

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY e2020-61 | Vol. 52

On the identity of *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936 (Rhabditida, Cephalobidae), with an updated taxonomy of the genus and notes about its phylogeny

Joaquín Abolafia* and Reyes Peña-Santiago

Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, Campus "Las Lagunillas", s/n. 23071 Jaén, Spain.

*E-mail: abolafia@ujaen.es

This paper was edited by Ralf J. Sommer.

Received for publication February 1, 2020.

Abstract

The identity of Eucephalobus oxyuroides is discussed after studying two lberian populations that fit the original description of the species. A new characterization is consequently provided as follows: 0.49 to 0.70mm long body, lip region continuous with the adjoining body and bearing short probolae prongs, neck 132 to 158 µm long, spermatheca 23 to 47 µm long or 1.0 to 1.8 times the corresponding body diameter, post-vulval uterine sac 40 to 54 µm long or 2.0 to 2.5 times as long as the body diameter, V = 58 to 64, female tail conical elongate with very acute terminus (60-79 μ m, c=7.1-10.0, c'=4.9-5.8), male tail conical (36-49µm, c=10.9-14.3, c'=2.4-3.4) with an elongate acute mucro, and spicules 21 to 22 µm long. Previous records of the species are revised. An emended diagnosis of the genus is proposed, and its taxonomy is updated with a list of species, key to their identification and illustrations. The evolutionary relationships of Eucephalobus, as derived from the analyses of 18S and 28S rDNA fragments, reveals that it occupies a basal position within the subfamily Cephalobidae.

Keywords

18S rDNA, 28S rDNA, Description, Key, Molecular analysis, Morphology, Phylogeny, SEM, Taxonomy.

Cephalobus oxyuroides was described by de Man (1876) from the Netherlands, and named according to its apparent resemblance with Cephalobus oxyuris (Bütschli, 1873). The latter was regarded by Thorne (1937) as a junior synonym of *Panagrolaimus rigidus* (Schneider, 1866) Thorne, 1937. Its original description was based on five specimens, three females and two males, which were characterized by their acute lips, conoid-elongate female tail, and male bearing a long mucro at tail end and spicules with rounded and manubrium bent ventrad (Fig. 1A, H). Unfortunately, other relevant traits such as length and morphology of the post-vulval uterine sac were not mentioned. de Man (1881, 1984) studied additional material of C. oxyuroides and provided new illustrations of the female (Fig. 1B-G), which show a long, poorly defined

post-vulval structure that might have been a postvulval uterine sac, but that probably was interpreted erroneously. Later, several authors (Örley, 1880; Cobb, 1893a, 1893b; Micoletzky, 1914, 1917, 1921; Rahm, 1928, 1929) recorded the species and/or provided some data about it, but in general only fragmentary information was given (see further analysis below).

Steiner (1936a, 1936b) proposed the new genus *Eucephalobus* Steiner, 1936a, with *E. oxyuroides* as its type species. Since then, this taxon has been repeatedly mentioned in the literature, especially in contributions by Thorne (1937), Schuurmans-Stekhoven and Teunissen (1938), Allgén (1950), Meyl (1953a, 1953b, 1955), Heyns (1961), Andrássy (1967), Ivanova (1968), Mavljanov (1976), Nesterov (1979), Boström (1984, 1993) Abolafia and Peña-Santiago (2002), Iliev et al.

^{© 2020} Authors. This is an Open Access article licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Identity of Eucephalobus oxyuroides: Abolafia and Peña-Santiago



Figure 1: Facsimile reproduction of the original drawings of *Cephalobus oxyuroides* (A, H from de Man (1881) and B-G from de Man (1984)). (A) Neck; (B) Stoma; (C) Entire female; (D) Excretory pore; (E) Female posterior end; (F-H) Male posterior end.

(2003), and Kim et al. (2017). Nevertheless, the species was never subject of a detailed morphological study for comparative purposes, and the true identity of some records therefore remains questionable.

Two *E. oxyuroides* populations from the Iberian Peninsula were collected in the course of a nematological survey conducted in natural areas. Their study revealed that they are very similar and tentatively regarded here as conspecific with the original material described by de Man. This contribution pursues their characterization, discusses the identity of previously reported populations, and updates the taxonomy of the genus.

Materials and methods

Nematode extraction and processing

Nematodes were obtained from soil samples using a modified Baermann (1917) funnel technique, killed by heating, fixed in a 4% formalin solution, transferred to pure glycerine following the technique of Siddiqi (1964), and mounted on glass slides for observation.

Light microscopy

Observations were made using a Leitz Laborlux S (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) microscope and a Nikon Eclipse 80i (Nikon, Tokio, Japan) with differential interference contrast optics. Measurements were taken and drawings were made with the Leitz microscope using a drawing tube (*camera lucida*). Pictures were taken with the Nikon microscope and a Nikon Digital Sight DS-U1 camera. Micrographs of the same structure were combined using Adobe[®] Photoshop[®] CS. The terminology used for the morphology of stoma and spicules follows the proposals by De Ley et al. (1995) and Abolafia and Peña-Santiago (2017), respectively.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Specimens preserved in glycerine were selected for observation under SEM according to the methods of Abolafia (2015). They were hydrated in distilled water, dehydrated in a graded ethanol-acetone series, critical point dried, coated with gold, and observed with a Zeiss Merlin microscope (5kV) (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Phylogenetic analyses

For phylogenetic relationships, analyses were based on 18S and 28S rDNA gene sequences available in

GenBank. The sequences were aligned using the ClustalW alignment tool implemented in the MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016). The ambiguously aligned parts and divergent regions were found using the online version of Gblocks 0.91b (Castresana, 2000) and were removed from the alignments using MEGA7. The best-fit model of nucleotide substitution used for the phylogenetic analysis was statistically selected using jModelTest 2.1.10 (Darriba et al., 2012). Phylogenetic trees were generated with the Bayesian inference method using MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Drilocephalobus sp. (AY284679) and Teratolobus sp. (KJ652552) were chosen as outgroups for the 18S and 28S rDNA trees, respectively. Analysis under the GTR+I+G model was initiated with a random starting tree and run with the Markov chain Monte Carlo for 1×10^6 generations. The tree was visualized and saved with FigTree 1.4.4 (Rambaut, 2018).

Results

Eucephalobus oxyuroides (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a (Figs. 2-4).

Material examined from Navarra (Spain): 11 females and 9 males, in good condition.

Measurements

Measurements are provided in Table 1.

Description

Adult

They are moderately slender to slender (a = 25-33) nematodes of small size, 0.51 to 0.70 mm long. Upon fixation, habitus is nearly straight or somewhat curved ventrad. Cuticle is 2 µm thick, bearing transverse striation, and annuli is 2 µm wide. Lateral field is 3 to 4 µm broad, occupying 13 to 22% of mid-body diameter. Lip region is continuous with the adjacent body. Lips are conoid with protruding, conical labial and cephalic sensilla; primary and secondary axils are similar to each other. Labial probolae are three, biacute, bearing short acute prongs, each one fused to its more proximal lip. A fine incisure occurs between each labial probola and the adjacent lip pair. Amphids are very small, oval, located at the base of lateral lips. Stoma is cephaloboid, 2.2 to 2.3 times the lip region diameter long: cheilostom with strongly refringent, barshaped rhabdia, posteriorly thicker; gymnostom very reduced, with small rhabdia; stegostom consists of poorly refringent rhabdia, and metastegostom bearing dorsal acute rhabdion (dorsal tooth). Pharynx is also



Figure 2: *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a (line drawing). (A) Neck; (B) Lip region; (C) Female posterior end; (D) Female reproductive system; (E) Stoma; (F) Entire female; (G) Entire male; (H) Male posterior end; (I) Lateral field.



Figure 3: *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a (light microscopy). (A) Neck (black arrow pointing to the excretory pore, white arrow pointing to the deirid); (B) Lip region; (C) Stoma; (D) Lateral field; (E) Female reproductive system; (F) Uterine egg; (G) Female posterior end (arrow pointing the phasmid); (H) Male posterior end (arrows pointing genital papillae).

cephaloboid: pharyngeal corpus is subcylindrical, 2.6 to 3.8 times the isthmus length, with procorpus and metacorpus not clearly separate; isthmus is

comparatively thin, slightly tapering to its junction with basal bulb; the latter is ovoid with both valvular apparatus and posterior haustrulum well developed.



Figure 4: *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a (scanning electron microscopy). (A, B) Lip region in lateral and frontal view, respectively; (C) Female phasmid (arrow); (D) Neck (arrow pointing the excretory pore); (E) Excretory pore (arrow); (F, G) Female posterior end in lateral and ventral view, respectively (arrow pointing the phasmid); (H) Lateral field; (I) Anus.

Table 1. Morphometrics of *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a from Spain.

Province	Nav	arra	Jaén
Habitat	Oak	orest	Whine forest
Sex	Female	Male	Female
n	11	9	2
Body length	610±44.7 (554-695)	567±35.7 (513-629)	493, 602
а	28.7±1.9 (26.6-32.4)	29.1±2.9 (25.1-32.9)	21.4, 26.2
b	4.2±0.3 (3.9-4.7)	4.0±0.2 (3.8-4.3)	3.5, 4.3
С	8.8±0.6 (8.0-10.0)	12.7±1.0 (10.9-14.3)	7.1, 8.4
С'	5.3±0.3 (5.0-5.8)	2.9±0.3 (2.4-3.4)	4.9, 5.5
V	60.3±1.1 (58-64)	-	58, 60
Lip region width	6.0±0.0 (6)	6.0±0.0 (6)	6, 7
Stoma length	13.5±0.5 (13-14)	13.2±0.8 (12-14)	14, 14
Pharyngeal corpus length	86.2±3.4 (82–91)	84.9±5.6 (77-97)	88, 86
Isthmus length	28.2±3.0 (23-31)	27.2±2.9 (22-32)	21, 25
Bulbus length	17.0±1.2 (14-18)	16.4±0.9 (15-18)	17, 15
Pharynx length	131±5.4 (123–140)	128.6±8.0 (119-145)	126, 126
Nerve ring – anterior end	95.1±4.0 (89–103)	93.0±5.3 (84-101)	100, 102
Excretory pore – anterior end	98.3±43.8 (94-107)	95.4±5.2 (86-102)	103, 101
Deirid – anterior end	114±3.5 (106-117)	110.4±6.5 (97-119)	119, 117
Neck length (stoma + pharynx)	145±5.4 (137-153)	141.8±8.3 (132-158)	140, 140
Body diameter at midbody	21.4±2.1 (19-25)	19.7±2.2 (17-23)	23, 23
Lateral field width	3.5±0.6 (3-4)	3.4±0.5 (3-4)	4,4
Anterior ovary/testis length	135±17.4 (116-160)	115.6±14.9 (99-144)	118, 110
Spermatheca length	33.4±7.9 (23-47)	-	44, 55
Post-vulval uterine sac length	47.1±4.0 (40-54)	-	48, 57
Vulva – anterior end	368±25.3 (328-405)	-	285, 363
Rectum length	18.9±1.4 (16-20)	5.0±0.7 (4-6)	20, 20
Anal body diameter	13.1±0.8 (12-14)	15.7±1.1 (14-18)	14, 13
Tail length	69.5±5.1 (60-79)	44.9±4.2 (36-49)	69, 72
Spicules length	-	21.4±0.5 (21-22)	_
Gubernaculum length	_	13.7±1.9 (12-16)	_

Notes: Measurements in μ m and in the form: mean \pm standard deviation (range) where appropriate. Demanian indices (de Man, 1881): *a*, body length/body diameter; *b*, body length/pharynx length; *c*, body length/tail length; *c'*, tail length/anal body diameter; *V* = (distance from anterior region to vulva/body length) ×100.

Cardia is small, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Nerve ring is at 84 to $103\,\mu$ m or 61 to 69% of neck length from the anterior end, surrounding the posterior part of metacorpus. Excretory pore is at 86 to $107\,\mu$ m or

64 to 72% of neck length, at the level of metacorpusisthmus junction, adjacent to the hemizonid. Deirids are posterior to excretory pore, at 97 to 119 μ m or 73 to 83% of neck length, at the level of isthmus. Intestine is without distinct specializations, but with slightly thinner walls at cardiac part.

Female

Reproductive system is monodelphic-prodelphic. Ovary is 116 to 160 µm long, usually with a flexure at its distal portion. Oviduct is very short, slightly areolate; spermatheca is 23 to 47 µm long, 1.0 to 1.8 times the corresponding body diameter long. Uterus is 48 to 80 µm long, 2.5 to 3.5 times as long as body diameter, tubular, and sometimes containing uterine eggs (53-62×21-23µm). Post-vulval uterine sac is swollen, 40 to 54µm long, and 2.0 to 2.5 times as long as the body diameter. Vagina is 7 to 9µm long, extending inwards over 35 to 42% of the body diameter. Vulva is slightly protruding. Rectum is short, 1.1 to 1.7 times the anal body width; three large gland-like cells are distinguishable around the intestine-rectum junction. Tail is conical-elongate with acute terminus. Phasmids are located at 19 to 23 µm or 28 to 33% of tail length from anus, and lateral fields terminating at phasmids.

Male

Reproductive system is monorchic, with testis reflexed ventrad anteriorly. Spicules are paired and symmetrical: manubrium is rounded, well developed and ventrad bent, short conoid calamus, and slightly curved ventrad lamina with acute tip in lateral view. Gubernaculum is well developed, slightly curved, about one-half of the spicule length, with thin corpus. Three small gland-like cells are distinguishable around the beginning of the cloaca. Tail is conical, slightly curved ventrad, ending in an elongate acute mucro. Genital papillae has seven pairs, two pre-cloacal and five post-cloacal: two pairs subventral at the middle of tail length, two ventral subterminal, and one lateral subterminal. One mid-ventral adcloacal papilla is present. Phasmids are at 21 to 24 µm or 46 to 55% of tail length from the cloacal aperture, close to middle genital papillae.

Other material examined

Two females examined from Acebeas (province of Jaén), with similar morphology and morphometry (Table 1) to the Navarra population, but one female is slightly smaller (493 µm long).

Distribution

The Navarra population (northern Iberian Peninsula) was collected from soil of an oak (Quercus robur L.),

near Pamplona. The two specimens were from Jaén (southern Iberian Peninsula) from soil of an oak (*Quercus faginea* Lam.) forest in Acebeas, Natural Park of Cazorla, Segura and Las Villas, province of Jaén.

Discussion

Proposal of a new concept of *E. oxyuroides*

Iberian specimens match well with the type material described by de Man (1876), but males show slightly larger general size (513-629 vs 447-514 µm long body) and slightly more anterior excretory pore (at 64-72 vs 59% of neck length from the anterior end). Unfortunately, details of post-vulval uterine sac, an important diagnostic character of the species, were not originally provided. Nevertheless, a few years later, de Man (1881) described additional material, including both females and males, and illustrated the female genital system with a long (about 2.5 times the body diameter) postvulval sac. These new specimens studied by de Man do not differ from the Iberian ones in any relevant morphological or morphometric trait, including the long post-vulval sac (2.5 vs 2.0-2.5 times the body diameter). Loof (1961) re-examined one female and one male of de Man's material, but he was unable to provide any relevant morphological or morphometrical information about it, due to its bad condition according to this author. Thus, with due caution, it is herein assumed that Iberian and Dutch exemplars are conspecific.

Based on the material now examined, E. oxyuroides is characterized by its 0.49 to 0.70 mm long body, cuticle with 2µm broad annuli, lip region continuous with the adjoining body and 6µm wide, bearing short probolae, neck 132 to 158µm long, spermatheca 23 to 55 µm long or 1.0 to 1.8 times the corresponding body diameter, uterus 48 to 80 µm long or 2.5 to 3.5 times as long as body diameter, post-vulval uterine sac 40 to 57 µm long or 2.0 to 2.5 times as long as the body diameter, vagina 7 to $9\mu m$ long, V=58 to 64, female tail conical elongate with very acute terminus (60-79 μ m, c=7.1-10.0, c' = 4.9-5.8), male tail conical (36-49 µm, c = 10.9-14.3, c' = 2.4-3.4) with an elongate acute mucro, and spicules 21 to 22 µm long.

On the identity of previously known populations

The above description of *E. oxyuroides* allows analysis and discussion of the identity of previously recorded populations (Table 2).

Table 2. Compendium of Eucephalobus oxyuroides (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a populations.

oernaculum length	I	12-16	12*	I	~	¢-	I	I	6	I	I	6	I	6	I	6	I	~	I	I	I	6	I	I	~	I	I
Spicules Gul length	I	21-22	22**	I	~	6	I	I	6	I	I	6	I	6	I	45	I	~	I	I	I	2	I	I	~	I	I
Tail S mucro	Donoid elongate	Conoid slongate	Conoid elongate	Donoid elongate	Conoid slongate	~	Conoid slongate	~	~	c-	~	¢-	Short conoid	~	~	~	Donoid slongate	Conoid elongate	~	¢-	~	~	~	~	Short conoid	Short conoid	Conoid elongate
Tail length	62-09	36-49	33-45** (~	~	20	40-45	6	57**	27**	6	30**	~	~	~	~		47**	45**	49**	65	20	~	~	20**	36**	~
Anal body width	12-14	14-18	16-17**	~	¢	~	~	17**	~	10**	~	¢-	¢-	~	~	~	~	~	~	¢-	~	~	~	~	~	c-	~
Rectum length iemale)	16-20	I	I	~	I	6	I	6	I	~	5	~	~	I	~	I	¢-	I	~	2	<i>~</i>	~	6	<i>~</i>	~	I	~
Vulva- ant. end	285-405	I	I	~	I	350**	I	6	I	6	6	6	6	I	6	I	390**	I	6	512**	6	6	6	6	326**	I	~
^o ost-vulval uterine sac / body diameter	2.0-2.5	I	I	2.5*	I	¢-	I	~	I	6	6	6	c-	I	6	I	~	I	۵.	6	6	6	6	6	1.4	I	0.8*
Sper- natheca length	23-47	I	I	~	I	6	I	~	I	6	6	6	~	I	6	I	~	I	6	6	6	6	6	6	~	I	~
Body width at m nidbody	19-25	17-23	22-24**	~	~	26	21-24	36**	16**	21**	6	28**	~	6	6	6	34**	29**	16**	28**	21	22	6	6	27**	25**	~
Excretory pore – ant. end r	65-72	64-71	59**	65*	ć	c-	~	69**	6	6	6	6	6	6	c-	~	~	~	c-	c-	6	2	c-	6	~	~	74-77
Nerve E ring – Int. end (%)	61-69	64-68	~	*99	c-	2	~	6	~	27**	6	¢-	~	~	6	~	~	~	6	6	~	~	6	~	c-	c	¢-
Neck ength a	37-153	32-158	45-161**	6	~	160	25-132	143**	122**	149**	~	176**	~	~	~	~	167**	~	104**	164**	213	213	~	~	158**	188**	~
arynx ength	23-140 1	19-145	5-149** 1.	~	¢-	~	¢-	6	112**	141**	6	¢-	~	6	с.	6	¢-	~	с.	ċ	6	6	6	6	c-	c	~
oma Pt	3-14 12	-14 1	2** 12	~	¢.	5	¢-	**0	Ξ	**	2	ć.	~	~	~	5	¢-	~	~	2	~	~	~	~	~	¢.	12
N St	3-64 13	1	-	*1	I		I	51 1	1	61	3-59	I	3-72	I	00	I	00		~	65	ć.	~	9-65	83	09	I	0-62
<i>`</i> ن	9-5.8	4-3.4	5-2.7**	5.1*	2.4*	~	~	5.3**	~	c	3	¢	5.0*	~	~	~	5.2*	2.7*	~	~	~	~	2	~	3.6	2.2	0-6.0 6
S	-10.0 4	9-14.3 2	-11.9** 2.	0.9.0	0-13.0	**0 [.]	-11.3**		9.0	3.1	8-7.8	7.8	-18.0	-12.8	2.4	6.5	3.3	1.6	3.0	6.0	2.3	5.7	0.6-7	-13.1	0.7	3.5	5-9.5 5
	4.7 7.1	4.3 10.9	8.2** 10.4	0.8.(0 12.0	»**	3.8** 11.1	*0		-	4.1 6.8	0	4.9 6.8	5.9 9.6	5.0 1	9		0	4	8	80 T	2	4.3 7.7	3.9 8.7	4	0	4.2 8.5
4	4 3.5-	6. 6. 0.	3** 3.1-3	0 4.1	0 4.0	3.0	t** 3.6-3	4.5	2	ς, α	8 3.7-	3.0	0.3.0-	0 3.5-	4.2-	4.5	с С	ő	ė	4,1	ŝ	4	4 3.3-	0 3.8-	ė	2.0	0 4.0-
a	21.4-32.	21.1-32	20.0-21.8	20.0-25.	20.0-25.	23.8**	20.8-21.4	17.8**	19.0	22.0	19.4-21.	19.0	18.6-29.	17.7-24.	21.4	29.0	19.0	21.0	22.2	28.4	38.1	35.7	16.8-22	20.0-22	20.0	20.0	20.0-23.
Body length	493-695	513-629	447-514**	200	640	620	450-500	640	342	459	500-565	527	450-610	410-520	1926	1485	650	550	356	787	800	787	467-683	410-460	540	490	450-500
2	13 females	9 males	5 males	? females	? males	1 female	2 males	1 female	1 male	1 female	5 females	1 male	19 females	11 males	1 female	1 male	1 female	1 male	1 female	1 female	1 female	1 male	? females	? females	1 female	1 male	? females
Country	Spain		The Vetherlands	The Vetherlands		Hungary		Australia	Switzerland	Austria	Rumania		Austria		Brazil		¥		D. R. Congo	Sweden	Vorway		taly	South Africa	Mongolia		Hungary
Reference	+		~ ~	⊢∠ ∞		4		5	9	7 4	8		6		10 E		11		12 L	13 5	14		15 It	16 5	17		18

Table 2. Compendium of Eucephalobus oxyuroides (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a populations.

E	ength	a	q	U	Ö	10 A	oma	angth 1	ength	ant. end (%)	ant. end (%)	width at midbody	matheca length	sac / body diameter	Vulva- ant. end	length (female)	body width	Tail length r	nucro	opicules Gu length	ubemaculur length
	460	20.0-22.0	3.4-3.8	8.0	4.3*	99	6	5	6	~	6	с.	с.	6	276**	с.	¢-	58**	с.	I	ī
	450	22.2	39.41	9.1	6	62	¢-	6	c	~	6	20**	~	~	279**	~	c	49**	<i>c</i> -	I	I
	750-900	22.0-24.0	3.8-4.4	7.0-9.6	6	58-62	¢-	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	c-	6	~	I	I
	700-800	24.0-25.2	5.0-5.6	7.0-10.7	6	I	~	5	~	~	6	~	I	I	I	~	~	~	6	6	~
	353	17.6	4.0	5.7	6	50	<i>с.</i>	6	**88	~	~	20**	¢-	¢.	6	¢-	c	62**	¢-	I	I
	480-488	16.0-20.0	3.0-3.5	10.0	2.9*		13	131*	144	65*	66*	90	I	I	I	I	18*	48-49** C	tonoid longate	20	12
es	450-700	17.0-23.0	3.0-5.0	6.5-9.0	6.0*	56-72	~	~	¢-	¢-	¢-	¢-	¢-	¢-	c.	¢-	¢-	~	tonoid longate	I	I
	450-650	19.0-28.0	3.0-5.0	7.0-11.0	2.3*	I	~	5	~	~	6	~	I	I	I	I	~	2 V	bsent	6	~
S	~	~	~	ć	6	~	6	~	~	¢-	¢-	¢-	¢-	1.0-3.0	¢-	¢-	¢-	59-73 C	tonoid longate	I	I
lles	370-650	18.0-32.0	3.3-5.0	5.0-8.5	7.3*	55-63 1(0-14	140* 1	08-170	61*	65*	19-23*	16-32*	1.1-1.3*	¢-	20-24	13*	57-105 S c	thort onoid	I	I
S	390-600	21.0-35.0	3.2-4.2	14.6-19.8	2.5	1	0-14	~ +	17-144	~	~	21*	I	I	I	I	14*	24-37 S 0 w	ieta-like r /art-like	14-20	9-12
es	510-560	19.0-22.0	3.4-3.7	7.1-8.0	4.0-5.0	60-62													c	I	I
	520	20.0	3.4	9.5	6	I								1.0-1.5					~	23	12
e	609	23.0	4.0	8.7	4.4	S	12	154	166**	61	8	27	34	1.2	¢-	19	16**	70 S 02	hort onoid	I	I
	525	28.0	3.9	16.4	1.9	I	ŧ	133	144**	83	65	19	I	I	I	I	~	32 0	hort onoid	17	10
Se	524-535	21.4-27.6	3.5-3.7	8.4-8.9	4.2-5.3	60-64 9	9-14 12	3-150 15	56-161**	54-64	54-67	19-25	26-36	0.7-1.7	317-338	18-20	12-15	60-64 S 0	thort onoid	I	I
es	588-729	19.0-26.0	3.8-4.3	5.9-7.4	5.0-6.8	57-61	? 12	7-136	~	6	66-74	17-22	c-	0.5	۵.	13-20	~	32-36	~	I	I
(0	436-556	25.0-30.0	3.2-3.8	10.9-15.7	1.9-2.3	I	? 12	4-139	~	6	64-69	16-17	c-	c-	6	I	~	30-36	~	14-21	8-10
es	380-740	18.0-28.0	3.3-5.0	6.0-10.0	4.2-5.8	56-66 1(0-14 12	0-150	~	6	74-78	6	~	< 1.0	۵.	~	~	06-02	~	I	I
(0)	300-620	20.0-28.0	3.2-4.2	14.0-18.0	ċ	- 1(0-14 12	0-150	¢-	Ċ-	74-78	~	I	I	I	I	¢-	¢-	<i>c</i> -	14-20	9-11
ales	473-579	24.6-27.6	3.3-3.8	9.3-11.0	3.8-4.8	62-63 1	1-12 16	34-153	145*	59-66	61-71	18-24	31-41	0.8-1.3	297-361	16-21	10-14	47-54 S o	hort onoid	I	I
ş	443-547	22.6-28.2	3.3-4.0	9.7-15.0	2.1-3.5	U)	9-12 12	27-145	138*	60-64	61-68	17-22	I	I	I	I	13-17	35-47 S	ihort eta-like	19-22	8-11

Material fitting type material

Those populations or specimens examined by Örley (1880) from Hungary, Thorne (1937) from UK, and Boström (1984) from Sweden match well with the type and others described by de Man (1876, 1881, 1984), having in common a lip region with short labial probolae, excretory pore and nerve ring at metacorpus-isthmus junction, long post-vulval uterine sac *ca.* twice as long as the body diameter while lacking constrictions and septae (only provided in Boström, 1984), and male tail with elongate acute ventral curved mucro.

Mukhina (1981) described a population of *Heterocephalobus nannus* (Steiner, 1936a) Andrássy, 1967 from Primorsky Krai (Russia). Although only the male was illustrated, both the morphological and the morphometrics of this population fit well the diagnosis of *E. oxyuroides*. This it is herein regarded as belonging to this species.

Material not fitting type material

Several records of the species significantly differ from the aforementioned in one or several relevant features. Thus:

- 1. Cobb (1893a, 1893b) described but did not illustrate *Cephalobus similis* from Australia, later regarded as identical with *E. oxyuroides* by Andrássy (1967). The female genital system of this species was considered as 'probably double and symmetrical,' a feature totally incompatible with the cephalobid pattern.
- 2. Rahm (1928, 1929) described but did not illustrate *Cephalobus oxyuroides* var. *brasiliensis* from Brazil on the basis of two excessively large specimens (body 1.92mm in female and 1.49mm in male). This material certainly does not belong to *Eucephalobus*.
- 3. Several features of the Mongolian population studied by Andrássy (1964), who provided Demanian indices and illustrations of the tail in both sexes, suggest that it is not conspecific with the type due to a shorter post-vulval uterine sac (1.4 times the body diameter) and female tail (c' = 3.6), male tail with very short mucro, and spicules lacking manubrium bent ventrad. It might not be a member of *Eucephalobus*.
- 4. The Hungarian females studied by Andrássy (1967) possess high labial probola prongs, excretory pore located at anterior part of isthmus, and post-vulval sac shorter than body diameter. They resemble *E. compsus* (Steiner, 1935) Thorne, 1937.

- Monreal and Campoy (1982) recorded *E. oxyuroides* from northern Spain, provided Demanian indices and illustrated the tail of both sexes. The male tail lacks a distinct mucro, thus this material does not belong to this species. The lip region was not described, but these lberian specimens might be members of the genus *Pseudacrobeles* Steiner, 1938.
- 6. The Brazilian population studied by Rashid et al. (1984) is distinguishable by its short post-vulval sac (about one body diameter), male with setalike or wart-like mucro, and spicules lacking a ventrad bent manubrium. As suggested by De Ley et al. (1993), it resembles *Pseudacrobeles variabilis*.
- 7. Gerber (1991) described (but did not illustrate) six females and one male from Austria characterized by having post-vulval sac 1.0 to 1.5 times the body diameter. This feature is not compatible with *E. oxyuroides*.
- 8. Boström (1993) studied one female and one male from Turkey characterized by having excretory pore apparently located at metacorpus-isthmus junction, post-vulval sac 1.2 times the body diameter and divided in two sections, and male tail bearing a short mucro. Although the author did not provide illustrations, available information suggests that this material resembles *E. hooperi* Marinari-Palmisano, 1967 or *E. compsus*.
- 9. The four Iberian females recorded by Abolafia and Peña-Santiago (2002) have their excretory pore and nerve ring situated at level of metacorpus, post-vulval sac more or less swollen and slightly divided in two sections, with septae. These specimens better fit *E. compsus*.
- 10. The very short post-vulval sac (one-half of body diameters) of the Bulgarian specimens examined by lliev et al. (2003) is not compatible with *E. oxyuroides.*
- 11. Kim et al. (2017) described *E. oxyuroides* from South Korea, but this population resembles *E. hooperi* much more, due to the morphology of post-vulval sac (divided into two regions, proximally tubular and distally swollen) and tail in both sexes.

Material with unverifiable identity

Several records of the species lack key information that might allow confirmation of their precise identity. This is the case of the populations/ specimens described by Micoletzky (1914, 1917, 1921; Austria, Romania), Stefański (1914; Switzerland) Schuurmans-Stekhoven and Teunissen (1938; former Zaire), Allgén (1950, 1953; Sweden, Norway, respectively), Meyl (1953a, 1953b; Italy), Heyns (1961; South Africa), Ivanova (1968; Tajikistan), Mavljanov (1976; Uzbekistan), and Nesterov (1979; Moldova).

Other records

The simple mention of *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* has been reported in many contributions that did not provide any other data, namely Schneider (1923; Germany), De Coninck (1930; Belgium), Franz (1943; Austria), Andrássy (1952, 1960, 1973; Hungary, China, respectively), Meyl (1954, 1955; Germany), Gadea (1967, 1984; Spain), Loof (1971; Norway), Palomo (1977; Spain), Coomans (1989; Belgium), Bussau (1990; Germany), Armendáriz et al., (1996; Spain), Al Banna and Gardner (1996; USA), Bushmakiu et al. (2000; Moldova), Ferenc (2001; Hungary), Lišková and Renčo (2007; Slovakia), Carrión and Desgarennes (2012; Mexico), Gagarin (2018; Vietnam), and Shurkurovich and Akvarovna (2018; Uzbekistan).

Phylogeny and systematics of *Eucephalobus*

Diagnosis (emended)

Cephalobidae, Cephalobinae: they are small nematodes, 0.36 to 1.00mm long, cuticle bearing transverse striation, lateral field with three longitudinal incisures, lip region continuous with the adjacent body and consisting of conoid lips, primary and secondary axils showing similar morphology, biacute labial probolae bearing prongs of variable length, each one fused at its more proximal lip, oval or rounded amphids located at the base of lateral lips, stoma and pharynx are cephaloboid, nerve ring is surrounding the metacorpus or the isthmus, excretory pore is at level of metacorpus or isthmus, female reproductive system is monodelphic-prodelphic, cephaloboid, ovary is usually with a flexure at its distal portion, oviduct is very short, spermatheca is well developed, post-vulval uterine sac is 0.5 to 2.5 times the body diameter, rectum is slightly longer than the anal body diameter, female tail is conical-elongate, conoid, or subcylindrical with seta-like, conoid or ragged mucro, phasmids are at anterior part of tail, male reproductive system is monorchic with testis reflexed anteriorly, spicules are slightly ventral curved, gubernaculum is almost straight, male tail is conical with seta-like, conoid or ragged mucro, genital papillae has seven pairs, two pre-cloacal and five post-cloacal, and phasmids are at mid-length of tail.

List of species

The genus *Eucephalobus* includes 13 valid species plus 2 *species inquirendae vel incertae sedis* (Fig. 5).

Type species:

E. oxyuroides (de Man, 1876) Steiner, 1936a.

=Cephalobus oxyuroides de Man, 1876.

= Cephalobus (Eucephalobus) oxyuroides de Man, 1876 (Schneider, 1939).

=*Cephalobus oxyuroides* f. *acaudata* Micoletzky, 1921.

=Cephalobus similis Cobb, 1893a.

=Heterocephalobus nannus apud Mukhina, 1981 *nec* Steiner (1936a), n. syn.

Other species:

E. asteromucronatus Bostrom and Holovachov, 2011.

E. compsus (Steiner, 1935) Thorne, 1937.

=Neocephalobus compsus Steiner, 1935.

E. cornis (Thorne, 1925) Andrássy, 1967.

=Acrobeles (Acrobeloides) cornis Thorne, 1925.

= Cephalobus cornis (Thorne, 1925) Thorne, 1937.

E. hooperi Marinari-Palmisano, 1967.

=Eucephalobus oxyuroides apud Kim et al. (2017), *nec* de Man (1876), n. syn.

E. iaculocaudatus Bostrom and Holovachov, 2011.

E. mucronatus (Kozłowska and Roguska-Wasilewska, 1963) Andrássy, 1967.

=Eucephalobus mucronatus Kozłowska and Roguska-Wasilewska, 1963.

=Cephalobus dubius var. apicata Maupas, 1900.

= Cephalobus persegnis var. *apicata* Maupas, 1900 (Micoletzky, 1921).

=*Cephalobus striatus* f. *tubifera* sf. *typica* Micoletzky, 1921.

=*Cephalobus striatus* f. *microtubifera* Micoletzky, 1921.

= Cephalobus persegnis apud Rühm, 1956 *nec* Bastian (1865).

= Eucephalobus arcticus Loof, 1971.

E. panaxi Mukhina, 1990.

E. paracornutus de Coninck, 1943.

E. plicicaudatus Mukhina, 1970.

E. strandicornutus (Allgén, 1934) Andrássy, 1967.

= Cephalobus strandicornutus Allgén, 1934.

=*Cephalobus (Heterocephalobus) strandicornutus* Allgén, 1934 (Brzeski, 1960).

= Heterocephalobus strandicornutus (Allgén, 1934) Brzeski, 1961.

E. striatus (Bastian, 1865) Thorne, 1937.

=Cephalobus striatus Bastian, 1865.

= Cephalobus (Eucephalobus) striatus Bastian, 1865 (Schneider, 1939).

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 5: Lip region, post-vulval uterine sac, male and female tails of the species of the genus *Eucephalobus* Steiner, 1936a including *species inquirendae*. Based on original descriptions except E (male tail) cf. Amirzadi et al. (2013); G (lip region), M (lip region, tails) cf. Andrássy (1967); H (post-vulval sac), M (post-vulval sac) cf. Boström (1984); H (female tail) cf. de Man (1984). Not to scale.

=*Cephalobus striatus* f. *atubifera* Micoletzky, 1921.

=Cephalobus bursifer de Man, 1876.

E. tribei Swart and Heyns, 1997.

Species inquirendae vel incertae sedis:

E. heterocheilus (Steiner, 1935) Andrássy, 1984 (see Comments).

= Panagrolaimus heterocheilus Steiner, 1935.

=Heterocephalobus heterocheilus (Steiner, 1935) Andrássy, 1967.

E. setensis Kannan, 1960 (see Comments).

Species transferred to other genus:

E. nannus Steiner, 1936a to *Panagrolaimus* (see Comments).

= Tricephalobus nannus (Steiner, 1936a) Steiner, 1936b.

= Heterocephalobus nannus (Steiner, 1936a) Andrássy, 1967.

Comments on some species

The identity of *E. heterocheilus* raises some doubt. It is characterized by having acute lips and cephaloboid stoma as well as pharynx, but the female reproductive system lacks a spermatheca and its ovary is long, reaching the posterior part of the intestine, features better agreeing with *Panagrolaimus* Fuchs, 1930. The male posterior end, with a fine mucro curved dorsad, also resembles that present in some *Panagrolaimus* species. It is possible that this species was originally described from material belonging to more than one species. It is hence regarded here as *species inquirenda*.

Andrássy (1984) considered *E. setensis* to be a *species inquirenda*. Its original description was based on only one Indian female, characterized by a combination of features (lips not acute, wide stomatal lumen, indistinct genital system with very short uterus and without spermatheca or post-vulval sac, and elongate tail covered by short setae-like filaments, probably artifact) that does not fit the *Eucephalobus* pattern. Thus, it is herein regarded as *incertae sedis*.

Some relevant morphological traits of *E. nannus* suggest that it does not belong to this genus. Its long gymnostom and very short stegostom, pharynx with short slightly robust metacorpus and elongate isthmus, and conoid female tail better fit the pattern of the genus *Panagrolaimus* resembling for instance *P. verrucosus* Fuchs, 1930. Thus, it is transferred to this genus as *Panagrolaimus nannus* (Steiner, 1936a) n. comb.

Key to species identification

(1a) Female tail conoid elongate with acute tip 2.

(1b) Female tail short conoid, subcylindrical or clavate with rounded tip, with or without mucro 7.

(2a) Female tail conoid tapering sharply from its middle *strandicornutus*.

(2b) Female tail conoid tapering uniformly until the end 3.

(3a) Post-vulval uterine sac *ca.* twice longer than body diameter 4.

(3b) Post-vulval uterine sac as long as or shorter than body diameter 5.

(4a) Probolae short but visibly acute; male tail usually with mucro as long as gubernaculum (rarely shorter); spicules elongate with small rounded manubrium and long calamus *oxyuroides*.

(4b) Probolae very reduced, obscure; male tail with very short mucro; spicules robust with wide rounded manubrium and short calamus *tribei*.

(5a) Lip region as wide as adjacent part of body; post-vulval uterine sac slightly constricted at its middle length *compsus*.

(5b) Lip region narrower that the adjacent part of body; post-vulval uterine sac wider at its middle length 6.

(6a) Post-vulval uterine sac with tubular proximal part and swollen distal part; male tail with elongate fine mucro; spicules with calamus as long as wide *hooperi.*

(6b) Post-vulval uterine sac not well differentiated in tubular and globular part, this latter with solid lumen; male tail with short mucro, thicker at it base; spicules with calamus longer than wide *panaxi*.

(7a) Female tail with warty or irregular mucro 8.

(7b) Female tail with smooth seta-like mucro or lacking mucro 9.

(8a) Nerve ring and excretory pore more anterior, at metacorpus level; female tail subcylindrical with mucro placed centrally on tip *asteromucronatus*.

(8b) Nerve ring and excretory pore more posterior, at isthmus level; female tail conical with mucro placed ventrally on tip *iaculocaudatus*.

(9a) Female tail conoid with rounded terminus or slightly clavate 10.

(9b) Female tail subcylindrical 11.

(10a) Female tail slightly clavate, with or without mucro *striatus*.

(10b) Female tail conoid lacking mucro *plicicaudatus*.

(11a) Female and male tails lacking mucro cornis.

(11b) Female and male tails usually with mucro 12.

(12a) Tail with long and robust mucro placed ventrally on tip; spicules 23 to $25\,\mu m$ long paracornutus.

(12b) Tail with short and thin mucro placed centrally on tip, sometimes absent; spicules 25 to 33 µm long *mucronatus*.

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

Notes about the phylogeny of *Eucephalobus*

As derived from the molecular analyses based on the 18S and 28S rDNA fragments, the evolutionary relationships of *Eucephalobus* are presented in the trees of Figures 6 and 7, respectively. The phylogenetic tree generated by 28S sequences is robust, however, the 18S tree is poorly resolved having Bayesian probabilities with low values. The most relevant result of these analyses is that, in both cases, *Eucephalobus* sequences occupy a basal position, together with sequences of the genus *Pseudacrobeles*. Morphologically, these two genera are very similar taxa, especially when elongate-tailed species of *Eucephalobus* – for instance, *E. oxyuroides*– are compared to members of *Pseudacrobeles*, as they share simple (poorly developed) labial probolae, probably a plesiomorphic condition within Cephalobidae against the more complex (elongate and ramified) probolae that characterizes other lineages of the family and probably represents the corresponding apomorphic condition. Thus, present results propose a new approach to interpret the Cephalobidae phylogeny, in agreement with traditional postulates based on morphological data. Previous contributions, mainly based on molecular analyses, situated *Eucephalobus* species at intermediate (rather than basal) position within the subfamily Cephalobidae, closer to either



0.004

Figure 6: Bayesian inference tree showing the phylogenetic position of *Eucephalobus* and related taxa based on sequences of the 18S rDNA region. Bayesian posterior probabilities (%) are given for each clade. Scale bar shows the number of substitutions per site.



Figure 7: Bayesian Inference tree showing the phylogenetic position of *Eucephalobus* and related taxa based on sequences of the 28S rDNA region. Bayesian posterior probabilities (%) are given for each clade. Scale bar shows the number of substitutions per site.

the representatives of *Zeldia* (Nadler et al., 2006) or *Cephalobus* (Smythe and Nadler, 2006; Donn et al., 2012). On this subject, our results partially agree with those of van Megen et al. (2009), who also suggest a basal position of *Eucephalobus*, closer to *Cephalobus* and *Heterocephalobus* (synonym of *Pseudacrobeles*), although the phylogeny of the group was not very satisfactorily resolved by their

analyses as *Eucephalobus* sequences appeared separated into two clades.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank 'University of Jaén/Caja Rural Jaén Foundation' (Spain) for financial support received for the project entitled 'Filogeografía de nematodos rabdítidos (Nematoda, Rhabditida) en ambientes xerofíticos del sur de la península ibérica' (UJA2014/03/01) and the research activities 'PAIUJA 2017/2018: EL_RNM02_2017' and 'PAIUJA 2019/2020: EL_RNM02_2019' of the University of Jaén (Spain). SEM pictures were obtained with the assistance of technical staff (Amparo Martínez-Morales) and equipment of 'Centro de Instrumentación Científico-Técnica (CICT)' from University of Jaén. English text has been revised by Professor Paul De Ley (University of California, Riverside, USA).

References

Abolafia, J. 2015. A low-cost technique to manufacture a container to process meiofauna for scanning electron microscopy. Microscopy Research and Technique 78:771–6, doi: 10.1002/jemt.22538.

Abolafia, J. and Peña-Santiago, R. 2002. Nematodos del orden Rhabditida de Andalucía Oriental. El género *Eucephalobus* Steiner, 1936. Graellsia 58:59–78, doi: 10.3989/graellsia.2002.v58.i1.267.

Abolafia, J. and Peña-Santiago, R. 2017. On the identity of *Chiloplacus magnus* Rashid and Heyns, 1990 and *C. insularis* Orselli and Vinciguerra, 2002 (Rhabditida: Cephalobidae), two confusable species. Nematology 19:1017–34, doi: 10.1163/15685411–00003104.

Al Banna, L. and Gardner, S. L. 1996. Nematode diversity of native species of *Vitis* in California. Canadian Journal of Zoology 74:971–82, doi: 10.1139/z96–110.

Allgén, C. A. 1934. Nematoden von der Südküste Schwedens. Folia Zoologica et Hydrobiologica 5:290–305.

Allgén, C. A. 1950. Westschwedische marine litorale und terrestrische Nematoden. Arkiv för Zoologi 21:301–44.

Allgén, C. A. 1953. LXV. Terrestrial nematodes from Jan Mayen. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 6:665–89.

Amirzadi, N., Shokoohi, E. and Abolafia, J. 2013. Description of nine species of the family Cephalobidae (Nematoda, Rhabditida) and morphometric analysis in the genus *Acrobeles* von Linstow, 1877. Acta Zoologica Bulgarica 65:3–26.

Andrássy, I. 1952. Freilebende Nematoden aus dem Bükk-Gebirge. Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 2:13–65.

Andrássy, I. 1960. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der freilebenden Nematoden Chinas. Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 52:201–16.

Andrássy, I. 1964. Ergebnisse der zoologischen Forschungen von Dr. Z. Kaszab in der Mongolei. 4. Einige Bodennematoden aus der Mongolei. Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici, pars Zoologica 56:241–55.

Andrássy, I. 1967. Die Unterfamilie Cephalobinae (Nematoda: Cephalobidae) und ihre Arten. Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 13:1–37. Andrássy, I. 1973. 100 neue Nematodenarten in der ungarischen Fauna. Opuscula Zoologica Budapestinensis 11:7–48.

Andrássy, I. 1984. "Klasse Nematoda (Ordnungen Monhysterida, Desmoscolecida, Araeolaimida, Chromadorida, Rhabditida)", In Franz, H. (Ed.), Bestimmungsbücher zur Bodenfauna Europas No. 9 Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart, 509 pp.

Andrássy, I. 2005. "Free-living nematodes of Hungary (Nematoda errantia)", In Csuzdi, C. and Mahunka, S. (Eds), Pedozoologica Hungarica Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Vol. 1, 518 pp.

Armendáriz, I., Hernández, M. A. and Jordana, R. 1996. Temporal evolution of soil nematode communities in *Pinus nigra* forests of Navarra, Spain. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 19:561–77.

Baermann, G. 1917. Eine einfache Methode zur Auffindung von *Ankylostomum* (Nematoden) Larven in Erdproben. Geneeskundig Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch-Indië 57:131–7.

Bastian, H. C. 1865. Monograph on the Anguillulidae, or free nematoids, marine, land, and freshwater; with descriptions of 100 new species. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London – Zoology 25:73–184, doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.14153.

Boström, S. 1984. A scanning electron microscope study of three species of *Eucephalobus* Steiner, 1936 (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). Nematologica 30:131–9, doi: 10.1163/187529284X00022.

Boström, S. 1993. Some cephalobids from Turkey (Nematoda: Rhabditida). Nematologia Mediterranea 21:295–300.

Boström, S. and Holovachov, O. 2011. Two new species of *Eucephalobus* Steiner, 1936 and one new species of *Pseudacrobeles* Steiner, 1938 (Rhabditida: Cephalobidae) with mucronate tail. Journal of Nematode Morphology and Systematics 14:91–104.

Brzeski, M. W. 1960. *Cephalobus (Heterocephalobus) kaczanowskii* subgen. nov., sp. nov. (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences 8:163–5.

Brzeski, M. W. 1961. Revision of the genus *Heterocephalobus* Brzeski, 1960 n. grad. (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences 9:97–100.

Bushmakiu, G., Poiras, L. and Tcaciuc, M. 2000. Soil invertebrates (Nematoda, Acari: Oribatei, Collembola) of Codri Forest Reserve. Contributions from the Biological Laboratory, Kyoto University 29:49–64.

Bussau, C. 1990. Freilebende Nematoden aus Küstendünen und angrenzenden Biotopen der deutschen und dänischen Küsten. I. Gesamtüberblick und Chromadorida (Nematoda). Zoologischer Anzeiger 225:161–88.

Bütschli, O. 1873. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der freilebenden Nematoden. Nova Acta der Kaiserlich Leopoldinisch-Carolinische Deutschen Akademie der Naturforscher 36:1–124.

Carrión, G. and Desgarennes, D. 2012. Effect of Paecilomyces lilacinus in free-living nematodes to the rhizosphere associates potatoes grown in the Cofre of Perote Region, Veracruz, Mexico. Revista Mexicana de Fitopalología 30:86–90.

Castresana, J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17:540–52, doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334.

Cobb, N. A. 1893a. "Nematodes, mostly Australian and Fijian", In Fletcher, J. J. (Ed.), The Macleay Memorial volume Linnean Society of New South Wales, Sydney, London and Berlin, 252–308, available at: http://storage. lib.uchicago.edu/pres/2007/pres2007–0035.pdf.

Cobb, N. A. 1893b. Nematodes, mostly Australian and Fijian. Miscellaneous Publications, Vol. 13, 3–59. + Pl. I–VII (Reprinted from the *Macleay Memorial Volume*, by arrangement with the Linnean Society of New South Wales).

Coomans, A. 1989. Overzicht van de vrijlevende nematofauna van België (Nematoda). Verhandelingen van het Symposium "Invertebraten van België", 43–56.

Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R. and Posada, D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9:772, doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2109.

De Coninck, L. 1930. Over de oekologische verspreiding van vrijlevende nematoden in België. Botanisch Jaarboek 22:129–70.

De Coninck, L. 1943. Wetenschappenlijke resultaten der studiereis van Prof. Dr. P. van Oye op Ijsland. XIV. Sur quelques espèces nouvelles de nématodes libres des eaux et des terres saumâtres de l'Islande. Biologisch Jaarboek Gent. 10:193–220.

De Ley, P., Siddiqi, M. R. and Boström, S. 1993. A revision of the genus *Pseudacrobeles* Steiner, 1938 (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). Part 1. Subgenus *Pseudacrobeles* grad. n. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 16:219–38.

De Ley, P., van de Velde, M. C., Mounport, D., Baujard, P. and Coomans, A. 1995. Ultrastructure of the stoma in Cephalobidae, Panagrolaimidae and Rhaditidae, with a proposal for a revised stoma terminology in Rhabditida (Nematoda). Nematologica 41:153–82, doi: 10.1163/003925995X00143.

de Man, J. G. 1876. Onderzoekingen over vrij in de aarde levende Nematoden. Tijdschrift van der Nederlandsche Dierkundige Vereeniging 2 1875 78–196 + III–XIII.

de Man, J. G. 1881. Die einheimischen, frei in der reinen Erde und im süssen Wasser lebenden Nematoden. Tijdschrift van der Nederlandsche Dierkundige Vereeniging 5:1–104.

de Man, J. G. 1984. Die frei in der reinen Erde und im süssen Wasser lebenden Nematoden der niederländischen Fauna. Eine systematisch-faunistische Monographie E.J. Brill, Leiden, 206 pp. Donn, S., Neilson, R., Griffiths, B. S. and Daniell, T. J. 2012. A novel molecular approach for rapid assessment ofsoil nematode assemblages – variation, validation and potential applications. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3:12–23, doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00145.x.

Ferenc, M. 2001. Somogy megye 'féregfaunájáak' adatai a XX. Század végén. Natura Somogyiensis 1:11–5.

Franz, H. 1943. Die Landtierwelt der Mittleren Hohen Tauen. Ein beitrag zur tiergeographischen und soziologischen erforschung der Alpen Springer-Verlag GMBH, Vienna, 552 pp.

Fuchs, G. 1930. Neue an Borken- und Rüsselkäfer gebundene Nematoden, halbparasitische und Wohnungseinmieter. Freilebende Nematoden aus Moos und Walderde in Borken- und Rüsselkäfergängen. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Systematik) 59:505–646.

Gadea, E. 1967. La comunidad nematódica de los suelos muscíneos mediterráneos. Micelánea Zoológica 2:7–11.

Gadea, E. 1984. "9. Nematodos terrestres de les Illes Medes", In Ros, J., Olivella, I. and Gili, J. M. (Eds), Els sistemes naturals de les Illes Medes Institut d'Estudis Catalans, Barcelona, 828 pp.

Gagarin, V. G. 2018. An annotated checklist of the free-living nematodes from mangrove thickets of Vietnam. Zootaxa 4403:261–88, doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.4403.2.3.

Gerber, K. 1991. Einige Nematoden aus alpinen Bödem in den Hohen Tauern (Österreich). Linzer Biologische Beitrage 23:661–700.

Heyns, J. 1961. Taxonomic studies on nematodes from South Africa. PhD thesis, Madison: University of Wisconsin, 92 pp.

lliev, I., llieva, Z. and Mitor, M. 2003. Morphometrics of nematodes of the Tisata Reserve: Ordo Rhabditida Chitwood, 1933. Silva Balcanica 3:35–58.

Ivanova, T. S. 1968. Nematodes of cereals from the Zeravshan valley of Tadzjikistan (In Russian) Publishing House "Donish", Dushanbe, 84 pp.

Kannan, S. 1960. Soil nematodes from Madras City. Journal of the Zoological Society of India 12:40–50.

Kim, T., Bae, Y. J. and Park, J. -K. 2017. First record of *Eucephalobus oxyuroides* (Nematoda: Rhabditida: Cephalobidae) from South Korea. Animal Systematics, Evolution and Diversity 33:256–61, doi: 10.5635/ ASED.2017.33.4.027.

Kozłowska, J. and Roguska-Wasilewska, L. 1963. A new species of the genus *Cephalobus* Bast., 1865 (*Cephalobus mucronatus* n. sp.) and observations on its occurrence. Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences 11:247–9.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G. and Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33:1870–4, doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054.

Lišková, M. and Renčo, M. 2007. Communities of free living and plant parasitic nematodes in hop

gardens in Slovakia. Helminthologia 44:80–6, doi: 10.2478/s11687–007–0008–6.

Loof, P. A. A. 1961. The nematode collection of Dr. J. G. de Man. Beaufortia 93:169–254.

Loof, P. A. A. 1971. Freeliving and plant parasitic nematodes from Spitzbergen, collected by Mr. H. van Rossen. Mededelingen Landbouwhogeschool Wageningen 71:1–86.

Marinari-Palmisano, A. 1967. Contributo alla conoscenza di alcuni nematodi dei generi *Rhabditoides*, *Eucephalobus*, *Heterocephalobus*. Redia 50:289–308.

Maupas, E. F. 1900. Modes et formes de reproduction des nématodes. Archives de Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale 8:463–624 + plates XVI–XXVI.

Mavljanov, O. M. 1976. Paraziticheskie i pochvennye nematody tekhnicheskikh kultur juga Uzbekistana Izdatel'stvo "Fan" Uzbekskoy SSR, Tashkent, 84 pp.

Meyl, A. H. 1953a. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Nematodenfauna vulkanisch erhitzter Biotope. I. Mitteilung. Die terrikolen Nematoden im Bereich von Fumarolen auf der Insel Ischia. Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere 42:67–116.

Meyl, A. H. 1953b. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Nematodenfauna vulkanisch erhitzter Biotope. II. Mitteilung. Die in thermalgewässern der Insel Ischia Vorkommenden Nematoden. Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere 42:159–208.

Meyl, A. H. 1954. Die Fadenwürmer (Nematoda) einiger Salzstellen südöstlich von Braunschweig. Abhandlungen der Braunschweigischen Wissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft 6:84–106.

Meyl, A. H. 1955. Freilebende Nematoden aus binnenländischen Salzbiotopen zwischen Braunschweig und Magdeburg. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 50:568–614.

Micoletzky, H. 1914. Freilebende Süsswasser-Nematoden der Ost-Alpen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Lunzer Seengebietes. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere) 36 pp. 331–546 + Tf. 9-19.

Micoletzky, H. 1917. Freilebende Süsswasser-Nematoden der Bukowina. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere) 40:29–586.

Micoletzky, H. 1921. Die freilebenden Erd-Nematoden mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Steiermark und der Bukowina, zugleich mit einer Revision sämtlicher nicht mariner, freilebender Nematoden in Form von Genus-Beschreibungen und Bestimmungsschlüsseln. Archiv für Naturgeschichte 87:1–650.

Monreal, J. I. and Campoy, A. 1982. Estudio faunístico del macizo de Quinto Real. VI: Nematodos (Nematoda). Publicaciones de Biología de la Universidad de Navarra, Serie Zoológica 8:1–92.

Mukhina, T. I. 1970. [A new species of the genus *Eucephalobus* Steiner, 1936 (Nematoda, Cephalobidae)] in Russian. Parazitologiya 4:569–72. Mukhina, T. I. 1981. "Fauna nematod zamanikhi Primorskogo kraja", In Eroshenko, A. S. and Belogurov, O. I. (Eds), Svobodnozhivushchie i fitopatogennye nematody fauny Dalnego Vostoka. Dal'nevostochny Nauchnyi Tsentrakademii Nauk SSR Biologo-Pochvennyl Institut, Vladivostok, pp. 41–62, 100-156 (figs).

Mukhina, T. I. 1990. [A new species of the genus *Eucephalobus* (Nematoda, Cephalobidae) from Ginseng] in Russian. Zoologiceskij Zhurnal 69:130–4.

Nadler, S. A., De Ley, P., Mundo-Ocampo, M., Smythe, A. B., Stock, P., Bumbarger, D., Adams, B. J., De Ley, I. T., Holovachov, O. and Baldwin, J. G. 2006. Phylogeny of Cephalobina (Nematoda): Molecular evidence for recurrent evolution of probolae and incongruence with traditional classifications. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 40:696–711, doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.04.005.

Nesterov, P. I. 1979. Plant-parasitic and free-living nematodes of the south-eastern USSR (in Russian) Kishinev, Shtiintsa, 314 pp.

Örley, L. 1880. Az Anguillulidák magánrajza. (Monographie der Anguilluliden). Természetraji Füzetek 4:16–150.

Palomo, A. 1977. Consideraciones biocenóticas sobre la nematofauna edáfica de las sierras de la Cordillera Central y provincia de Salamanca. Bolletí de la Societat d'História Natural de les Balears 22:202–19.

Rahm, G. M. 1928. Alguns nematodes parasitas e semi-parasitas das plantas culturaes do Brasil. Archivos do Instituto de Biologia e Defensa Agricola e Animal 1:239–51.

Rahm, G. M. 1929. Alguns nematodes parasitas e semi-parasitas de diversas plantas culturaes do Brasil. Archivos do Instituto de Biologia e Defensa Agricola e Animal 2:67–136.

Rambaut, A. 2018. FigTree, a graphical viewer of phylogenetic trees (Version 1.4.4), available at: http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree (accessed November 25, 2018).

Rashid, F., Geraert, E. and Sharma, R. D. 1984. Morphology, taxonomy and morphometry of some Cephalobidae (Nematoda: Rhabditida) from Brazil, with descriptions of two new genera and four new species. Nematologica 30:251–99, doi: 10.1163/187529284X00194.

Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M. A. and Huelsenbeck, J. P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61:539–42, doi: 10.1093/sysbio/sys029.

Rühm, W. 1956. Die Nematoden der Ipiden. Parasitologische Schriftenreihe 6:1–437.

Schneider, A. F. 1866. Monographie der Nematoden G. Reimer, Berlin, 357 pp.

Schneider, W. 1923. Niederrheinische freilebende Nematoden. Zoologischer Anzeiger 56:264–81.

Schneider, W. 1939. "Würmer oder vermes II: Fadenwürmer oder Nematoden I: Freilebende und pflanzenparasitische Nematoden", In Dahl, F. (Ed.), Die Tierwelt Deutschlands und der angrenzenden Meeresteile 36 Verlag von Gustav Fischer, Jena, 260 pp.

Schuurmans-Stekhoven, J. H. and Teunissen, R. J. H. 1938. "Nématodes libres terrestres", In Exploration du Parc National Albert, Mission G.F. de Witte (1933– 1935), Brussels: Institut des Parcs Nationaux du Congo Belge, Vol. 22, 229 pp.

Shurkurovich, K. A. and Akvarovna, S. Z. 2018. Review of the ecological composition of wheat phytonematodes and wild cereals in Uzbekistan. European Science Review 5–6:35–7.

Siddiqi, M. R. 1964. Studies on *Discolaimus* spp. (Nematoda: Dorylaimidae) from India. Zeitschrift für zoologische Systematik und Evolutionsforschung 2:174–84, doi: 10.1111/j.1439–0469.1964.tb00720.x.

Smythe, B. and Nadler, A. 2006. Molecular phylogeny of *Acrobeloides* and *Cephalobus* (Nematoda: Cephalobidae) reveals paraphyletic taxa and recurrent evolution of simple labial morphology. Nematology 8:819–36, doi: 10.1163/156854106779799178.

Stefański, W. 1914. "Recherches sur la faune des nematodes libres du Bassin du Léman", Thèse, Genève: Imprimerie J. Studer, 72 pp. Steiner, G. 1935. Opuscula miscellanea nematologica, II. Proceedings of the helminthological Society of Washington 2:104–10.

Steiner, G. 1936a. Opuscula miscellanea nematologica, III. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 3:16–22.

Steiner, G. 1936b. Opuscula miscellanea nematologica, IV. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 3:74–80.

Steiner, G. 1938. Opuscula miscellanea nematologica. VII. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 5:35–40.

Thorne, G. 1925. The genus *Acrobeles* von Linstow, 1887. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 44:171–210.

Thorne, G. 1937. A revision of the nematode family Cephalobidae Chitwood and Chitwood, 1934. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 4:1–16.

van Megen, H., van den Elsen, S., Holterman, M., Karssen, G., Mooyman, P., Bongers, T., Holovachov, O., Bakker, J. and Helder, J. 2009. A phylogenetic tree of nematodes based on about 1200 full-length small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Nematology 11:927–50, doi: 10.1163/156854109X456862.