SHORT REPORT

Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibition acts synergistically with tyrosine kinase inhibitors to induce apoptosis of mantle cell lymphoma cells

May Eriksen-Gjerstad¹ | Ida Tveit Karlsen¹ | Zinayida Fandalyuk¹ | Susanne Benjaminsen¹ | Fanny Baran-Marszak² | Bela Papp³ | Frederick Locke⁴ | Marcus Ladds^{5,6} | Andrés Pastor-Fernández^{5,6} | Pascal Gelebart¹ | Emmet Mc Cormack^{1,7,8,9}

¹Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

Revised: 22 March 2022

²Inserm U978, Université Paris-13, Bobigny, France

³Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, UMR U976, Institut de Recherche Saint-Louis, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Université de Paris; CEA, DRF-Institut Francois Jacob, Department of Hemato-Immunology Research, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, France

⁴Department of Blood and Marrow Transplant and Cellular Therapy, Moffit Cancer Centre, Tampa, USA

⁵Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology (MTC), Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁶SciLifeLab, Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology (MTC), Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁷Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

⁸Department of Quality and Development, Hospital Pharmacies Enterprise in Western Norway, Bergen, Norway

⁹Centre for Cancer Biomarkers CCBIO, Bergen, Norway

Correspondence

Prof. Emmet Mc Cormack, Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Haukeland University Hospital Jonas Lies vei 87, 5021 Bergen, Norway. Email: Emmet.Mc.Cormack@uib.no

Funding information Kreftforeningen, Grant/Award Number: 182735; the Helse Vest region, Grant/Award Number: 911182

Abstract

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a non-Hodgkin lymphoma that remains incurable with the treatment options available today. In the present study, we have identified the dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), an essential enzyme for the *de novo* biosynthesis of pyrimidine-based nucleotides, to be overexpressed in MCL in comparison to healthy peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). In vitro inhibition of the DHODH activity using a newly developed DHODH inhibitor, namely (*R*)-HZ05, can induce MCL cell death in the nanomolar range independently than the P53 status of the investigated cell lines. Moreover, the combination of (*R*)-HZ05 with tyrosine kinase inhibitor shows the synergistic activity on cell death. Pre-clinical investigation on the efficacy of (*R*)-HZ05 shows that it can be prolonged animal lifespan similar to ibrutinib. (*R*)-HZ05 use in combination with tyrosine kinase inhibitor demonstrated a superior efficacy on tumor burden reduction and survival than either drug alone. We have demonstrated that the depletion of the pyrimidine nucleotide pool, using DHODH inhibitor, represents a new therapeutic strategy that may benefit MCL patients.

eJHaem

British Society fo

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. eJHaem published by British Society for Haematology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

⁹¹⁴ │ WILEY

KEYWORDS

apoptosis, DHODH, mantle cell lymphoma, targeted therapy

1 | INTRODUCTION

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a non-Hodgkin lymphoma which remains incurable with the treatment options available today. Despite the good response rate to upfront chemotherapy, most patients relapse, presenting with an overall survival of 3-5 years [1-4]. The majority of MCL patients have the t(11;14)(q13;q32) translocation which leads to a deregulation of the cell cycle through the overexpression of cyclin D1 [5]. Along with oncogenic alterations in different cellular pathways (STAT3, NF-*R*B, AKT, Wnt, INK4a-CDK4-RB1) [2, 3], the deregulation of the cell cycle leads to an aggressive and highly proliferative disease that demands a constant supply of nucleotides for RNA transcription and DNA replication [1]. Targeting metabolic pathways is one of the most successful strategies in anti-cancer therapies [6]. Recently, Ladds et al. characterized a novel dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) inhibitor, namely (R)-HZ05, that increases the sensitivity to chemotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells [7]. DHODH is an essential enzyme for the *de novo* biosynthesis of pyrimidinebased nucleotides [6]. DHODH catalyses the conversion of dihydroorotate into orotate, which in turn is converted through several catalytic steps into deoxycytidine triphosphate, deoxythymidine triphosphate, and deoxyuridine triphosphate. Inhibition of DHODH has been linked to S-phase arrest and apoptosis induction through p53 upregulation [7] and his regarded as a new therapeutic target in cancers [8, 9]. Importantly, as in AML, many MCL patients present with wild-type p53 [10, 11]. Therefore, we hypothesize that targeting DHODH activity in MCL, using (R)-HZ05, as a single-agent and as a combinatory agent, represents a promising and yet unexplored strategy in MCL.

2 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from the Oncomine database indicate that normalized DHODH mRNA expression levels in MCL patient cells (n = 8) are upregulated compared to B-lymphocytes collected from healthy donors (n = 5, p = 0.1) and significantly upregulated compared to naïve, pregerminal center B-lymphocytes from healthy donors (n = 5, p = 0.01; Figure 1A). Supporting this finding, data from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopaedia show that the normalized DHODH mRNA expression level of various MCL cell lines (JeKo-1, Mino and Rec-1) are similar to the DHODH mRNA level observed in the AML cell line MOLM-13 (Figure 1B). Also, the protein expression of DHODH is higher in MCL cell lines (Figure 1C) and MCL primary cells (Figure 1D) when compared to PBMCs from healthy donors. Collectively, these observations suggest that DHODH is a potential target for inhibition in MCL.

To determine the possible therapeutic value of inhibiting DHODH in MCL, we investigated the effect of the novel DHODH inhibitor (R)-HZ05 on cell viability in vitro in comparison to brequinar a pre-

viously reported DHODH inhibitor. Inhibition of DHODH using (R)-HZ05 or brequinar induces apoptosis in MCL cell lines in the nanomolar range (Figure 1E). In contrast, (R)-HZ05 treatment does not affect PBMC viability. Interestingly, the EC₅₀ values for the different MCL cell lines demonstrate that the p53 mutational status does not correlate with the sensitivity to (R)-HZ05 (Figure 1F). This indicates that DHODH inhibition may be independent of p53 function despite its expression being upregulated in p53 positive cell lines Rec-1 and SP53 (Figure S1A). It demonstrated that (R)-HZ05 induces S-phase cell accumulation in AML cell lines. To investigate if (R)-HZ05 induce S-phase arrest in MCL, we examined the effect of (R)-HZ05 on the cell cycle in the MCL cell lines JeKo-1 and SP53. The S-phase cell accumulation was detectable after 24 h of (R)-HZ05 exposure at concentrations ranging from 20 to 100 nM (Figure 1G). After 48 and 72 h, the S-phase cell accumulation was more prominent (Figure S2A-C). Cellcycle arrest was accompanied by apoptosis (Figure S1B), as a timeand concentration-dependent cleavage of PARP was observed following DHODH inhibition by (R)-HZ05 in MCL cells. Interestingly, DHODH inhibition led to the up-regulation of the DHODH protein in 2 of the 4 cells line tested (Figure S1C-F). This observation seems to indicate that DHODH is important for the maintenance of the MCL phenotype. We also observed a downregulation of cyclin D1, p27^{Kip1} and β catenin protein expression levels in MCL cells (Figure S1C-F). Notably, NF-*k*B activation was not altered following (R)-HZ05 treatment (Figure S1C, D). We thus hypothesized that subsequent inhibition of DHODH and NF-*k*B signaling, an important signaling pathway for the proliferation and growth of MCL cells, might be of therapeutic value [12]. Since it has been suggested that inhibition of DHODH increases the chemosensitivity of cancer cell lines, we decided to investigate the effect of combining DHODH inhibition with a tyrosine kinase (TK) inhibitor that block NF-kB activation [6]. We tested ibrutinib, a Burton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor already used in relapsed MCL patients, as well as bemcentinib, an AXL inhibitor in clinical trials for various cancers including AML, in combination with (R)-HZ05. JeKo-1 cells were treated with 20, 40, or 80 nM (R)-HZ05 for 120 h in combination with either 0.5, 1.0, or 2.0 μ M ibrutinib or 0.125, 0.250, or 0.5 μ M bemcentinib. Both ibrutinib and bemcentinib were added to the cells 72 h after the addition of (R)-HZ05, and the cells were further incubated for 48 h before analysis. Flow cytometry data indicate that the combination of bemcentinib and (R)-HZ05 induces apoptosis in a synergistic manner (Figure 2A). While 80 nM (R)-HZ05 for 120 h results in 49.1% apoptotic cells and 0.5 μ M bemcentinib for 48 h results in 14.7% apoptotic cells, the combination results in 87.1% apoptotic cells. In comparison, the effect of combining ibrutinib with (R)-HZ05 is rather additive, as 80 nM (R)-HZ05 gave 56.0% apoptotic cells, and 2.0 μ M ibrutinib gave 8.2% apoptotic cells, the combination gave 67.1% apoptotic cells (Figure 2B). The combinatorial effect between (R)-HZ05 and bemcentinib or ibrutinib was evaluated, for the JeKo-1, Mino, and SP53 cell lines, using



Propidium iodide

WILEY

915





FIGURE 2 (A) AnnexinV/PI cell viability assay of JeKo-1 cells following (R)-HZ05 treatment (20, 40, or 80 nM) for 120 h, bemcentinib treatment (0.125, 0.250, or 0.500 μ M) for 48 h, or (R)-HZ05 and bemcentinib in combination (ratio 1:6.25). Bemcentinib was added to the cells 72 h after the adding of (R)-HZ05. (B) AnnexinV/PI cell viability assay of JeKo-1 cells following (R)-HZ05 treatment (20, 40, or 80 nM) for 120 h, Ibrutinib (0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 μ M) for 48 h, or (R)-HZ05 and Ibrutinib in combination (ratio 1:25). Ibrutinib was added to the cells 72 h after adding of

Ibrutinib

vs. Bemcentini vs. (*R*)-HZ05 n vs. (*R*)-HZ05 +

Bemcentinib vs. (R)-HZ05 ns. vs. (R)-HZ05 + Bemcentinib

(R)-HZ05 vs. (R)-HZ05 + Bemcentinib **

Bemcentinib + (R)-HZ05

na/ka.

R)-HZ05

Survival (%)

50

25

30

32

34

36 38 Time (days)

40

42

44

the combination index (CI) versus the fraction affected for the JeKo-1, Mino, and SP53 cell lines (Figure 2C). The data collectively show that the MCL cell lines are sensitive to the combination of either (R)-HZ05 and bemcentinib or (R)-HZ05 and ibrutinib.

It has been reported that some TK inhibitors can reduce the intracellular uptake of uridine in a dose-dependent manner [13]. To evaluate if bemcentinib can prevent uridine uptake in cells, which may explain the synergism seen in combination with (*R*)-HZ05, we performed a uridine uptake experiment. The uridine uptake in the melanoma cell line ARN8 is greatly reduced in a concentration-dependent manner when treated with bemcentinib, suggesting that TK inhibition may prevent uridine transport also in MCL cells (Figure 2D).

(R)-HZ05 was evaluated in vivo as a single-agent and in combination with bemcentinib, compared to ibrutinib as a single-agent, using a JeKo-1^{Luc+} MCL xenograft model. Four days after intravenous inoculation of JeKo-1^{Luc+} cells, the mice were randomized by bioluminescence intensity using 150 mg/kg D-luciferin (n = 8). At day 7, treatment was initiated and continued for 6 days \times 4 weeks using 75 mg/kg (R)-HZ05 (QD), 50 mg/kg bemcentinib (b.i.d.), 25 mg/kg ibrutinib (q.d.) or the combination of (R)-HZ05 and bemcentinib. Bioluminescence imaging was used to monitor the therapeutic efficiency, using 150 mg/kg Dluciferin. The total bioluminescence intensities at day 25 indicate that (R)-HZ05 as a single-agent does not reduce tumor burden compared to vehicle (Figure 2E-G). In combination with bemcentinib, tumor burden is significantly reduced compared to the control group. The survival of the mice treated with (R)-HZ05 alone or in combination with bemcentinib is significantly longer compared to the control (Figure 2H). Additionally, the overall survival of the group receiving the combination therapy is significantly longer than that of the group receiving (R)-HZ05 as a single-agent.

To evaluate the specificity of (*R*)-HZ05 against DHODH, we explored the effect of uridine addition on DHODH inhibition in MCL cells. The addition of dihydroorotate (DA), a compound located upstream of the DHODH enzymatic activity, was not able to prevent the effect of DHODH inhibition in JeKo-1 cells (Figure S3A, B). However, adding uridine rendered the cells resistant to (*R*)-HZ05, suggesting that (*R*)-HZ05 acts specifically on DHODH inhibition in MCL (Figure S3A–C). To evaluate the possible effect of the physiological uridine concentration present in the human body, we also performed a DHODH inhibition experiment in combination with TK inhibitor in the presence of 5 μ M uridine. The addition of low concentrations of uridine

in the experimental setting did not prevent the synergistic apoptosisinducing effect observed in MCL cells by the concomitant use of a DHODH inhibitor and a TK inhibitor (Figure S3D).

In conclusion, we demonstrate that DHODH is overexpressed at the mRNA and protein level in MCL primary cells and cell lines, compared to PBMCs. Our results show that the DHODH specific inhibitor (R)-HZ05 can induce apoptosis of MCL cells as a single-agent and act synergistically in combination with the AXL inhibitor bemcentinib. Interestingly, the p53 mutational status of MCL cells had no impact on the sensitivity to (R)-HZ05 suggesting that the apoptosis induced by (R)-HZ05in MCL cells is p53 independent. Also, DHODH inhibition induces a strong S-phase cell accumulation of MCL cells, followed by apoptosis. This observation indicates that the apoptotic effect of (R)-HZ05 is caused as a result of pyrimidine starvation. Our in vivo study shows that the (R)-HZ05 is well tolerated in our MCL xenograft model. DHODH inhibition can increase the animal survival to the same extent than ibrutinib treatment. Interestingly, this can be achieved despite the observation that DHODH inhibition can led to an up-regulation of DHODH (Figure S1D,E) and may have represent a resistance mechanism to the treatment. The co-administration of (R)-HZ05 with bemcentinib significantly prolongs survival in a mouse MCL xenograft model when compared to mice treated with vehicle, bemcentinib, ibrutinib, or (R)-HZ05. Clinical trials with the newly developed DHODH inhibitor (R)-HZ05 alone, or in combination with bemcentinib, are warranted in relapsed/refractory MCL patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by research grants from the Norwegian Cancer Society (Kreftforeningen, grant number: 182735) and the Helse Vest region (grant number: 911182) awarded to E.M.C. We would like to thank BergenBio AS for proving the bemcentinib compound that was used for this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

May Eriksen-Gjerstad, Ida Tveit Karlsen, Pascal Gelebart, and Emmet Mc Cormack designed the experiments, May Eriksen-Gjerstad, Ida Tveit Karlsen, Susanne Benjaminsen, Marcus Ladds, Andrés

(*R*)-HZ05. (*C*) The calculated synergistic effect of combining (*R*)-HZ05 with bemcentinib or Ibrutinib in JeKo-1, Mino, and SP53. The synergistic effect is illustrated by the combination index (CI) vs. fraction affected, and CI vs. effective dose (ED) required to affect 50% (ED₅₀), 75% (ED₇₅), 90% (ED₉₀), and 95% (ED₉₅) of the cells. (D) Uridine Uptake Assay in the melanoma cell line ARN8. (E) Total bioluminescence intensity at day 25 of a JeKo-1^{*Luc+*} xenograft model after treatment with single-agent or combinations of bemcentinib, (*R*)-HZ05 and ibrutinib. The model was established on day 0, randomized at day 4, and treated from day 7, 6 days × 4 weeks with either vehicle (q.d.), 50 mg/kg (b.i.d.) bemcentinib, 75 mg/kg (q.d.) (*R*)-HZ05, 25 mg/kg (q.d.) Ibrutinib, or combination of bemcentinib and (*R*)-HZ05. The combination group received the same dose as the single treated group. Bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was assessed on days 4, 11, 18, and 25 from the dorsal and ventral side (*n* = 8), using 50 mg/kg *D*-luciferin. Representative images at day 25 from respective mice of each group are used to make the figure (F). Total bioluminescence intensity signal at day 25. Statistical significance compared to control is marked with a star above the column (**p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, *****p* < 0.0001). All comparisons with significance are marked, all other comparisons are non-significant (ns). Error bars show standard deviation. (H) Kaplan–Meier curve presenting the overall survival from the different treatments

WILEY-

⁹¹⁸ WILE

Pastor-Fernández, Zinayida Fandalyuk, and M.P. performed all the experimental procedures, Pascal Gelebart, May Eriksen-Gjerstad, Ida Tveit Karlsen and Emmet Mc Cormack analyzed the data. Frederick Locke, Bela Papp, and Fanny Baran-Marszak provided MCL patients. All authors contributed to the writing of the paper.

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The use of human tissues and animal experiments have been approved by the Norwegian (REK number 2012/2245) and French institutional ethics committees.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Pascal Gelebart ^D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2434-8987

REFERENCES

- Jares P, Colomer D, Campo E. Genetic and molecular pathogenesis of mantle cell lymphoma: Perspectives for new targeted therapeutics. Nat Rev Cancer. 2007;7:750–62.
- 2. Jares P, Colomer D, Campo E. Molecular pathogenesis of mantle cell lymphoma. J Clin Invest. 2012;122:3416–23.
- 3. Saba N, Wiestner A. Do mantle cell lymphomas have an 'Achilles heel'? Curr Opin Hematol. 2014;21:350–7.
- Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, Harris NL, Stein H, Siebert R, et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. Blood. 2016;127:2375–90.
- Bea S, Valdes-Mas R, Navarro A, Salaverria I, Martin-Garcia D, Jares P, et al. Landscape of somatic mutations and clonal evolution in mantle cell lymphoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110: 18250–5.
- Madak JT, Bankhead A 3rd, Cuthbertson CR, Showalter HD, Neamati N. Revisiting the role of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase as a therapeutic target for cancer. Pharmacol Ther. 2019;195:111–31. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.10.012

- Ladds M, van Leeuwen IMM, Drummond CJ, Chu S, Healy AR, Popova G, et al. A DHODH inhibitor increases p53 synthesis and enhances tumor cell killing by p53 degradation blockage. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1107.
- Cao L, Weetall M, Trotta C, Cintron K, Ma J, Kim MJ, et al. Targeting of hematologic malignancies with PTC299: A novel potent inhibitor of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase with favorable pharmaceutical properties. Mol Cancer Ther. 2019;18:3–16.
- 9. Zhou Y, Tao L, Zhou X, Zuo Z, Gong J, Liu X, et al. DHODH and cancer: Promising prospects to be explored. Cancer Metab. 2021;9: 22.
- Greiner TC, Dasgupta C, Ho VV, Weisenburger DD, Smith LM, Lynch JC, et al. Mutation and genomic deletion status of ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and p53 confer specific gene expression profiles in mantle cell lymphoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103: 2352–7.
- Royo C, Salaverria I, Hartmann EM, Rosenwald A, Campo E, Bea S. The complex landscape of genetic alterations in mantle cell lymphoma. Semin Cancer Biol. 2011;21:322–4.
- Balaji S, Ahmed M, Lorence E, Yan F, Nomie K, Wang M.NF-kappaB signaling and its relevance to the treatment of mantle cell lymphoma. J Hematol Oncol. 2018;11:83.
- Huang M, Wang Y, Cogut SB, Mitchell BS, Graves LM. Inhibition of nucleoside transport by protein kinase inhibitors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2003;304:753–60.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Eriksen-Gjerstad M, Tveit Karlsen I, Fandalyuk Z, Benjaminsen S, Baran-Marszak F, Papp B, et al. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibition acts synergistically with tyrosine kinase inhibitors to induce apoptosis of mantle cell lymphoma cells. eJHaem. 2022;3:913–918.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jha2.434