e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2018; 24: 1080-1088 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.908030

Received: 2017.11.13 Accepted: 2018.02.05 Published: 2018.02.21		Preliminary Study of the (FBXO32) in Colorectal N Transforming Growth Fa Signalling Pathway	e Role F-Box Protein 32 leoplasms Through the ctor beta (TGF-β)/Smad4			
Authors' Contribution: Study Design A Data Collection B Statistical Analysis C Data Interpretation D Manuscript Preparation E Literature Search F Funds Collection G	BCDEF 1,2 BCEF 3 BEF 2 BDF 4 AG 1	Xuemin Yuan Zhen Zhang Kaitong Jiang Xinguo Wang Yanqing Li	<ol> <li>Department of Gastroenterology, Laboratory of Translational Gastroenterology, Shandong University, Qilu Hospital, Jinan, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Department of Gastroenterology, The People's Hospital of Linyi, Linyi, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Departments of Surgery, The People's Hospital of Linyi, Linyi, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Kingmed Diagnostics, Jinan, Shandong, P.R. China</li> </ol>			
Corresponding Author: Source of support:		Yanqing Li, e-mail: liyanqing@sdu.edu.cn This work was supported by a grant from the Shandong Province Natural Science Fund (no. ZR2014HL067)				
Background: Material/Methods: Results:		F-box protein 32 (FBXO32) (also known as atrogin-1), a member of the F-box protein family, was recently shown to be a transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β)/Smad4 target gene involved in regulating cell survival. It can be transcriptionally silenced by epigenetic mechanisms in some cancers, but its role in colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is unclear. We investigated the role of FBXO32 in CRC and determined its prognostic significance. We used real-time quantitative PCR, Western blot, and immunohistochemistry to elucidate the role of FBXO32 in clinical specimens and primary CRC cell lines. Differences in patient survival were determined by the Kaplan- Meier method and log-rank test. We found that the FBXO32 and SMAD4 levels were higher in normal tissues than in CRC tissues, but PAI-1 and VEGF levels showed the opposite pattern. The expressions of FBXO32 and SMAD4 were related to clinicopath-				
Conclusions:		ological parameters in CRC. Kaplan-Meier analyses showed that the 5-year overall survival of the low-FBXO32 expression group was significantly shorter than that of the high-FBXO32 expression group (p=0.010). The <i>fbxo32</i> gene is a novel tumor suppressor that inhibits CRC progression by inducing differentiation. Elevated expression of FBXO32 predicts longer survival in CRC patients.				
MeSH Keywords:		Cell Survival • Colorectal Neoplasms • F-Box Proteins • Smad4 Protein • Transforming Growth Factor beta1				
Full-text PDF:		https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/908030				
			1 36			



MEDICAL SCIENCE MONITOR

## Background

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is the third most common cancer and the fourth most common cause of cancer mortality worldwide [1]. From a genetic perspective, CRC is one of the best understood neoplasms, but it is still the second most common cause of cancer-related death. The diagnosis and treatment of CRC have been significantly improved in recent years, leading to a substantial reduction in cancer-related mortality [2]. However, CRC is still a major worldwide public health problem. Several biomarkers have been shown to exhibit tumor suppressor functions in CRC [3].

FBXO32 (F-box protein 32) is a member of the F-box protein family, which constitutes 1 of the 4 subunits of the ubiquitin protein ligase complex involved in muscle atrophy [4,5]. FBXO32 is very strongly induced in many catabolic states and plays an important role in the generation of muscle atrophy [6]. Moreover, reports have shown that FBXO32 contributes to 3-deazaneplanocin A-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells, and thus, it may also regulate cell survival [7]. Recent findings have demonstrated that fbxo32 may regulate cell survival and act as a potential tumor suppressor [8]. A previous study identified fbxo32 as a transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ )/Smad4 signalling pathway target gene, and it was transcriptionally silenced by epigenetic mechanisms in some types of carcinomas [8]. The TGF- $\beta$  signalling pathway plays a key role in the regulation of cell proliferation, migration, and survival, which affect multiple biological processes, including carcinogenesis, fibrosis and wound healing [9]. As a previous study showed that *fbxo32* has a key role in regulating apoptosis in ovarian cancer [10], we investigated whether fbxo32 induces apoptosis in CRC. However, few studies have examined the consequences of TGF- $\beta$  signalling in CRC. Recently, we identified a TGF-β/Smad4 target *fbxo32*, which was expressed in normal colorectal cells but was epigenetically silenced in CRC cells showing dysregulated TGF- $\beta$  signalling. These and several other results have suggested that dysregulation of a signalling pathway may cause epigenetic silencing of downstream targets [11]. Smad4, which is a tumor suppressor gene, is a central mediator in the signalling pathways of the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily. Smad4 acts as a common partner of activated Smads to help execute their function by interacting with nuclear-pore complexes. Inactivation of the *smad4* gene through mutation occurs frequently in pancreatic and CRCs and is associated with malignant progression of cancers [12].

In this study, we focused on the FBXO32 expression in CRC and established the relationship between FBXO32 expression and clinicopathologic features. We also investigated the role of *fbxo32* in the regulation of CRC progression *in vitro* and evaluated the prognostic role of *fbxo32* in CRC patients.

## Material and Methods

#### Clinical and tissue samples

We chose primary tumor samples (n=122) and matched corresponding normal mucosa (n=43) from patients between July 2006 and June 2017 in the Linyi Peoples' Hospital and Qilu Hospital of Shandong University (Table 1). Follow-up was conducted by telephone visit. The patients who died of diseases not directly related to their CRC or due to unexpected events were excluded from this study. The pathological diagnosis was conducted before surgery and validated after surgery. CRC samples for use in scientific research were collected with permission from the patients. All patients provided written informed consent, and our study was approved by the institutional review boards of all institutions involved.

## Materials

RpMI-1640, DMEM (high glucose), McCoy's 5A and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were obtained from Invitrogen (Gibco, USA). RNeasy RNA kits were purchased from TIANGEN Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The neutralizing anti-human FBXO32 monoclonal antibody and anti-GAPDH antibody were from Abcam, Inc. (CA, USA). An immunohistochemistry (IHC) kit was obtained from Maixin Biological Co. (Fuzhou, China).

## Immunohistochemistry

FBXO32 and SMAD4 were stained with the two-step staining method of EnVision™, and the major steps are described as follows. Tissue sections were dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated in alcohol, and immersed in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min to suppress endogenous peroxidase activity. Antigen retrieval was conducted by heating each section at 100°C for 30 min in 0.01 mol/l sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0). After three 5-min rinses in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the sections were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with a mouse polyclonal anti-FBXO32 antibody (Epitomics, UK) diluted 1: 50 in PBS, and the bound antibodies were detected with a streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase system (Dako, USA) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine substrate-chromogen solution (Dako, USA). The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin and inspected by an experienced pathologist. The staining area was scored as 0 (0%), 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%) based on the percentage of positively stained cells [13]. The images were captured with an inverted Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S microscope (Tokyo, Japan) with 200× magnification, and then, the presence of green fluorescence indicative of a-SMA was qualitatively analyzed by 2 investigators blinded to the study. The degree and intensity of staining were independently assessed by 2 pathologists in a blinded manner.

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with CRC (n=122).

Characteristic	Value	%
Age median (range), years	63 (21–85)	
Gender		
Male	73	59.84
Female	49	40.16
Tumour Nodes Metastases category		
T1	2	1.64
T2	11	9.02
Т3	108	88.52
T4	1	0.82
NO	72	59.02
N1	34	27.87
N2	16	13.11
MO	121	99.18
M1	1	0.82
American Joint Committee on Cancer category		
1	8	6.55
II	64	52.46
III	49	40.16
IV	1	0.82
Differentiation		
Low differentiation	21	17.21
Moderate differentiation	97	79.51
High differentiation	4	3.28

Histology of all tumour specimens and regional lymph nodes was confirmed with haematoxylin–eosin staining according to the International Union against Cancer Tumour Nodes Metastases classification. Union for International Cancer Control released the eighth edition of the TNM staging of malignancies. AJCC is a classification system developed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer for describing the extent of disease progression in cancer patients.

## **Cell Culture**

We purchased the human CRC cell lines HT-29, HCT-116 and Caco-2 from the Institute of Cell and Biochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), and the normal human cell line NCM-460 from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). We plated HCT-116 and NCM-460 cells in culture plates with McCoy's 5A medium (Gibco, USA), which was supplemented by 10% FBS (Gibco, USA) and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) at 100 U/ml; Caco-2 was propagated DMEM (high glucose) medium (Gibco, USA) containing 20% FBS; and HT-29 was cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, USA) with 10% FBS. All cells were incubated in a humidified incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) assay

QRT- PCR assays were performed to detect the expression of *fbxo32, smad4, pai-1,* and *vegf* mRNA in NCM460, HCT116, HT-29, and Caco-2 cells. Total RNA was separated from cells by the centrifugal column method (TIANGEN Biotech, Beijing, China), reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Superscript first strand synthesis kit (Invitrogen) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol, and amplified by qRT-PCR using a Mini-Opticon real-time PCR detection system (ABI, CA, USA) in SYBR Green II master mix (GeneCopoeia, Guangzhou, China) as described by the manufacturer's instructions. All data were analyzed by Opticon Monitor software (ABI; 7500). All primer sequences are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Primers for real-time PCR.

Gene	Accession number	Primer sequence	Product length (bp)
FBXO32	NIM 017617.2	F: 5'-CCTTTGTGCTTCTGTTCTTCG-3'	212
	NW_017617.5	R: 5'-CCACTCATTCTGGTTGTCGTC-3'	
VEGF	NIM 002276 E	F: 5'-CGCAAGAAATCCCGGTATAA-3'	68
	NNI_005576.5	R: 5'-AAATGCTTTCTCCGCTCTGA-3'	
Smad4		F: 5'-GGTTGCACATAGGCAAAGGT-3'	122
	NM_005559.5	R: 5'-ACGCCCAGCTTCTCTGTCTA-3'	
PAI-1	NIM 022040 2	F: 5'-CACCCTCAGCATGTTCATTG-3'	571
	NIN_033049.3	R: 5'-GGTCATGTTGCCTTTCCAGT-3'	
GAPDH	NIM 002046 4	F: 5'-AGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATTTG-3'	532
	NW1_002046.4	R: 5'-GTGATGGCATGGACTGTGGT-3'	532

The PCR conditions were as follows: 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 20 s, and 15 s at 72°C. The mRNA level of each sample normalized to the level of GAPDH mRNA and is presented as the unit values of  $2^{Ct}(t_{(gapdh)}-Ct_{(fbx032)})$ . The amplified PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis with a 1.5% gel. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

#### Western blot analysis

Cells were harvested and lysed using immunoprecipitation assay buffer containing protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors for 30 min on ice. Protein concentrations of the cell lysates were determined by the BCA method (Beyotime). The proteins were placed on 10% gradient gels and then separated by SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Resolved proteins were electrophoretically transferred onto PVDF membranes (Invitrogen) and then blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 5% non-fat dry milk in TBST containing 0.1% Tween 20. The membranes were probed with rabbit anti-GAPDH (mAb) (1: 1000) or rabbit anti-FBXO32 monoclonal antibody (mAb) (1: 1000) overnight at 4°C. Then, they were washed with 1×TBST and incubated in secondary antibodies diluted 1: 2000 that were conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz, USA) for 2 h at room temperature with enhanced chemiluminescence reagents to detect the proteins (Boster, Wuhan, China). The membrane protein bands were imaged using X-film (Kodak Co.) and scanned. All Western blots were performed 3 times at least.

## Statistical analysis

The SPSS17.0 statistical software was used analyze experimental data. For statistical analysis, Fisher's exact test was conducted for any 2×2 tables, Pearson  $\chi^2$  test was conducted for non-2×2 tables, and the chi-square trend test was conducted for ordinal date. Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank

test to determine patient survival, p < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

## Results

# FBXO32 and SMAD4 expression in CRC and normal colorectal tissues

FBXO32 and SMAD4 expression was low in CRC samples but high in normal colorectal tissues. Heterogeneous FBXO32 immunostaining was observed in 43 samples of normal tissues and 122 specimens of CRC, as shown in Figure 1.

Although weak staining was observed in several stromal cells, scattered areas of weak heterogeneous FBXO32 immunostaining in epithelial cell membranes were found in 18/43 (41.8%) normal tissue specimens, while 25/43 (58.2%) tissues were positive for FBXO32 (score 1–3). For FBXO32-positive primary CRC samples, heterogeneous weak-moderate (score 1–2), and strong staining (score 3) was respectively found in 86/122 (70.5%) and 36/122 (29.5%) samples, and SMAD4 strong staining in normal tissue 20/43 (46.5%), but only strong staining in normal tissue 41/122 (33.6%).

## Association of FBXO32 and Smad4 with clinicopathological characteristics of CRC

The relationship between FBXO32 and SMAD4 with pathological features of cancer patients is shown in Table 3. High expression of FBXO32 tended to be related to the tumor stage (p=0.016) and lymphatic vessel invasion (p=0.031). The incidence of positive FBXO32 expression was increased based on histologic grade (p=0.012). However, SMAD4 expression was only related to tumor grade (p=0.005) and lymphatic vessel invasion (p=0.006).



Figure 1. Immunohistochemical staining for FBXO32 and SMAD4 in differentiated tissues (original magnification ×200). (A, B) FBXO32 staining in normal tissues; (C, D) FBXO32 staining in tumor tissues; (E, F) SMAD4 staining in normal tissues; (G, H) SMAD4 staining in tumor tissues.

Table 3. The expression of FBXO32 and SMAD4 with clinicopathological parameters in CRC.

Verteble	No. of patients	FBXO32 expression levels		<b>D</b>	SMAD4 expression levels		
variable		Negative (%)	Positive (%)	P value	Negative (%)	Positive (%)	P value
Age (years)							
<50	101	78	23	0.570	67	34	0.697
≥50	21	15	6		13	8	
Gender							0.057
Male	73	63	10	0.927	59	14	
Female	49	42	7		32	17	
Tumour stage				0.016*			0.869
A-B	79	79	3		69	10	
C-D	43	36	7		38	5	
Grade				0.012*			0.005*
LMP	21	11	10		13	8	
LG	97	80	17		85	12	
G	4	3	1		2	2	
Lymph node metastasis				0.031*			
Negative	86	79	7		77	9	0.006*
Positive	36	28	8		25	11	

1. Immunostaining grades observed using light microscopy. The criteria used for assessment were previously reported. 2. Pearson  $\chi^2$  test for non-2×2 tables was used. \* P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.



Figure 2. The fbxo32, smad-4, pai-1 and vegf mRNA levels determine in cancer cell lines by qRT-PCR. (\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001).

# *fbxo32, smad4, vegf,* and *pai-1* mRNA and protein levels in primary CRC cell lines

We examined mRNA expression in CRC cell lines and normal colorectal cells using gRT-PCR. The results showed a statistically higher elevation of fbxo32 mRNA expression in non-tumor cell than tumor cells (p<0.01, Figure 2A). Smad4 and pai-1 mRNA levels were also higher in non-tumor cells than in tumor cells (p<0.01, p<0.05, Figure 2B, 2C). However, vegf mRNA expression showed the opposite pattern, notably in HCT-116 and Caco-2 cells (p<0.05, Figure 2D). To investigate whether fbxo32 was elevated at the protein level, we assessed the CRC cell lines were by Western blot. We found that FBXO32 protein levels in tumor cells were much lower than those in non-tumor cells, as shown in (Figure 3), which confirmed the qRT-PCR results. The HT-29, HCT-116 and Caco-2 cell lines showed lower fbxo32 transcript levels than the NCM460 cell line (p<0.05, Figure 3). Similarly, the SMAD4 and PAI-1 protein levels were lower in those CRC cell lines compared to the NCM460 cell line, and *veqf* also showed an opposite expression pattern (Figure 3).

## Correlations of FBXO32 expression with survival of CRC

To further investigate the correlation of FBXO32 expression with the survival of CRC, Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed. As shown in (Figure 4), the 5-year overall survival of low-FBXO32 expression group was significantly shorter than that of high-FBXO32 expression group (p=0.010), indicating that down-regulation of FBXO32 might be correlated with poor survival of CRC.

## Discussion

Tumor metastasis and invasion consist of disruption of the basement membrane, stromal infiltration, extravasation and tumor cell invasion into a target organ. Our investigation of the TGF- $\beta$ /Smad4 downstream target *fbxo32* further supports this hypothesis. *Fbxo32* is a downstream gene of *smad4*. Nuclear proteins are synthesized in the cytoplasm and need to be imported via the nuclear-pore complexes into the nucleus [14]. As a key gene of the TGF- $\beta$ /Smad4 pathway, *smad4* nuclear translocation may play a crucial role in regulating target



Figure 3. Evaluation of FBXO32, SMAD-4, PAI-1 and VEGF protein levels in cancer cell lines by Western blot.

gene expression [15]. Smad4 was originally isolated as a tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 18q21.1 in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas [13]. Inactivation of smad4 at the gene or protein level has been shown to be essential for the progression of several tumors [16,17]. Smad4 inactivation in cancers can be attributed to several factors, including homozygous deletion or loss of heterozygosity [12,18], and further studies should be performed to elucidate the exact mechanism of *smad4* inactivation in CRC. The TGF- $\beta$  signalling pathway is central in cell differentiation and stem cell maintenance [19]. Disruption of the TGF- $\beta$  signal transduction pathway has been observed in a significant subset of human cancers. In recent reports, disruption of TGF- $\beta$  signalling was shown to promote the formation of cancer stem/progenitor cells in liver and breast cancer [20,21]. Dysregulation of the TGF-β/Smad4 signalling pathway is a common event leading to loss of growth inhibition in CRC [22]. However, the mechanism underlying this dysregulation is still unclear.

In our study, we used immunohistochemistry, gRT-PCR and Western blot analyses to test FBXO32 expression and found that FBXO32 levels were high in normal colorectal and low in CRC samples. Fbxo32 expression was low in CRC, and it may be a tumor suppressor gene. Our results suggest that dysregulation of the TGF-β/Smad4 signalling pathway may contribute to *fbxo32* methylation in CRC. Promoter hypermethylation of fbxo32 was observed in ovarian cancer cells showing constitutive smad4 nuclear translocation [23]. A previous study showed that the AKT signalling pathway negatively regulates fbxo32, which indicates that it may also be involved in regulating cell survival [24]. However, the role of smad4 in the methylation of fbxo32 requires further investigation. The mechanism of *fbxo32* silencing in breast cancer cells may be different than that in CRC, and off-target effects of 5azaDC cannot be overlooked [7]. Under conditions of long-term signal disruption,



Figure 4. Overall survival analysis according to the Kaplan-Meier method for FBXO32 expression (log-rank test, p=0.010).

recruitment of repressive factors causes progressive accumulation of DNA methylation at the targeted promoter, which eventually establishes an epigenetic memory for the generation of an inactive heterochromatin state [25]. Aberrant promoter methylation of TGFBR1 was reported to cause TGF- $\beta$  resistance in gastric cancer, but when the selected CpG sites were different, the methylation frequency was also altered [26,27]. Many studies have shown that multiple growth factors and their receptors, including the *veqf* system, play roles in tumor invasion and metastasis [28], and the regulation of the *veqf* signalling axis has become a major focus of current research. Smad4 restoration influenced angiogenesis by decreasing the expression of vegf [29]. Smad4 also inhibited the secretion of vegf-a and vegf-c to promote angiogenesis in tumors by autocrine or paracrine pathways, which may be a mechanism underlying inhibition of tumor growth [30].

Overall, we believed that *fbxo32* is downregulated in CRC with impaired TGF-β/Smad4 signalling, and promoter hypermethylation may be one of the mechanisms for inactivation of fbxo32 in CRC, particularly in CRC patients with an UGIC family history of North China [31]. Additionally, decreased expression and hypermethylation of fbxo32, along with a positive UGIC family history and stage III and IV classification, are highly predictive of metastasis and poor prognosis in CRC. Further studies need to be performed to determine whether fbxo32 can be used as a target to improve clinical outcomes of CRC. Above results support the hypothesis that aberrant TGF-B/Smad4 signalling can cause epigenetic silencing of its downstream target fbxo32 in CRC. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (pai-1) is a proteolytic factor that plays a role in invasion and metastatic diffusion by modulating extracellular matrix degradation, cell proliferation and adhesion, which is required for the invasive process, as observed during wound healing and

cancer invasion [32,33]. *Pai-1* has been suggested as a target for the development of antithrombotic agents and promotion of fibrinolysis [34]. Furthermore, one of the major regulators of *pai-1* expression, TGF- $\beta$ 1, was increased in pre-malignant oral leukoplakia and in oral squamous cell carcinoma [35,36].

Thus, *fbxo32* is epigenetically silenced in CRC cells with impaired TGF- $\beta$ /Smad4 signalling and may be a new tumor suppressor in CRC. As high levels of *fbxo32* methylation predict survival in CRC patients, we hypothesized that *fbxo32* may act as a methylation biomarker for this disease.

There are 2 limitations in our study. First, due to the tumor samples are drawn from the specimen database, normal mucosa samples are obtained from volunteers, there were fewer normal mucosa samples than tumors. Our future study in this area will include more normal mucosa samples. Second, the study lacked information about relative levels of genes

## **References:**

- Abbruzzese C, Diodoro MG, Sperduti I et al: Detection of phosphorylated insulin receptor in colorectal adenoma and adenocarcinoma: Implications for prognosis and clinical outcome. J Cell Physiol, 2015; 230: 562–67
- 2. Jemal A, Ward E, Thun M: Declining death rates reflect progress against cancer. PLoS One, 2010; 5: e9584
- 3. Li WX, Xiao HW, Hong XQ et al: Predictive value of CK20 in evaluating the efficacy of treatment and prognosis after surgery for colorectal cancer. Genet Mol Res, 2015; 14: 5823–29
- Hanai J, Cao P, Tanksale P et al: The muscle-specific ubiquitin ligase atrogin-1/MAFbx mediates statin-induced muscle toxicity. J Clin Invest, 2007; 117: 3940–51
- Li HH, Kedar V, Zhang C et al: Atrogin-1/muscle atrophy F-box inhibits calcineurin-dependent cardiac hypertrophy by participating in an SCF ubiquitin ligase complex. J Clin Invest, 2004; 114: 1058–71
- 6. Gerlinger-Romero F, Yonamine CY, Junior DC et al: Dysregulation between TRIM63/FBXO32 expression and soleus muscle wasting in diabetic rats: Potential role of miR-1-3p, -29a/b-3p, and -133a/b-3p. Mol Cell Biochem, 2017; 427: 187–99
- 7. Tan J, Yang X, Zhuang L et al: Pharmacologic disruption of Polycombrepressive complex 2-mediated gene repression selectively induces apoptosis in cancer cells. Genes Dev, 2007; 21: 1050–63
- Guo W, Zhang M, Guo Y et al: FBXO32, a new TGF-beta/Smad signaling pathway target gene, is epigenetically inactivated in gastric cardia adenocarcinoma. Neoplasma, 2015; 62: 646–57
- 9. Attisano L, Wrana JL: Signal transduction by the TGF-beta superfamily. Science, 2002; 296: 1646–47
- Guo Y-H, Li Y-N, Zhao J-R et al: HBc binds to the CpG islands of HBV cccDNA and promotes an epigenetic permissive state. Epigenetics, 2014; 6: 720–26
- 11. Leu YW, Yan PS, Fan M et al: Loss of estrogen receptor signaling triggers epigenetic silencing of downstream targets in breast cancer. Cancer Res, 2004; 64: 8184–92
- 12. Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Song J, Parmiagiani G et al: Kern2 missense mutations of MADH4: Characterization of the mutational hot spot and functional consequences in human tumors. Clin Cancer Res, 2004; 10: 1597–604
- Hahn SA, Schutte M, Hoque AT et al: DPC4, A candidate tumor suppressor gene at human chromosome 18q21.1. Science, 1996; 271: 350–53
- Michael W, Xiao Y, Chu XD: Functions of mammalian Smad genes as revealed by targeted gene disruption in mice. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev, 2000; 11: 49–58
- 15. Chou JL, Su HY, Chen LY et al: Promoter hypermethylation of FBXO32, a novel TGF-beta/SMAD4 target gene and tumor suppressor, is associated with poor prognosis in human ovarian cancer. Lab Invest, 2010; 90: 414–25

expression in CRC cases. In our next study, we will assess genes expression in CRC cases.

## Conclusions

We showed that FBXO32 was expressed in CRC and was negatively associated with the primary tumor stage and nuclear grade. Elevated expression of FBXO32 predicts a longer survival in CRC patients. Therefore, targeting *fbxo32* may be a potential strategy for the treatment of CRC due to its tumor suppressor function by the process of TGF- $\beta$ . However, the indepth mechanism requires further investigation.

#### **Conflict of interest**

None.

- Fukuchi M, Masuda N, Miyazaki T et al: Decreased Smad4 expression in the transforming growth factor-beta signaling pathway during progression of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer, 2002; 95: 737–43
- 17. Che XM, Natsugoe S, Sonshin T et al: Preserved Smad4 expression in the transforming growth factor  $\beta$  signaling pathway is a favorable prognostic factor in patients with advanced gastric cancer. Clin Cancer Res, 2001; 7: 277–82
- 18. Miyaki M, Kuroki T: Role of Smad4 (DPC4) inactivation in human cancer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2003; 306: 799–804
- 19. Watabe T, Miyazono K: Roles of TGF-beta family signaling in stem cell renewal and differentiation. Cell Res, 2009; 19: 103–15
- 20. Bierie B, Stover DG, Abel TW et al: Transforming growth factor-beta regulates mammary carcinoma cell survival and interaction with the adjacent microenvironment. Cancer Res, 2008; 68: 1809–19
- 21. Tang Y, Kitisin K, Jogunoori W et al: Progenitor/stem cells give rise to liver cancer due to aberrant TGF-beta and IL-6 signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2008; 105: 2445–50
- 22. Sun C, Wang FJ, Zhang HG et al: miR-34a mediates oxaliplatin resistance of colorectal cancer cells by inhibiting macroautophagy via transforming growth factor-beta/Smad4 pathway. World J Gastroenterol, 2017; 23: 1816–27
- 23. Chan MW, Huang YW, Hartman-Frey C et al: Aberrant transforming growth factor  $\beta$ 1 signaling and SMAD4 nuclear translocation confer epigenetic repression of ADAM19 in ovarian cancer. Neoplasia, 2008; 10: 908–19
- 24. Stitt TN, Drujan D, Clarke BA et al: The IGF-1/PI3K/Akt pathway prevents expression of muscle atrophy-induced ubiquitin ligases by inhibiting FOXO transcription factors. Mol Cell, 2004; 14: 395–403
- 25. Madakashira BP, Sadler KC: DNA methylation, nuclear organization, and cancer. Front Genet, 2017; 8: 76
- Periyasamy S, Ammanamanchi S, Tillekeratne MP et al: Repression of transforming growth factor-beta receptor type I promoter expression by Sp1 deficiency. Oncogene, 2000; 19: 4660–67
- Pinto M, Oliveira C, Cirnes L et al: Promoter methylation of TGFbeta receptor I and mutation of TGFbeta receptor II are frequent events in MSI sporadic gastric carcinomas. J Pathol. 2003; 200: 32–38
- Abdul-Aziz MA, Amin AK, El-Rouby DH et al: Lymphangiogenesis in oral squamous cell carcinoma: Correlation with VEGF-C expression and lymph node metastasis. Int J Dent, 2017; 2017: 7285656
- 29. Schwarte-Waldhoff I, Volpert OV, Bouck NP et al: Smad4/DPC4-mediated tumor suppression through suppression of angiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2000; 97: 9624–29

- 30. Li X, Lv X, Xiao J,et al: Smad4 Inhibits VEGF-A and VEGF-C Expressions via Enhancing Smad3 Phosphorylation in Colon Cancer. Anat Rec (Hoboken), 2017; 300(9): 1560–69
- Wang LH, Kim SH, Lee JH et al: Inactivation of SMAD4 tumor suppressor gene during gastric carcinoma progression. Clin Cancer Res, 2007; 13: 102–10
- 32. Coates A S, Winer EP, Goldhirsch A et al: Tailoring therapies-improving the management of early breast cancer: St GallenInternational Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2015. Ann Oncol, 2015; 26(8): 1533–46
- 33. Viala M, Alexandre M, Thezenas S et al: Prognostic impact of the inclusion of uPA/PAI-1 for adjuvant treatment decision-making in ER+/Her2– pN0 early breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2017; 165(3): 611–21
- 34. Peng S, Xue G, Gong L et al: A long-acting PAI-1 inhibitor reduces thrombus formation. Thromb Haemost, 2017; 117: 1338–47
- 35. Angela F, Logullo, Suely N et al: Transforming growth factor b1 (TGF $\beta$ 1) expression in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients as related to prognosis. J Oral Pathol Med, 2003; 32: 139–45
- 36. Wagner VP, Cardoso PR, Dos Santos JN: Immunohistochemical study of TGF-β1 in oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma: Correlations between clinicopathologic factors and overall survival. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol, 2017; 25(9): 651–59