Silibinin Triggers the Mitochondrial Pathway of Apoptosis in Human Oral Squamous Carcinoma Cells

Radhika Murali Iyengar, Ezhilarasan Devaraj*

Abstract

Background: Silibinin, a natural polyphenolic flavonoid present in seed extracts of milk thistle (Silybum marianum). It has been shown to interact with various cancer-related cell signalling pathways in preclinical models, demonstrating promising anticancer effects in vitro and in vivo. **Materials and Methods:** The cytotoxic effect of silibinin was evaluated in human oral squamous carcinoma (SCC-25) cells by MTT assay. The apoptosis-related morphological changes were investigated by AO/EB dual staining. The cytochrome c, caspases-3, and -9, B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2), and B-cell associated X protein (*Bax*) gene expressions were analysed by PCR. **Results:** We have shown that silibinin treatment for 24 h in SCC-25 cells induced cytotoxicity in a concentration-dependent manner. The cytotoxic potential was due to the induction of apoptosis via the release of mitochondrial cytochrome c into the cytosol and subsequent activation of caspases-3 and -9. Dual staining assay was further confirmed the induction of early apoptosis upon silibinin treatment. **Conclusion:** The results from this study show that silibinin can be considered as a promising drug candidate for the treatment of oral squamous cell carcinoma.

Keywords: Silybum marianum- Cytochrome c- Caspases- Cytotoxicity- Apoptosis

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 21 (7), 1877-1882

Introduction

Oral cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and a recent report pointed out that oral cancer is responsible for about 22% of cancer deaths worldwide. Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) accounts for 90% of all oral cancers (Attar et al., 2010). In India, oral cancer ranks in the top three of all cancers, which accounts for over 30% of all cancers reported in the country (Coelho, 2012). Tobacco use, including smokeless tobacco and excessive consumption of alcohol, is considered as the most important risk factors implicated in oral cancer-related death (Ezhilarasan et al., 2019). It has been reported that OSCC is a common malignant tumor of the head and neck and recurrence is an important prognostic factor in patients with OSCC (Wang et al., 2013). Among treatment modalities, surgery is the preferred treatment of OSCC. Despite great progress in chemo/radiotherapy, and targeted therapy, the prognosis of OSCC is poor due to aggressive local invasion and metastasis and recurrence. Thus, OSCC is still a challenging disease to treat (Li et al., 2015) and therefore, in view of the above scenario, there is an urgent need to develop a therapeutic drug candidate which target OSCC.

Silymarin is a standardized hepatoprotective agent

extracted and isolated from the medicinal plant silvbum marianum (Milk thistle) (Ezhilarasan et al., 2014; Ezhilarasan, 2018). This extract contains well-defined ingredients including silibinin. Silibinin (SBN), a flavonolignane which represents approximately 60% of silymarin, has been identified as the major active agent (Šimánek et al., 2000; Ezhilarasan et al., 2016). In liver context, several studies have reported the anti-hepatoprotective effect of SBN against various drug and chemical-induced hepatotoxicity in experimental animals (Haddad et al., 2011; Ezhilarasan et al., 2012; Raghu et al., 2015; Ali et al., 2016; Ezhilarasan and Karthikeyan, 2016). However, ample evidence also suggests that SBN is not exclusively confined in the treatment of liver diseases, may function diversely and serve as a novel therapeutic drug candidate against liver, lung, prostate, colon, breast and, bladder cancers by regulating cancer cells growth, proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis and many other molecular mechanisms (Mateen et al., 2012; Gándara et al., 2014; Kil et al., 2014; Gu et al., 2015; Ezhilarasan et al., 2017). Silibinin has also been shown beneficial in clinical trials involving patients with prostate, breast and, liver cancers (Flaig et al., 2010; Bosch-Barrera et al., 2014). Recent studies have suggested that SBN is highly effective in combination

Department of Pharmacology, Biomedical Research Unit and Laboratory Animal Research Centre, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. *For Correspondence: ezhild@gmail.com

Murali Iyengar Radhika and Devaraj Ezhilarasan

with other drugs or compounds and can be used in the future treatment of cancer (Gu et al., 2015; Tsai et al., 2015). SBN has been demonstrated to induce apoptosis in various cancer cell types in vitro other than OSCC and in vivo by targeting multiple factors and influencing multiple signalling pathways. Hence, this study has been conducted to explore the anticancer efficacy of SBN against oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line (SCC-25) in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Silibinin, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium Bromide (MTT), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. India. The other chemicals used in this study were purchased locally and were of analar grade.

Cell culture

The SCC-25 human oral squamous carcinoma cell line was procured from ATCC. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Minimum Essential Media and Ham's F-12 (1:1 ratio) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 units/ml) and streptomycin (100 μ g/ml). Cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Cells were grown in 75 cm² culture flasks and after a few passages, the cells were seeded for experiments. The experiments were done at 70 to 80% confluence. Upon reaching confluence, cells were detached using 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA solution.

Cell treatment

Silibinin was dissolved in 0.1% DMSO (v/v). SCC-25 cells were plated at 10,000 cells/cm². After 24 h, cells were fed with fresh expansion culture medium supplemented with different final concentrations of SBN (50 and 100 μ M) or the corresponding volumes of the vehicle. The maximum concentration range employed in this study was fixed at 100 μ M based on previously published literatures (Jiang et al., 2015; Tsai et al., 2015). At the end of the treatment period, cells were collected by trypsin application. The total cell number was determined by counting each sample in triplicate under the inverted microscope.

MTT assay

Cytotoxic effect of SBN on SCC-25 cells was assessed by MTT assay (Safadi et al., 2003). Cells were seeded in 96-well plate at a concentration of 5×10^4 cells/well. After 24 h, cells were fed with fresh expansion culture medium supplemented with different final concentrations of SBN (50 and 100 µM) and incubated for 24 h. Untreated cells served as control and vehicle control received only 0.1% DMSO. At the end of the treatment period, media from control, SBN treated cells were discarded and 50 µl of MTT (5 mg/ml of phosphate-buffer saline (PBS)) was added to each well. Cells were then incubated for 4 h at 37°C in CO₂ incubator. MTT was then discarded and the coloured crystals of produced formazan were dissolved in 150 µl of DMSO and mixed effectively. The purpleblue formazan dye formed was measured using an ELISA

reader (BIORAD) at 570 nm.

Acridine orange/ethidium bromide (AO/EB) Dual staining

Acridine orange/ethidium bromide orange staining was carried out by a standard method (Gohel et al., 1999). SCC-25 cells were plated at a density of 1×10^4 in 48-well plates. They were allowed to grow until they are 70-80% confluent. After 24 h, the cells were treated with different concentrations of SBN. The culture medium was aspirated from each well and cells were gently rinsed twice with PBS at room temperature. Then equal volumes of cells from control and SBN treated were mixed with 100 µl of dye mixture (1:1) of ethidium bromide and acridine orange and viewed immediately under Nikon inverted fluorescence microscope (Ti series, Tokyo, Japan) at 10x magnification. A minimum of 300 cells was counted in each sample in two different fields. The percentage of apoptotic cells was determined by [% of apoptotic cells = (total number of apoptotic cells/total number of cells counted) ×100].

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted by trizol reagent according to the standard protocol. The concentration of the extracted RNA was determined and the integrity of RNA was visualized on a 1% agarose gel using a gel documentation system (BioRad, Hercules, CA). The first strand of cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA by reverse transcriptase using M-MLV (Promega, Madison, WI) and oligo (dT) primers (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then, 2 µl of template cDNA was added to the final volume of 20 μl of the reaction mixture. RT-PCR cycle parameters included 10 min at 95° C followed by 40 cycles involving denaturation at 95° C for 15 sec, annealing at 60° C for 20 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 20 sec. The sequences of the specific sets of primer for Bax, Bcl-2, cytochrome c, caspase-3, caspase-9 and GAPDH used in this study were taken from literatures. Expressions of selected genes were normalized to the GAPDH gene, which was used as an internal housekeeping control. All the RT-PCR experiments were performed in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean \pm S.E.M and analysed by Tukey's test to determine the significance of differences between groups. A P value 0.01 or/and 0.001 was considered to be significant.

Results

Antiproliferative effect of SBN against human SCC-25 oral carcinoma cells

We assessed the effect of SBN on the viability of SCC-25 cells. The cells were exposed to various concentrations of SBN for 24 h, and the cell viability was measured by MTT assay. Initially, we evaluated the cytotoxicity of SBN in logarithmic concentrations (1.56, 3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ M/ml) on SCC-25 cells. In this study, SBN caused a marked increase in cell death in a concentration-dependent manner. At the end of 24 h, maximum inhibition (73.42%) of cell growth was found



Figure 1. (A), Cytotoxic effect of SBN was analyzed by MTT assay. SCC-25 cells were treated with different concentrations of SBN for 24 h. Values are expressed as mean \pm S.E.M. (n=3) *P < 0.001 vs control; (B), Morphology of control and SBN treated SCC-25 cells.

at a maximum concentration (100 μ M/ml) used in this study when compared to control. Further experiments were carried out with 50 and 100 μ M/ml (Figure 1A). The DMSO treated cells did not produce any significant change in the viability of SCC-25 cells. The morphology of cells treated with SBN is presented in Figure 1B.

AO/EB double-staining by fluorescent microscopy

Dual AO/EB fluorescent staining can detect basic morphological changes in apoptotic cells. In addition, it allows for the distinction between normal cells, early and late apoptotic cells, and necrotic cells. In this study, the negative control group (normal cells) and DMSO treated vehicle control group cells exhibit with the circular nucleus uniformly distributed in the center of the cell. While in the experimental groups, 50 μ M/ml of SBN treatment caused early apoptosis and the cells were visualized as yellowish-green by AO nuclear staining. In higher concentration i.e., 100 μ M/ml, SBN induced late apoptotic or cell death as evidenced by EB positive red-colored cells (Figure 2A). The percentage of apoptotic nuclei were increased (p<0.001) dose-dependently after SBN treatments in SCC-25 cells as compared to control (Figure 2B).

Apoptotic marker gene expressions

To further confirm the apoptosis-inducing potentials of SBN at molecular level, we evaluated the gene expression analysis of pro and anti-apoptotic genes (*bax* and *bcl-2*), *cytochrome c*, *caspase 3* and *9*. SBN treatments induced *caspases 3* and *9*, *bax* and *cytochrome c* gene expressions in dose-dependent manner as compared to control and DMSO treated cells. Further, SBN treatments also caused a significant downregulation of bcl-2 (an inhibitor of



Figure 2. Apoptosis Related Morphological Changes (A) Acridine Orange/Ethidium Bromide Staining. White and yellow arrows indicating early and late apoptotic cells respectively (B) Quantification of apoptotic cell percentage. Values are expressed as mean \pm S.E.M. (n=3). *** p < 0.001 vs control.

Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 21 1879



Figure 3. (A), Silibinin effect on the apoptotic marker genes expression; (B), Quantification of gene expression. Values are expressed as mean \pm S.E.M (n=3). Comparisons were done by Bonferroni's post-hoc comparison test. GAPDH used as an internal control for optimization. * p < 0.05; *** p < 0.001 vs control.

apoptosis) expression. All the observed effects were more prominent with high concentration of SBN used in this study i.e., 100μ M/ml (Figure 3A, B). In all cases, GAPDH used as an internal control for normalization.

Discussion

Silibinin has been widely used for the treatment of various hepatic ailments over 30 years (Feng et al., 2016; Ezhilarasan, 2018). In the liver context, SBN showed promising effect against hepatocellular carcinoma (Zhang

et al., 2013; Li and Wang, 2016). SBN has prominent anticancer effects against lung, prostatic, colon, breast, bladder and liver cancer cell lines (Ezhilarasan, 2018; Mateen et al., 2012; Gándara et al., 2014; Kil et al., 2014; Gu et al., 2015). Although these studies imply that SBN has inhibitory effects on various cell lines and its influence on human oral squamous carcinoma cells (SCC-25) remains unclear. In the present study, SBN treatment caused cytotoxicity (73.42%) with maximum concentration used in this study (100 μ M). In previous studies, SBN has been demonstrated for its



Figure 4. Possible Mechanism of Silibinin-Induced Apoptosis in Human Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma SCC-25 Cell Lines

antiproliferative effect against various cancer cell lines (Hagelgans et al., 2014; Bosch-Barrera and Menendez, 2015; Shukla et al., 2015; Jahanafrooz et al., 2016). In light of the above studies, the present result suggests that SBN can inhibit proliferation by inducing cytotoxicity regardless of cancer cell line and our current results are consistent with previous results. To further delineate the exact reason for the cytotoxic effect, we investigated the pro-apoptotic potentials of SBN in SCC-25 cells.

Dual AO/EB fluorescent staining, visualized under a fluorescent microscope, can be used to identify apoptosis-associated changes of cell membranes during the process of apoptosis (Gherghi et al., 2003). This method can also accurately distinguish cells in different stages of apoptosis and hence commonly employed to detect apoptosis (Baskić et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2015). In this study, yellowish-green stained cells found upon SBN treatment indicate that SBN at low concentration can induce early apoptosis in SCC-25 cells. However, at higher concentration, SBN caused late apoptosis because EB staining only enters the cell with damaged membranes, such as late apoptotic and dead cells, emitting orange-red fluorescence when bound to concentrated DNA fragments or apoptotic bodies (Ribble et al., 2005). To further confirm mechanistically we evaluated the molecular parameters responsible for apoptosis induction.

Apoptosis is an orchestrated molecular event and plays a key role in the pathogenesis of various cancer. Hence, the induction of apoptosis is one of the underlying principles of most current cancer therapies (Shebi et al., 2019; Westhoff et al., 2016). The tumor cells may undergo several molecular mechanisms to suppress apoptosis and acquire resistance to apoptotic agents by the expression of an anti-apoptotic gene such as bcl-2 or by the downregulation of pro-apoptotic gene such as bax (Hassan et al., 2014). The bcl-2 is compartmentalized in an outer membrane of mitochondria, where it plays an important role in promoting cell survival and inhibiting the actions of pro-apoptotic proteins. These pro-apoptotic proteins (bax) are in turn inhibited by the function of bcl-2 (Hardwick and Soane, 2013). In view of the above reports, it is clear that induction of pro-apoptotic (bax) and inhibition anti-apoptotic (bcl-2) gene expression can promote apoptosis in cancer cells and hence it is suggested as one of the therapeutic strategies in cancer treatment. In this study, SBN treatment caused a significant up regulation of pro-apoptotic bax gene expression and was well correlated with the downregulation of anti-apoptotic bcl-2 expression and our current results are in agreement with the above reports.

Mitochondria is one of the key regulators of apoptosis, have shown to be involved in integrating different pro-apoptotic pathways via the release of cytochrome c into the cytosol (Ott et al., 2002). The pro-apoptotic gene in the *bcl-2* family is bax and it normally acts on the mitochondrial membrane to promote permeabilization and release of cytochrome c that is one of the important signals in the apoptosis cascade (Hardwick and Soane, 2013). The released cytochrome c is complexed with Apaf-1 and pro-caspase 9 in the cytosol forms apoptosome the release of activated caspases 9 and

DOI:10.31557/APJCP.2020.21.7.1877 Silibinin Induces Apoptosis in SCC-25 Cells

3 further initiates the activation of caspase cascade leading to biochemical and morphological changes associated with apoptosis (Gheena and Ezhilarasan, 2019). These caspases are activated in response to a variety of cell death stimuli (Parrish et al., 2013; McIlwain et al., 2015). The present study shows that significant increase in cytochrome c gene expression upon SBN treatment in SCC-25 cells and this in turn responsible for the up regulation of caspases 9 and 3 which are downstream target of cytochrome c, therefore, release of cytochrome c from mitochondria is considered a key initial step in the apoptotic process (Figure 4). In light of these reports, it is suggested that the SBN treatment to SCC-25 cells could have caused the cytochrome c release in the cytoplasm due to the activation of pro-apoptotic gene bax that results in apoptosis via activation of caspase 9 and 3 cascade.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates the cytotoxic effect of SBN on SCC-25 cells and it was mainly due to the induction of apoptosis by triggering the mitochondrial pathway (activation of cytochrome c, caspases 9 and 3). Our molecular studies are well corroborated with dual staining. Hence, SBN may be considered as a potential drug candidate for treating oral squamous carcinoma.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences for financial support.

Conflicts of interest None to declare.

References

- Ali SO, Darwish HA, Ismail NA (2016). Curcumin, silybin phytosome(®) and α-R-Lipoic Acid mitigate chronic hepatitis in Rat by inhibiting oxidative stress and inflammatory cytokines production. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*, **118**, 369-80.
- Baskić D, Popović S, Ristić P, Arsenijević NN (2006). Analysis of cycloheximide-induced apoptosis in human leukocytes: fluorescence microscopy using annexin V/propidium iodide versus acridin orange/ethidium bromide. *Cell Biol Int*, **30**, 924-32.
- Bosch-Barrera J, Menendez JA (2015). Silibinin and STAT3: A natural way of targeting transcription factors for cancer therapy. *Cancer Treat Rev*, **41**, 540-6.
- Coelho KR (2012). Challenges of the oral cancer burden in India. *J Cancer Epidemiol*, **2012**, 701932.
- Ezhilarasan D (2018). Herbal Therapy for Cancer. In understanding cancer therapies Ed Timiri Shanmugam P. CRC Press, Boca Raton pp129-166.
- Ezhilarasan D, Evraerts J, Brice S, et al (2016). Silibinin inhibits proliferation and migration of human hepatic stellate LX-2 cells. *J Clin Exp Hepatol*, **6**, 167-74.
- Ezhilarasan D, Evraerts J, Sid B, et al (2017). Silibinin induces hepatic stellate cell cycle arrest via enhancing p53/p27 and inhibiting Akt downstream signaling protein expression. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int*, **16**, 80-7.
- Ezhilarasan D, Karthikeyan S, Vivekanandan P (2012). Ameliorative effect of silibinin against

Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 21 1881

Murali Iyengar Radhika and Devaraj Ezhilarasan

N-nitrosodimethylamine-induced hepatic fibrosis in rats. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*, **34**, 1004-13.

- Ezhilarasan D, Apoorva VS, Ashok Vardhan N (2019) Syzygium cumini extract induced reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma cells. *J Oral Pathol Med*, **48**, 115–121.
- Ezhilarasan D, Karthikeyan S (2016). Silibinin alleviates N-nitrosodimethylamine-induced glutathione dysregulation and hepatotoxicity in rats. *Chin J Nat Med*, **14**, 40-7.
- Ezhilarasan D, Sokal E, Karthikeyan S, Najimi M (2014). Plant derived antioxidants and antifibrotic drugs: Past, Present and Future. *J Coast Life Med*, **2**, 738-45.
- Feng B, Meng R, Huang B, et al (2016). Silymarin alleviates hepatic oxidative stress and protects against metabolic disorders in high-fat diet-fed mice. *Free Radic Res*, **50**, 314-27.
- Flaig TW, Glodé M, Gustafson D, et al (2010). A study of high-dose oral silybin-phytosome followed by prostatectomy in patients with localized prostate cancer. *Prostate*, **70**, 848-55.
- Gándara L, Sandes E, Di Venosa G, et al (2014). The natural flavonoid silybin improves the response to Photodynamic Therapy of bladder cancer cells. *J Photochem Photobiol B*, 133, 55-64.
- Gherghi ICh, Girousi ST, Voulgaropoulos AN, Tzimou-Tsitouridou R (2003). Study of interactions between DNA-ethidium bromide (EB) and DNA-acridine orange (AO), in solution, using hanging mercury drop electrode (HMDE). *Talanta*, **61**, 103-12.
- Gohel A, McCarthy MB, Gronowicz G (1999). Estrogen prevents glucocorticoid-induced apoptosis in osteoblasts in vivo and in vitro. *Endocrinology*, **140**, 5339-47.
- Gheena S, Ezhilarasan D (2019). Syringic acid triggers reactive oxygen species-mediated cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells. *Hum Exp Toxicol*, **38**, 694-702.
- Gu HR, Park SC, Choi SJ, et al (2015). Combined treatment with silibinin and either sorafenib or gefitinib enhances their growth-inhibiting effects in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Clin Mol Hepatol*, **21**, 49-59.
- Haddad Y, Vallerand D, Brault A, Haddad PS (2011). Antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects of silibinin in a rat model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*, **2011**, nep164.
- Hagelgans A, Nacke B, Zamaraeva M, Siegert G, Menschikowski M (2014). Silibinin down-regulates expression of secreted phospholipase A2 enzymes in cancer cells. *Anticancer Res*, 34, 1723-9.
- Hardwick JM, Soane L (2013). Multiple functions of BCL-2 family proteins. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 5, pii: a008722.
- Hassan M, Watari H, AbuAlmaaty A, Ohba Y, Sakuragi N (2014). Apoptosis and molecular targeting therapy in cancer. *Biomed Res Int*, **2014**, 150845.
- Jahanafrooz Z, Motameh N, Bakhshandeh B (2016). Comparative evaluation of silibinin effects on cell cycling and apoptosis in human breast cancer MCF-7 and T47D cell lines. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **17**, 2661-5.
- Jiang K, Wang W, Jin X, et al (2015). Silibinin, a natural flavonoid, induces autophagy via ROS-dependent mitochondrial dysfunction and loss of ATP involving BNIP3 in human MCF7 breast cancer cells. Oncol Rep, 33, 2711-8.
- Kil WH, Kim SM, Lee JE, Park KS, Nam SJ (2014). Anticancer effect of silibinin on the xenograft model using MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells. *Ann Surg Treat Res*, 87, 167-73.
- Li P, Xiao LY, Tan H (2015). Muc-1 promotes migration and invasion of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells via PI3K-Akt

signaling. Int J Clin Exp Pathol, 8, 10365-74.

- Li WG, Wang HQ (2016). Inhibitory effects of Silibinin combined with doxorubicin in hepatocellular carcinoma; an in vivo study. *J BUON*, **21**, 917-24.
- Liu K, Liu PC, Liu R, Wu X (2015). Dual AO/EB staining to detect apoptosis in osteosarcoma cells compared with flow cytometry. *Med Sci Monit Basic Res*, 21, 15-20.
- Mateen S, Raina K, Jain AK, et al (2012). Epigenetic modifications and p21-cyclin B1 nexus in anticancer effect of histone deacetylase inhibitors in combination with silibinin on non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Epigenetics*, 7, 1161-72.
- McIlwain DR, Berger T, Mak TW (2015). Caspase functions in cell death and disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 7, pii: a026716.
- O'Neill VJ, Twelves CJ (2002). Oral cancer treatment: developments in chemotherapy and beyond. *Br J Cancer*, **87**, 933-37.
- Ott M, Robertson JD, Gogvadze V, Zhivotovsky B, Orrenius S (2002). Cytochrome c release from mitochondria proceeds by a two-step process. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **99**, 1259-63.
- Parrish AB, Freel CD, Kornbluth S (2013). Cellular mechanisms controlling caspase activation and function. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 5, pii: a008672.
- Raghu R, Jesudas B, Bhavani G, Ezhilarasan D, Karthikeyan S (2015). Silibinin mitigates zidovudine-induced hepatocellular degenerative changes, oxidative stress and hyperlipidaemia in rats. *Hum Exp Toxicol*, **34**, 1031-42.
- Raina K, Kumar S, Dhar D, Agarwal R (2016). Silibinin and colorectal cancer chemoprevention: a comprehensive review on mechanisms and efficacy. *J Biomed Res*, **30**, 452-65.
- Ribble D, Goldstein NB, Norris DA, Shellman YG (2005). A simple technique for quantifying apoptosis in 96-well plates. *BMC Biotechnol*, **5**, 12.
- Safadi FF, Xu J, Smock SL, et al (2003). Expression of connective tissue growth factor in bone: its role in osteoblast proliferation and differentiation in vitro and bone formation in vivo. J Cell Physiol, 196, 51-62.
- Shebi S, Ezhilarasan D, Thomas J, Chandrasekaran N, Mukherjee A (2019). Gracilaria foliifera (Forssk.) Børgesen ethanolic extract triggers apoptosis via activation of p53 expression in HepG2 cells. *Phcog Mag*, **15**, 259-63.
- Shukla SK, Dasgupta A, Mehla K, et al (2015). Silibinin-mediated metabolic reprogramming attenuates pancreatic cancer-induced cachexia and tumor growth. *Oncotarget*, 6, 41146-61.
- Šimánek V, Křen V, Ulrichová J, Vicar J, Cvak L (2000). Silymarin: What is the name...? An appeal for thechange of editorial policy. *Hepatology*, **32**, 442-3.
- Tsai CC, Chuang TW, Chen LJ, et al (2015). Increase in apoptosis by combination of metformin with silibinin in human colorectal cancer cells. *World J Gastroenterol*, **21**, 4169-77.
- Wang B, Zhang S, Yue K, Wang XD (2013). The recurrence and survival of oral squamous cell carcinoma: a report of 275 cases. *Chin J Cancer*, **32**, 614-18.
- Westhoff MA, Marschall N, Debatin KM (2016). Novel approaches to apoptosis-inducing therapies. *Adv Exp Med Biol*, **930**, 173-204.
- Zhang S, Yang Y, Liang Z, et al (2013). Silybin-mediated inhibition of Notch signalling exerts antitumor activity in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *PLoS One*, **8**, e83699.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.