



InhA1-Mediated Cleavage of the Metalloprotease NprA Allows *Bacillus cereus* to Escape From Macrophages

Abbass Haydar¹, Seav-Ly Tran¹, Elisabeth Guillemet¹, Claire Darrigo¹, Stéphane Perchat¹, Didier Lereclus¹, Laurent Coquet², Thierry Jouenne² and Nalini Ramarao^{1*}

¹ INRA, Micalis Institute, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France, ² CNRS, UMR 6270, Normandy University, UNIROUEN, Plate-forme PISSARO, Mont-Saint-Aignan, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alain Pierre Gobert, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, United States

Reviewed by:

Jean Armengaud, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives (CEA), France Huafeng Wang, California Institute for Biomedical Research, United States

> ***Correspondence:** Nalini Ramarao nalini.ramarao@inra.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 14 February 2018 Accepted: 04 May 2018 Published: 23 May 2018

Citation:

Haydar A, Tran S-L, Guillemet E, Darrigo C, Perchat S, Lereclus D, Coquet L, Jouenne T and Ramarao N (2018) InhA1-Mediated Cleavage of the Metalloprotease NprA Allows Bacillus cereus to Escape From Macrophages. Front. Microbiol. 9:1063. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01063 Bacillus cereus is a Gram-positive spore-forming bacterium causing food poisoning and serious opportunistic infections. These infections are characterized by bacterial accumulation in the host despite the induction of inflammation. To circumvent inflammation, bacteria must resist the bactericidal activity of professional phagocytes, which constitute a first line of host defense against pathogens. Interactions between phagocytic cells and B. cereus are still poorly characterized and the mechanism of resistance to the host immune system is not known yet. We have previously shown that the spores are phagocytosed by macrophages but survive and escape from these cells. The metalloprotease InhA1 is a key effector involved in these processes. inhA1-deficient spores are retained intracellularly, in contrast to the wild type strain spores. NprA is also a *B. cereus* metalloprotease able to cleave tissue components such as fibronectin, laminin, and collagen. Here, we show that NprA, concomitantly secreted with InhA1 in the *B. cereus* secretome, is essential to promote bacterial escape from macrophages. We show that InhA1 cleaves NprA at specific sites. This cleavage allows liberation of the mature form of the NprA protein in the supernatant of the wild type strain. This mature form of NprA is actually the principal effector allowing bacterial escape from host macrophages.

Keywords: B. cereus, protein interaction, metalloproteases, macrophages, spores

INTRODUCTION

Proteases are important virulence factors of pathogenic organisms during all steps of infection. They participate in the pathogen colonization of the host by degrading tissue extracellular matrix components like collagen and elastin. Their degradative activity helps bacterial proliferation by providing nutrients, interfering with the host immune system and damaging protective endothelial and epithelial barriers (Miyoshi and Shinoda, 2000).

Bacillus cereus is a Gram-positive spore-forming bacterium causing food poisoning and serious opportunistic infections (Stenfors Arnesen et al., 2008; Bottone, 2010; Decousser et al., 2013; Ramarao et al., 2014, 2015; Glasset et al., 2016, 2018; Lotte et al., 2017). The bacterium can survive in the host organism and generate infections despite the recruitment of phagocytic cells.

InhA1 Cleaves NprA

The B. cereus genome comprises at least 50 genes coding for proteases with several putative functions during pathogenesis (Ivanova et al., 2003). Among them, two zinc proteases, InhA1 and NprA, were detected and quantified during several exoproteome studies (Clair et al., 2010; Madeira et al., 2015). In addition InhA1 is also associated with the spore exosporium (Charlton et al., 1999). InhA1 and NprA both contain the zincbinding and catalytic active-site residues (HEXXH) common to metalloproteases. InhA1 is lethal when injected into the insect hemocoel, and is able to degrade antibacterial peptides such as cecropin and attacin (Dalhammar and Steiner, 1984). InhA1 is also involved in the capacity of the spores of B. cereus to escape from host macrophages (Ramarao and Lereclus, 2005). InhA1 of B. anthracis (91% identity with B. cereus InhA1) is also secreted (Chitlaru et al., 2006) and digests various substrates, such as extracellular matrix proteins, and tissue components including fibronectin, laminin, and types I and IV collagens (Chung et al., 2006). InhA1 is involved in the modulation of blood hemostasis and thrombosis and in the increase of endothelial barrier permeability and hemorrhage (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Tonry et al., 2012). InhA1 is associated with altered levels of 92 B. anthracis proteins (Pomerantsev et al., 2011; Tonry et al., 2012; Pflughoeft et al., 2014). Thus, InhA1 plays a major role during virulence of B. anthracis by acting on bacterial and host proteins during infection.

NprA represents 60 to 80% of the *B. cereus* secretome in a minimum medium (Perchat et al., 2011). A *B. cereus* mutant deficient for *nprA* is as virulent as the wild type strain in an insect model of infection (Perchat et al., 2011) although *nprA* expression may be high in pathogenic *B. cereus* strains (Cadot et al., 2010). Thus, the exact role of NprA during pathogenesis is still unknown. Npr599, the homolog of NprA in *B. anthracis*, cleaves and activates the pro-urokinase plasminogen activator, a protein central in the fibrinolytic cascade, and its receptor. It also degrades the plasminogen activator inhibitors PAI-1 and PAI-2 (Chitlaru et al., 2006; Chung et al., 2006).

Macrophages are key players of the host immune response against bacterial infections. To successfully colonize their host, pathogens must defeat or avoid these cells. We have previously demonstrated that *Bacillus* spores are first internalized by macrophages but are able to escape, this capacity depending on the metalloprotease InhA1 (Ramarao and Lereclus, 2005). Here we show that NprA, which is concomitantly secreted with InhA1 in the *B. cereus* secretome, is essential to promote bacterial escape from macrophages. InhA1 regulates NprA at a posttranscriptional level by cutting NprA at specific sites. This cleaved form of NprA is a crucial effector promoting bacterial escape from host macrophages.

RESULTS

InhA1 and NprA Are Concomitantly Secreted

The wild type Bc 407 strain was grown in NYB medium. At several time points during bacterial growth, the culture supernatant was harvested and filtered. Proteins present in the exoproteome were precipitated and visualized on a SDS-Page gel (**Figure 1**). Two proteins, detectable from the entry (t0) to the end (t8) of the stationary phase of growth, show an increase of intensity over time. The two proteins are not detectable at t24h. These two major proteins were identified by Maldi-ToF as InhA1 (apparent MW 75 kDa) and NprA (apparent MW 35 kDa).

NprA Is Absent in the Secretome of the *inhA1* Mutant

The growth curves of the wild type and the *inhA1* and *nprA* deficient mutants were similar (**Figure 2A**). The supernatant of the wild type and the *inhA1* and *nprA* deficient mutants were collected and proteins were separated by electrophoresis (**Figure 2B**). At the beginning of stationary phase (t2), NprA (MW 35 kDa) was present in the supernatant of the wild type strain but was absent in the supernatant of the *inhA1* deficient mutant. As expected, NprA was not found in the *nprA* deficient mutant.

We then assessed whether the absence of NprA in the *inhA1* mutant strain could be due to a regulation of *nprA* transcription by InhA1. However, no significant difference in *nprA* transcription could be observed between the wild type and the *inhA1* deficient strain (P > 0.15 at t4) (Figure 3).

To visualize the NprA secreted by the different strains, the supernatant of the wild type strain and the two mutants deficient for inhA1 and nprA respectively, were analyzed by 2D-gel electrophoresis and several proteins were analyzed by Maldi-ToF. Two major spots were identified as flagellines and were used to ensure the normalization of sample loading. Several proteins migrated around 28 kDa and were not visible in the 1D gels. These proteins were however, not analyzed. Several spots were analyzed by Maldi-ToF and identified as InhA1 and NprA. InhA1 was identified in the supernatant of the wild type (Figure 4A) and the nprA mutant (Figure 4C) strains but not in the inhA1 mutant strain (Figure 4B). NprA was found in the wild type strain but not in the supernatant of the $\Delta inhA1$ and $\Delta nprA$ mutant strains. Several spots corresponding to NprA (indicated N1, N2, N3) were identified in the supernatant of the wild type strain. They all migrated around 35 kDa (Figure 4A). They were identified by







Maldi-ToF as being NprA with log E values of -557, -470, and -638; protein coverage of 71, 72, and 72%, and 143, 190, and 186 spectra, respectively.

Analysis of the three spots by N-terminal sequencing led to the identification of two main fragments starting with VVKPVT and AKAVVK, respectively. This corresponds to the amino acid position 215 and 218 in the full length NprA protein (without signal peptide), respectively (**Figure 5**).

Thus, InhA1 is necessary to allow the 35 kDa NprA fragments to be secreted in *B. cereus* supernatant.

InhA1 Cleaves NprA at the Level of Its Propeptide

The NprA sequence is composed of a signal peptide, a sequence of 24 kDa, which may correspond to a propeptide, and a C-terminal



domain of around 35 kDa (**Figure 5**). Thus, the presence of 35 kDa protein forms in the supernatant of the wild type strain and their absence in the supernatant of the *inhA1* deficient mutant suggests that InhA1 plays a role in the cleavage of NprA at the level of its propeptide.

To confirm that InhA1 cleaves NprA, the two proteases were purified and their interaction was assessed *in vitro* (**Figure 6**).

InhA1 was purified as a recombinant His-tagged protein. NprA was purified first as a GST-tagged protein. The tag was then removed. Recombinant InhA1 and NprA migrated at 75 and 60 kDa, respectively following purification (lanes 1 and 2, Figure 6). Identity of InhA1 and NprA was confirmed by Maldi-ToF analysis (not shown). The purity of NprA was quite high although several bands appear at lower molecular weights, probably corresponding to degradation products of the protein. The cleavage of NprA by InhA1 was assessed by mixing 30 ng of purified InhA1 with 2 µg of NprA for 0 to 4 h. InhA1 signal was maintained over time, indicating that the protein was not cleaved nor degraded in the presence of NprA. By contrast, NprA was progressively degraded in the presence of InhA1. Several proteins appeared migrating around 35 kDa. Their analysis by Maldi-ToF and N-terminal sequencing confirmed the presence of C-terminal fragments of NprA. The main one corresponded to the protein produced in the supernatant of the wild type strain with the cleavage site (AKAVVK) (Figure 4A). The other fragment VVKPVT identified in the B. cereus supernatant was not detected in vitro, but the N-terminal analysis was not exhaustive, as several bands could not be sequenced. The quantity of NprA at 35 kDa did not increase, while the 60 kDa band disappeared over time following incubation with InhA1, suggesting that the whole NprA product is progressively degraded. Taken together, our data show that InhA1 cleaves NprA at various sites situated in close vicinity in the region between the propeptide and the theoretical active form of the NprA protein (Figure 5).



NprA Allows Spore Escape Capacity From Host Macrophages

We have previously shown that the *inhA1* mutant was impaired in its capacity to escape from macrophages after phagocytosis. Here, we have determined that InhA1 and NprA are produced concomitantly and that InhA1 cleaves NprA. We wished to determine whether NprA could play a role in macrophage escape. Macrophages were infected with *B. cereus* wild type spores and with mutants deficient for *inhA1* or *nprA* (**Figure 7**). After phagocytosis, all remaining extracellular spores were eliminated and cells were incubated for various times in fresh medium. At this time (t0) 80 to 100% of cells contained at least one spore for all the strains tested. Thereafter, the amount of infected cells decreased sharply when infected with the wild type bacteria and less than 20% of cells still contained intracellular bacteria at t4. In sharp contrast, mutants deficient for *inhA1* or *nprA* were severely impaired in their escape capacity with 100% of cells containing intracellular bacteria at t4 (P < 0.03 for both $\Delta inhA1$



and $\Delta nprA$ compared to wild type strain at t4). The *nprA* mutant, complemented with NprA was able to escape from macrophages with a phenotype similar to the wild type strain (P > 0.25). Thus, NprA, like InhA1, is required to allow *B. cereus* to escape from macrophages.

InhA1-Mediated Cleavage of NprA Allows *B. cereus* to Escape From Macrophages

InhA1 cleaves NprA to a C-terminal form that could be the activated form of NprA. As InhA1 and NprA play a role during *B. cereus* escape from macrophages, we investigated whether the two proteases were acting together or independently. The escape capacity of the following strains was assessed: *inhA1* and *nprA* mutants complemented with either the full-length NprA protein or the C-terminal 35 kDa NprA.

As shown in **Figure** 7, when the *nprA* mutant was complemented with either the full length or the C-ter NprA, the spores were able to escape macrophages as efficiently as the wild type strain (P > 0.4). This strongly suggests that this cleaved-NprA promotes bacterial escape. To confirm this, the C-terminal 35 kDa NprA was inserted into the *inhA1* mutant. This strain was able to escape from macrophages as the wild type (P > 0.3). By contrast, *inhA1* mutant complemented with the entire NprA (60 kDa) was not able to escape from macrophages (P < 0.05). Thus, InhA1's cleavage capacity on NprA is necessary to produce

an active form of NprA able to allow the bacteria to exit the macrophages.

DISCUSSION

Zinc metalloproteases are widely distributed in nature and play important roles in many physiological processes. In the last 30 years, a collection of evidence has shown that a large number of these enzymes are multifunctional virulence factors playing pivotal roles in pathogenic interactions between bacteria and eukaryotic host organisms. Their hydrolytic activity exerted on a wide range of biologically important substrates results in facilitation of bacterial proliferation, through the provision of nutrients and invasion upon tissue destruction, and establishment of infection by silencing various host defense systems. This is the case of many well-studied bacterial zinc-dependent metalloproteases (Miyoshi and Shinoda, 2000). Among them, several thermolysins, have been cloned, purified, and characterized by crystallographic techniques. They are all synthesized as large propeptides, which are processed to mature proteolytically active forms. The maturation process is protein-specific but can be performed either intra or inter-molecularly (Miyoshi and Shinoda, 2000).

The theoretical structure of NprA presents a N-terminal domain, likely to be a propeptide, and a distinct C-terminal





domain likely representing the active form of the protein following cleavage. NprA represents 60 to 80% of the *B. cereus* secretome in anaerobic minimal medium (Perchat et al., 2011). However, at the beginning of bacterial stationary growth phase, this protease is absent from the secretome of an *inhA1*-deficient mutant, showing that InhA1 plays a role in the modulation of NprA in the *B. cereus* supernatant. In this work, we show that InhA1 does not influence *nprA* gene transcription and that the modulation of the presence of the NprA protein in the secretome of the *inhA1* mutant is at the protein level. Consistently, we have also demonstrated that InhA1 cleaves NprA at specific locations of the protein allowing the secretion of C-terminal fragments of NprA in the supernatant of the wild type strain.

As InhA1 cleaves NprA *in vitro*, an uncleaved form of NprA was expected in the *inhA1*-deficient mutant. As the full length NprA could not be detected in the supernatant, we thus hypothesize that this 60 kDa form of NprA is either unstable in the supernatant of the *inhA1*-mutant or localized elsewhere in the bacteria. At the end of stationary growth phase, another

C-terminal fragment of NprA of approximately 35 kDa is found in equal amount in the supernatant of the wild type and *inhA1*mutant strains (not shown). Analysis of the NprA produced in the supernatants of both strains show that the protein sequence starts in both cases with VTGTNK. This implies that at the end of the stationary growth phase, proteases other than InhA1 cleave NprA in a similar cleavage area after the propeptide sequence. The differences in activity between the various forms of NprA remain to be studied.

Nowadays, very few studies have been undertaken on NprA importance for bacterial virulence. It has been shown that NprA is able to cleave tissue components such as fibronectin, laminin, and collagen, and thus displays characteristics related to pathogenic factors (Chung et al., 2006). Moreover, *nprA* gene regulation depends on the quorum-sensing system NprR/NprX (Perchat et al., 2011), which is implicated in necrotrophism (Dubois et al., 2012).

For successful infection, pathogens must defeat or avoid cells of the host immune system. Infections by virulent Bacilli are characterized by bacterial proliferation despite inflammation at the site of infection (Hernandez et al., 1998). This implies that the bacteria have developed means to resist to the inflammatory cells and thus to the host immune system. We have consistently shown that B. cereus is able to circumvent the host immune response (Tran and Ramarao, 2013; Darrigo et al., 2016; Guillemet et al., 2016). B. cereus spores survive, germinate, and multiply in contact with macrophages (Ramarao and Lereclus, 2005), eventually leading to the production of toxins responsible for macrophage death (Tran et al., 2011a,b; Ramarao and Sanchis, 2013). In this study, we show that NprA is a crucial element to counteract the host immune system by allowing the bacteria to escape from macrophages. Mutants deficient for nprA or inhA1 are impaired in their escape capacity from macrophages. NprA ability to degrade host components may explain the role of this protein during macrophage escape. The cleavage of NprA by InhA1 is a pivotal element to promote NprA activity. Indeed, the active C-terminal domain of NprA is sufficient to promote bacterial escape from host macrophages after phagocytosis. Moreover, inability of the inhA1 mutant to cleave a full length NprA prevents for bacteria ability to escape macrophages.

At present, we cannot exclude that any other protease could potentially be responsible for or contribute to bacterial

each strain and each time point.

macrophage escape. While our results strongly suggest a role of NprA in promoting bacterial escape, future experiments may further elucidate the mechanisms involved.

The cleavage capacity of InhA1 on *B. anthracis* proteins (including Npr599) has been recently observed (Pflughoeft et al., 2014). However, the cleavage sites were not determined and the physiological relevance of this cleavage during B. anthracis virulence was not studied. B. anthracis enters the host as spores. The first obligate step of infection is the capacity of the spores to cross the respiratory, digestive or cutaneous epithelial barriers. Indeed, the spores cannot actively cross the epithelial barrier and thus need to be captured by host cells to successfully invade the host. B. anthracis spores escape from the phagolysosome, germinate, and multiply within the macrophage cytoplasm, independently of the two virulence plasmids pX01 and pX02 (Dixon et al., 2000). There is to date no information on the role of InhA1 and/or NprA on the B. anthracis spore escape from macrophages.

Understanding the role that the primary phagocytic cells play in propagating the infection may be critical to decipher the mechanism by which the bacterium causes disease. In particular, a better knowledge on the role of proteases during pathogenesis will help to develop strategies to counteract these infections. These proteases could then be used as targets to develop new therapeutic approaches, targeting specific key points in the infection process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

The Bc 407 Cry- (Bc 407) was used as a model for *B. cereus*. This strain cured of its plasmid is acrystalliferous and shows high phylogenic similarity with the *B. cereus* reference strain ATCC 14579 (Lapidus et al., 2008).

The mutant strains Bc $407\Delta inhA1$ and Bc $407\Delta nprA$ have been described previously (Grandvalet et al., 2001; Perchat et al., 2011).

All strains were grown in LB or NYB medium, which was prepared according to the manufacturer's specifications at 37° C and bacterial growth was monitored by measuring the optical density at 600 nm. To prepare spores, strains were grown at 30° C in the sporulation-specific medium HCT + 0.3% glucose for at least 3 days until sporulation occurred. Spores were prepared as previously described (Ramarao and Lereclus, 2005).

C-Terminal NprA Construction

A nucleotide construction was designed based on the Bc 407 genome (NCBI database, Reference No. NC_018877.1) and synthesized by the Eurofin Company. This construction assembled two regions bordering and including the *nprA* gene: the first one, corresponding to the promoter region of *nprA* and the signal peptide, ranges from the 606.964th to the 607.207th nucleotide and the second one, corresponding to the C-terminal part of NprA, ranges from the 607.823th to the 608.797th nucleotide. A *Bam*HI restriction site was added at

the 5' extremity of the construction, and a strop codon (TAA) followed by a *Sma*I restriction site was added at the 3' end of the construction. This construction (C-ter NprA) was inserted into the pHT304 vector between the *Bam*HI and the *Sma*I restriction sites.

Complementation of Bc $407 \Delta n prA$ and Bc $407 \Delta inhA1$ Strains

The pHT304 vector containing the complete *nprA* gene with its promoter region, or the C-ter NprA construction (starting AKAVVK) was used to transform Bc 407 Δ *nprA* and Bc 407 Δ *inhA1* strains by electroporation (Lereclus et al., 1989). Transformants were selected for resistance to erythromycin. The resulting new strains were designated Δ *nprA/nprA*, Δ *inhA1/nprA*, Δ *nprA/*C-ter-*nprA* and Δ *inhA1/*C-ter-*nprA*, respectively.

Construction of Bc 407 *nprA*'Z and Bc 407∆*inhA1 nprA*'Z Strains

The *nprA* gene was disrupted in the Bc 407 and the $\Delta inhA1$ strains by inserting a promoterless *lacZ* gene into the coding sequence as previously described (Perchat et al., 2011). In the resulting recombinant strains, the *lacZ* gene was transcribed from the *nprA* promoter. The strains were designated Bc 407 *nprA*'Z and Bc 407 $\Delta inhA1$ *nprA*'Z, respectively.

Beta Galactosidase Assays

The β -galactosidase assays were performed as previously described (Guillemet et al., 2013; Tran et al., 2013). Briefly, bacteria were harvested from growing cultures at the indicated time points and disrupted with glass beads (Sigma). Subsequently, 2-nitrophenyl- β -D-galactoside (Sigma) was added and the reaction was stopped by the addition of 0.5 ml of 1 M Na₂CO₃. The optical density was measured at 420 nm. The protein concentration was measured using Bio-Rad assay and specific activities were expressed in units of β -galactosidase per milligram of protein (Miller units). Results are means of three independent experiments. Statistical values are calculated using the Student's *t*-test and Mann–Whitney test.

Protein Precipitation

Bc 407, $\Delta nprA$, and $\Delta inhA1$ strains were cultured in NYB medium and taken at the indicated times after the entry into stationary growth phase. Immediately after harvesting, cultures were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C and supernatants were filtered through a low binding protein membrane (PVDF, 0.22 μ m; Millipore Company). Proteins were then precipitated twice using the deoxycholate-tetrachloroacetic acid (DOC-TCA) method (Gohar et al., 2002). Proteins were loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE and gels were stained using Coomassie blue staining G-250.

Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis

Two-DGE was performed as described previously (Gilois et al., 2007). Briefly, the first migration was performed on immobilized pH gradient (IPG) strips (17 cm in length, pH gradient 4 to 7,

Bio-Rad) loaded with 300 mg proteins. The second migration occurred on 10 to 15% gradient SDS-PAGE gels. The gels were then stained with Coomassie blue for protein identification.

In some cases, major bands were excised from the gel and subjected to trypsin (Promega) digestion for peptide mass fingerprinting by mass spectrometry as previously described (Gohar et al., 2002).

Purification of NprA and InhA1 Proteins

The plasmid pGEX6P1–GST–NprA was constructed as follows. The *nprA* gene was amplified from the Bc 407 chromosome by PCR using the primer pairs NprA–GST-1 (5'-CGGGATCCGA TTCTAAAAATGTACTCTCTACGAAGA-3') and NprA–GST-2 (5'-TCCCCCGGGTGAAGCGAAGTGGATTGTAACA-3'). The DNA fragment was inserted between the *Bam*H1 and *Sma*1 sites of plasmid pGEX6P1 (GE Healthcare), and the resulting plasmid was introduced into *Escherichia coli* M15 [pREP4] (Qiagen).

Escherichia coli M15 [pREP4] strain harboring the plasmid pGEX6P1–GST–NprA was grown at 37°C until OD₆₀₀ 0.8 was reached and protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG. Growth was continued for 4 h after IPTG induction. Bacteria were then collected by centrifugation at 7700 g for 10 min. Pellets were lysed using 1% Triton X-100 and sonication. The lysate was then centrifuged at 8000 g for 30 min at 4°C. Pellets were treated with 6 M urea for 1 h, then centrifuged at 25000 g for 40 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and dialyzed against 50 mM Tris, Urea 1 M, pH 8 twice and finally dialyzed against 50 mM Tris, pH 8, for 4 h at 4°C.

The tagged protein was then purified using the Bulk GST Purification module (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The GST tag was removed by addition of PreScission protease (Sigma). Protein concentration was quantified by the Bradford method.

The complete *inhA1* gene was cloned by PCR amplification from the Bc 407 chromosome, using the primers Sin14' and Sin15. Sin14' (5'-CATG CCATGG CCTCTATGGAAATTATAAATTG-3') creates an *NcoI* site, and is complementary to sequences upstream from *inhA1*. Sin15 (5'-CG GGATCCCACTATTTTTATCCAGTTC-3') creates a *BamHI* site, and is complementary to sequences downstream from *inhA1*. The PCR product (a 2.46 kb DNA fragment) was purified, digested by *NcoI* and *BamHI*, and inserted into the vector pIVEX2.4 (Roche) and the resulting plasmid was introduced into *E. coli BL21*.

Escherichia coli BL21 strain harboring the plasmid pIVEX-Nter His-InhA1 was grown at 37° C until OD₆₀₀ 0.8 was reached and protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG and 1 mM CaCl₂. Growth was continued for 4 h after IPTG induction. Bacteria were then collected by centrifugation at 7700 g for 10 min. Pellets were lysed using sonication. The lysate was then centrifuged at 8000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and dialyzed against 50 mM Tris, pH 8, for 4 h at 4°C. The tagged protein was then purified and eluted using the Ni-NTA resin method (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Protein concentration was quantified by the Bradford method.

Cleavage Test

Thirty ng of purified InhA1 were mixed with 2 μ g of purified NprA (60 kDa) for 0 to 4 h at 30°C. Reaction was stopped by adding sample buffer and heating at 95°C for 5 min. Proteins were loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE and the gel was stained with Coomassie blue straining.

N-Terminal Sequencing

The proteins of interest were extracted from 1D or 2D gels and concentrated on a PVDF-disk with the ProSorb system. The chemical process used to determine the amino acid sequence is based on Edman degradation. The identification of the NprA sequence was carried out using the automatic microsequencer (Procise Protein Sequencing System Model 494) connected to an amino acid analyzer-PTH Model 140 from Perkin Elmer Applied Biosystems.

Cell Culture and Macrophage Infection

The murine macrophage-like cells, J774, were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen) and 50 U ml⁻¹/50 mg ml⁻¹ penicillin/streptomycin (VWR). The cells were cultured at 37° C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere and saturating humidity. The day prior to use, cells were detached by gentle scraping, counted with a hematocytometer and seeded into multiwell plates. For infection experiments, the day after seeding, cells were cultured in fresh serum-free medium before use in the various assays (Tran et al., 2010).

Spore release infection experiments were performed as previously described (Ramarao and Lereclus, 2005). Briefly, spores were added at a multiplicity of infection (moi) of 10. Phagocytosis was allowed to proceed for 30 min at 37° C in freshly added RPMI-1640 without serum. Non-attached spores were removed by extensive washing with PBS. The cells were covered with RPMI and incubated further at 37° C under a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After 0–6 h, spores or bacteria and cells were visualized by light microscopy. The amount of cells containing at least one intracellular bacteria were counted under the microscope. Results are mean values from at least three independent experiments done in duplicate. Error bars correspond to standard deviations. *P*-values were calculated using the Student's *t*-test.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NR conceived the study, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. AH, S-LT, EG, CD, SP, LC, and TJ performed the experiments. DL analyzed data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Céline Cadot, Maud Ngo-Camus, Ali Cherfa, and Camille Verly for their implication and participation in this project. We warmly thank Nathalie Gilois for her precious help in the 2D gel experiments.

REFERENCES

- Bottone, E. J. (2010). Bacillus cereus, a volatile human pathogen. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 23, 382–398. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00073-09
- Cadot, C., Tran, S. L., Vignaud, M. L., De Buyser, M. L., Kolsto, A. B., Brisabois, A., et al. (2010). InhA1, NprA and HlyII as candidates to differentiate pathogenic from non-pathogenic Bacillus cereus strains. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48, 1358–1365. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02123-09
- Charlton, S., Baillie, A. J., and Moir, A. (1999). Characterisation of exosporium of *Bacillus cereus. J. Appl. Microbiol.* 87, 241–245. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999. 00878.x
- Chitlaru, T., Gat, O., Gozlan, Y., Ariel, N., and Shafferman, A. (2006). Differential proteomic analysis of the *Bacillus anthracis* secretome: distinct plasmid and chromosome CO₂-dependent cross talk mechanisms modulate extracellular proteolytic activities. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 3551–3571. doi: 10.1128/JB.188.10.3551-3571.2006
- Chung, M. C., Popova, T. G., Millis, B. A., Mukherjee, D. V., Zhou, W., Liotta, L. A., et al. (2006). Secreted neutral metalloproteases of *Bacillus anthracis* as candidate pathogenic factors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 31408–31418. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.M605526200
- Clair, G., Roussi, S., Armengaud, J., and Duport, C. (2010). Expanding the known repertoire of virulence factors produced by *Bacillus cereus* through early secretome profiling in three redox conditions. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 9, 1486–1498. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M000027-MCP201
- Dalhammar, G., and Steiner, H. (1984). Characterization of inhibitor A, a protease from *Bacillus thuringiensis* which degrades attacins and cecropins, two classes of antibacterial proteins in insects. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 139, 247–252. doi: 10.1111/ j.1432-1033.1984.tb08000.x
- Darrigo, C., Guillemet, E., Dervyn, R., and Ramarao, N. (2016). The bacterial Mfd protein prevents DNA damage induced by the host nitrogen immune response in a NER-independent but RecBC-dependent pathway. *PLoS One* 11:e0163321. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163321
- Decousser, J., Ramarao, N., Duport, C., Dorval, M., Bourgeois-Nicolaos, N., Guinebretiere, M. H., et al. (2013). *Bacillus cereus* and severe intestinal infections in preterm neonates: putative role of the pooled breast milk. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 41, 918–921. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2013. 01.043
- Dixon, T. C., Fadl, A. A., Koehler, T. M., Swanson, J. A., and Hanna, P. C. (2000). Early *Bacillus anthracis*-macrophage interactions: intracellular survival and escape. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2, 453–463. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-5822.2000. 00067.x
- Dubois, T., Faegri, K., Perchat, S., Lemy, C., Buisson, C., Nielsen-LeRoux, C., et al. (2012). Necrotrophism is a quorum-sensing-regulated lifestyle in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *PLoS Pathog.* 8:e1002629. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1002629
- Gilois, N., Ramarao, N., Bouillaut, L., Perchat, S., Aymerich, S., Nielsen-Leroux, C., et al. (2007). Growth-related variations in the *Bacillus cereus* secretome. *Proteomics* 7, 1719–1728. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200600502
- Glasset, B., Herbin, S., Granier, S., Cavalié, L., Lafeuille, E., Guérin, C., et al. (2018). Bacillus cereus, a serious cause of nosocomial infections: epidemiologic and genetic survey. PLoS One (in press).
- Glasset, B., Herbin, S., Guillier, L., Cadel-Six, S., Vignaud, M. L., Grout, J., et al. (2016). *Bacillus cereus*-induced food-borne outbreaks in France, 2007 to 2014: epidemiology and genetic characterisation. *Euro. Surveill.* 21:30413. doi: 10. 2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.48.30413
- Gohar, M., Økstad, O. A., Gilois, N., Sanchis, V., Kolstø, A.-B., and Lereclus, D. (2002). Two-dimensional electrophoresis analysis of the extracellular proteome of *Bacillus cereus* reveals the importance of the PlcR regulon. *Proteomics* 2, 784–791. doi: 10.1002/1615-9861(200206)2:6<784::AID-PROT784>3.0. CO;2-R
- Grandvalet, C., Gominet, M., and Lereclus, D. (2001). Identification of genes involved in the activation of the *Bacillus thuringiensis* inhA metalloprotease gene at the onset of sporulation. *Microbiology* 147, 1805–1813. doi: 10.1099/ 00221287-147-7-1805
- Guillemet, E., Lereec, A., Tran, S. L., Royer, C., Barbosa, I., Sansonetti, P., et al. (2016). The bacterial DNA repair protein Mfd confers resistance to the host nitrogen immune response. *Sci. Rep.* 6:29349. doi: 10.1038/srep 29349

- Guillemet, E., Tran, S., Cadot, C., Rognan, D., Lereclus, D., and Ramarao, N. (2013). Glucose 6P binds and activates HlyIIR to repress *Bacillus cereus* haemolysin hlyII gene expression. *PLoS One* 8:e55085. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0055085
- Hernandez, E., Ramisse, F., Ducoureau, J. P., Cruel, T., and Cavallo, J. D. (1998). *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *konkukian* (serotype H34) superinfection: case report and experimental evidence of pathogenicity in immunosuppressed mice. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 36, 2138–2139.
- Ivanova, N., Sorokin, A., Anderson, I., Galleron, N., Candelon, B., Kapatral, V., et al. (2003). Genome sequence of *Bacillus cereus* and comparative analysis with *Bacillus anthracis*. *Nature* 423, 87–91. doi: 10.1038/nature01582
- Lapidus, A., Goltsman, E., Auger, S., Galleron, N., Segurens, B., Dossat, C., et al. (2008). Extending the *Bacillus cereus* group genomics to putative food-borne pathogens of different toxicity. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 171, 236–249. doi: 10.1016/ j.cbi.2007.03.003
- Lereclus, D., Arantes, O., Chaufaux, J., and Lecadet, M.-M. (1989). Transformation and expression of a cloned ∂-endotoxin gene in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 60, 211–218. doi: 10.1016/0378-1097(89)90511-9
- Lotte, R., Herisse, A. L., Berrouane, Y., Lotte, L., Casagrande, F., Landraud, L., et al. (2017). Virulence analysis of *Bacillus cereus* isolated after death of preterm neonates, Nice, France, 2013. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 23, 845–848. doi: 10.3201/ eid2305.161788
- Madeira, J. P., Alpha-Bazin, B., Armengaud, J., and Duport, C. (2015). Time dynamics of the *Bacillus cereus* exoproteome are shaped by cellular oxidation. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:342. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00342
- Miyoshi, S., and Shinoda, S. (2000). Microbial metalloproteases and pathogenesis. *Microbes Infect.* 2, 91–98. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(00)00280-X
- Mukherjee, D. V., Tonry, J. H., Sik Kim, K., Ramarao, N., Popova, T. G., Bailey, C., et al. (2011). *Bacillus anthracis* protease InhA induces blood-brain barrier permeability and contributes to cerebral hemorrhages. *PLoS One* 17:e17921. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017921
- Perchat, S., Dubois, T., Zouhir, S., Gominet, M., Poncet, S., Lemy, C., et al. (2011). A cell-cell communication system regulates protease production during sporulation in bacteria of the Bacillus cereus group. *Mol. Microbiol.* 82, 619–633. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07839.x
- Pflughoeft, K. J., Swick, M. C., Engler, D. A., Yeo, H. J., and Koehler, T. M. (2014). Modulation of the *Bacillus anthracis* secretome by the immune inhibitor A1 protease. J. Bacteriol. 196, 424–435. doi: 10.1128/JB.00690-13
- Pomerantsev, A. P., Pomerantseva, O. M., Moayeri, M., Fattah, R., Tallant, C., and Leppla, S. H. (2011). A *Bacillus anthracis* strain deleted for six proteases serves as an effective host for production of recombinant proteins. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 80, 80–90. doi: 10.1016/j.pep.2011.05.016
- Ramarao, N., Belotti, L., Deboscker, S., Ennahar-Vuillemin, M., de Launay, J., Lavigne, T., et al. (2014). Two unrelated episodes of *Bacillus cereus* bacteremia in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 42, 694–695. doi: 10. 1016/j.ajic.2014.01.025
- Ramarao, N., and Lereclus, D. (2005). The InhA1 metalloprotease allows spores of the *B. cereus* group to escape macrophages. *Cell. Microbiol.* 7, 1357–1364. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00562.x
- Ramarao, N., Lereclus, D., and Sorokin, A. (2015). "The Bacillus cereus group," in Molecular Medical Microbiology, eds Y.-W. Tang, M. Sussman, D. Liu, I. Poxton, and J. Schwartzman (New York, NY: Elsevier), 1041–1078. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-397169-2.00059-7
- Ramarao, N., and Sanchis, V. (2013). The pore-forming haemolysins of *Bacillus cereus*: a review. *Toxins* 5, 1119–1139. doi: 10.3390/toxins5061119
- Stenfors Arnesen, L., Fagerlund, A., and Granum, P. (2008). From soil to gut: Bacillus cereus and its food poisoning toxins. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 579–606. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00112.x
- Tonry, J. H., McNichol, B., Ramarao, N., Chertow, D., Kim, K., Stibitz, S., et al. (2012). Bacillus anthracis protease InhA regulates BslA mediated adhesion in human endothelial cells. *Cell. Microbiol.* 14, 1219–1230. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01791.x
- Tran, S., Guillemet, E., Lereclus, D., and Ramarao, N. (2013). Iron regulates Bacillus thuringiensis haemolysin hlyII gene expression during insect infection. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 113, 205–208. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2013.04.001
- Tran, S. L., and Ramarao, N. (2013). Bacillus cereus immune escape: a journey within macrophages. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 347, 1–6. doi: 10.1111/1574-6968. 12209

Tran, S. L., Guillemet, E., Gohar, M., Lereclus, D., and Ramarao, N. (2010). CwpFM (EntFM) is a *Bacillus cereus* potential cell wall peptidase implicated in adhesion, biofilm formation and virulence. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 2638–2642. doi: 10.1128/JB. 01315-09

Tran, S. L., Guillemet, E., Ngo-Camus, M., Clybouw, C., Puhar, A., Moris, A., et al. (2011a). Hemolysin II is a *Bacillus cereus* virulence factor that induces apoptosis of macrophages. *Cell. Microbiol.* 13, 92–108. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010. 01522.x

Tran, S. L., Puhar, A., Ngo-Camus, M., and Ramarao, N. (2011b). Trypan blue dye enters viable cells incubated with the pore-forming toxin HlyII of *Bacillus cereus*. *PLoS One* 6:e22876. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0022876 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Haydar, Tran, Guillemet, Darrigo, Perchat, Lereclus, Coquet, Jouenne and Ramarao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.