

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Hirabayashi G, Saito M, Terayama S, Akihisa Y, Maruyama K, Andoh T (2020) Lungprotective properties of expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE 15(12): e0243971. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0243971

Editor: Steven Eric Wolf, University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, UNITED STATES

Received: April 14, 2020

Accepted: November 30, 2020

Published: December 17, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971

Copyright: © 2020 Hirabayashi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its <u>Supporting Information</u> files.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Lung-protective properties of expiratory flowinitiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation: A randomised controlled trial

Go Hirabayashi ()*, Minami Saito, Sachiko Terayama, Yuki Akihisa, Koichi Maruyama, Tomio Andoh

Department of Anaesthesiology, Mizonokuchi Hospital Teikyo University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan

* goh@med.teikyo-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Background

Expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation (EF-initiated PC-IRV) reduces physiological dead space. We hypothesised that EF-initiated PC-IRV would be lung protective compared with volume-controlled ventilation (VCV).

Methods

Twenty-eight men undergoing robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy were enrolled in this randomised controlled trial. The EF-initiated PC-IRV group (n = 14) used pressure-controlled ventilation with the volume guaranteed mode. The inspiratory to expiratory (I:E) ratio was individually adjusted by observing the expiratory flow-time wave. The VCV group (n = 14) used the volume control mode with a 1:2 I:E ratio. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare differences in the serum cytokine levels.

Results

There were no significant differences in serum IL-6 between the EF-initiated PC-IRV (median 34 pg ml⁻¹ (IQR 20.5 to 63.5)) and VCV (31 pg ml⁻¹ (24.5 to 59)) groups (P = 0.84). The physiological dead space rate (physiological dead space/expired tidal volume) was significantly reduced in the EF-initiated PC-IRV group as compared with that in the VCV group (0.31 ± 0.06 vs 0.4 ± 0.07; P<0.001). The physiological dead space rate was negatively correlated with the forced vital capacity (% predicted) in the VCV group (r = -0.85, P<0.001), but not in the EF-initiated PC-IRV group (r = 0.15, P = 0.62). Two patients in the VCV group had permissive hypercapnia with low forced vital capacity (% predicted).

Conclusions

There were no differences in the lung-protective properties between the two ventilatory strategies. However, EF-initiated PC-IRV reduced physiological dead space rate; thus, it may be useful for reducing the ventilatory volume that is necessary to maintain normocapnia

Funding: This study was financially supported by internal funding from the Department of Anaesthesiology, Mizonokuchi Hospital Teikyo University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

in patients with low forced vital capacity (% predicted) during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy.

Introduction

Patients are placed in a steep Trendelenburg position with CO₂ pneumoperitoneum during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. This procedure can decrease lung functional residual capacity and lung-thoracic compliance and increase ventilation-perfusion mismatch [1-3]. Pressure-controlled inverse inspiratory to expiratory ratio ventilation has been used in acute respiratory distress syndrome [4-8]. However, its clinical utility remains controversial [9-12]. Earlier studies used pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation (PC-IRV) with an inspiratory to expiratory (I:E) ratio of 2:1 to 4:1 without individual adjustment; this resulted in a very short expiratory phase and increased the risk of lung hyperinflation and circulatory depression. PC-IRV with an IE ratio that is individually adjusted by observing the expiratory flow-time wave can appropriately maintain moderate total positive end-expiratory pressure (total PEEP). We previously reported that expiratory flow (EF)-initiated PC-IRV reduced physiological dead space (VD_{phys}) without lung hyperinflation and circulatory depression in patients undergoing robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy [13]. Additionally, we hypothesised that EF-initiated PC-IRV may have lung-protective properties because this method can lower mechanical stress on the lung tissue by reducing VD_{phys} and the ventilatory volume required to maintain the partial pressure of CO_2 (PaCO₂).

This study primarily aimed to evaluate the lung-protective properties of EF-initiated PC-IRV and to compare the differences in the lung-protective properties between EF-initiated PC-IRV and volume-controlled ventilation (VCV) in patients undergoing robot-assisted lapa-roscopic radical prostatectomy. The secondary aim was to compare the ventilatory efficacy of these methods.

Materials and methods

Study design and ethics

This study was designed as a prospective, mono-centre, single-blinded, randomized controlled clinical trial. It was approved by the Ethical Committee of Teikyo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan on 12 September 2017 (No. 17–063) and was registered at UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN000029552). The study was conducted in the Mizonokuchi Hospital Teikyo University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan, between December 2017 and September 2018. The original Japanese study protocol approved by the Ethical Committee of Teikyo University School of Medicine as the S1 File, and English protocol as the S2 File. This study is reported in adherence to the CONSORT guidelines, and the CONSORT checklist is provided in the S1 Checklist.

Subjects

Patients aged 18 to 85 years with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II and who were scheduled for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy were considered eligible for participation in this study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: ASA physical status 3 to 5, a history of pneumothorax, and previous lung surgery. Written informed consent was obtained from all eligible patients. The participants were recruited between December 2018 and September 2019.

Randomization and blinding

Researchers at the Teikyo Academic Research Centre randomised patients to the VCV or EFinitiated PC-IRV groups with a 1:1 allocation ratio using an envelope method after generating the allocation sequence. Only the patients remained blinded during the whole study procedure.

Anaesthesia protocol

Routine patient monitoring included electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, oesophageal temperature measurement, non-invasive arterial blood pressure measurement, and anaesthetic gas CO_2 analysis. Moreover, the Vigileo with the Flo-Trac sensor (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) was used to monitor continuous radial arterial pressure, cardiac index (*CI*), and stroke volume variation (SVV). Mainstream CO_2 and flow sensors were attached to the proximal end of the tracheal tube to enable volumetric capnography (Senko Medical Instrument Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Anaesthesia was induced by the administration of 1–3 mg kg⁻¹ of intravenous propofol and 2–4 μ g kg⁻¹ of fentanyl. Tracheal intubation was performed with an 8.0-mm cuffed tube following the administration of 0.6–0.9 mg kg⁻¹ of rocuronium. Anaesthesia was maintained with volatile anaesthetic gas that was composed of 3–4% desflurane and supplemented with continuous infravenous infusions of 0.2–0.3 μ g kg⁻¹ min⁻¹ remifentanil and intermittent intravenous injections of 0.1–0.2 mg kg⁻¹ rocuronium and 1–2 μ g kg⁻¹ fentanyl when needed.

The same anaesthesia ventilator (Avance Carestation, Datex-Ohmeda, GE Healthcare, Helsinki, Finland) was used for all of the patients. The initial ventilator settings included the volume control (VC) mode, the tidal volume (V_T) that was set at 8–10 ml kg⁻¹ of the ideal body weight (IBW) (i.e., 50 + 0.91 × [height in cm—152.4]), a respiratory rate of 12 breaths min⁻¹, a baseline airway pressure (BAP) (used as set PEEP) of 5 cmH₂O, 0.5 fraction of inspired oxygen (F₁O₂), and an I:E ratio of 1:2.

Interventions and ventilatory strategies

Ventilator settings were switched to the EF-initiated PC-IRV or VCV strategy (Table 1) following the establishment of the 25–30° Trendelenburg position and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum at 12 mmHg. The EF-initiated PC-IRV strategy included the pressure-controlled ventilation-volume guaranteed (PCV-VG) mode. In this mode, the airway pressure is adjusted to achieve a

	VCV strategy	EF-initiated PC-IRV strategy	
Ventilator mode	volume control with pause ratio of 20%	pressure-controlled ventilation-volume guaranteed	
Inspiratory:Expiratory (ratio)	1:2	2:1, 1.5:1, or 1:1 were selected so that inspiration was initiated at the midpoint between the expiratory flow change point and the return point to the expected baseline	
Baseline airway pressure (cmH ₂ O)	5	0	
Respiratory rate	The initial respiratory rate was 12 beats min ⁻¹ , allowed for an increase in the respiratory rate to an upper limit of 18 beats min ⁻¹ to achieve a $PaCO_2$ of less than 50 mmHg		
Target tidal volume	Adjusted to achieve a plateau pressure upper limit of 30 cmH ₂ O		

Table 1. Ventilator strategy.

VCV, volume-controlled ventilation; EF-initiated PC-IRV, expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.t001

target tidal volume, and plateau pressures are allowed to reach an upper limit of 30 cmH₂O. BAP was set off. The initial respiratory rate was 12 beats min⁻¹, and the I:E ratio was individually adjusted by observing the expiratory flow-time wave [13]. I:E ratios of 2:1, 1.5:1, or 1:1 were selected so that inspiration was initiated at the midpoint between the expiratory flow change point and the return point to the expected baseline. The VCV strategy included the VC mode, and a pause ratio of 20% was used in order to measure plateau pressure. A target tidal volume was set with a plateau pressure upper limit of 30 cmH₂O. BAP was set to 5 cmH₂O. The I:E ratio was 1:2, and the initial respiratory rate was 12 beats min⁻¹. Both strategies allowed for an increase in the respiratory rate to an upper limit of 18 beats min⁻¹ to achieve a *P*aCO₂ of less than 50 mmHg that was estimated from end-tidal CO₂ (E_TCO₂) changes and differences between E_TCO₂ and *P*aCO₂ on arterial blood gas analysis. Hypercapnia (> 50 mmHg) was permitted if the respiratory rate increased to 18 beats min⁻¹ with a plateau pressure of 30 cmH₂O.

Immediately after returning the patients to the supine position and relieving CO₂ pneumoperitoneum, patients in the EF-initiated PC-IRV or VCV groups were switched back to the initial VCV mode. Haemodynamics were maintained throughout the study with a mean arterial pressure (MAP) >70 mmHg, $CI > 21 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$, and an SVV <15%. If MAP fell below 70 mmHg, intravenous ephedrine (4–8 mg) was administered. If the SVV exceeded 15%, an additional intravenous fluid challenge was provided with 10 ml kg⁻¹ of Ringer's acetate solution or hydroxyethyl starch. Pulse oximetry-monitored oxygen saturation was allowed to drop to a lower limit of 93%. When these parameters exceeded the predetermined limits, alveolar recruitment manoeuvres consisting of applying a continuous positive airway pressure of 30 cmH₂O for 30 seconds were conducted, and the ventilator setting was changed by increasing the respiratory rate and F_iO₂ and increasing or decreasing the set tidal volume.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the change in serum IL-6 levels, which was used as a surrogate marker for both surgical- and ventilator-induced lung injury. The proinflammatory cytokines, IL-8 and IL-1 β , were also evaluated [14]. Each cytokine was measured at T_{Baseline} (20 min after the initial setting) and T_{End} (end of surgery). The secondary outcome included VD_{phys}, which was calculated as [VD_{phys} = V_{TE} × (*P*aCO₂—*P*_ECO₂) *P*aCO₂⁻¹]. Expired tidal volume (V_{TE}) and expired CO₂ partial pressure (*P*_ECO₂) were measured with volumetric capnography. Static compliance (C_{stat}) was modified as [C_{stat} = V_{TI}/(P_{plat}—PEEP)] (V_{TI}, inspired tidal volume measured by volumetric capnography; P_{plat}, plateau airway pressure). Driving pressure was calculated as (Driving pressure = P_{plat}–PEEP). Each respiratory or haemodynamic parameter was measured at T_{Baseline} and T_{2h} (2 h after intervention). The incidences of permissive hyper-capnia (PaCO₂ > 50 mmHg) and respiratory complications were also recorded.

Statistical analysis

Based on previous study data [14], the calculated sample size was 14 subjects per group to detect differences in IL-6 concentration between the ventilatory settings with the given one-sided test with a power of 0.8 and a type I error rate of 0.05 based on an estimated difference of 1 of the parameter's estimated SD.

All parametric data were described using mean (SD) and the non-parametric data using median (first and third quartiles). Parametric data comparisons were performed using Student's t-test and non-parametric data analyses were conducted using the Mann-Whitney U test. The association between serum cytokines and other parameters was evaluated using Spearman's correlation coefficient, and the association between the other continuous variables was evaluated using linear regression analysis. *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using EZR provided by the Comprehensive R Archive Network.

Results

A detailed study flow chart is presented in Fig 1. The enrolment of patients started on 6 December 2017. A total of 39 consecutive patients who were undergoing robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy were screened; five patients did not meet the inclusion criteria, and six patients refused consent. Written informed consent was obtained from the 28 eligible patients who were randomly divided into the following two groups: 1) the VCV group (n = 14) and 2) the EF-initiated PC-IRV group (n = 14). Thus, 14 patients from each group were analysed.

There were no significant differences in patient and surgical characteristics between the two groups (Table 2).

The I:E ratio in the EF-initiated PC-IRV group was 1.8 ± 0.2 (10 patients at 2:1 ratio and 4 patients at 1.5:1 ratio), and the I:E ratio in the VCV group was 0.5 (14 patients at 1:2 ratio). Although there were significant differences in VD_{phys}/V_{TE} and SVV between the EF-initiated PC-IRV group and the VCV group, there were no significant differences in any of the other respiratory or haemodynamic parameters between the two groups (Table 3).

Further, there were no significant differences in serum IL-6 and IL-8 levels between the VCV and EF-initiated PC-IRV groups (<u>Table 4</u>).

Measurements of IL-1 β were discontinued after 10 patients because no increases were detected. There was a significant positive correlation between serum IL-6 at T_{End} and the duration of surgery in both the EF-initiated PC-IRV group (r = 0.82, P<0.001) and the VCV group



Fig 1. CONSORT diagram of patient recruitment for the comparisons between volume-controlled ventilation (VCV) and expiratory flowinitiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation (EF-initiated PC-IRV).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.g001

Table 2. Patient and surgery characteristics.

		VCV group (n = 14)	EF-initiated PC-IRV group (n = 14)	^a P-value
Patie	nt characteristics (all men)			
	Age (years)	67.8 ± 5.9	69.3 ± 4.4	0.49
	Height (cm)	168 ± 4.4	166 ± 5.4	0.33
	Weight (kg)	70.9 ± 10.6	71.2 ± 11.5	0.8
	Body mass index (kg m ⁻²)	25.1 ± 3.3	25.6 ± 3.6	0.71
	FVC % predicted (%)	106 ± 14	108 ± 13	0.82
	FEV ₁ % predicted (%)	78.1 ± 8.1	80.5 ± 6.4	0.43
	ASA physical status; 1/2/3 (n)	4/10/0	2/12/0	0.65
Surge	ery characteristics			
	Duration of surgery (min)	228 ± 64	204 ± 36	0.24
	Duration of the Trendelenburg position and pneumoperitoneum (min)	191 ± 55	175 ± 43	0.41
	Perioperative blood loss (ml)	260 ± 195	180 ± 139	0.45

Values are presented as the mean \pm SD or numbers.

^aP-values are from Fisher's exact test (qualitative data) or Mann-Whitney U test (quantitative data), respectively. VCV, volume-controlled ventilation; EF-initiated PC-IRV, expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.t002

Table 3. V	Ventilator settings and	respiratory and haemod	ynamic variables.
------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

Variable	Time period	VCV group	VCV group EF-initiated PC-IRV group		95% CI of the difference	^a P-value
		VCV strategy	VCV strategy	PC-IRV strategy		
Respiratory rate (beats min ⁻¹)	T _{Baseline}	12	12			
	T _{2h}	13.4 ± 1.7		13.2 ± 1.5	-1.6 to 1	0.62
Expired tidal volume (ml)	T _{Baseline}	522 ± 34	527 ± 32		-21.7 to 32.3	0.69
	T _{2h}	$453 \pm 62^{\dagger}$		$471 \pm 66^{\dagger}$	-35.1 to 70	0.5
Plateau pressure (cmH ₂ O)	T _{Baseline}	13.8 ± 1.5	14.4 ± 1.9		-8.3 to 2	0.41
	T _{2h}	$24.3 \pm 2.9^{\dagger}$		$23.4\pm2.9^{\dagger}$	-3.3 to 1.4	0.42
Driving pressure (cmH ₂ O)	T _{Baseline}	8.9 ± 1.5	9.4 ± 2		-0.83 to 1.97	0.41
	T _{2h}	$19.4 \pm 2.9^{\dagger}$		$18.9 \pm 2.5^{\dagger}$	-2.95 to 1.95	0.68
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	T _{Baseline}	36.6 ± 4	36.6 ± 2.9		-3.1 to 2.6	0.84
	T _{2h}	$46.3 \pm 5.5^{\dagger}$		$43.8\pm4.6^{\dagger}$	-1.3 to 1.6	0.22
E _T CO ₂ (mmHg)	T _{Baseline}	33.3 ± 2.5	32.4 ± 2.9		-3.1 to 1.2	0.39
	T _{2h}	$39.3 \pm 3.3^{\dagger}$		$38.7\pm3.6^{\dagger}$	-3.3 to 2.2	0.67
PaO_2/F_IO_2 (ratio)	T _{Baseline}	409 ± 86	381 ± 93		-99.5 to 44.7	0.44
	T _{2h}	374 ± 97		365 ± 84	-82.8 to 63.7	0.79
Static compliance (ml cmH $_2O^{-1}$)	T _{Baseline}	63.5 ± 9.8	61 ± 11.3		-11 to 6.1	0.56
	T _{2h}	$25.2 \pm 6.6^{\dagger}$		$26.2 \pm 7.9^{\dagger}$	-4.8 to 6.9	0.73
VD _{phys} /V _{TE} (ratio)	T _{Baseline}	0.38 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.03		-0.04 to 0.03	0.67
	T _{2h}	0.4 ± 0.07		$0.31\pm0.06^{\dagger}$	-0.15 to -0.04	< 0.001
Cardiac index (l min ⁻¹ kg ⁻¹)	T _{Baseline}	2.3 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.5		-0.2 to 0.4	0.5
	T _{2h}	2.4 ± 0.5		2.3 ± 0.4	-0.54 to 0.25	0.46
Stroke volume variation (%)	T _{Baseline}	7 ± 3.2	8.3 ± 2.3		-0.95 to 3.5	0.25
	T _{2h}	8.3 ± 2.9		$11.6 \pm 2.8^{\dagger}$	0.92 to 5.5	0.008

Values are presented as the mean \pm SD.

^aP-values are from Student's t-test.

 ^{+}P <0.05 compared with T_{Baseline} (paired t-test). VCV, volume-controlled ventilation; EF-initiated PC-IRV, expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation; T_{Baseline}, 20 min after the initial setting; T_{2h}, 2 h after randomisation; PaO₂/FiO₂, partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood/fraction of inspiratory oxygen; VD_{phys}/V_{TE}, physiological dead space/expired tidal volume.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.t003

	Time period	VCV group	EF-initiated PC-IRV group	^a P-value
IL-6 (pg ml ⁻¹)	T _{Baseline}	8 [8-8]	8 [8-8]	-
	T _{End}	31 [24.5–59]	34 [20.5-63.5]	0.84
IL-8 (pg ml ⁻¹)	T _{Baseline}	8 [8-8]	8 [8-8]	-
	T _{End}	8 [8-9]	8 [8-9.75]	0.62

Table 4. Changes in serum cytokine levels.

Values are presented as the median [first and third quartiles].

^aP-values are from The Mann–Whitney U test. VCV, volume-controlled ventilation; EF-initiated PC-IRV, expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation; $T_{Baseline}$, 20 min after the initial setting; T_{End} , end of surgery.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.t004

(r = 0.85, P<0.001) (Fig 2), and the duration of the Trendelenburg position and pneumoperitoneum in both the EF-initiated PC-IRV group (r = 0.83, P<0.001) and the VCV group (r = 0.88, P<0.001).

The VD_{phys}/V_{TE} ratio in the EF-initiated PC-IRV group was significantly smaller than that in the VCV group at T_{2h} (Table 2). The VD_{phys}/V_{TE} ratio at T_{2h} was also negatively correlated with preoperative FVC (% predicted) (r = -0.85; 95% CI -0.95 to -0.56; *P*<0.001) and C_{stat} (r = -0.63; 95% CI -0.88 to -0.12; *P* = 0.02) in the VCV group; however, the VD_{phys}/V_{TE} ratio was not correlated with either parameter in the EF-initiated PC-IRV group FVC (% predicted) (r = 0.15; 95% CI -0.42 to 0.6; *P* = 0.62) and C_{stat} (r = 0.17; 95% CI -0.39 to 0.65; *P* = 0.55) (Fig 3).

Two patients became difficult to ventilate and required permissive hypercapnia in the VCV group, $PaCO_2$ over 50 mmHg with plateau pressure of 30 cmH₂O, respiratory rate of 18 bpm. One patient with a low FVC (% predicted) of 79% required permissive hypercapnia ($PaCO_2 = 60.7 \text{ mmHg}$) during the entire Trendelenburg position and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum period. This patient had a VD_{phys}/V_{TE} of 59%, C_{stat} of 13 ml cm H₂O⁻¹, driving pressure of 24 cm H₂O⁻¹, respiratory rate of 18 bpm, and V_{TE}/IBW of 4.9 ml kg⁻¹ at T_{2h}. Another VCV patient





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.g002



Fig 3. Correlation between forced vital capacity (% predicted) and physiological dead space/expired tidal volume at T_{2h} in the volume-controlled ventilation (a) and expiratory flow-initiated pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation groups (b). * VD_{phys}/V_{TE} , physiological dead space/expired tidal volume; [†]FVC (% predicted), forced vital capacity (% predicted).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243971.g003

with an FVC (% predicted) of 92% had a VD_{phys}/V_{TE} of 45%, C_{stat} of 22 ml cm H₂O⁻¹, driving pressure of 20 cm H₂O⁻¹, respiratory rate of 14 bpm, V_{TE}/IBW of 6.4 ml kg⁻¹, and *P*aCO₂ of 49.3 mmHg at T_{2h}. However, this patient required permissive hypercapnia (*P*aCO₂ = 52.7 mmHg) four hours after the Trendelenburg position and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum period. Permissive hypercapnia was not observed in any of the patients in the EF-initiated PC-IRV group. Despite the low FVC (% predicted) value of 80% and low C_{stat} of 16 ml cm H₂O⁻¹, it was easy to ventilate with a VD_{phys}/V_{TE} of 22%, V_{TE}/IBW of 6.2 ml kg⁻¹, and *P*aCO₂ of 41 mmHg.

No instances of respiratory complications were recorded.

Discussion

There were no significant differences in serum cytokines change between the two ventilation strategies. The expired tidal volume fell to about ≤ 6 ml kg⁻¹ (IBW) at a plateau pressure of 30 cmH₂O in patients with a low FVC (% predicted), and this led to permissive hypercapnia, which may have been lung-protective. Both VCV and EF-initiated PC-IRV strategies were conducted with a plateau pressure upper limit of 30 cmH₂O, and there were no significant differences in expired tidal volume, plateau pressure, and driving pressure between the groups. Thus, the mechanical stress to alveoli is comparable, leading to an equivalent lung-protective property. Although PC-IRV prolongs the plateau time, it does not seem to affect mechanical stress when the tidal volume, plateau pressure, and driving pressure are the same condition. Moreover, IL-6 was correlated with the duration of surgery, and we observed small increases in IL-6, IL-8, and IL-1 β in several cases when the duration of surgery was ≤ 200 min. Michelet P et al also evaluated serum IL-6 and IL-8 to compare the lung-protective strategy with conventional ventilation in patients undergoing esophagectomy. Mean duration of surgery was 300 min, longer than our study, serum IL-6 and IL-8 would not increase in short duration of

anaesthesia, surgery and CO_2 pneumoperitoneum. Further, in normal static compliance and FVC % predicted cases, there is no difficulty in the respiratory management with both VCV and EF initiated PC-IRV strategies. Therefore, evaluating serum cytokines in patients with healthy lungs who are undergoing surgery under general anaesthesia may require longer ventilation.

The EF-initiated PC-IRV strategy reduced VD_{phys}, but there were no other significant advantages with respect to the respiratory or haemodynamic parameters as compared with the VCV strategy. Tweed and Tan also studied the efficiency of PC-IRV for general anaesthesia during lower abdominal surgery and found minimal improvements in gas exchange [15]. In our study, most patients who underwent the VCV strategy had healthy lungs, normal peak inspiratory and plateau pressures, normal PaCO₂ and PaO₂, and no need to increase the respiratory rate despite the increased VD_{phys}. In the cases of normal respiratory compliance, a sufficient tidal volume compensated for increased VD_{phys} and led to no differences between the VCV and PCV groups. However, difficulties in ventilation were encountered during the VCV strategy in patients with low respiratory compliance, Cstat, and FVC (% predicted) due to the increase in VD_{phys}/V_{TE}. These patients required permissive hypercapnia to avoid lung injury because of high peak inspiratory and plateau pressures and an increased respiratory rate. Although C_{stat} and FVC (% predicted) showed a negative correlation with the VD_{phys}/V_{TE} ratio during the Trendelenburg position and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum period in the VCV strategy, this correlation was not observed in patients who underwent the EF-initiated PC-IRV strategy. Thus, the VCV strategy considerably increased VD_{phys} in patients with low respiratory compliance; therefore, EF-initiated PC-IRV may be especially effective in maintaining ventilation in patients with low respiratory compliance during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy.

PCV has been used to reduce lung injury associated with increases in peak inspiratory pressure by VCV in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome and low respiratory compliance [16]. However, the peak inspiratory pressure was lower in the PCV group than that in the VCV group in patients with healthy lungs who were undergoing laparoscopic surgery and robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy under general anaesthesia. Still, there were no advantages in maintaining respiratory mechanics or haemodynamics [17-20]. Moreover, Cadi and colleagues reported that lung oxygenation was improved in patients who underwent PCV as compared with that in patients who underwent VCV owing to better alveolar recruitment in patients with obesity during laparoscopic surgery; however, PCV did not reduce VD_{phys} [21]. A respiratory rate of 18 beats min⁻¹ and an I:E ratio of 1:2 were employed, and this led to a short plateau duration and potentially lower improvement of dead space with PCV. Our findings indicate that PCV is more effective than VCV in patients with low respiratory compliance; however, PCV requires a sufficient plateau time to reduce the dead space. A prolonged inspiratory plateau time enhances gas diffusion from the alveoli into the airway, and this ultimately improves extra alveolar-derived pulmonary ventilation/perfusion (V/Q)mismatch = ∞ [13, 22, 23]. It also sufficiently expands the slow opening alveoli and enhances gas diffusion from the pulmonary artery into alveoli, which leads to improvements in inspiratory time-dependent V/Q mismatch <1 [13]. The short expiratory time in conventional PC-IRV may present a risk of lung hyperinflation and circulatory depression [9]. EF-initiated PC-IRV maintains a moderate total PEEP level with a lower risk of dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation. Moderate total PEEP prevents atelectasis and improves V/Q mismatch = 0 [13]. Therefore, EF-initiated PC-IRV provides an adequate expiratory time, a moderately sufficient inspiratory time, improves V/Q mismatch, effectively reduces VD_{phys}, and decreases the risk of dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation.

Our study had several limitations. First, both VCV and EF-initiated PC-IRV strategies were conducted with a plateau pressure upper limit of 30 cmH₂O, which led to a comparable alveolar response to mechanical stress. However, EF-initiated PC-IRV reduced VD_{phys}, which may lead to a reduction in tidal volume, plateau pressure, and driving pressure. Second, this study included patients with normal respiratory compliance for a short duration of surgery; therefore, there were small differences between the VCV and EF initiated PC-IRV strategies. Further studies that include patients with low respiratory compliance under long-term general anaesthesia and ventilatory strategies that are targeted with *P*aCO₂ and *P*aO₂ but not tidal volume nor plateau pressure are warranted to determine the utility and lung-protective properties of EF-initiated PC-IRV.

Conclusions

There were no differences in the lung-protective properties between the VCV and EF-initiated PC-IRV strategies with a plateau pressure upper limit of 30 cmH₂O. However, VCV increased VD_{phys} and sometimes required permissive hypercapnia to prevent high plateau pressure in patients with a low FVC (% predicted). EF-initiated PC-IRV reduced VD_{phys} and facilitated effective CO₂ elimination; thus, it may be useful for reducing the ventilatory volume that is necessary to maintain normocapnia in low respiratory compliance situations, such as the Trendelenburg position and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum, in patients with a low FVC (% predicted).

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. CONSORT checklist. (DOCX)

S1 File. Japanese protocol submitted to IRB. (DOCX)

S2 File. English protocol. (DOCX)

S1 Data. Dataset. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Go Hirabayashi, Tomio Andoh.

Data curation: Go Hirabayashi, Minami Saito, Sachiko Terayama, Yuki Akihisa, Koichi Maruyama.

Formal analysis: Go Hirabayashi.

Investigation: Go Hirabayashi.

Methodology: Go Hirabayashi, Tomio Andoh.

Project administration: Go Hirabayashi.

Supervision: Tomio Andoh.

Writing - original draft: Go Hirabayashi.

Writing - review & editing: Tomio Andoh.

References

- Lestar M, Gunnarsson L, Lagerstrand L, Wiklund P, Odeberg-Wernerman S. Hemodynamic perturbations during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in 45° Trendelenburg position. Anesth Analg. 2011; 113: 1069–1075. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182075d1f PMID: 21233502
- Kalmar AF, Foubert L, Hendrickx JF, Mottrie A, Absalom A, Mortier EP, et al. Influence of steep Trendelenburg position and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum on cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and respiratory homeostasis during robotic prostatectomy. Br J Anaesth. 2010; 104: 433–439. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bja/aeq018 PMID: 20167583
- Gainsburg DM. Anesthetic concerns for robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Minerva Anestesiol. 2012; 78: 596–604. PMID: 22415437
- Zavala E, Ferrer M, Polese G, Masclans JR, Planas M, Milic-Emili J, et al. Effect of inverse I:E ratio ventilation on pulmonary gas exchange in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiology. 1998; 88: 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1097/0000542-199801000-00008 PMID: 9447853
- Devaquet J, Jonson B, Niklason L, Si Larbi AG, Uttman L, Aboab J, et al. Effects of inspiratory pause on CO₂ elimination and arterial PCO₂ in acute lung injury. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2008; 105: 1944–1949.
- Wang SH, Wei TS. The outcome of early pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation on patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome in surgical intensive care unit. Am J Surg. 2002; 183: 151– 155. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9610(01)00870-4 PMID: 11918879
- Tharratt RS, Allen R, Albertson TE. Pressure controlled inverse ratio ventilation in severe adult respiratory failure. Chest. 1988; 94: 755–762. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.94.4.755 PMID: 3168572
- Abraham E, Yoshihara G. Cardiorespiratory effects of pressure controlled ventilation in severe respiratory failure. Chest. 1990; 98: 1445–1459. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.98.6.1445 PMID: 2123150
- Mercat A, Graïni L, Teboul JL, Lenique F, Richard C. Cardiorespiratory effects of pressure-controlled ventilation with and without inverse ratio in the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Chest. 1993; 104: 871–875. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.104.3.871 PMID: 8365303
- Markström AM, Lichtwarck-Aschoff M, Hedlund AJ, Nordgren KA, Sjöstrand UH. Under open lung conditions inverse ratio ventilation causes intrinsic PEEP and hemodynamic impairment. Ups J Med Sci. 1996; 101: 257–271. https://doi.org/10.3109/03009739609178925 PMID: 9055390
- Chan K, Abraham E. Effects of inverse ratio ventilation on cardiorespiratory parameters in severe respiratory failure. Chest. 1992; 102: 1556–1561. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.102.5.1556 PMID: 1424889
- Tweed WA, Lee TL. Time-cycled inverse ratio ventilation does not improve gas exchange during anaesthesia. Can J Anaesth. 1991; 38: 311–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03007620 PMID: 1903678
- Hirabayashi G, Ogihara Y, Tsukakoshi S, Daimatsu K, Inoue M, Kurahashi K, et al. Effect of pressurecontrolled inverse ratio ventilation on dead space during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A randomised crossover study of three different ventilator modes. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2018; 35: 307–314. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.000000000000732 PMID: 29303905
- Michelet P, D'Journo XB, Roch A, Doddoli C, Marin V, Papazian L, et al. Protective ventilation influences systemic inflammation after esophagectomy: a randomized controlled study. Anesthesiology 2006; 105:911–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/0000542-200611000-00011 PMID: 17065884
- Tweed WA, Tan PL. Pressure controlled-inverse ratio ventilation and pulmonary gas exchange during lower abdominal surgery. Can J Anaesth. 1992; 39: 1036–1040. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03008371</u> PMID: 1464129
- Prella M, Feihl F, Domenighetti G. Effects of short-term pressure-controlled ventilation on gas exchange, airway pressures, and gas distribution in patients with acute lung injury/ARDS: comparison with volume-controlled ventilation. Chest. 2002; 122: 1382–1388. <u>https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.122.4</u>. 1382 PMID: 12377869
- Oğurlu M, Küçük M, Bilgin F, Sizlan A, Yanarateş O, Eksert S, et al. Pressure-controlled vs volume-controlled ventilation during laparoscopic gynecologic surgery. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2010; 17: 295– 300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2009.10.007 PMID: 20303833
- Balick-Weber CC, Nicolas P, Hedreville-Montout M, Blanchet P, Stéphan F. Respiratory and haemodynamic effects of volume-controlled vs pressure-controlled ventilation during laparoscopy: a cross-over study with echocardiographic assessment. Br J Anaesth. 2007; 99: 429–435. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> bja/aem166 PMID: 17626027

- Choi EM, Na S, Choi SH, An J, Rha KH, Oh YJ. Comparison of volume-controlled and pressure-controlled ventilation in steep Trendelenburg position for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. J Clin Anesth. 2011; 23: 183–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2010.08.006 PMID: 21377341
- 20. Kim MS, Soh S, Kim SY, Song MS, Park JH. Comparisons of pressure-controlled ventilation with volume guarantee and volume-controlled 1:1 equal ratio ventilation on oxygenation and respiratory mechanics during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a randomised-controlled trial. Int J Med Sci. 2018; 15: 1522–1529. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.28442 PMID: 30443174
- Cadi P, Guenoun T, Journois D, Chevallier JM, Diehl JL, Safran D. Pressure-controlled ventilation improves oxygenation during laparoscopic obesity surgery compared with volume-controlled ventilation. Br J Anaesth. 2008; 100: 709–716. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen067 PMID: 18407943
- Uttman L, Jonson B. A prolonged postinspiratory pause enhances CO2 elimination by reducing airway dead space. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2003; 23: 252–256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1475-097x.2003</u>. 00498.x PMID: 12950321
- Sturesson LW, Malmkvist G, Allvin S, Collryd M, Bodelsson M, Jonson B. An appropriate inspiratory flow pattern can enhance CO₂ exchange, facilitating protective ventilation of healthy lungs. Br J Anaesth. 2016; 117: 243–249. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aew194 PMID: 27440637