

Gingival-colored Porcelain: A Clinical Report of an Esthetic-prosthetic Paradigm

Abstract

Traditionally, periodontics has been instrumental in treating hard- and soft-tissue defect. Surgical and regenerative periodontal procedures can reconstruct the three-dimensional architecture of the hard- and soft-tissue defect. However, at times, these invasive procedures leave the patients with an esthetic problem. In such situations, the defects can be treated by the prosthetic approach. A predictable esthetically pleasing and functional outcome without any surgical procedure is being a choice of treatment for many. This article discusses about the treatment for the defect of excessive hard and soft tissue, using porcelain fused to a metal restoration with gingival-colored porcelain for both tooth-supported and implant-supported fixed prosthesis.

Keywords: Esthetics, fixed dental prosthesis, gingival epithesis, implant prosthesis

Introduction

The dental profession holds a special position of trust within the society. Years ago, dentists were in the repair business. However, the trend of contemporary dentistry is toward restoration and esthetics as well. Practicing dentistry is not easy and practicing esthetic dentistry steps up to more complex technique and psychological understanding of desires and expectations of the patients.

Dental esthetics is not only based on “white component” of the restoration but also on the “pink component.”^[1] Many a times, the pink component or gingival tissue is lost due to extensive gingival and periodontal surgical procedures, trauma, ridge resorption, traumatic extraction, or trauma from occlusion.^[2] The regenerative surgical procedure that can be employed includes soft-tissue grafts.^[3] Even after surgical procedures, in some cases, the results are unpredictable and unsatisfactory in terms of esthetics and function.

An alternative to treat such gingival tissue defect is by the prosthetic approach. Many authors have suggested fixed prosthesis with gingival-colored porcelain or removable gingival prosthesis as a replacement for the defect.^[4,5] This clinical report discusses two cases of recreating pink component

in the esthetic zone using gingival-colored porcelain for tooth-supported and implant-supported fixed dental prosthesis.

Case Reports

Case 1

A 32-year-old female presented with a main complaint of unaesthetic appearance of the maxillary anterior teeth due to severe gingival recession. She also had complaints of hypersensitivity in the same region. On clinical examination, Grade II mobility and Miller’s Class IV recession was seen with maxillary left central incisor, and maxillary right central incisor was Grade I mobile with Miller’s Class I gingival recession [Figure 1].

Radiographic and periodontal evaluation presented poor prognosis with maxillary left central incisor that advised extraction of the same whereas maxillary right central incisor presented fair prognosis. Except for extraction of the maxillary left central incisor, because of poor prognosis, the patient did not agree for any of the invasive surgical procedures. Hence, taking into consideration all the aspects of the examination, a comprehensive treatment plan of fixed prosthesis with gingival-colored porcelain was decided. After a thorough oral prophylaxis and diagnostic impression, maxillary left central incisor was extracted followed by its root resection.

How to cite this article: Sonune SJ, Kumar S, Jadhav MS, Martande S. Gingival-colored porcelain: A clinical report of an esthetic-prosthetic paradigm. *Int J App Basic Med Res* 2017;7:275-7.

Shital Jalandar Sonune,
Shiv Kumar¹,
Manish Shivaji Jadhav²,
Santosh Martande³

Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dentistry, Al Jouf University, Skaka, Al Jouf, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, ¹Department of Prosthodontics, Luxmi Bai Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Patiala, Punjab, ²Departments of Prosthodontics and ³Periodontics, Dr. D.Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Received: 18 October, 2016.

Accepted: 25 September, 2017.

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Shital Jalandar Sonune, S. No. 29/1, Vighnaharta Nagar, Katraj-Kondhawa Road, Katraj, Pune - 411 046, Maharashtra, India.

E-mail: shitalsonune@yahoo.com

Access this article online

Website:
www.ijabmr.org

DOI:
10.4103/ijabmr.IJABMR_405_16

Quick Response Code:



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

This natural crown, used as natural pontic, was immediately splinted with the adjacent teeth to fulfill an esthetic requirement. After complete healing of the soft tissue and thorough evaluation of the diagnostic wax-up for probable tooth-supported fixed prosthesis with gingival-colored porcelain, the natural tooth pontic was removed. Crown preparation with 11 and 22 was done for receiving porcelain fused to metal restoration. The final impression was made in addition silicone (Express, 3M ESPE, Putty and Light Body, 3M Deutschland GmbH, Germany).

Provisional restoration, made using diagnostic wax-up, was cemented with temporary cement. An intraoral photograph was taken to achieve the natural soft-tissue color with gingival-colored porcelain. The coping trial was done to evaluate the finished margins and extent of probable gingival-colored porcelain. The final prosthesis [Figure 2] was cemented with glass ionomer cement (GC Fuji I Luting cement, GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The patient was instructed about care and maintenance of the prosthesis. At regular intervals, 1-year follow-up was done. The patient was esthetically satisfied.

Case 2

A 24-year-old male presented with the main complaint of missing maxillary anterior teeth and wanted replacement of the same for esthetics. Clinical examination showed Seibert's Class III ridge defect and Miller's Class I gingival recession with 12 and 22. Taking into consideration the thorough clinical and radiographic evaluation and the age and desire of the patient, a treatment plan of an implant-supported fixed prosthesis with gingival-colored porcelain was decided.

A thorough medical history and dental history was taken, and diagnostic wax-up was done on the mounted casts. Two implants (Myriad Plus, Equinox Medical Technology, Amersfoort, NL) of 3.3 mm × 9.5 mm and 3.3 mm × 11 mm were placed in the maxillary 11 and 21 regions. Four months after placement and healing, following the standard protocols, the implants were uncovered and gingival formers were placed for 2 weeks. The final impression was made using open tray technique. The prepared abutment was screwed onto the implants with a torque of 30 N-cm [Figure 3].

Metal coping trial was done, and final porcelain fused to metal restoration, which included gingival-colored porcelain [Figure 4] to recover the missing peri-implant soft-tissue defect, was cemented using zinc phosphate cement (Harvard cement normal setting, Harvard Dental International GmbH, Germany). The patient was followed-up for 2 months and thereafter once in 6 months. After 2-year follow-up, the patient was functioning well without any complications with the prosthesis.

Discussion

A person's smile clearly plays a significant role in the perception that others have of our appearance and our



Figure 1: Miller's Class IV recession with 21 and Class I recession with 11



Figure 2: Final prosthesis with gingival-colored porcelain



Figure 3: Prepared abutments screwed onto the implants

personality. Restoration of the defective environment inside an esthetic zone is always a challenge. Surgical or reconstructive procedures to re-establish the three-dimensional architecture of hard- or soft-tissue deformities have been developed and performed successfully throughout the past 15 years.^[6]



Figure 4: Functional and esthetic restoration

However, the result of the surgical procedure is slow, dependent on patient's cooperation, and cannot replace Class III and Class IV Miller's recession defect where bone loss and gingival recession is severe. It is possible to create esthetically pleasing and anatomically correct tissue contours when small volumes of tissues are being reconstructed. However, this method is unpredictable when a large volume of tissue is missing.^[1]

In such situations, a gingival-colored prosthesis can be one of the options as a treatment to recover the hard- and soft-tissue defect. This gingival-colored prosthesis can be of fixed or removable type.^[1,2] Various authors have described clinical situation-based and material-based gingival-colored prosthesis.^[7-9] This article presented two clinical reports of gingival-colored prosthesis for both tooth-supported and implant-supported fixed prosthesis.

However, the biomechanical principles associated with each approach are completely different. As a result of severe bone loss and supportive periodontal ligament, it was not possible to recreate the soft-tissue architecture in either of the cases. A retrospective study in the maxillary anterior region has shown that when the distance from the contact point to the bony crest was greater than 5 mm (due to bone loss), the preservation of interproximal papilla may not be predictable.^[10] The option of fixed prosthesis was chosen for both the cases since it gives a significant comfort and self-confidence to the patient with a more natural feeling without any discomfort.

Except for crown preparation and implant placement, both the patients do not have to undergo any additional, uncertain surgical procedure. Furthermore, with the diagnostic wax-up, it was possible to show the patients more of a predictable outcome of the planned treatment. Alani *et al.*^[7] stated excess sound tooth tissue removal from root dentin, difficult assess for cleansability, and color with characterization mismatching as potential limitations of gingival color porcelain. A wider understanding and proper technical utilization of gingival-colored porcelain

in esthetic zone deformity can be involved as a prosthetic paradigm in practicing contemporary esthetic dentistry.

Conclusion

Dentistry is varying with induction of modern science to practice dentistry.^[11] Two clinical situations were discussed to manage hard- and soft-tissue defect for both tooth-supported and implant-supported fixed prosthesis. The meticulous use of gingival-colored prosthesis can not only reproduce a predictable esthetic result but can also give the patient satisfaction as well, without any further invasive procedure.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Barzilay I, Irene T. Gingival prostheses – A review. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2003;69:74-8.
2. Vinnakota DN, Akula SR, Kukunoor S, MEMPALLY H. Diverse modalities of gingival replacement: A report of three cases. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2012;3:320-2.
3. Speroni S, Cicciu M, Maridati P, Grossi GB, Maiorana C. Clinical investigation of mucosal thickness stability after soft tissue grafting around implants: A 3-year retrospective study. *Indian J Dent Res* 2010;21:474-9.
4. Carvalho W, Barboza EP, Gouvea CV. The use of porcelain laminate veneers and a removable gingival prosthesis for a periodontally compromised patient: A clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent* 2005;93:315-7.
5. Capa N. An alternative treatment approach to gingival recession: Gingiva-colored partial porcelain veneers: A clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent* 2007;98:82-4.
6. Coachman C, Calamita M. The reconstruction of pink and white esthetics. *Int Dent SA* 2010;12:88-93.
7. Alani A, Maglad A, Nohl F. The prosthetic management of gingival aesthetics. *Br Dent J* 2011;210:63-9.
8. Priest GF, Lindke L. Gingival-colored porcelain for implant-supported prostheses in the aesthetic zone. *Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent* 1998;10:1231-40.
9. Hannon SM, Colvin CJ, Zurek DJ. Selective use of gingival-toned ceramics: Case reports. *Quintessence Int* 1994;25:233-8.
10. Choquet V, Hermans M, Adriaenssens P, Daelemans P, Tarnow DP, Malevez C, *et al.* Clinical and radiographic evaluation of the papilla level adjacent to single-tooth dental implants. A retrospective study in the maxillary anterior region. *J Periodontol* 2001;72:1364-71.
11. Saini R. Ozone therapy in dentistry: A strategic review. *J Nat Sci Biol Med* 2011;2:151-3.