Identification of Nonviable Genes Affecting Touch Sensitivity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Using Neuronally Enhanced Feeding RNA Interference

Xiaoyin Chen, Margarete Diaz Cuadros, and Martin Chalfie¹

Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027 ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-2807-6125 (X.C.); 0000-0002-9079-7046 (M.C.)

ABSTRACT *Caenorhabditis elegans* senses gentle touch along the body via six touch receptor neurons. Although genetic screens and microarray analyses have identified several genes needed for touch sensitivity, these methods miss pleiotropic genes that are essential for the viability, movement, or fertility of the animals. We used neuronally enhanced feeding RNA interference to screen genes that cause lethality or paralysis when mutated, and we identified 61 such genes affecting touch sensitivity, including five positive controls. We confirmed 18 genes by using available alleles, and further studied one of them, tag-170, now renamed txdc-9. txdc-9 preferentially affects anterior touch response but is needed for tubulin acetylation and microtubule formation in both the anterior and posterior touch receptor neurons. Our results indicate that neuronally enhanced feeding RNA interference screens complement traditional mutageneses by identifying additional nonviable genes needed for specific neuronal functions.

KEYWORDS

Caenorhabditis elegans mechanosensation lethal mca-3 txdc-9

The *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome contains 20,517 genes (WS245; WormBase 2014). Feeding RNA interference (RNAi) against ~86% of these genes, however, only identified 1722 genes whose reduction in expression produced a phenotype (Kamath *et al.* 2003). Of these 1722 genes, 1170 produced nonviable phenotypes. Although the low incidence of genes mutating to an obvious phenotype can be partially explained by ineffective systemic RNAi in the *C. elegans* nervous system and redundancy (Kamath *et al.* 2001), the high number of genes causing lethality when knocked down by RNAi suggests that genes needed for viability make up a considerable portion of the genome.

In *C. elegans* gentle touch along the body is sensed by six touch receptor neurons (TRNs; Chalfie and Sulston 1981; Chalfie and Au 1989). Saturated mutageneses for touch-insensitive mutants have identified 18 genes required for mechanosensation, including genes encoding components of the mechanotransduction complex, the cytoskeleton, the extracellular matrix, and genes affecting the

doi: 10.1534/g3.114.015776

¹Corresponding author: Department of Biological Sciences, 1012 Fairchild,

development of the TRNs (Chalfie and Au 1989; Du and Chalfie 2001; O'Hagan and Chalfie 2006; Bounoutas and Chalfie 2007). None of these genes is essential. DNA microarrays identified additional genes that were highly expressed in the TRNs and that were also needed for TRN function or differentiation (Zhang *et al.* 2002; Zhang and Chalfie 2002; Topalidou and Chalfie 2011; Topalidou *et al.* 2011). These analyses, however, were biased against genes that act pleiotropically. Genes needed for touch sensitivity, but also for movement, fertility, or viability in other cells, would not have been identified easily.

In this paper we describe an RNAi-based screen to identify pleotropic genes needed for touch sensitivity. Because the nervous system is mostly dispensable for viability in C. elegans, neuronally enhanced feeding RNAi allows the screening of genes with nonviable phenotypes for neuronal phenotypes. We previously used neuronally enhanced feeding RNAi to identify several focal adhesion genes needed for optimal touch sensitivity (Calixto et al. 2010). The complete loss-of-function alleles of these genes cause lethality, but the touch insensitivity was confirmed in mosaic animals (Chen and Chalfie 2014). Here we take advantage of a previous genome-wide screen for genes that cause lethality and developmental arrest when mutated (Fraser et al. 2000; Kamath et al. 2003) to identify genes that are involved in TRN function and development. We found 61 such genes (five of which had been previously identified) and confirmed 18 of the new genes using partial loss-of-function alleles or maternally rescued null alleles.

Copyright © 2015 Chen et al.

Manuscript received November 8, 2014; accepted for publication January 7, 2015; published Early Online January 9, 2015.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

MC#2446, Columbia University, 1212 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10027. E-mail: mc21@columbia.edu

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains

C. elegans strains were maintained at 15° as described by Brenner (1974). EG3350[oxEx593(mca-3p::gfp)] (Bednarek et al. 2007) was a gift from Eric Jorgenson; CB47 [unc-11(e47) I], GS2526 [arIs37 I; mca-3(ar492) dpy-20(e1282) IV], RB1887 [tom-1(ok2437) I], VC206 (fzy-1&cyp-44A1(ok312) unc-4(e120)/mIn1 [mIs14 dpy-10(e128)] II), VC3455 (+/hT2 [bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qIs48] I; kin-18(ok395)/hT2 III), VC556 {+/hT2 [bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qIs48] I; txdc-9 vps-16 (ok776)/hT2 III} (note: this paper renames tag-170 as txdc-9), SSM42 [let-92(ok1537)/nT1 qIs51 IV; +/nT1 V], CB408 [unc-43(e408) IV], KY46 [cab-1(tg46) X], MT7553 [dpy-19(e1259) sqv-3(n2842)/eT1 III; +/eT1 V], VC724 (mog-5(ok1101)/mIn1 [mIs14 dpy-10(e128)] II), PK172 (ptc-1(ok122) unc-4(e120)/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II), MT8626 [goa-1(n3055) I], VC1148 (vha-5(ok1588)/nT1 [qIs51] IV; +/nT1 V), VC927 (+/hT2 [bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qIs48] I; pnk-1 (ok1435) I/hT2 III), VC2226 (cgt-3(ok2877)/mIn1 [mIs14 dpy-10 (e128)] II), RB1278 (let-502(ok1283)/hT2 [bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qIs48] I; +/hT2 III}, and VC1400 (cdk-1(ok1882)/ hT2 [bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qIs48] I; +/hT2 III) were from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center; TU3595 (Calixto et al. 2010), and TU4706 [arIs37 I; mca-3(ar492)dpy-20(e1282) IV; uEx924(mec-17p::rfp, mec-3p:: mca-3c)], TU4707 (+/hT2 [bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qIs48] I; txdc-9 vps-16(ok776)/hT2 III; uEx925[mec-17p::rfp, mec-3p::txdc-9]) were from laboratory stocks. TU4707 and TU4706 were made by injecting VC556 and GS2526 with the indicated constructs, respectively.

Constructs

The rescuing constructs of *mec-3p::txdc-9* and *mec-3p::mca-3c* were made using the Multisite Gateway Three-Fragment Vector system (Life technologies, Grand Island, NY). The plasmid *mec-17p::rfp* and the entry clones containing *mec-3p* and *unc-54 3'utr* were described by Chen and Chalfie (2015). *txdc-9* genomic sequence was amplified using the primers GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCT TAatggccgctaatattcaacagcagt and GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGA AAGCTGGGTGctaccaatcctcttgttatcgtactcc. *mca-3c* cDNA sequence was amplified using primers GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAG CAGGCTTAatgcccgaatatggtgcatcactg and GGGGACCACTTTGTA CAAGAAAGCTGGGTGtagattgttcgtctccttgatatttccacg. These fragments were then inserted into pDONR221 using standard Gateway BP reactions to create the entry clones.

Feeding RNAi screen

The list of lethal RNAi was pulled from WormBase WS200 (http:// ws200.wormbase.org/) using WormMart (http://caprica.caltech. edu:9002/biomart/martview/eb8ae77c42524bf40ecbee9f5c7feab6) using the following phenotype codes: lethal, adult_lethal, embryonic_ lethal, embryonic_terminal_arrest_variable_emb, embryonic_lethal_ late_emb, larval_lethal, larval_arrest, late_larval_lethal, late_larval_arrest, paralyzed, one_cell_arrest_early_emb, and embryonic_terminal_arrest_ variable_emb. The search was restricted to RNAi experiments described by Fraser *et al.* (2000) and Kamath *et al.* (2003), which used the Ahringer RNAi library.

Feeding RNAi treatments were performed as described by Calixto *et al.* (2010) in TU3595 for the screen. Animals were grown on sixwell plates. Each RNAi bacterial strain was tested five times independently, and genes that produced touch insensitivity in at least four of five tests were considered to cause touch insensitivity. Positive RNAi constructs were sequenced to confirm their identities.

During the initial round of screen, 190 of the 849 RNAi constructs produced anterior touch insensitivity in our hands. Because the number of false-positive results is always equal or smaller than the number of all positives, our screen has an estimated maximum falsepositive rate of ~20% (assuming that all 190 positives are falsepositive). To reduce false-positive results, we performed multiple independent tests and scored an RNAi construct positive only if it produced touch insensitivity four of five times. Because the falsepositive rate must be equal to or less than the total positive rate of 20%, the theoretical upper limit for the false-positive rate for five tests is ${}_{5}C_{1} \times 0.2^{4} \times 0.8 + 0.2^{5} = 0.67\%$, corresponding to $0.67\% \times 849 = 6$ false positives of the 61 genes obtained from the screen. The actual false-positive rate, however, is likely to be much lower than this upper bound, given that a large fraction of the 190 positives from the initial round of the screen were real positives. Using the number of positives after five rounds of screens, we estimate that the real false-positive rate of a single round of screen to be [190 - (61 - 6)]/849 = 16%. Using this estimate, we find the actual false-positive rate for five tests is $_{5}C_{1} \times$ $0.16^4 \times 0.84 + 0.16^5 = 0.29\%$, corresponding to $0.29\% \times 849 = 2$ false-positive results.

Touch sensitivity assay

We tested touch sensitivity as described by Chen and Chalfie (2014). Each animal was tested five times anteriorly and five times posteriorly (Hobert *et al.* 1999), or five times anteriorly only for RNAi tests, because feeding RNAi is less effective for posterior touch sensitivity (Calixto *et al.* 2010). A total of 10-20 animals were tested each time. The average and SEM of the response of at least three biological replicates were reported and used for statistical analyses.

Microscopy

Animals were immobilized on 4% agarose pads with 30 mM sodium azide and imaged on a Zeiss Observer Z1 inverted microscope using a Zeiss Apochromat 40× 0.95 air objective for TRN antibody staining against MEC-7 and MEC-18, a Zeiss Apochromat 100× 1.4 oil objective for antibody staining against acetylated tubulin and MEC-2, and a Zeiss Neofluor 10× 0.3 objective for green fluorescent protein (GFP) imaging. The images were acquired with a Photometrics Coolsnap HQ2 camera using Zeiss Colibri 2 as the excitation source.

Single-molecule messenger RNA (mRNA) fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH)

Single-molecule mRNA FISH was performed as previously described (Topalidou *et al.* 2011).

Antibody staining

Adult *txdc-9* and wild-type animals were fixed as described by Miller and Shakes (1995) using a fixation buffer containing 1% formaldehyde and 50% methanol. Other strains were fixed using a fixation buffer containing 1% paraformaldehyde and 20% methanol. Mouse anti-MEC-18 (1:200; S. Zhang and M. Chalfie, personal communication), mouse anti-MEC-2 (1:200; Zhang *et al.* 2004), mouse anti-MEC-7 (1:200; Hamelin *et al.* 1992) and rabbit anti-acetylated tubulin (1:200; 6-11B1, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), monoclonal primary antibodies were used for staining overnight at 4°. Alexa Fluor 488conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:1000; Life Technologies) and Cy-3 goat anti-rabbit (1:1000; Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA), or Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:1000; Life Technologies) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:1000; Jackson Immunoresearch) secondary antibodies were applied for a period of

Gene Name	Description	Confirmed by Mutation
Transcription and translation related		
Y4/H9C./	EIFZB β subnit homolog	
tat-5	TAF (TBP-associated transcription factor) family	
	A reason single tRNA such store	
nars-i	Asparaginyi-triva synthetase	Vee
mog-5	DEAH RINA helicase orthologous to PRP22 proteins.	res
	TELE & cubunit	
r54D5.11	FIE β subulit 5' $>$ 3' exercises	
CA8E7 2	PNAPal IIIC homolog	
D2085 3	FIF2B & subunit	
E55E8 3	WD40-repeat-containing subunit of the 18S rRNA processing complex	
F19F10 9	Human SART1 homolog U4/U6 U5 tri-snRNP-associated protein 1	
Transcription and splicing control		
dmd-5	Doublesex/mab-3 like	
mfap-1	Microfibrillar-associated protein homolog, controls alternative splicing	
Protein degradation		
pas-4	Proteasome α -type seven subunit of the core 20S	
	proteasome subcomplex	
rpn-1	Non-ATPase subunit of proteasome 19S regulatory subcomplex	
Calcium signaling		
mca-3	Plasma membrane Ca ²⁺ ATPase	Yes
cal-2	Calmodulin homolog	
unc-43	CaMKII	Yes
Adhesion/focal adhesion complex		
pxl-1	Paxillin 1	
pat-2ª	α-integrin subunit	Yes
pat-4ª	Integrin-linked kinase	Yes
pat-6ª	α-parvin (Actopaxin)	Yes
unc-112ª	Orthologous to human mitogen-inducible gene-2	Yes
unc-9/ª	LIM domain-containing protein of the PINCH family	Yes
lam-2	Laminin γ subunit	
nmr-1		
ifa 3	Essential intermediate filament protein	
nd-3	Putative prefolding orthologous to human VBP1 that is required for	
pia-o	a-tubulin synthesis	
tag-170/txdc-9	Thioredoxin domain-containing protein orthologous to human TXNDC9	Yes
cdk-1	Cyclin-dependent kinase, orthologous to CDC28 from S. cerevisiae	Yes
fzy-1	An ortholog of S. cerevisiae Cdc20, predicted to regulate metaphase-	Yes
5	anaphase transition	
knl-1	Novel acidic protein, kinetochore component	
myo-3	Myosin heavy chain A	
Endo/exocytosis and synaptic functions		
tom-1	Tomosyn ortholog, binds SNAP25 (RIC-4)	Yes
unc-11	Clathrin-adaptor protein AP180	Yes
cab-1	Novel protein with a C-terminal motif weakly homologous to NPDC-1	Yes
Mitochondria		
T20H4.5	23-kDa subunit of mitochondrial complex I	
Y37D8A.18	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein, small	
F43E2.7	Mitochondrial carrier nomolog	
Signaling pathways	Orthology (Description PATCHED (PTC) and have PTCH	V
	Ortholog of Drosophila PATCHED (PTC) and human PTCH	res
	Urtholog of Drosophila PATCHED (PTC) and human PTCH	Vee
yua-i kin 18		Vec
lat_502	Rha-binding Ser/Thr kinase orthologous to human mustanic ductranhy	Vec
ICI-JUZ	kinase (DM kinase)	1 25
let-92	Catalytic subunit of protein phosphatase 2Δ (PP2 Δ)	Yes
F47F2.1	PKA homolog	103
R03E1.2	Renin receptor homolog	

(continued)

Gene Name	Description	Confirmed by Mutation
Others		
nsf-1	NSF (N-ethylmaleimide sensitive secretion factor) homolog, may	
	be required for ER to Golgi transport	
gfi-2	GEI-4 (four) interacting protein	
glf-1	UDP-galactopyranose mutase	
K12H4.4	Signal peptidase complex subunit	
pnk-1	Pantothenate kinase	Yes
saps-1	SAPS (phosphatase-associated) domain protein	
vha-5	Subunit a of the membrane-bound (V0) domain of vacuolar proton- translocating ATPase (V-ATPase)	Yes
crn-1	Cell death–related 5'-3' exonuclease, homologous to mammalian flap endonuclease 1 (FEN1)	
cgt-3	Ceramide glucosyltransferase, required for glycosphingolipid production	Yes
sqv-3	β -(1,4)-galactosyltransferase, required for cytokinesis	Yes
T19B10.2	Nematode-specific protein	
F26G1.2	Nematode-specific protein	
C30B5.6	Unknown protein	

Gene names and their inferred homologies are listed. The genes were grouped according to their putative functions and/or the functions of their orthologs. tRNA, transfer RNA; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; SAPS, SIT4 phosphatase-associated protein.

^a Five positive controls previously shown to affect touch sensitivity (Chen and Chalfie 2014).

1 hr at room temperature. The intensity of staining was measured in ImageJ (Schneider *et al.* 2012) after manual background subtraction using a nearby region off the process as described by Chen and Chalfie (2015). The average and SEM of the response of at least three biological replicates were reported and used for statistical analyses. Each biological replicate included 15-25 animals.

Statistical analysis

Mann-Whitney U-test was used to evaluate single molecule mRNA FISH data. All other results were evaluated using Student's *t*-test. All P values reported are after Bonferroni correction. Throughout the paper, we used "N" to indicate the number of biological replicates, each containing multiple animals, and "n" to indicate the number of individual animals.

RESULTS

Feeding RNAi screen for genes affecting touch sensitivity

A total of 916 bacterial strains from the Ahringer RNAi library produced lethality or paralysis (Fraser *et al.* 2000; Kamath *et al.* 2003), but only 849 strains were available for the screen (the other bacterial strains were not viable). Five of these strains carried constructs targeting focal adhesion genes (*pat-2, pat-4, pat-6, unc-97*, and *unc-112*). These genes, which are known to affect touch sensitivity (Hobert *et al.* 1999; Calixto *et al.* 2010; Chen and Chalfie 2014), were included in our screen as blind positive controls.

To test whether other genes whose loss normally prevents testing of touch sensitivity (because of lethality or paralysis) affected mechanosensation, we performed neuronally enhanced RNAi in strain TU3595 (Calixto *et al.* 2010) and tested anterior touch sensitivity. TU3595 carries an *unc-119p::sid-1* construct that expresses *sid-1(+)*, a gene needed for the transport of dsRNA into cells (Winston *et al.* 2002), mostly in neurons in a *sid-1 him-5; lin-15b* background. These animals showed enhanced neuronal RNAi phenotype and diminished RNAi phenotype in other tissues. RNAi to 61 genes, including all five positive controls, reduced touch sensitivity (Table 1). Twelve of the newly identified genes are involved in housekeeping functions such as general transcription and translation and are likely to cause general deficiencies in the TRNs. The remaining 44 newly identified genes are involved in transcriptional regulation, protein degradation, cellular adhesion, cytoskeletal structure and function, endo/exocytosis, mitochondrial function, calcium signaling, and other



Figure 1 The anterior (A) and posterior (B) responses to five touches of the indicated animals. Individual data points mark mean response from a plate of animals. Mean and SEM of individual data points are also marked. N = 3 for all mutants and N = 14 for wild type. For all values, P < 0.05 compared with wild-type after Bonferroni correction except for *pnk-1* posterior response.

signaling cascades. One additional gene, $tba-1/\alpha$ -tubulin, was not included in the results because the RNAi construct targeting tba-1 also targets a second α -tubulin, *mec-12*, which is needed for TRN mechanosensation (Fukushige *et al.* 1999).

We tested the touch sensitivity of animals carrying loss-of-function alleles for 18 of the 61 genes. These strains either carried partial loss-of-function alleles or null alleles that were maternally rescued and, thus, produced young larvae whose touch sensitivity could be tested. All mutants tested produced anterior touch insensitivity (Figure 1A, P < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction), indicating that our screen had a low false-positive rate. Most of the mutants also showed defective posterior touch response to varying degrees (Figure 1B; *pnk-1* was not significantly different from wild type after Bonferroni correction).

We did additional tests with one of the confirmed genes, *mca-3*. *mca-3* encodes a plasma membrane Ca²⁺ ATPase that maintains intracellular Ca²⁺ level by extruding cytosolic Ca²⁺ (Bednarek *et al.* 2007). Consistent with a previous report (Bednarek *et al.* 2007), *mca-3* is expressed throughout the body, including in the TRNs, as shown by *mca-3* promoter green fluorescent protein fusion (Figure 2A). A partial loss-of-function allele of *mca-3(ar492)* was viable and caused a partial reduction of touch sensitivity (Figure 2B). Expressing wild-type *mca-3* driven by a *mec-3* promoter restored the touch sensitivity, suggesting that *mca-3* acts cell-autonomously in the TRNs. The loss of touch sensitivity in *mca-3* mutant is further confirmed by reduced saturated calcium response elicited by touch (Figure 2C). These results indicate that *mca-3* affects touch sensitivity.

To look for morphologic defects in the TRNs, we stained animals carrying mutations in 13 of the 18 genes with an antibody against MEC-18 (Figure 3; we were unable to characterize MEC-18 expression in *ptc-1*, *mog-5*, *let-92*, *sqv-3*, and *vha-5* mutants either because we were unable to identify homozygous mutants after fixation or because the homozygotes died too young). MEC-18 is expressed exclusively in the TRNs; except for the nucleus, MEC-18 is found throughout the TRNs. Eleven of the strains had grossly normal anterior (ALM) and posterior (PLM) TRNs, demonstrating that these genes are not needed for process outgrowth and that the cells have been generated.

Animals carrying a mutation in the two remaining genes, *tom-1* and *tag-170* (described below) had defective TRNs. Both the ALM and PLM neurons in *tom-1* mutants had longer posterior processes. We do not know whether this phenotype is related to the touch insensitivity seen in these animals, especially because *tom-1* is known to be involved in synaptic vesicle exocytosis (Gracheva *et al.* 2006).

TXDC-9 is preferentially required for touch sensitivity in the anterior TRNs

tag-170 encodes a conserved thioredoxin domain-containing protein homologous to TXNDC9 in humans, which is involved in microtubule growth and organization (Ogawa *et al.* 2004; Srayko *et al.* 2005). Because the gene name *tag* stands for *te*mporarily assigned gene, we have renamed this genes *txdc-9* for *t*hioredoxin *d*omain-containing protein 9. Because the specialized 15-protofilament microtubules unique to the TRNs are required for normal TRN function (Bounoutas *et al.* 2009, 2011), we studied this gene further. Because animals homozygous for the deletion mutation *txdc-9(ok776)* arrested at various larval stages (presumably because of maternal rescue), we compared maternally rescued *txdc-9* animals from a balanced heterozygous strain (VC556) and their heterozygous siblings. Some of the *txdc-9(ok776)* showed movement defects or were paralyzed. The maternally rescued *txdc-9* animals were insensitive to anterior touch, but retained partial posterior touch sensitivity (Figure 4A).

Neither a 400-bp nor a 2-kb promoter region upstream of txdc-9 fused with *gfp* drove visible transgene expression (data not shown), but single-molecule mRNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (Topalidou *et al.* 2011) detected abundant txdc-9 transcripts throughout the animal, including in the ALM and PLM neurons (Figure 4, B and C). No transcripts were detected in txdc-9 homozygous mutants (Figure 4, B and C), indicating that our probe was specific for txdc-9. Because ALM and PLM neurons express txdc-9 at similar levels, the difference in anterior and posterior touch sensitivity suggests that txdc-9 is more critical to anterior touch sensitivity. Wild-type copies of txdc-9 expressed under a *mec-3* promoter, which drives expression in all six TRNs and two additional pairs of mechanosensory neurons (Way and



Figure 2 MCA-3 is required for touch sensitivity. (A) mca-3p::gfp is expressed in the TRNs. The white arrows mark the ALM and PLM cells. (B) Anterior (left) and posterior (right) touch sensitivity of mca-3 animals with or without mec-3p:: mca-3(+) (TRN::mca-3c). Individual data points mark mean response from a plate of animals. Means and SEMs of the individual data points are also shown. *P < 0.05, and **P < 0.001. N \ge 3. (C) Maximum calcium response elicited by touch in wild-type and mca-3 animals, as detected by relative changes in GCaMP3 fluorescence. Individual data points as well as the means and SEMs are shown. $n \ge 7$. **P < 0.001.



Figure 3 TRN morphology in touch-defective mutants. Representative images of MEC-18 staining in ALM and PLM processes of the indicated mutants. White arrowheads denote TRN cell bodies. One or two PLM cell bodies can be seen in each image depending on the focal plane. White arrows denote the end of posterior processes in *tom-1* mutants. Scale bar = 10 μ m. TRN, touch receptor neuron.

Chalfie 1989), restored anterior touch sensitivity in *txdc-9* animals and slightly rescued posterior touch sensitivity (Figure 4A), indicating that TXDC-9 acts cell-autonomously in the TRNs for optimal touch sensitivity.

TXDC-9 is needed for microtubule function in the TRNs

Consistent with a role of TXDC-9 in microtubule formation, *txdc-9* animals had reduced levels of MEC-7 β -tubulin (Figure 5, A and B) and no acetylated α -tubulin in the ALM and PLM processes [Figure 5A; fluorescence was not quantified for acetylated tubulin because staining was consistently seen only in heterozygotes (all 39 ALM neurons and 31 PLM neurons) but not in any homozygous mutant animals (all 23 ALM neurons and 22 PLM neurons)]. Although tubulin acetylation was eliminated in both ALM and PLM neurons, the reduction in MEC-7 was nearly sixfold greater in the ALM neurons than in the PLM neurons (6% of wild-type intensity in ALM neurons *vs.* 44% of wild-type intensity in PLM neurons, *P* < 0.0008; N = 3). These results indicate that TXDC-9 is needed for tubulin acetylation in both the ALM and PLM neurons, but it may be more critical for microtubules in the ALM neurons.

Disruption of microtubules in the TRNs also reduces expression of proteins essential for touch sensitivity, including MEC-2 and MEC-18 (Bounoutas *et al.* 2009, 2011). In *txdc-9*—deficient animals, the ALM and PLM processes also exhibited diminished levels of MEC-18 (Figure 5, A and C). MEC-2 levels were reduced in the distal processes but remained relatively unchanged or increased in the proximal processes (Figure 5, A and D; P < 0.01 comparing the proximal section to the distal section of both ALM and PLM neurons), suggesting defects in the transport of MEC-2 along the process. Similar defects were seen for both MEC-2 and MEC-18 in the ALM and PLM neurons, suggesting that these changes are unlikely to account for the differential touch sensitivity in *txdc-9* mutants. The reduced expression and transport defects in MEC-2 and MEC-18 distribution are consistent with defective microtubule organizations in these cells (Bounoutas *et al.* 2009, 2011).

DISCUSSION

We have systematically screened genes that cause nonviable phenotypes when mutated using tissue specific RNAi and have identified 61 genes that potentially affect mechanosensation in the TRNs (including five positive controls), out of 849 genes screened (Table 1). This ratio (61/849 = 7%) is much greater than the ratio of genes needed for touch sensitivity as identified by forward genetic screens (15/20,517 =0.1%). Animals carrying mutations in many of these genes had TRNs with normal morphology (Figure 3), suggesting that reduction in the activities of these genes did not reduce touch sensitivity by affecting the general cellular functions or the development of the TRNs. We have estimated the false positive rate to be low (~2 of 61), but this estimation only included randomly occurring false-positive results. The actual false-positive rate may be greater because of possible offtarget effects from the RNAi constructs. Nevertheless, the actual falsepositive rate is probably still very low, given that all mutants tested were confirmed to be touch insensitive. Some of the candidates identified either form protein complexes with additional proteins (such as TAF-5 and IFA-3) or function similarly with other genes that are likely to be essential for viability (such as *nars-1* and *hars-1*). These additional genes were not identified in our screen, perhaps because they act redundantly, the RNAi was ineffective, or the genes were not essential for survival and thus were not screened.

In addition to genes needed for mechanosensation, we expected that this screen would also uncover genes needed for general cell functions. Indeed, at least 12 of the candidate genes are involved in cellular housekeeping functions, including general transcription factors, RNA polymerase subunits, and tRNA synthetases. One of these genes, *mog-5*, was confirmed using a maternally rescued null allele. Additional genes may also be needed for cellular housekeeping but were not discovered in our screen, probably due to redundancy.

A total of 17 other nonhousekeeping genes were confirmed using maternally rescued null alleles or partial loss-of-function alleles. The nonhousekeeping genes, however, do not necessarily function specifically in mechanosensation. Candidate genes in several groups, including protein degradation, calcium signaling, cytoskeleton, mitochondria, and synaptic functions, are likely to affect neuronal functions in general. Some of these genes, nevertheless, may have specific roles in the TRNs.

One of the candidate genes, *txdc-9*, is needed for microtubule function and is conserved from yeast to humans (Ogawa *et al.* 2004). Although we have identified a function of TXDC-9 in mechanosensation, TXDC-9 generally is required for normal spindle formation during cell division (Ogawa *et al.* 2004). The mammalian homolog



Figure 4 TXDC-9 is needed cellautonomously for TRN functions. (A) Anterior (left) and posterior (right) responses to five touches of txdc-9 (ok776) animals with or without mec-3p::txdc-9(+) [TRN::txdc-9]. Individual data points and the mean and SEMs are shown. N = 3 for all strains, *P <0.005 for anterior touch and P < 0.05for posterior touch. (B) Representative pictures of single molecule mRNA fluorescence in situ hybridization against txdc-9 in the ALM and PLM neurons in wild-type and txdc-9 animals. Scale bar = 5 μ m. (C) Quantifications of the number of txdc-9 transcripts in ALM and PLM neurons of wild-type (+) and txdc-9 animals. *P < 0.0001 between wild type and txdc-9. TRN, touch receptor neuron.

of *txdc-9*, *TXNDC9*, modulates tubulin folding in complex with the chaperone CCT, maintains β -tubulin levels, and promotes cytoskeletal remodeling (Stirling *et al.* 2006; Hayes *et al.* 2011). The *C. elegans cct* genes also are needed for optimal touch sensitivity and microtubule acetylation (Keller 2011). Consistent with a general role of TXDC-9 in microtubule dynamics, animals homozygous for the *txdc-9* mutation were defective in movement, a phenotype also seen when microtubules are disrupted by benomyl and other microtubule drugs (Chalfie and Thomson 1982).

We find that *txdc-9* is needed for tubulin acetylation and the function of the specialized microtubules in the TRNs, which are needed for normal mechanotransduction (Chalfie and Sulston 1981;

Chalfie and Thomson 1982; Bounoutas *et al.* 2009). These specialized microtubules are heavily acetylated by MEC-17, an α -tubulin acetyltransferase (Akella *et al.* 2010), but this acetylation is not needed for mechanotransduction (Topalidou *et al.* 2012). Thus, the effect of *txdc-9* loss on touch sensitivity and MEC-7/ β -tubulin levels is likely to be independent of its effect on tubulin acetylation in both ALM and PLM neurons. Other defects in the TRNs, including the changes in the expression and distribution of MEC-2 and MEC-18, also are consistent with a more general role of TXDC-9 in microtubule function and organization. Because these defects were stronger in the ALM neurons than in the PLM neurons, they reveal TRN subtype differences in microtubule activity.





Genes involved in classical signaling cascades may affect TRN functions more specifically. A previous RNAi screen for genes involved in integrin signaling found that the insulin-like pathway is involved in gain control in the TRNs (Chen and Chalfie 2014). Hilliard and Bargmann (2006) showed that Wnt signaling establishes the correct morphology of the TRN processes. We have identified and confirmed genes in several additional classical signaling pathways, including Hedgehog signaling pathway (*ptc-1*), G proteins (*goa-1*), and Rho GTPase (*let-502*), suggesting that these signaling pathways may also affect the function or the development of the TRNs.

Genes involved in focal adhesion complexes have been shown previously to be involved in TRN functions (Calixto *et al.* 2010; Chen and Chalfie 2014). In this screen, we identified two additional adhesion molecules needed for touch sensitivity. *pxl-1*, encoding a Paxillin, likely functions with the other focal adhesion proteins (Warner *et al.* 2011) in regulating touch sensitivity. *hmr-1* encodes a classical cadherin that functions independently of the focal adhesion complexes. This result suggests that the cadherin complexes also may contribute to mechanosensation in the TRNs.

In summary, our results suggest that screens using neuronally enhanced feeding RNAi complement traditional forward mutagenesis screens in identifying genes needed for neuronal functions. The interpretation of the candidates from such screens, however, requires confirmation and further analysis using null alleles. In addition, mosaic analysis can be used to overcome lethality by testing animals lacking the essential genes specifically in the TRNs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by National Institutes of Health Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440), and the *C. elegans* Gene Knockout Consortium for providing strains. We thank Bradley Collins, Katherine Montelione, and Aaron Scheffler for helping with the RNAi screen. This work was supported by grant GM30997, to M.C., from the National Institutes of Health.

LITERATURE CITED

- Akella, J. S., D. Wloga, J. Kim, N. G. Starostina, S. Lyons-Abbott *et al.*, 2010 MEC-17 is an alpha-tubulin acetyltransferase. Nature 467: 218– 222.
- Bednarek, E. M., L. Schaheen, J. Gaubatz, E. M. Jorgensen, and H. Fares, 2007 The plasma membrane calcium ATPase MCA-3 is required for clathrin-mediated endocytosis in scavenger cells of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Traffic 8: 543–553.
- Bounoutas, A., and M. Chalfie, 2007 Touch sensitivity in *Caenorhabditis* elegans. Pflugers Arch. 454: 691–702.
- Bounoutas, A., R. O'Hagan, and M. Chalfie, 2009 The multipurpose 15-protofilament microtubules in *C. elegans* have specific roles in mechanosensation. Curr. Biol. 19: 1362–1367.

Bounoutas, A., J. Kratz, L. Emtage, C. Ma, K. C. Nguyen *et al.*, 2011 Microtubule depolymerization in *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch receptor neurons reduces gene expression through a p38 MAPK pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 3982–3987.

Brenner, S., 1974 The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 77: 71– 94.

Calixto, A., D. Chelur, I. Topalidou, X. Chen, and M. Chalfie,

- 2010 Enhanced neuronal RNAi in *C. elegans* using SID-1. Nat. Methods 7: 554–559.
- Chalfie, M., and J. Sulston, 1981 Developmental genetics of the mechanosensory neurons of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 82: 358–370.
- Chalfie, M., and J. N. Thomson, 1982 Structural and functional diversity in the neuronal microtubules of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J. Cell Biol. 93: 15– 23.

- Chalfie, M., and M. Au, 1989 Genetic control of differentiation of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch receptor neurons. Science 243: 1027–1033.
- Chen, X., and M. Chalfie, 2014 Modulation of *C. elegans* touch sensitivity is integrated at multiple levels. J. Neurosci. 34: 6522–6536.
- Chen, X., and M. Chalfie, 2015 Regulation of mechanosensation in *C. elegans* through ubiquitination of the MEC-4 mechanotransduction channel. J. Neurosci. 35: 2200–2212.
- Du, H., and M. Chalfie, 2001 Genes regulating touch cell development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 158: 197–207.
- Fraser, A. G., R. S. Kamath, P. Zipperlen, M. Martinez-Campos, M. Sohrmann et al., 2000 Functional genomic analysis of *C. elegans* chromosome I by systematic RNA interference. Nature 408: 325–330.
- Fukushige, T., Z. K. Siddiqui, M. Chou, J. G. Culotti, C. B. Gogonea *et al.*, 1999 MEC-12, an alpha-tubulin required for touch sensitivity in *C. elegans.* J. Cell Sci. 112(Pt 3): 395–403.
- Gracheva, E. O., A. O. Burdina, A. M. Holgado, M. Berthelot-Grosjean, B. D. Ackley et al., 2006 Tomosyn inhibits synaptic vesicle priming in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Biol. 4: e261.
- Hamelin, M., I. M. Scott, J. C. Way, and J. G. Culotti, 1992 The mec-7 betatubulin gene of *Caenorhabditis elegans* is expressed primarily in the touch receptor neurons. EMBO J. 11: 2885–2893.
- Hayes, N. V., L. Josse, C. M. Smales, and M. J. Carden, 2011 Modulation of phosducin-like protein 3 (PhLP3) levels promotes cytoskeletal remodelling in a MAPK and RhoA-dependent manner. PLoS One 6: e28271.
- Hilliard, M. A., and C. I. Bargmann, 2006 Wnt signals and frizzled activity orient anterior-posterior axon outgrowth in *C. elegans*. Dev. Cell 10: 379–390.
- Hobert, O., D. G. Moerman, K. A. Clark, M. C. Beckerle, and G. Ruvkun, 1999 A conserved LIM protein that affects muscular adherens junction integrity and mechanosensory function in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J. Cell Biol. 144: 45–57.
- Kamath, R. S., M. Martinez-Campos, P. Zipperlen, A.G. Fraser, and J. Ahringer, 2001 Effectiveness of specific RNA-mediated interference through ingested double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genome Biol 2: RESEARCH0002.
- Kamath, R. S., A. G. Fraser, Y. Dong, G. Poulin, R. Durbin *et al.*, 2003 Systematic functional analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome using RNAi. Nature 421: 231–237.
- Keller, C. C., 2011 Microtubules, acetylation, and MEC-3 regulated genes in C. elegans mechanosensations. Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, New York.
- Miller, D. N., and D. C. Shakes, 1995 Immunofluorescence microscopy. Methods Cell Biol. 48: 365–394.
- Ogawa, S., Y. Matsubayashi, and E. Nishida, 2004 An evolutionarily conserved gene required for proper microtubule architecture in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genes Cells 9: 83–93.
- O'Hagan, R., and M. Chalfie, 2006 Mechanosensation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Int. Rev. Neurobiol. 69: 169–203.
- Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri, 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9: 671–675.
- Srayko, M., A. Kaya, J. Stamford, and A. A. Hyman, 2005 Identification and characterization of factors required for microtubule growth and nucleation in the early *C. elegans* embryo. Dev. Cell 9: 223–236.

Stirling, P. C., J. Cuellar, G. A. Alfaro, F. El Khadali, C. T. Beh et al., 2006 PhLP3 modulates CCT-mediated actin and tubulin folding via ternary complexes with substrates. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 7012–7021.

- Topalidou, I., and M. Chalfie, 2011 Shared gene expression in distinct neurons expressing common selector genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 19258–19263.
- Topalidou, I., A. van Oudenaarden, and M. Chalfie, 2011 *Caenorhabditis elegans* aristaless/Arx gene *alr-1* restricts variable gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 4063–4068.
- Topalidou, I., C. Keller, N. Kalebic, K. C. Nguyen, H. Somhegyi et al., 2012 Genetically separable functions of the MEC-17 tubulin acetyltransferase affect microtubule organization. Curr. Biol. 22: 1057–1065.
- Warner, A., H. Qadota, G. M. Benian, A. W. Vogl, and D. G. Moerman, 2011 The *Caenorhabditis elegans* paxillin orthologue, PXL-1, is required for pharyngeal muscle contraction and for viability. Mol. Biol. Cell 22: 2551–2563.

- Way, J. C., and M. Chalfie, 1989 The *mec-3* gene of *Caenorhabditis elegans* requires its own product for maintained expression and is expressed in three neuronal cell types. Genes Dev. 3: 1823–1833.
- Winston, W. M., C. Molodowitch, and C. P. Hunter, 2002 Systemic RNAi in *C. elegans* requires the putative transmembrane protein SID-1. Science 295: 2456–2459.
- WormBase web site, 2014 Release WS245. http://www.wormbase.org.
- Zhang, S., J. Arnadottir, C. Keller, G. A. Caldwell, C. A. Yao et al.,
 - 2004 MEC-2 is recruited to the putative mechanosensory complex in

C. elegans touch receptor neurons through its stomatin-like domain. Curr. Biol. 14: 1888–1896.

- Zhang, Y., and M. Chalfie, 2002 MTD-1, a touch-cell-specific membrane protein with a subtle effect on touch sensitivity. Mech. Dev. 119: 3–7.
- Zhang, Y., C. Ma, T. Delohery, B. Nasipak, B. C. Foat *et al.*, 2002 Identification of genes expressed in *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons. Nature 418: 331–335.

Communicating editor: D. G. Moerman