Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Shahriar Nafissi, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY Eduardo Luis De Vito, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina Farzad Fatehi, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran Akram Panahi, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Hung-Chou Kuo kuo0426@adm.cgmh.org.tw

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Neuromuscular Disorders and Peripheral Neuropathies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

RECEIVED 15 May 2022 ACCEPTED 15 August 2022 PUBLISHED 06 September 2022

CITATION

Hsieh P-C, Chang C-W, Ro L-S, Huang C-C, Chi J-E and Kuo H-C (2022) Ultrasonography of abdominal muscles: Differential diagnosis of late-onset Pompe disease and myotonic dystrophy type 1. *Front. Neurol.* 13:944464. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.944464

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Hsieh, Chang, Ro, Huang, Chi and Kuo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ultrasonography of abdominal muscles: Differential diagnosis of late-onset Pompe disease and myotonic dystrophy type 1

Pei-Chen Hsieh¹, Chun-Wei Chang¹, Long-Sun Ro^{1,2}, Chin-Chang Huang^{1,2}, Jia-En Chi³ and Hung-Chou Kuo^{1,2}*

¹Department of Neurology, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ²College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ³Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Bone and Joint Research Center, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

Introduction: Axial muscles are involved earlier and to a greater extent in late-onset Pompe disease (LOPD) than in myotonic muscular dystrophy type 1 (DM1). We aimed to evaluate abdominal muscles in LOPD compared in DM1 using muscle ultrasonography.

Methods: Patients with LOPD (n = 3), DM1 (n = 10), and age- and gendermatched healthy subjects (n = 34) were enrolled for muscle ultrasonography. Patients with LOPD and DM1 were 20 to 59 years of age with a disease duration ranging between 7 and 30 years. A multifrequency linear transducer was used to evaluate quality and thickness in the abdominal muscles and extremities.

Results: The quantitative muscle echo score revealed a higher Z score in abdominal muscles in Patients with LOPD (scores were relatively normal for the biceps and flexor digitorum groups). Patients with LOPD had significantly lower abdominal muscle thickness than patients with DM1. Abdominal muscle strength was significantly correlated with the muscle echogenicity, trunk impairment scale, and trunk control test. The extremities' sum score was correlated with the total Medical Research Council score.

Discussion: The increased quantitative muscle score in abdominal muscles, sparing the biceps and flexor digitorum groups, may offer differential diagnosis between LOPD and DM1. Ultrasound can easily access abdominal muscles and investigate muscle echogenicity and thickness. A quantitative approach using muscle echogenicity rather than muscle thickness may provide a greater correlation with trunk muscle function.

KEYWORDS

late-onset Pompe disease, myotonic dystrophy type 1, muscle ultrasonography, abdominal muscle, trunk function

Introduction

Pompe disease is a rare glycogen storage disease (1). This autosomal recessive disease is caused by acid alpha-glucosidase (GAA) deficiency (1, 2) resulting in progressive accumulation of alpha-glucosidase substrate in lysosomes and cytoplasm. Pompe disease manifests clinically across a wide range of severity. A core feature of late-onset Pompe disease (LOPD) is early involvement of trunk muscles, resulting in trunk deformity and difficulty rolling in bed (3). MRI using T1-weighted sequences identified fatty replacement in abdominal muscles, paraspinal muscles, and gluteal muscles (4, 5). Muscle ultrasound has been used as a diagnostic tool to identify muscle inflammation, fatty infiltration, and fibrosis. It is a cost-effective, efficient, and safe method for the detection of subclinical or clinical changes in neuromuscular disorders (6, 7). Abdominal muscles are relatively easy to visualize using skeletal muscle ultrasonography. By contrast, myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) is caused by CTG nucleotide repeat expansion in the myotonic dystrophy protein kinase (DMPK) gene (8, 9). The typical manifestations of DM1 are progressively distal to proximal weakness with atrophy of the temporalis muscle. Spine deformity and abdominal muscle involvement may occur in late-stage DM1 (8, 10).

In clinical practice, the Medical Research Council (MRC) score is used to evaluate muscle strength, particularly in the extremities; however, there is no scoring system to evaluate patient's trunk function in patients with myopathy. The trunk muscles, including the abdominal muscles, are the largest muscle groups in the human body, which help to maintain sitting and standing posture, maintain balance, and smoothly connect movement between different postures (11). Poor trunk function may cause an increased falling risk, a decreased daily activity, and decreased upper and lower limb functional movements (11). In addition, the fat replacement of back, abdominal, and deep trunk muscles have been found to be correlated with poor respiratory function in LOPD (12). In recent studies, trunk impairment score (TIS) and trunk control test (TCT) have been used to assess static and dynamic trunk muscle function in patients with stroke (11, 13). These are easy and reliable measurements of trunk performance in patients with neuromuscular disease (14-17).

Herein, we compare ultrasonography images between patients with LOPD and patients with DM1 to determine if

a correlation exists in total MRC score, abdominal muscle strength, TCT, and TIS score with muscle echogenicity and thickness.

Methods

Patients and their clinical data

Patients diagnosed with LOPD or DM1 in a medical center between January 2014 and December 2018 were included. A detailed history, clinical features, neurologic examination, genetic and biochemical tests, and electrophysiological studies were collected. A diagnosis was made according to clinical characteristics, pathological findings, and genetic analysis. The genetic test for LOPD was performed using extracted genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (gDNA) from peripheral blood. All GAA exons and intron-exon boundaries were amplified using PCR, and the PCR products were sequenced by Sanger sequencing. Sequences were compared with the GAA reference DNA sequence (NM_000152) to identify mutations. The complementary DNA (cDNA) was numbered with +1 corresponding to the A of the ATG translation initiation codon and with codon 1 as the initiation codon. The genetic test in patients with DM1 was performed with two methods. Southern blotting method was performed in 7 patients, while tripletprimed PCR was performed in 3 patients (18-20).

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (ethical license No: 202101058B0). Informed consent was obtained from study participants.

Ultrasound assessment protocol and evaluation of motor function

Patients with LOPD and DM1 were recruited (age at examination: 20-70 years; disease duration: 7-30 years). All patients received muscle ultrasonography by 2 examiners using neuromuscular ultrasonography. Muscle thickness and echogenicity were assessed using a multifrequency linear transducer (UP200, BenQ Medical Technology, Corp., Taipei, Taiwan). The ultrasound device frequency was automatically adjusted to the higher frequency with a gain of 53 dB. Dynamic scans were set at 58 dB in all targeted muscles. Zooming in was avoided to maintain consistency in all measurements. Depth was dependent on muscle thickness and the distance from skin tissue. Muscle thickness and echogenicity were measured on cross-sectional images using the ultrasonography distance measurement function. All the patients were evaluated in the supine position with minimal pressure to avoid muscle compression. Intra- and inter-rater reliabilities were evaluated in all of the 10 candidates. To demonstrate reliability, each

Abbreviations: GAA, acid alpha-glucosidase; LOPD, late-onset Pompe disease; DM1, myotonic dystrophy type 1; BB, biceps brachii; FDS/FDP, flexor digitorium superficialis/flexor digitorium profundus, RF, rectus femoris, TA, tibialis anterior, RA, rectus abdominis, EO, external oblique; IO, internal oblique; TrA, transversus abdominis muscles; TIS, trunk impairment scale; TCT, trunk control test; MRC, Medical Research Council.

selected muscle was measured at least 2 times on separate days by one neurologist, with 2 years of neuromuscular ultrasonography experience, and a technician, with 1 year of neuromuscular ultrasonography experience. The interval between the measurements was at least 2 days (the longest interval was 5 days) and the raters were blinded to previous results (Supplementary Table 1).

The muscle scans were performed bilaterally according to standards in the following muscles: biceps brachii (BB) (mid-arm), triceps (mid-arm), flexor digitorum superficialis/flexor digitorum profundus (FDS/FDP) (in the mid-forearm), rectus femoris (RF), tibialis anterior (TA), rectus abdominis (RA), external oblique (EO), internal oblique (IO), and transversus abdominis muscles (TrA). The linear ultrasound probe was placed 3 cm lateral to the umbilicus for the RA. For the EO/IO/TrA muscle groups, the probe was placed at 3 cm medial to the mid-axillary line horizontally to umbilicus line (13, 21). The gray-scale analysis in the region of interest was performed for all sampled muscles. A mean gray-scale value for each image was obtained and compared to a muscle-specific reference from healthy controls (demonstrated as Z score) (21, 22). The measurements were corrected for age and gender. The ultrasonography setting of healthy control groups were consistent with patient groups. Abnormal echogenicity was defined as a Z score >2 (21, 22).

The Medical Research Council (MRC) sum score was calculated using the total of the deltoid, biceps brachii, triceps, wrist flexor/extensor groups, finger flexors, iliopsoas, gluteus, quadriceps, hamstrings, gastrocnemius, and tibialis anterior muscles. Abdominal muscle strength was graded in the supine position: grade 1: only feel muscle contraction; grade 2: Pelvic posterior tilt; grade 3: bilateral hand could touch the knee; grade 4: full trunk curl with arms folded over chest; and grade 5: full trunk curl with arms behind the neck (Supplementary Table 2). Abdominal muscle strength was scored with an adapted Medical Research Council (MRC) scoring (Supplementary Table 2) (10). TCT and TIS were used to evaluate all the trunk motor functions. The TCT score has 4 items with a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 100. The total score for TIS ranged from 0 to 23 with 3 major functions evaluated: static sitting balance, dynamic sitting balance, and coordination. Each group included at least five healthy controls to analyze average muscle thickness, abdominal muscle strength, and MRC sum score (results are shown in Supplementary Table 3).

Statistical analysis

Clinical and laboratory data are presented with descriptive statistics. The Mann–Whitney U-test was used to evaluate differences in demographic data and Z score of muscle echogenicity between LOPD and DM1. The Spearman ρ correlation coefficient test was performed to analyze abdominal

muscle strength, TCT, TIS, trunk muscles echogenicity, and thickness. The SPSS version 22 (International Business Machines Corporation, USA) software was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Demographic data and clinical manifestation

The demographic data of patients with LOPD and DM1 are reported in Table 1. Patient age ranged from 24 to 31 and 23 to 59 years for patients with LOPD and patients with DM1, respectively. Three men with LOPD and 7 women and 3 men with DM1 were evaluated. The disease diagnoses were confirmed with a genetic analysis, and the abdominal muscle strength (MMT), MRC, TIS, and TCT are shown in Table 1. The LOPD group revealed lower TCT and TIS than the DM1 group, but there was no significant difference between two groups.

Ultrasonographic values for muscular echogenicity and thickness

Images from patients with LOPD revealed increased echogenicity and atrophy in RA and EO/IO/TrA muscles, and relatively normal appearance of muscles in the extremities. Atrophy and increased echogenicity were observed in distal parts of extremities, particularly in FDS/FDP and TA muscles, and mild increases in echogenicity in abdominal muscle groups in Patients with DM1 (Figure 1).

The muscular ultrasonographic values of the patients with LOPD revealed moderate to severely increased echogenicity of most abdominal muscles (Z score >4). The severe involvement of abdominal muscles was 66.7% (16/24) in LOPD and 11.25% (9/80) in DM1 (Figure 2). There were significant differences in quantitative muscle echogenicity between patients with LOPD and patients with DM1 in bilateral RA, EO, and TrA, and left IO. The total trunk grading sum score revealed a statistically significant difference between the two groups. The total abdominal muscle thickness was significantly decreased in patients with LOPD after adjustment for age and gender (Table 2).

The muscle echogenicity of BB muscles in all patients with LOPD was considered normal. The lower MRC score was associated with increased echogenicity in BB muscles of most patients with DM1, and there were also significant differences in right BB muscles between the two groups. In the triceps muscles, echogenicity was increased in most patients with DM1 and was relatively normal in patients with LOPD; however,

	Gender/age (y)	Genetic mutation	Disease Duration (yr)	Wheelchair dependent	Abdominal muscle strength (0–5)	MRC sum score (0–120)	TCT (0–100)	TIS Total score (0–30)
LOPD	Patients							
1	M/24	GAA c.2238G>C,	12	Ν	1	114	87.5	22
		homozygous						
2	M/30	GAA c.2228A>C,	13	Υ	1	100	50	16
		homozygous						
3	M/31	GAA c.2228A>C,	12	Ν	1	110	62.5	20
		homozygous						
DM1 p	atients							
1	F/59	266 of CTG triplet	20	Y	2	90	87.5	27
		repeats						
2	F/32	1,000 of CTG triplet	30	Ν	2	98	62.5	23
		repeats						
3	M/56	>300 of CTG triplet	24	Y	1	40	50	17
		repeats						
4	F/57	>300 of CTG triplet	10	Y	1	58	50	12
		repeats						
5	F/35	>300 of CTG triplet	7	Ν	5	120	100	30
		repeats						
6	F/54	162 of CTG triplet	12	Ν	2	90	87.5	28
		repeats						
7	F/54	126 of CTG triplet	14	Ν	3	118	100	28
		repeats						
8	M/29	762 of CTG triplet	13	Ν	5	118	100	28
		repeats						
9	M/38	762 of CTG triplet	10	Ν	2	112	100	28
		repeats						
10	F/23	762 of CTG triplet	13	Ν	5	118	100	30
		repeats						

TABLE 1 Demographic and functional data in late-onset Pompe disease and Myotonic dystrophy type 1.

LOPD, late-onset Pompe disease; DM1, myotonic muscular dystrophy type 1; MRC, medical research council; TCT, trunk control test; TIS, trunk impairment score; Y, Yes; N, No.

there was no statistical difference between the two groups. The muscle echogenicity of the two groups was abnormal in RF muscles. In distal extremities, muscle echogenicity was relatively normal in the LOPD group, but significantly greater in FDS/FDP muscles and a trend of higher, but not statistically significant differences in TA muscle in the DM1 group (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Muscle ultrasonography revealed to be abnormal in the abdominal muscles, mildly abnormal in rectus femoris and anterior tibialis muscles, as well as sparing in biceps and FDS/FDP muscles in the LOPD group. By contrast, there was less abnormal in abdominal muscles and more obvious in biceps, AT, and FDS/FDP involvement in the DM1 group (Figure 3).

The correlation between ultrasonographic values and motor function of trunk muscles

The correlation between the echogenicity and thickness of muscle ultrasonography and the trunk motor function, including abdominal muscle strength, MRC sum score, TIC, and TIS total scores are shown in Table 3. Muscle strength was correlated with echogenicity of RA, EO, and IO muscles. The total echo trunk sum score and MRC sum score were significantly correlated with abdominal muscle strength; there was no significant correlation with abdominal muscle thickness. The MRC score was not correlated with abdominal muscle echogenicity or thickness. The TCT score was correlated with



Transversus abdominis; FDS/FDP, flexor digitorum superficialis/flexor digitorum profundus.

EO and TrA echogenicity and highly correlated with MRC score and abdominal muscle strength. The TIS score was correlated with EO muscle, trunk sum score, MRC sum score, and abdominal muscle strength. The total echo trunk sum score was correlated with TIS and abdominal muscle strength (Figures 4A,B). The total echo extremities muscle sum score was significantly correlated with MRC score (Figure 4C).

A total of 7 patients with DM1 were analyzed using a Southern blotting method. There was no significant correlation between CTG repeat number and trunk sum score or CTG repeat number and total sum score.

Discussion

In this study, muscle ultrasonography of LOPD demonstrated prominent involvement in the abdominal

and a relative sparing in the distal extremities. The current result was consistent with the findings of muscle magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) in patients with LOPD at different stages of disease (23). In contrast, the abdominal muscle was less involved or sparing, and severe increases in muscle echogenicity of distal extremities in patients with DM1 was observed (24). There was a significant difference in echogenicity between patients with LOPD and patients with DM1 in abdominal muscles, biceps, and FDS/FDP. In addition, patients with late-onset Pompe revealed significantly lower thickness of lower abdominal muscles than that observed in patients with DM1. In the LOPD group, all abdominal muscle groups showed moderate to severe involvement, which correlated with clinical function. Muscle echogenicity and thickness could respond to a pathological change of muscle and functional impairment (23, 25).



TABLE 2 Guantitative echogenicity and abdominal muscle thickness in muscular ultrasonograp	TABLE 2	Quantitative echogenicity	and abdominal	muscle thickness ir	n muscular ultrasono	ography.
--	---------	---------------------------	---------------	---------------------	----------------------	----------

	Late onset Pompe disease	Myotonic dystrophy 1	P-value
Age	28.33	43.7	0.112
Medical Research Council	108	96.2	0.937
Trunk Control Test	66.67	83.75	0.217
Trunk Impairment Score	19.33	25.30	0.112
Muscle echogenicity (Right/Left; Z score)			
Rectus abdominis	10.63762/11.0496	3.062263/4.313832	0.028*/0.028*
External oblique	6.127369/9.966672	1.779355/2.912854	0.007**/0.028*
Internal oblique	7.610181/8.487429	4.408037/2.273784	0.287/0.014*
Transversus abdominis	6.656446/12.56095	3.008856/1.697471	0.049*/0.028*
Biceps	0.713116/1.110798	4.207454/4.058665	0.009**/0.1
Triceps	2.452227/0.746397	3.657584/3.339666	0.537/0.217
Flexor digitorium [†]	0.188559/-4.5199	2.433022/5.147085	0.036*/0.009**
Rectus femoris	3.893264/6.762521	3.168355/3.723121	0.833/0.183
Tibialis anterior	3.609776/1.715406	5.030613/6.112169	0.6/0.1
Modified Total sum score	44.33	38	0.937
Extremities Sum Score	16	24.12	0.194
Trunk Sum Score	28.33	14	0.007**
Muscle thickness (Right/Left; Z score)			
Trunk muscle thickness	-4.46/-6.45	-1.27/-1.07	0.028*/0.028*

^{*†*}, flexor digitorium superficialis and flexor digitorium profundus; Trunk Sum score: sum of quantitative severity score in trunk; Extremities Sum score: sum of quantitative severity score in extremities; Modified Total sum score: sum of quantitative severity score in all muscles. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

Although qualitative (Heckmatt) ultrasound measures could classify muscle echogenicity, the image may be significantly influenced by the patient's age or body mass index (BMI) (21, 23, 26). Age, gender, and obesity status may influence

muscle thickness and echogenicity (27-29). The Z score of quantitative ultrasound could be used to objectively investigate patient's muscle echogenicity using gray-scale analysis adjusted by healthy controls (7, 21, 28, 30, 31).



Trunk function has been found to contribute to maintaining good posture and functional movement (32). A previous study revealed increased fall risk in patients with more severe involvement of trunk muscles (13). Trunk muscle involvement has also been found to correlate with negative respiratory outcomes and balance function in LOPD and DM1 (10, 12). According to Vill et al., ultrasound could detect muscle abnormality in clinically affected muscles and demonstrated that clinical muscle strength was highly correlated with muscle abnormality in LOPD (6). Our study demonstrated that abdominal muscle strength and TIS score were correlated with abdominal muscle echogenicity. Total MRC sum score was not correlated with abdominal echogenicity but was significantly correlated with the total echo extremities sum score. In previous studies, the skeletal MRI study revealed prominent fatty infiltration of abdominal muscles in LOPD (5, 33). By contrast, prominent mild asymmetrical fatty infiltration was found in distal quadriceps, gastrocnemius, soleus, and tibialis anterior muscles in patients with DM1 (24). Overall, legs were more severely affected than thighs and pelvis as demonstrated in skeletal MRI (24). The current study revealed ultrasonography could also detect abdominal muscle abnormalities. This indicates that muscle ultrasonography is an inexpensive and simple tool to evaluate axial muscle impairment and clinical outcomes, particularly in patients with LOPD. Furthermore, the ultrasound echogenicity rather than thickness provides more significant differences between the two diseases and their correlation with muscle function.

Our study has several limitations, first, the late-onset Pompe group is small. However, in previous studies, the trunk muscles were all significantly involved and were highly correlated with the function scale. The previous studies also revealed that trunk muscle involvement was also very prominent in skeletal MRI (5, 33). Secondly, deeper muscles, such as iliopsoas and paraspinals, were not easily assessed by ultrasonography. However, further studies could resolve the problem by using a high-resolution ultrasound machine and apply it to other disease-specific myopathic patterns.

	Abdominal muscle strength	MRC sum score	TCT total score	TIS total score
Abdominal muscle (R/L)				
Rectus abdominis				
Thickness	0.052/-0.003	-0.114/-0.443	-0.227/-0.326	-0.022/-0.133
Echogenicity	-0.632*/-0.646*	-0.191/-0.205	-0.490/-0.469	-0.544/-0.520
External oblique				
Thickness	0.003/-0.087	-0.014/-0.445	-0.140/-0.284	-0.056/-0.211
Echogenicity	-0.707**/-0.566*	-0.158/-0.185	$-0.590^*/-0.341$	$-0.639^{*}/-0.394$
Internal oblique				
Thickness	0.313/0.103	-0.136/-0.104	-0.001/-0.109	0.220/0.061
Echogenicity	-0.392/-0.571*	-0.122/-0.064	-0.238/-0.324	-0.322/-0.500
Transversus abdominis				
Thickness	0.251/-0.032	0.003/-0.279	0.132/-0.198	0.356/-0.167
Echogenicity	-0.407/-0.580	0.127/-0.371	-0.226/-0.564*	-0.428/-0.500
Total thickness (R/L)	0.312/0.289	-0.172/-0.097	0.009/0.112	0.222/0.206
Trunk Sum core	-0.732**	-0.172	-0.49	-0.652*
MRC sum score	0.686*		0.813**	0.727**
Abdominal Muscle strength		0.686*	0.859**	0.948**
External oblique Thickness Echogenicity Internal oblique Thickness Echogenicity Transversus abdominis Thickness Echogenicity Total thickness (R/L) Trunk Sum core Abdominal Muscle strength	0.003/-0.087 $-0.707^{**}/-0.566^{*}$ 0.313/0.103 $-0.392/-0.571^{*}$ 0.251/-0.032 -0.407/-0.580 0.312/0.289 -0.732^{**} 0.686^{*}	-0.014/-0.445 -0.158/-0.185 -0.136/-0.104 -0.122/-0.064 0.003/-0.279 0.127/-0.371 -0.172/-0.097 -0.172 0.686^*	-0.140/-0.284 $-0.590^*/-0.341$ -0.001/-0.109 -0.238/-0.324 0.132/-0.198 $-0.226/-0.564^*$ 0.009/0.112 -0.49 0.813^{**} 0.859^{**}	0.056/- -0.639*/- 0.220/0 0.322/- 0.356/-0 0.428/- 0.222/0 0.65 0.727 0.948

TABLE 3 Correlation between function tests and muscle sonography of trunk muscles[‡].

MRC, medical research council; TCT, trunk control test; TIS, trunk impairment score; R, right; L, left; Trunk Sum score: sum of quantitative severity score in trunk. ‡, Spearman correlation.

*revealed P < 0.05, **revealed P < 0.01.



total echo trunction score and quantitative echogenicity severity of skeletal muscles. (**C**) demonstrate a significant correlation between MRC and total echo extremities sum score.

Conclusion

Our study suggests that muscle ultrasound could be an efficient screening tool to assessing myopathic changes and disease-specific patterns that could help physicians with differential diagnosis of neuromuscular diseases. Trunk muscles could offer a preliminary opportunity for a differential diagnosis between LOPD and DM1. Muscle echography findings were also correlated with clinical and motor functions. TIS could also be used to investigate the trunk function of patients with myopathy.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

P-CH and H-CK conceived, designed the study, and performed the experiments. P-CH performed all candidates' ultrasonography evaluations, analyzed the data, and then wrote the paper. J-EC performed the figures. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by grants CMRPG3J1751, CMRPG3K1871, and CMRPG3L1121 from Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the patients and the controls for participating in this study. We also thank all

the staff at the Department of Neurology of the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Linkou Medical Center for their valuable support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fneur.2022.944464/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Hers HG. alpha-Glucosidase deficiency in generalized glycogenstorage disease (Pompe's disease). *Biochem J.* (1963) 86:11–6. doi: 10.1042/bj0860011

2. D'Ancona GG, Wurm J, Croce CM. Genetics of type II glycogenosis: assignment of the human gene for acid alpha-glucosidase to chromosome 17. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1979) 76:4526–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.76.9.4526

3. Wokke JH, Escolar DM, Pestronk A, Jaffe KM, Carter GT, van den Berg LH, et al. Clinical features of late-onset Pompe disease: a prospective cohort study. *Muscle Nerve.* (2008) 38:1236–45. doi: 10.1002/mus.21025

4. Figueroa-Bonaparte S, Segovia S, Llauger J, Belmonte I, Pedrosa I, Alejaldre A, et al. Muscle MRI findings in childhood/adult onset pompe disease correlate with muscle function. *PLoS ONE.* (2016) 11:e0163493. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163493

5. Díaz-Manera J, Walter G, Straub V. Skeletal muscle magnetic resonance imaging in Pompe disease. *Muscle Nerve.* (2021) 63:640–50. doi: 10.1002/mus.27099

6. Vill K, Schessl J, Teusch V, Schroeder S, Blaschek A, Schoser B, et al. Muscle ultrasound in classic infantile and adult Pompe disease: a useful screening tool in adults but not in infants. *Neuromusc Disord.* (2015) 25:120-6. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2014.09.016

7. Pillen S, Van Alfen N. Muscle ultrasound from diagnostic tool to outcome measure-Quantification is the challenge. *Muscle Nerve.* (2015) 52:319-20. doi: 10.1002/mus.24613

8. Kamsteeg E-J, Kress W, Catalli C, Hertz JM, Witsch-Baumgartner M, Buckley MF, et al. Best practice guidelines and recommendations on the molecular diagnosis of myotonic dystrophy types 1 and 2. *Eur J Hum Genet*. (2012) 20:1203–8. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2012.108

9. New nomenclature and DNA testing guidelines for myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1). The International Myotonic Dystrophy Consortium (IDMC). *Neurology*. (2000) 54:1218–21. doi: 10.1212/WNL.54.6.1218

10. Solbakken G, Bjørnarå B, Kirkhus E, Nguyen B, Hansen G, Frich JC, et al. MRI of trunk muscles and motor and respiratory function in patients with myotonic dystrophy type 1. *BMC Neurol.* (2019) 19:135. doi: 10.1186/s12883-019-1357-8

11. Ryerson S, Byl NN, Brown DA, Wong RA, Hidler JM. Altered trunk position sense and its relation to balance functions in people post-stroke. *J Neurol Phys Ther.* (2008) 32:14–20. doi: 10.1097/NPT.0b013e3181660f0c

12. Reyes-Leiva D, Alonso-Pérez J, Mayos M, Nuñez-Peralta C, Llauger J, Belmonte I, et al. Correlation between respiratory accessory muscles and diaphragm pillars MRI and pulmonary function test in late-onset Pompe disease patients. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:621257. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.621257

13. Kim Y, Kim J, Nam H, Kim HD, Eom MJ, Jung SH, et al. Ultrasound imaging of the trunk muscles in acute stroke patients and relations with balance scales. *Ann Rehabil Med.* (2020) 44:273–83. doi: 10.5535/arm.19125

14. Bulut N, Alemdaroglu-Gürbüz I, Topaloglu H, Yilmaz Ö, Karaduman A. The association between trunk control and upper limb functions of children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Physiother Theory Pract.* (2020) 38:46–54. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2020.1723151

15. Parlak Demir Y, Yildirim SA. Reliability and validity of Trunk Control Test in patients with neuromuscular diseases. *Physiother Theory Pract.* (2015) 31:39-44. doi: 10.3109/09593985.2014.945673

16. Demir YP, Yildirim SA. Assessment of trunk control in patients with neuromuscular diseases: validity and reliability of the trunk impairment scale. *Turkish J Neurol.* (2018) 24:130–6. doi: 10.4274/tnd.36024

17. Huzmeli E-D, Korkmaz N-C, Duman T, Gokcek O. Effects of sensory deficits on balance, functional status and trunk control in patients diagnosed with guillain-barre syndrome. *Neurosciences*. (2018) 23:301–7. doi: 10.17712/nsj.2018.4.20180098

18. Addis M, Serrenti M, Meloni C, Cau M, Melis MA. Triplet-primed PCR is more sensitive than southern blotting-long PCR for the diagnosis of myotonic dystrophy type1. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers*. (2012) 16:1428–31. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2012.0218

19. Singh S, Zhang A, Dlouhy S, Bai S. Detection of large expansions in myotonic dystrophy type 1 using triplet primed PCR. *Front Genet.* (2014) 5:94. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2014.00094

20. Hsiao KM, Lin HM, Pan H, Li TC, Chen SS, Jou SB, et al. Application of FTA sample collection and DNA purification system on the determination of CTG trinucleotide repeat size by PCR-based Southern blotting. *J Clin Lab Anal.* (1999) 13:188–93.

21. van Alfen N, Mah JK. Neuromuscular ultrasound: a new tool in your toolbox. *Can J Neurol Sci.* (2018) 45:504–15. doi: 10.1017/cjn.2018.269

22. Goselink RJM, Schreuder THA, Mul K, Voermans NC, Erasmus CE, van Engelen BGM, et al. Muscle ultrasound is a responsive biomarker in facioscapulohumeral dystrophy. *Neurology.* (2020) 94:e1488–94. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000009211

23. Zaidman CM, Malkus EC, Siener C, Florence J, Pestronk A, Al-Lozi M. Qualitative and quantitative skeletal muscle ultrasound in late-onset acid maltase deficiency. *Muscle Nerve*. (2011) 44:418–23. doi: 10.1002/mus.22088

24. Garibaldi M, Nicoletti T, Bucci E, Fionda L, Leonardi L, Morino S, et al. Muscle magnetic resonance imaging in myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1): refining muscle involvement and implications for clinical trials. *Eur J Neurol.* (2022) 29:843–54. doi: 10.1111/ene.15174 25. Abraham A, Drory VE, Fainmesser Y, Algom AA, Lovblom LE, Bril V. Muscle thickness measured by ultrasound is reduced in neuromuscular disorders and correlates with clinical and electrophysiological findings. *Muscle Nerve.* (2019) 60:687–92. doi: 10.1002/mus.26693

26. Heckmatt JZ, Leeman S, Dubowitz V. Ultrasound imaging in the diagnosis of muscle disease. J Pediatr. (1982) 101:656–60. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(82)80286-2

27. Nijboer-Oosterveld J, Van Alfen N, Pillen S. New normal values for quantitative muscle ultrasound: obesity increases muscle echo intensity. *Muscle Nerve.* (2011) 43:142–3. doi: 10.1002/mus.21866

28. Wijntjes J, van Alfen N. Muscle ultrasound: present state and future opportunities. *Muscle Nerve.* (2021) 63:455–66. doi: 10.1002/mus.27081

29. Arts IM, Pillen S, Schelhaas HJ, Overeem S, Zwarts MJ. Normal values for quantitative muscle ultrasonography in adults. *Muscle Nerve.* (2010) 41:32–41. doi: 10.1002/mus.21458

30. Zaidman CM, van Alfen N. Ultrasound in the assessment of myopathic disorders. *J Clin Neurophysiol.* (2016) 33:103–11. doi: 10.1097/WNP.00000000000245

31. Pillen S, Verrips A, van Alfen N, Arts IM, Sie LT, Zwarts MJ. Quantitative skeletal muscle ultrasound: diagnostic value in childhood neuromuscular disease. *Neuromusc Disord*. (2007) 17:509–16. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2007.03.008

32. Paulus WM, Straube A, Brandt T. Visual stabilization of posture. Physiological stimulus characteristics and clinical aspects. *Brain.* (1984) 107(Pt. 4):1143-63. doi: 10.1093/brain/107.4.1143

33. Figueroa-Bonaparte S, Segovia S, Llauger J, Belmonte I, Pedrosa I, Alejaldre A, et al. Muscle MRI findings in childhood/adult onset pompe disease correlate with muscle function. *PloS ONE.* (2016) 11:e0163493. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163493