The official journal of International federation of pigment cell societies · society for melanoma research

PIGMENT CELL & MELANOMA Research

A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies FBXO42 involvement in resistance toward MEK inhibition in NRAS-mutant melanoma

Adi Nagler | David W. Vredevoogd | Michal Alon | Phil F. Cheng | Sophie Trabish | Shelly Kalaora | Rand Arafeh | Victoria Goldin | Mitchell P. Levesque | Daniel S. Peeper | Yardena Samuels



DOI: 10.1111/pcmr.12825 Volume 33, Issue 2, Pages 334–344

If you wish to order reprints of this article, please see the guidelines <u>here</u>

Supporting Information for this article is freely available here

EMAIL ALERTS

Receive free email alerts and stay up-to-date on what is published in Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research – <u>click here</u>

Submit your next paper to PCMR online at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/pcmr

Subscribe to PCMR and stay up-to-date with the only journal committed to publishing basic research in melanoma and pigment cell biology

As a member of the IFPCS or the SMR you automatically get online access to PCMR. Sign up as a member today at **www.ifpcs.org** or at **www.societymelanomaresarch.org**

To take out a personal subscription, please <u>click here</u> More information about Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research at **www.pigment.org**

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies *FBXO42* involvement in resistance toward MEK inhibition in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma

Adi Nagler¹ | David W. Vredevoogd² | Michal Alon¹ | Phil F. Cheng³ | Sophie Trabish¹ | Shelly Kalaora¹ | Rand Arafeh¹ | Victoria Goldin¹ | Mitchell P. Levesque³ | Daniel S. Peeper² | Yardena Samuels¹

¹Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

²Division of Molecular Oncology and Immunology, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Dermatology, University of Zurich Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence

Yardena Samuels, Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.

Email: Yardena.samuels@weizmann.ac.il

Daniel S. Peeper, Division of Molecular Oncology and Immunology, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Plesmanlaan 121, 1066 CX Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Email: d.peeper@nki.nl

Funding information

Wagner-Braunsberg Family Melanoma Research Fund; Jean-Jacques Brunschwig Fund for the Molecular Genetics of Cancer; ERC, Grant/Award Number: CoG- 770854; European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Grant/Award Number: 754282; Comisaroff Family Trust; H2020 European Research Council, Grant/Award Number: 712977; Meyer Henri Cancer Endowment; Israel Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: 696/17; MRA, Grant/Award Number: #622106: Ted and Sylvia Quint; The Knell Family and the Hamburger Family; Laboratory in the name of M.E.H Fund established by Margot and Ernst Hamburger; The Rising Tide Foundation

Abstract

NRAS mutations are the most common alterations among RAS isoforms in cutaneous melanoma, with patients harboring these aggressive tumors having a poor prognosis and low survival rate. The main line of treatment for these patients is MAPK pathway-targeted therapies, such as MEK inhibitors, but, unfortunately, the response to these inhibitors is variable due to tumor resistance. Identifying genetic modifiers involved in resistance toward MEK-targeted therapy may assist in the development of new therapeutic strategies, enhancing treatment response and patient survival. Our whole-genome CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen identified the target Kelch domain-containing F-Box protein 42 (FBXO42) as a factor involved in NRAS-mutant melanoma-acquired resistance to the MEK1/2 inhibitor trametinib. We further show that FBXO42, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, is involved in the TAK1 signaling pathway, possibly prompting an increase in active P38. In addition, we demonstrate that combining trametinib with the TAK1 inhibitor, takinib, is a far more efficient treatment than trametinib alone in NRAS-mutant melanoma cells. Our findings thus show a new pathway involved in NRAS-mutant melanoma resistance and provide new opportunities for novel therapeutic options.

KEYWORDS

CRISPR, melanoma, NRAS, resistance, therapy

[Correction added on 29 May 2020, after first online publication: Copyright line for this article was changed].

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

1 | INTRODUCTION

NRAS mutations are found in 15%–20% of melanomas (Hodis et al., 2012). Tumors harboring these mutations are very aggressive, displaying elevated mitotic activity and high rates of lymph node metastasis (Devitt et al., 2011; Jakob et al., 2012). Thus, patients with NRAS-mutant melanoma have a poor prognosis and a low survival rate (Jakob et al., 2012; Thumar, Shahbazian, Aziz, Jilaveanu, & Kluger, 2014).

Despite decades of research on RAS, it is still regarded as being "undruggable" (Stephen, Esposito, Bagni, & McCormick, 2014). Therefore, MAPK pathway inhibitors, such as MEK inhibitors (MEKi), are the typical therapeutic approach when it comes to *NRAS*-mutant melanoma (Munoz-Couselo, Adelantado, Ortiz, Garcia, & Perez-Garcia, 2017; Santarpia, Lippman, & El-Naggar, 2012). Trametinib is an FDAapproved, allosteric inhibitor of MEK1/2 used to treat *NRAS*-mutant melanoma, both as a monotherapy and in combination with other anti-cancer drugs (Johnson & Puzanov, 2015). However, these current therapies used to treat patients with *NRAS*-mutant melanoma are not very efficient, owing to the aggressive nature of tumor cells and complex changes in molecular signaling (Johnson & Puzanov, 2015). Thus, identifying genetic modifiers involved in such resistance mechanisms is of great importance. We here report on a novel mechanism of drug resistance in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma.

Our whole-genome CRISPR-Cas9 knockout (KO) screen in *NRAS*^{Q61R} melanoma cells revealed the Kelch domain-containing F-Box protein 42 (FBXO42), an E3 ubiquitin ligase (Sun et al., 2009), involvement in resistance toward trametinib treatment. We further show that FBXO42 is involved in the TAK1 signaling pathway, leading to increased P38 activation. Thus, based on these observations, we demonstrate that combining trametinib with takinib, a TAK1 inhibitor is a far more efficient treatment than monotreatment with trametinib in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cells.

Put together, our findings reveal a novel mechanism of tumor resistance to MEK inhibition in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma, and potentially offering a new therapeutic strategy.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture

SK-MEL-147 (NRAS Q61R) and MZ-MEL-2 (NRAS Q61K) cell lines were a gift from Prof. Daniel S. Peeper (The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). MM130405, MM130926, and MM130227 (NRAS Q61R) cell lines were a gift from Prof. Mitch Levesque (University of Zurich Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland). SK-MEL-147 and MZ-MEL-2 cell lines were cultured in DMEM. MM130405, MM130926, and MM130227 cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640. All melanoma cell lines were supplemented with 10% FBS, L-glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin and grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 5–15 passages. All cells have been authenticated by sequencing and were tested routinely for mycoplasma using Mycoplasma EZ-PCR test kit (#20- 700-20, Biological Industries, Kibbutz Beit Ha'emek).

Significance

As NRAS-mutant melanoma tumors are mostly resistant to MEK inhibitors, investigating signaling pathways that lead to resistance has taken a center stage. Our data show that the TAK1 pathway is involved in resistance toward MEK inhibition in NRAS-mutant melanoma. These findings have clinical implications as they may lead to the development of combined inhibitor therapy toward MEK and TAK1, which could be an effective treatment for melanoma patients harboring an NRAS mutation.

2.2 | Genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 knockout (GeCKO) screen

2.2.1 | Lentivirus production of GeCKO library

Lentivirus was produced as previously described (Shalem et al., 2014). Briefly, 4.4 μ g of the lentiCRISPRv2 library (#100000048, Addgene) was co-transfected with 2.2 μ g of PMD2.G (#12259, Addgene), and 3.4 μ g of psPAX2 (#12260, Addgene) packaging plasmids into HEK293T cells in a 10 cm² dish using TurboFect (Thermo Fisher) as described by the manufacturer. After 60 hr, media were collected, filtered, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C.

2.2.2 | CRISPR-Cas9 mediated genome-wide screen

SK-MEL-147 cells were lentivirally transduced with two GeCKO libraries (A and B) at a MOI of 0.3 aiming to ensure that most cells receive only one viral construct (Shalem et al., 2014). Briefly, 5×10^6 cells were plated in 10 cm² dishes. 48 hr after infection, cells were selected with puromycin (1 µg/ml) for 14 days. Cells were split into two pools: One arm was subjected to 100 nM MEKi trametinib treatment (GSK1120210 Selleckchem), whereas the other arm was left untreated. Colonies formed in the drug-treated arm were individually picked and expanded. For identification of sgRNAs in the individual clones, genomic DNA was isolated and sgRNAs were recovered by PCR amplification. Amplified DNA fragments were cloned into the TOPO TA-cloning vector (450071, Invitrogen), followed by identification of the sgRNA by Sanger sequencing.

2.3 | CRISPR cloning

Cloning of sgRNAs into the LentiCRISPRv2 vector was performed as described (http://www.genome-engineering.org/crispr/). Briefly, the LentiCRISPRv2 plasmid was digested with BsmBI and gel-purified. DNA oligonucleotides (Invitrogen) were annealed and ligated into the digested vector. Target sgRNA oligonucleotide sequences are listed in Figure S8.

WILFY-

2.4 | Western blot analysis

Cells were gently washed two times in PBS and then lysed in sample buffer 2X. The extracts were sonicated (50 W, 30 s), incubated on ice for 15 min, and boiled for 5 min. The samples were then subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE. Immunoblots were probed with the following antibodies: pERK1/2 (4370S;1:1000), ERK1/2 (4695;1:1000), P38 (9212S; 1:1000), pP38 (9216S; 1:1000), JNK (9258;1:1000), and pJNK (9251S;1:1000) antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling. GAPDH (MAB374;1:1000), Tubulin (05–829;1:1000), RAS, and RAS-GTP (RAS activation kit) antibodies were obtained from Millipore. FBXO42 (ab81638;1:500) was obtained from Abcam.

Blots were developed with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit Abs, using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate or SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Substrate from Thermo Scientific. pERK trametinib-treated Western blots were developed using SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent. Pictures of the blots were taken using Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP System. Quantification was done using Image Lab (Bio-Rad).

2.5 | Colony formation assay

Colony formation assays were performed by seeding 500 K cells in 6-well plates. The medium was refreshed twice per week for 2 weeks, and then, the plates were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution, stained with crystal violet (0.01% in dH2O), and photographed.

2.6 | Cell viability assays

Melanoma cell lines were seeded into 96-well plates (3,000 cells per well). On the next day, trametinib (GSK1120210 Selleckchem)/ selumetinib (AZD6244, AstraZeneca) was added to the plate's wells at increasing concentrations, from 1 pM to 100 μ M, in three replicates, with DMSO as a negative control. After an additional 72 hr, cell proliferation was assessed using the Cell Titer-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega). Analysis was performed using graph-Pad Prism. The combined effect of TAK1 and MEK inhibition on cell proliferation was tested by adding to the plate wells an increasing concentration of the MEK inhibitor trametinib, from 1 pM to 100 μ M, and a constant concentration of 5 μ M takinib (HY-103490, BioTAG). Cells were evaluated for viability after 72 hr as described above.

2.7 | RNA sequencing analysis

RNA capture was performed with TruSeq Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) and sequenced on a HiSeq4000. RNA counts were quantified from single-end reads using STAR aligner (Dobin et al., 2013). Differential expression was performed using voom from R package limma (Law, Chen, Shi, & Smyth, 2014).

2.8 | Immunohistochemistry

Tissue sections (4 µm thick) were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated using graded concentrations of ethanol, and rinsed in distilled water. Heat-induced epitope retrieval was performed in 10 mM citrate buffer at pH 6.0 for 10 min at 95°C. Sections were allowed to cool for one hour and then rinsed in distilled water. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked for 30 min. with hydrogen peroxide. For nonspecific binding, sections were blocked with 20% normal horse serum and 0.1% triton. Following blocking treatment, primary antibody (Rabbit anti-human FBXO42 obtained from Abcam [ab81638]) was diluted 1:25 and incubated overnight at 4°C. Detection was accomplished using a biotinylated secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody, followed by application of streptavidin-peroxidase conjugate solution and exposure to 3- 3'-diamino-benzidine (Sigma). Slides then were counterstained with hematoxylin (Sigma), dehydrated, and mounted with permanent media. Stained sections were examined and photographed on a bright-field scanner (Pannoramic SCAN II slide scanner) equipped with Carl Zeiss objectives (10×; 20×; 40×; 60×).

2.9 | Pooled stable expression

To produce lentiviruses, the following FBXO42 constructs were generated: FBXO42 was tagged with Flag at the N-terminus (pCDH1-FBXO42), FBXO42 Δ fbox was tagged with Flag at the C-terminus, and FBXO42 Δ kelch was tagged with Flag at the N-terminus. Deletion mutations were a kind gift from Yongfeng Shang (Peking University Health Science Center). Plasmids were co-transfected into HEK293T cells seeded at 2.5 × 10⁶ per T75 flask with psPAX2 and pMD2.G helper plasmids using TurboFect as described by the manufacturer. Virus-containing media were harvested 60 hr after transfection, filtered, aliquoted, and stored at -80° C. The lentiviruses for FBXO42 and its mutants were used to infect SK-MEL-147, MM130926, and MM130227 as previously described (Arafeh et al., 2015).

2.10 | RAS activation assay

Two 15-cm plates with SK-MEL-147 melanoma cells were treated with 100 nM trametinib or DMSO as control, for 24 hr. Ras-GTP levels were detected using a Ras activation kit (Millipore), following the manufacturer's instructions (Merck). RAS-GTP activation was quantified by using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad).

2.11 | Immunoprecipitation

SK-MEL-147 cells stably expressing wild-type or mutant FBXO42 or empty vector were gently washed two times in PBS, trypsinized, and then lysed using a lysis buffer (1% NP-40, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% deoxycholic acid, 1% complete protease inhibitor (Roche), 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM sodium fluoride, and 0.1% SDS in DDW). Lysates were incubated for 15 min on ice and



FIGURE 1 Genome-wide CRISPR Cas9 knockout screen identifies FBXO42 leading to trametinib resistance in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma. (a, b) Screen outline and hits, FBXO42 was found in 14 independent clones, and MAP3K1 was found in one clone out of the 14 as was TRIM4. (c,d) Immunoblot analysis of *FBXO42* gene perturbation efficiency of Cas9 sgRNAs in SK-MEL-147 and MZ-MEL-2 *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cell lines. Ratios of FBXO42 to GAPDH and tubulin levels were generated using Image Lab (Bio-Rad). (e) SK-MEL-147 and MZ-MEL-2 cells were treated with 100 nM trametinib and stained with crystal violet 10 days later

then centrifuged for 15 min at 16,000 g at 4°C. 3 mg of the protein lysates was taken for immunoprecipitation using anti-Flag M2 agarose beads (Sigma) in rotation overnight at 4°C. Proteins (50 μ g/ lane) were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). Immunoblots were probed with the following antibodies: anti-FLAG (M2) (F7425, Sigma) and anti-GAPDH (MAB374, Millipore).

2.12 | Identification of FBXO42-interacting proteins

Immunoprecipitation of FBXO42 in SK-MEL-147 cells was performed as previously described.

Immunoprecipitations were washed five times with lysis buffer and then resuspended with sample buffer before denaturation and separation by SDS-PAGE on 10% mini gels.

The proteins in the gel were visualized with an Imperial[™] protein stain (Thermo Scientific), then reduced with 3 mM DTT (60°C for 30 min), modified with 10 Mm iodoacetamide in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (in the dark, room temperature for 30 min), and digested in 10% acetonitrile and 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate with either modified trypsin or chymotrypsin (Promega) at a 1:10 enzyme-tosubstrate ratio, overnight at 37°C. Alternatively, the proteins in a mixture in 8 M urea and 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate were reduced and modified as described and digested in 2 M urea, 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate with modified trypsin or chymotrypsin (Promega) at a 1:50 enzyme-to-substrate ratio. The resultant peptides were desalted using C18 tips (Homemade stage tips) and subjected to LC-MS-MS analysis. The peptides were resolved by reverse-phase chromatography on 0.075 × 180-mm fused silica capillaries (J&W) packed with Reprosil reversed phase material (Dr. Maisch GmbH). The peptides were eluted with a linear 30-min gradient of 5%-35% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid in water, 15-min gradient of 35%-95% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid in water, and 15 min at 95% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid in water at a flow rate of 0.15 μ l/ min. Mass spectrometry was performed with a Q Exactive plus mass spectrometer (Thermo) in a positive mode using repetitively full MS scan, followed by high-energy collision-induced dissociation (HCD) of the 10 most dominant ions selected from the first MS scan. The mass spectrometry data were analyzed using Proteome Discoverer 1.4 software with Sequest (Thermo) and Mascot (Matrix Science)



FIGURE 2 *FBXO42* KO leads to MEKi resistance in *NRAS* mutant cell lines. (a-d) Dose-response curves generated using SK-MEL-147 and MZ-MEL-2 cell lines treated with trametinib or selumetinib (1 pM-10 μ M) for 72 hr before assessing cell viability using Cell Titer-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (*n* = 3). The relative cell number post-trametinib treatment is plotted as percent survival versus log trametinib concentration in pM. Error bars, *SD*

algorithms against a human UniProt database with a mass tolerance of 10 ppm for the precursor masses and 0.05 amu for the fragment ions. Oxidation on Met was accepted as a variable modification, and carbamidomethyl on Cys was accepted as a static modification. The minimal peptide length was set to six amino acids, and a maximum of two miscleavages was allowed. Peptide- and protein-level false discovery rates (FDRs) were filtered to 1% using the target-decoy strategy. Semi-quantitation was done by calculating the peak area of each peptide based on its extracted ion currents (XICs), and the area of the protein was determined by averaging the three most intense peptides from each protein.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | A function-based genomic screen in NRASmutant melanoma cells identifies FBXO42 loss driving trametinib resistance

In order to identify genes essential to maintain sensitivity toward the MEKi trametinib in NRAS-mutant melanoma, we conducted a wholegenome CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen (Figure 1a).

We chose to use SK-MEL-147, a commonly used melanoma cell line harboring the recurrent *NRAS*^{Q61R} mutation and highly sensitive to trametinib treatment. SK-MEL-147 cells were transduced with the human GeCKO (Shalem et al., 2014) v2 library. Cells were selected for stable viral integration with puromycin for 14 days. Next, the cells were split into two pools: One arm was treated with trametinib, whereas the other was left untreated as a control. Thirty days post-drug treatment, 14 trametinib-resistant colonies emerged and were sequenced. All the colonies contained the same single-guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting the gene *FBXO42* (Figure 1b). Two of the 14 resistant colonies contained additional sgRNAs targeting *MAP3K1* and *TRIM4*. We focused on *FBXO42* since it was identified in all resistant colonies.

To determine whether FBXO42 KO indeed leads to resistance in NRAS-mutant melanoma cells, we knocked out FBXO42 from NRAS mutant cell lines. We used SK-MEL-147 cell line as used in the CRISPR screen, the commonly used melanoma cell line MZ-MEL-2 and patient-derived cell line MM130405 (Figure 1c,d and Figure S1a, S8). Next, we added trametinib treatment and performed colony formation assays. The FBXO42 KO cells resulted in a significant increase in the colony number compared to control non-targeting sgRNA cells (Figure 1e and Figure S1b). In addition, we tested the effect of FBXO42 KO on the cells' viability using cell titer-glo assay. We showed an increase in cell viability in FBXO42 KO samples treated with trametinib, compared to the control (Figure 2a,b and Figure S1c). We checked the resistance effect of these cell lines toward an additional potent and highly selective MEK1/2 inhibitor, selumetinib, (Kim & Patel, 2014) and received similar results (Figure 2c,d). These data confirm that KO of FBXO42 in NRAS-mutant melanoma cells leads to resistance toward trametinib treatment.



FIGURE 3 FBXO42 is a predictive biomarker leading to trametinib resistance in NRAS-mutant melanoma. (a) RNA sequencing performed on 23 NRAS mutant cell lines derived from melanoma patients treated with MEKi. Top bar indicates MEKi-resistant patients in red, MEKisensitive patients in blue. Scale bar indicates the expression level of the genes in the Y axis. (b) Representative immunohistochemical stain for FBXO42 in melanoma tumor slides taken from patients sensitive to MEKi treatment. Image is presented in ×10 magnification, scale 100 µm

3.2 | FBXO42 is a predictive biomarker of trametinib resistance in NRAS-mutant melanoma

FBXO42 was differentially expressed between 23 resistant and sensitive patients treated with MEK inhibitors. Out of the 12 cell lines derived from patients sensitive to MEKi, eight show increased expression of FBXO42 (Figure 3a). Complementary, immunohistochemistry staining of patient samples before and after MEKi treatment shows elevated FBXO42 expression in patient sensitive to the treatment (Figure 3b). Overexpression of FBXO42 in the MEKi-resistant patient-derived cell lines, MM130926 and MM130227, showed a decrease in cell viability compared to control (Figure 4). This implies that FBXO42 may play a role in upfront resistance in NRAS-mutant melanoma.

339



FIGURE 4 *FBXO42* overexpression sensitizes *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cell lines to trametinib treatment. (a,b) Immunoblot analysis of *FBXO42* gene overexpression efficiency in MM130926 and MM130227 patient-derived *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cell lines. Ratios of FBXO42 to tubulin levels were generated using Image Lab (Bio-Rad). (c,d) Dose-response curves generated using MM130926 and MM130227 cell lines treated with trametinib (1 pM-10 μ M) for 72 hr before assessing cell viability using Cell Titer-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (*n* = 3). The relative cell number post-trametinib treatment is plotted as percent survival versus log trametinib concentration in pM. Error bars, *SD*

3.3 | FBXO42 KO leads to MAPK pathway activation

MEK inhibitors have been shown to lead to insufficient MAPK pathway suppression and likely to pathway reactivation, a phenomenon known to be due to feedback reactivation (Merchant et al., 2017). To determine whether the resistance to trametinib in the *FBXO42* KO cells is due to activation of the MAPK pathway, we assessed RAS and ERK activation using lysates derived from the *FBXO42* KO cells in the presence or absence of trametinib and compared them to the control non-targeting sgRNA cells. Indeed, we observed an increase in the expression levels of both RAS-GTP and pERK in *FBXO42* KO samples treated and untreated with trametinib, compared to the control cells (Figure 5a,b and Figure S2), suggesting that KO of *FBXO42* in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cells leads to MAPK pathway activation.

3.4 | Identification of novel FBXO42 binding partners

FBXO42 is an integral component of the SCF ubiquitin ligase complex. FBXO42 specifically associates with Skp1, Cul1, and Rbx1, the constituents of the SCF complex, an association which is dependent on the F-box domain (Cardozo & Pagano, 2004; Petroski & Deshaies, 2005). The FBXO42 Kelch domain is responsible for the binding of an interactor protein regulated by SCF ubiquitination (Yan et al., 2015). In an effort to better understand the mechanistic role of FBXO42 in NRAS-mutant melanoma, we performed a structure-function analysis of FBXO42 when devoid of its functional domains on the activation of the ERK pathway as a readout. Lysates from SK-MEL-147 cells stably expressing a Fbox domain-deleted *FBXO42* mutant (FBXO42 Δ F-box) or Kelch domain-deleted *FBXO42* mutant (FBXO42 Δ Kelch) showed an increase in pERK expression compared to the stable expression of WT FBXO42 (Figure S3). These results show that the FBXO42 functional domains F-box and Kelch are necessary for the role of WT FBXO42 in inhibiting the MAPK pathway.

To gain insight into the molecular function of FBXO42, we investigated which proteins interact with it. Immunoprecipitation coupled with mass spectrometry analysis of SK-MEL-147 stably expressing either FBXO42 or control mutants FBXO42 Δ Kelch, FBXO42 Δ Fbox identified the presence of Skp1 and Cul1. These are components of the SCF complex, previously reported as FBXO42 interactors (Sun et al., 2009).

Interestingly, this analysis leads to the identification of a novel interaction with MAP3K7 also known as TAK1 and its regulators, TAB1, TAB2, and ITCH (Roh, Song, & Seki, 2014) (Figure 5c and Table S1). TAK1 activation is triggered by diverse stimuli, including pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 and TNF. TAK1 culminates in downstream activation of NF- κ B, P38, JNK, and ERK (Adhikari, Xu, & Chen, 2007; Ajibade et al., 2012). The binding to TAB1 and TAB2 proteins forms a complex required for TAK1 autophosphorylation-induced activation. Furthermore, TAK1 is negatively regulated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase, ITCH (Roh et al., 2014; Shibuya et al., 1996).

We identified the indicated TAK1 binding partners only in WT FBXO42 and FBXO42 Δ Fbox samples but not in the FBXO42 Δ kelch sample, emphasizing that they might be potential interactors. These results suggest that FBXO42 has a role in the TAK1 signaling pathway.



(c)

	Intensity			
Description	EV	WT-FBXO42	∆-F-box	∆-Kelch
FBXO42	0.000E0	9.337E8	4.822E8	1.315E9
SKP1	8.791E8	9.322E7	6.435E7	7.855E7
CUL1	0.000E0	7.780E7	0.000E0	0.000E0
MAP3K7	0.000E0	7.870E6	1.946E6	0.000E0
TAB1	0.000E0	3.009E6	3.804E6	0.000E0
TAB2	0.000E0	4.690E6	5.029E6	0.000E0
ГТСН	0.000E0	2.765E7	1.173E7	0.000E0

Log trametinib (pM)

(d)



341

← sgCtrl sgRNA2 sgRNA3

Log trametinib (pM)

³⁴² WILEY

FIGURE 5 *FBXO42* KO activates the MAPK pathway and TAK1 signaling leading to trametinib resistance. (a) SK-MEL-147 RAS-GTP levels were assessed by RAS pull-down assay, and treated samples were incubated with 100 nM trametinib for 24 hr. RAS-GTP/RAS ratios are representative of three independent experiments. Trametinib-treated and non-treated samples were compared to their sgCtrl. n = 3, *p < .05, **p < .01 one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. (b) SK-MEL-147 cells were treated with 100 nM trametinib for 24 hr. Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblot. pERK/ERK ratios were calculated from two independent experiments. Untreated samples were blotted with non-sensitive ECL; trametinib-treated samples were blotted with sensitive ECL. Trametinib-treated and non-treated samples were compared to their sgCtrl. n = 2, *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. (c) Cells stably expressing FLAG-FBXO42 were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag. Bound proteins were eluted and analyzed by mass spectrometry. The identified proteins are listed. FLAG-FBXO42\DeltaKelch and FLAG-FBXO42\DeltaF-box were used as control. (d,e) SK-MEL-147 and MZ-MEL-2 cell lines were treated with 100 nM trametinib for 24 hr. Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblot with the indicated antibodies. pP38/P38 ratios were generated using Image Lab (Bio-Rad). (f,g) Dose-response curves generated using SK-MEL-147 and MZ-MEL-2 cell lines, representing the delta between cells treated with trametinib (0.001–10 μ M) and cells treated with the combination of trametinib (0.001–10 μ M) and takinib (2.5 or 5 μ M, respectively). Error bars, *SD*

3.5 | FBXO42 KO leads to TAK1 signaling activation

It has been shown that TAK1 phosphorylates and activated members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MKK) family, which, in turn, phosphorylate and activate JNK and P38 kinases (Adhikari et al., 2007; Ajibade et al., 2012). Indeed, an increase in pP38 expression, together with a decrease in p-JNK, was identified in *FBXO42* KO samples compared to the control (Figure 5d,e and S4). This is consistent with the ability of P38 MAPK to negatively regulate JNK (Gupta et al., 2015).

To further assess the involvement of the TAK1 signaling pathway in trametinib resistance in NRAS mutant FBXO42 KO melanoma cells, we combined trametinib with takinib, the potent and selective TAK1 inhibitor (Totzke et al., 2017), and tested their inhibition of FBXO42 KO cell growth. We found that they achieved greater efficacy than treatment with trametinib or takinib monotreatment (Figure 5f,g and Figure S5–7).

4 | DISCUSSION

In recent years, the genetic landscape of melanoma has been extensively studied (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2015; Hodis et al., 2012; Krauthammer et al., 2012). On the basis of exome and genome sequencing studies, *BRAF* and *NRAS* were identified as the most commonly mutated genes in cutaneous melanoma patients (Krauthammer et al., 2012). As NRAS and BRAF activate the MAPK pathway, this led to the development of highly selective kinase inhibitors that target this pathway (Tsao, Chin, Garraway, & Fisher, 2012). However, acquired tumor resistance toward these targeted therapies is a significant therapeutic obstacle (Neel & Bivona, 2017). Thus, a better understanding of the pathways leading to such resistance is essential.

Here, a whole-genome CRISPR-Cas9 screen identified FBXO42 ubiquitin ligase involvement in resistance to trametinib treatment in the context of NRAS-mutant melanoma. RNA sequencing analysis of NRAS-mutant melanoma patient-derived cell lines identified FBXO42 to be differentially expressed between resistant and sensitive patients treated with MEK inhibitors.

Immunohistochemistry staining of these patient samples before and after MEKi treatment confirmed elevation in *FBXO42* expression in patients sensitive to MEKi. Complementary, *FBXO42* overexpression in MEKi-resistant patient-derived cell lines, decreases cell viability compared to control. This implies FBXO42 may be used as a biomarker for resistance in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma.

FBXO42 KO results in increased ERK activation, known to lead to proliferation and drug resistance in different types of cancer (McCubrey et al., 2007). Our findings indicate that FBXO42's F-Box and Kelch functional domains are both necessary for the inhibition of ERK activation.

Mass spectrometry analysis further showed that FBXO42 is involved in the TAK1 signaling pathway. TAK1 belongs to the MAP3K7



FIGURE 6 Graphical summary of signaling in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cells treated with trametinib. *FBXO42* KO in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cells leads trametinib resistance. This occurs by activation of the MAPK pathway together with TAK1 signaling through p38 activation. The combination of trametinib together with the TAK1 inhibitor, takinib, inhibits cellular proliferation

Mass spectrometry analysis further showed that FBXO42 is

pathway activating P38, JNK, and NF-κB signaling (Sakurai et al., 2002). It is well established that P38 signaling plays a central role in the regulation of cellular responses to stress, as well as the induction and progression of inflammation-related diseases, inflammation-induced cancer, and various cancers (Grossi, Peserico, Tezil, & Simone, 2014; Igea & Nebreda, 2015; Yin et al., 2016). Our findings add support to the attempt to try and inhibit this signaling pathway. Moreover, we demonstrate that combining the MEK inhibitor trametinib with the TAK1 inhibitor, takinib achieves far greater efficacy than treatment with trametinib alone in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma cells.

The binding proteins TAB1-3 enable phosphorylation and activation of TAK1 (Kanayama et al., 2004), whereas the E3 ubiquitin ligases RBCK1 and ITCH negatively regulate its activation. RBCK1 ubiquitinates TAB2 and TAB3, as ITCH ubiquitinates TAB1, resulting in proteasome-dependent degradation (Hirata, Takahashi, Morishita, Noguchi, & Matsuzawa, 2017). We hypothesize, based on our findings, that FBXO42, which is too an E3 ligase, is a TAK1 negative regulator, possibly through TAB protein degradation, similarly to RBCK1 and ITCH regulation.

Put together, our findings reveal a novel mechanism leading to tumor resistance toward MEK inhibition in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma (Figure 6). Namely, that FBXO42 has a role in trametinib resistance via the TAK1 signaling pathway, thus providing new opportunities for novel therapeutic options.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Tamar Ziv and Arie Admon (The Smoler Proteomics Center, Technion, Israel) for the proteomic analysis. They would like to further thank Xinyao Huang and Xiangjun Kong (The Netherlands Cancer Institute) for advice on the CRISPR screen and Oscar Krijgman (The Netherlands Cancer Institute) for his bioinformatics help. Y.S. is supported by the ERC (CoG-770854), European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (#754282), H2020 European Research Council (#712977), Israel Science Foundation (#696/17), and the MRA (#622106). The Rising Tide Foundation (to YS; no grant numbers apply), Wagner-Braunsberg Family Melanoma Research Fund, Jean-Jacques Brunschwig Fund for the Molecular Genetics of Cancer, Comisaroff Family Trust, Meyer Henri Cancer Endowment, Ted and Sylvia Quint, Laboratory in the name of M.E.H Fund established by Margot and Ernst Hamburger, the Knell Family and the Hamburger Family (to YS; no grant numbers apply).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no ethical nor conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Yardena Samuels Phttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-3349-7266

REFERENCES

- Adhikari, A., Xu, M., & Chen, Z. J. (2007). Ubiquitin-mediated activation of TAK1 and IKK. Oncogene, 26(22), 3214–3226. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210413
- Ajibade, A. A., Wang, Q., Cui, J., Zou, J., Xia, X., Wang, M., ... Wang, R. F. (2012). TAK1 negatively regulates NF-kappaB and p38 MAP kinase activation in Gr-1+CD11b+ neutrophils. *Immunity*, 36(1), 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.12.010
- Arafeh, R., Qutob, N., Emmanuel, R., Keren-Paz, A., Madore, J., Elkahloun, A., ... Samuels, Y. (2015). Recurrent inactivating RASA2 mutations in melanoma. *Nature Genetics*, 47(12), 1408–1410. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng.3427
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network (2015). Genomic classification of cutaneous melanoma. *Cell*, 161(7), 1681–1696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2015.05.044
- Cardozo, T., & Pagano, M. (2004). The SCF ubiquitin ligase: Insights into a molecular machine. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 5(9), 739– 751. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1471
- Devitt, B., Liu, W., Salemi, R., Wolfe, R., Kelly, J., Tzen, C. Y., ... McArthur, G. (2011). Clinical outcome and pathological features associated with NRAS mutation in cutaneous melanoma. *Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research*, 24(4), 666–672. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2011.00873.x
- Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., ... Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, 29(1), 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinforma tics/bts635
- Grossi, V., Peserico, A., Tezil, T., & Simone, C. (2014). p38alpha MAPK pathway: A key factor in colorectal cancer therapy and chemoresistance. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 20(29), 9744–9758. https:// doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i29.9744
- Gupta, J., Igea, A., Papaioannou, M., Lopez-Casas, P. P., Llonch, E., Hidalgo, M., ... Nebreda, A. R. (2015). Pharmacological inhibition of p38 MAPK reduces tumor growth in patient-derived xenografts from colon tumors. Oncotarget, 6(11), 8539–8551. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncot arget.3816
- Hirata, Y., Takahashi, M., Morishita, T., Noguchi, T., & Matsuzawa, A. (2017). Post-translational modifications of the TAK1-TAB complex. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18(1), 205-https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms18010205
- Hodis, E., Watson, I. R., Kryukov, G. V., Arold, S. T., Imielinski, M., Theurillat, J. P., ... Chin, L. (2012). A landscape of driver mutations in melanoma. *Cell*, 150(2), 251–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2012.06.024
- Igea, A., & Nebreda, A. R. (2015). The stress kinase p38alpha as a target for cancer therapy. *Cancer Research*, 75(19), 3997–4002. https://doi. org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-0173
- Jakob, J. A., Bassett, R. L. Jr, Ng, C. S., Curry, J. L., Joseph, R. W., Alvarado, G. C., ... Davies, M. A. (2012). NRAS mutation status is an independent prognostic factor in metastatic melanoma. *Cancer*, 118(16), 4014–4023. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26724
- Johnson, D. B., & Puzanov, I. (2015). Treatment of NRAS-mutant melanoma. Current Treatment Options in Oncology, 16(4), 15. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11864-015-0330-z
- Kanayama, A., Seth, R. B., Sun, L., Ea, C. K., Hong, M., Shaito, A., ... Chen, Z. J. (2004). TAB2 and TAB3 activate the NF-kappaB pathway through binding to polyubiquitin chains. *Molecular Cell*, 15(4), 535– 548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.08.008
- Kim, D. W., & Patel, S. P. (2014). Profile of selumetinib and its potential in the treatment of melanoma. *OncoTargets and Therapy*, 7, 1631–1639. https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S51596
- Krauthammer, M., Kong, Y., Ha, B. H., Evans, P., Bacchiocchi, A., McCusker, J. P., ... Halaban, R. (2012). Exome sequencing identifies

WILEY-

recurrent somatic RAC1 mutations in melanoma. *Nature Genetics*, 44(9), 1006–1014. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2359

- Law, C. W., Chen, Y., Shi, W., & Smyth, G. K. (2014). voom: Precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biology*, 15(2), R29. https://doi.org/10.1186/ gb-2014-15-2-r29
- McCubrey, J. A., Steelman, L. S., Chappell, W. H., Abrams, S. L., Wong, E. W., Chang, F., ... Franklin, R. A. (2007). Roles of the Raf/MEK/ ERK pathway in cell growth, malignant transformation and drug resistance. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Research*, 1773(8), 1263–1284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2006.10.001
- Merchant, M., Moffat, J., Schaefer, G., Chan, J., Wang, X., Orr, C., ... Junttila, M. R. (2017). Combined MEK and ERK inhibition overcomes therapy-mediated pathway reactivation in RAS mutant tumors. *PLoS ONE*, 12(10), e0185862. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0185862
- Munoz-Couselo, E., Adelantado, E. Z., Ortiz, C., Garcia, J. S., & Perez-Garcia, J. (2017). NRAS-mutant melanoma: Current challenges and future prospect. OncoTargets and Therapy, 10, 3941–3947. https:// doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S117121
- Neel, D. S., & Bivona, T. G. (2017). Resistance is futile: Overcoming resistance to targeted therapies in lung adenocarcinoma. Npj Precision Oncology, 1(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-017-0007-0
- Petroski, M. D., & Deshaies, R. J. (2005). Function and regulation of cullin-RING ubiquitin ligases. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 6(1), 9–20. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1547
- Roh, Y. S., Song, J., & Seki, E. (2014). TAK1 regulates hepatic cell survival and carcinogenesis. *Journal of Gastroenterology*, 49(2), 185–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-013-0931-x
- Sakurai, H., Nishi, A., Sato, N., Mizukami, J., Miyoshi, H., & Sugita, T. (2002). TAK1-TAB1 fusion protein: A novel constitutively active mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase that stimulates AP-1 and NF-kappaB signaling pathways. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 297(5), 1277–1281.
- Santarpia, L., Lippman, S. M., & El-Naggar, A. K. (2012). Targeting the MAPK-RAS-RAF signaling pathway in cancer therapy. *Expert Opinion* on Therapeutic Targets, 16(1), 103–119. https://doi.org/10.1517/14728 222.2011.645805
- Shalem, O., Sanjana, N. E., Hartenian, E., Shi, X., Scott, D. A., Mikkelson, T., ... Zhang, F. (2014). Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screening in human cells. *Science*, 343(6166), 84–87. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1247005
- Shibuya, H., Yamaguchi, K., Shirakabe, K., Tonegawa, A., Gotoh, Y., Ueno, N., ... Matsumoto, K. (1996). TAB1: An activator of the TAK1 MAPKKK in TGF-beta signal transduction. *Science*, 272(5265), 1179– 1182. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5265.1179

- Stephen, A. G., Esposito, D., Bagni, R. K., & McCormick, F. (2014). Dragging ras back in the ring. *Cancer Cell*, 25(3), 272–281. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ccr.2014.02.017
- Sun, L., Shi, L., Li, W., Yu, W., Liang, J., Zhang, H., ... Shang, Y. (2009). JFK, a Kelch domain-containing F-box protein, links the SCF complex to p53 regulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(25), 10195–10200. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901864106
- Thumar, J., Shahbazian, D., Aziz, S. A., Jilaveanu, L. B., & Kluger, H. M. (2014). MEK targeting in N-RAS mutated metastatic melanoma. *Molecular Cancer*, 13(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-13-45
- Totzke, J., Gurbani, D., Raphemot, R., Hughes, P. F., Bodoor, K., Carlson, D. A., ... Derbyshire, E. R. (2017). Takinib, a selective TAK1 inhibitor, broadens the therapeutic efficacy of TNF-alpha inhibition for cancer and autoimmune disease. *Cell Chemical Biology*, 24(8), 1029–1039.e7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2017.07.011
- Tsao, H., Chin, L., Garraway, L. A., & Fisher, D. E. (2012). Melanoma: From mutations to medicine. Genes & Development, 26(11), 1131–1155. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.191999.112
- Yan, R., He, L., Li, Z., Han, X., Liang, J., Si, W., ... Shang, Y. (2015). SCF(JFK) is a bona fide E3 ligase for ING4 and a potent promoter of the angiogenesis and metastasis of breast cancer. *Genes & Development*, 29(6), 672–685. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.254292.114
- Yin, N., Qi, X., Tsai, S., Lu, Y., Basir, Z., Oshima, K., ... Chen, G. (2016). p38gamma MAPK is required for inflammation-associated colon tumorigenesis. *Oncogene*, 35(8), 1039–1048. https://doi.org/10.1038/ onc.2015.158

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Nagler A, Vredevoogd DW, Alon M, et al. A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies *FBXO42* involvement in resistance toward MEK inhibition in *NRAS*mutant melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2020;33:334– 344. https://doi.org/10.1111/pcmr.12825