RESEARCH NOTE

Open Access



Feasibility study on recruitment in general practice for a low back pain online information study (part of the ADVIN Back Trial)

Allan Riis^{1*}, Michael Skovdal Rathleff¹, Jan Hartvigsen^{2,3}, Janus Laust Thomsen¹, Tamana Afzali¹ and Martin Bach Jensen¹

Abstract

Objective: In a future full-scale randomised controlled trial, we plan to compare satisfaction with a standard website versus satisfaction with a participatory driven web-application. The participatory driven web-application may facilitate the delivery of targeted evidence-based advice and information to patients with low back pain in general practice (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03088774). This feasibility study is intended to inform a future randomised controlled trial. The aim is to report on the lessons learned from recruitment to report on reasons for loss to follow-up.

Results: We recruited 12 women and 8 men from two general practices with each practice recruiting for 3 months. Full follow-up data was available in only three patients (15%). Based on the high loss to follow-up, we do not consider it feasible to conduct the full-scale confirmatory trial as planned. Modifying inclusion criteria to include only patients expressing an interest in using online health information or randomising patients directly at the general practice, supporting them in accessing the web-application, and letting patients respond with their immediate satisfaction may improve the speed of recruitment and follow-up rates. Furthermore, the participatory driven web-application can be included in a larger multi-faceted intervention, making the combined intervention seem more relevant to study participants.

Keywords: Low back pain, Feasibility study, General practice, Recruitment, Retention, Medical informatics app, Evidence-based-treatment

Introduction

Low Back Pain (LBP) is a common symptom across cultures and affects people of all ages. It is the largest contributor to years lived with disability globally, and countries struggle to meet demands for healthcare [1]. General practice is often the first point of contact for patients with LBP [2], where addressing biological, psychological, and social aspects in the first line management is essential to reduce the impact of LBP on the

*Correspondence: ariis@dcm.aau.dk

¹ Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Fyrkildevej 7, 1. Sal, 9220 Aalborg Ø, Denmark

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

individual [3]. Guidelines consistently recommend all

patients to receive information about the nature of their

pain and support to stay active and at work [4, 5]. Deliv-

ery of such information can be time consuming and

therefore challenging for general practitioners (GPs) [6]. Consequently, information and advice according to

guidelines are often not delivered, and implementation

research to address this evidence-practice gap in general

practice is needed [7]. We published the protocol for a large-scale randomised controlled trial, where we aim to compare a standard website versus a participatory driven web-application for patients with LBP to facilitate deliv-

ery of evidence-based advice and information [8].

The website was developed together with GPs, students and researchers [8]. First a number of student projects (24 in total) at Health Informatics at Aalborg University, Denmark, generated initial suggestions for designing the web application. Then, based on a synthesis of the suggestions, a semi-structured interview guide was made, which we used as the basis for 15 single-persons interviews of patients who had previously consulting a GP for LBP [9]. Following this, a workshop was conducted with seven patients with LBP, of which two had participated in the interview study. An early version of the new website was then presented and discussed with eight GPs during interviews to ensure that the website was feasible in routine management of LBP [10]. Finally, we showed the early version of the website to 150 patients with LBP [11] and inquired about readability, customisation, design, credibility, and usability [11]. The new participant-driven web-application contain guideline concordant information, support to stay active, patient stories, and exercise examples. The material is presented as text, pictures, and a short video of a GP advising about LBP. In a future randomised controlled trial we will compare patients' satisfaction with this new participant-driven web-application with a standard reference website also containing guideline-based information on LBP [8].

This feasibility study is intended to inform the future randomised control trial [8]. The aim is thus to report on the lessons learned from the feasibility study with a focus on recruitment success and reasons for loss to follow-up.

Main text

Methods

We recruited 20 patients ≥ 18 years old who consulted two Danish general practices with acute or chronic LBP with or without concomitant leg pain [8]. Patients with spinal stenosis or serious underlying disease (e.g. signs of fracture, cauda equina syndrome, malignancy, osteoporosis, or spondyloarthritis), patients without Danish reading skills, pregnant women, and patients without access to the internet were not included [8]. However, it was not required that patients had an interest in receiving information online.

The GP invited the patient to participate. Patients were told that the purpose was to test the setup of the study, including the randomisation module and data collection. Patients were informed that the research team needed their contact information (phone number and e-mail address) to discuss any challenges with access to the website or with using online information to read about their LBP and finally to fill out an online questionnaire [8]. Patients were informed that the researchers would not report on their health-related clinical outcomes, e.g. reduction in pain or improved functional ability.

The Danish NemID was required to login from home [12]. NemID is a secure login system to the Internet and is typically applied for online banking and engagement with public authorities. When applying NemID three things are required: a user ID, a password, and a code card (either stored on a smartphone or in paper format in the size of a credit card) [12]. At the first login at home, the patient was again given information about the study. Consenting patients were then randomly allocated to the new website or to the online 'Patient Handbook' (standard website) [13]. Allocation was conducted online in blocks of two, four, and six, to the new web application or to the online 'Patient Handbook' [8]. The allocation sequence was delivered by a statistician at Aalborg University Hospital and integrated into the overall web application of the project [8]. After allocation, participants were asked to complete a longer online questionnaire at baseline, shorter online questionnaires during 5 days, and one longer online questionnaire at the end of the feasibility study (after 7 days) as well as a short questionnaire every day for 1 week. The shorter questionnaires contained 10 questions about satisfaction with the online information. We used the safe data storage and collection instrument, RedCap [14], to collect questionnaire data. Participants not accessing the website were sent reminders, and participants who dropped out of the study were phoned by the primary investigator (AR), who collected information about the reasons why.

Results

From December 18 2018 to May 1 2019, we recruited 20 patients from two general practices with each clinic recruiting for 3 months. Nine patients reported to have opened the project envelope delivered by their GP, but only five patients logged on to the project database and was allocated (two to the new web application and three to the 'Patient Handbook'). Among the five allocated patients three completed the final questionnaire (one in the new application group and two in the 'Patient Handbook' group) (Fig. 1).

After all participants had been included for 1 week, they were contacted by phone to discuss reasons for dropping out.

Lessons learned

Based on the high loss of participants, we do not believe it is feasible to conduct the full-scale randomised trial using the current protocol. Consequently, a redesign is needed followed by further testing of procedures and of the website. One approach could be to test the immediate effects among patients with a special interest in using a website as part of the management of their LBP. We did test an early version of the new website on 150 patients



with the primary purpose to validate a questionnaire [11], and included patients familiar with using the Internet or the use of other web or applications to manage their pain. In that test, recruitment of 150 participants was not difficult highlighting that using the internet as a source of information may not be attractive for everyone. In a future randomised controlled trial, such a population could probably be recruited. In addition, the immediate satisfaction with the website could be assessed by having a healthcare provider or researcher present while

patients access the information material, and immediate response on their satisfaction could be obtained. Giving the healthcare provider or researcher the opportunity to support patients in responding to questionnaires.

Another solution could be to evaluate the website as part of a larger multi-faceted intervention involving clinical staff members (other than GPs) in the management of LBP in general practice [15]. The rationale for including clinical staff is that they can assist in delivering key treatment elements to patients with LBP, like information about their pain condition and support of their self-management [15]. Having these issues thoroughly discussed with a clinical staff member may facilitate patients to be aware of the importance of these issues, facilitate participants' interest in their study, and reduce drop-out.

Limitations

In this feasibility study, we only included 20 patients, and we are therefore not able to draw conclusions about the potential effect of the intervention. This project used the Danish NEMid login, which is a common method to secure safe delivery of information between citizens in Denmark and public organizations. When patients receive a mail requiring NEMid, they expect this to be important information, but access is also a little cumbersome. Avoiding the use of NEMid might have improved follow-up, however, hardly to our required minimum level of 61% [8]. Hence, conducting the study with a more user-friendly access may speed up recruitment and support retention to the intervention.

Abbreviations

GP: general practitioner; LBP: low back pain.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Joachim Bøggild at ProData (Viby J, Denmark) for his professional assistance in developing the new web-application.

Authors' contributions

The study was conceived by AR, MSR, JH, JLT, TA, and MBJ. AR collected data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors assisted with the interpretation of data and provided critical input to the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by The Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF17OC0024422) and The Danish Rheumatism Association (R132-A3476-B750). They have no role in the study design; collection of data, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset analysed during the current study will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. After study completion study completion, data will be kept will full availability for AR and MBJ. After 5 years, all data will be fully anonymised and kept at the Center for General Practice at Aalborg University.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study was waived by the Ethical Committee in the North Denmark Region (N-20170038). General practitioners participation is recommended by The Committee of Multipractice Studies in General Practice in Denmark (MPU 09-2017). Patients was informed about the feasibility study by their GPs and provided informed electronic consent online before being directed to the baseline questionnaire and being assigned to their allocation group. Patients may at any time and without any consequences for the usual treatment of LBP, discontinue participation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Fyrkildevej 7, 1. Sal, 9220 Aalborg Ø, Denmark. ² Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, Center for Muscle and Joint Health, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark. ³ Nordic Institute of Chiropractic and Clinical Biomechanics, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark.

Received: 21 November 2019 Accepted: 4 January 2020 Published online: 10 January 2020

References

- Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. Lancet. 2018;391:2356–67.
- Pedersen KM, Andersen JS, Sondergaard J. General practice and primary health care in Denmark. J Am Board Fam Med. 2012;25(Suppl 1):S34–8.
- Vlaeyen JWS, Maher CG, Wiech K, Van Zundert J, Meloto CB, Diatchenko L, et al. Low back pain. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2018;4:52.
- NICE (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence). Low back pain and sciatica in over 16 s: assessment and management. 2016. https:// www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng59. Accessed 9 Dec 2019.
- Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, Skelly A, Hashimoto R, Weimer M, Fu R, Dana T, Kraegel P, Griffin J, Grusing S, Brodt ED. Nonpharmacologic therapies for low back pain: a systematic review for an american college of physicians clinical practice guideline. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166(7):493–505.
- Bishop FL, Dima AL, Ngui J, Little P, Moss-Morris R, Foster NE, Lewith GT. "Lovely Pie in the Sky Plans": a qualitative study of clinicians' perspectives on guidelines for managing low back pain in primary care in England. Spine. 2015;40(23):1842–50.
- Buchbinder R, van Tulder M, Öberg B, Costa LM, Woolf A, Schoene M, et al. Low back pain: a call for action. Lancet. 2018;391:2384–8.
- Riis A, Hartvigsen J, Rathleff MS, Afzali T, Jensen MB. Comparing satisfaction with a participatory driven web-application and a standard website for patients with low back pain: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial (part of the ADVIN Back Trial). Trials. 2018;19:399.
- Riis A, Hjelmager DM, Vinther LD, Rathleff MS, Hartvigsen J, Jensen MB. Preferences for web-based information material for low back pain: qualitative interview study on people consulting a general practitioner. JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol. 2018;5(1):e7.
- Hjelmager DM, Vinther LD, Poulsen SH, Pedersen LS, Jensen MB, Riis A. Requirements for implementing online information material for patients with low back pain in general practice: an interview study. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2019;31:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/02813432.2019.15694 13.
- Afzali T, Lauridsen HH, Thomsen JL, Hartvigsen J, Jensen MB, Riis A. Development of the online patients satisfaction index (OPSI): a questionnaire to measure satisfaction with online information of low back pain. In: Orthopaedic Proceedings, vol 101-B, No. SUPP_9. The Society for Back Pain Research (SBPR) 2018 Meeting, Groningen, 15–16 Nov 2018. https:// online.boneandjoint.org.uk/doi/abs/10.1302/1358-992X.2019.9.052.
- The Danish agency for digitisation. Introduction to NemID. https://www. nemid.nu/dk-en/about_nemid/introduktion_til_nemid/index.html. Accessed 9 Dec 2019.
- Patient Handbook. Hosted by Sundhed.dk (an organisation of all Danish public paid health care providers). https://www.sundhed.dk/borger/patie nthaandbogen/. Accessed 9 Dec 2019.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42(2):377–81.
- Riis A, Karran EL, Hill JC, Jensen MB, Thomsen JL. A conceptual framework for increasing clinical staff member involvement in general practice: a proposed strategy to improve the management of low back pain. BMC Fam Pract. 2019;20:30.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.