Appropriate Management of Subcutaneous Tissue of Midline Abdominal Incisions

Nisreen Anfinan¹, Khalid H. Sait¹

1. Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, SAU

Corresponding author: Khalid H. Sait, khalidsait@yahoo.com

Abstract

Objectives

To identify the optimal method for subcutaneous tissue management following midline abdominal incisions among patients with high thickness of subcutaneous fat (TSF).

Methods

A single-center prospective controlled trial among women undergoing elective gynecologic surgery by midline incision with TSF ≥ 3 cm. Incision was managed by suture approximation of Camper's fascia (group 1), closed suction drainage (group 2), or no intervention (control). Groups were compared for the incidence of four-week postop wound complications including surgical site infection (SSI), superficial wound dehiscence (SWD), and seroma; and baseline and perioperative factors were analyzed using multivariate regression.

Results

Among 145 patients included (43.4% suture, 29.7% drain, 26.9% control), the overall incidence of wound complications was 15.2% (SSI 8.3%, SWD 0.7%, seroma 6.2%). The incidence of SSI was higher with suture (14.3%) versus drain (4.7%) and control (2.6%), while seroma was more frequent in drain (11.6%) versus suture (3.2%) and control (5.1%); however, both results were not statistically significant. Wound complication was independently associated with hemoglobin level (OR = 0.58, p = 0.019) and the occurrence of intraoperative complications (OR = 8.67, p = 0.048).

Conclusion

There is no statistical evidence about the optimal method of wound closure in the study population. Specific risk profiles can be constructed with an emphasis on preoperative anemia and intraoperative complications.

Categories: Obstetrics/Gynecology **Keywords:** surgical, wound, closure, drain, suture, infection, dehiscence

Introduction

The development of postoperative negative outcomes as perceived either by the surgeon or by the patient, namely surgical wound complications, remained one of the significant threats to accomplish an optimal care of patients following surgeries [1]. Wound healing impairment occurs due to infection, necrosis of wound margins or adjacent soft tissue, seroma or hematoma; all of these complications have the potential to cause wound dehiscence. The latter

Received 12/13/2019 Review began 12/23/2019 Review ended 12/30/2019 Published 01/03/2020

© Copyright 2020

Anfinan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Anfinan N, Sait K H (January 03, 2020) Appropriate Management of Subcutaneous Tissue of Midline Abdominal Incisions. Cureus 12(1): e6549. DOI 10.7759/cureus.6549

may seem trivial, but it can be associated with devastating implications if not managed correctly. Instead of being a mild case that needs only local wound management and antibiotics, the presence of wound complications may cause serious sequelae with several reoperations and high rates of mortality. For example, surgical site infections following midline incisions were associated with a five-fold increased risk of reoperations, longer length of hospital stay, five times higher re-hospitalization rates, and a two-fold increase in the likelihood of mortality compared to those without complications and an additional cost of US\$4,000 per wound [2,3].

Therefore, surgeons are required to mitigate the likelihood of wound complications via controlling the potential risk factors [4]. These could be related to the patient, surgical technique, or postoperative management. First, considering patient-related factors, the health and economic burdens of complications could increase with the global increase of obesity rates, which has been consistently associated with wound dehiscence and incisional hernia following laparotomies [5,6]. Second, the surgical technique has also a major role in reducing complication rates. More specifically, concerning midline incisions, evidence has shown that the quality of suture technique is paramount as revealed in the experimental and clinical studies [7]. Third, the success of wound healing using wound closure techniques may be challenging with increased thickness of subcutaneous fat (TSF) in obese patients [8]. This is because TSF was found to be a significant predictor for surgical site infections following midline laparostomy and colorectal surgeries [9,10]. In particular, studies demonstrated distinct TSF cut-off values that ranged between ≥20 mm and ≥30 mm for wound complications to develop [11,12].

In this context, this study was carried out to investigate the most appropriate methods for subcutaneous tissue management following midline vertical incisions among patients with low or high TSFs, by considering the occurrence of any surgical wound complication as the outcome of interest. Further, baseline demographic and clinical factors as well as perioperative factors were analyzed as cofactors of wound complication.

Materials And Methods

Population & setting

This prospective controlled trial was carried out at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, from March 2014 to October 2018. Women undergoing elective surgery by midline incision and having 3 cm or more subcutaneous tissue were included. All malignant and benign interventions were included. Patients who were hospitalized more than 24 hours prior to intervention and those with incision less than 3 cm depth were excluded. Patients presumed to be eligible were identified on admission and the allocated gynecological surgeon, among the three who participated to the study, obtained informed consent preoperatively. The study protocol was approved by the Unit of Biomedical Ethics, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University.

Sampling & enrollment

A convenience sampling was used to include all eligible and consenting patients during the study period. According to the incision closure approach, included patients were allocated to one of the three groups: suture approximation of Camper's fascia (group 1); closed suction drainage of the subcutaneous space (group 2); and no closure of the subcutaneous tissue (control group).

Procedures

Preoperative preparation was done as per the Department standard practice. All participants

received preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis. Abdominal wall was cleaned with chlorhexidinealcohol prepping solution without skin hair shaving. Surgical incision was made with the scalpel and hemostasis was undertaken by point cautery. The surgical procedure was performed through midline incision in the usual fashion, with the gynecological surgeon and his or her team. At the completion of the procedure, the pelvis and wound were both irrigated with warm normal saline solution. The fascia was closed in a mass fashion with a continuous #1 polydioxanone (PDS) suture. The depth of subcutaneous adipose tissue was measured with a disposable paper ruler from the fascia to the skin edge, half way between the umbilicus and pubis, to determine patient eligibility.

Each surgeon was assigned a group according to their preferred method of closure. The first surgeon used suture approximation of Camper's fascia with running 2-0 Vicryl suture (group 1). The second one used suction drainage with 15 French round Jackson-Pratt (JP) drain of the subcutaneous space, which exited the wound through a separate stab incision; drains were upheld in place postoperatively, until output was less than 50 mL per 24 hours up to a maximum of four days (group 2). The third one preferred not to close the subcutaneous layer (control group). All of three surgeons used the same preferred suture closure method consistently for all included patients.

For all patients, the skin was re-approximated with staples, wounds were covered with nonadhesive gauze, and pressure dressing was applied, which remained in place until the morning of the second postoperative day. Staples were left in place for at least seven postoperative days. All patients were covered with prophylactic anti-coagulant with elastic stocking and received routine postoperative care at the discretion of the attending surgeon.

Data collection and follow-up

Demographic data included age, marital status, and parity. Baseline clinical data included height and weight with calculation of the body mass index (BMI), comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, bronchial asthma, or other), and any previous surgery. These were analyzed as factors of wound complications.

Perioperative data were also recorded. In the preoperative time, data collected included type of diagnosis (benign or malignant), hemoglobin and albumin levels, as well as preoperative length of hospital stay (LOS). Intraoperative data included type of operation (total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingoophrectomy (TAHBSO) with or without staging, radical hysterectomy or debulking or myomectomy), any associated procedure, type of anesthesia, surgical time, intra-abdominal drain, occurrence of any complication, estimate blood loss (EBL), blood transfusion, subcutaneous depth and incision length, in addition to type of incision closure, etc.

All patients were evaluated daily during postoperative hospitalization and at two and six weeks postoperatively as an outpatient. Admission in intensive care unit (ICU), delayed feeding, total parenteral nutrition and the occurrence of any postoperative complication were recorded.

The outcome of interest consisted of the occurrence of any wound complication, which was divided into three categories: surgical site infection (SSI), superficial wound dehiscence (SWD), and seroma; by reference to clean wound.

Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The prevalence of wound complications was calculated with 95% confidence interval. Descriptive statistics were used to present

baseline and perioperative factors of wound complication; categorical variables were presented as frequency and percentage, while numerical variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed variables and median (75th centile [P75]) for nonnormally distributed ones. Analysis of factors associated with wound complication used chisquare test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, as appropriate and independent t-test for normally distributed numerical variables. Multivariate binary logistic regression was carried out to analyze independent risk factors of wound complication; results were presented as oddsratio (OR) with 95% CI. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to reject the null hypothesis.

Results

Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics

A total 145 procedures were included; mean (SD) age of the patients was 51.83 (14.89) years, 77.9% were married, and 26.2% were nulliparous. Clinical data showed obesity (61.4%), hypertension (37.2%), diabetes (20.0%) bronchial asthma (5.5%), and previous surgery (38.6%). Of the patients, 44.8% were operated for malignant disease, and mean preoperative hemoglobin was 11.73 (1.54) mg/L (Table 1).

Parameter	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age (years)	Mean, SD	51.83	14.89
	Single	16	11.0
Marital status	Married	113	77.9
	Divorced	7	4.8
	Widowed	9	6.2
	0	38	26.2
Devite	1-2	28	19.3
r any	3-5	45	31.0
	>5	34	23.4
Height (cm)	Mean, SD	153.72	7.31
Weight (kg)	Mean, SD	77.14	17.59
BMI (Kg/m ²)	Mean, SD	32.24	7.34
	Normal (up to 24.9)	26	17.9
	Overweight (25.0-29.9)	30	20.7
BMI category (Kg/m ²)	Class I obesity (30.0-34.9)	45	31.0
	Class II obesity (35.0-39.9)	21	14.5
	Class III obesity (≥40.0)	23	15.9
	Hypertension	54	37.2
	Diabetes	29	20.0

Comorbidities	Bronchial asthma	8	5.5
	Other	19	13.1
	0	81	55.9
No comorbidition	1	30	20.7
No. comorbidities	2	22	15.2
	3	12	8.3
Previous surgery	No	89	61.4
Trevious surgery	Yes	56	38.6
Preoperative diagnosis	Malignant	65	44.8
	Benign	80	55.2
Preoperative hemoglobin level (mg/L)	Mean, SD	11.73	1.54
Preoperative albumin level (mg/dL)	Mean, SD	31.45	6.67
Preoperative LOS (days)	Median, P75	1.00	2.00

TABLE 1: Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics (N = 145)

LOS: Length of stay; P75: 75th centile.

Procedure characteristics

Majority of patients underwent TAHBSO procedure (77.9%), and 55.2% had an associated procedure like omentectomy, lymphadenectomy and bowel resection include appendectomy. The most frequent type of anesthesia was general/epidural (58.6%) followed by general (35.2%). Surgical incision characteristics showed median (P75) subcutaneous depth (5.00 [5.50] cm), median (P75) incision length (20.00 [25.75] cm), and closure was carried out by suture (43.4%) or drain (29.7%), while nothing was applied in 26.9% of the cases. Other intraoperative data showed median surgical time (180.00 minutes), rates of blood transfusion (22.8%) and intraoperative complications (5.5%). Postoperative time was characterized by low incidence of ICU admissions (4.1%), delayed feeding (13.1%), total parenteral nutrition (1.4%), and postoperative complications (9.0%) (Table *2*).

Parameter	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Intraoperative data			
	TAHBSO	113	77.9
	TAHBSO and staging	9	6.2
Type of operation	Radical Hysterectomy/debulking	19	13.1

Parameter	Myomectomy Category No	4 Frequency	2.8 Percentage 44.8
Associated procedure	Yes	80	55.2
	General/Epidural	85	58.6
ype of anesthesia urgical time (min) rozen section Drain htraoperative complications EBL (ml)	General	51	35.2
Type of aposthosia	Epidural	3	2.1
Type of anestnesia	Spinal	2	1.4
	General/Spinal	1	0.7
Surgical time (min)	Spinal/Epidural	1	0.7
Surgical time (min)	Median, P75	180.00	240.00
Frozen section	No	117	80.7
	Yes	28	19.3
Drain	No	97	66.9
Dram	Yes	48	33.1
Intraoperative complications	No	137	94.5
	Yes	8	5.5
EBL (ml)	Median, P75	700.00	1000.00
Blood transfusion	No	112	77.2
	Yes	33	22.8
Subcutaneous depth (cm)	Median, P75; (rang = 2, 18)	5.00	5.50
Incision length (cm)	Median, P75; (range = 4.5, 41)	20.00	25.75
	Suture	63	43.4
Incision closure	Drain	43	29.7
	Nothing	39	26.9
Postoperative data			
ICU	No	139	95.9
	Yes	6	4.1
Delayed feeding	No	126	86.9
CU relayed feeding	Yes	19	13.1
Total parenteral nutrition	No	143	98.6
	Yes	2	1.4

Parameter	No Category	94 Frequency	64.8 Percentage
Suprapubic catheter	Yes	12	8.3
	Not specified	39	26.9
Poston complications	No	132	91.0
Postop. complications	Yes	13	9.0
Postop. LOS (days)	Median, P75	4.00	6.00
Total LOS (days)	Median, P75	6.00	8.00

TABLE 2: Procedure characteristics

TAHBSO: Total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingoophrectomy; EBL: Estimated blood loss; ICU: Intensive care unit; SSI: Surgical site infection; SWD: Superficial wound dehiscence; P75: 75th centile.

Wound outcome

The incidence of four-week wound complications was 15.2% (95% CI = 9.8%, 22.1%); including SSI (8.3%), SWD (0.7%) and seroma (6.2%). Distribution of wound complications by type of incision closure showed higher rate of SSI with suture (14.3%) compared to drain (4.7%) and control (2.6%), while seroma was more frequent with drain (11.6%) compared to suture (3.2%) and control (5.1%); however, both results did not reach statistical significance (Table 3).

Outcome	Overall (N = 145)	Suture (N = 63)	Drain (N = 43)	Nothing (N = 39)	p-value
Clean	123 (84.8)	52 (82.5)	35 (81.4)	36 (92.3)	0.210
Any complication	22 (15.2)	11 (17.5)	8 (18.6)	3 (7.7)	0.310
SSI	12 (8.3)	9 (14.3)	2 (4.7)	1 (2.6)	0.067
SWD	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	0.303
Seroma	9 (6.2)	2 (3.2)	5 (11.6)	2 (5.1)	0.198

TABLE 3: Wound outcome in overall cases and by type of incision closure

Values are frequency (percentage), and percentages are calculated on column categories.

SSI: Surgical site infection; SWD: Superficial wound dehiscence.

Demographic and clinical factors associated with wound complication

The incidence of wound complications at four weeks postop was higher in patients with cancer

(23.1% versus 8.8%) compared to their counterparts, respectively (p = 0.017) and was associated with low hemoglobin (mean [SD] = 10.99 [1.44] mg/L versus 11.87 [1.57] mg/L, p = 0.014) and albumin (mean [SD] = 28.30 [7.465] mg/dL versus 32.03 [6.38] mg/dL, p = 0.021) compared to patients without wound complications (Table 4).

	Wound complicat		cation			
Factor	Category	No	No		Yes	
		Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value
Age (years)	Mean, SD	50.97	14.58	56.64	16.04	0.100 t
	Single	13	81.3	3	18.8	
Marital status	Married	96	85.0	17	15.0	0.964
	Divorced	6	85.7	1	14.3	0.904
	Widowed	8	88.9	1	11.1	
Nationality	Saudi	55	82.1	12	17.9	0.204
. reconding	Non-Saudi	68	87.2	10	12.8	0.394
	0	31	81.6	7	18.4	
Parity	1-2	23	82.1	5	17.9	0.007
	3-5	39	86.7	6	13.3	0.827
	>5	30	88.2	4	11.8	
	Normal	21	80.8	5	19.2	
	Overweight	25	83.3	5	16.7	
BMI category (Kg/m ²)	Class I obesity	39	86.7	6	13.3	0.963
	Class II obesity	18	85.7	3	14.3	
	Class II obesity	20	87.0	3	13.0	
Umentension	No	78	85.7	13	14.3	0.000
Hypertension	Yes	45	83.3	9	16.7	0.699
Distant	No	98	84.5	18	15.5	F
Diabetes	Yes	25	86.2	4	13.8	1.000 '
Dranshiel asthras	No	117	85.4	20	14.6	o o ve F
Bronchial astrima	Yes	6	75.0	2	25.0	0.349 -
	No	108	85.7	18	14.3	F
Other	Yes	15	78.9	4	21.1	0.492 ^F

	0	71	87.7	10	12.3	
No. comorbidities	1	23	76.7	7	23.3	0.549
	2	19	86.4	3	13.6	
	3	10	83.3	2	16.7	
Provious ourgon/	No	74	83.1	15	16.9	0.477
	Yes	49	87.5	7	12.5	0.477
Propagativo diagnosia	Cancer	50	76.9	15	23.1	0.0478
Freoperative diagnosis	Benign	73	91.3	7	8.8	0.017
Preoperative hemoglobin level (mg/L)	Mean, SD	11.87	1.57	10.99	1.14	0.014 ^a t
Preoperative albumin level (mg/dL)	Mean, SD	32.03	6.38	28.30	7.46	0.021 ^a t
	≤1 day	6	89.0	8	11.0	0 154
rieoperative LOS (days)	>1 day	58	80.6	14	19.4	0.134

TABLE 4: Baseline demographic and clinical factors associated with wound complication (N = 145)

^a Statistically significant difference; test used - t, independent t test; F: Fisher's exact test, otherwise, chi square test was used.

Procedure-related factors of wound complication

Wound complications were more frequent in radical hysterectomy/debulking (36.8%) and TAHBSO + staging (22.2%), compared with TAHBSO (11.5%) and myomectomy (0.0%), and the difference is statistically significant (p = 0.027). Notably, increased subcutaneous depth (>5 cm) was associated with 27.0% incidence of wound complications, compared to 11.1% in case of incision depth \leq 5 cm, and the difference was statistically significant (p = 0.020). However, no statistically significant association was found with incision length and the occurrence of wound complications (p = 0.241). Other intraoperative factors that were associated with wound complications included longer surgical time (26.9% in long [>180 min] versus 8.6% in short [\leq 180 min] interventions, p = 0.003), presence of intraoperative complications (50.0% in presence versus 13.1% in absence, p = 0.019), blood transfusion (26.4% versus 8.9%, p < 0.001). Further, the rate of wound complications (p < 0.001), delayed feeding (p < 0.001), and postoperative complications (p < 0.001), etc. (Table 5).

		Wound complication					
Factor	Category		No		5	n voluo	
		Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value	
Intraoperative factors							

	TAHBSO	100	88.5	13	11.5	
Type of operation	TAHBSO + Staging	7	77.8	2	22.2	0.0278
	Radical hysterectomy/debulking	12	63.2	7	36.8	0.027
	Myomectomy	4	100.0	0	0.0	
	No	59	90.8	6	9.2	0.072
Associated procedure	Yes	64	80.0	16	20.0	0.072
Surgical time (min)	≤180	85	91.4	8	8.6	0 0028
	>180	38	73.1	14	26.9	0.003
Frozen section	No	98	83.8	19	16.2	0 570F
	Yes	25	89.3	3	10.7	0.570
Drain	No	83	85.6	14	14.4	0 724
	Yes	40	83.3	8	16.7	0.724
Intraoperative complications	No	119	86.9	18	13.1	0.0108
	Yes	4	50.0	4	50.0	0.019
EBL (ml)	≤700	71	88.8	9	11.3	0 144
	>700	52	80.0	13	20.0	0.144
Blood transfusion	No	102	91.1	10	8.9	<0.0018
	Yes	21	63.6	12	26.4	<0.001
Subcutaneous denth (cm)	≤5	96	88.9	12	11.1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Subcularieous deptri (ciri)	>5	27	73.0	10	27.0	0.020*
Incision length (cm)	≤20	67	88.2	9	11.8	0 241
	>20	56	81.2	13	18.8	0.241
	Suture	52	82.5	11	17.5	
Incision closure	Drain	35	81.4	8	18.6	0.310
	Nothing	36	92.3	3	7.7	
Postoperative factors						
ICI Ladmission	No	122	87.8	17	12.2	<0.001 ^a
	Yes	1	16.7	5	83.3	<0.001
Delayed feeding	No	112	88.9	14	11.1	<0.0018
Delayed recurry	Yes	11	57.9	8	42.1	\U.UU I
	No	123	86.0	20	14.0	

Total parenteral nutrition	Yes	0	0.0	2	100.0	0.022 ^a
Suprapubic catheter	No	80	85.1	14	14.9	0 0208 F
Suprapuble callieler	Yes	7	58.3	5	41.7	0.038
Poston complications	No	118	89.4	14	10.0	<0.0018
r ostop. complications	Yes	5	38.5	8	61.5	<0.001
Poston LOS (days)	≤4	75	93.8	5	6.3	0.0018 F
Fusiop. LOS (days)	>4	48	73.8	17	26.2	0.001
Total LOS (days)	≤6	78	95.1	4	4.9	-0.008 F
Total LOS (days)	>6	45	71.4	18	28.6	<0.00

TABLE 5: Procedure-related factors of wound complication (N = 145)

EBL: Estimated blood loss; ICU: Intensive care unit; SSI: Surgical site infection; SWD: Superficial wound dehiscence; P75: 75th centile;

^a Statistically significant difference; test used - F: Fisher's exact test, otherwise, chi square test was used.

Baseline and preoperative predictors of wound complication

The multivariate model including all significant baseline and preoperative factors showed that only hemoglobin level (OR = 0.58, p = 0.019) and the occurrence of intraoperative complications (OR = 8.67, p = 0.048) were independently associated with wound complication (Table 6).

Factor	Category	OR	95% C	I	p-value
Preoperative factors					
Diagnosis	Cancer	1.59	0.43	5.93	0.490
Diagnosis	Benign	Ref	-	-	-
Hemoglobin level	(mg/L)	0.58	0.36	0.91	0.019 ^a
Albumin level	(mg/dL)	0.95	0.87	1.03	0.209
Intraoperative factors					
	TAHBSO	Ref	-	-	0.540
Type of operation	TAHBSO +Staging	6.01	0.47	75.95	0.166
	Radical Hysterectomy/debulking	1.73	0.38	7.92	0.481
	Myomectomy	-	-	-	0.999
Surgical time (min)	≤180	Ref	-	-	-
	>180	2.69	0.73	9.88	2.69
Intragnorativo complications	No	Ref	-	-	-
Intraoperative complications	Yes	8.67	1.01	74.13	0.048 ^a
Blood transfusion	No	Ref	-	-	-
	Yes	1.63	0.48	5.56	0.434
Subcutaneous denth (cm)	≤5	Ref	-	-	-
Subcutaneous deptir (cm)	>5	2.55	0.72	8.96	0.145

TABLE 6: Predictors of wound complication

Binary logistic regression; dependent variable = occurrence of surgical wound complication.

Ref: Category used as reference;

^a Statistically significant result (p < 0.05).

Discussion

The results of this controlled trial showed no significant differences in the incidence of SSI, wound dehiscence, and seroma among all approaches of wound approximation. However, we identified several associated factors with wound complications, such as an established cancer diagnosis, preoperative decreased albumin levels, prolonged surgical time, blood transfusion, subcutaneous depth > 5 cm, and postoperative factors, including ICU admission, total parenteral nutrition, delayed feeding, prolonged LOS (>4 days) and postoperative

complications. Importantly, low preoperative hemoglobin levels as well as the occurrence of intraoperative complications were independent risk factors of wound complications.

The results concerned with wound outcomes following different approximation techniques were conflicting. For example, a meta-analysis involving six randomized clinical trials (RCTs) has shown that suture closure in women with >2 cm subcutaneous fat led to reducing SWD by 34%, and this was potentially attributable to seroma prevention [13]. Nevertheless, recent studies showed variable outcomes. Dwivedi et al. randomized 60 patients equally to either suture approximation (polyglactin 910, 2-0) or suction syringe drainage and found that the rates of SSI, hospital readmission, and SWD were significantly higher with closed drainage management [14]. In contrast, Manoharan et al. assessed wound outcomes in 60 patients who underwent suture approximation or subcutaneous suction drains postoperatively [15]. The authors found beneficial effects of suctions drains since they reduced the incidence of SSI, SWD, and postoperative LOS when compared to primary skin closure.

However, other reports showed no significant differences between methods of postoperative wound management. In a large RCT conducted at an American gynecologic oncology department, Cardosi et al. revealed no differences in the rates of all wound complications, including SWD, cellulitis, seroma, hematoma, and abscess, following midline incisions in patients with ≥3 cm subcutaneous fat [16]. Another prospective RCT showed that the incidence rates of SSI were 7.7% and 5.7% when subcutaneous closed-suction drainage and no drainage were used, respectively, without statistically significant differences [17]. Failure to reach a consensus regarding the safest wound closure method may indicate differences in methodological designs, patient-related factors, the used tools/equipment, and surgeons' expertise.

In the present study, preoperative anemia was independently associated with greater likelihood of postoperative wound complications. Patients with anemia may exhibit impaired tissue oxygenation, decreased collagen synthesis, and reduced capacity of neutrophil-mediated oxidative killing [18]. Additionally, these patients often require perioperative blood transfusion, which represents a confounding factor of inducing immunosuppression and can indirectly cause wound infection [19].

From another perspective, increased TSF (>5 cm) has been identified as an associated factor of wound complications in our study. Early and recent evidence indicated an important role of obesity in the development of postoperative SSI, and a TSF \geq 3 cm was associated with 80% odd risk of developing a wound infection [20]. Several potential explanations have been postulated, such as reduced lymphocytic immunity, low oxygenation levels and decreased vascularity in the subcutaneous tissue, and impaired collagen synthesis in obese patients [21-23]. Interestingly, antibiotic administration is crucial and obese patients should be monitored regularly for possible complications since suboptimal antibiotic tissue penetration and dosage in subcutaneous tissue may be confounding factors that increase the risk of wound complications [24].

The present investigation covered a rare topic in obese women undergoing gynecologic surgeries via midline incisions. A prospective design was elected to assess the effects of confounding variables at our institution. However, our results should be cautiously interpreted due to some inherent limitations. Principally, failure to demonstrate statistical significance in the comparative analysis of wound complication incidence between the study groups may be due to reduced sample size in each group, entailing high type II error. Additionally, patients were allocated to each of the three groups based on surgeon's preferences and hence there may be a risk of selection bias.

Conclusions

There is no statistical evidence about the optimal and safest method of wound closure in obese women undergoing gynecologic surgeries. Patients with TSF of at least 3 cm should be considered and adequately monitored for the prevention of wound complications, particularly clinically vulnerable patients, such as those with preoperative anemia, malignancy, and hypoalbuminemia. Complicated cases during and after the operations should be thoroughly checked for infectious and mechanical wound disruptions. Notably, specific risk profiles can be constructed for each individual patient to identify patients at high risks of further complications, with an emphasis on preoperative anemia and intraoperative complications. Antibiotic prophylaxis, which was not investigated in the present study, may be useful to prevent SSI and both indication and dosage should be adjusted based on body weight profiles.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. The study protocol was approved by the Unit of Biomedical Ethics, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University issued approval 293-12. The research ethics committee on its meeting has examined the research subcutaneous management of vertical abdominal incision, and recommend granting permission. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Khalid Darhouse, for his cooperation in allowing us to use his patients' data to conduct this study.

References

- Dhaigude B, Shree S, Shah P, Francis M, Patel K, Metta V: Post-operative wound complications following emergency and elective abdominal surgeries. Int Surg J. 2018, 5:232-237. 10.18203/2349-2902.isj20175901
- Kirkland KB, Briggs JP, Trivette SL, Wilkinson WE, Sexton DJ: The impact of surgical-site infections in the 1990s: attributable mortality, excess length of hospitalization, and extra costs. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1999, 20:725-730. 10.1086/501572
- Mahdi H, Gojayev A, Buechel M, et al.: Surgical site infection in women undergoing surgery for gynecologic cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2014, 24:779-786. 10.1097/IGC.00000000000126
- Aksamija G, Mulabdic A, Rasic I, Aksamija L: Evaluation of risk factors of surgical wound dehiscence in adults after laparotomy. Med Arch. 2016, 70:369-372. 10.5455/medarh.2016.70.369-372
- Walming S, Angenete E, Block M, Bock D, Gessler B, Haglind E: Retrospective review of risk factors for surgical wound dehiscence and incisional hernia. BMC Surg. 2017, 17:19. 10.1186/s12893-017-0207-0
- McLean M, Hines R, Polinkovsky M, Stuebe A, Thorp J, Strauss R: Type of skin incision and wound complications in the obese parturient. Am J Perinatol. 2012, 29:301-306. 10.1055/s-0031-1295637
- 7. Israelsson LA, Millbourn D: Closing midline abdominal incisions . Langenbecks Arch Surg.

2012, 397:1201-1207. 10.1007/s00423-012-1019-4

- 8. Nakagawa H, Ohno K, Ikeda S, Muto M: The effect of preoperative subcutaneous fat thickness on surgical site infection risk in patients undergoing colorectal surgery: results of a multisite, prospective cohort study. Ostomy Wound Manage. 2016, 62:14-20.
- Fujii T, Tsutsumi S, Matsumoto A, et al.: Thickness of subcutaneous fat as a strong risk factor for wound infections in elective colorectal surgery: impact of prediction using preoperative CT. Dig Surg. 2010, 27:331-335. 10.1159/000297521
- Lee JS, Terjimanian MN, Tishberg LM, et al.: Surgical site infection and analytic morphometric assessment of body composition in patients undergoing midline laparotomy. J Am Coll Surg. 2011, 213:236-244. 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.04.008
- 11. Tongyoo A, Chatthamrak P, Sriussadaporn E, Limpavitayaporn P, Mingmalairak C: Risk assessment of abdominal wall thickness measured on pre-operative computerized tomography for incisional surgical site infection after abdominal surgery. J Med Assoc Thai. 2015, 98:677-683.
- Akasaka J, Furukawa N, Shigemitsu A, Kanayama S, Kawaguchi R, Kobayashi H: Risk factors for wound complications after surgery for gynecologic malignancies. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2013, 23:1501-1505. 10.1097/IGC.0b013e3182a2ff25
- Chelmow D, Rodriguez EJ, Sabatini MM: Suture closure of subcutaneous fat and wound disruption after cesarean delivery: a meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2004, 103:974-980. 10.1097/01.AOG.0000124807.76451.47
- Dwivedi JS, Gupta AS, Pardeshi SH: A comparative study of two different techniques of subcutaneous tissue closure in abdominal surgery. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017, 6:7. 10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20175271
- Manoharan G, Sivakumar T, Kumar MA: Evaluation of the use of subcutaneous drains to prevent wound complications in abdominal surgeries. Int J Surg. 2018, 5:1368-1372. 10.18203/2349-2902.isj20181112
- Cardosi RJ, Drake J, Holmes S, et al.: Subcutaneous management of vertical incisions with 3 or more centimeters of subcutaneous fat. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2006, 195:607-614. 10.1016/j.ajog.2006.04.013
- Kaya E, Paksoy E, Ozturk E, Sigirli D, Bilgel H: Subcutaneous closed-suction drainage does not affect surgical site infection rate following elective abdominal operations: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Acta Chir Belg. 2010, 110:457-462.
 10.1080/00015458.2010.11680655
- Pierpont YN, Dinh TP, Salas RE, Johnson EL, Wright TG, Robson MC, Payne WG: Obesity and surgical wound healing: a current review. ISRN Obes. 2014, 2014:13. 10.1155/2014/638936
- Hu T, Wu X, Hu J, et al.: Incidence and risk factors for incisional surgical site infection in patients with Crohn's disease undergoing bowel resection. Gastroenterol Rep. 2018, 6:189-194. 10.1093/gastro/goy007
- 20. Gurunathan U, Ramsay S, Mitric G, Way M, Wockner L, Myles P: Association between obesity and wound infection following colorectal surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Surg. 2017, 21:1700-1712. 10.1007/s11605-017-3494-y
- 21. Andersen CJ, Murphy KE, Fernandez ML: Impact of obesity and metabolic syndrome on immunity. Adv Nutr. 2016, 7:66-75. 10.3945/an.115.010207
- 22. Falagas ME, Kompoti M: Obesity and infection. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006, 6:438-446. 10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70523-0
- 23. Pence BD, Woods JA: Exercise, obesity, and cutaneous wound healing: evidence from rodent and human studies. Adv Wound Care. 2014, 3:71-79. 10.1089/wound.2012.0377
- 24. Toma O, Suntrup P, Stefanescu A, London A, Mutch M, Kharasch E: Pharmacokinetics and tissue penetration of cefoxitin in obesity: implications for risk of surgical site infection. Anesth Analg. 2011, 113:730-737. 10.1213/ANE.0b013e31821fff74