SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 23 May 2016 Accepted: 22 July 2016 Published: 17 August 2016

OPEN Antiproliferative and antiinflammatory polyhydroxylated spirostanol saponins from Tupistra chinensis

Limin Xiang, Xiaomin Yi, Yihai Wang & Xiangjiu He

Tupistra chinensis is widely distributed in southwestern China and its rhizome is a famous folk medicine for the treatment of carbuncles and pharyngitis. Its chemical identity of potent antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory constituents has been carried out in this study. Twentythree polyhydroxylated spirostanol saponins, including nine novels, were isolated and identified. The new spirostanol saponins were elucidated as spirost-25(27)-en- 1β , 2β , 3β , 4β , 5β -pentol-2-O- β -D-xylopyranoside (1), spirost-25(27)- en-1 β , 2 β , 3 β , 4 β , 5 β -pentol-2-O- α -L-arabinopyranoside (2), spirost-25(27)-en- 1β , 3α , 5β -triol (12), spirost-25(27)-en- 1β , 3α , 4β , 5β , 6β -pentol (13), spirost-25(27)-en- 1β , 2β , 3β , 5β -tetraol-5-O- β -D-qlucopyranoside (16), 5β -spirost-25(27)-en- 1β , 3β -diol- 3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside (17), (25R)- 5β -spirostan- 1β , 3β diol-3- $O-\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 6)- β -D-glucopyranoside (18), (25*R*)-5 β - spirostan-1 β ,3 β -diol- $3-O-\beta$ -D-fructofuranosyl-($2 \rightarrow 6$)- β -D-glucopyranoside (19), 5β -spirost-25(27)-en- 3β -ol- $3-O-\beta$ -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside (20). The antiproliferative effects against seven human cancer cell lines and inhibitory activities on nitric oxide (NO) production induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in a macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 were assayed for all the isolated compounds. Compounds 17, 19 and 21 exhibited potential antiproliferative activities against all of human cancer cell lines tested. Compounds 21 showed significant inhibition on NO production with IC $_{50}$ values of 11.5 μ M. These results showed that the spirostanol saponins isolated from the dried rhizomes of T. chinensis have potent antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory activities and T. chinensis might be used as anticancer and. anti-inflammatory supplement.

Medicinal plants have been used for treating human diseases and they produced a large variety of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids, triterpenoids and steroidal glycosides¹. Steroidal glycosides as the important secondary metabolites of medicinal plants have been reported to possess a wide range of biological activities including anticancer, anti-inflammatory, platelet aggregation inhibition, antihypertensive, cholesterol lowering, antifungal and antiviral². Additionally, steroidal glycosides have a wide variety of commercial uses such as surfactants, foaming agents and precursors for the industrial production of pharmaceutical drugs^{3,4}.

A series of widespread chronic diseases such as cancer are now the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Many cancers arise from sites of infection, chronic irritation and inflammation. Recent data have expanded the concept that inflammation is a critical component of tumor progression. Anti-inflammatory therapy is efficacious towards early neoplastic progression and malignant conversion^{5,6}. Many studies have showed that biological activities of phytochemicals are comparable to previous synthetic compounds, and they have low side effects. Therefore, recent interests in medicinal plants have been focused on identifying active compounds and elucidating underlying molecular mechanisms of action^{7,8}.

The genus Tupistra (Liliaceae) has 12 species in southern China. These species possess similar morphologic characteristics and some can be substituted for each other as a folk medicine to treat pharyngolaryngitis, rheumatic diseases and snake-bite9. Tupistra chinensis is widely distributed in southwestern China and its dried rhizome is a famous folk medicine for the treatment of carbuncles and pharyngitis. Previous phytochemical investigations on T. chinensis have led to the isolation of a variety of biologically active compounds, including steroidal

School of Pharmacy, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510006, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.W. (email: wangyih88@163.com) or X.H. (email: hexiangjiu@163.com)



sapogenins and their glycosides^{10–15}, cardenolides¹⁶, a pregnane genin and its glycoside^{17,18}, flavonoids^{17–19}, which have anti-inflammatory²⁰, cytotoxicity^{21–23} and antifungal activities^{24,25}. Steroidal saponins that consist of spirostanol saponins and furostanol saponins were the most abundant active constituents in *T. chinensis*.

In the course of our continuing search for bioactive leading compounds from the medicinal herbs, we focused on steroidal saponins from the dried rhizomes of *T. chinensis* and obtained twenty-three polyhydroxylated spirostanol saponins including nine new compounds. The structures of all the isolated compounds were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data and chemical methods, including IR, NMR, MS, and GC analysis. Moreover, all of the isolated compounds were evaluated for their antiproliferative activity against seven human cancer cell lines and the inhibitory activities on NO production induced by LPS in a macrophage cell line RAW 264.7.

Results and Discussion

Structure identification. Nine new spirostanol saponins (1, 2, 12, 13, 16-20) and fourteen congeners (3-11, 14, 15, 21-23) were obtained from the 60% ethanol extract of the rhizomes of *T. chinensis*. Their chemical structures are shown in Fig. 1. The structures of all the isolated compounds were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data and chemical methods, including IR, NMR, MS, and GC analysis. The fourteen known spirostanols were identified by comparison of their reported spectroscopic data as

spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β -tetrol-5-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**3**)²³, neopentrogenin (**4**)²⁶, $\Delta^{25(27)}$ -pentrogenin (**5**)¹², neopentrogenin-5-O- β -D- glucopyranoside (**6**)²⁶, spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β -pentol-5-O- β -D- glucopyranoside (**7**)²⁶, spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β ,7 α -hexaol-6-one (**8**)¹⁵, wattigenin A (**9**)²⁷, spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β ,6 β ,7 α -heptol (**10**)²⁸, spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β ,7 α -hexaol-6-one-4-O- β -D-xylopyranoside (**11**)²⁹, tupichigenin D (**14**)¹⁸, spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 β ,5 β -triol-5-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**15**)²³, (25*S*)-5 β -spirostan-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside (**21**)³⁰, (25*R*)-5 β -spirostan-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside (**21**)³⁰, (25*R*)-5 β -spirostan-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside (**21**)³⁰, (25*R*)-5 β -spirostan-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside (**22**)³⁰, wattoside I (**23**)⁹. Compounds **4**, **6**, **7**, **21** and **22** are reported for the first time from *T. chinensis*, to our knowledge. The NMR data of the known compounds could be found in the supplementary material.

Compound 1 was isolated as white amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ –43.3 (*c* 0.25, MeOH). The molecular formula was inferred as $C_{32}H_{50}O_{11}$ according to the positive-ion HRESI-MS peak at m/z [M + H]⁺ 611.3437 (calcd for $C_{32}H_{51}O_{11}$ [M + H]⁺, 611.3431). The IR spectrum showed a strong absorption band at 3364 cm⁻¹, ascribable to hydroxyl functionalities. Acid hydrolysis and GC analysis of 1 gave D-xylose. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed three typical steroidal methyl signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.59 (3H, s, Me-19), 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, Me-21) and 0.84 (3H, s, Me-18) (Table 1). Four methane protons indicative of secondary alcoholic functions at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.57 (1H, brs, H-1), 4.41 (1H, m, H-2), 5.03 (1H, brs, H-3) and 4.31 (1H, brs, H-4) were observed. The germinal protons at C-27 were observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.57 and 4.82 as two singlets, which are characteristic of an exocyclic methylene. The ¹³C NMR spectrum exhibited characteristic spirostanol carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 109.8 (C-22) and three methyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.8, 13.9, and 15.3), and two olefinic carbons $\delta_{\rm C}$ 144.7 and 109.1 were assigned to the C-25 and C-27 positions, respectively, diagnostic of C-25 (27)-unsaturated sapogenin. The NMR spectrum of 1 showed an anomeric proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.15 (1H, d, 7.5 Hz), corresponding to an anomeric carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 103.5 in the HSQC spectrum, indicated the presence of one sugar moiety. Unambiguous complete assignments for the ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals were made by combination of DEPT, ¹H - ¹H COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY spectra. The ¹H - ¹H COSY spectrum showed that the oxymethine proton $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.41 (H-2) was coupled to $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.57 (1H, brs, H-1) and 5.03 (1H, brs, H-3), and the oxymethine proton $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.03 (H-3) was coupled to the oxymethine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.31 (H-4). These findings supported the location of the hydroxyl groups at C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, and C-5, together with the long-range correlations observed in the HMBC spectrum (Fig. 2). The HMBC correlations of H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.15) of xylose with C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 74.4) of the aglycon revealed the sugar residue attached to C-2 of the aglycon. The stereochemistry of the ring junctions and substituents of the aglycon of 1 were determined via cross-peaks observed in a NOESY spectrum according to the refs 12,15 and 23 (Fig. 2). The key correlations between H-4 $_{\alpha}$ and H-7 $_{\alpha}$ /H-9 $_{\alpha}$, and between $H-2_{o}/H-9_{o}$, supported the A/B cis ring junction pattern. Thus, the hydroxyl groups at C-5 and C-2 have a β -orientation. Additional NOE correlations between H-1_a and Me-19/H-11, and between H-3_a and H-2_a/H-4_a, were indicative of β -orientations for OH-1, OH-3 and OH-4. Thus, compound 1 was elucidated as spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β -pentol-2-O- β -D-xylopyranoside.

Compound **2** was isolated as white amorphous powder, with the same molecular formula of $C_{32}H_{50}O_{11}$ as **1** on the basis of HRESIMS (*m*/*z* 611.3412 [M + H]⁺). Acid hydrolysis of **2** gave L-arabinose. From a comparison of ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **2** with those of **1** (Table 1), it was apparent that **2** contained the same aglycon as **1**, except for a little different in the monosaccharide chain. Instead of the signals for a xylopyranosyl moiety, signals assignable to an α -L-arabinopyranosyl residue were observed at δ_H 5.13 (1H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, H-1), 4.56 (1H, m, H-2), 4.22 (1H, m, H-3), 4.36 (1H, m, H-4), 4.38 (1H, m, H-5a) and 3.85 (1H, m, H-5b), and δ_C 103.4 (C-1), 72.8 (C-2), 74.8 (C-3),69.7 (C-4), 67.3 (C-5). The linkage position of the arabinosyl moiety to C-2 of the aglycone was confirmed by an HMBC correlation between the anomeric proton at δ_H 5.13 and the C-2 carbon of the aglycone at δ_C 74.0. Therefore, the structure of **2** was elucidated as spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β -pentol-2-O- α -L-arabinopyranoside.

Compound 12 was isolated as white amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{28} - 33.1$ (*c* 0.25, MeOH). The HRESIMS gave an ion at *m*/*z* 447.3112 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₇H₄₃O₅, 447.3110), consistent with the molecular formula C₂₇H₄₂O₅. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed three typical steroidal methyl signals at $\delta_H 1.50$ (3H, s, Me-19), 1.12 (3H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, Me-21) and 0.87 (3H, s, Me-18) (Table 2). The ¹³C NMR spectrum exhibited characteristic spirostanol carbon signal at $\delta_C 109.8$ (C-22) and three methyl ($\delta_C 16.9, 13.7, and 15.4$), and two olefinic carbons $\delta_C 144.8$ and 109.1 were observed, diagnostic of C-25 (27)-unsaturated sapogenin. The ¹H – ¹H COSY spectrum showed that two methylene protons at $\delta 2.12$ (H-2_{α}) and 2.61 (H-2_{β}) were coupled to $\delta 4.31$ (H-1) and 5.13 (H-3), and the oxymethine proton $\delta 5.13$ (H-3) was coupled to two methylene protons at $\delta 2.26$ (H-4_{β}) and 2.61 (H-4_{α}). These findings indicated the location of the hydroxyl groups at C-1, C-3, and C-5, together with the long-range correlations observed in the HMBC spectrum (Fig. 2). The key NOESY correlations (Fig. 2) between H-4_{α} and H-7_{α}/H-9_{α}, and between H-2_{α} and H-9_{α}, supported the A/B *cis* ring junction pattern. Thus, the hydroxyl group at C-5 has a β -orientation. Additional NOE correlations between H-1_{α} and Me-19/H-11, and between H-3_{β} and H-2_{β}/H-4_{β}, were indicative of β -orientation for OH-1 and α -orientation for OH-3. On the basis of the above analysis, compound **12** was finally assigned to be spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 α ,5 β -triol.

Compound 13 was isolated as white amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{29} - 34.9$ (*c* 0.25, MeOH). The molecular formula, $C_{27}H_{42}O_7$, was deduced from the HRESI-MS peak (m/z [M + H]⁺ 479.3018, calcd for $C_{27}H_{43}O_7$, 479.3009). The ¹H NMR spectrum showed three typical steroidal methyl signals at δ_H 1.86 (3H, s, Me-19), 1.10 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, Me-21) and 0.87 (3H, s, Me-18) (Table 2). The down-field shifted hydrogen chemical shift of Me-19 in combination with an oxymethine proton (δ_H 4.94) at B ring confirmed the presence of the OH group attached at C-6 in compound 13, which was consistent with previous reports¹². The ¹³C NMR spectrum exhibited characteristic spirostanol carbon signal at δ_C 109.8 (C-22) and three methyl (δ_C 16.9, 16.9, and 15.4), and two olefinic carbons δ_C 144.8 and 109.1 were observed, diagnostic of C-25 (27)-unsaturated sapogenin. These ¹H-NMR data and ¹³C-NMR signals suggested that **13** was a C-25(27) unsaturated spirostane type steroidal sapogenin. The ¹H-¹H

		1	2		
Position	δ_{c}	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	δ_{c}	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	
1	77.8	4.57, brs	77.8	4.58, m	
2	74.4	4.41, m	74.0	4.41, m	
3	72.8	5.03, brs	72.8	5.03, brs	
4	68.7	4.31, brs	68.7	4.31, brs	
5	78.2		78.2		
6	30.5	2.48, d (13.2)	30.5	2.48, d (13.2)	
0	50.5	1.67, m		1.67, m	
7	28.8	1.54, m	28.8	1.50, m	
,	20.0	1.14, m		1.10, m	
8	35.2	1.71, m	35.2	1.71, m	
9	45.7	1.22, m	45.7	1.20, m	
10	45.5		45.5		
11	21.9	1.58, m	21.9	1.57, m	
11	21.9	1.45, m		1.41, m	
12	40.2	1.59, m	40.2	1.58, m	
12	40.2	1.03, m		1.01, m	
13	40.9		40.9		
14	56.4	1.03, m 56.4		1.01, m	
15	32.5	2.03, m	32.5	2.02, m	
15	52.5	1.44, m		1.43, m	
16	81.7	4.59, m	81.8	4.58, m	
17	63.3	1.81, m	63.4	1.80, m	
18	16.8	0.84, s	16.8	0.83, s	
19	13.9	1.59, s	13.9	1.58, s	
20	42.2	1.96, m	42.2	1.95, m	
21	15.3	1.09, d (7.0)	15.3 1.09, d (7.0		
22	109.8		109.8		
23	33.5	1.81, m	33.5	1.76–1.79, m	
24	20.2	2.70, m	29.3	2.70, m	
24	29.3	2.25, d (11.5)		2.24, d (11.5)	
25	144.7		144.7		
26	65.4	4.47, d (12.1)	65.4	4.47, d (12.1)	
20	05.4	4.04, d (12.1)		4.04, d (12.1)	
	100.1	4.82, s	109.1	4.81, s	
27	109.1	4.78, s		4.78, s	
	2-O-Xyl		2-O-Ara		
1	103.5	5.15, d (7.5)	103.4	5.13, d (7.0)	
2	75.4	4.08, m	72.8	4.56, m	
3	78.7	4.18, m	74.8	4.22, m	
4	71.4	4.24, m	69.7	4.36, m	
5	67.7	4.40, m	67.3	4.38, m	
		3.76, m		3.85, m	

Table 1. NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 1 and 2 (pyridine- d_5).

.....

(H-1) and 4.85 (H-3), and the oxymethine proton δ 4.34 (H-4) was in turn coupled with the oxygenated methane proton at δ 4.85 (H-3). The oxygenated methane proton at δ 4.94 (H-6) was coupled with two methylene protons at δ 1.55 (H-7_{α}) and 2.07 (H-7_{β}). These findings supported the location of the hydroxyl groups at C-1, C-3, C-4, and C-6. The key NOESY correlations (Fig. 2) between H-4_{α} and H-7_{α}/H-9_{α}, and between H-2_{α} and H-9_{α}, supported the A/B *cis* ring junction pattern. Thus, the hydroxyl groups at C-4 and C-5 have β -orientation. Additional NOE correlations between H-1_{α} and Me-19/H-11, and between H-3_{β} and H-2_{β}, between H-6_{α} and H-7_{α}, were indicative of β -orientation for OH-1, OH-6 and α -orientation for OH-3. On the basis of the above analysis, compound **13** was formulated as spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 α ,4 β ,5 β ,6 β -pentol.

Compound **16** was isolated as white amorphous powder. The molecular formula of $C_{33}H_{52}O_{11}$ was determined by the positive-ion HRESIMS peak at m/z [M+H]⁺ 625.3552 (calcd for $C_{33}H_{53}O_{11}$, 625.3588). The ¹H NMR spectrum showed three typical steroidal methyl signals at δ_H 1.62 (3H, s, Me-19), 1.08 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, Me-21) and 0.83 (3H, s, Me-18) (Table 3). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **16** was similar to that of **1**, except for the absence of one oxymethine proton. This suggests that both compounds possessed the same C-25(27) unsaturated spirostane type







12

Ĥ

12



Figure 2. Selected ¹H – ¹H COSY, HMBC and NOESY correlations of compounds 1, 12 and 13.

skeleton. The ¹³C NMR spectrum exhibited characteristic spirostanol carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 109.8 (C-22) and three methyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.8, 14.1, and 15.3), and two olefinic carbons $\delta_{\rm C}$ 144.8 and 109.1 were assigned to the C-25 and C-27 positions, respectively. Compared the NMR data with those of spirost-25(27)-en-1 $\beta_2\beta_3\beta_3\beta_5\beta$ -tetraol-5-O- β -D -galactopyranoside³¹, the NMR features of those two compounds were almost same except for the signals of the sugar moiety. The NMR spectrum of **16** showed an anomeric proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.29 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1-Glc), corresponding to an anomeric carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 97.7 in the HSQC spectrum, indicated the presence of one sugar moiety. The sugar was identified as D-glucose by GC analysis of its chiral derivatives after acid hydrolysis. Thus, compound **16** was inferred as spirost-25(27)-en-1 $\beta_2\beta_3\beta_5\beta$ -tetraol-5-O- β -D -glucopyranoside.

Compound 17 was isolated as white amorphous powder. The HRESIMS of 17 showed the ion at m/z 755.4275 $[M + H]^+$, and its molecular formula was inferred as $C_{39}H_{62}O_{14}$ on the basis of the analysis of ¹H and ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra. Acid hydrolysis and GC analysis of 17 gave D-glucose. Compared the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data with those of isorhodeasapogenin 3-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D- glucopyranoside³², the NMR features of those two compounds were almost same except for the signals of the F-ring, which was suggested the same A-E ring substitution in the two compounds. The signals due to the methyl of C-27 in isorhodeasapogenin 3-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside were replaced by the signals assigned to the C-25(27) exo-methylene group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.79 (1H, s) and

		12	13	
Position	δ_C	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_C \qquad \qquad \delta_{\rm H} (J {\rm in} {\rm Hz})$	
1	75.9	4.31, brs	76.2	4.19, brs
•	20.0	2.61, m	36.6	2.62, m
2	58.9	2.12, t (11.1)		2.23, m
3	63.7	5.13, m	69.2	4.85, m
4	44.0	2.61, m	75.9	4.34, d (9.1)
4	44.0	2.26, m		
5	77.4		80.7	
C	267	1.98, m	69.5	4.94, s
0	36.7	1.58, d (13.2)		
7	20.2	1.49, m	35.4	2.07, m
/	29.2	1.07, m		1.55, m
8	35.4	1.66, m	30.7	2.38, d (8.4)
9	45.8	1.45, m	45.9	1.50, m
10	43.3		44.9	
11	21.7	1.38, m	21.4	1.50, m
11	21.7			1.40, m
10	10.2	1.66, m	40.1	1.66, m
12	40.5	0.99, m		1.00, m
13	41.1		41.1	
14	56.6	0.97, m	56.3	1.02, m
15	22.5	2.05, dd (12.1, 5.5)	32.5	2.07, m
15	32.5	1.46, m		1.43, m
16	81.8	4.65, dd (14.6, 7.7) 81.8 4.62,		4.62, dd (14.5, 7.6)
17	63.4	1.85, m	63.4	1.85, m
18	16.9	0.87, s	16.9 0.87, s	
19	13.7	1.50, s	16.9	1.86, s
20	42.3	1.98, m	42.2	1.96, m
21	15.4	1.12, d (7.0)	15.4	1.10, d (7.0)
22	109.8		109.8	
23	33.6	1.80, m	33.6	1.77, m
	20.2	2.73, td (13.2, 5.8)	29.3	2.69, td (13.6, 5.5)
24	29.3	2.26, m		2.23, m
25	144.8		144.8	
		4.51, d (12.0)	65.4	4.47, d (12.1)
26	65.4	4.06, d (12.0)		4.03, d (11.9)
25	100.1	4.83, s	109.1	4.81, s
27	109.1	4.80, s		4.78, s

Table 2. NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 12 and 13 (pyridine- d_5).

.....

4.82(1H, s), and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 144.8 (C-25) and 109.1 (C-27) in 17. Hence, the structure of 17 was elucidated as 5 β -Spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 β -diol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- (1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound 18 was obtained as white amorphous powder. The molecular formula of $C_{39}H_{64}O_{14}$ was assigned from the positive-ion HRESIMS peak (m/z 757.4403 [M + H]⁺, calcd for $C_{39}H_{65}O_{14}$ [M + H]⁺, 757.4374). The IR spectrum showed the characteristic absorption of hydroxyl group at 3411 cm⁻¹ and the four steroidal sapogenins absorption bands 986, 919, 899, 873 cm⁻¹, and the 899 band was stronger than the 919 band. It was suggested that 18 was a sapogenin with "iso" configuration of the F ring³³. Acid hydrolysis and GC analysis of 18 gave D-glucose. From a comparison of ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 18 (Table 4) with those of isorhodeasapogenin 3-O-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside³², it was apparent that 18 contained the same aglycon as isorhodeasapogenin 3-O-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D- glucopyranoside, except for a little different in the saccharide chains. The linkage of the sugar units was established from the following HMBC correlations: H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.02) of the additional glucose' with C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.7) of the glucose, H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.93) of the glucose with C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.0) of the aglycon. Thus, compound 18 was elucidated as (25*R*)-5 β -spirostan-1 β ,3 β -diol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 6)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound **19** was isolated as white amorphous powder. The HRESIMS of **19** showed the ion at m/z 757.4401 $[M + H]^+$, and its molecular formula was inferred as $C_{39}H_{64}O_{14}$ on the basis of the analysis of ¹H and ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra. On acid hydrolysis, **19** liberated D-fructose and D-glucose, identified by GC analysis of their chiral derivatives. From comparison of the NMR data of **19** with those of **18**, it was indicated that **19** contained the same aglycone as **18**. The HMBC correlations between the H-6 (δ_H 4.85, 4.55) of the glucose and C-2 (δ_C 106.2)

Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}(J{ m in}{ m Hz})$	Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)
1	78.0	4.20, d (7.8)	18	16.8	0.83, s
2	68.4	3.95, m	19	14.1	1.62, s
3	71.4	4.51, brs	20	42.3	1.96, m
4	37.0	2.50, m	21	15.3	1.08, d (7.0)
5	83.4		22	109.8	
6	21.0	2.11, td (13.5)	23	33.6	1.82, m
0	51.0	2.03, m			1.78, m
7	20.2	1.53, d (13.7)	24	29.3	2.72, td (13.4)
/	29.5	0.88, dd (12.7, 3.9)			2.25, d (11.5)
8	34.8	1.59, m	25	144.8	
9	45.9	1.17, m	26	65.4	4.48, d (12.2)
10	47.0				4.05, d (12.2)
11	21.8	1.42, m	27	109.1	4.83, s
12	40.2	1.59, m			4.79, s
12	40.2	1.02, m	5-O-Glc		
13	40.8		1	97.7	5.29, d (7.7)
14	56.3	1.02, m	2	75.9	3.95, m
15	22.5	2.03, m	3	79.1	4.26, t (8.6)
15	32.5	1.45, m	4	72.0	4.08, m
16	81.8	4.61, m	5	79.1	4.08, m
17	62.2	1.84, m	6	63.1	4.60, m
1/	03.5				4.31, dd (11.5)

Table 3. NMR spectroscopic data for compound 16 (pyridine- d_5).

of the fructose indicated the saccharide attached to C-3 of the aglycone was β -D-fructofuranosyl-(2 \rightarrow 6)- β -D-glucopyranosyl. Thus, compound **19** was elucidated as (25*R*)-5 β -spirostan-1 β ,3 β -diol- 3-*O*- β -D-fructofuranosyl-(2 \rightarrow 6)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound **20** was isolated as white amorphous powder. The HRESIMS of **20** showed the ion at m/z 739.4254 $[M + H]^+$, and its molecular formula was inferred as $C_{39}H_{62}O_{13}$ on the basis of the analysis of ¹H and ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra. Compared the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data with those of (25R)-5 β -spirostan-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside³⁴, the NMR features of those two compounds were almost same except for the signals of the F-ring, which was suggested the same A-E ring substitution in the two compounds. The signals due to the methyl of C-27 in (25R)-5 β -spirostan-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside were replaced by the signals assigned to the C-25(27) exo-methylene group at $\delta_H 4.78$ (1H, s) and 4.82(1H, s), and $\delta_C 144.8$ (C-25) and 109.1 (C-27) in **20**. Hence, the structure of **20** was elucidated as 5 β -Spirost-25(27)-en-3 β -ol-3-O- β -D- glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside.

Antiproliferative activities. TB, TC, TD and all the isolated compounds were evaluated for antiproliferative activities against seven human tumor cell lines including FaDu (human hypopharyngeal carcinoma), Detroit 562 (human metastatic pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma), CNE-1 (high differentiation human nasopharyngeal carcinoma), CNE-2 (low differentiation human nasopharyngeal carcinoma), HepG2 (human hepatocellular carcinoma), K562 (human chronic leukemia), SPC-A-1 (human lung adenocarcinoma) with a modified MTT method according to reported protocols, and cis-dichlorodiammineplatinum (II) was used as a positive control. The results were shown in Table 5 and Table S2 for the isolated compound and the crude extract of T. chinensis, respectively. TD showed moderate activity against five out of seven cancer cell lines (Fadu, Detroit 562, HepG2, K562 and SPC-A-1) with IC₅₀ values of $20.9-29.3 \,\mu$ g/mL. TC exhibited selective antiproliferative activity against Fadu, Detroit 562, and K562 with IC₅₀ values of 6.7 ± 0.5 , 45.9 ± 1.7 , and $6.5 \pm 2.3 \,\mu$ g/mL, respectively. Compounds 17, 19 and 21 exhibited moderate antiproliferative effects against all of human cancer cell lines tested. Compound 22 showed moderate inhibitory activity against six out of seven human cancer cell lines tested excepted CNE-2. Compound 18 displayed weak inhibitory activities against Detroit 562, CNE-2 and HepG2 with IC_{50} values of 39.4 ± 0.7 , 40.7 ± 3.1 and $46.5 \pm 1.0 \,\mu$ M, respectively. Compound **20** showed moderate antiproliferative activity against FaDu, Detroit 562 and HepG2. Compound 4 exhibited moderate inhibitory activity against FaDu and HepG2 with IC₅₀ values of 27.6 \pm 3.6 and 29.7 \pm 3.1 μ M, respectively. Compounds 3, 5 and 12 exhibited potential antiproliferative activities against FaDu with IC_{50} values of 28.6 ± 1.6 , 41.2 ± 1.8 and $12.1 \pm 1.2 \mu M$, respectively. The other compounds exhibited IC₅₀ values larger than $50.0 \,\mu\text{M}$ against the seven tested cell lines and were considered to be inactive. Compounds 17-22 showed higher antiproliferative activities against human tumor cell lines than other compounds, suggesting that glycosylation at C-3 of the aglycon play an important role in the growth inhibition on cancer cells of spirostanol saponins. The structure-activity relationship of the isolated compounds (1-23) indicated that the glycosylation at C-3 of the aglycon was the most important structural feature for the high antiproliferative activity and other structural features (e.g., OH position and number) played a modified role in enhancing or reducing the activity. However comparison of antiproliferative activity is only an

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	δ _H (J in Hz) 1.70, m 1.46, m 1.91, m 1.52, m
1 72.7 3.89, d (9.1) 72.3 3.95, m 72.3 3.94, brs 31.3 2 32.3 2.30, m 31.7 2.35, d (11.3) 31.8 2.39, d (14.7) 27.3	1.70, m 1.46, m 1.91, m
1 72.7 2.30, m 31.7 2.35, d (11.3) 31.8 2.39, d (14.7) 27.3	1.46, m 1.91, m
2 32 3 2.30, m 31.7 2.35, d (11.3) 31.8 2.39, d (14.7) 27.3	1.91, m
2 32 3 2.50, 11 31.7 (11.3) 31.8 2.59, 11 27.5	1.91, m
	152 m
1.84, m 1.82, m 1.80, m	1.52, 111
3 75.2 4.53, m 76.0 4.55, m 75.6 4.52, brs 74.9	4.32, m
4 29.5 1.90, m 30.4 1.92, m 30.1 1.89, m 30.9	1.79, m
1.82, m 1.74, m 1.73, m	1.71, m
5 31.3 2.38, d (11.5) 31.4 2.40, d (14.9) 31.4 2.34, d (12.4) 37.3	2.04, m
1.73, m 26.8 1.72, m 26.8 1.69, m 27.3	1.73, m
6 26.8 1.15, m 1.10, m 1.10, m	1.06, m
1.28, m 26.6 1.23, m 26.7 1.23, m 27.1	1.24, m
7 26.8 1.01, m 0.94, m 0.97, m	0.96, m
8 36.1 1.59, m 36.1 1.56, m 36.1 1.56, m 35.9	1.49, m
9 42.5 1.20, m 42.2 1.15, m 42.3 1.15, m 40.6	1.29, m
10 40.8 40.6 40.6 35.6	
1.28, m 21.4 1.23, m 21.4 1.23, m 21.5	1.32, m
11 21.4	1.19, m
1.66, m 40.5 1.61, m 40.6 1.62, m 40.6	1.68, m
12 40.5 1.06, m 1.00, m 1.03, m	1.08, m
13 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.3	
14 56.7 1.08.m 56.6 1.05.m 56.6 1.06.m 56.8	1.08. m
2.03.m 32.5 2.02.m 32.5 2.03.m 32.5	2.04. m
15 32.5 1.43. m 1.42. m 1.14. m	1.42. m
16 81.8 4.60.m 81.5 4.60.m 81.5 4.62.d (7.3) 81.9	4.62. dd (14.7. 7.5)
10 615 1.00 m 615 1.00 m 615 1.00 m 615 <th< td=""><td>1.85. m</td></th<>	1.85. m
17 0.00 10.01 10000 1000 <th< td=""><td>0.82. 8</td></th<>	0.82. 8
10 110 001,0 110 001,0 100 19 195 126.8 195 124.8 194 123.8 242	0.84. s
20 42.2 1.97 m 42.3 1.95 m 42.4 1.96 m 42.2	1.97 m
20 12.2 100, m 12.0 100, m 12.1 100, m 12.2 21 154 110 d (70) 154 114 d (69) 154 114 d (70) 154	1 10 d (6 9)
22 109.8 109.6 109.6 109.6 109.8	1110, 4 (0.5)
22 1030 1030 1030 1030 1030 23 33.6 1.78 m 32.2 1.68 m 32.2 1.68 m 33.6	1.78 m
25 5.6 1.60, m 52.2 1.60, m 52.2 1.60, m 53.6 29.3	2 72 td (13 5 5 4)
24 29.3 2.26 m 2.6	2.25, d (11.3)
25 144.8 30.9 1.56, m 31.0 1.56, m 144.8	
26 4.48, d (12.1) 67.2 3.58, m 67.2 3.60, m 65.4	4.49, m
26 65.4 4.05, d (12.1) 3.51, t (10.5) 3.51, m 3.51, m	4.05, m
27 4.82, s 17.7 0.68, d (5.6) 17.7 0.69, d (5.6) 109.1	4.82, s
2/ 109.1 4.79, s	4.78, s
3-O Glc 3-O Glc 3-O Glc 3-O Glc	
1 101.3 4.97, d (7.8) 102.4 4.93, d (7.8) 102.1 4.89, d (7.8) 103.2	4.91, d (7.8)
2 74.9 3.96, m 75.2 3.93, m 75.3 3.91, m 75.1	4.08, m
3 77.2 4.31, m 78.8 4.20, m 78.8 4.20, m 77.3	4.32, m
4 81.5 4.33,m 72.2 4.05,m 72.4 4.07,m 81.7	4.39, t (9.1)
5 77.0 3.96, m 77.3 4.15, m 77.1 4.07, m 76.8	3.92, dt (9.5, 3.2)
4.58, m 70.7 4.89, d (9.1) 63.1 4.85, d (9.1) 62.6	4.60, m
6 62.4 4.31, m 4.18, m 4.55, m	4.51, m
$Glc(1 \rightarrow 4)$ $Glc(1 \rightarrow 6)$ $Fru(2 \rightarrow 6)$ $Glc(1 \rightarrow 4)$	
5.21, d (7.9) 105.6 5.02, d (7.7) 63.2 4.37, m 105.3	5.23, d (7.9)
1 [°] 105.3 4.26, d (8.0)	
2' 75.1 4.11, m 75.8 4.06, m 106.2 75.2	4.13, t (8.3)
3' 78.8 4.02, m 78.7 3.93, m 79.8 5.21, m 78.6	4.24, m
4' 71.9 4.22, m 71.8 4.25, m 77.3 5.01, t (7.9) 71.8	4.23, m
5' 78.6 4.22, m 78.8 4.24, m 84.4 4.57, m 78.8	4.01, m
Continued	

	17		18		19		20	
Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	δ_{C}	$\delta_{\rm H} (J {\rm in} {\rm Hz})$	δ_{C}	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)
6′	62.8	4.53, m	63.0	4.53, m	64.4	4.37, m	62.7	4.51, m
		4.31, m		4.39, m				4.32, m

Table 4. NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 17-20 (pyridine- d_5).

Tunik specifoset	pic dutu ioi	compounds 17	20 (pyriame <i>u</i> ₅).	

	IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^a						
Compound	FaDu	Detroit 562	CNE-1	CNE-2	HepG2	K562	SPC-A-1
3	$28.6 \pm 1.6^{*}$	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0
4	$27.6 \pm 3.6^{***}$	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	$29.7 \pm 3.1^{***}$	>50.0	>50.0
5	$41.2\pm1.8^{***}$	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0
12	12.1 ± 1.2	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0
17	20.3 ± 2.1	20.2 ± 2.0	$33.6 \pm 0.3^{***}$	$23.6 \pm 1.9^{***}$	$28.6 \pm 1.0^{***}$	$27.4\pm 0.2^{***}$	$24.8\pm 0.7^{***}$
18	>50.0	$39.4 \pm 0.7^{***}$	>50.0	$40.7\pm 3.1^{***}$	$46.5 \pm 1.0^{***}$	>50.0	>50.0
19	$61.4 \pm 2.5^{***}$	$21.5 \pm 1.1^{***}$	$50.9 \pm 1.1^{***}$	$29.5 \pm 0.9^{***}$	$34.0\pm 0.5^{***}$	$40.2\pm2.1^{***}$	$39.4 \pm 1.0^{***}$
20	20.1 ± 0.4	$20.1\pm 0.6^{***}$	>50.0	>50.0	$28.0\pm 0.7^{***}$	>50.0	>50.0
21	$12.7\pm1.7^*$	$22.7\pm 0.5^{**}$	$17.4\pm0.5^{***}$	$14.5 \pm 1.1^{**}$	$14.3 \pm 1.5^{***}$	$22.2\pm 0.2^{***}$	$22.2\pm0.2^{***}$
22	20.5 ± 3.4	$29.1 \pm 0.6^{*}$	$33.7 \pm 0.6^{***}$	>50.0	$17.7\pm0.3^{***}$	$33.8 \pm 0.1^{***}$	$26.3 \pm 1.0^{***}$
CIS ^b	18.1 ± 0.7	26.2 ± 1.5	10.8 ± 0.4	7.9 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.3

Table 5. Antiproliferative activities of some compounds from *T. chinensis* against human cancer cell lines. ^aValues are presented as means \pm SD (n=3). ^bPositive control. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 versus positive control.

Compound	IC ₅₀ (μM) ^a	Compound	IC ₅₀ (μM) ^a
1	28.7 ± 2.1	15	46.1 ± 13.1
4	21.7 ± 1.3	17	21.0 ± 0.8
5	45.9 ± 3.1	18	41.6 ± 13.5
7	45.2 ± 5.7	19	45.4 ± 11.2
9	33.6 ± 1.7	20	22.6 ± 5.0
10	28.7 ± 4.5	21	11.5 ± 1.3
13	26.1 ± 0.5	Indomethacin ^b	47.4 ± 4.5
14	29.1 ± 0.6		

Table 6. Inhibitory effects of some compounds from *T. chinensis* on NO production induced by LPS in macrophagesa^c. ^aValues are presented as means \pm SD (n=3). ^bPositive control. ^cCompounds 2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 12, 16, 19, 22, 23 with IC₅₀ > 50.0 μ M.

approximation as there are notable differences in IC_{50} values between control compounds. A choice of reference and cell lines may lead to various conclusions with respect to the potency of antiproliferative compounds³⁵.

Anti-inflammatory activities. Nitric oxide (NO) is a signaling molecule that plays a key role in the pathogenesis of inflammation³⁶. NO production by immune cells has been used as an indicator of the presence and extent of inflammation as well as the effectiveness of anti-inflammatory agents³⁷.

Compounds 1–23 were tested for their inhibitory effects on NO production induced by LPS in a macrophage cell line RAW 264.7. Cell viability was first determined by the MTT method to find whether inhibition of NO production was due to the cytotoxicity of the tested samples. The anti-inflammatory activities were summarized in Table 6. All the tested samples exhibited no cytotoxicity against RAW 264.7 macrophage cells at their effective concentrations. The crude extracts of *T. chinensis* TB, TC and TD showed moderate inhibition on NO production with IC₅₀ values of 32.6 ± 3.7 , 37.5 ± 5.4 and $36.6 \pm 1.8 \,\mu$ g/mL (see Table S3 in the supporting information). Compound **21** showed significant inhibition on NO production with IC₅₀ values of $11.5 \,\mu$ M, comparable to that of the positive control indomethacin.at $47.4 \,\mu$ M³⁸. Compounds **1**, **4**, **9**, **10**, **13**, **14**, **17** and **20** exhibited moderate inhibition with IC₅₀ values between 21.7 and $33.6 \,\mu$ M. Compounds **5**, **7**, **15**, **18** and **19** displayed inhibition activity, but quite limited with IC₅₀ values of 45.9 ± 3.1 , 45.2 ± 5.7 , 46.1 ± 13.1 , 41.6 ± 13.5 and $45.4 \pm 11.2 \,\mu$ M, respectively. Other compounds showed weak activity with IC₅₀ value above than $50 \,\mu$ M. The structure-activity relationship of the isolated spirostanol saponins shows that small changes such as different positions or the number of the hydroxyl groups, *R/S* configuration on the aglycone led to changes in activity. The most active compound is **21**, and comparison with **22** shows that change in the orientation or of the methyl group at C-25 from axial in **21** to equatorial in **22** diminishes the activity³⁹. Comparison of the activity of **4** and **5** shows that **4** is more

active than 5 due to the exocyclic double in 5, which can be illustrated by the relative higher IC_{50} value of compound **20** and the relative lower IC_{50} value of compound **21**. The kinds, the number and the linkage of sugar also play important roles in their anti-inflammatory activity⁴⁰.

Conclusion

Twenty-three polyhydroxylated spirostanol saponins, including nine new compounds (1, 2, 12, 13, 16–20) were isolated from the rhizomes of *T. chinensis* and their structures were determined by spectroscopic methods. Among the isolated compounds, Compounds 17, 19 and 21 exhibited potential antiproliferative activities against all of human cancer cell lines tested. Compound 21 showed significant inhibition on NO production with IC_{50} values of 11.5μ M. The present investigation suggested that *T. chinensis* can be a potential source of natural antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory agents and its spirostanol saponins may be responsible for the biological activity. However, further studies are required, not only to assess the bioactivities of the isolates *in vivo*, but also to investigate the mechanisms underlying the observed biological activities of isolated compounds.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals. HPLC-grade methanol was purchased from Oceanpak Chemical Co. (Gothenburg, Sweden). D101 macroporus resin (Xi'an Lanxiao Resin Corporation Ltd., Xi'an, China), silica gel (200–300 mesh, Anhui Liangchen Silicon Material Co. Ltd., Lu'an, China) and ODS (40–60 µm, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for column chromatography. All solvents used for column chromatography were of analytical grade and purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). Foetal bovine serum (FBS) and Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) were purchased from HyClone Laboratories (Logan, UT, USA). Sugar reagents used for GC analysis, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), cis-dichlorodiammineplatinum (II) and indomethacin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

General experimental procedures. Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer 100 IR spectrometer with KBr. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Ultrashield 500 Plus instrument, using tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Waters AQUITY UPLC/Q-TOF mass spectrometer was used to record HRESIMS spectra. Semi-preparative HPLC was performed using a RAININ pump equipped with a Gilson 133 refractive index detector. A semi-preparative column (COSMOSIL-Pack $5C_{18}$ -MS-II, 10ID \times 250 mm, 5μ m) was used for HPLC. Microplate reader (Kehua Technologies, Inc., Shanghai, China) was used for bioassay.

Plant material. The rhizomes of *T. chinensis* Baker was purchased from Shennongjia Forest District (Shennongjia, China), and identified by Prof. Xiangjiu He, the School of Pharmacy, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University. A voucher specimen (No. GDPU-NPR-2013002) was deposited in the Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou, China.

Extraction and isolation. The air-dried rhizomes of *T. chinensis* (17.0 kg) were extracted four times with 60% EtOH (each 70 L × 4h) under reflux. The combined EtOH extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure (for complete removal of organic solvent) to yield an aqueous suspension (20 L), and then partitioned between H_2O (20 L) and EtOAc (20 L × 4) to give an EtOAc fraction (218.0 g). The aqueous fraction was applied to a D101 macroporous resin column, eluted with H_2O (50 L), 20% EtOH (50 L), 60% EtOH (50 L) and 80% EtOH (50 L), repectively. The solvents were evaporated under vacuum to yield 20% EtOH eluted fraction (TB, 194.5 g) and 60% EtOH eluted fraction (TC, 458 g) and 80% EtOH eluted fraction (TD, 83.8 g).

A half part of fraction TC (200.0 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (200 ~ 300 mesh, 3 kg, 95×1140 mm) eluted with a gradient of CHCl₃/MeOH (20:1 to 2:1, followed by MeOH) to yield 18 fractions (C1-C18). Fraction C3 (108.2 mg) was further purified by ODS and semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 85% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to afford compound **12** (2.7 mg). Fraction C4 (157.5 mg) was separated by an ODS column eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (3:7 to 5:5, v/v) to afford compound **14** (23.0 mg). Fraction C5 (625.8 mg) was separated by an ODS column eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (3:7 to 5:5, v/v) to afford compound **13** (20.9 mg). Fraction C12 (5.1 g) was subjected to ODS eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (3:7 to 8:2, v/v) to afford eight fractions (C12-1 to C12-8). Subfraction C-12-3 (335.5 mg) was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 60% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to afford compound **7** (194.7 mg).

Fraction TD (83.3 g) was separated by silica gel column chromatography (200 ~ 300 mesh, 2 kg, 95 × 745 mm) eluted with a gradient of CHCl₃/MeOH (100:1 to 1:1, v/v) to obtain twenty-one fractions (D1-D21). Fraction D13 (15.8 g) was separated by an ODS column eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (1:9 to 7:3, v/v) to afford nine fractions (D13-1 to D13-9). Compound 5 (15.3 mg) was crystallized from subfraction D13-4 (90.2 mg) in MeOH. Fraction D14 (21.5 g) was subjected to ODS eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (1:9 to 8:2, v/v) to afford nine fractions (D14-1 to D14-9). Compound 8 (18.6 mg) was crystallized from subfraction D14-5 in MeOH. Subfraction D-14-7 (335.5 mg) was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 70% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to afford compound 4 (14.3 mg) and compound 15 (32.4 mg). Fraction D15 (1.8 g) was purified by ODS to obtain eleven fractions (D15-1 to D15-11). Subfraction D15-6 (87.4 mg) was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 80% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compound **3** (51.8 mg). Fraction D16 (1.5 g) was purified by ODS to obtain three fractions (D16-1 to D16-3). Subfraction D16-3 (1.18 g) was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 75% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compounds 20 (17.2 mg), 21 (14.2 mg) and 22 (23.7 mg). Fraction D18 (11.4 g) was subjected to ODS to obtain seventeen fractions (D18-1 to D18-17). Subfraction D18-9 (1.3 g) was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 60% MeOH in H_2O isocratic elution) to yield compounds 9 (87.3 mg). Subfraction D18-10 (649.5 mg) was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 60% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compounds 6 (55.3 mg) and 16 (25.5 mg). Subfraction D18-14 (243.8 mg) was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 75% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compounds **17** (27.7 mg), **18** (22.0 mg) and **19** (58.1 mg). Fraction D19 (3.6 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (300 ~ 400 mesh, 150 g, 95×745 mm) eluted with a gradient of CHCl₃/MeOH (10:1 to 3:1, v/v) to obtain eight fractions (D19-1 to D19-8). Subfraction D19-7 was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 70% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compounds **1** (45.4 mg) and **23** (10.1 mg). Fraction D20 (4.9 g) was subjected to ODS eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (1:9 to 8:2, v/v) to obtain ten fractions (D20-1 to D20-10). Subfraction D20-6 was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 70% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compound **2** (28.1 mg). Fraction D21 (4.6 g) was subjected to ODS eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H₂O (1:9 to 7:3, v/v) to obtain eleven fractions (D21-1 to D21-11). Subfraction D21-6 (1.2 g) was further purified by semi-preparative HPLC (3.0 mL/min, 60% MeOH in H₂O isocratic elution) to yield compounds **1** (29.2 mg).

Spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β -pentol-2-O- β -D-xylopyranoside (1) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ -43.3 (c 0.25, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3364, 2940, 1645, 1454, 1380, 1045, 920cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z [M + H]⁺ 611.3437 (calcd for C₃₂H₅₁O₁₁, 611.3431).

Spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,2 β ,3 β ,4 β ,5 β -pentol-2-O- α -L-arabinopyranoside (2) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ –49.2 (*c* 0.25, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3422, 2949, 1650, 1452, 1380, 1047, 923 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 611.3412 (calcd for C₃₂H₅₁O₁₁, 611.3431).

Spirost-25(27)-*en-1* β ,3 α ,5 β -*triol* (**12**) White amorphous powder; [α]_D²⁸ –33.1 (*c* 0.25, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3300, 2950, 1650, 1592, 1455, 1381, 1046, 926, 899, 878 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 447.3112 (calcd for C₂₇H₄₃O₅, 447.3110).

Spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 α ,4 β ,5 β ,6 β -pentol (**13**) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29} - 34.9$ (*c* 0.25, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3398, 2954, 1717, 1655, 1450, 1381, 1043, 924 cm⁻¹, ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 479.3018 (calcd for C₂₇H₄₃O₇, 479.3009).

Spirost-25(27)-*en-1* β ,2 β ,3 β ,5 β -*tetraol-5-O-\beta-D-glucopyranoside* (**16**) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29} - 44.9$ (*c* 0.50, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3424, 2941, 1651, 1452, 1372, 1046, 922 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 3; HRESIMS *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 625.3552 (calcd for C₃₃H₅₃O₁₁, 625.3588).

 5β -Spirost-25(27)-en-1 β ,3 β -diol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside (17) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29} - 52.9$ (c 0.25, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3419, 2921, 1645, 1452, 1047, 920 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 4; HRESIMS m/z [M + H]⁺ 755.4275 (calcd for C₃₉H₆₃O₁₄, 755.4218).

(25R)-5 β -Spirostan-1 β ,3 β -diol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside (**18**) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29} - 27.0 (c \ 0.12, MeOH); IR (KBr) \nu_{max} 3411, 2935, 1641, 1451, 1050, 986, 919, 899, 873 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 4; HRESIMS <math>m/z \ [M+H]^+$ 757.4403 (calcd for $C_{39}H_{65}O_{14}$, 757.4374).

(25R)-5 β -Spirostan-1 β ,3 β -diol-3-O- β -D-fructofuranosyl- $(2 \rightarrow 6)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside (**19**) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{29} - 66.8 (c \ 0.50, MeOH); IR (KBr) \nu_{max} 3391, 2929, 1632, 1451, 1053, 984, 918, 899, 865 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 4; HRESIMS$ *m*/*z*[M + H]⁺ 757.4401 (calcd for C₃₉H₆₅O₁₄, 757.4374).

5β-Spirost-25(27)-en-3β-ol-3-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 → 4)-β-D- glucopyranoside (**20**) White amorphous powder; $[\alpha_{1D}^{28} - 30.6 (c 0.50, MeOH); IR (KBr) \nu_{max} 3423, 2925, 1634, 1452, 1043, 921, 896 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 4; HRESIMS$ *m*/*z*[M + H]⁺ 739.4254 (calcd for C₃₉H₆₃O₁₃, 739.4269).

Acid hydrolysis and GC analysis. Compounds 1, 2, 12, 13 and 16–20 (1–2 mg) were dissolved in 5 mL 2 M HCl and heated at 90 °C for 8 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc (5 mL × 3) and the aqueous residue was evaporated under vacuum at 60 °C. Then 5 mg NH₂OH.HCl was added to the residue and the mixture was dissolved in 600 μ L pyridine. After heating at 90 °C for 30 min, 300 μ L Ac₂O was added. After homogenized, the mixture was heated at 90 °C for another 1 h. The reaction mixture was analyzed by GC using standard aldononitrile peracetates as reference samples⁴¹.

Measurement of inhibition activity on tumor cell proliferation. Antiproliferative activities against human nasopharyngeal cancer cells (CNE-1, CNE-2, FaDu, Detroit 562), human liver cancer cells (HepG2), human chronic leukemia cells (K562) and human lung adenocarcinoma cells (SPC-A-1) of the pure spirostanol saponins isolated from *T. chinensis* were measured by the modified MTT assay as described previously⁴².

Measurement of anti-inflammatory activity. Determination of NO production was performed by measuring the accumulation of nitrite in the culture supernatant using the Griess reagent, as previously described⁴³.

Statistical analysis. All the experiments were conducted for three independent replicates, and data were expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA. Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test was used to determine the significance of differences between the groups. Differences at P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

References

- 1. Newman, D. J. & Cragg, G. M. Natural products as sources of new drugs from 1981 to 2014. J. Nat. Prod. 79, 629-661 (2016).
- 2. Sparg, S. G., Light, M. E. & van Staden, J. Biological activities and distribution of plant saponins. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 94, 219–243 (2004).
- Munafo, J. P., Jr. & Gianfagna, T. J. Chemistry and biological activity of steroidal glycosides from the *Lilium* genus. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 32, 454–477 (2015).
- 4. de Costa, F., Yendo, A. C. A., Fleck, J. D., Gosmann, G. & Fett-Neto, A. G. Immunoadjuvant and anti-inflammatory plant saponins: characteristics and biotechnological approaches towards sustainable production. *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* **11**, 857–880 (2011).
- 5. Mantovani, A., Allavena, P., Sica, A. & Balkwill, F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature 454, 436-444 (2008).
- 6. Coussens, L. M. & Werb, Z. Inflammation and cancer. Nature 420, 860-867 (2002).

- 7. Prasannan, R. *et al.* Key cell signaling pathways modulated by zerumbone: role in the prevention and treatment of cancer. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **84**, 1268–1276 (2012).
- Efferth, T. & Koch, E. Complex interactions between phytochemicals. the multi-target therapeutic concept of phytotherapy. *Curr. Drug Targets* 12, 122–132 (2011).
- 9. Shen, P. et al. Steroidal saponins from rhizomes of Tupistra wattii Hook. f. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 51, 305-308 (2003).
- 10. Guo, Z. et al. Structural elucidation and NMR spectral assignment of three new furostanol saponins from the roots of *Tupistra* chinensis. Magn. Reson. Chem. 47, 613–616 (2009).
- 11. Liu, C. et al. New furostanol saponins from the rhizomes of Tupistra chinensis. Nat. Prod. Res. 27, 123-129 (2013).
- 12. Pan, W. B., Chang, F. R. & Wu, Y. C. Spirostanol sapogenins from the underground parts of *Tupistra chinensis*. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 48, 1350–1353 (2000).
- Zou, K., Wang, J., Du, M., Li, Q. & Tu, G. A pair of diastereoisomeric steroidal saponins from cytotoxic extracts of *Tupistra chinensis* rhizomes. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 54, 1440–1442 (2006).
- Zou, K. et al. Structural elucidation of four new furostanol saponins from Tupistra chinensis by 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy. Magn. Reson. Chem. 47, 87-91 (2009).
- 15. Pan, W. B., Chang, F. R. & Wu, Y. C. Tupichigenin A, a new steroidal sapogenin from *Tupistra chinensis. J. Nat. Prod.* 63, 861–863 (2000).
- 16. Pan, Z. H. et al. A cytotoxic cardenolide and a saponin from the rhizomes of Tupistra chinensis. Fitoterapia 83, 1489–1493 (2012).
- 17. Pan, W. B., Wei, L. M., Wei, L. L. & Wu, Y. C. Chemical constituents of *Tupistra chinensis* rhizomes. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 54, 954–958 (2006).
- Pan, W. B., Chang, F. R., Wei, L. M. & Wu, Y. C. New flavans, spirostanol sapogenins, and a pregnane genin from *Tupistra chinensis* and their cytotoxicity. J. Nat. Prod. 66, 161–168 (2003).
- 19. Xiao, Y. H. *et al.* Three spirostanol saponins and a flavane-O-glucoside from the fresh rhizomes of *Tupistra chinensis*. *Fitoterapia* **102**, 102–108 (2015).
- Xu, L. L. et al. New polyhydroxylated furostanol saponins with inhibitory action against NO production from Tupistra chinensis rhizomes. Molecules 12, 2029–2037 (2007).
- 21. Liu, C. X. et al. Five new furostanol saponins from the rhizomes of Tupistra chinensis. Fitoterapia 83, 323-328 (2012).
- Luo, H. J., Wang, J. Z., Deng, W. Q. & Zou, K. Induced-fit docking and binding free energy calculation on furostanol saponins from Tupistra chinensis as epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors. Med. Chem. Res. 22, 4970–4979 (2013).
- 23. Song, X. et al. Two new spirostanol saponins from the the roots and rhizomes of *Tupistra chinensis*. Phytochem. Lett. 13, 6-10 (2015).
- 24. Shi, W. P., Zhang, Y. X., Lin, Q. S., Wang, M., Wang, H. & Zhao, C. J. Study on antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of methanol extract from *Tupistra chinensis* Bak. *Food Res. Dev.* **34**, 22–24 (2013).
- Wu, G. X., Liu, A. Y. & Chen, W. X. Effects of extracts of *Tupistra chinensis* on mycelia growth of Peronophythora litchii and storage life of litchi fruit. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* 39, 1703–1708 (2006).
- Yang, Q. X. & Yang, C. R. Steroidal constituent of Aspidistra elatior from Yongshan, Yunnan. Acta Botanica Yunnanica 22, 109–115 (2000).
- 27. Wang, Y. F., Li, X. C., Liu, Y. Q., Wang, J. J. & Yang, C. R. A steroidal sapogenin from *Tupistra wattii*. Acta Botanica Yunnanica 17, 341–344 (1995).
- Wu, G. X., Liu, A. Y., Wei, X. Y. & Chen, W. X. Isolation and structural elucidation of sapogenins from *Tupistra chinensis* and their antifungal activity against Peronophythora litchii. J. Wuhan Botanical Res. 25, 89–92 (2007).
- Liu, C. X. et al. Tupisteroide A-C, three new polyhydroxylated steroidal constituents from the roots of Tupistra chinensis. Magn. Reson. Chem. 50, 320–324 (2012).
- 30. Sharma, S. C. & Sharma, H. C. Oligofurostanosides from Asparagus curillus leaves. Phytochemistry 33, 683-686 (1993).
- Higano, T., Kuroda, M., Jitsuno, M. & Mimaki, Y. Polyhydroxylated steroidal saponins from the rhizomes of *Convallaria majalis*. Nat. Prod. Commun. 2, 531–536 (2007).
- Xiang, L. M., Wang, Y. H., Yi, X. M., Zheng, G. J. & He, X. J. Bioactive spirostanol saponins from the rhizome of *Tupistra chinensis*. Steroids 108, 39–46 (2016).
- 33. Eddy, C. R., Wall, M. E. & Scott, M. K. Catalog of infrared absorption spectra of steroidal sapogenin acetates. Anal. Chem. 25, 266–271 (1953).
- 34. Yokosuka, A., Suzuki, T., Tatsuno, S. & Mimaki, Y. Steroidal glycosides from the underground parts of *Yucca glauca* and their cytotoxic activities. *Phytochemistry* **101**, 109–115 (2014).
- 35. Podolak, I., Galanty, A. & Sobolewska, D. Saponins as cytotoxic agents: a review. Phytochem. Rev. 9, 425-474 (2010).
- 36. Sharma, J. N., Al-Omran, A. & Parvathy, S. S. Role of nitric oxide in inflammatory diseases. *Inflammopharmacol.* 15, 252–259 (2007).
- Zhong, Y., Chiou, Y. S., Pan, M. H. & Shahidi, F. Anti-inflammatory activity of lipophilic epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) derivatives in LPS-stimulated murine macrophages. *Food Chem.* 134, 742–748 (2012).
- 38. Jiang, K. et al. Anti-inflammatory terpenoids from the leaves and twigs of Dysoxylum gotadhora. J. Nat. Prod. 78, 1037–1044 (2015).
- Gonzalez, M., Zamilpa, A., Marquina, S., Navarro, V. & Alvarez, L. Antimycotic spirostanol saponins from Solanum hispidum leaves and their structure-activity relationships. J. Nat. Prod. 67, 938–941 (2004).
- Man, S. L., Gao, W. Y., Zhang, Y. J., Huang, L. Q. & Liu, C. X. Chemical study and medical application of saponins as anti-cancer agents. *Fitoterapia* 81, 703–714 (2010).
- Xiang, L. M., Wang, Y. H., Yi, X. M., Feng, J. Y. & He, X. J. Furospirostanol and spirostanol saponins from the rhizome of *Tupistra chinensis* and their cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory activities. *Tetrahedron* 72, 134–141 (2016).
- 42. Septisetyani, E. P., Ningrum, R. A., Romadhani, Y., Wisnuwardhani, P. H. & Santoso, A. Optomization of sodium dodecyl sulphate as a formazan solvent and comparison of 3-(4,-5-dimethylthiazo-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay with WST-1 assay in MCF-7 cells. *Indonesian J. Pharm.* **25**, 245 (2014).
- 43. Thambiraj, S. R., Phillips, M., Koyyalamudi, S. R. & Reddy, N. Antioxidant activities and characterisation of polysaccharides isolated from the seeds of *Lupinus angustifolius*. Ind. Crops and Prod. 74, 950–956 (2015).

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81573303), the fund of Guangdong provincial department of science and development in the field of social development (No. 2015A020211027), and the starting fund of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University.

Author Contributions

L.X. and X.Y. carried out the experiments and L.X. prepared the manuscript. X.H. and Y.W. conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Xiang, L. *et al.* Antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory polyhydroxylated spirostanol saponins from *Tupistra chinensis. Sci. Rep.* **6**, 31633; doi: 10.1038/srep31633 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016