# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Association between duration of untreated bipolar disorder and clinical outcome: data from a Brazilian sample

Gustavo C. Medeiros, Sofia B. Senço, Beny Lafer, Karla M. Almeida

Departamento de Psiquiatria, Instituto de Psiquiatria, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

**Objective:** Bipolar disorder (BD) is often left untreated for long periods, and this delay in treatment correlates with unfavorable prognosis. The present study sought to assess the magnitude of duration of untreated bipolar disorder (DUB) in Brazil. We hypothesized that DUB would be longer in Brazil than in developed countries, and would be associated with poor clinical outcomes.

**Methods:** One hundred and fifty-two psychiatric outpatients were evaluated for BD diagnosis, demographics, DUB, and clinical outcomes.

**Results:** The mean age and mean DUB were, respectively,  $38.9\pm10.8$  and  $10.4\pm9.8$  years. An extended DUB was associated with early onset of BD (p < 0.001), depression as first mood episode (p = 0.04), and presence of BD in a first-degree relative (p = 0.012). Additionally, a longer DUB was associated with poorer clinical outcomes, such as elevated rates of rapid cycling (p = 0.004) and anxiety disorders (p = 0.016), as well as lower levels of current full remission (p = 0.021).

**Conclusion:** As DUB may be a modifiable variable, better medical education regarding mental health, more structured medical services, and population-wide psychoeducation might reduce the time between onset and proper management of BD, thus improving outcome.

**Keywords:** Community mental health; mood disorders; bipolar disorder; outpatient psychiatry; chronic psychiatric illness

# Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BD) carries a major social burden<sup>1-3</sup> and is associated with the highest expenditures among all mental disorders.<sup>4</sup> This condition tends to be chronic, and persons with BD experience high rates of work impairment, suicidal behavior, and hospitalization.<sup>3,5-7</sup> The evidence available from developed countries suggests that BD usually goes untreated for long periods, and that this delay in treatment correlates with an unfavorable prognosis.<sup>8-11</sup> However, the concept of duration of untreated bipolar disorder (DUB) is still understudied,<sup>8</sup> especially in developing countries.

DUB is formally defined as the interval between onset of BD and first pharmacological treatment with a mood stabilizer.<sup>9</sup> Some features of BD are associated with a longer DUB, such as having depression as the first mood episode and earlier onset of BD.<sup>8,10</sup> An extended DUB has been found to correlate with poor clinical outcomes, such as increased rates of suicidal behavior, a higher number of mood episodes, and increased social difficulties.<sup>10,12</sup> In Italy, Altamura et al. found a mean DUB of 8.7 years and an association between extended DUB and high numbers of suicide attempts, a higher percentage of

Correspondence: Gustavo Costa Medeiros, Rua Padre João Manuel, 173/1, CEP 01411-001, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

E-mail: gcmedeiros@live.com

suicide attempters, and a longer duration of BD.<sup>8</sup> In France, Drancourt et al. found an average DUB of 9.6 years and a correlation of extended DUB with more mood episodes, more suicidal behavior, and a trend toward more severe mood instability.<sup>10</sup> In Australia, McCraw et al. addressed the association between DUB and social functioning, and suggested that longer periods between onset of BD and its proper treatment were associated with more employment problems and higher social costs.<sup>12</sup> Although DUB may be a modifiable variable amenable to reduction through interventions,<sup>13</sup> to the best of our knowledge, there are no data regarding DUB in developing countries.

The fact that DUB has never been evaluated in a developing country is worrisome, as mental health care in these regions is usually less effective than in developed countries<sup>14</sup> and, as a result, DUB might be longer and produce more negative social impacts. The objective of the present study is to assess the magnitude of DUB in Brazil, a major developing country.<sup>15</sup> Our hypothesis is that DUB would be longer in this society than in developed nations, and would be associated with poor clinical outcomes.

#### Methods

#### Sample

Our sample consisted of 152 outpatients with a well-characterized diagnosis of BD - 134 (88.2%) with subtype

Submitted Feb 11 2015, accepted Mar 24 2015.

I and 18 (11.8%) with subtype II – recruited from the Bipolar Disorder Program (PROMAN) at Hospital das Clínicas, School of Medicine, Universidade de São Paulo. During their first visit at the unit, each patient completed selfassessment questionnaires. Research-trained psychiatrists conducted the semi-structured interviews for this study.

The inclusion criteria were: 1) a formal DSM-IV diagnosis of BD, as assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID); 2) age 18 to 65 years; 3) at least 5 years of schooling; and 4) absence of neurological disorders or severe physical illness.

#### Measurements

#### BD diagnosis

The diagnostic instrument used for BD diagnosis was the Portuguese version of the SCID.<sup>16</sup> This questionnaire has been properly translated and validated, and has demonstrated an excellent weighted kappa coefficient, good reliability for main disorders formerly placed in "axis 1," and high inter-rater reliability.<sup>16</sup>

# Duration of untreated bipolar disorder (DUB)

DUB was calculated as the interval between first mood episode and first pharmacological treatment with a mood stabilizer,<sup>9</sup> defined as any of the first-line medications for maintenance treatment of patients with BD listed in the Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) guidelines.<sup>3</sup>

#### Demographics and clinical outcomes

Data on age, gender, race, and years of schooling was collected from all participants. The clinical features and outcomes of BD, as well as comorbidities, were investigated using a standardized protocol and information from the SCID. Familial mood antecedents were collected by research-trained psychiatrists through the standardized questionnaire developed by the Brazilian Bipolar Research Network. The variables evaluated were: age at onset of BD, age at use of first mood stabilizer, duration of BD, presence of rapid cycling, current full remission, lifetime psychotic symptoms, number of psychiatric hospitalizations, polarity of first mood episode, presence of first-degree relative with BD, number of suicide attempts, lifetime prevalence of alcohol-use disorder.

Additionally, we divided our demographic variables and clinical outcomes into 1) factors that preceded BD diagnosis (gender, race, age at onset of BD, polarity of first mood episode, and presence of first-degree relative with BD) and 2) factors that occurred after BD diagnosis or with unknown chronology (age, years of schooling, age at use of first mood stabilizer, duration of BD, presence of rapid cycling, current full remission, lifetime psychotic symptoms, number of psychiatric hospitalizations, number of suicide attempts, lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders, and lifetime prevalence of alcohol-use disorder). This categorization may provide additional information regarding any causal relationship between these variables and DUB.

#### Statistical analysis

First, we analyzed the distribution of continuous variables using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Then, we tested for association between DUB and each of the demographic/ clinical variables of interest, by performing a correlation analysis to assess the relationship between DUB and other continuous variables. Pearson's and Spearman's coefficients were used, respectively, for normally distributed and nonparametric continuous variables. The Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to evaluate associations between DUB and categorical variables. The level of significance was set at p = 0.05.

#### Ethical issues

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo. All subjects participated voluntarily and provided written informed consent. The study was performed in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.<sup>17</sup>

Table	e 1 De	emographics	and c	linical	variables	of subjects v	with
BD (r	า=152	.)				-	

Variable	
Demographic variables Factors that occurred before BD diagnosis Gender	
Male Female	51 (33.6) 101 (66.4)
Race White Nonwhite	109 (71.7) 43 (28.3)
Factors occurring after BD diagnosis* Age Formal education, years (n=150)	38.9±10.8 11.7±3.7
Clinical variables DUB, years Factors occurring before BD diagnosis	10.4±9.8
Age at onset, years Polarity of first episode (n=120)	23.1±9.4
Mania or hypomania Depression First-degree relative with BD (n=132)	39 (32.5) 81 (67.5) 31 (23.5)
Factors that occurred after BD diagnosis Age at use of first mood stabilizer, years Duration of illness, years Rapid cycling (n=148) Current full remission (n=151) Lifetime psychotic symptoms Number of psychiatric hospitalizations Number of suicide attempts (n=146) Lifetime anxiety disorder Lifetime alcohol-use disorder	$33.6\pm10.1$ 15.7±11.0 15 (10.1) 41 (27.2) 105 (69.1) 3.0±11.8 1.1±1.9 74 (49.0) 29 (19.2)

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or n (%). The number of subjects evaluated for each variable is n=152 unless otherwise stated.

BD = bipolar disorder; DUB = duration of untreated bipolar disorder; SD = standard deviation.

\* Factors with unknown chronology were considered to have occurred after BD diagnosis.

# Results

The mean age and mean DUB of the sample were, respectively,  $38.9\pm10.8$  and  $10.4\pm9.8$  years. The median DUB was 7 years (range, 0-43). With respect to current mood status, 50% of participants were euthymic, 36.2% presented with major depressive disorder, 8.5% presented with symptoms suggestive of mixed episodes, and 5.3% were experiencing hypomanic states. Table 1 presents descriptive statistics on the participants' demographics and clinical outcomes.

An extended DUB was associated with early onset of BD, presence of a first-degree relative with BD, and depression as first mood episode. Additionally, a longer DUB was associated with poorer clinical outcomes, such as elevated rates of rapid cycling and anxiety disorders, as well as lower rates of current full remission. The main results of the analysis of association between DUB and demographic/clinical variables are displayed in Table 2.

# Discussion

This was the first study to evaluate the magnitude of DUB and the association between this parameter and clinical variables in a developing country.

Our most relevant findings were: 1) the mean DUB was long (10.4 years); 2) specific clinical features were associated with an extended DUB; and 3) a longer DUB correlated with poorer clinical outcomes.

The mean DUB in this study was longer than those reported in research studies conducted in developed countries.<sup>8-10</sup> The exception was a study performed by McCraw et al. in Australia, in which the average DUB was

Variable	DUB (mean $\pm$ SD) or correlation coefficient	p-value			
Demographic variables					
Factors that occurred before BD diagnosis					
Gender					
Male	DUB = 12.3±11.6	0.383			
Female	DUB = 9.5±8.6				
Race		0.004			
White	$DUB = 11.0 \pm 10.2$	0.361			
Nonwhite	DUB = 8.9±8.5				
Factors that occurred after BD diagnosis*					
Age	P-coef. = 0.472	< 0.00			
Formal education, years (n=150)	S-coef. = -0.060	0.464			
Clinical variables					
Factors occurring before BD diagnosis					
Age at onset, years					
Polarity of first episode (n=120)	S-coef. = -0.445	< 0.00			
Mania or hypomania	$DUB = 6.1 \pm 9.3$	0.004			
Depression	$DUB = 13.2 \pm 9.4$	0.001			
First-degree relative with BD (n=132)					
Yes	DUB = 14.3±10.5	0.012			
No	DUB = 9.3±9.4				
Factors occurring after BD diagnosis					
Age at use of first mood stabilizer in years	S-coef. = 0.381	< 0.00			
Duration of illness	S-coef. = 0.809	< 0.00			
Rapid cycling (n=148)		< 0.00			
Yes	DUB = 18.1±11.9	0.004			
No	$DUB = 9.7 \pm 9.2$	0.001			
Current full remission (n=151)	B0B - 0.1 -0.2				
Yes	DUB = 7.9±8.9	0.021			
No	$DUB = 11.5 \pm 10.0$	0.02.			
Lifetime psychotic symptoms					
Yes	DUB = 10.5±9.7	0.939			
No	$DUB = 10.2 \pm 10.1$	0.000			
Number of psychiatric hospitalizations	S-coef. = -0.082	0.322			
Number of suicide attempts (n=146)	S-coef. = -0.015	0.860			
Lifetime anxiety disorder					
Yes	DUB = 12.1±9.9	0.016			
No	$DUB = 9.0 \pm 9.7$				
Lifetime alcohol-use disorder					
Yes	DUB = 14.1±12.7	0.102			
No	$DUB = 9.5 \pm 8.8$				

The number of subjects evaluated for each variable is n=152 unless otherwise stated.

BD = bipolar disorder; DUB = duration of untreated bipolar disorder, in years; P-coef. = Pearson's coefficient (used for normally distributed variables); S-coef. = Spearman's coefficient (used for nonparametrically distributed variables); SD = standard deviation.

\* Factors with unknown chronology were considered to have occurred after BD diagnosis.

	This study	McCraw <sup>12</sup>	Drancourt <sup>10</sup>	Altamura <sup>8</sup>
Country	Brazil	Australia	France	Italy
Sample size	n=152	n=173	n=501	n=320
Age, years	39.8±10.8	38.1±11.4	42.3±13.9	46.0±12.8
Male	33.6	38.2	41.7	43.8
Female	66.4	61.8	58.3	56.2
3D subtype I	88.2	14.5	83.4	40.0
3D subtype II	11.8	85.5	16.6	60.0
DUB, years	10.4±9.8	18.0±11.7	9.6±9.7	8.7±7.7
Main clinical outcomes	More rapid cycling	More employment difficulties	More suicidal behaviour	More suicidal
associated with longer DUB	Less current remission	More social costs due to	Early onset of BD	behavior
5	More anxiety disorder	mood episodes	More mood episodes	
Recruitment	Bipolar outpatient clinic	Depression clinic	General psychiatric outpatient clinic	Mood disorder outpatient clini

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or percentages, unless otherwise stated.

BD = bipolar disorder; DUB = duration of untreated bipolar disorder.

18 years. However, this Australian study used a sample with a disproportionally large percentage of subjects with BD subtype II (85.5%).<sup>12</sup> As this subtype tends to feature a significantly longer DUB than BD subtype I,<sup>8-10,12</sup> the longer DUB was expected. Table 3 presents some results and methodological characteristics of prior studies that evaluated the concept of DUB. The longer DUB in our study may represent the poorer structure of general primary health services and, especially, mental health services in Brazil when compared to developed countries.<sup>18,19</sup> The lack of an efficient public health approach may delay first contact with medical professionals and, consequently, delay a correct diagnosis of BD.<sup>20</sup>

We found that specific clinical features of BD were associated with a longer DUB. Patients who developed BD at a younger age and presented with depression as the first mood episode tended to have an extended DUB. Studies conducted in the developed world reported similar findings.<sup>8,10</sup>

However, our study was the first to observe that the presence of a first-degree relative with a diagnosis of BD was associated with a longer DUB. This may be a result of the social stigma associated with BD.<sup>21</sup> Stigmatization associated with BD has been increasingly studied and seems to be a complex phenomenon, including personal and population-wide elements.<sup>21,22</sup> A longitudinal study conducted by Perlick et al. suggested that subjects with BD who expressed concerns with stigma during acute episodes demonstrated poorer social adjustment.<sup>23</sup> In this context, individuals who, during their lives, observed the "social consequences" of a relative with this condition might try to avoid medical care and a possible BD diagnosis. Personal and familial psychosocial interventions may decrease the subjective stigma (also known as internalized stigma) and facilitate early treatment of affected family members. Community psychoeducational programs, in turn, might reduce stigma in the general population.

An extended DUB was also associated with markers of severity such as rapid cycling, lower rates of current full remission, and high rates of comorbid anxiety disorders. Although a clear causal relationship between longer periods without treatment and these poor clinical outcomes cannot be inferred, the neuroprogressive nature of BD may be a possible explanation.<sup>24-26</sup>

Some limitations of our study are worth noting. First, this was a cross-sectional study, in which DUB and other clinical variables were evaluated retrospectively. Implications include the possibility of recall bias, which may affect the completeness or accuracy of information. The fact that 50% of our sample presented with current mood symptoms may also reduce the precision of the information regarding degree of cognitive impairment. Furthermore, we are not able to establish a robust interrelationship of cause and effect among the different variables; longitudinal studies would better evaluate causality. Second, our sample consisted of treatment-seeking patients and, therefore, the impact of DUB may be underestimated, which also limits the possibility of generalizations at the population level. Nonetheless, the results obtained in this study may be considered clinically useful.

Future studies on DUB are still needed, especially in developing countries. Additionally, further research could analyze the pathophysiology of the association between longer DUB and poorer outcomes. The present study did not investigate the relationship between DUB and specific BD subtypes (BD I, BD II, etc.). Future studies to do so would help advance our understanding of the relationship between longer DUB and adverse clinical outcomes.

As DUB may be a modifiable variable,<sup>13</sup> better medical education regarding mental health and, particularly, the psychopathological characteristics of BD may improve correct diagnosis of this condition. In addition, more structured medical services and population-wide psychoeducational interventions may also reduce the time between onset and proper management of BD<sup>4</sup> and, thus, improve outcomes.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Chisholm D, van Ommeren M, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Saxena S. Costeffectiveness of clinical interventions for reducing the global burden of bipolar disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2005;187:559-67.
- 2 Murray CJ, Atkinson C, Bhalla K, Birbeck G, Burstein R, Chou D, et al. The state of US health, 1990-2010: burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors. JAMA. 2013;310:591-606.

#### 10 GC Medeiros et al.

- 3 Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh SV, Schaffer A, Beaulieu S, Alda M, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) collaborative update of CANMAT guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder: update 2013. Bipolar Disord. 2013;15:1-44.
- 4 Peele PB, Xu Y, Kupfer DJ. Insurance expenditures on bipolar disorder: clinical and parity concerns. Am J Psychiatry. 2003;160 1286-90.
- 5 Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, O'Donovan C, Parikh S, MacQueen G, McIntyre R, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder: consensus and controversies. Bipolar Disord. 2005;7:5-69.
- 6 Reed C, Goetz I, Vieta E, Bassi M, Haro JM; EMBLEM Advisory Board. Work impairment in bipolar disorder patients-results from a two-year observational study (EMBLEM). Eur Psychiatry. 2010;25: 338-44.
- 7 Center for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC). Burden of mental illness [Internet]. 2013 Oct 4. http://www.cdc.gov/mentalhealth/ basics/burden.htm.
- 8 Altamura AC, Dell'Osso B, Berlin HA, Buoli M, Bassetti R, Mundo E. Duration of untreated illness and suicide in bipolar disorder: a naturalistic study. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2010;260:385-91.
- 9 Altamura AC, Buoli M, Albano A, Dell'Osso B. Age at onset and latency to treatment (duration of untreated illness) in patients with mood and anxiety disorders: a naturalistic study. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2010;25:172-9.
- 10 Drancourt N, Etain B, Lajnef M, Henry C, Raust A, Cochet B, et al. Duration of untreated bipolar disorder: missed opportunities on the long road to optimal treatment. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2013;127: 136-44.
- 11 Henry C, Andreassen OA, Barbato A, Demotes-Mainard J, Goodwin G, Leboyer M, et al. European Network of Bipolar Research Expert Centre (ENBREC): a network to foster research and promote innovative care. Int J Bipolar Disord. 2013;1:2-2.
- 12 McCraw S, Parker G, Graham R, Synnott H, Mitchell PB. The duration of undiagnosed bipolar disorder: effect on outcomes and treatment response. J Affect Disord. 2014;168:422-9.
- 13 Melle I, Larsen TK, Haahr U, Friis S, Johannesen JO, Opjordsmoen S, et al. Prevention of negative symptom psychopathologies in first-

episode schizophrenia: two-year effects of reducing the duration of untreated psychosis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008;65:634-40.

- 14 Bruckner TA, Scheffler RM, Shen G, Yoon J, Chisholm D, Morris J, et al. The mental health workforce gap in low-and middle-income countries: a needs-based approach. Bull World Health Organ. 2011;89: 184-94.
- 15 The World Bank. Brazil overview [Internet]. 2015. http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/brazil/overview.
- 16 Del-Ben CM, Vilela JAA, Crippa JAS, Hallak JEC, Labate CM, Zuardi AW. Confiabilidade da "entrevista clínica estruturada para o DSM-IV - versão clínica" traduzida para o português. Rev Bras Psiquiatr. 2001;23:156-9.
- 17 World Medical Association (WMA), Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjectsIn: 52nd WMA General Assembly, 2000; Edinburgh, Scotland.
- 18 Gentil V. More for the same?. Rev Bras Psiquiatr. 2007;29:193-4.
- 19 Kilsztajn S, Lopes Ede S, Lima LZ, Rocha PA, Carmo MS. [Hospital beds and mental health reform in Brazil]. Cad Saude Publica. 2008;24:2354-62.
- 20 Kohn R, Saxena S, Levav I, Saraceno B. The treatment gap in mental health care. Bull World Health Organ. 2004;82:858-66.
- 21 Michalak E, Livingston JD, Hole R, Suto M, Hale S, Haddock C. 'It's something that I manage but it is not who I am': reflections on internalized stigma in individuals with bipolar disorder. Chronic Illn. 2011;7:209-24.
- 22 Link BG, Phelan JC. Conceptualizing stigma. Annu Rev Sociol. 2001;27:363-85.
- 23 Perlick DA, Rosenheck RA, Clarkin JF, Sirey JA, Salahi J, Struening EL, et al. Stigma as a barrier to recovery: adverse effects of perceived stigma on social adaptation of persons diagnosed with bipolar affective disorder. Psychiatr Serv. 2001;52:1627-32.
- 24 Leboyer M, Kupfer DJ. Bipolar disorder: new perspectives in health care and prevention. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71:1689-95.
- 25 Leboyer M, Soreca I, Scott J, Frye M, Henry C, Tamouza R, et al. Can bipolar disorder be viewed as a multi-system inflammatory disease?. J Affect Disord. 2010;141:1-10.
- 26 Berk M, Kapczinski F, Andreazza AC, Dean OM, Giorlando F, Maes M, et al. Pathways underlying neuroprogression in bipolar disorder: focus on inflammation, oxidative stress and neurotrophic factors. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2010;35:804-17.