



## Initiation of Chromosomal Replication in Predatory Bacterium *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*

Łukasz Makowski<sup>1</sup>, Rafał Donczew<sup>1†</sup>, Christoph Weigel<sup>2</sup>, Anna Zawilak-Pawlik<sup>1</sup> and Jolanta Zakrzewska-Czerwińska<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, Hirszfeld Institute of Immunology and Experimental Therapy – Polish Academy of Sciences, Wrocław, Poland, <sup>2</sup> HTW, Department of Life Science Engineering, Berlin, Germany, <sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular Microbiology, Faculty of Biotechnology, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus is a small Gram-negative predatory bacterium that attacks other Gram-negative bacteria, including many animal, human, and plant pathogens. This bacterium exhibits a peculiar biphasic life cycle during which two different types of cells are produced: non-replicating highly motile cells (the free-living phase) and replicating cells (the intracellular-growth phase). The process of chromosomal replication in B. bacteriovorus must therefore be temporally and spatially regulated to ensure that it is coordinated with cell differentiation and cell cycle progression. Recently, B. bacteriovorus has received considerable research interest due to its intriguing life cycle and great potential as a prospective antimicrobial agent. Although, we know that chromosomal replication in bacteria is mainly regulated at the initiation step, no data exists about this process in B. bacteriovorus. We report the first characterization of key elements of initiation of chromosomal replication - DnaA protein and oriC region from the predatory bacterium, B. bacteriovorus. In vitro studies using different approaches demonstrate that the B. bacteriovorus oriC (BdoriC) is specifically bound and unwound by the DnaA protein. Sequence comparison of the DnaAbinding sites enabled us to propose a consensus sequence for the B. bacteriovorus DnaA box [5'-NN(A/T)TCCACA-3']. Surprisingly, in vitro analysis revealed that BdoriC is also bound and unwound by the host DnaA proteins (relatively distantly related from B. bacteriovorus). We compared the architecture of the DnaA-oriC complexes (orisomes) in homologous (oriC and DnaA from B. bacteriovorus) and heterologous (BdoriC and DnaA from prey, Escherichia coli or Pseudomonas aeruginosa) systems. This work provides important new entry points toward improving our understanding of the initiation of chromosomal replication in this predatory bacterium.

Keywords: oriC, DnaA, initiation of chromosome replication, Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas putida

#### INTRODUCTION

*Bdellovibrio* are small intriguing Gram-negative predatory bacteria that enter and kill other Gramnegative bacteria, including many pathogens, such as *Campylobacter*, *Helicobacter* (Markelova, 2010), *Escherichia* (Varon and Shilo, 1968), *Pseudomonas, Salmonella* (Iebba et al., 2014), *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, and *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (a member of oral microbial communities) (Loozen et al., 2015). The widespread species of this genus is *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*, which inhabits a wide range of environments, including fresh water, sewage, soil, and even mammalian intestines (Rendulic et al., 2004). *B. bacteriovorus* is a small bacterium

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Martin G. Klotz, Queens College of the City University of New York, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Laura Williams, Providence College, USA Mark Owen Martin, University of Puget Sound, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

Jolanta Zakrzewska-Czerwińska jolanta.zakrzewska@uni.wroc.pl

#### <sup>†</sup>Present address:

Rafał Donczew, Division of Basic Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Physiology and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 08 August 2016 Accepted: 11 November 2016 Published: 28 November 2016

#### Citation:

Makowski Ł, Donczew R, Weigel C, Zawilak-Pawlik A and Zakrzewska-Czerwińska J (2016) Initiation of Chromosomal Replication in Predatory Bacterium Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus. Front. Microbiol. 7:1898. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01898

1

 $(0.2-0.5\,\mu m$  wide and  $0.5-2.5\,\mu m$  long) that possesses a relatively large 3.85-Mb genome that encodes many predation-associated proteins, such as proteases, peptidases, and other hydrolytic enzymes.

Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus exhibits a biphasic lifecycle consisting of a free-living non-replicative attack phase and an intracellular growth phase (Sockett, 2009). In the free-living phase, this highly motile bacterium searches for its prey; after attaching to the prey's outer membrane, it passes through the peptidoglycan layer into the periplasm and begins its intracellular growth phase (Lambert et al., 2008). Inside the periplasm, B. bacteriovorus degrades the host's macromolecules using different types of hydrolytic enzymes, allowing it to grow and replicate its chromosome (Rendulic et al., 2004). This chromosomal replication is not followed by cell division, but instead leads to the formation of a multinucleoid elongated filamentous. When the resources of the host cell are exhausted, the elongated filament synchronously septates to form usually three to six B. bacteriovorus progeny cells (Fenton et al., 2010). These progeny cells become motile, and then are released into the environment through lysis of the host cell. Interestingly, B. bacteriovorus can also enter (albeit rarely and only in the presence of abundant amino acids and cofactors) into a replicative host-independent phase (Seidler and Starr, 1969). B. bacteriovorus has received considerable recent research interest, owing to its intriguing life cycle and its great potential to be applied as an antimicrobial agent in industry, agriculture, and/or medicine. To fully utilize B. bacteriovorus in any of these roles, however, we must better understand the cell biology of this pathogen at the molecular level.

Chromosomal replication, which is a key event in the bacterial life cycle, is mainly controlled at the initiation step (Zakrzewska-Czerwińska et al., 2007). In *B. bacteriovorus*, as in other bacteria, the initiation of chromosomal replication is strictly regulated and adjusted with respect to its cell cycle. Replication must be initiated after *B. bacteriovorus* enters the prey, and it must cease before bdelloplast septation to ensure that each cell receives a single copy of the chromosome. However, even the key elements of replication initiation have not yet been identified for *B. bacteriovorus*.

In bacteria, replication begins at a single chromosome site called the origin of replication (oriC). The process is initiated through the cooperative binding of the initiator protein, DnaA, to specific 9-mer sequences (called DnaA boxes) within the oriC region. This causes the DNA strands to separate at the AT-rich DNA unwinding element (DUE), allowing the entry of helicase and, later, other enzymes required for DNA synthesis (e.g., primase and DNA Pol III). Bacterial origins, which may be a continuous unit or divided in two parts (bipartite oriC), range in length from -200 to 1000 bp or longer (when they are split). They can differ in various characteristics, including the numbers, orientations, and sequences of their DnaA boxes, and the localizations and sequences of the AT-rich regions and other motifs, including those recognized by regulatory proteins. The various modules (e.g., DnaA boxes, the DUE, etc.) constitute the central management system responsible for forming the functional initiation complex (orisome) and/or

regulating the assembly of this complex (Leonard and Grimwade, 2015; Wolański et al., 2015).

Here, we report the first characterization of DnaA and *oriC* from the predatory bacterium, *B. bacteriovorus*. We demonstrate that the *B. bacteriovorus oriC* (BdoriC) is specifically bound and unwound not only by its own DnaA, but surprisingly also by the host's DnaA proteins.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

The wild-type *B. bacteriovorus* strain HD100 (Rendulic et al., 2004) and the axenic *B. bacteriovorus* strain HI (Roschanski et al., 2011) were used in this study. *B. bacteriovorus* HD100 was grown at 30°C by predation on *Escherichia coli* S-17 in HEPES buffer (25 mM HEPES, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 7.8) and 200 rpm, or on double-layer plates [bottom layer – YPSC medium (0.1% Yeast Extract, 0.1% Pepton, 0.05% Sodium Acetate, 0.025% Magnesium Sulfate; pH 7.6] with 1% agar, top layer – YPSC with 0.6% agar and supplemented with *E. coli* S-17 liquid culture, both layers were supplemented with 0.025% CaCl<sub>2</sub> after autoclaving). *E. coli* was grown in LB medium (liquid or agar) at 37°C. *B. bacteriovorus* HI was grown in PYE medium (1% Bacto Peptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 7.6) at 30°C and 200 rpm.

#### In silico Origin Prediction

The oriC-type replication origins in the genomes of B. bacteriovorus HD100 [GenBank entry BX842601.2], B. bacteriovorus str. Tiberius [GenBank entry CP002930.1], Bdellovibrio exovorus JSS [GenBank entry CP003537.1], and Halobacteriovorax marinus SJ [GenBank entry FQ312005.1] were predicted using the following stepwise procedure: (1) The annotation of the *dnaA* gene in the genome was validated by TBLASTN (version 2.2.30) (Shiryev et al., 2007) using the DnaA sequence of E. coli K-12 MG1655 [GenBank entry AAC76725.1] as a query. (2) The approximate genomic location of *oriC* was roughly determined based on the inflection point (minimum) of the genome's cumulative GC-skew, which was obtained from the Comparative Genometrics website (Roten et al., 2002) or the GenSkew webserver<sup>1</sup> with the following parameter settings: Nucleotide1: G, Nucleotide2: C, Windowsize: 500, Stepsize: 100. (3) WebSIDD (Bi and Benham, 2004)<sup>2</sup> was used under default settings (37°C, 0.1 M salt, circular DNA, copolymeric) and negative superhelicity values in the range of  $\sigma = -0.04$  (low) to  $\sigma = -0.06$  (high) in increments of 0.005, in order to identify putative DUE(s) (Kowalski and Eddy, 1989) in intergenic regions near  $(\pm 10 \text{ kb})$  of the GC-skew inflection point (minimum). (4) DnaA boxes were assigned manually using the E. coli consensus, 5'-TTWTNCACA (Schaper and Messer, 1995), and allowing for three mismatches. (5) A prediction was considered significant if a DnaA box could be assigned to a position of approximately two helical turns distant from the border of a strong DUE. Prediction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://genskew.csb.univie.ac.at/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://benham.genomecenter.ucdavis.edu/sibz/

output data were obtained as raw text files and further processed with Microsoft Excel v97SR-1 and Corel Draw v.11.

#### **DnaA Purification**

The *B. bacteriovorus dnaA* gene was PCR amplified from chromosomal DNA with primers P-1 and P-2 (Supplementary Table S1), cut with BamHI and XhoI and then cloned into the pET28a(+) expression vector linearized with the same restriction enzymes. The 6HisBdDnaA protein was produced in *E. coli* BL21 containing pET28a(+)*BddnaA*. When the culture reached an  $OD_{600} = 1.9$ , fusion protein synthesis was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG, after which cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C. The bacteria were harvested by centrifugation (10 min, 5000 *g*, 4°C) and the bacterial pellets were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The purification of 6HisBdDnaA was performed as described previously (Zawilak-Pawlik et al., 2006), except that LG<sub>100</sub> buffer (45 mM HEPES/KOH, pH 7.6, 100 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM DTT and 20% sucrose) was used in place of the LG<sub>200</sub> buffer.

## Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

The interactions of the DnaA protein with DNA were analyzed as previously described (Zawilak et al., 2001; Donczew et al., 2015) with minor modifications. The IRD-700-labeled BdoriC fragment (12 fmol, 623 bp, PCR amplified using primers P-5/P-4 and the pOCBdoriC plasmid as the template) and an IRD-700labeled control DNA fragment (12 fmol, 620 bp, PCR-amplified using primers P-5/P-14 and pOCBd2045 as the template) were incubated with recombinant DnaA proteins of B. bacteriovorus (BdDnaA), E. coli (EcDnaA), and Pseudomonas putida (PpDnaA) at 30°C for 20 min in Marians' binding buffer [20 mM HEPES/KOH, pH 8.0, 5 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 4 mM DTT, 0.2% Triton X-100, 100  $\mu$ M ATP, and 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> bovine serum albumin (BSA)]. The reaction was carried out in the presence of a non-specific competitor [poly(dA-dC)•poly(dGdT), 50 ng; Sigma, P0307]. The formed complexes were chilled on ice for 2 min and separated by electrophoresis (5 V/cm) on 4% polyacrylamide gels in 0.5× TBE (89 mM Tris, 89 mM borate, 1 mM EDTA) at 20°C. The gels were analyzed using an Odyssey CLx Infrared Imaging System and the Image Studio software (Li-Core Biosciences).

## Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)

For surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis, a 652-bp Bd*oriC* fragment was PCR amplified with biotinylated primer P-6 and non-biotinylated primer P-3, and immobilized on the chip surface (Sensor Chip SA) in a BIAcore T200 apparatus. Approximately, 100 response units (RUs) of DNA were immobilized. A non-DnaA-box DNA fragment (649 bp, PCR amplified using primers P-6 and P-13) was used as a negative control. Measurements were performed in HKM buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 100 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM magnesium acetate, 0.005% Tween 20) (Pei et al., 2007) in the presence of the DNA competitor, poly(dA-dC)•poly(dG-dT) (final concentration, 50  $\mu$ g/ml) at a continuous flow rate

of 15  $\mu$ l min<sup>-1</sup>. At the end of each cycle (180 s association followed by 90 s dissociation), the bound proteins were removed by washing with 0.05% (w/v) SDS for 20 s, and the flow channels were equilibrated with HKM buffer until the baseline was stable. The data were analyzed using the BIA evaluation 3.0 software program.

## P1 Nuclease Assay

The P1 nuclease assay was performed as previously described (Donczew et al., 2012). The pOC*BdoriC* plasmid (112 pmol) was incubated with DnaA proteins (0, 17.5, 35, 70, and 140 pmol from *B. bacteriovorus, E. coli,* or *P. putida*), and the presence of unwound DNA was examined by P1 treatment followed by digestion with SspI. The digestion products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel using a Molecular Imager<sup>®</sup> Gel Doc<sup>TM</sup> XR+ System and the Image Lab Software (Bio-Rad).

#### oriC Activity

The *E. coli* strains, WM1785 and its *polA* derivative, WM1838 (*polA*<sup>-</sup>, *fadA*::Tnl0), were used as host strains in the *ori* assay (Woelker and Messer, 1993). Chemically competent WM1785 and WM1838 cells were heat-shock-transformed using 50 ng of the appropriate plasmid (pBR322, pOC170, pBR322*BdoriC*, or pOC*BdoriC* $\Delta$ *ori*). The transformed cells were then cultivated on agar plates with tetracycline (12.5 µg/ml; for pBR322 and pBR322*BdoriC*) or ampicillin (100 µg/ml; for pOC170 and pOC*BdoriC* $\Delta$ *ori*) overnight at 30°C (for WM1838) or 37°C (for WM1785).

## **DMS** Footprinting and PE Analysis

DNA modification with dimethyl sulfate (DMS) was performed as previously described (Sasse-Dwight and Gralla, 1991; Donczew et al., 2015). The reaction mixtures (50 µl) contained 25 mM HEPES/KOH, pH 7.6, 12% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM EDTA, 5 mM ATP, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 15 nM pOCBdoriC, and 6HisBdDnaA protein (0, 200, 400, 800, or 1600 nM). After the mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 10 min, 3.6 µl of 150 mM DMS (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 10 mM, and the incubation was continued for 5 min. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 100 µl of cold Stop Buffer (3 M ammonium acetate, 1 M 2-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM EDTA). The samples were precipitated with cold ethanol, dried, dissolved in 100 µl of 1 M piperidine, and incubated at 90°C for 30 min. DNA was purified by gel filtration on Sephacryl S500 (Sigma) spin columns equilibrated in molecular-grade water. The DMS modification pattern was monitored by PE [primer extension (PE)] analysis using primers P-7, P-8, P-9, and P-10. For each PE reaction, 0.3 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific), 20 fmol of DNA template, and 350 fmol of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled primer were used. PE was performed using 30 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 60 s at 72°C. The samples were then separated on a 8% polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions and scanned with a Typhoon 8600 Variable Mode Imager (GE Healthcare).

## **RIP Mapping**

Replication initiation point (RIP) mapping was performed essentially as previously described (Gerbi and Bielinsky, 1997;

Bielinsky and Gerbi, 1999; Matsunaga et al., 2003; Donczew et al., 2012). B. bacteriovorus cells were grown in 1000 ml HEPES buffer supplemented with *P. putida* cells ( $OD_{600} = 1.0$ ), and *P. putida* cells were grown in LB medium ( $OD_{600} = 1.0$ ). B. bacteriovorus were grown until the solution became viscous and slightly clear, whereupon the medium was passed through a 0.45-µm filter and then pelleted. The bacterial pellets were resuspended in 30 ml of TEN buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl) and disrupted by the addition of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and sodium sarcosyl (final concentration, 1% each). The mixture was subjected to three-step extraction with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) and after that 1.1 g/ml CsCl and 6 µl Midori Green Advanced DNA Stain (Nippon) were added to the aqueous phases. The genomic DNA was purified by CsCl gradient ultracentrifugation. To enrich the replication intermediates, the total isolated DNAs (75 µg for B. bacteriovorus and 426 µg for P. putida) were passed through BND-cellulose columns (Sigma-Aldrich) pre-equilibrated with NET buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA and 1 M NaCl). The columns were washed with five volumes of NET buffer, and DNA was eluted at 50°C with NET buffer containing 1.8% caffeine. To remove nicked DNA, the recovered DNAs (48 µg for *B. bacteriovorus* and 58 µg for *P. putida*) were subjected to phosphorylation by T4 kinase (Thermo Scientific) followed by  $\lambda$ -exonuclease (Thermo Scientific) digestion. The PE reactions contained 1 unit of vent (exo-) DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific), 0.6 µg of prepared DNA, and 350 fmol of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled primer P-19. After 35 cycles of reaction (30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 60 s at 72°C), the amplified products were separated on an 8% polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions and analyzed with a Typhoon FLA 9500 Biomolecular Imager (GE Healthcare).

#### Immunoprecipitation Assay

Immunoprecipitation assays were performed as described elsewhere (Jakimowicz et al., 2002). Briefly, *B. bacteriovorus* HI cells were grown to OD = 1.0 in 40 ml of PYE medium, and then formaldehyde was add to final concentration 1% (v/v) and the samples were incubated for 30 min. Anti-6HisBdDnaA polyclonal antibodies (ProteoGenix) were used to precipitate BdDnaA-DNA nucleoprotein complexes, and PCR was used to amplify regions of interest (primers P-3/P-4 for *oriC* and primers P-11/P-12 for non-box DNA). The PCR fragments were resolved on 1.5% agarose gels and analyzed using a Gel Doc<sup>TM</sup> XR+ Imaging System (Bio Rad).

## RESULTS

#### The *In silico*-Predicted Origin of Replication for *B. bacteriovorus* Lies within the Conserved Gene Cluster of *rnpA-rpmH-dnaA-dnaN-recF-gyrB-gyrA*

To identify the *oriC* of *B. bacteriovorus*, we employed different *in silico* tools, including analysis of gene arrangement, GC-skew analysis, identification of DnaA boxes, and the WebSIDD

tool (SIDD, stress-induced DNA duplex destabilization) for localizing the DNA-unwinding element (DUE) (for details see Materials and Methods). Similar to the previous findings of Gao et al. (2013), we obtained predictions for oriC-type replication origins within the  $\sim$ 250-bp-long *dnaA-dnaN* intergenic regions of the genomes of B. bacteriovorus (HD100 and str. Tiberius strains) and other species belonging to this genus, including Halobacteriovorax marinus SJ and B. exovorus JSS (B. exovorus JSS is not yet included in the DoriC data set created by Gao et al., 2013). The predicted Bdellovibrio oriC region contains eight putative DnaA boxes (see Supplementary Figure S1; Figure 6). Interestingly, two of the putative DnaA boxes (boxes 7 and 8; Supplementary Figure S1; Figure 6) are unusually situated within the dnaA gene, at its 3'-end. The position of the DUE could be readily derived from the SIDD plots generated for all of the analyzed organisms, with the exception of B. exovorus JSS. As seen for other bacterial origins, the first in the cluster of DnaA boxes could be assigned to a position approximately two helical turns distant from the border of a strong SIDD site (see Supplementary Figure S1; Figure 6). In the case of B. exovorus JSS, we were able to assign a "predicted oriC" based on the high similarity of DnaA box distances and orientations in this organism and the two B. bacteriovorus strains for which we were able to predict oriCs. In all four genomes, the predicted oriC is flanked upstream by the dnaA, rpmH, rnpA, and yidC (oxaA) genes, and downstream by the *dnaN*, *recF*, *gyrB*, and *gyrA* genes. This particular *oriC* gene context is also found in many genomes from the Actinobacteria and Firmicutes (Ogasawara et al., 1985).

In sum, the *in silico*-predicted *B. bacteriovorus oriC* region contains origin-characteristic elements (DnaA boxes and a DUE) and is located between the *dnaA* and *dnaN* genes within the gene cluster of *rnpA-rpmH-dnaA-dnaN-recF-gyrB-gyrA*, which is conserved in some bacterial species.

## *B. bacteriovorus oriC* is Specifically Bound by BdDnaA *In vivo* and *In vitro*

To determine whether the *in silico*-predicted *B. bacteriovorus oriC* region is bound *in vivo* by the initiator BdDnaA protein, we performed immunoprecipitation assays using antibodies against the purified 6HisBdDnaA protein (Supplementary Figure S2). The formaldehyde cross-linked BdDnaA-Bd*oriC* complexes formed in *B. bacteriovorus* grown under host-independent conditions were enriched by affinity chromatography, and the released DNA fragments were identified by PCR (**Figure 1A**). We obtained strong PCR signals using primers for the Bd*oriC* fragment but not a non-box DnaA fragment (**Figure 1A**), indicating that BdDnaA-Bd*oriC* complexes were successfully detected.

To examine whether the BdDnaA protein interacts with Bd*oriC in vitro*, we applied electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) and SPR. Our EMSAs demonstrated that the Bd*oriC* region, but not the non-DnaA box fragment, was bound specifically by the BdDnaA protein (**Figure 1B**). Interestingly, although the Bd*oriC* contains eight putative DnaA boxes, only one nucleoprotein complex was observed. Moreover, increasing the protein concentration did not lead to the formation of



additional higher-molecular-weight complexes. The interaction between BdDnaA and Bd*oriC* was also confirmed by SPR analysis (**Figure 1C**), which showed that the RU values were proportional to the BdDnaA concentration.

Together, these findings indicate that the *in silico*-predicted *B. bacteriovorus oriC* is bound specifically by the initiator protein, BdDnaA, *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

#### The BdDnaA Protein Specifically Binds DNA Sequences Corresponding to the *In silico*-Assigned DnaA Boxes

To further define the *in silico*-predicted DnaA boxes and gain additional insight into their abilities to bind the BdDnaA protein, we applied footprinting experiments using DMS. This agent primarily methylates deoxyguanosine residues, making the proximate phosphodiester bond susceptible to piperidine cleavage (Maxam and Gilbert, 1977). The pOCBdoriC plasmid, which contained the entire *oriC* region, was incubated with increasing concentrations of BdDnaA protein, and then subjected to DMS modification and subsequent PE of piperidine-cleaved DNA. We identified 16 nucleotides that exhibited BdDnaAdependent protection from DMS modification: eight Gs on the upper strand and eight Gs on the lower strand (**Figure 2**). Fourteen of them are located within the seven of eight *in silico*predicted DnaA boxes, while the remaining two lie between *in silico*-predicted DnaA boxes (box; **Figure 2** and see also **Figure 6**). Thus, the DMS footprinting confirmed the results of our *in silico* analysis and allowed us to identify additional DnaA-binding sites. Moreover, this analysis showed that the two unusually located DnaA boxes, boxes 7 and 8 (**Figure 6A**), are bound by the BdDnaA protein (**Figure 2D**).

Based on the assumption that, as in other bacteria, the DnaA box sequence of *B. bacteriovorus* consists of nine nucleotides, we aligned the DNA sequences in the vicinity of the protected nucleotides and obtained a proposed consensus sequence for the BdDnaA-binding motif, 5'-NN(A/T)TCCACA-3', which we designated the DnaA box (**Figure 6B**; Supplementary Table S2).

Collectively, these analyses show that BdDnaA specifically binds to eight sites (**Figures 2A–D**) within the identified Bd*oriC* region of *B. bacteriovorus*.

## DnaA Proteins from Prey Organisms Specifically Bind BdoriC In vitro

Interestingly, the binding mode of the *E. coli* DnaA protein to the *oriC* of *E. coli* (EcoriC) (Weigel et al., 1997) appears to





differ from the interaction between *B. bacteriovorus* BdDnaA and the Bd*oriC*. In contrast to *B. bacteriovorus*, in which only a single nucleoprotein complex was formed (**Figure 1B**), the interaction of *E. coli* and *P. putida* DnaAs with the Bd*oriC* region yielded multiple discrete nucleoprotein complexes that formed a ladder of retarded bands on the gel indicating that the DnaA boxes were sequentially bound by the DnaA proteins (**Figure 3**). This difference in orisome formation prompted us to question how the arrangement of DnaA boxes and/or the properties of DnaA influence the formation of nucleoprotein complexes, and whether the formation of a single BdDnaA-Bd*oriC* complex is specific to *B. bacteriovorus*. To answer these interesting questions, we analyzed orisome formation in heterologous

systems. The Bd*oriC* region from *B. bacteriovorus* was incubated with DnaA proteins from prey organisms (*E. coli* or *P. putida*) and the formed nucleoprotein complexes were analyzed using EMSAs. In these *in vitro* heterologous systems (as in the homologous system; **Figure 1B**), the observed nucleoprotein complexes formed in a protein-concentration-dependent manner (**Figure 3**). Surprisingly, the DnaA proteins of the prey organisms exhibited higher affinities toward the *B. bacteriovorus oriC* than the BdDnaA from *B. bacteriovorus* toward its own Bd*oriC* region. The Bd*oriC* region from *B. bacteriovorus* was almost completely bound at the lowest tested concentration of DnaA from *E. coli* and *P. putida* (1 nM; **Figure 3**), whereas in the homologous system, the nucleoprotein complex was detectable only at *B. bacteriovorus* 



FIGURE 4 | 6HisBdDnaA unwinds DNA within the BdoriC in vitro. (A–C) In vitro identification of the DUE in the *B. bacteriovorus oriC* region. (A) Map of the plasmid used in the P1 nuclease assay. The BdoriC region, the plasmid origin of replication, and the positions of the most important restriction sites are marked. Solid and dashed lines indicate P1-sensitive sites for BdDnaA-dependent and BdDnaA-independent unwinding, respectively. (B) P1 nuclease assay localizing the region unwound by 6HisBdDnaA. pOCBdoriC was incubated with increasing amounts of the 6HisBdDnaA (1), EcDnaA (2), PpDnaA (3) or a 1:1 (molar ratio) mixture of 6HisBdDnaA and EcDnaA (4). The plasmid was then treated with P1 nuclease and cut with Sspl, and the resulting DNA fragments were analyzed by separation on a 1% agarose gel. (C) Determination of the *B. bacteriovorus oriC* sequence unwound by the mixture of 6HisBdDnaA and EcDnaA in vitro. pOCBdoriC was incubated primers, P-10 and P-17, were complementary to the non-coding strand (vith respect to the *dnaA* gene; left panel) and coding strand (right panel), respectively, and they were also used for sequencing reactions (sequenced bases A, C, G, T). Dashed lines correspond to the *in silico*-identified DUE, while solid lines indicate the P1-nuclease-sensitive sites of pOCBdoriC.

DnaA concentrations > 3 nM (**Figure 1B**). As the concentrations of *E. coli* or *P. putida* DnaA proteins increased, the complexity of the band pattern increased until a critical point was reached, whereupon the ladder pattern was replaced by diffuse, highly retarded bands indicative of large complexes (**Figure 3**). Thus, whereas the *B. bacteriovorus* DnaA appears to exhibit a unique binding mode to the *B. bacteriovorus oriC*, the DnaA proteins of prey organisms bind their own and *B. bacteriovorus oriC* regions

in a similar manner. We also observed that the *oriC* region from *B. bacteriovorus* was not specifically bound by DnaA proteins from non-prey organisms (e.g., *Streptomyces coelicolor*; data not shown).

Together, the results of *in vitro* analysis indicate that DnaA proteins from prey bacteria bind the Bd*oriC* region specifically and with a high affinity, forming multiple nucleoprotein complexes.

# DNA Unwinding Takes Place at the 5'-end of Bd*oriC*

To experimentally verify the in silico-predicted B. bacteriovorus DUE within BdoriC, we used a P1 nuclease assay. Supercoiled pOCBdoriC plasmids containing all of the predicted DnaA boxes (Supplementary Table S1) were incubated with increasing amounts of BdDnaA and subsequently treated with P1 nuclease, which hydrolyzes single-stranded DNA at the opened helix and hence linearizes the unwound plasmid (Donczew et al., 2012). Subsequent digestion with SspI allowed us to approximate the region unwound by BdDnaA. We detected faint bands providing evidence for DnaA-dependent DNA unwinding; the observed DNA fragments were ~1.2 and 1.5 kb, indicating that the P1 hydrolysis site corresponded to the in silico-predicted DUE of B. bacteriovorus (Figure 4B1). We also observed an additional DNA fragment of about 2.3 kb (Figure 4A), likely corresponding to a DnaA-independent P1-sensitive site located within the plasmid origin of pBR322 (pOCBdoriC). It was previously suggested that the ori of pBR322 contains a helically unstable region (Kowalski et al., 1988; Donczew et al., 2012).

Since EcDnaA and PpDnaA strongly bound BdoriC, we examined whether these prey proteins could unwind BdoriC. Surprisingly, P1- and SspI-mediated digestion generated the same patterns obtained using BdDnaA (Figure 4B2,3), indicating that both proteins could *in vitro* unwind DNA within the BdoriC region. The efficiency of DNA unwinding was much higher for the prey proteins (particularly that of *E. coli*) than for BdDnaA (Figure 4B2,3). Moreover, when we performed P1 assays with an equimolar mixture of DnaA proteins from *B. bacteriovorus* and *E. coli*, strong bands were observed at a minimal protein concentration (35 nM; Figure 4B4) that was even lower than that found to yield a similar result with the *E. coli* protein alone (70 nM; Figure 4B2).

To precisely map the unwound region, we performed PE of P1-cleaved pOC*BdoriC* plasmids using Taq polymerase and <sup>32</sup>P-labeled primers flanking the *in silico*-predicted DUE (for details see Materials and Methods and Supplementary Table S1). Since BdDnaA alone yielded only faint signals in the P1 assay, we used an equimolar mixture of *B. bacteriovorus* and *E. coli* proteins (**Figure 4C**). The observed extension products confirmed that DNA unwinding occurs within the *in silico*-predicted DUE sequence at the 5'-end of the *oriC* region, and allowed us to estimate the unwound region as spanning ~55 bp (**Figures 4C** and **6A**). Moreover, RIP mapping showed that Bd*oriC* is the replication initiation site *in vivo* (**Figure 5**).

Together, these results show that Bd*oriC* is unwound at the 5'end by its own BdDnaA, as well as by DnaA proteins from the prey species, *E. coli* and *P. putida*.

# BdoriC Is Not Able to Initiate DNA Replication in *E. coli*

Since Bd*oriC* was specifically bound and unwound by the DnaA from *E. coli*, we next tested whether the predator's *oriC* could initiate replication in its prey. To investigate ability of Bd*oriC* to promote replication in *E. coli*, we performed a set of heterologous



**FIGURE 5 | The replication initiation point is located within the Bdor/C DUE.** Enriched replication intermediates were used as the substrate for PE analysis. <sup>32</sup>P-labeled primer P-19 was complementary to the non-coding strand (with respect to the *dnaA* gene) and was also used for sequencing (A, C, G, T) the pOC*BdoriC* plasmid DNA. The dotted line corresponds to the *in vitro*-identified DUE. Line 1 represents *B. bacteriovorus* grown on *P. putida*, while line C represents *P. putida* (control). Arrow indicates the transition point between continuous and discontinuous DNA synthesis.

transformations in which the pBR322 plasmid (a negative control) and its derivatives carrying BdoriC or EcoriC (a positive control) regions (Supplementary Table S1) were assayed for oriC-dependent initiation of replication in the *E. coli polA*<sup>-</sup> strain (Langer et al., 1996; Zawilak-Pawlik et al., 2005). ColE1-type plasmids (such as pBR322) require DNA polymerase I for their replication; thus, only a construct containing a functional oriC



region (and conferring pBR322-encoded ampicillin resistance,  $Amp^R$ ) can replicate in the absence of DNA polymerase I (such as found in *E. coli polA<sup>-</sup>*). Among the analyzed constructs, only the pOC170 plasmid carrying the EcoriC was replicative (**Table 1**), suggesting that the BdoriC region does not promote the initiation of replication in *E. coli*. Indeed, no plasmid containing the BdoriC region yielded ampicillin-resistant transformants in the *polA*-deficient strain, even after prolonged incubation.

Together, these results show that *B. bacteriovorus oriC* is not a substrate for replication in its prey organisms.

## DISCUSSION

*Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* is a small predatory bacterium that exhibits a peculiar biphasic life cycle during which two different types of cells are produced: non-replicating highly motile cells (the free-living phase) and replicating cells (the intracellulargrowth phase) (Starr, 1975). The process of chromosomal replication in *B. bacteriovorus* must therefore be temporally and spatially regulated to ensure that it is coordinated with cell differentiation and cell cycle progression. Although, we know that chromosomal replication in bacteria is mainly regulated at the initiation step, nothing is known about this process in *B. bacteriovorus*. Here, we report the first characterization of key

Plasmid	Transformation efficiency in <i>E. coli</i> (number of transformants per $\mu$ g of DNA)	
	WM 1838 polA- (30°C)	WM 1785 polA+ (37°C)
pBR322_BdoriC	0	$4.79 \times 10^{4}$
	0	$4.3 \times 10^{4}$
pOC170 (EcoriC)	$8.6 \times 10^{4}$	$9.7 \times 10^{4}$
	$7.9 \times 10^{4}$	$9.3 \times 10^{4}$
pBR322	0	$12.8 \times 10^{4}$
	0	$11.0 \times 10^{4}$
pOCBdoriC∆Ecori	0	$8.8 \times 10^{4}$
	0	$7.6 \times 10^{4}$

TABLE 1 | Replication activity of the BdoriC region in Escherichia coli.

elements of replication initiation, namely the BdDnaA protein and the Bd*oriC* region, in a bacterium that preys on other bacteria. Surprisingly, we show that DnaA proteins from prey bacteria specifically bind and unwind the *oriC* region of their predator.

We identified the *B. bacteriovorus oriC* region within the *rnpA-rpmH-dnaA-dnaN-recF-gyrB-gyrA* gene cluster, which is conserved even in distantly related bacterial species (Ogasawara and Yoshikawa, 1992). BdoriC is localized between the *dnaA* and



*dnaN* genes and is relatively small (the intergenic region is 232-bp long). Our immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that *oriC* is specifically bound by the BdDnaA *in vivo*. Eight DnaA-binding motifs were identified using EMSA and DMS footprinting. Six are typically located within the intergenic region, while the remaining two (boxes 7 and 8; **Figure 2A**) exhibit an unusual localization in the 3'-end of the *dnaA* gene (before the stop codon). This raises an interesting question regarding the roles of these atypical located boxes in the initiation of chromosomal replication: are they involved in orisome formation (e.g., by serving as a scaffold for DnaA protein oligomerization) and/or do they contribute to regulating the frequency of initiation? Future *in vivo* studies will be needed to elucidate the role of these boxes in the initiation of chromosomal replication in *B. bacteriovorus*.

Sequence comparison of the DnaA-binding sites (**Figure 6B**; Supplementary Table S2) enabled us to propose a consensus sequence for the *B. bacteriovorus* DnaA box. This sequence, 5'-NN(A/T)TCCACA-3', is similar to the so-called "perfect" box sequence (i.e., that which binds DnaA with the highest affinity) of *E. coli* (TTATCCACA). Sequence analysis of highaffinity DnaA boxes from various bacteria, including low-GC (*Helicobacter pylori*, TCATTCACA) and high-GC [*Streptomyces*, TT(G/C)TCCACA] organisms (Wolański et al., 2015), revealed that the 4th, 6th, 7th, 8th, and 9th residues of these DnaA boxes are conserved in phylogenetically distant organisms. This suggests that the interaction of DnaA with these residues follows similar specificity rules in all of the tested bacterial species. Our findings are consistent with previous reports showing that these bases of the DnaA box are important for interactions between DnaA and the DnaA box (Fujikawa et al., 2003; Tsodikov and Biswas, 2011). On the other hand, the first three positions in the DnaA box sequence appear to be relatively relaxed, and are thus likely to confer the species specificity of DnaA-DNA interactions. Therefore, our findings indicate that the consensus sequence of the *B. bacteriovorus* DnaA box represents a typical eubacterial DnaA-binding motif.

The second basic functional module of the replication origin is the DUE region, which is responsible for the unwinding of DNA. We identified the DUE within the B. bacteriovorus oriC using three independent methods (in silico prediction, P1 nuclease assay and RIP; Figures 4 and 5; Supplementary Figure S1). As in other bacteria, such as E. coli (Hwang and Kornberg, 1992), H. pylori (Donczew et al., 2012), and Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis (Pei et al., 2007), the DUE region of B. bacteriovorus is located proximal (~2 helical turns) to the first DnaA box (Figure 6). Moreover, this DnaA box has the same DUErelative orientation as corresponding boxes in other oriC regions (Wolański et al., 2015). Although the B. bacteriovorus DUE region is AT-rich, it does not contain the typical AT-rich 13mer repeat found in other bacteria (e.g., E. coli or Bacillus subtilis) (Rajewska et al., 2012). However, we identified an array of four DnaA-trio elements (GAT) within the DUE region; they are located near DnaA box 1, and are separated from this box by a short GC-rich region (**Figure 6A**). DnaA-trios are newly identified elements found within the DUEs of bacterial *oriCs* (Richardson et al., 2016). Recently, Richardson et al. (2016) demonstrated that these trios play an essential role in replication initiation by enabling DnaA to form a filament on single-stranded DNA, thereby promoting the unwinding of *oriC*.

The AT-rich sequences of the DUEs showed very little homology between B. bacteriovorus and E. coli or P. putida. Surprisingly, however, the DnaAs from these prey bacteria were found to unwind BdoriC within the AT-rich region in vitro (Figure 4B4). Moreover, compared to BdDnaA, EcDnaA, and PpDnA were more efficient in opening double-stranded DNA at the DUE region of BdoriC. This is particularly interesting in the case of EcDnaA, which requires HU proteins to open the E. coli replication origin in vitro (Dixon and Kornberg, 1984; Hwang and Kornberg, 1992). Our in vitro experiments revealed that both EcDnaA and PpDnaA can unwind BdoriC in the absence of HU or any other 'prey-derived' protein (Figure 4B). This presumably indicates that DnaA proteins are intrinsically capable of unwinding a DUE once a proper DnaA oligomer has been formed. Such oligomerization depends on both the DnaA-box scaffold and the presence of additional regulatory proteins that help DnaA initiate complex formation (e.g., HU and DiaA in E. coli) (Hwang and Kornberg, 1992; Ishida et al., 2004; Keyamura et al., 2007). The factors responsible for stimulating this unwinding of DNA in B. bacteriovorus remain to be identified.

Interestingly, the *in vitro* binding mode of BdDnaA differs from those of EcDnaA and PpDnaA, despite having similar *oriC* regions structures (**Figure 7**). The binding of BdDnaA to Bd*oriC* results in the formation of a single nucleoprotein complex (**Figure 1B**), whereas the binding of EcDnaA or PpDnaA to Bd*oriC* yielded multiple discrete nucleoprotein complexes (**Figure 3**). This suggests that BdDnaA binds simultaneously at all eight boxes, whereas the DnaA proteins of the prey species sequentially bind the DnaA boxes within Bd*oriC*. Moreover, EcDnaA and PpDnaA exhibited higher affinities toward Bd*oriC* compared to BdDnaA. This further suggests that additional factors contribute to BdDnaA oligomerization/DNA binding, and thus may be involved in regulating the chromosomal replication of *B. bacteriovorus*.

It is noteworthy that the *in vitro* ability of prey's DnaA proteins to bind and unwind BdoriC region may not presumably reflect the *in vivo* situation. Growth of *B. bacteriovorus* in the periplasma of host bacteria and the production of many proteases represent some of limitations of transferring *in vitro* results to *in vivo* conditions.

Similar to another Gram-negative bacterium, Caulobacter crescentus, B. bacteriovorus exhibits a dimorphic life cycle

#### REFERENCES

Bi, C., and Benham, C. J. (2004). WebSIDD: server for predicting stress-induced duplex destabilized (SIDD) sites in superhelical DNA. *Bioinformatics* 20, 1477–1479. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bth304 in which replicative cells originate from non-replicative cells (Janakiraman and Bum, 2000). In *C. crescentus*, the master regulator, CtrA, temporally and spatially coordinates chromosomal replication with the developmental program by regulating the activity of *oriC* (Laub et al., 2002). In future work, we plan to identify one or more proteins that might control the initiation of chromosomal replication in *B. bacteriovorus*.

In sum, we herein identify the key elements of *B. bacteriovorus* chromosomal replication initiation, DnaA and *oriC*, and characterize their interaction *in vivo* and *in vitro*. We also show that DnaA proteins from prey bacteria bind the BdoriC region specifically and with high affinity, forming multiple nucleoprotein complexes. Finally, we demonstrate that BdoriC is unwound at 5'-end by its own DnaA as well as by those of the prey species, *E. coli* and *P. putida*. This work provides important new entry points toward improving our understanding of the initiation of chromosomal replication in this predatory bacterium.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

LM, RD, and JZ-C designed research, LM performed *in vitro* and *in vivo* research, CW performed *in silico* research, AZ-P, RD, and CW performed critical revision, LM and JZ-C wrote the paper.

#### FUNDING

This work was financed by the National Science Center, Poland (MAESTRO grant 2012/04/A/NZ1/00057). The cost of publication was supported by the Wroclaw Centre of Biotechnology, under the Leading National Research Centre (KNOW) program.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Nicole Roschanski and Eckhard Strauch for providing axenic *B. bacteriovorus* strains HI M11.1 and M11.2 and to Igor Konieczny for providing the DnaA protein of *Pseudomonas putida*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2016.01898/full#supplementary-material

Crooks, G. E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.-M., and Brenner, S. E. (2004). WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. *Genome Res.* 14, 1188–1190. doi: 10.1101/gr.849004

Bielinsky, A.-K., and Gerbi, S. A. (1999). Chromosomal ARS1 has a single leading strand start site. *Mol. Cell* 3, 477–486. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00) 80475-X

- Dixon, N. E., and Kornberg, A. (1984). Protein HU in the enzymatic replication of the chromosomal origin of *Escherichia coli*. PNAS 81, 424–428. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.81.2.424
- Donczew, R., Makowski, Ł, Jaworski, P., Bezulska, M., Nowaczyk, M., Zakrzewska-Czerwińska, J., et al. (2015). The atypical response regulator HP1021 controls formation of the *Helicobacter pylori* replication initiation complex. *Mol. Microbiol.* 95, 297–312. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12866
- Donczew, R., Weigel, C., Lurz, R., Zakrzewska-Czerwińska, J., and Zawilak-Pawlik, A. (2012). *Helicobacter pylori* oriC-the first bipartite origin of chromosome replication in gram-negative bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 9647–9660. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks742
- Fenton, A. K., Kanna, M., Woods, R. D., Aizawa, S.-I., and Sockett, R. E. (2010). Shadowing the actions of a predator: backlit fluorescent microscopy reveals synchronous nonbinary septation of predatory *Bdellovibrio* inside prey and exit through discrete bdelloplast pores. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 6329–6335. doi: 10.1128/JB. 00914-10
- Fujikawa, N., Kurumizaka, H., Nureki, O., Terada, T., Shirouzu, M., Katayama, T., et al. (2003). Structural basis of replication origin recognition by the DnaA protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 2077–2086. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkg309
- Gao, F., Luo, H., and Zhang, C.-T. (2013). DoriC 5.0: an updated database of oriC regions in both bacterial and archaeal genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D90–D93. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks990
- Gerbi, S. A., and Bielinsky, A.-K. (1997). Replication initiation point mapping. *Methods* 13, 271–280. doi: 10.1006/meth.1997.0526
- Hwang, D. S., and Kornberg, A. (1992). Opening of the replication origin of *Escherichia coli* by DnaA protein with protein HU or IHF. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 23083–23086.
- Iebba, V., Totino, V., Santangelo, F., Gagliardi, A., Ciotoli, L., Virga, A., et al. (2014). Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus directly attacks Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus cystic fibrosis isolates. Front. Microbiol. 5:280. doi: 10. 3389/fmicb.2014.00280
- Ishida, T., Akimitsu, N., Kashioka, T., Hatano, M., Kubota, T., Ogata, Y., et al. (2004). DiaA, a novel DnaA-binding Protein, ensures the timely initiation of *Escherichia coli* chromosome replication. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 45546–45555.
- Jakimowicz, D., Chater, K., and Zakrzewska-Czerwińska, J. (2002). The ParB protein of *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) recognizes a cluster of parS sequences within the origin-proximal region of the linear chromosome. *Mol. Microbiol.* 45, 1365–1377. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03102.x
- Janakiraman, R., and Bum, Y. V. (2000). "The dimorphic life cycle of caulobacter and stalked bacteria," in *Prokaryotic Development*, eds Y. V. Brun and L. J. Shimkets (Washington, DC: American Society of Microbiology), 297–317.
- Keyamura, K., Fujikawa, N., Ishida, T., Ozaki, S., Su'etsugu, M., Fujimitsu, K., et al. (2007). The interaction of DiaA and DnaA regulates the replication cycle in *E. coli* by directly promoting ATP–DnaA-specific initiation complexes. *Genes Dev.* 21, 2083–2099. doi: 10.1101/gad.1561207
- Kowalski, D., and Eddy, M. J. (1989). The DNA unwinding element: a novel, cisacting component that facilitates opening of the *Escherichia coli* replication origin. *EMBO J.* 8, 4335–4344.
- Kowalski, D., Natale, D. A., and Eddy, M. J. (1988). Stable DNA unwinding, not "breathing," accounts for single-strand-specific nuclease hypersensitivity of specific A+T-rich sequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85, 9464–9468. doi: 10.1073/pnas.85.24.9464
- Lambert, C., Hobley, L., Chang, C.-Y., Fenton, A., Capeness, M., and Sockett, L. (2008). "A predatory patchwork: membrane and surface structures of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*," in *Advances in Microbial Physiology*, ed. R. K. Poole (Cambridge, MA: Academic Press), 313–361.
- Langer, U., Richter, S., Roth, A., Weigel, C., and Messer, W. (1996). A comprehensive set of DnaA-box mutations in the replication origin, oriC, of *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 21, 301–311. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1996. 6481362.x
- Laub, M. T., Chen, S. L., Shapiro, L., and McAdams, H. H. (2002). Genes directly controlled by CtrA, a master regulator of the Caulobacter cell cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 4632–4637. doi: 10.1073/pnas.062065699
- Leonard, A. C., and Grimwade, J. E. (2015). The orisome: structure and function. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:545.

- Loozen, G., Boon, N., Pauwels, M., Slomka, V., Rodrigues Herrero, E., Quirynen, M., et al. (2015). Effect of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100 on multispecies oral communities. *Anaerobe* 35, 45–53. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe. 2014.09.011
- Markelova, N. Y. (2010). Interaction of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* with bacteria *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Helicobacter pylori*. *Microbiology* 79, 777–779. doi: 10.1134/S0026261710060093
- Matsunaga, F., Norais, C., Forterre, P., and Myllykallio, H. (2003). Identification of short "eukaryotic" Okazaki fragments synthesized from a prokaryotic replication origin. *EMBO Rep.* 4, 154–158.
- Maxam, A. M., and Gilbert, W. (1977). A new method for sequencing DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74, 560–564. doi: 10.1073/pnas.74.2.560
- Messer, W., Hartmann-Kühlein, H., Langer, U., Mahlow, E., Roth, A., Schaper, S., et al. (1992). The complex for replication initiation of *Escherichia coli*. *Chromosoma* 102, S1–S6. doi: 10.1007/BF02451779
- Ogasawara, N., Moriya, S., von Meyenburg, K., Hansen, F. G., and Yoshikawa, H. (1985). Conservation of genes and their organization in the chromosomal replication origin region of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli. EMBO J.* 4, 3345–3350.
- Ogasawara, N., and Yoshikawa, H. (1992). Genes and their organization in the replication origin region of the bacterial chromosome. *Mol. Microbiol.* 6, 629–634. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1992.tb01510.x
- Pei, H., Liu, J., Li, J., Guo, A., Zhou, J., and Xiang, H. (2007). Mechanism for the TtDnaA–Tt-oriC cooperative interaction at high temperature and duplex opening at an unusual AT-rich region in *Thermoanaerobacter* tengcongensis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 3087–3099. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm137
- Rajewska, M., Wegrzyn, K., and Konieczny, I. (2012). AT-rich region and repeated sequences – the essential elements of replication origins of bacterial replicons. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 408–434. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011. 00300.x
- Rendulic, S., Jagtap, P., Rosinus, A., Eppinger, M., Baar, C., Lanz, C., et al. (2004). A predator unmasked: life cycle of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* from a genomic perspective. *Science* 303, 689–692. doi: 10.1126/science.1093027
- Richardson, T. T., Harran, O., and Murray, H. (2016). The bacterial DnaA-trio replication origin element specifies single-stranded DNA initiator binding. *Nature* [Epub ahead of print].
- Roschanski, N., Klages, S., Reinhardt, R., Linscheid, M., and Strauch, E. (2011). Identification of genes essential for prey-independent growth of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 1745–1756. doi: 10.1128/JB. 01343-10
- Roten, C.-A. H., Gamba, P., Barblan, J.-L., and Karamata, D. (2002). Comparative genometrics (CG): a database dedicated to biometric comparisons of whole genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 142–144. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.1.142
- Sasse-Dwight, S., and Gralla, J. D. (1991). Footprinting protein-DNA complexes in vivo. *Methods Enzymol.* 208, 146–168.
- Schaper, S., and Messer, W. (1995). Interaction of the initiator protein DnaA of *Escherichia coli* with its DNA target. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 17622–17626. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.29.17622
- Seidler, R. J., and Starr, M. P. (1969). Isolation and characterization of hostindependent *Bdellovibrios. J. Bacteriol.* 100, 769–785.
- Shiryev, S. A., Papadopoulos, J. S., Schäffer, A. A., and Agarwala, R. (2007). Improved BLAST searches using longer words for protein seeding. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2949–2951. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm479
- Sockett, R. E. (2009). Predatory lifestyle of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus. Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63, 523–539. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073346
- Starr, M. P. (1975). Bdellovibrio as symbiont; the associations of Bdellovibrios with other bacteria interpreted in terms of a generalized scheme for classifying organismic associations. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 29, 93–124.
- Tsodikov, O. V., and Biswas, T. (2011). Structural and thermodynamic signatures of DNA recognition by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DnaA. J. Mol. Biol. 410, 461–476. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.05.007
- Varon, M., and Shilo, M. (1968). Interaction of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* and host bacteria I. Kinetic studies of attachment and invasion of *Escherichia coli* B by *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. J. Bacteriol. 95, 744–753.
- Weigel, C., Schmidt, A., Rückert, B., Lurz, R., and Messer, W. (1997). DnaA protein binding to individual DnaA boxes in the *Escherichia coli* replication origin, oriC. *EMBO J.* 16, 6574–6583. doi: 10.1093/emboj/16.21.6574

- Woelker, B., and Messer, W. (1993). The structure of the initiation complex at the replication origin, oriC, of *Escherichia coli*. Nucleic Acids Res. 21, 5025–5033. doi: 10.1093/nar/21.22.5025
- Wolański, M., Donczew, R., Zawilak-Pawlik, A., and Zakrzewska-Czerwińska, J. (2015). oriC-encoded instructions for the initiation of bacterial chromosome replication. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:735. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014. 00735
- Zakrzewska-Czerwińska, J., Jakimowicz, D., Zawilak-Pawlik, A., and Messer, W. (2007). Regulation of the initiation of chromosomal replication in bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 31, 378–387. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007. 00070.x
- Zawilak, A., Cebrat, S., Mackiewicz, P., Król-Hulewicz, A., Jakimowicz, D., Messer, W., et al. (2001). Identification of a putative chromosomal replication origin from *Helicobacter pylori* and its interaction with the initiator protein DnaA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 2251–2259. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.11.2251
- Zawilak-Pawlik, A., Kois, A., Majka, J., Jakimowicz, D., Smulczyk-Krawczyszyn, A., Messer, W., et al. (2005). Architecture of bacterial replication initiation

complexes: orisomes from four unrelated bacteria. *Biochem. J.* 389, 471–481. doi: 10.1042/BJ20050143

Zawilak-Pawlik, A. M., Kois, A., and Zakrzewska-Czerwinska, J. (2006). A simplified method for purification of recombinant soluble DnaA proteins. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 48, 126–133. doi: 10.1016/j.pep.2006.01.010

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Makowski, Donczew, Weigel, Zawilak-Pawlik and Zakrzewska-Czerwińska. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.