Prognostic Value of HIFs Expression in Head and Neck Cancer: A Systematic Review

Liang Gong¹⁹, Wei Zhang²⁹, Jianding Zhou¹, Jie Lu¹, Hua Xiong¹, Xueli Shi¹, Jianqiang Chen^{1*}

1 Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Affiliated Cixi Hospital of Wenzhou Medical College, Cixi, China, 2 Department of Endocrinology, Zhejiang People's hospital, Hangzhou, China

Abstract

Background: Tumor hypoxia plays a fundamental role in resistance to therapy and disease progression. A number of studies have assessed the prognostic role of HIFs expression in head and neck cancer (HNC), but no consistent outcomes are reported.

Methodology: A systematical search was performed to search relevant literatures in PubMed, Web of Science and ISI Web of Knowledge databases. The patients' clinical characteristics and survival outcome were extracted. The correlation between HIFs expression and prognosis was analyzed.

Principal Findings: A total of 28 studies assessed the association between HIFs and HNC survival, the result showed that overexpressed HIFs was significantly associated with increase of mortality risk (HR = 2.12; 95% Cl: 1.52–2.94; I^2 74%). Subgroup analysis on different HIF isoforms with OS indicated that both HIF-1α and HIF-2α were associated with worse prognosis. The pooled HRs were 1.72(95% Cl 1.34–2.20; I^2 70.7%) and 1.79(95% Cl: 1.42–2.27, I^2 0%). Further subgroup analysis was performed by different geographical locations, disease subtype, stage, types of variate analysis and cut-off value. The results revealed that overexpressed HIF-1α was significantly associated with poor prognosis in Asian patients (HR = 2.34; 95% Cl: 1.76–3.1; I^2 48.9%), but not in European patients (HR = 1.13; 95% Cl: 0.77–1.66; I^2 78.3%). Furthermore, HIF-1α overexpression was significantly associated with worse OS in oral carcinoma(HR = 2.1; 95% Cl: 1.11–3.97; I^2 81.7%), nasopharyngeal carcinoma(HR = 2.07; 95% Cl: 1.23–3.49; I^2 22.5%) and oropharynx carcinoma(HR = 1.76; 95% Cl: 1.05–2.97; I^2 61%), but not in laryngeal carcinoma(HR = 1.38; 95% Cl: 0.87–2.19; I^2 62.5%). We also found that the prognostic value of HIF-1α overexpression existed only when using staining and percentage as positive definition (HR = 1.82; 95% Cl 1.42–2.33; I^2 9.9%).

Conclusions: This study showed that overexpressed HIFs were significantly associated with increase of mortality risk. Subgroup analysis revealed that overexpressed HIF-1 α was significantly associated with worse prognosis of HNC in Asian countries. Additionally, HIF-1 α had different prognostic value in different HNC disease subtypes.

Citation: Gong L, Zhang W, Zhou J, Lu J, Xiong H, et al. (2013) Prognostic Value of HIFs Expression in Head and Neck Cancer: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE 8(9): e75094. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075094

Editor: Yu-Kang Tu, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Received June 14, 2013; Accepted August 8, 2013; Published September 13, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Gong et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the Nature science foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. LY12H13002), project of Zhejiang Traditional Chinese Medicine Administration (Grant No.2012ZZ012), project of Zhejiang medical health science plan (Grant No.2011KYA145), project of Zhejiang traditional Chinese medicine research (Grant No. 2013ZB115) and Nature science foundation of Ningbo City (Grant No 2012A610205, 2012A610206). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: jianqiangchencx@126.com

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) is the sixth most common malignance worldwide [1]. Over 70% of head and neck cancer patients present with advanced stage III and IV disease at the time of diagnosis. Despite the advance in treatment regimens including surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy and cetuximab, the 5-year survival rate of these patients remains only 50% [2]. It suggests that current treatments are not effective in all patients. Simultaneously, the treatments would bring about many side effects (e.g.,swallowing problems, hearing loss, mucositis, late toxicity). Therefore, it is urgent to identify reliable outcome predictors in this setting. Tumor hypoxia serve as a prognostic factor associated with worse outcome in most solid tumors, including HNC. Hypoxia has also been recognized as a major cause of failure of radiotherapy and of chemotherapy with radiomimetic drugs (i.e.,bleomycin) in HNC patients [3,4]. For this reason, it is especially important to measure tumor oxygen levels to identify patients who would respond best to radiation or to bleomycin-containing regimens. The current 'gold standard' to measure tumor oxygen levels is using direct polarographic measurements, but it is invasive and not suitable for all situations. Increasingly, evaluation of hypoxia in the clinic is shifting to the monitoring of endogenous markers. The hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are the best characterized markers mediating cellular responses to hypoxic stress. Of the



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection procedure. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075094.g001

three HIF family members, HIF-1 and HIF-2 are the most well-characterized.

Although a number of studies have shown high tumor expression of HIF-1 and HIF-2 as adverse prognostic features in HNC[5–7], it has not been a universal finding [8,9]. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the data of HIFs systematically in HNC to draw a reasonable conclusion about its prognostic significance.

Materials and Methods

Identification and Eligibility of Relevant Studies

Literature searching was conducted from PubMed, Web of Science and ISI Web of Knowledge databases using the terms: "HIF", "neoplasms", "cancer", "tumor", "head and neck", "oral", "pharyngeal", "oropharyngeal", "hypopharyngea", "maxillofacial", "laryngeal", "paranasalsinus", "prognosis" with all possible combinations. We also performed a manual search of the references of all identified articles for additional eligible studies.

The inclusion criteria for eligibility of a study in the metaanalysis were as follows: (1) evaluating HIFs expression in the human HNC tissues; (2) investigating the relationships between HIFs with prognosis; (3) providing sufficient data to estimate hazard ratio (HR) about overall survival (OS) or disease free survival (DFS). In addition, letters, reviews, conference abstracts, case reports or experiment on animal models were not in the scope of our analysis. Overlapping articles were also excluded from this meta-analysis, only the most recent or the most complete study was involved in the analysis.

Data Extraction and Management

Two investigators (L.G. and W.Z.) reviewed each eligible study independently and extracted data from all the publications meeting the inclusion criteria. Controversial problems were resolved by discussion amongst the team of pathologists. Information was carefully retrieved from each study, using a standardized data collection form, including the following items: the first author's name, year of publication, country of origin, number, gender and age of patients, disease subtype, stage, followup, survival data, HIF isoforms, treatment and cut-off value.

Methodological Assessment

The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle–Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS) [10]. A total of 0 and 9-star were respectively designated as lowest and highest quality, and the studies with 6-star or more were graded as the high quality ones in the scale. The scores provided by two researchers were compared and a consensus value for each item was achieved.

Statistical Methods

For the pooled analysis of the impact of HIFs expression on survival outcome, HRs and its 95% CI were used. But these statistical variables were not described explicitly in most studies. Therefore, we calculated the necessary statistics from available numerical data in the articles according to the methods described by Parmar, if the studies offered the data such as log-rank test p values, number of total events, the number of aberrant HIFs expression and number of preserved HIFs expression. If not available, we extracted time-to-event data from the Kaplan-Meier curves for HR and its 95% CI calculation. Kaplan-Meier curves were read by Engauge Digitizer version4.1 (http://digitizer. sourceforge.net/). The impact of overexpressed/low HIF expression on survival was considered to be statistically significant if the 95% CI did not overlap with 1. The effect of between-study heterogeneity in this meta-analyses was assessed by Chi- square based Q statistical test [12]. And the I² statistic was used to quantify the proportion of the total variation [13]. When a significant heterogeneity existed across the included studies $(I^2 > 50\%)$, the random effects model (the DerSimonian and Laird method) was used for meta-analysis [14]. Otherwise, we chose the fixed-effects model when the studies were found to be homogeneous $(I^2 > 50\%)$ [15]. The possibility of publication bias was

Study	HR (95% CI)	Weight %
hif-1a		
Zheng (2013)	3.49 (1.89, 6.44)	3.61
Kang (2013)	3.55 (1.70, 7.38)	3.16
Huang (2012)	4.16 (1.09, 15.83)	1.59
Han (2012)	0.54 (0.14, 74.85)	0.38
Liang (2010)	2.39 (1.28, 4.44)	3.59
Zhu (2010)	2.64 (1.43, 4.87)	3.62
Lin (2008)	1.98 (1.27, 7.62)	2.61
Fillies (2005)	0.20 (0.10, 0.50)	2.91
Gao (2012)	4.44 (2.17, 9.08)	3.22
Wan (2012)	1.29 (0.69, 2.40)	3.58
Shou (2012)	4.19 (1.24, 14.12)	1.82
Xueguan (2008)	99.80 (0.00, 220.12)	0.10
Hui (2002)	2.12 (0.96, 4.70)	2.94
Gon (2012)	2.74 (0.86, 8.74)	1.93
Wu (2012)	♦ 10.53 (1.38, 80.21)	0.83
Cabanillas (2009)	0.74 (0.44, 1.26)	3.97
Lin (2009) 🍋 😽	1.32 (0.93, 1.86)	4.70
Zhang (2009)	1.27 (0.75, 2.16)	3.96
Schrijvers (2008)	2.56 (1.07, 6.15)	2.68
Hong (2013)	1.38 (0.91, 2.07)	4.45
Aebersold (2001)	2.35 (1.42, 3.90)	4.06
Koukourakis (2002)	1.71 (1.00, 2.96)	3.90
Beasley (2002)	0.29 (0.09, 0.92)	1.97
Van (2009)	1.33 (0.99, 1.77)	4.90
Kappler (2008)	1.90 (0.90, 4.20)	3.02
Winter (2006)	1.11 (0.63, 1.98)	3.78
Subtotal (I-squared = 70.9%, p = 0.000)	1.71 (1.34, 2.20)	77.29
·		
hif-2a		
Liang (2010)	1.51 (0.83, 2.76)	3.67
Zhu (2010)	1.31 (0.76, 2.24)	3.92
Roh (2008)	— 3.33 (0.89, 12.42)	1.62
Koukourakis (2002)	2.54 (1.47, 4.39)	3.88
Beasley (2002)	1.88 (0.57, 6.25)	1.85
Winter (2006)	1.34 (0.70, 2.57)	3.47
Hebert? (2006)	2.07 (1.32, 3.23)	4.30
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.519)	1.79 (1.42, 2.27)	22.71
Overall (I-squared = 65.3%, p = 0.000)	1.73 (1.41, 2.11)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.5 11.5		

Figure 2. Forrest plot of Hazard ratio for the association of different HIF isoforms expression with overall survival. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075094.g002

assessed by visually assessing the symmetry of Begg's funnel plots and then was further quantitatively performing Egger's test [16]. Publication bias was indicated when p value of Egger's test <0.05. The meta-analysis was performed using STATA version 12.0 software (Stata Corporation, Collage Station, Texas, USA). A twosided P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding each study individually to evaluate stability of the results.

Results

Characteristics of Studies

As shown in **Figure 1**, initial search retrieved 351 published studies. After exclusion of the studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria, a total of 28 eligible studies were included in the final meta-analysis [5-9,17-38]. Of these 28 publications, 26 studies assessed the relationships between HIF-1 α expression with HNC prognosis [5-9,17-22,24-38], while 7 studies evaluated the association of HIF-2 α expression and prognosis [7,8,21-23,38]. The clinical features of these 28 included studies were summarized in **Table S1**. A total of 2293 HNC patients were enrolled in this

_

Study	HR (95% CI)	Weight %
oral		
Zheng (2013)	3.49 (1.89, 6.44)	5.91
Kang (2013)	3.55 (1.70, 7.38)	5.37
Huang (2012)	4.16 (1.09, 15.83)	3.14
Han (2012)	0.54 (0.14, 74.85)	0.85
Liang (2010)	2.39 (1.28, 4.44)	5.88
Zhu (2010)	2.64 (1.43, 4.87)	5.92
Lin (2008)	1.98 (1.27, 7.62)	4.67
Fillies (2005)	0.20 (0.10, 0.50)	5.05
Gao (2012)	4.44 (2.17, 9.08)	5.45
Subtotal (I-squared = 81.7%, p = 0.000)	2.10 (1.11, 3.97)	42.25
nasopharynx		
Wan (2012)	1.29 (0.69, 2.40)	5.88
Shou (2012)	4.19 (1.24, 14.12)	3.50
Xueguan (2008)	99.80 (0.00, 220.12)	0.24
Hui (2002)	2.12 (0.96, 4.70)	5.10
Gon (2012)	2.74 (0.86, 8.74)	3.68
Subtotal (I-squared = 22.5%, p = 0.271)	2.07 (1.23, 3.49)	18.39
larvngeal		
Wu (2012)	10.53 (1.38, 80.21)	1.77
Cabanillas (2009)	0.74 (0.44, 1.26)	6.31
Lin (2009)	1.32 (0.93, 1.86)	7.05
Zhang (2009)	1.27 (0.75, 2.16)	6.29
Schrijvers (2008)	2.56 (1.07, 6.15)	4.76
Subtotal (I-squared = 62.5%, p = 0.031)	1.38 (0.87, 2.19)	26.17
oropharvnx		
Hong (2013)	1.38 (0.91, 2.07)	6.79
Aebersold (2001)	2.35 (1.42, 3.90)	6.40
Subtotal (I-squared = 61.0%, p = 0.109)	1.76 (1.05, 2.97)	13,19
Overall (I-squared = 72.8%, p = 0.000)	1.92 (1.41, 2.61)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.5 11.5		

Figure 3. Forrest plot of Hazard ratio for the association of overexpressed Hif-1*a* with different disease subtype. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075094.g003

meta-analysis and the included studies were published from 2002 to 2013. Sample sizes ranged from 21 to 233 patients (mean 81). The median age of patients ranged from 48 to 66 years old. 21 of these studies enrolled less than 100 patients and 7 studies included more than 100 patients. 17 of these studies evaluated patients from Asia, 11 evaluated the patients from Europe or Australia.

Impact of HIFs Expression on Overall Survival of Head and Neck Cancer

A forest plot of the individual HR estimates and results from the meta-analysis are presented in **Figure 2**. Overall, overexpressed HIFs were significantly associated with increase of mortality risk. The pooled HR was 2.12(95% CI 1.52-2.94; I² 74%) in the random effects model. We also performed subgroup analysis about association of different HIF isoforms with OS, the results showed that both HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α were associated with worse

prognosis. The pooled HRs were 1.72(95% CI 1.34–2.20; I^2 70.7%) and 1.79(95% CI: 1.42–2.27, I^2 0%).

Subgroup Analyses

Moreover, further subgroup analysis was performed to investigate the prognostic impact of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in HNC patients with different disease subtype, geographical locations, stage, types of variate analysis and cut-off value. Subgroup analysis indicated HIF-1 α overexpression was significantly associated with worse OS in oral carcinoma (HR = 2.1; 95% CI: 1.11–3.97; I² 81.7%), nasopharyngeal carcinoma (HR = 2.07; 95% CI:1.23–3.49; I² 22.5%) and oropharynx carcinoma(HR = 1.76; 95% CI:1.05–2.97; I² 61%), but not in laryngeal carcinoma(HR = 1.38; 95% CI: 0.87–2.19; I² 62.5%). The pooled HRs were 2.1(95% CI 1.11–3.97; I² 81.7%), 2.07(95% CI 1.23–3.49; I² 22.5%), 1.76(95% CI 1.05–2.97; I² 61%) and 1.38(95% CI 0.87–2.19; I²



Figure 4. Forrest plot of Hazard ratio for the association of overexpressed Hif-1α with different geographical locations. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075094.g004

74%), respectively **(Figure 3)**. Furthermore, a significant relation between HIF-1 α overexpression and OS was exhibited in Asian patients (HR = 2.34; 95% CI: 1.76–3.1; I² 48.9%) **(Figure 4)**, in studies with II-IV patients (HR = 2.07; 95% CI 1.19–3.59; I² 0%) and using staining and percentage as positive definition (HR = 1.82; 95% CI 1.42–2.33; I² 9.9%). Other factors comprising method of variate analysis, and distribution of HIF-1 α did not alter the significant OS of overexpressed HIF-1 α (**Table 1**). On the other hand, overexpressed HIF-2 α in the nucleus and cytoplasm was significantly correlated with a worse prognosis (HR = 2.04; 95% CI: 1.51–2.75; I² 0%).

Sensitivity Analyses and Publication Bias

Sensitivity analysis indicated that the pooled HRs were not significantly inuenced by omitting any single study **(Table S2)**. Egger's test indicated that there was no evidence of significant publication bias after assessing the funnel plot for the studies included in our meta-analysis (**Figure S1–S2**).

Discussion

Hypoxia is a feature of most tumors and it often arises because of rapid cell division and aberrant tumor angiogenesis and blood flow. Persistent hypoxia leads to a selection of genotypes favoring survival and promoting tumor angiogenesis, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, invasiveness and metastasis, as well as suppressing immune reactivity [39]. Owing to these effects on tumour development, hypoxia is suggested to be a prognostic factor associated with resistance to therapy and disease progression in various types of cancer, including HNC.

A key molecular mediator of the cellular responses to hypoxia is the HIFs (HIF-1/2 α). Under aerobic conditions, HIF-1/2 α is hydroxylated by specific prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs), which facilitates binding of von Hippel–Lindau protein (pVHL) to the HIF-1/2 α . pVHL forms the substrate recognition module of an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. Then, HIF-1/2 α protein is rapidly and continuously degraded by ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. However, under hypoxic conditions, prolylhydroxylases become inactivated, and thus, HIF-1/2 α is stabilized and activated. Subsequently, it would activate the expression of

ĸ
Hif-2
h bri
-1 x è
H Hif
essed
expr
over
with
icer ,
< car
necl
and
head
for I
atios
ard r
haz
oled
of pc
ysis -
anal
tified
Strat
e 1.
Tabl

	Hif-1α						Hif-2α					
					Heterogei	neity					Heteroger	eity
	Number of studies	Number of patients	Pooled HR(95% (CI) P value	1 ² (%)	P value	Number of studies	Number of patients	Pooled HR(95% CI)	P value	1 ² (%)	P value
Disease subtype												
Head and neck (total)	26	2293	1.71(1.342.2)	0	70.90%	0	7	710	1,79(1.42–2.27)	0	%0	0.519
Nasopharynx	5	445	2.07(1.23–3.49)	0.006	22.50%	0.271	I	I	I	I	I	I
Laryngeal	S	458	1.38(0.87–2.19)	0.168	62.50%	0.031	I	I	1	ı	I	I
Oropharynx	2	331	1.76(1.05–2.97)	0.033	61%	0.109	I	I	I	I	I	I
Oral	6	650	2.1(1.11–3.97)	0.023	81.70%	0	°.	207	1.5(1.02–2.2)	0.038	%0	0.437
Study location												
Europe and Australia	10	1013	1.13(0.77–1.66)	0.521	78.30%	0	4	503	2(1.48–2.68)	0	%0	0.529
Asia	16	1280	2.34(1.76–3.1)	0	48.90%	0.015	£	207	1.5(1.02–2.2)	0.038	%0	0.437
Definition of positive												
Staining	4	345	1.98(0.85-4.58)	0.112	80.80%	0.001	I	I	1	I	I	1
Percentage	12	1034	1.46(0.93–2.28)	0.098	76.80%	0	2	100	2.44(1–5.92)	0.049	%0	0.531
Staining and percentage	8	1108	1.82(1.42–2.33)	0	9.90%	0.353	4	513	1.89(1.44–2.48)	0	%0	0.412
Disease Stage												
N-I	10	879	1.59(0.96–2.62)	0.069	81.40%	0	2	118	1.49(0.91–2.46)	0.115	39.50%	0.198
NI-II	с	183	2.07(1.19–3.59)	0.01	%0	0.455	I	I	I	I	I	I
NI-III	2	235	1.32(1.02–1.72)	0.037	%0	0.93	I	I	I	T	T	I
Variate analysis												
Multivariate	15	1378	1.68(1.21–2.32)	0.002	73.70%	0	2	349	1.8(1.25–2.6)	0.002	14.20%	0.28
Univariate	11	915	1.78(1.17–2.7)	0.007	69.10%	0	5	361	1.79(1.32–2.43)	0	0.70%	0.402
Positive site												
Nuclear	16	1499	1.52(1.03–2.25)	0.037	77.50%	0	3	327	1.37(0.93–2.03)	0.115	%0	0.859
Nuclear/cytoplasm	8	654	1.98(1.44–2.74)	0	46.90%	0.068	3	362	2.04(1.51–2.75)	0	%0	0.457
Quality score												
56	18	1614	1.97(1.49–2.61)	0	66.50%	0	4	340	1.73(1.27–2.36)	0.001	4.40%	0.371
<6	œ	679	1.22(0.74–2.03)	0.432	74.30%	0	£	370	1.88(1.32–2.68)	0	%0	0.379
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.007	5094.t001											

downstream target genes that regulate several biological processes including angiogenesis, cell proliferation and survival, glucose metabolism, pH regulation and migration. There is a growing body of evidence that points to a fundamental role of HIFs in tumor progression. But some changes in hypoxic cells can also result in increased drug sensitivity [40]; these exceptions caution against that hypoxic cells are invariably chemoresistant. In addition, there is no consensus on the association between high expression of HIFs and adverse prognostic features in HNC at present. Thus, we performed a quantitative meta-analysis to determine the association between HIFs expression and the prognosis of HNC.

In this meta-analysis, 28 studies assessed the association between HIFs and HNC survival, the results showed that overexpressed HIFs were significantly associated with increase of mortality risk $(HR = 2.12; 95\% CI: 1.52-2.94; I^2 74\%)$. Subgroup analysis on different HIF isoforms with OS indicated that both HIF-1a and HIF-2 α were associated with worse prognosis. The pooled HRs were 1.72(95% CI 1.34–2.20; I² 70.7%) and 1.79(95% CI: 1.42– $2.27, I^2 0\%$). Further subgroup analysis was performed by different geographical locations, disease subtype, stage, types of variate analysis and cut-off value. The results revealed that overexpressed HIF-1 α was only significantly associated with poor prognosis in Asian countries, while not in European countries. It suggested that HIF-1 α overexpression could be racial different as a prognostic factor. Furthermore, HIF-1 α overexpression was significantly associated with worse OS in oral carcinoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma and oropharynx carcinoma, but not in laryngeal carcinoma (HR = 1.38; 95% CI: 0.87-2.19; I² 74%). This result suggested that HIF-1 α had distinct prognostic significance in different HNC disease types. In addition, we found that and a positive definition of HIF-1 α also altered the prognostic significance. The prognostic value of HIF-1 α overexpression existed only when using staining and percentage (HR = 1.82; 95% CI 1.42-2.33; I^2 9.9%). It proposed that we needed to combine staining and percentage methods to evaluate the expression of HIF-1 α in clinic diagnosis. Moreover, significant correlations were also observed when the methodological quality of studies was ≥ 6 , the significance disappeared when <6. In this meta-analysis, we had dealt with highly significant heterogeneity among the 26 studies assessing the association between HIF-1 α and OS. Subgroup analysis suggested that the heterogeneity in these studies could be partially explained by disease subtype, definition of positive and disease stage. When the analysis of OS was performed without consideration of these other factors, heterogeneity was detected (I² 70.9% P = 0.000). When the analysis was limited to studies of nasopharynx and oropharynx, heterogeneity was not detected. Heterogeneity was also not detected when the analysis was limited to studies that defined HIF-1 α positive using staining

References

- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A (2013) Cancer statistics, 2013. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 63: 11–30.
- Posner MR, Hershock DM, Blajman CR, Mickiewicz E, Winquist E, et al. (2007) Cisplatin and fluorouracil alone or with docetaxel in head and neck cancer. New England Journal of Medicine 357: 1705–1715.
- Fyles AW, Milosevic M, Wong R, Kavanagh MC, Pintilie M, et al. (1998) Oxygenation predicts radiation response and survival in patients with cervix cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology 48: 149–156.
- Hoogsteen IJ, Marres HA, Bussink J, van der Kogel AJ, Kaanders JH (2007) Tumor microenvironment in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas: predictive value and clinical relevance of hypoxic markers. A review. Head and Neck 29: 591–604.
- Aebersold DM, Burri P, Beer KT, Laissue J, Djonov V, et al. (2001) Expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha: a novel predictive and prognostic parameter in the radiotherapy of oropharyngeal cancer. Cancer Research 61: 2911–2916.

and percentage methods and the studies of II-IV and III-IV patients. Sensitivity analyses were performed to ensure that the results were reliable and valid.

However, there were several limitations to be considered in this meta-analysis. First, sample size of the total patients was limited and only 7 included studies were >100 in our meta-analysis, the mean sample size was relative small with 89.7 (ranging from 21 to 233). The relative small size of the sample can inevitably increase the risk of bias in this meta-analysis. Second, certain reports with negative or controversial results might not be reported, and therefore, publication biasis were inevitable.

In conclusion, the findings from this systematic review suggested that overexpressed HIFs were significantly associated with increase of mortality risk. Subgroup analysis revealed that overexpressed HIF-1 α was significantly associated with worse prognosis of HNC in Asian countries. Additionally, HIF-1 α overexpression was significantly associated with worse OS in oral carcinoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma and oropharynx carcinoma, but not in laryngeal carcinoma. However, more well designed and large sample size studies are needed to provide further evidence.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Egger's Publication bias plot for studies regarding the association of Hif-1 α expression with overall survival: the relationship between the effect size of individual studies (HR, vertical axis) and the precision of the study estimate (standard error, horizontal axis).

(TIF)

Figure S2 Egger's Publication bias plot for studies regarding the association of Hif-2a expression with overall survival.

Table S1 Characteristics of studies included in the

meta-analysis.

(DOCX)

Table S2 HRs (95% CI) of sensitivity analysis for the meta-analysis.

(DOCX)

Table S3PRISMA checklist.(DOC)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LG WZ. Performed the experiments: JZ JL. Analyzed the data: HX. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: XS. Wrote the paper: JC.

- Hui EP, Chan AT, Pezzella F, Turley H, To KF, et al. (2002) Coexpression of hypoxia-inducible factors lalpha and 2alpha, carbonic anhydrase IX, and vascular endothelial growth factor in nasopharyngeal carcinoma and relationship to survival. Clinical Cancer Research 8: 2595–2604.
- Koukourakis MI, Giatromanolaki A, Sivridis E, Simopoulos C, Turley H, et al. (2002) Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF1A and HIF2A), angiogenesis, and chemoradiotherapy outcome of squamous cell head-and-neck cancer. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics 53: 1192–1202.
- Beasley NJ, Leek R, Alam M, Turley H, Cox GJ, et al. (2002) Hypoxia-inducible factors HIF-1alpha and HIF-2alpha in head and neck cancer: relationship to tumor biology and treatment outcome in surgically resected patients. Cancer Research 62: 2493–2497.
- Fillies T, Werkmeister R, van Diest PJ, Brandt B, Joos U, et al. (2005) HIF1alpha overexpression indicates a good prognosis in early stage squamous cell carcinomas of the oral floor. BMC Cancer 5: 84.

- Stang A (2010) Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. European Journal of Epidemiology 25: 603–605.
- Parmar MK, Torri V, Štewart L (1998) Extracting summary statistics to perform meta-analyses of the published literature for survival endpoints. Statistics in Medicine 17: 2815–2834.
- Handoll HH (2006) Systematic reviews on rehabilitation interventions. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 87: 875.
- Ioannidis JP, Patsopoulos NA, Evangelou E (2007) Uncertainty in heterogeneity estimates in meta-analyses. BMJ 335: 914–916.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327: 557–560.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 22: 719– 748.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 315: 629–634.
- Zheng Y, Ni Y, Huang X, Wang Z, Han W (2013) Overexpression of HIFlalpha indicates a poor prognosis in tongue carcinoma and may be associated with tumour metastasis. Oncol Lett 5: 1285–1289.
- Kang FW, Gao Y, Que L, Sun J, Wang ZL (2013) Hypoxia-inducible factorlalpha overexpression indicates poor clinical outcomes in tongue squamous cell carcinoma. Exp Ther Med 5: 112–118.
- Huang C, Sun Z, Sun Y, Chen X, Zhu X, et al. (2012) Association of increased ligand cyclophilin A and receptor CD147 with hypoxia, angiogenesis, metastasis and prognosis of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. Histopathology 60: 793–803.
- Han MW, Lee HJ, Cho KJ, Kim JS, Roh JL, et al. (2012) Role of FDG-PET as a biological marker for predicting the hypoxic status of tongue cancer. Head and Neck 34: 1395–1402.
- Liang X, Zheng M, Jiang J, Zhu G, Yang J, et al. (2011) Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha, in association with TWIST2 and SNIP1, is a critical prognostic factor in patients with tongue squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncology 47: 92– 97.
- Zhu GQ, Tang YL, Li L, Zheng M, Jiang J, et al. (2010) Hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha and hypoxia inducible factor 2alpha play distinct and functionally overlapping roles in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Clinical Cancer Research 16: 4732–4741.
- Roh JL, Cho KJ, Kwon GY, Choi SH, Nam SY, et al. (2008) Prognostic values of pathologic findings and hypoxia markers in 21 patients with salivary duct carcinoma. Journal of Surgical Oncology 97: 596–600.
- 24. Lin PY, Yu CH, Wang JT, Chen HH, Cheng SJ, et al. (2008) Expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha is significantly associated with the progression and prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinomas in Taiwan. Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine 37: 18–25.
- Gao Y KF (2012) Expression of HIF-la in tongue squamous cell carcinoma and its impact oil prognosis. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 22: 183–188.
- Wan XB, Fan XJ, Huang PY, Dong D, Zhang Y, et al. (2012) Aurora-A activation, correlated with hypoxia-inducible factor-lalpha, promotes radiochemoresistance and predicts poor outcome for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Science 103: 1586–1594.

HIFs Expression in Head and Neck Cancer

- Shou Z, Lin L, Liang J, Li JL, Chen HY (2012) Expression and prognosis of FOXO3a and HIF-1alpha in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology 138: 585–593.
- 28. Xueguan L, Xiaoshen W, Yongsheng Z, Chaosu H, Chunying S, et al. (2008) Hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha and vascular endothelial growth factor expression are associated with a poor prognosis in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma receiving radiotherapy with carbogen and nicotinamide. Clinical Oncology (Royal College of Radiologists) 20: 606–612.
- Gong L LX, Li ZH, Wu SH, Zhou YY, Xu G (2012) Expression and clinical significance of HIF-1α and GLUT-1 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. China Oncology 22: 269–275.
- Wu XH, Chen SP, Mao JY, Ji XX, Yao HT, et al. (2013) Expression and significance of hypoxia-inducible factor-lalpha and glucose transporter-1 in laryngeal carcinoma. Oncol Lett 5: 261–266.
- 31. Lin P WW, Cai WJ, Han CR, Sun Y, Li M, Sun BC (2009) Relationship between the expression of hypoxia inducible factor-la and epidermal growth factor receptor and micro vessel density and their clinical significance. Chin J Otohinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 44: 480–485.
- Zhang Y (2009) The relationship between the expression of hypoxia induced factor 1 alpha and p21 with the prognosis of laryngeal cancer surgery. Medical Journal of Communications 23: 429–433.
- 33. Schrijvers ML, van der Laan BF, de Bock GH, Pattje WJ, Mastik MF, et al. (2008) Overexpression of intrinsic hypoxia markers HIF1alpha and CA-IX predict for local recurrence in stage T1–T2 glottic laryngeal carcinoma treated with radiotherapy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics 72: 161–169.
- Hong A, Zhang M, Veillard AS, Jahanbani J, Lee CS, et al. (2013) The prognostic significance of hypoxia inducing factor 1-alpha in oropharyngeal cancer in relation to human papillomavirus status. Oral Oncology 49: 354–359.
- van den Broek GB, Wildeman M, Rasch CR, Armstrong N, Schuuring E, et al. (2009) Molecular markers predict outcome in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck after concomitant cisplatin-based chemoradiation. International Journal of Cancer 124: 2643–2650.
- Kappler M, Taubert H, Holzhausen HJ, Reddemann R, Rot S, et al. (2008) Immunohistochemical detection of HIF-1alpha and CAIX in advanced headand-neck cancer. Prognostic role and correlation with tumor markers and tumor oxygenation parameters. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie 184: 393–399.
- Winter SC, Shah KA, Han C, Campo L, Turley H, et al. (2006) The relation between hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1alpha and HIF-2alpha expression with anemia and outcome in surgically treated head and neck cancer. Cancer 107: 757–766.
- 38. Koukourakis MI, Bentzen SM, Giatromanolaki A, Wilson GD, Daley FM, et al. (2006) Endogenous markers of two separate hypoxia response pathways (hypoxia inducible factor 2 alpha and carbonic anhydrase 9) are associated with radiotherapy failure in head and neck cancer patients recruited in the CHART randomized trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology 24: 727–735.
- Wilson WR, Hay MP (2011) Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 11: 393–410.
- Liu SK, Coackley C, Krause M, Jalali F, Chan N, et al. (2008) A novel poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor, ABT-888, radiosensitizes malignant human cell lines under hypoxia. Radiotherapy and Oncology 88: 258–268.