



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



## Face masks in the post-COVID-19 era: a silver lining for the damaged tuberculosis public health response?



GenoPharm/Science Photo Library

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at [thelancet.com/respiratory](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00020-5) on October 26, 2021

Published Online  
January 22, 2021  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(21\)00020-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00020-5)

Tuberculosis is the world's leading infectious cause of death, claiming at least 500 000 more lives than COVID-19 in 2020.<sup>1</sup> The COVID-19 pandemic has irrevocably damaged tuberculosis care and will cause an excess 6 million tuberculosis cases by 2025. How can tuberculosis control possibly benefit from the varied and flawed public health response to COVID-19?

Early and widespread face-mask wearing could have prevented the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from becoming a pandemic.<sup>2</sup> Face masks were initially recommended for outward protection to prevent transmission from infectious individuals. Following a review that also showed inward protection (ie, for the wearer), WHO recommended use of face masks by the public.<sup>3</sup>

Historically, public mask-wearing to prevent tuberculosis transmission met scepticism and low uptake due to stigma, restricted access, discomfort, and perceived liberty deprivation—similar barriers to those faced by condom uptake for HIV prevention.<sup>4</sup> However, whereas condom acceptance improved, mask adoption to reduce tuberculosis transmission stagnated, even among patients with a positive test result, and mask wearing was often seen as an embarrassing public declaration of ill health. In a pre-COVID-19 survey we did in 100 patients with drug-resistant tuberculosis in Cape Town, South Africa, who were likely to be infectious, only 2% reported wearing surgical masks in shops. This proportion was similar to that of patients who reported wearing masks on public transport.

The pandemic could have created a momentum of mask acceptance beneficial for tuberculosis control. For example, in South Africa, public face masks have been mandatory for more than 6 months. If acceptance of masks can be maintained, it could be a game changer for tuberculosis control in high-burden countries—provided there is evidence that the masks used to protect from SARS-CoV-2 also reduce the infectiousness of tuberculosis, particularly the non-conventional forms such as cloth masks.

Unlike SARS-CoV-2, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* transmission is almost exclusively airborne. When wearing a mask, air can still pass through the gaps

between mask and face.<sup>5</sup> Although minimising these gaps is crucial for inward protection, Richard Riley, who confirmed the airborne nature of *M tuberculosis* transmission, is unconcerned about these leaks from an outward-protection perspective. He believes even simple cough etiquette should be effective because organisms leaving the mouth are still in droplets, which have not evaporated to droplet nuclei and are still large enough to impinge on an obstructing surface, such as the hand, and remain there.<sup>6</sup> Data supporting Riley's view are sparse, but wearing a mask should act as a form of cough etiquette that is at least as effective as hands are.

In 2015, we seated patients with cystic fibrosis inside large cylindrical tanks and mixed air homogeneously to capture airborne particles, including those that escape through mask gaps. During coughing, surgical masks reduced airborne, culturable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by 88% (95% CI 81–96).<sup>7</sup> *M tuberculosis* and *P aeruginosa* are similar in size but both are much larger than viruses. However, mask-pathogen interactions depend mainly on particle and not pathogen size (ie, the particles carrying viruses or bacteria might have similar sizes).<sup>8</sup> In 2018, Michelle Wood and colleagues validated our *P aeruginosa* findings with another aerosol platform.<sup>9</sup> Few studies have directly examined the effect of surgical masks on the infectiousness of tuberculosis; Ashwin Dharmadhikari and colleagues reported that 56% (95% CI 33–71) fewer guinea pigs were infected when they were exposed to air from inpatients on days those inpatients were encouraged to wear masks.<sup>10</sup>

To help policy makers decide whether public face-mask usage should be maintained for tuberculosis control as the COVID-19 pandemic wanes, more data are needed on the effect of masks on reducing the infectiousness of patients with tuberculosis. In July, 2018, we started recruiting patients with tuberculosis in Cape Town to study the effect of face masks (including non-conventional forms) using our aerosol platform. Pilot results, obtained before the study was paused because of the pandemic response measures, indicated that surgical masks are effective and that non-conventional and less stigmatising mask designs (eg, neck gaiters)

and cheaper forms (eg, paper masks) are efficacious. It will take more than a year until conclusive data are published. However, public mask-wearing fatigue, unless it is urgently addressed, could close this rare window afforded by the COVID-19 response.

Mathematical modelling of the COVID-19 and tuberculosis epidemics in China, India, and South Africa, which did not factor in the effect of masks deployed for SARS-CoV-2 on tuberculosis transmission, suggests that reducing contacts by physical distancing would lead to population-level reductions in tuberculosis transmission and incidence, but also that these benefits would be offset by health service disruptions, resulting in net increases in tuberculosis cases and deaths.<sup>11</sup> Hence, with the restoration of critical tuberculosis health-care services and economic activity as part of the post-COVID-19 recovery, policies for sustained mask-wearing could help turn the tide against tuberculosis. However, this proposal will require formal modelling.

Notably, the widespread public face-mask usage for SARS-CoV-2 partly stemmed from concerns about presymptomatic and asymptomatic transmission. Half of the prevalent cases of bacteriologically confirmed tuberculosis are probably subclinical (ie, symptom screen is negative).<sup>12</sup> Whether such patients can transmit tuberculosis is not yet confirmed but is probably the case: a study in symptomatic patients found those

with lower symptom scores to be more infectious.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, the rationale for public mask usage against presymptomatic and asymptomatic spread of SARS-CoV-2 might also apply to tuberculosis spread. Another consideration is that improper mask hygiene and fomite risk, which could be downsides of mask usage for SARS-CoV-2, are not a concern for tuberculosis due to its almost exclusively airborne transmission route.

Although face masks vary in breathability and filtration characteristics, a good-quality cloth mask could be as effective as a surgical mask,<sup>14,15</sup> including for tuberculosis. Tuberculosis-endemic countries need to decide who should wear masks, and the times or places they should wear them (figure). As a first step, cloth masks should be available outside of clinics for patients with tuberculosis awaiting diagnostic investigation and, in high-burden settings, for people with tuberculosis risk factors. Population-level mask-wearing should tackle any re-emergence of stigma. Because airborne particles disappear quickly outside, face masks should be prioritised for indoor use.

In conclusion, although tuberculosis care is critically weakened by the COVID-19 pandemic, there is an unprecedented opportunity to throw masks into the fight against the long-standing tuberculosis pandemic. Although available data are sparse, which is something

	Recommendations	Justification	Challenges	Additional considerations	
Who	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coughers</li> <li>Patients with tuberculosis awaiting results or recent treatment initiators (particularly drug-resistant tuberculosis)</li> <li>People in high-risk congregate settings or hotspots</li> <li>People with tuberculosis risk factors (eg, diabetes, HIV, previous tuberculosis)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Most tuberculosis transmission probably requires coughing (co-occurrent non-specific cough possible)</li> <li>Reinfection drives the tuberculosis epidemic, rather than reactivation</li> </ul>	<p><b>Cross-cutting challenges:</b> Stigma, scepticism, discomfort, perceived liberty deprivation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cough not always self-recognised</li> <li>Forced exhalation might produce infectious aerosols</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Social science and communication experts should provide policy guidance on how to receive buy-in from different users to promote high public face-mask uptake</li> <li>Modellers should identify effects on tuberculosis incidence and mortality, and identify key users for whom mask adherence should be prioritised</li> </ul>	
When and where	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Closed environments (eg, vehicle) with people from different households</li> <li>Health-care facilities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Particles quickly dilute outside</li> <li>Avoiding non-crowded areas is not possible for people requiring public transport</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Difficult for public to judge and influence ventilation (eg, public transport)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exceptions possible in well ventilated spaces:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Windows open in opposite walls</li> <li>Sufficient air changes per hour and low rebreathed air fraction (CO<sub>2</sub> concentration)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
What	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A three-layer cloth mask WHO recommended for COVID-19 transmission prevention</li> <li>Surgical mask or other face covering</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Breathability to improve adherence</li> <li>Good filtration for optimal protection</li> <li>Non-conventional masks can minimise stigma</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mask hygiene for reuse</li> <li>Mask availability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WHO recommends a hydrophobic fabric outer layer; if a middle layer with good filtration is used (eg, viscose mop), loosely woven cotton suffices for the inner and outer layers, which improves breathability</li> </ul>

Figure: Proposed face-mask recommendations that build on COVID-19 policies in tuberculosis-endemic countries

Suggestions and explanations are listed for who should wear masks, when they should wear them, where they should wear them, and the type of mask that should be considered.

we are addressing, they suggest face masks, including non-conventional forms, can reduce the infectiousness of patients with tuberculosis. High tuberculosis transmission settings must retain mask-wearing as the COVID-19 pandemic wanes and pivot and protect the widespread public acceptance of face masks towards tuberculosis control.

We thank Greet Kerckhofs and Ben Marais for their valuable input and discussions. KVD and PZM contributed equally, as did HM and GT. We declare no competing interests.

**Koen Vanden Driessche, Precious Z Mahlobo, Rouxjeane Venter, Judy Caldwell, Karen Jennings, Andreas H Diacon, Mark F Cotton, Ronald de Groot, Niel Hens, Florian M Marx, Robin M Warren, Hridesh Mishra, \*Grant Theron**

**gtheron@sun.ac.za**

DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence for Biomedical Tuberculosis Research and SA/MRC Centre for Tuberculosis Research, Division of Molecular Biology and Human Genetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town 7550, South Africa (KVD, PZM, RV, RMW, HM, GT); Division of Paediatric Pulmonology, Department of Paediatrics, University Hospital Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium (KVD); Section Paediatric Infectious Diseases, Laboratory of Medical Immunology, Radboud Centre for Infectious Diseases, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands (KVD, RdG); Department of Health, City of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa (JC, KJ); Department of Medicine (AHD), Family Clinical Centre for Research with Ubuntu (FAMCRU) (MFC), and Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health (FMM), Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa; TASK Applied Science, Cape Town, South Africa (AHD); Family Medicine and Population Health (FAMPOP), Centre for Health Economic Research and Modelling Infectious Diseases (CHERMID), and Vaccine & Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium (NH); Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and Statistical Bioinformatics (I-BIOSTAT), Data Science Institute, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium (NH); DSI-NRF South African Centre of Excellence in Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis (SACEMA), Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa (FMM);

1 Cilloni L, Fu H, Vesga JF, et al. The potential impact of the COVID-19 response on tuberculosis in high-burden countries: a modelling analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020; **28**: 100603.

2 Zhang R, Li Y, Zhang AL, Wang Y, Molina MJ. Identifying airborne transmission as the dominant route for the spread of COVID-19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020; **117**: 14857–63.

3 Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, et al. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 1973–87.

4 Howard J, Huang A, Li Z, et al. An evidence review of face masks against COVID-19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2021; **118**: e2014564118.

5 Tang JW, Nicolle AD, Pantelic J, et al. Qualitative real-time schlieren and shadowgraph imaging of human exhaled airflows: an aid to aerosol infection control. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e21392.

6 Riley RL. Airborne infection. *Am J Med* 1974; **57**: 466–75.

7 Vanden Driessche K, Hens N, Tilley P, et al. Surgical masks reduce airborne spread of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in colonized patients with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; **192**: 897–99.

8 Fennelly KP. Particle sizes of infectious aerosols: implications for infection control. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; **8**: 914–24.

9 Wood ME, Stockwell RE, Johnson GR, et al. Face masks and cough etiquette reduce the cough aerosol concentration of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in people with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018; **197**: 348–55.

10 Dharmadhikari AS, Mphahlele M, Stoltz A, et al. Surgical face masks worn by patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: impact on infectivity of air on a hospital ward. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012; **185**: 1104–09.

11 McQuaid CF, McCreesh N, Read JM, et al. The potential impact of COVID-19-related disruption on tuberculosis burden. *Eur Respir J* 2020; **56**: 2001718.

12 Frascella B, Richards AS, Sossen B, et al. Subclinical tuberculosis disease—a review and analysis of prevalence surveys to inform definitions, burden, associations and screening methodology. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; published online Sept 16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1402>.

13 Theron G, Limberis J, Venter R, et al. Bacterial and host determinants of cough aerosol culture positivity in patients with drug-resistant versus drug-susceptible tuberculosis. *Nat Med* 2020; **26**: 1435–43.

14 Fischer EP, Fischer MC, Grass D, Henrion I, Warren WS, Westman E. Low-cost measurement of face mask efficacy for filtering expelled droplets during speech. *Sci Adv* 2020; **6**: eabd3083.

15 Clase CM, Fu EL, Ashur A, et al. Forgotten technology in the COVID-19 pandemic: filtration properties of cloth and cloth masks—a narrative review. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020; **95**: 2204–24.