rigorous monitoring and treatment of risk factors and pregnancy complications. The evidence is scanty on such approaches in reducing the risk of preterm birth, but trials were conducted prior to the introduction of new screening tests. Another approach involves adopting the principles of personalized medicine that includes tailoring interventions to match each individual woman's risk profile.

Administration of progesterone to prolong pregnancy in high-risk women with a history of previous preterm birth has been shown effective in preventing a recurrence of preterm birth in these women and in decreasing the prevalence of low birthweight [2]. A recent clinical trial, however, found no advantage to vaginal progesterone therapy at 200 mg or 400 mg doses in the prevention of preterm birth in twin pregnancies [32]. Recent guidelines and professional opinion recommend administering vaginal progesterone to women with singleton pregnancies and short cervical length to reduce preterm birth and perinatal morbidity and mortality [33]. Most studies to date on the use of progesterone have been conducted in high-income countries. There is a need for evidence generation on progesterone use in resource-constrained settings including how this intervention can be scaled up at national level and the feasibility of introducing universal cervical length measurement screening.

Cochrane reviews have shown small reductions in preterm birth rates with treatment interventions for pre-eclampsia such as calcium supplementation. A recent randomized controlled trial has shown promising results for the use of a cervical pessary to lower rates of spontaneous preterm birth among women with a short cervix [34]. Results from another randomized controlled trial did not find any reductions in poor perinatal outcomes from use of a cervical pessary for prevention of preterm birth in women with a multiple pregnancy [35]. Another promising intervention in reducing the risk of preterm birth that requires further investigation is the placement of circumferential stitches on a structurally weak cervix (cerclage) [2,3].

These interventions are only applicable to a small number of high-risk women, however, and the overall effect on general population rates of preterm birth is likely to be limited.

Management of women in preterm labour to improve survival chances of the premature baby

Once preterm labour has commenced, there are interventions that can prolong pregnancy and improve health outcomes and survival for the premature baby. To date, these interventions have not been designed to

address the underlying mechanisms that trigger preterm labour.

Interventions to prolong pregnancy include the provision of tocolytic agents that inhibit uterine contractions to suppress labour (e.g., oxytocin antagonists, beta-mimetics, calcium channel blockers, magnesium sulphate), and clinical practices to ensure the optimal timing of caesarean birth and labour induction for indicated preterm births [2,9,15]. The provision of tocolytics has been shown effective in slowing down labour, enabling the administration of antenatal corticosteroids and transfer of mother and baby to a higher-level facility where appropriate care may be available. Any use of strategies to prolong labour, including delaying caesarean birth, must be evaluated against the potential risk of continued exposure of mother and fetus to sub-optimal conditions that may result in harmful effects. Further research is needed on the short- and long-term health consequences for mother and baby from efforts to prevent preterm labour.

There are three key interventions that can be delivered during the pregnancy period with evidence of effectiveness in improving health outcomes in babies born prematurely, although none of these are effective in reducing the incidence of preterm births: antenatal corticosteroids, antibiotics for pPROM, and magnesium sulphate.

The administration of antenatal corticosteroids to pregnant women at high risk of preterm birth possibly as early as 23 weeks can significantly reduce the premature baby's risk of death, respiratory distress and developmental problems (Figure 1) [8].

Preterm premature rupture of the membranes (pPROM) is strongly associated with infection of the amniotic membranes contributing to preterm birth and other poor fetal outcomes such as cerebral palsy and chronic lung disease. It is unclear if infection is a cause or consequence of pPROM. The incidence of pPROM has not measurably changed in the past 40 years, and there are currently no screening or diagnostic markers because the mechanisms responsible for a large proportion of pPROM cases are still poorly understood. Antibiotic treatment for pPROM has been shown to delay onset of labour for up to 48 hours and to reduce neonatal infections and abnormal cerebral ultrasound scans prior to hospital discharge [10]. Clinical management of pPROM including duration of administration of antibiotic prophylaxis depends upon the maternal-fetal condition, and other factors need to be considered such as timing of administration of antenatal corticosteroids and delivery.

The administration of magnesium sulphate to women at risk of preterm birth helps to protect the baby's brain, reduce rates of cerebral palsy and improve long-term neonatal health outcomes. Further studies are needed, however, to investigate side effects of the treatment for the mother (e.g., flushing, sweating, nausea, vomiting,

Evidence from more than 20 clinical trials and additional studies in middle-income countries such as Brazil, Jordan, South Africa and Tunisia indicate that antenatal corticosteroids administered to pregnant women at high risk of preterm birth is a highly effective and safe intervention for reducing neonatal mortality [66,67-71]. A summary of the trial data shows a 34% relative reduction in the incidence of respiratory distress syndrome (risk ratio [RR] 0.66, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.59–0.73), a 46% relative reduction in intraventricular haemorrhage (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.43–0.69) and a 31% relative reduction in neonatal mortality (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.58–0.81). Similar findings were recorded in the studies carried out in low- and middle-income countries. The results suggest that for every 100 women treated appropriately with antenatal corticosteroids, 4 neonatal deaths, 9 cases of RDS, 4 intraventricular haemorrhages and 12 cases of surfactant use would be avoided.

An analysis using the Lives Saved Tool shows that achievement of universal (95%) coverage of antenatal corticosteroids across the 75 Countdown to 2015 priority countries would result in an approximate 40% decrease in preterm-associated mortality in 2015 — translating to around 373,000 deaths averted per year in comparison to 2010 levels [72].

The WHO lists antenatal corticosteroids as a priority intervention for the prevention of respiratory distress syndrome in premature babies, and considers antenatal corticosteroids (both betamethasone and dexamethasone) as a priority medicine for reducing mortality among babies born too early (PMNCH, 2011). Dexamethasone is included on the WHO essential medicines list, but at the time of publication this is for treating allergies only [73].

Use in low- and middle-income countries – A need for scale up

Although the evidence of the effectiveness of antenatal corticosteroids was established more than 15 years ago, usage rates remain unacceptably low. In 2000, it was estimated that in the 42 countries with 90% of the worldwide childhood deaths, only 5% of appropriate candidates received the intervention [74]. Another study based on data from 75 countries estimated that only 10% of eligible mothers received antenatal corticosteroids [75]. In Latin America, six studies between 1999 and 2009 reported that the use of antenatal corticosteroids in premature babies ranged between 4% and 71% [76–80]]. These figures are a clear indication of major missed opportunities around the world for improving the survival chances of preterm babies.

Research gaps

To date, all trials have been conducted in hospital settings, most of them referral facilities with availability of high-level care (oxygen, incubators, and ventilators). The next step is to assess the effect of antenatal corticosteroids on maternal and neonatal health in lower-level facilities or home births where no specialized care is available at childbirth. Research is also needed on barriers and facilitating factors to the appropriate administration of antenatal corticosteroids. MCHIP is conducting a small operations research study in three countries in Asia (Indonesia, Philippines, and Cambodia) to assess the evidence around the use of antenatal corticosteroids in hospital settings. Results are expected in late 2014 (personal communication with Dr. Fernando Althabe and JHPIEGO).

Lessons learned – an implementation agenda

In the United States, low provider use of antenatal corticosteroids 20 years ago was successfully addressed through the development of a multifaceted intervention including informing local opinion leaders, the introduction of the intervention into clinical grand rounds, chart reminders, group discussions, and audit and feedback practices [81]. WHO conducted a trial in 22 hospitals in Mexico and 18 hospitals in Thailand to evaluate the effect of a multifaceted educational strategy to promote the use of the WHO Reproductive Health Library (Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction, 2012) on several obstetric practices, including the use of antenatal corticosteroids in preterm births at less than 34 weeks gestation. The results showed no changes in the low use of antenatal corticosteroids in these hospitals [82]. The findings of the WHO study suggest that education alone is not enough to change clinical practice. Instead, interventions need to be designed that combine training with appropriate supervision and clinic reminders. In low- and middle-income countries, implementation strategies that address supply-chain problems must also be prioritized to reduce occurrence of stock-outs.

In recognition of the many challenges related to the uptake of proven interventions such as antenatal corticosteroids, the United Nations established a Commission on Lifesaving Commodities for Women and Children in 2012. The Commission has reviewed recommendations for addressing the supply-chain, training requirements, and other implementation barriers faced by low- and middle-income countries in their efforts to scale up antenatal corticosteroids (the aims of the Commission are described in this supplement) [73]. The 66th World Health Assembly approved a resolution on the Commission which urges countries to improve the quality, supply, and use of the 13 life-saving commodities identified by the Commission, and asks WHO to work with countries and its partners to support the implementation of the Commission's recommendations [83].

headaches and a rapid heartbeat) and how these can be reduced [20].

Section II. Avenues for intervening to improve the broader context

This section describes opportunities to intervene at the community and policy levels to ensure a supportive environment for pregnant women.

Behaviour and community interventions for the prevention of preterm birth

Lifestyle factors including depression, intimate partner violence, smoking, substance abuse and stress are risk factors for preterm birth. Antenatal care models, including group models, that address these issues and provide social and financial support for pregnant women and particularly disadvantaged/at-risk population groups have been associated with reduced rates of preterm birth [36,37]. There are numerous efforts underway to determine how best to integrate psychological and behavioural interventions, including programmes to prevent violence, into antenatal care to improve preterm birth rates and other maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Controlled trials need to be designed to further test the efficacy of antenatal care approaches that incorporate social and financial support measures so that such approaches can be introduced at scale in settings where they are most needed. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis on the effects of women's groups practicing participatory learning and action showed that these strategies are a cost-effective approach to improving maternal and neonatal health outcomes [38]. More research is needed on how women's empowerment approaches can translate into reduced preterm birth rates.

Smoking during pregnancy is a well-known cause of preterm birth, especially preterm birth complicated by pPROM. Smoking cessation interventions in high-income countries that combine counselling with additional social support services have been found to significantly reduce preterm birth and should be adapted for application in low- and middle-income countries where the large majority of smokers live [39]. These approaches could prove to be more successful than large scale campaigns in changing women's smoking habits during pregnancy. Greater understanding of the biomolecular pathways through which the chemicals in cigarette smoke trigger deleterious events during pregnancy could potentially lead to new interventions targeted at smokers unable to quit when pregnant.

Other programmes such as the Healthy Babies are Worth the Wait™ campaign of the March of Dimes and the “humanization of childbirth” social movement in Brazil and other Latin American countries that educate women with healthy pregnancies about the advantages of

vaginal delivery and waiting until 39 weeks to deliver should be promoted, particularly in contexts characterized by high and rising elective caesarean birth rates [40,41]. Health care provider education on the adverse health outcomes of late preterm birth and of inducing birth for non-medical reasons prior to 39 weeks has been shown to be effective in reducing preterm birth rates in some countries such as Brazil [40,42,43].

Policy interventions to promote healthy pregnancies

Pregnant women can experience a reduced risk of preterm birth and other health benefits from professional and public policies based on sound scientific evidence. Blencowe and colleagues show that the risk of preterm birth increases in women with twins and higher-order births [12]. Policies on infertility treatments and use of assisted reproductive technologies directed to limiting the number of embryos that can be transferred have shown success in reducing the number of higher-order births and the associated high risk of preterm birth in Europe, Australia and the United States [15,44,45].

Hospital policies aimed at lowering the primary caesarean birth rate and early induction rates, particularly for non-medically indicated reasons, are part of a growing effort to prolong pregnancy, promote healthy newborn outcomes and reverse the increasing trend of preterm birth. Such policies are especially needed in regions where caesarean birth rates, and particularly elective caesarean birth rates, are high or rising like in Latin America and many high-income countries. Legislation mandating universal access to maternal health care services and eliminating user fees in low- and middle-income countries also have been shown to be an important prerequisite to ensuring all women receive antenatal care.

Workplace policies designed to promote healthy pregnancies and protect pregnant women from occupational hazards can potentially reduce the risk of preterm birth. Examples include, but are not limited to, time off for antenatal care visits, paid pregnancy leave for a set number of weeks and exemption from night shifts and tasks requiring heavy lifting or standing for long periods of time [46]. Studies have shown that carrying heavy workloads and working more than 5 days a week are associated with preterm birth [47]. Measures that can improve general working conditions are especially important for pregnant women in low- and middle-income countries where they are more likely to be engaged in agricultural labour and other physically demanding tasks.

Pregnant women can benefit from legislation reducing their exposure to potentially harmful environmental risk factors such as second-hand smoke and air pollution (combustion and household sources) [48]. There is growing interest in understanding and developing policy and programmatic solutions to the association between air

pollution and adverse pregnancy outcomes including preterm birth [49-54]. For example, the UN Foundation houses the Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves, a multi-partner effort launched in 2010 to promote clean and efficient cookstoves. Traditional cookstoves and open fires, the primary means of cooking and heating for nearly three billion people in the developing world, place pregnant women at increased risk of preterm birth and other poor obstetrical outcomes.

Section III. Limitations of the evidence

The clinical trial literature shows a lack of evidence for many of the preventive interventions currently in use. The multi-causal and complex nature of preterm birth is likely responsible for single interventions not showing a significant public health effect and it is thus doubtful that rates of preterm birth can be reduced by the delivery of one single intervention. There is, therefore, an imperative need for well-designed studies examining the effectiveness of interventions delivered alone or as an integrated package of antenatal care. Epidemiological research is needed to assess the effectiveness of introducing a comprehensive set of science-based interventions delivered at the policy, health system and community or home levels on reducing the rate of preterm birth. The scientific literature and technical reports similarly show evidence for only a handful of interventions delivered during pregnancy that can improve the health outcomes of babies born too early, again stressing the need for more research on available and promising interventions. This emphasis on research and generation of quality data, however, needs to be balanced with the further promotion and worldwide scale up of interventions with proven effectiveness.

The brevity of the list of interventions delivered during the pregnancy period with evidence of effectiveness for preterm birth prevention and for improving survival chances of the premature baby is related to long-standing neglect of the newborn period and to insufficient research on the biological complexities of pregnancy and childbirth. The growing concentration of child deaths in the newborn period and the emergence of organizations and efforts focused on the newborn (e.g., The Healthy Newborn Partnership in the mid-2000s, and Saving Newborn Lives) have served as a catalyst for focused attention on preterm birth, a leading cause of newborn mortality [55,56]. There is an increasing emphasis on research that can inform the development of cohesive strategies for addressing the underlying determinants of preterm delivery with the goal of reducing the numbers of preterm births.

Section IV. An action agenda and next steps

This section describes opportunities to scale-up available effective interventions, priority research themes to


increase the evidence base on what works and how best to deliver proven interventions to reach all women with effective care, and a set of action points that can be adopted by countries now depending upon resource constraints.

Program opportunities to scale up

Coverage of antenatal care (at least one visit) is approximately 80% worldwide, with coverage levels dropping to about 50% for four or more visits. Inequities in coverage are pervasive, with coverage levels of four or more antenatal care visits hovering around 40% for the least developed countries [18]. These figures indicate that efforts aimed at improving availability of antenatal care, demand for care and women's ability to reach these services throughout the pregnancy period are needed, particularly in low-resource settings and amongst the most disadvantaged population groups. Adolescents are another group at high risk of preterm birth due to their young age and typically limited access to preconception and prenatal care [57].

Figure 2 shows median coverage of antenatal care services to pregnant women living in the Countdown to 2015 priority countries where more than 95% of all maternal and child deaths occur [58]. Consistent with the global data, the figure shows high levels of at least one visit of antenatal care across the Countdown countries, but considerably lower levels of the recommended four or more antenatal care visits. This indicates that the majority of women in these countries are not benefiting from the recommended basic package of antenatal care services. The figure also highlights substantial gaps in the quality of care pregnant women are receiving during antenatal care visits, with coverage levels of specific evidence-based interventions considerably lower than the ideal of universal coverage. A similar review of gaps in the provision of key components of antenatal care in sub-Saharan Africa, where the rates and absolute numbers of preterm birth are among the highest in the world, similarly showed low levels of coverage of several recommended components [59,60]. These missed opportunities are a call to action for strengthening health systems in the Countdown priority and other low- and middle-income countries including ensuring practitioners are equipped with the skills and necessary drugs and equipment to deliver effective antenatal care that can potentially reduce the risk of preterm birth and improve health outcomes of the premature baby.

Table 2 shows coverage levels of preterm birth-related interventions available from a World Health Organization multi-country study on the prevalence of maternal near-miss cases with a key objective of mapping the use of evidence-based interventions during childbirth in health care facilities [61]. At the time of publication,



country-specific data were available only for the Latin American countries involved in the study, and Mexico is presented as an example. The low coverage levels shown in Table 2 should serve as a startingpoint for dialogue with governments, development partners and health care providers in the study countries on developing strategies for increasing the uptake of these science-based interventions.

Priority research themes for the pregnancy period and childbirth

There is an imperative need for research on preterm birth that can yield quality data on the efficacy of existing and promising interventions delivered individually or as a package during the pregnancy period. There is an equally critical need for implementation research to improve the scale up of proven policy and health care interventions in low- and middle-income countries. There has been increasing interest in preterm birth in recent years and in developing a comprehensive research paradigm to address this growing cause of neonatal mortality. A major emphasis of the paradigm has been on discovery science to shed light on the basic biology of normal and abnormal pregnancies so that the pathological process of preterm birth can be understood and effective interventions developed. This research will also potentially result in the

Table 2. Coverage levels of key interventions, pregnant women in labour, 24 to 34 weeks, after 3 hours in the hospital, World Health Organization 18 country study

| Intervention | All countries* | Mexico |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Antenatal corticosteroids | 56.4% | 53.8% |
| Tocolytic agents | | |
| Beta mimetics | 15.8% | 8.2% |
| Calcium channel blockers | 9.9% | 7.7% |
| Magnesium sulphate | 7.8% | 4.9% |
| Oxytocin antagonists | 1.2% | 2.4% |

*Countries include Afghanistan, Argentina, Cambodia, China, Ecuador, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Mongolia, Nepal, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Thailand, Sri Lanka and Vietnam.

Source: Born Too Soon report [1]. Data source: Souza et al., 2011. Preliminary results, World Health Organization, Multi-country survey on maternal and newborn health.

development of better screening tools for the prediction and prevention of preterm labour. The link between genetics, gene-environment interactions and preterm birth is being investigated with the hope of gaining an understanding of differences in preterm birth rates across racial and ethnic groups that can be translated into more effective and equitable clinical care guidelines including counselling and screening services [26,62]. Research is underway to explore the connections between maternal

The International PREterm Birth Collaborative (PREBIC):

A nonprofit organization, supported by WHO and the March of Dimes (www.prebic.net), is a multi-disciplinary global network of clinicians and scientists conducting research to develop clinical interventions to reduce the rate of preterm birth globally. PREBIC's annual workshops hosted by WHO have resulted in concrete action steps to fill several knowledge gaps, including estimation of the global preterm birth rate, systematic reviews (e.g., on genetic markers, biomarkers and risk factors such as BMI) and guidelines on conducting basic and clinical research on preterm birth. PREBIC educational activities include organizing satellite symposiums in association with major perinatal and obstetric congresses. PREBIC is establishing research core centres and collaborating with other organizations to set up data and biological sample repositories to further promote preterm birth research.

The Preterm Birth Genome Project (PGP) is a multinational collaborative study of gene-environment interactions in spontaneous preterm birth that is part of PREBIC and aims to identify genes that increase susceptibility to preterm birth, using genome-wide association as an initial tool. The project involves a five year five-phase research plan including a whole genome analysis to determine genetic variants associated with spontaneous preterm birth. PGP has conducted a two-phase genome-wide association study for preterm births at less than 34 weeks gestation using maternal DNA samples from Caucasian populations. Genes increasing susceptibility to preterm birth were identified, and risk of preterm birth was shown to increase directly with the number of adverse genes a woman has (odds of preterm birth increased from 1.5 with one adverse gene to 1.9 and 3.2 with two and three adverse genes, respectively). The PGP findings of common genetic variants linked to preterm birth have been replicated in three additional cohorts. The next step is to validate these results through multiple cohort studies with the hope that these identified genetic variants can be used to develop an early screening tool, even for use prior to pregnancy.

The Preterm Birth Biomarker Project (PBP) is a joint PREBIC/WHO/March of Dimes initiative aimed at identifying preterm birth biomarkers that can be used for risk screening. Research in the past four decades on more than 115 potential biomarkers has not yielded identification of a single biomarker that can accurately predict preterm birth risk, and suggests that preterm birth risk may be related to the interaction of multiple biomarkers. The PBP is testing this hypothesis with the long-term objective of using the results to inform the development of accurate screening tools [84].

The Child Health Epidemiology Research Group (CHERG):

CHERG was appointed by WHO and UNICEF to advise the United Nations on epidemiological estimates for child health (<http://cherg.org/>). CHERG developed the first national and global estimates of neonatal causes of death published in *The Lancet Neonatal* series in 2005, showing preterm birth to be the leading cause of neonatal deaths. These estimates, and cause of child death estimates, will now be updated every year by CHERG with WHO-led country review, and published in peer review journals. The 2010 estimates show preterm birth to be the leading cause of neonatal deaths and the second leading cause of child deaths. The CHERG neonatal team, with WHO, also developed the first national estimates of preterm birth featured in another article in this supplement as well as disability estimates for the Global Burden of Disease analyses. In addition, CHERG has completed an epidemiological assessment of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) as measured by small for gestational age (SGA), producing estimates of the global and regional prevalence of SGA, and the mortality and stunting risks associated with SGA and preterm birth [85-87]. This research has also involved examining whether preterm birth modifies the associations between maternal risk factors and IUGR. The data sources are population-based or multiple facility-based cohort data sets where gestational age, birthweight and maternal risk factors were measured. One source of data is the WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health. The analysis estimates odds ratios for risk of preterm birth or SGA associated with various maternal risk factors (e.g., high parity, young age, birth order) to inform the development of tailored interventions to prevent these two causes of low birthweight [88,89].

Some other groups with global preterm birth prevention research as a major focus include, Global Alliance for Prevention of Prematurity and Stillbirths [72], the Genomic and Proteomic Network for Preterm Birth, and several that are limited to working within one country such as the US Maternal Fetal Medicine Units Network, or the Neonatal Research Network. Many of these groups, including the Global Alliance for Prevention of Prematurity and Stillbirths, March of Dimes, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, WHO, and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, are working together to set a priority research agenda to address the global burden of preterm birth.

Figure 3. Building the evidence base on preterm birth.

infections, nutritional status and preterm birth within a broader framework of research on prematurity, low birthweight and intrauterine growth restriction (Figure 3). In addition, research on the social determinants of preterm birth is being conducted to explore the pathways between social disadvantage, behavioural and lifestyle factors and preterm birth [63-65]. A major goal of this

research is to help develop strategies for addressing social and economic disparities in preterm birth rates, inequities in access to needed care between and within countries as well as how to best integrate social and behavioural interventions into antenatal care.

Figure 3 presents information on select ongoing research activities to generate the evidence needed to

Table 3. Research priorities during pregnancy to reduce preterm birth rates and to benefit the preterm baby

Description

Epidemiological research to:

- Examine the relationships between maternal risk factors and preterm birth at a population level (e.g., nutritional, infection, age and other socio-demographic factors)

Discovery

Basic science research on normal and abnormal pregnancies to:

- Identify the causal pathways leading to preterm labour and birth
- Understand the gestational clock triggering the onset of labour
- Explore the genetic determinants of preterm birth and genetic-environment interactions increasing risk of preterm birth

Development

Translational research to:

- Develop simple screening tools based on the findings of biological and genetic research for identifying women at high risk of preterm birth and preterm labour
- Develop robust diagnostic tools for universal application (e.g., anaemia, syphilis)

Delivery

Clinical trials and other studies to:

- Build the evidence base on available and promising interventions
- Determine effectiveness of interventions delivered individually and as packages of care

Implementation research to:

- Address coverage gaps by increasing the availability of antenatal care and women's ability to access services around the world
- Address quality of care gaps by increasing the uptake of evidence-based interventions and intervention packages by health care providers (e.g., syphilis testing and screening, blood pressure monitoring during antenatal care visits, etc.)

Source: Born Too Soon report [1].

inform the development of effective preventive programmes and policies. Table 3 summarizes the key interrelated areas of priority research on preterm birth in the pregnancy period, emphasizing that simultaneous progress in each of these areas is critical for achieving reductions in preterm birth rates and optimal birth outcomes for the premature baby.

Prescription for action during the pregnancy period and childbirth

A standard approach to preterm birth prevention during the pregnancy period hinges upon the findings of the ongoing research on the causal pathways to preterm delivery. Clinical management practices will need to remain flexible as practitioners decide upon a course of action based on the particular characteristics and set of risk factors of their individual women clients. There are, however, basic steps that countries around the world can introduce now and as resources permit to begin making strides towards addressing this growing public health problem. These steps are summarized in Table 4 and described in more detail below.

As a first step, national policies and guidelines for comprehensive antenatal, labour and delivery, emergency obstetric and postnatal care should be established in all countries to promote universal access to quality maternal

and perinatal services. Pro-poor legislation (e.g., to abolish user fees, introduce conditional cash transfer programmes, etc.) for maternal and perinatal services should be considered in low- and middle-income countries where out-of-pocket expenses are high and/or coverage levels of antenatal and delivery care interventions are low. Protective legislation is needed to improve general working conditions for pregnant women, and to reduce pregnant women's exposure to potentially harmful environmental, behavioural and lifestyle risk factors such as second-hand smoke and violence against women.

The implementation of national and professional policies and guidelines that are in-line with science-based recommendations, such as those made by WHO, needs to be prioritized. All countries and their partners should allocate sufficient resources to strengthening health care systems to facilitate the implementation process and enable the equitable and early delivery of quality antenatal care. Resources also are needed to develop clearly defined care and referral pathways. Prevention needs to be prioritized through improving access to screening and diagnostic tests and appropriate treatment during antenatal care with adequate follow-up and referral of women identified at high risk of preterm birth.

Efforts to strengthen health systems must include increased and regular training opportunities for health

Table 4. Actions during pregnancy to prevent or manage preterm birth

Invest and plan

- Ensure national policies and guidelines exist and provide adequate protection of pregnant women and universal access to comprehensive antenatal, labour and birth, emergency obstetric and postnatal care.
- Allocate adequate resources for the provision of equitable and high-quality antenatal care, and removal of barriers to care such as user fees.

Implement

- Seize opportunities to leverage resources, approaches, and training opportunities from existing programmes (including non-health programmes)
- Ensure the existence of a functional referral system, procurement system, an adequately trained and supervised health work force, and quality services for all pregnant women. Inform communities about the importance of antenatal, childbirth and postnatal care for all women, and warning signs including early recognition of preterm labour.

Inform and improve programme coverage and quality

- Address data gaps and increase sound monitoring and evaluation of programmes to improve service quality and outreach to the poorest populations.
- Prioritize implementation research to promote the scale up of effective interventions in different contexts and across different population groups.

Innovate and undertake implementation research

- Invest in discovery research on the basic biology of normal and abnormal pregnancy, genetic determinants of preterm birth, and epidemiological research on maternal risk factors to provide the evidence base needed for the development of effective prevention and treatment strategies.

We all share in the responsibility of making sure pregnant women around the world receive the care they need for healthy birth outcomes.

Source: Born Too Soon report [1].

care providers on the use of effective interventions, and on tailoring clinical care to the individual woman based on her risk profile throughout the duration of her pregnancy. The delivery of all recommended components of antenatal care should be regularly instituted and monitored through supportive supervision.

These health care system readiness efforts need to be complemented by communication and education campaigns to increase demand for maternal and perinatal care services and to ensure prospective parents and community members are fully informed about a healthy pregnancy and potential complications.

In settings with greater capacity, professional policies regulating assisted reproductive technologies and infertility treatments should be put into place to reduce the number of multiple gestations at higher risk of preterm birth. Genetic counselling and adequate counselling for women over the age of 35 on pregnancy risks also should be included as components of antenatal care.

All women should be provided with access to quality antenatal care services and a functional referral system throughout pregnancy. Women should be encouraged to give birth in healthcare facilities and have access to health care facilities where quality maternal services are guaranteed. Community members need to be informed about the importance of all women receiving antenatal care and delivering in functioning health care facilities as well as warning signs in pregnancy including preterm labour. In low- and middle-income countries, communities need to be supported in developing appropriate mechanisms that enable women to seek care, particularly the most disadvantaged women who face multiple barriers to accessing timely care.

The integration of effective services should be promoted to best use antenatal care visits as a platform for the delivery of a package of interventions and to leverage scarce resources for women's and children's health. The integration of HIV, malaria, and social and financial support programmes into routine antenatal care, for example, represents an efficient use of resources with potential to reach a large majority of pregnant women.

Health care facilities need to be equipped with trained staff and ample and consistent supplies of drugs and equipment so that women can be guaranteed provision of antibiotics for pPROM, antenatal corticosteroids, progesterone, magnesium sulphate and tocolytics as needed.

Countries need to engage in collaborative and multi-center research for the development of high-quality evidence on available and promising interventions delivered singly and as a package during antenatal care or labour and delivery. Adequate funding is needed for basic science research on the etiology of preterm birth, the physiological processes of healthy and abnormal pregnancies and the linkages between preterm birth and genetics, environment, stress and depression, infection and nutrition. Findings from such research will guide the development of preventive interventions, diagnostic markers and related screening tools and better clinical management guidelines for all women and for women at high risk of preterm birth. These cross-country, multi-disciplinary research efforts will ultimately facilitate the discovery and development of interventions with universal application.

Implementation research is essential for determining how research findings can be best translated into practical application in low- and middle-income countries

where resource constraints and inequities in intervention coverage are more pronounced and where innovations in service delivery strategies are most needed. Efforts are needed, for example, on how to most effectively integrate evidence-based interventions into antenatal care in specific contexts so that pregnant women are reached with comprehensive and culturally appropriate packages of care.

National audit and other monitoring and evaluation systems at facility level need to be put into place as a quality control measure to track preterm birth rates and the use of effective services during antenatal care and labour and delivery.

In all settings, ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of guidelines and policies are essential for ensuring that pregnant women receive high-quality care based on the best evidence.

Conclusion

The pregnancy period is a time of great excitement for prospective parents. It represents a time period when a woman can be reached through a variety of mechanisms with interventions aimed at reducing her risk of a preterm birth and improving her health and the health of her unborn baby. One key mechanism is routine antenatal care during which a woman should receive a range of effective services at multiple times throughout her pregnancy that are tailored to her individual risk profile. She also can benefit from supportive policies and programmes that increase her access to quality care and protect her from potentially harmful exposures. The message is clear: We all need to work together to make it possible for women everywhere to receive the care they need during pregnancy, labour and delivery as a starting point for successfully addressing the growing problem of preterm birth.

This involves simultaneous and coordinated action in the three main areas of service delivery, discovery and development. Although there are substantial gaps in our knowledge of the underlying causal pathways of preterm labour and delivery, we know there are proven interventions that need to be scaled up now. Governments and their partners must prioritize the equitable delivery of these interventions through innovative and cost-effective strategies. At the same time, basic research and discovery science must be promoted to build the evidence base so that effective preventive and treatment interventions can be designed. This will require collaborative partnerships across institutions and countries. As the evidence is generated, resources need to be allocated to its translation into new and better screening and diagnostic tools and other interventions aimed at saving maternal and newborn lives. The time is now to put this action plan into motion.

Additional File

Additional file 1. In line with the journal's open peer review policy, copies of the reviewer reports are included as additional file 1.

Abbreviations

WHO: World Health Organization; IUGR: Intrauterine growth retardation; SGA: Small for gestational age; IPTp: Intermittent Presumptive Treatment during pregnancy for malaria; PREBIC: PREterm Birth Collaborative; PGP: Preterm Birth Genome Project; BBP: Preterm Birth Biomarker Project; CHERG: The Child Health Epidemiology Research group.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Author contribution

JR drafted the paper, and all authors reviewed and contributed.

Acknowledgments

The Born Too Soon Preterm Birth Action Group, including Preterm Birth Technical Review Panel and all the report authors (in alphabetical order): José Belizán (chair), Hannah Blencowe, Zulfiqar Bhutta, Sohni Dean, Andres de Francisco, Christopher Howson, Mary Kinney, Mark Klebanoff, Joy Lawn, Silke Mader, Elizabeth Mason (chair), Jeffrey Murray, Pius Okong, Carmencita Padilla, Robert Pattinson, Jennifer Requejo, Craig Rubens, Andrew Serazin, Catherine Spong, Antoinette Tshetu, Rexford Widmer, Khalid Yunis, Nanbert Zhong.

The authors appreciated review and inputs from Robert Pattinson and Catherine Spong. Thank you to Megan Bruno for her administrative support. We thank Mary Kinney from Save the Children, Joy Lawn from London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, and Chris Howson and Rachel Diamond at March of Dimes for assistance with the management of the Born Too Soon report from which this paper is drawn.

Funding

There was no funding for the authors of this paper. The Born Too Soon report was funded by March of Dimes, the Partnership for Maternal, Newborn and Child Health and Save the Children.

Author details

¹Partnership for Maternal, Newborn & Child Health, Geneva, Switzerland. ²World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. ³Institute for Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ⁴University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany. ⁵Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA. ⁶The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston, USA.

Published: 25 November 2013

References

1. March of Dimes P, Save the Children, WHO: **Born Too Soon: The Global Action report on Preterm Birth**. In. Edited by CP Howson MK, JE Lawn. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
2. Barros FC, Bhutta, Z A., Batra, M., Hansen, T. N., Victora, C. G., et al: **Global report on preterm birth and stillbirth (3 of 7): evidence for effectiveness of interventions**. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2010, **10**(Suppl1):S3.
3. DM H: **Preterm birth**. *Clinical evidence* 2011;pii:1404.
4. Blencowe H, Cousens, S., Mullany, L.C., Lee, A.C., Kerber, K., et al: **Clean birth and postnatal care practices to reduce neonatal deaths from sepsis and tetanus: a systematic review and Delphi estimation of mortality effect**. *BMC Public Health* 2011, **11**(Suppl3):S11.
5. Cousens S, Blencowe, H., Gravett, M., & Lawn, J.E: **Antibiotics for preterm pre-labour rupture of membranes: prevention of neonatal deaths due to complications of preterm birth and infection**. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2010, **39**(Suppl1):i134-143.
6. Goldenberg RL, Culhane, J. F., Iams, J. D., & Romero, R.: **Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth**. *The Lancet* 2008, **371**(9606):75-84.
7. Imdad A, Jabeen, A., & Bhutta, Z. A.: **Role of calcium supplementation during pregnancy in reducing risk of developing gestational hypertensive disorders: a meta-analysis of studies from developing countries**. *BMC public*

- health 2011, **11**(Suppl3):S18.
8. Mwansa-Kambafwile J, Cousens, S., Hansen, T., & Lawn, J.E. : **Antenatal steroids in preterm labour for the prevention of neonatal deaths due to complications of preterm birth.** *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2010, **39**(Suppl1):i122-133.
 9. PMNCH: **A Global Review of the Key Interventions Related to Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn and Child Health.** 2011.
 10. Rubens CE, Gravett, M.G., Victora, C.G., & Nunes, T.M: **Global report on preterm birth and stillbirth (7 of 7): mobilizing resources to accelerate innovative solutions (Global Action Agenda).** *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2010, **10**(Suppl1):S7.
 11. Bhutta Z DJ, Rizvi A, Gaffey M, Walker N, Horton S, Webb P, Lartey A, Black R, The Lancet Nutrition Interventions Review Group, the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group: **Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: what can be done and at what cost? .** *The Lancet* 2013, **382**(9890):452-477.
 12. Blencowe H CS, Chou D, Oestergaard M, Say L, Moller AB, Kinney M, Lawn J: **15 million preterm births: Priorities for action based on national, regional and global estimates.** *Reproductive Health* 2013.
 13. Parets SE CK, Kllaru V, Fortunato SJ, Syed TA, Saade G, Smith Ak, Menon R: **Fetal DNA Methylation associates with early spontaneous preterm birth and gestational age.** *PLoS One* 2013, **8**.
 14. Chang HH LJ, Blencowe H, Spong CY, Howson CP, Cairns-Smith S, Lackritz EM, Lee SK, Mason E, Serazin AC, Walani S, Simpson JL, Lawn JE; Born too Soon preterm prevention analysis group: **Preventing preterm births: analysis of trends and potential reductions with interventions in 39 countries with very high human development index.** *The Lancet* 2013, **381**(9862):223-234.
 15. Iams JD RR, Culhane JF, Goldenberg RL: **Primary, Secondary, and tertiary interventions to reduce the morbidity and mortality of preterm birth.** *Lancet* 2008, **371**(9607):1-1.
 16. Beeckman K LF, Downe S, Putman K: **The relationship between antenatal care and preterm birth: the importance of content of care.** *Eur J Public Health* 2013, **23**(3):366-371.
 17. Joshua P Vogel NAH, João Paulo Souza, A Metin Gülmezoglu, Therese Dowswell, Guillermo Carroli, Hassan S Baaqeel, Pisake Lumbiganon, Gilda Piaggio and Olufemi T Oladapo: **Antenatal care packages with reduced visits and perinatal mortality: a secondary analysis of the WHO Antenatal Care Trial.** *Reproductive Health* 2013, **10**(19).
 18. UNICEF: **The State of the World's Children: Children with disabilities.** 2013.
 19. WHO: **World Health Report 2005: Make every mother and child count.** In. Geneva, Switzerland World Health Organization; 2005.
 20. NICE: **Antenatal care: Routine care for healthy pregnant woman.** In. London, UK; 2008.
 21. WHO: **Antenatal care in developing countries. Promises, achievements and missed opportunities: An analysis of trends, levels and differentials, 1990-2001** In. Geneva, Switzerland; 2003.
 22. WHO: **Guidelines for medico-legal care for victims of sexual violence.** In. Geneva, Switzerland; 2003.
 23. WHO: **Pregnancy, Childbirth, Postpartum and Newborn Care: A guide for essential practice.** In. Geneva, Switzerland; 2003.
 24. WHO: **Addressing violence against women and achieving the Millennium Development Goals.** In. Geneva, Switzerland; 2005.
 25. Holbrook AM, & Kaltenbach, K.A. : **Effectiveness of a smoking cessation intervention for methadone - maintained women: a comparison of pregnant and parenting women.** *International journal of pediatrics* 2011:567056.
 26. Menon R: **Spontaneous preterm birth, a clinical dilemma: etiologic, pathophysiological and genetic heterogeneities and racial disparity.** *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 2008, **87**(6):590-600.
 27. Medicine Io: **Preterm Birth: Causes, Consequences, and Prevention.** In. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2007.
 28. Torloni MR, Fortunato, S.J., Betran, A.P., Williams, S., Brou, L., et al. : **Ethnic disparity in spontaneous preterm birth and maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index** *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 2012, **285**(4):959-966.
 29. Carlson SE CJ, Gajewski BJ, Gustafson KM, Mundy D, Yeast J, Georgieff MK, Markley LA, Kerling EH, Shaddy DJ: **DHA supplementation and pregnancy outcomes.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013, **97**(4):808-815.
 30. Moore ML: **Preterm birth: a continuing challenge.** *The Journal of Perinatal Education* 2002, **11**(4):37-40.
 31. Tsikouras P, Dafopoulos, A., Trypsianis, G., Vrachnis, N., Bouchliariotou, S., et al: **Pregnancies and their obstetric outcome in two selected age groups of teenage women in Greece.** *Journal of Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2012, **25**(9):1606-1611.
 32. Serra V PA, Mesequer J, Parrilla JJ, Lara C, Bellver J, Grifol R, Alcover I, Sala M, Martinez-Escoriza JC, Pellicer A: **Increased doses of vaginal progesterone for the prevention of preterm birth in twin pregnancies: a randomized controlled double-blind multicenter trial.** *BJOG* 2013, **120**(1):50-57.
 33. Berghella V. TSfM-FMPC: **Progesterone and preterm birth prevention: Translating clinical trials data into clinical practice.** *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2012, **206**(5):376-386.
 34. Goya M. PL, Mercod C., Rodo C., Valle L., et al., on behalf of the Pesario Cervical para Evita Prematuridad (PECEP) trial group: **Cervical pessary in pregnant women with a short cervix (PECEP): an open-label randomized controlled trial.** *The Lancet* 2012, **379**(9828):1800-1806.
 35. Liem S, Schuit E, Hegeman M, Bais J, de Boer K, Bloemenkamp K, Brons J, Duvekot H, Bijvank BN, Franssen M, Gaugler I, de Graaf I, Oudijk M, Papatsonis D, Pernet P, Porath M, Scheepers L, Siddema M, Sporken J, Visser H, van Wijngaarden W, Woiski M, van Pampus M, Mol BW, Bekedam D: **Cervical pessaries for prevention of preterm birth in women with a multiple pregnancy (ProTwin): a multicenter, open-label randomized controlled trial.** *The Lancet* 2013.
 36. Devitt N: **Does the CenteringPregnancy Group Prenatal Care Program reduce preterm birth? The conclusions are premature.** *Birth* 2013, **40**(1):67-69.
 37. Piclesimer Ah BD, Hale N, Blackhurst D, Covington-Kolb S: **The effect of CenteringPregnancy group prenatal care on preterm birth in a low-income population.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2012, **206**(415):e1-415.e417.
 38. Prost A CT, Seward N, Azad K, Coomarasamy A, Copas A, Houweling TA, Fottrell E, Kussus A, Lweycka S, MacArthur C, Manandhar D, Morrison J, Mwansambo C, Nair N, Nambiar B, Osrin D, Pagel C, Phiri T, Pulkki-Brannstrom AM, Rosato M, Skordis-Worrall J, Saville N, More NS, Shrestha B, Tripathy P, Wilson A, Costello A: **Women's groups practicing participatory learning and action to improve maternal and newborn health in low-resource settings: a systematic meta-analysis.** *The Lancet* 2013, **381**(9879):1736-1746.
 39. Ballard P, & Radley, A. : **Give it up for baby - A smoking cessation intervention for pregnant women in Scotland.** *Cases in Public Health Communication & Marketing* 2009, **3**:147-160.
 40. Rattner D, Hamouche Abrea, I., de Oliveira Araujo, M., & Franca Santos, A: **Humanizing childbirth to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality.** In: *Birth Models that Work* Edited by R. Davis-Floyd. LB, & J. Tritten. Berkely: University of California Press; 2009: 385-414.
 41. Dimes Mo: **Healthy Babies are Worth the Wait®: Preventing Preterm Births through Community-based Interventions: An Implementation Manual.** In. New York: March of Dimes; 2011.
 42. Behague D VC, Barros F: **consumer demand for caesarean sections in Brazil: informed decision making, patient choice, or social inequality? A population based birth cohort study linking ethnography and epidemiological methods.** *British Medical Journal* 2001, **327**(3343):942-945.
 43. C. C: **Elective Cesarean Delivery: Trends, evidence and implications for women, newborn and nurses.** *Nursing for Women's Health* 2011, **15**(4):308-314.
 44. Jain T, Missmer, S. A., & Hornstein, M.D: **Trends in embryo-transfer practice and in outcomes of the use of assisted reproductive technology in the United States.** *The New England journal of medicine* 2004, **350**(16):1639-1645.
 45. Min JK, Claman, P., & Hughes, E: **Guidelines for the number of embryos to transfer following in vitro fertilization.** *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology Canada* 2006, **28**(9):799-813.
 46. Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Zeitlin, J., Lelong, N., Papiernik, E., Di Renzo, G.C., et al: **Employment, working conditions, and preterm birth: results from the Europop case-control survey.** *Journal of epidemiology and community health* 2004, **58**(5):395-401.
 47. Agbla F, Ergin, A., Boris, N.W. : **Occupational working conditions as risk factors for preterm birth in Benin, West Africa.** *Revue d'epidemiologie et de sante publique* 2006, **54**(2):157-165.
 48. Cox B ME, Nemery B, Vangronsveld J, Nawrot TS: **Impact of stepwise introduction of smoke-free legislation on the rate of preterm births: analysis of routinely collected birth data.** *BMJ* 2013, **346**:f441.
 49. Ritz BW, M.: **Ambient air pollution and adverse birth outcomes: Toxicological issues in an emerging field.** *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology* 2008, **102**(2):182-190.
 50. Leonard i-Bee J, Smyth, A., Britton, J., and Coleman, T: **Environmental tobacco smoke and fetal health: systematic review and meta- analysis.** *Archives of*

- disease in childhood *Fetal and neonatal edition* 2008, **93(5):F351-361**.
51. Pearce MS, Glinianaia, S.V., Ghosh, R., Rankin, J., Rushton, S., et al: **Particulate matter exposure during pregnancy is associated with birth weight, but not gestational age, 1962-1992: a cohort study.** *Environmental Health* 2012, **11(1):13**.
 52. Sram RJ, Binkova, B., Dejmeek, J., Chvatalova, I., Solansk y, I. et al. : **Association of DNA adducts and genotypes with birth weight.** *Mutation Research* 2006, **608(2):121-128**.
 53. Sapkota A, Chelikowski A, Nachman K, Ritz B. : **Exposure to particulate matter and adverse birth outcomes: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis.** *Air quality, atmosphere, and health* 2012, **5:369-381**.
 54. O'Neill MS O-VA, Buxton MA, Sanchez BN, Rojas-Bracho L, Castillo-Castrejon M, Mordhukovich IB, Brown DG, Vadillo-Ortega F: **Air pollution, inflammation and preterm birth in Mexico City: study design and methods.** *Sci Total Environ* 2013, **448:79-83**.
 55. Shiffman J: **Issue attention in global health: the case of newborn survival.** *The Lancet* 2010, **375(9730):2045-2049**.
 56. Bustreo F, Requejo, J., Merialdi, M., Presern, C., & Songane, F: **From safe motherhood, newborn and child survival partnerships to the continuum of care and accountability: Moving fast forward to 2015.** *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2012, **119(Suppl1):S6-8**.
 57. Dean S BZ, Mason EM, Howson CP, chandra-Mouli V, Lassi Z, Imam A: **Born Too Soon: Care before and between pregnancy.** *Reproductive Health* 2013, in press.
 58. Requejo J BJ, Deixel A, Victora C: **Countdown to 2015. Accountability for maternal, newborn and child survival: the 2013 update.** 2013.
 59. Beck S, Wojdyla, D., Say, L., Betran, A.P., Merialdi, M., et al. : **The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity.** *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2010, **88(1):31-38**.
 60. Kinney MV, Kerber, K.J., Black, R.E., Cohen, B., Nkrumah, F., et al. : **Sub-Saharan Africa's mothers, newborns, and children: where and why do they die? .** *PLoS Med* 2010, **7(6):e1000294**.
 61. Souza JP, Gulmezoglu, A.M., Carroli, G., Lumbiganon, P., & Qureshi, Z. : **The world health organization multicountry survey on maternal and newborn health: study protocol.** *BMC health services research* 2011, **11:286**.
 62. Biggio J, Christiaens, I., Katz, M., Menon, R., Merialdi, M., et al. : **A call for an international consortium on the genetics of preterm birth.** *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2008, **199(2):95-97**.
 63. Collins JW, Jr., David, R.J., Handler, A., Wall, S., & Andes, S: **Very low birthweight in African American infants: the role of maternal exposure to interpersonal racial discrimination.** *American journal of public health* 2004, **94(12):2132-2138**.
 64. Messer LC, Oakes, J.M., & Mason, S: **Effects of socioeconomic and racial residential segregation on preterm birth: a cautionary tale of structural confounding.** *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2010, **171(6):664-673**.
 65. Schempf AH, Kaufman, J. S., Messer, L. C., & Mendola, P: **The neighborhood contribution to black-white perinatal disparities: an example from two north carolina counties, 1999-2001.** *American journal of epidemiology* 2011, **174(6):744-752**.
 66. Qublan HS, Malkawi, H.Y., Hiasat, M.S., Hindawi, I.M., Al-Taani, M.I., et al: **The effect of antenatal corticosteroid therapy on pregnancies complicated by premature rupture of membranes.** *Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2001, **28(3):183-186**.
 67. Fekih M, Chaieb, A., Sboui, H., Denguezli, W., Hidar, S., et al. : **[Value of prenatal corticotherapy in the prevention of hyaline membrane disease in premature infants. Randomized prospective study].** *La Tunisie medicale* 2002, **80(5):260-265**.
 68. Pattinson RC, Makin, J.D., Funk, M., Delpont, S.D., Macdonald, A.P., et al. : **The use of dexamethasone in women with preterm premature rupture of membranes- -a multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial.** *Dexiprom Study Group. South African medical* 1999, **89(8):865-870**.
 69. Amorim MM, Santos, L.C., & Faundes, A. : **Corticosteroid therapy for prevention of respiratory distress syndrome in severe preeclampsia.** *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1999, **180(5):1283-1288**.
 70. Roberts D, & Dalziel, S. : **Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth.** *Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2006, **3:CD004454**.
 71. **The effect of antenatal steroids for fetal maturation on perinatal outcomes statement.** *NIH Consens Statement Online* 1994, **12(2):1-24**.
 72. Lawn JE KM, Mason EM, Belizan J, McDougall L, Lawson J, Hoswon CP: **Born Too Soon: Everyone has a role to play.** *Reproductive Health* 2013, in press.
 73. Lawn J.E. SJ, Beckens P, Althabe F, Belizan J, et al. : **Antenatal corticosteroids for the reduction of deaths in preterm babies. A case study prepared for the United Nation's Commission on Commodities for Women's and children's health.** 2012.
 74. Jones G, Steketee, R.W., Black, R.E., Bhutta, Z.A., & Morris, S.S.: **How many child deaths can we prevent this year?** *The Lancet* 2003, **362(9377):65-71**.
 75. Darmstadt GL, Bhutta, Z.A., Cousens, S., Adam, T., Walker, N., et al: **Evidence-based, cost-effective interventions: how many newborn babies can we save? .** *The Lancet* 2005, **365(9463):977-988**.
 76. Colomar M, Belizan, M., Cafferata, M. L., Labandera, A., Tomasso, G., et al. : **Practices of maternal and perinatal care performed in public hospitals of Uruguay].** *Ginecologia y obstetricia de Mexico* 2004, **72:455-465**.
 77. Forteza C, Díaz Rossello, J., Matijasevich, A., & Barros, F. : **Morbidity and mortality of very low birth weight (VLBW) infants in Montevideo, Uruguay. In: Abstracts from the XXXIX Annual Meeting of the Latin American Society for Pediatric Research.** Colonia del Sacramento, Uruguay, Nov. 2001. *Pediatric Research* 2002, **52(9):467**.
 78. Krauss Silva L, Pinheiro, T., Franklin, R., & Oliveira, N **Assessment of quality of obstetric care and corticoid use in preterm labor.** *Cadernos de Saude Publica* 1999, **15(4):1-23**.
 79. Vargas-Origel A, Leon Ramirez, D., Zamora- Orozco, J., & Vargas- Nieto, M.A. : **[Prenatal corticosteroids. Use and attitudes of the gynecology-obstetrics medical staff].** *Ginecologia y obstetricia de Mexico* 2000, **68:291-295**.
 80. Vallejo Valdivieso N, Chinga Sampedro, J, Sánchez Macías, M., & Tumbaco García, R. : **Epidemiología del parto pretérmino y su repercusión en la morbi-mortalidad neonatal registrados en el hospital Dr. Verdi Cevallos Balda / Epidemiología of the childbirth preterm and its repercussion in neonative morbi-mortality registered in hospital Dr Verdi Cevallos.** *Medicina (Guayaquil)* 2002, **8(1):36-41**.
 81. Leviton LC, Goldenberg, R. L., Baker, C. S., Schwartz, R. M., Freda, M. C., et al: **Methods to encourage the use of antenatal corticosteroid therapy for fetal maturation: a randomized controlled trial.** *JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association* 1999, **281(1):46-52**.
 82. Gulmezoglu AM, Langer, A., Piaggio, G., Lumbiganon, P., Villar, J., et al: **Cluster randomised trial of an active, multifaceted educational intervention based on the WHO Reproductive Health Library to improve obstetric practices.** *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2007, **114(1):16-23**.
 83. **resolution Uft: Resolution on implementation of the recommendations of the United Nations Commission on Life Saving Commodities for women and children.** 66th World Health Assembly. 2013.
 84. Menon R, Torloni, M.R., Voltolini, C., Torricelli, M., Merialdi, M., et al. : **Biomarkers of spontaneous preterm birth: an over view of the literature in the last four decades.** *Reproductive Sciences* 2011, **18(11):1046-1070**.
 85. Lee A, Katz, J., Blencowe, H., Cousens, S., Kozuki, N., et al. : **national and regional estimates of term and preterm babies born small for gestational age in 138 low-income and middle-income countries in 2010.** *The Lancet Global Health* 2013, **1(1):e26-e36**.
 86. Katz J, Lee, A., Kozuki, N., Lawn, J., Cousens, S., Blencowe, H, et al. : **Mortality risk in preterm and small for gestational age infants in low-income and middle-income countries: a pooled country analysis.** *The Lancet* 2013, **382(9890):417-425**.
 87. Black R, Victora, C., Walker, S., Bhutta, Z., Christian, P., de Onis, M., Ezzati, M., Grantham-McGregor, S., Katz, J, Martorell, R., Uauy, R., the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group: **maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries.** *The Lancet* 2013, **382(9890):427-451**.
 88. Kozuki N LA, Silveira M, Sania A, Vogel J, Adair L, Barros F, Caulfield L, Christian P, Fawzi W, Humphrey J, Huybregts L, Mongkolchatani A, Ntozini-Zvitambo R, Osrin D, Robberfroid D, Tielsch J, Vaidya A, Black R, Katz J.Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (CHERG) **small-for gestational age preterm birth working group: The association of parity and maternal age with small for gestation age, preterm and neonatal and infant mortality: a meta-analysis.** *BMC Public Health* 2013, in press.
 89. Kozuki N LA, Silveira M, Victora CG, Adair L, Humphrey J, Ntozini-Zvitambo R, Black R, Katz J. : **The associations of birth intervals with small for gestational age, preterm, and newborn and infant mortality: a meta-analysis.** *BMC Public Health* 2013, in press.

doi:10.1186/1742-4755-10-S1-S4
Cite this article as: Requejo J, et al.: Born Too Soon: Care during pregnancy and childbirth to reduce preterm deliveries and improve health outcomes of the preterm baby. *Reproductive Health* 2013, **10(Suppl 1):S4**.