Yeast 2016; **33**: 191–200 Published online 17 March 2016 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) **DOI:** 10.1002/yea.3155

**Research Article** 

## Luciferase NanoLuc as a reporter for gene expression and protein levels in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Anna E. Masser, Ganapathi Kandasamy, Jayasankar Mohanakrishnan Kaimal and Claes Andréasson\* Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, Sweden

\*Correspondence to: C. Andréasson, Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden. E-mail: claes.andreasson@su.se

#### Abstract

Reporter proteins are essential tools in the study of biological processes and are employed to monitor changes in gene expression and protein levels. Luciferases are reporter proteins that enable rapid and highly sensitive detection with an outstanding dynamic range. Here we evaluated the usefulness of the 19 kDa luciferase NanoLuc (Nluc), derived from the deep sea shrimp Oplophorus gracilirostris, as a reporter protein in yeast. Cassettes with codon-optimized genes expressing yeast Nluc (yNluc) or its destabilized derivative yNlucPEST have been assembled in the context of the dominant drug resistance marker kanMX. The reporter proteins do not impair the growth of yeast cells and exhibit half-lives of 40 and 5 min, respectively. The commercial substrate Nano-Glo $^{(0)}$  is compatible with detection of yNluc bioluminescence in < 50 cells. Using the unstable yNlucPEST to report on the rapid and transient expression of a heat-shock promoter (P<sub>CYC1-HSE</sub>), we found a close match between the intensity of the bioluminescent signal and mRNA levels during both induction and decay. We demonstrated that the bioluminescence of yNluc fused to the C-terminus of a temperature-sensitive protein reports on its protein levels. In conclusion, yNluc and yNlucPEST are valuable new reporter proteins suitable for experiments with yeast using standard commercial substrate. © 2016 The Authors. Yeast published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Received: 18 September 2015 Accepted: 29 January 2016

Keywords: bioluminescence; reporter; luciferase; gene expression; protein stability

### Introduction

Reporter proteins are essential tools for both *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments of biological processes. In their most fundamental form, the *in vivo* reporter proteins reflect on the expression of promoters and the levels of mRNA and proteins. For example, fusion of promoters or genes to the bacterial *LacZ* gene enables the detection of the expressed  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity and has been essential in the study of many aspects of gene expression (Juers *et al.*, 2012). However, although apparently simple,  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity assays are time-consuming and detection suffers from a poor dynamic range. Moreover, the enzyme is a large and stable tetrameric 1023 amino acid residues protein that, in the eukaryotic setting, takes

time to translate and fold, making it a slow reporter for monitoring rapid changes of gene expression in yeast. Other examples of reporter proteins include fluorescent and bioluminescent proteins (Tsien, 1998; Wilson and Hastings, 1998). Both classes facilitate rapid detection by sensitive instruments, with bioluminescence outperforming fluorescent detection.

Bioluminescent luciferases have become popular as protein reporters, due to their high sensitivity and dynamic range and the ease and speed of using the detection instruments (Thorne *et al.*, 2010). Examples include firefly (*Photinus pyralis*) luciferase (FFluc), *Renilla reniformis* luciferase (Rluc) and bacterial luciferases (*lux* operons) (de Wet *et al.*, 1985; Lorenz *et al.*, 1991; Engebrecht *et al.*, 1983). FFluc is the most commonly used

<sup>© 2016</sup> The Authors. Yeast published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

luciferase and produces green light in an ATPdependent reaction. In budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), FFluc has been used successfully for gene fusions to report on transcriptional activity (Vieites et al., 1994). However, certain features make FFluc less than ideal as a reporter protein in veast. First, it is a large multidomain protein of 61 kDa that takes time to express and exhibits slow turnover. Second, the protein denatures and form aggregates in vivo when cells are subjected to heat shock or other stressors that perturb protein homeostasis (proteostasis) (Nathan et al., 1997). Third, folding and reactivation of FFluc is highly dependent on the potentially limiting molecular chaperones Hsp40, Hsp70 and Hsp104 (Schröder et al., 1993; Glover and Lindquist, 1998). The tendency to aggregate and the chaperone-dependent reactivation have made FFluc an important tool when studying proteostasis (Hartl and Hayer-Hartl, 2002), yet the very same characteristics call for the evaluation of new and improved luciferases as reporter proteins in yeast.

Originally from the deep sea shrimp, Oplophorus gracilirostris (Inouye et al., 2000), NanoLuc (Nluc) is a novel engineered luciferase that is smaller (19kDa) and more stable during denaturing conditions and changes in pH and temperature than FFluc and Rluc. It uses an imidazopyrazinone substrate (furimazine), commercialized as Nano-Glo®, to produce a signal around 150-fold brighter than FFluc in an ATPindependent manner (Hall et al., 2012). Nluc was originally developed as a reporter protein for human cell lines (Hall et al., 2012) but has also been shown to function in bacteria (Loh and Proft, 2014). Yet the use of Nluc and its substrate in yeast has not been evaluated.

In this study, we have generated stable and destabilized Nluc genes codon-optimized for yeast expression and report on the characteristics and usefulness of this novel and highly sensitive luciferase reporter in yeast.

## Materials and methods

## Yeast strains and plasmids

All *S. cerevisiae* strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The strains are derivatives of BY4741 (Brachmann *et al.*, 1998). CAY1015 is a meiotic segregant in the BY4741 background (Gowda *et al.*, 2013) and CAY1259 was constructed by inserting *mCherry* in the *HTB2* locus of CAY1015 (Keppler-Ross *et al.*, 2008). The *yNlucPEST* gene was synthesized codon-optimized for expression in *S. cerevisiae* and subcloned into plasmids using PCR and homologous recombination in yeast. Details regarding plasmid construction are available upon request.

#### Yeast media

Cells were grown in standard yeast peptone dextrose (YPD) or on ammonia-based synthetic complete dextrose (SC) medium supplemented to support the growth of auxotrophic strains. The cells were grown at 30°C.

## Bioluminescence determination

Nano-Glo substrate (Promega GmbH, Germany) was diluted 1:100 with the supplied lysis buffer and mixed 1:10 with cells grown in SC in a white 96-well plate. Bioluminescence was determined immediately, using an Orion II Microplate Luminometer (Berthold Technologies GmbH & Co. KG, Germany). Bioluminescence light units (BLU) are defined as the relative light units (BLU)/s of 1 ml cells at  $OD_{600}=1.0$ . To monitor turnover, 1 mg/l cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or 5  $\mu$ M lactimidomycin (Merck-Millipore) was added. For *in vitro* bioluminescence measurements, cells were subjected to glass bead lysis for 30 s in a bead beater and cell

| Table I. Yeast strai |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

| Strain  | Genotype   | Reference/source       |
|---------|--|------------------------|
| BY4741  | <b>MATa</b> his3 $\Delta$ 1 leu2 $\Delta$ 0 met15 $\Delta$ 0 ura3 $\Delta$ 0 | Brachmann et al., 1998 |
| CAY1015 | MATa his3 $\Delta$ 1 leu2 $\Delta$ 0 ura3 $\Delta$ 0                         | Gowda et al. (2013)    |
| CAY1259 | MATa his3 $\Delta 1$ leu2 $\Delta 0$ ura3 $\Delta 0$ HTB2::mCherry-kanMX     | This study             |

| Plasmid     | Description                               | Туре    | Reference/source        |
|-------------|---|---------|-------------------------|
| pAM09       | URA3 VC                                   | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pAMI0       | URA3 PCYCI-HSE-Nluc                       | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pCA873      | URA3 PSSA4-NIucPEST                       | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pCA955      | URA3 PCYCI-HSE-NIUCPEST                   | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pGAI        | URA3 PTEFT-GFP-yNluc                      | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pGA2        | URA3 $P_{TFFI}$ –Z–yNluc                  | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pGA3        | URA3 PTEFI-EGFP-Ubc9 <sup>ts</sup> -yNluc | CEN/ARS | This study              |
| pGA4        | URA3 PTDH3-yNluc-EGFP-Ubc9 <sup>ts</sup>  | CEN/ARS | ,<br>This study         |
| pZJ–HSE2–26 | URA3 P <sub>CYCI-HSE</sub> -LacZ          | 2 μ     | Slater and Craig (1987) |

Table 2. Plasmids

debris was removed by 10 min centrifugation at  $1500 \times g$ . Cell-free lysates were diluted to a protein concentration of 0.05 mg/ml (Nanodrop, 280 nm) and mixed with cycloheximide before determining bioluminescence.

 $Na_2CO_3$  and cell debris was removed by centrifugation. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 420 nm.

### Determination of mRNA levels

RNA was extracted from cells grown in SC using RiboPure RNA Purification а Kit for Yeast (Ambion). cDNA was synthesized from DNase I-treated RNA using Superscript® III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed using KAPA SYBR® Fast Universal qPCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems), with primers specific for the *yNlucPEST* (ATGGTGTTACTGG GCACAAGCAGC TTGGCGTTTATG and AGGATGACGAT) and TAF10 transcripts (ATATTCCAGGATCAGGTCTTCCGTAGC and GTAGTCTTCTCATTCTGTTGATGTTGTTGTT-G). Quantification was performed using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) and expression was normalized to TAF10 (Teste et al., 2009).

#### $\beta$ -Galactosidase activity determination

β-Galactosidase activity was determined using *N*-lauroyl-sarcosine-permeabilized cells (Kippert, 1995; Andréasson and Ljungdahl, 2004). After pre-incubation of cells in 800 µl 0.2% w/v sodium *N*-lauroyl-sarcosine Z buffer (60 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 40 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH7) at 30°C for 15 min, a 160 µl aliquot of 4 g/l o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) solution was added and the tubes were mixed by inversion. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 400 µl 1 M

### Fluorescence microscopy

Transformants of strain CAY1259 carrying plasmid pGA1 were grown to logarithmic phase and images were acquired using an Axiovert 200 M inverted fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany), Plan-Apochromate×63/1.4 oil DIC, DG4 light source (Sutter Instrument Novato, CA) equipped with Roper Cascade 1 K camera (Carl Zeiss) and SlideBook 6 software. Binning of  $2 \times 2$ was used to acquire images that were processed using SlideBook Reader.

#### Flow-cytometric determination of GFP signal

The growth medium of cells in logarithmic growth phase was removed and exchanged for phosphatebuffered saline (PBS). Data were acquired on a FACS Calibur instrument (BD Biosciences) and average green fluorescent protein (GFP) signal was determined using FlowJo software.

#### Sedimentation assays

The sedimentation assay was adopted from Theodoraki *et al.* (2012). Briefly, cells were subjected to glass bead lysis and cell debris was removed by centrifugation at  $700 \times g$  in a table-top centrifuge. The cleared lysate was centrifuged at 12  $800 \times g$  for 15 min to separate soluble and insoluble proteins.

#### Western blot analysis

Protein extracts were prepared from cells in logarithmic phase (Silve et al., 1991). Briefly, NaOH was added directly to the cultures to a final concentration of 0.37 M and the cells were incubated for 10 min on ice before the addition of trichloroacetic acid to a final concentration of 8.3%. After centrifugation and removal of the supernatant, the pellet was rinsed with 1 M Tris base and equal amounts of SDS-solubilized protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and analysed by quantitative western blotting, using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-COR Biosciences). GFP signal (anti-GFP, Sigma-Aldrich; 1:10000) or Nanoluc signal (anti-Nanoluc, Promega GmbH, Germany; 1:1000) was normalized to the Pgk1 signal (anti-Pgk1, Life Technologies; 1:10000).

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Nanoluc reporters in yeast

To create a new gene reporter system based on Nluc for S. cerevisiae, we codon-optimized its coding sequence for optimal expression in yeast (yNluc). The leucine codon CUG was omitted from the sequence to enable the future use of the reporter in Candida albicans, a yeast that noncanonically reads the CUG codon as serine (White et al., 1995). Since turnover of the vNluc reporter in yeast cells was not known and this characteristic greatly impacts on the usefulness of the reporter to monitor rapid expression level changes, we also codon-optimized a version of yNluc with a potentially destabilizing PEST sequence (vNlucPEST) (Marchal et al., 1998). The genes encoding yNluc and yNlucPEST were introduced into plasmids in the context of the dominant G418 resistance cassette kanMX to facilitate promoter fusion by direct genomic integration, using PCR and homologous recombination (Figure 1A).

To evaluate the usefulness of the reporters in yeast, we chose to drive the expression of yNluc and yNlucPEST from a widely used heat shock-responsive promoter built from the core *CYC1* promoter ( $P_{CYC1}$ ) and a heat shock element (HSE) from the Hsp70 gene *SSA1* (Slater and Craig, 1987) (Figure 1A). While wild-type cells (BY4741)



**Figure 1.** Expression of yNluc and yNlucPEST gene reporters in yeast. (A) Schematic representation of yNanoluc and yNlucPEST yeast codon-optimized gene reporter cassettes designed for PCR amplification and integration into the yeast genome: a dominant G418 selection marker is provided by kanMX; for the purposes of this study, the minimal *CYC1* promoter ( $P_{CYC1}$ ) fused to a heat shock element (HSE) drives the expression of each reporter variant. (B) Bioluminescence (bioluminescence light units, BLU) in cells carrying vector control (VC) or expressing yNluc or yNlucPEST; error bars represent SD (n = 3). (C) Turnover of yNluc and yNlucPEST bioluminescence following arrest of translation by cycloheximide (CHX) or lactimidomycin (LTM) addition; error bars represent SD ( $n \ge 3$ )

growing at 30°C and carrying empty vector control (pAM09) emitted 22 (±7.64 SD) BLU upon addition of the Nano-Glo® substrate, expression of yNluc (pAM10) or yNlucPEST (pCA955) resulted in 18000- and 900-fold increases, respectively, of the bioluminescence (Figure 1B). Importantly, yNluc exhibited 20-fold higher bioluminescence compared to yNlucPEST, consistent with the notion that the PEST sequence destabilizes the reporter protein in yeast.

We directly monitored the turnover of yNluc and yNlucPEST by arresting translation (cycloheximide/lactimidomycin) and followed the decay of bioluminescence. Consistent with the expression levels, the signal from vNluc was more stable over the time course than the signal from yNlucPEST, with half-lives of 40 and 5 min, respectively (Figure 1C). Apparently, a small fraction of yNlucPEST escapes inactivation and accumulates over time to represent approximately 20% of the protein population. The half-lives in yeast are generally shorter than what has been reported from expression in mammalian cells (Nluc did not exhibit turnover over 6h and NlucPEST had a half-life of 20 min) (Hall et al., 2012). The more stable yNluc accumulates to high levels and is potentially suitable for experiments that require sensitivity and a stable signal. In contrast, yNlucPEST facilitates the detection of rapid changes of reporter activity including its downregulation. Moreover, during our experiments we found that cycloheximide did not interfere with the bioluminescent activity in cell-free lysates (see supporting information, Figure S1).

## yNluc or yNlucPEST do not impair growth of yeast cells

We assessed whether yNluc and yNlucPEST expression is toxic to yeast cells. Growth measured in liquid medium over 24 h showed no difference in the growth rates of yNluc and yNlucPEST compared to VC (Figure 2A). Since  $P_{CYCI-HSE}$  is a heat shock-responsive promoter, cells were grown in 10-fold dilution on solid medium at 25°C, 30°C and 37°C, to assess whether higher expression together with the additional proteotoxic stress that comes with an elevated temperatures impacts on growth. The reporters did not elicit growth inhibition at any temperature (Figure 2B). We conclude that yNluc and yNlucPEST do not impair the growth of yeast cells.

# Sensitivity of yNluc or yNlucPEST bioluminescence

Sensitivity is crucial for a reporter system to obtain accurate measurements and to be able to monitor



**Figure 2.** Growth characteristics of cells expressing yNluc or yNlucPEST. (A) Density ( $OD_{600}$ ) of cells growing in liquid medium carrying vector control (VC) or expressing yNluc or yNlucPEST. (B) Ten-fold serial dilution of cells, as in (A), spotted onto solid growth medium and grown at the indicated temperatures

small changes with limited sample material. To test the sensitivity of yNluc and yNlucPEST, a serial dilution of Nano-Glo® substrate was added to  $10^7$  cells. For both reporters the signal decreased linearly upon dilution of the substrate until reaching levels < 100 BLU, a value similar to the background signal detected in cells without the reporter (Figure 3A).

Serial dilution of cells expressing either reporter and bioluminescent detection using  $10^{-3}$  dilution of the Nano-Glo® substrate demonstrated that with the higher expression level of yNluc, 50 cells were sufficient to produce a signal higher than background (Figure 3B). The cell density was verified by plating. By increasing the amount of Nano-Glo® substrate, this sensitivity can be increased further to monitor even fewer cells or reporters with lower expression levels.

## yNlucPEST as a reporter for transient changes of gene expression

The study of rapid and transient change of gene expression depends on reporters that recapitulate both the induction and repression of transcript levels. We investigated how transcriptional variation translated to changes in yNlucPEST expression by simultaneously monitoring bioluminescence and mRNA levels by qPCR before, during and after transient heat shock. Strikingly,



**Figure 3.** Sensitivity of the yNluc and yNlucPEST reporter. (A) Bioluminescence in 10<sup>7</sup> cells carrying VC or expressing yNluc or yNlucPEST with dilute Nano-Glo® substrate. (B) Bioluminescence detected in  $10^2-10^7$  cells expressing yNluc or yNlucPEST. Error bars represent SD (n=3) in both experiments

the bioluminescent signal faithfully followed the transiently induced mRNA levels of the reporter over the entire heat-shock and recovery phases (Figure 4A). Arrest of translation by cycloheximide demonstrated that the rapid turnover of the reporter was not inhibited by the heat-shock response (Figure 4B).

LacZ has commonly been used to observe changes in gene regulation, including studies of the heat-shock response (Ellwood and Craig, 1984; Nussbaum *et al.*, 2014). However, the expressed  $\beta$ -galactosidase is a tetrameric enzyme of 1024 amino acids that takes time to express and exhibits a half-life of > 20 h (Bachmair *et al.*, 1986). The low turnover of the reporter introduces an obstacle when measuring rapid downregulation of promoter activity. Using identical heat shock promoters to drive the expression of yNlucPEST (pCA955) and LacZ (pZJ–HSE2-26), we directly compared the performance of the reporter genes before, during and after transient heat shock. While *yNlucPEST* in real-time reported on the accumulation and decay of transcripts, the *LacZ* reporter signal accumulated slowly over 60 min to reach a stable plateau (Figure 4C). The data indicate that while *LacZ* is not a suitable reporter for studies of rapid and transient changes of gene expression, *yNlucPEST* is well-suited for the purpose.

Finally, we tested *yNlucPEST* performance in the context of the endogenous promoter *SSA4*, a gene that expresses stress-inducible Hsp70 (Werner-Washburne *et al.*, 1987). We fused the *yNlucPEST* to the *SSA4* promoter (pCA873) and subjected transformed cells to a transient heat stress while measuring bioluminescence. The bioluminescent signal peaked 20 min following shift to elevated temperature and then returned to pre-heat shock baseline values (Figure 4D). The behaviour of the reporter reproduces the transient induction characteristics following heat shock that have been established for *SSA4* (Saavedra *et al.*, 1996).

#### yNluc as a reporter to monitor protein levels

Fusion of activity tags to proteins facilitates the rapid determination of protein levels by directly monitoring the activity of the tag. This experimental approach potentially enables high-throughput screening and reduces the variability associated with quantitative western blot analysis. To assess the usefulness of yNluc as an activity fusion tag in yeast (CAY1015), we initially tested an N-terminal fusion of GFP to yNluc (GFPyNluc; pGA1). Unexpectedly, the bioluminescence was reduced to 55% of the initial activity within 10 min following arrest of translation with cycloheximide (Figure 5A). The kinetics of loss of bioluminescence at later time points was slower, suggesting that the fusion protein populated at least two species. Importantly, loss of bioluminescence was not the result of protein degradation, since both GFP fluorescence (flow-cytometry measurements) and protein levels (Western blotting) remained stable over the entire time-course. A



**Figure 4.** yNlucPEST as a reporter of rapid and transient changes of heat shock-regulated gene expression. (A) yNlucPEST expression from a heat-shock response promoter ( $P_{CYC1}$ -HSE) upon acute heat shock (37°C) followed by recovery (25°C); bioluminescence (grey line) and mRNA levels (black line) were monitored simultaneously. (B) Bioluminescence measurements, as in (A), but cycloheximide (CHX, grey line) was added to arrest translation after 10 min of heat shock. (C) Comparison of expression from the heat-shock response promoter ( $P_{CYC1}$ -HSE) using yNlucPEST and LacZ as reporter genes. (D) Bioluminescence of yNlucPEST expressed from the endogenous Hsp70 (SSA4) promoter during transient heat shock; cells were grown at 25°C prior to heat shock and error bars represent SD (n = 9) in all experiments

centrifugation-based solubility assay was performed to investigate whether the loss of bioluminescence was due to aggregation of GFP–yNluc. The protein did not populate the pellet fraction before and 10 min after cycloheximide addition (Figure 5B). Consistent with this observation, GFP–yNluc localized evenly throughout the cytoplasm of yeast (Figure 5C). Summarizing the data for GFP–yNluc, the fusion protein is expressed as a soluble protein but a large fraction of the population rapidly loses its bioluminescence, presumably due to local unfolding that does not drive protein aggregation.

We considered the possibility that N-terminal fusions to yNluc triggered the loss of specific bioluminescence. Indeed, when fusing the well-folded



**Figure 5.** N-terminal fusions to yNluc triggers rapid loss of specific bioluminescence. (A) Decay of GFP–yNluc bioluminescence (BLU), protein levels (Western blot, WB) and GFP fluorescence (flow cytometry, FC) following translation arrest by cycloheximide. (B) Solubility assay showing the total (T), soluble (S) and pellet (P) fractions of centrifuged samples (12  $800 \times g$ , 15 min) taken before and 10 min after cycloheximide addition. (C) Fluorescence microscopy of

triple  $\alpha$ -helical Z domain of Protein A (Samuelsson *et al.*, 1994) to the N-terminus of yNluc (Z–yNluc; pGA2), we again observed loss of bioluminescence without loss of protein (Figure 5D). Our data suggest that N-terminal fusions to yNluc should be avoided when designing bioluminescent fusions that report on protein levels.

Next we decided to use the conditionally destabilized temperature-sensitive protein EGFP–Ubc9<sup>ts</sup> to assess the applicability of vNluc fusions to monitor the protein levels. EGFP-Ubc9ts is a temperature-sensitive protein that is stable and well-folded at the permissive temperature of 30°C, but that misfolds and is degraded at the non-permissive temperature of 37°C (Kaganovich et al., 2008). Again we observed that the N-terminal fusion of EGFP-Ubc9<sup>ts</sup> to yNluc (EGFP–Ubc9<sup>ts</sup>–yNluc; pGA3) resulted in rapid loss of bioluminescence following translation arrest, even at the permissive temperature of 30°C (Figure 6A). In contrast, a C-terminal fusion of EGFP-Ubc9ts to vNluc (yNluc-EGFP-Ubc9ts; pGA4) was well behaved and retained 80% of the bioluminescence over the entire time course of 40 min (Figure 6B). Upon temperature shift to the non-permissive 37°C, the bioluminescence of vNluc-EGFP-Ubc9ts was reduced to 50% after 40 min of translation arrest. Importantly, assessment of vNluc-EGFP-Ubc9<sup>ts</sup> protein levels at time points 0 and 40 min after cycloheximide addition confirmed that loss of bioluminescence was the result of loss of protein (Figure 6C). To summarize the data, yNluc is a useful fusion reporter to monitor protein levels, but Nterminal fusions display rapid loss of specific bioluminescence.

#### Conclusion

The two luciferase variants *yNluc* and *yNlucPEST*, together with its commercially available substrate

GFP–yNluc and nuclear histone 2B fused to mCherry (Htb2–mCherry) before and 10 min after cycloheximide addition; scale bar = 5  $\mu$ m. (D) Decay of Z–yNluc protein levels by Western blotting (WB) and bioluminescence (BLU) following arrest of translation using cycloheximide; error bars indicate SD;  $n \ge 3$ .



**Figure 6.** Bioluminescence of yNluc reports on the protein levels of C-terminally fused EGFP–Ubc9<sup>ts</sup>. (A) Decay of EGFP–Ubc9<sup>ts</sup>–yNluc bioluminescence (BLU) at 30°C (permissive for Ubc9<sup>ts</sup>) and 37°C (non-permissive for Ubc9<sup>ts</sup>) following translation arrest by cycloheximide. (B) Decay of yNluc–EGFP–Ubc9<sup>ts</sup> bioluminescence, as in (A). (C) Western blot analysis of yNluc–EGFP–Ubc9<sup>ts</sup> protein levels, as in (B); error bars indicate SD;  $n \ge 3$ 

Nano-Glo®, function as sensitive reporter systems in budding yeast. The destabilized *yNlucPEST* facilitates the construction of reporter fusions that faithfully reproduce rapid and transient changes of gene expression. Higher expression levels, sensitivity and the option of an activity fusion tag are offered by C-terminal fusions to the more stable yNluc. Both *yNluc* and *yNlucPEST* are available fused to *kanMX* for PCR amplification and direct gene targeting by homologous recombination.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Imaging Facility at Stockholm University (IFSU) for support with microscopy. This work was funded by a Swedish Cancer Society grant and Carl Tryggers Stiftelse för vetenskaplig forskning.

#### References

- Andréasson C, Ljungdahl PO. 2004. The N-terminal regulatory domain of Stp1p is modular and, fused to an artificial transcription factor, confers full Ssy1p–Ptr3p–Ssy5p sensor control. *Mol Cell Biol* 24: 7503–7513.
- Bachmair A, Finley D, Varshavsky A. 1986. *In vivo* half-life of a protein is a function of its amino-terminal residue. *Science* 234: 179–186.
- Brachmann CB, Davies A, Cost GJ, et al. 1998. Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast 14: 115–132.
- de Wet JR, Wood KV, Helinski DR, Deluca M. 1985. Cloning of firefly luciferase cDNA and the expression of active luciferase in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82: 7870–7873.
- Ellwood MS, Craig EA. 1984. Differential regulation of the 70 k heat-shock gene and related genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* **4**: 1454–1459.
- Engebrecht J, Nealson K, Silverman M. 1983. Bacterial bioluminescence isolation and genetic analysis of functions from *Vibrio fischeri*. *Cell* **32**: 773–781.
- Glover JR, Lindquist S. 1998. Hsp104, Hsp70, and Hsp40: a novel chaperone system that rescues previously aggregated proteins. *Cell* **94**: 73–82.
- Gowda NKC, Kandasamy G, Froehlich MS, et al. 2013. Hsp70 nucleotide exchange factor Fes1 is essential for ubiquitindependent degradation of misfolded cytosolic proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110: 5975–5980.
- Hall MP, Unch J, Binkowski BF, et al. 2012. Engineered luciferase reporter from a deep sea shrimp utilizing a novel imidazopyrazinone substrate. ACS Chem Biol 7: 1848–1857.
- Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2002. Protein folding molecular chaperones in the cytosol: from nascent chain to folded protein. *Science* 295: 1852–1858.
- Inouye S, Watanabe K, Nakamura H, Shimomura O. 2000. Secretional luciferase of the luminous shrimp *Oplophorus* gracilirostris: cDNA cloning of a novel imidazopyrazinone luciferase. FEBS Lett 481: 19–25.
- Juers DH, Matthews BW, Huber RE. 2012. LacZ  $\beta$ -galactosidase: structure and function of an enzyme of historical and molecular biological importance. *Protein Sci* **21**: 1792–1807.

Yeast 2016; **33**: 191–200. DOI: 10.1002/yea

- Kaganovich D, Kopito R, Frydman J. 2008. Misfolded proteins partition between two distinct quality control compartments. *Nature* 454(1088): U36.
- Keppler-Ross S, Noffz C, Dean N. 2008. A new purple fluorescent color marker for genetic studies in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans. Genetics* **179**: 705–710.
- Kippert F. 1995. A rapid permeabilization procedure for accurate quantitative determination of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in yeast cells. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **128**: 201–206.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. *Methods* **25**: 402–408.
- Loh JMS, Proft T. 2014. Comparison of firefly luciferase and NanoLuc luciferase for biophotonic labeling of group A *Streptococcus. Biotechnol Lett* 36: 829–834.
- Lorenz WW, Mccann RO, Longiaru M, Cormier MJ. 1991. Isolation and expression of a cDNA encoding *Renilla reniformis* luciferase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 88: 4438–4442.
- Marchal C, Haguenauer-Tsapis R, Urban-Grimal D. 1998. A PESTlike sequence mediates phosphorylation and efficient ubiquitination of yeast uracil permease. *Mol Cell Biol* 18: 314–321.
- Nathan DF, Vos MH, Lindquist S. 1997. *In vivo* functions of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Hsp90 chaperone. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**: 12949–12956.
- Nussbaum I, Weindling E, Jubran R, *et al.* 2014. Deteriorated stress response in stationary-phase yeast: Sir2 and Yap1 are essential for Hsf1 activation by heat shock and oxidative stress, respectively. *Plos One* **9**: e111505.
- Saavedra C, Tung KS, Amberg DC, et al. 1996. Regulation of mRNA export in response to stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev 10: 1608–1620.
- Samuelsson E, Moks T, Nilsson B, Uhlen M. 1994. Enhanced in vitro refolding of insulin-like growth factor-i using a solubilizing fusion partner. *Biochemistry* 33: 4207–4211.
- Schröder H, Langer T, Hartl FU, Bukau B. 1993. DNAk, DNAj and GRPe form a cellular chaperone machinery capable of repairing heat-induced protein damage. *EMBO J* **12**: 4137–4144.
- Silve S, Volland C, Garnier C, *et al.* 1991. Membrane insertion of uracil permease, a polytopic yeast plasma-membrane protein. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 1114–1124.

- Slater MR, Craig EA. 1987. Transcriptional regulation of an Hsp70 heat-shock gene in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 7: 1906–1916.
- Teste M, Duquenne M, Francois JM, Parrou J. 2009. Validation of reference genes for quantitative expression analysis by realtime RT–PCR in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Mol Biol* **10**: 99.
- Thorne N, Inglese J, Auldl DS. 2010. Illuminating insights into firefly luciferase and other bioluminescent reporters used in chemical biology. *Chem Biol* **17**: 646–657.
- Theodoraki MA, Nillegoda NB, Saini J, Caplan AJ. 2012. A network of ubiquitin ligases is important for the dynamics of misfolded protein aggregates in yeast. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 23911–23922.
- Tsien RY. 1998. The green fluorescent protein. *Annu Rev Biochem* **67**: 509–544.
- Vieites JM, Navarrogarcia F, Perezdiaz R, et al. 1994. Expression and in vivo determination of firefly luciferase as gene reporter in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 10: 1321–1327.
- Werner-Washburne M, Stone DE, Craig EA. 1987. Complex interactions among members of an essential subfamily of Hsp70 genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* 7: 2568–2577.
- White TC, Andrews LE, Maltby D, Agabian N. 1995. The universal leucine codon CTG in the secreted aspartyl proteinase-1 (*Sap1*) gene of *Candida albicans* encodes a serine *in vivo*. *J Bacteriol* 177: 2953–2955.
- Wilson T, Hastings JW. 1998. Bioluminescence. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 14: 197–230.

#### **Supporting Information**

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

**Figure S1.** Relative bioluminescence (RLU) in cellfree lysates prepared from yeasts that express yNluc or yNlucPEST.