

Outcomes and prognostic factors of tigecycline treatment for hospitalacquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Journal of International Medical Research 48(4) 1–10 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0300060520910917 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Ben Liu^{1,3}, Sha Li², Hai-tao Li³, Xiaokai Wang¹, Hong-yi Tan⁴, Shuai Liu³, Pin-hua Pan³, Xiao-gang Li¹ and Xiang-min Li¹

Abstract

Objective: To compare outcomes and prognostic factors of tigecycline (TC)-based treatment with those of other antibiotic-based treatments in the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (MDRAB).

Methods: A retrospective analysis of data was performed from all patients \geq 18 years who were treated in the ICU at Xiangya Hospital, Changsha, China (January 2016 to June 2017) with hospital-acquired pneumonia involving monomicrobial MDRAB. Patients were separated into TC and non-TC groups.

Results: Of 86 MDRAB-positive patients, 59 were in the TC group and 27 were in the non-TC group. The 28-day death rates were not significantly different between the two groups, but the TC group had significantly more patients with a good clinical prognosis than the non-TC group. Although prognostic markers for a poor clinical response were sepsis, procalcitonin concentration and APACHE II scores, TC therapy was found to be a protective factor.

Conclusions: TC based therapy was associated with a positive clinical response in the treatment of MDRAB caused hospital-acquired pneumonia. Further studies are required to confirm our results.

¹Emergency Department, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

²Radiology Department, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

³Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China ⁴Department of Respiratory Medicine, Changsha Central Hospital, University of South China, Changsha, China

Corresponding author:

Pin-hua Pan, Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 87 Xiangya Road, Changsha 410008, China. Email: pinhuapan668@126.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Keywords

Tigecycline, multidrug-resistance, Acinetobacter baumannii, hospital-acquired pneumonia

Date received: 31 January 2019; accepted: 23 January 2020

Introduction

Pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (MDRAB) often leads to prolonged hospitalization, increased treatment costs and high death rates.^{1–3} Moreover, hospital-acquired *A. baumannii* infection rates have increased rapidly in recent years, particularly in intensive care units (ICU), possibly because of the high prevalence of MDRAB strains.^{1,4–7}

Tigecycline (TC) is a glycylcycline antibiotic developed to address the globally emerging crisis of antibiotic resistance; it was first approved for clinical use in 2005.^{8,9} Although clinical data on TC are sparse and its effects are often modified by other concurrently used antibiotics, 10-12 in vitro analyses have shown that TC has antibacterial activity against A. baumannii. 13-15 Susceptibility testing has also demonstrated that TC can be used for the treatment of drug-resistant bacteria.^{16,} Indeed, some retrospective studies involving small sample sizes have shown that TC is effective in MDRAB nosocomial and hospital acquired pneumonia.^{16,17} However, studies comparing the clinical efficacy of TC with other antibiotics in the treatment of MDRAB infection in patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia are few and prognostic indicators have not been defined.

The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate outcomes and prognostic risk factors of TC-based treatment by comparison with those of other antibiotic-based treatments in the treatment of hospitalacquired pneumonia caused by MDRAB.

Patients and methods

This retrospective study included all patients ≥ 18 years who were consecutively admitted to the ICU at Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China between January 2016 and June 2017 with hospital-acquired pneumonia involving monomicrobial MDRAB. Patient data were collected from the hospital's electronic medical records system. The study was approved by the Ethics committee of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University and because of the study's retrospective design, there was no requirement for patients' informed consent.

Hospital-acquired pneumonia was defined as pneumonia that occurred 48 h or more after admission.^{18,19} MDRAB was defined as the *A. baumannii* isolate was resistant to representative antibiotics from at least three different classes of antimicrobial agents such as aminoglycosides, β -lactams, quinolones, and/or tetracyclines.¹⁹

Depending on their antibiotic treatment, patients were separated into a 'TG' and a 'non-TG' group. Patients in the TG group had received TG alone or in combination with other antibiotics. TG had been administered for five days with a 100 mg IV loading dose followed by 50 mg IV every 12 h. Patients in the non-TG group had received carbapenem-based (i.e., imipenem-cilastatin, 500 mg IV every 6 h or meropenem, 1 g IV every 8 h) and/or cefoperazone/sulbactambased (i.e., 3g IV every 8 h for at least five days) regimens.

Baseline demographic data, laboratory test results, Acute Physiology and Chronic

Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores,²⁰ Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores²¹ and presence of sepsis were recorded. The diagnosis of sepsis had been made according to established criteria.²² Other risk factors recorded were: antibiotic use in the previous three months; bedsores; mechanical ventilation, urethral and/or deep vein catheterisation; immunosuppressive drug use; sepsis; primary infection site; mixed infections; treatment details; length of hospitalization; ICU duration; death; microbial and clinical outcomes.

Clinical prognosis at the end of treatment was defined as good (complete or partial resolution of symptoms/signs of infection) or poor (no improvement or deterioration of signs/symptoms of infection). Primary bacteraemia was defined as bacteraemia associated with catheter-related infections, whereas secondary bacteraemia was defined as bacteraemia that developed subsequent to the primary infection.²³

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS[®]) for Windows® release 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). All tests were two-sided and a P-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Quantitative data were expressed as median and interquartile range and were analysed using the Mann-Whitney test. Numerical data were expressed as a percentage and were analysed using the χ^2 test. Odd ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for all potential risk factors for poor prognosis. All variables were included in the univariate analysis and those with a P value of 0.05 were included in a logistic regression model for multivariate analysis.

Results

Of the 86 MDRAB-positive patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia that were identified for the study, 59 were in the TC group and 27 were in the non-TC group. In terms of baseline demographic data, there were no significant differences between groups in median age, sex and concomitant diseases, apart from coronary heart disease which was significantly more prevalent in the TC group (51% vs. 26%, P=0.03; Table 1).

There were also no differences between groups in baseline risk factors. These included: the proportion of patients who had used antibiotics in the previous three months; occurrence of bedsores; mechanical ventilation use (approximately 90% of patients); patients who underwent deep vein catherization; long-term immunosuppressive drug use (Table 2). However, fewer patients in the non-TC group had urethral catherization compared with the TC group (82% vs. 97%; P = 0.029).

Although there were no significant differences between the two groups in the incidence of fever, disease severity (i.e., APACHE II and GCS scores) and most baseline laboratory measures, patients in the non-TC group had higher median serum creatinine levels compared with the TC group (86 vs $68 \,\mu$ mol/l, P = 0.032; Table 3). Furthermore, sepsis was more common in the TC group than the non-TC group (61% vs. 22%, P = 0.001).

Pre-treatment microbial records showed that the respiratory tract was the most common site of primary infections in both groups (Table 4). However, primary bacteraemia (defined as catheter related) was more common in the TC group compared with the non-TC group (29% vs. 7%). In addition, co-infection with other bacteria or fungi was present in most patients from both groups. Patients in the TC group showed a higher prevalence of

Demographic characteristics	Total Number of patients (N = 86)	Groups		
		TC group (n = 59)	non-TC group (n = 27)	Statistical significance
Age, years	69 (59,77)	69 (59,78)	69 (59,75)	ns
Sex				
Male	46 (53)	32 (54)	14 (52)	ns
Female	40 (47)	27 (46)	13 (48)	
Concomitant diseases				
Coronary heart disease	37 (43)	30 (51)	7 (26)	P = 0.03
Diabetes	40 (47)	29 (49)	11 (41)	ns
COPD	71 (83)	50 (85)	21 (78)	ns
Chronic kidney disease	12 (14)	6 (10)	6 (22)	ns
Malignant tumours	4 (5)	2 (4)	2 (7)	ns

 Table 1. Pre-treatment demographic characteristics of patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistant A. baumannii.

Values are shown as median (interquartile range) or n (%)

TC, Tigecycline; COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ns, not significant.

Table 2. Pre-treatment risk factors of patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistant *A. baumannii*.

	Total Number of patients (N=86)	Groups		
Risk Factors		TC group (n = 59)	non-TC group (n = 27)	Statistical significance
Antibiotics used in previous 90 days	81 (94)	56 (95)	25 (93)	ns
Bedsores	33 (38)	22 (37)	11 (41)	ns
Invasive mechanical ventilation	77 (90)	53 (90)	24 (89)	ns
Urethral catherization	79 (92)	57 (97)	22 (82)	P = 0.029
Deep vein catheterization	32 (37)	25 (42)	7 (26)	ns
Long-term immunosuppressives	7 (8)	4 (7)	3 (11)	ns

Values are shown n (%)

TC, Tigecycline, ns, not significant.

Candida albicans co-infection and patients in the non-TC group patients had a higher prevalence of *Pseudomona aeruginosa* coinfection (P = 0.026) (Table 4).

In terms of outcomes following treatment of MDRAB caused hospital-acquired pneumonia, the median duration of treatment was shorter for the TC group compared with the non-TC group (12 vs. 14 days, P = 0.047) but the proportion of patients that required a change in antibiotic therapy was significantly greater in the TC group compared with the non-TC group (25 vs 9; P = 0.025; Table 5). However, there were no significant differences between groups in 28-day death rates, duration of hospital or ICU stay. For microbial outcome, the non-TC group had a higher *A. baumannii* clearance rate than the TC group (19% vs. 3%, P = 0.029). Nevertheless, the TC group had

	Total Number	Groups		
Clinical characteristics	of patients $(N=86)$	TC group (n = 59)	non-TC group (n = 27)	Statistical significance
Fever (>38.5°C)	48 (56)	29 (51)	19 (70)	P = 0.066
Laboratory measures				
White blood cells, $\times 10^{9}$ /l	11.6 (10.3,14.5)	11.6 (10.4,14.6)	11.0 (9.9,14.4)	ns
C-reactive protein, mg/l	47.5 (28, 73)	49 (29,76)	47 (26, 66)	ns
Procalcitonin, ng/ml	0.7 (0.4, 2.9)	0.7 (0.4, 2.4)	0.9 (0.3, 4.1)	ns
Serum albumin, g/l	28.8 (23.9, 34.0)	29.1 (23.9, 33.8)	27.9 (22.8, 34.8)	ns
Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/l	7.8 (6.4, 11.1)	7.9 (6.5, 10.7)	7.8 (5.7, 12.3)	ns
Serum creatinine, µmol/l	76 (62, 101)	68 (60, 97)	86 (68, 118)	P = 0.032
Disease severity				
Sepsis	43 (49)	36 (61)	6 (22)	P = 0.00 I
GCS score	(8, 3)	(9, 3)	(8, 4)	ns
APACHE II score	15 (11, 19)	15 (11, 20)	13 (10, 18)	ns

Table 3. Pre-treatment clinical characteristics of patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistant A. baumannii.

Values are shown as median (interquartile range) or n (%)

TC, Tigecycline, GCS, Glasgow Coma score; APACHE II score, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score, *ns*, not significant.

Microbial characteristics	Tatal Number	Groups		
	of patients $(N=86)$	TC group (n = 59)	non-TC group (n = 27)	Statistical significance
Primary infection site				
Catheter-related	19 (22)	17 (29)	2 (7)	P = 0.049
Respiratory tract	58 (67)	35 (59)	23 (85)	
Other	9 (11)	7 (12)	2 (7)	
Mixed infections				
Candida albicans	34 (40)	29 (49)	5 (19)	P = 0.026
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	31 (36)	18 (31)	13 (48)	
Other	21 (24)	12 (20)	9 (33)	

Table 4. Pre-treatment microbial characteristics of patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistant A. baumannii.

Values are shown as n (%)

TC, Tigecycline.

more patients with improved clinical symptoms than the non-TC group (73% vs. 48%, P = 0.026). Interestingly, approximately 50% of patients in each group had a poor response (Table 5).

Univariate logistic regression analysis showed that predictors of a poor clinical prognosis were procalcitonin concentration, blood urea nitrogen concentration, sepsis, and APACHE II scores. As these

	Tetel Niumheu	Groups		
Outcomes	of patients $(N = 86)$	TC group (n = 59)	non-TC group $(n=27)$	Statistical significance
Treatment for HAP				
Antibiotic usage time [#]	12 (8, 18)	12 (7, 16)	4 (, 9)	P = 0.047
Switch to other antibiotics	34 (40)	25 (42)	9 (33)	P = 0.025
Died within 28 days	23 (27)	15 (25)	8 (30)	ns
Length of hospital stay, days	15 (12, 19)	12 (7, 18)	14 (11, 19)	ns
ICU duration, days	12 (9, 15)	12 (7, 16)	14 (11, 19)	ns
Microbial and clinical outcomes				
Pathogen clearance	7 (8)	2 (3)	5 (19)	P = 0.029
Good (complete/partial response)*	56	43 (73)	13 (48)	P = 0.026
Poor (no improvement/worsened)	30	16 (48)	14 (52)	ns

Table 5. Outcomes following treatment for hospital-acquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistantA. baumannii.

Values are shown as median (interquartile range) or n (%)

[#]Total duration of antibiotic use

*Improvement resulting from all antibiotics used

TC, Tigecycline; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia, ns, not significant.

variables were not co-linear, a multivariate logistic regression analysis was applied to the data to determine whether they independently affected the clinical prognosis. Results showed that sepsis (OR, 8.48), increased PCT concentration (OR, 1.11), and increased APACHE II scores (OR, 1.12) were risk factors associated with a poor clinical prognosis for patients with MDRAB related hospital-acquired pneumonia. In comparison, TC therapy was a protective factor (OR = 0.08) (Table 6).

Discussion

A. baumannii is a non-fermenting, gramnegative bacillus that is widely distributed in the hospital environment and can survive on artificial surfaces for long periods.²⁴ Accordingly, the pathogen is responsible for a significant percentage of hospitalacquired infections.²⁴ Strains of *A. baumannii* often display high levels of drug resistance, achieved via the expression of antibiotic-inactivating enzymes and mutation of antibiotic targets, which present a significant challenge for the control and treatment of this pathogen.²⁴ Although immunotherapy-based treatments for A. baumannii infection have shown promising activity,^{25,26} their potential therapeutic use remains to be determined. Treatment of A. baumannii infections typically include control measures aimed at reducing the invasive spread of infection. The antibiotic, TC has been shown to have activity against multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter species.²⁷ Moreover, a study from nine cities in China demonstrated that a majority of A. baumannii isolates were susceptible to TC.²⁸ However, while some studies have found that TC can be used successfully in pneumonia hospital hospital-acquired caused by MDRAB infection,²⁹ others have found that the clinical outcomes following TC treatment were suboptimal.¹⁷ In addition, controversy exists regarding allcause mortality rates and tissue concentration levels associated with TC.^{30,31}

The findings of this retrospective review of cases showed that while the 28-day death rates, length of ICU and hospital stay were

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Risk Factors	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Statistical significance	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Statistical significance
Age	1.03 (0.99, 1.08)	ns		
Sex	0.99 (0.41, 2.41)	ns		
Concomitant diseases				
Coronary heart disease	0.54 (0.21, 1.35)	ns		
Diabetes	1.24 (0.51, 3.02)	ns		
COPD	1.09 (0.33, 3.53)	ns		
Chronic kidney disease	2.08 (0.61, 7.14)	ns		
Malignant tumours	1.93 (0.26, 14.43)	ns		
Duration of the antibiotics	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)	ns		
Duration of hospital stay	0.99 (0.88, 1.05)	ns		
Duration of ICU stay	0.93 (0.84, 1.03)	ns		
Pre-treatment risk factors				
Antibiotics used in previous 90 days	0.79 (0.13, 5.02)	ns		
Bedsores	0.45 (0.17, 1.18))	ns		
Mechanical ventilation	2.00 (0.39, 10.30)	ns		
Urethral catherization	0.69 (0.14, 3.32)	ns		
Deep vein catheterization	0.49 (0.18, 1.28)	ns		
Long-term immunosuppressive	0.28 (0.03, 2.51)	ns		
Fever ($>38.5^{\circ}C$)	2.51 (0.98, 6.42)	ns		
Laboratory tests				
White blood cell count	0.91 (0.79, 1.05)	ns		
C-reactive protein	1.01 (1.00, 1.03)	ns		
Procalcitonin	1.10 (1.01, 1.20)	0.024	1.11 (1.00, 1.22)	P = 0.049
Serum albumin	1.04 (0.96, 1.11)	ns		
Blood urea nitrogen	1.12 (1.01, 1.24)	0.034	1.11 (0.90, 1.25)	
Serum creatinine	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	ns		
Severity				
Sepsis	3.09 (1.22, 7.83)	0.017	8.48 (1.71, 42.00)	P = 0.009
GCS score	0.88 (0.76,1.02)	ns		
APACHE II score	1.08 (1.01, 1.17)	0.037	1.12 (1.03, 1.23)	P = 0.011
Primary infection site				
Catheter-related	1.62 (0.26, 10.23)	ns		
Respiratory tract	2.14 (0.41, 11.23)	ns		
Other				
Mixed infections				
Candida albicans	0.78 (0.25, 2.42)	ns		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0.89 (0.28, 2.82)	ns		
Treatment for MDRAB caused HAP				
Tigecycline	0.35 (0.13, 0.89)	0.028	0.08 (0.02, 0.43)	P = 0.03
Antibiotics switch	0.83 (0.33, 2.07)	ns		
Pathogen clearance	0.29 (0.03, 2.51)	ns		

Table 6. Univariate and multivariate analyses of predictors for a poor clinical response following antibiotic treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia involving multidrug-resistant *A. baumannii*.

TC, Tigecycline; COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICU, intensive care unit; GCS, Glasgow Coma score; APACHE II score, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; MDRAB, multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *ns*, not significant.

not significantly different between the two groups, the TC group had a better longterm clinical prognosis compared with the non-TC group. Nevertheless, the non-TC group showed a higher pathogen clearance rate compared with the TC group. Interestingly, significantly more patients in the TC group than in the non-TC group had switched their antibiotics. The observed lack of efficacy of TC in this current study may have been influenced by the fact that the majority of patients were in the terminal stages of severe infection prior to the administration of TC, and that TC was most likely used as a last-line antibiotic. We speculate that an increased dose of TC may have increased its efficacy, which is supported by the findings of a study in patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia who tended to have better clinical outcome if they were treated with a high-dose regimen of TC.9

Although there were no differences between groups in procalcitonin levels and APACHE II scores, multivariate analysis showed that both these factors were prognostic markers for a poor clinical response. In addition, sepsis, which was more common in the TC group, and TC treatment were also prognostic markers for a poor clinical response in hospital-acquired pneumonia caused bv MDRAB. Interestingly, antibiotic switch and pathogen clearance were non-significant prognostic factors

Further studies are required to evaluate our findings which were probably influenced by the retrospective design of the study based on information from patients who were receiving multidrug based TC regimens. Other limitations of our study included its small sample size, single centre design and the imbalance in study group numbers; more than twice as many patients were in the TC group compared with the non-TC group. More multicentre, prospective, controlled studies involving large number of patients are needed to examine the long-term efficacy and safety of TC for the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by MDRAB.

In summary, our results show that TC based therapy was associated with a positive clinical response in the treatment of MDRAB caused hospital-acquired pneumonia and should perhaps be considered for use in severe cases as a last-line antibiotic as part of combination therapy.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tamsin Sheen, PhD, from Liwen Bianji, for editing the manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding

Project Supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC1311900) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (81770080).

ORCID iD

Ben Liu (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4703-9071

References

- Potron A, Poirel L and Nordmann P. Emerging broad-spectrum resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii: mechanisms and epidemiology. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2015; 45: 568–585.
- Antunes LC, Visca P and Towner KJ. Acinetobacter baumannii: evolution of a global pathogen. *Pathog Dis* 2014; 71: 292–301.
- Yang H, Hu L, Liu Y, et al. Detection of the plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants in clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii in China. *J Chemother* 2016; 28: 443–445.
- 4. Salgado CD, O'Grady N and Farr BM. Prevention and control of antimicrobialresistant infections in intensive care patients. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33: 2373–2382.

- Qureshi ZA, Hittle LE, O'Hara JA, et al. Colistin-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii: beyond carbapenem resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 60: 1295–1303.
- Zilberberg MD, Kollef MH and Shorr AF. Secular trends in Acinetobacter baumannii resistance in respiratory and blood stream specimens in the United States, 2003 to 2012: a survey study. *J Hosp Med* 2016; 11: 21–26.
- Al-Gethamy MM, Faidah HS, Adetunji HA, et al. Risk factors associated with multi-drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii nosocomial infections at a tertiary care hospital in Makkah, Saudi Arabia - a matched case-control study. J Int Med Res 2017; 45: 1181–1189.
- Doan TL, Fung HB, Mehta D, et al. Tigecycline: a glycylcycline antimicrobial agent. *Clin Ther* 2006; 28: 1079–1106.
- 9. De Pascale G, Montini L, Pennisi M, et al. High dose tigecycline in critically ill patients with severe infections due to multidrugresistant bacteria. *Crit Care* 2014; 18: R90.
- Fishbain J and Peleg AY. Treatment of Acinetobacter infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; 51: 79–84.
- Horan TC, Andrus M and Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. *Am J Infect Control* 2008; 36: 309–332.
- 12. Amat T, Gutiérrez-Pizarraya A, Machuca I, et al. The combined use of tigecycline with high-dose colistin might not be associated with higher survival in critically ill patients with bacteraemia due to carbapenemresistant Acinetobacter baumannii. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2018; 24: 630–634.
- Garnacho-Montero J, Sa-Borges M, Sole-Violan J, et al. Optimal management therapy for Pseudomonas aeruginosa ventilator-associated pneumonia: an observational, multicenter study comparing monotherapy with combination antibiotic therapy. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35: 1888–1895.
- Karageorgopoulos DE, Kelesidis T, Kelesidis I, et al. Tigecycline for the treatment of multidrug-resistant (including carbapenemresistant) Acinetobacter infections: a review

of the scientific evidence. *Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 62: 45–55.

- 15. Kim WY, Moon JY, Huh JW, et al. Comparable efficacy of tigecycline versus colistin therapy for multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii pneumonia in critically III patients. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0150642.
- Zhou Y, Chen X, Xu P, et al. Clinical experience with tigecycline in the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by multidrug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol* 2019; 20: 19.
- Shin JA, Chang YS, Kim HJ, et al. Clinical outcomes of tigecycline in the treatment of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infection. *Yonsei Med J* 2012; 53: 974–984.
- Falagas ME, Koletsi PK and Bliziotis IA. The diversity of definitions of multidrugresistant (MDR) and pandrug-resistant (PDR) Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Med Microbiol 2006; 55: 1619–1629.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drugresistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012; 18: 268–281.
- Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, et al. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. *Crit Care Med* 1985; 13: 818–29.
- Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. *A practical* scale. Lancet 1974;2 (7872):81–84.
- Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S, et al. The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. N Engl J Med 2003; 348: 1546–1554.
- Seifert H. The clinical importance of microbiological findings in the diagnosis and management of bloodstream infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48: S238–S245.
- Manchanda V, Sanchaita S and Singh N. Multidrug resistant acinetobacter. J Glob Infect Dis 2010; 2: 291–304.
- 25. Li H, Tan H, Hu Y, et al. Small protein A and phospholipase D immunization serves a protective role in a mouse pneumonia model

of Acinetobacter baumannii infection. *Mol Med Rep* 2017; 16: 1071–1078.

- 26. Guo Y, Xun M and Han J. A bovine myeloid antimicrobial peptide (BMAP-28) and its analogs kill pan-drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii by interacting with outer membrane protein A (OmpA). *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; 97: e12832.
- Seifert H, Stefanik D, Wisplinghoff H. Comparative in vitro activities of tigecycline and 11 other antimicrobial agents against 215 epidemiologically defined multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58:1099–1100.
- 28. Hu FP, Guo Y, Zhu DM, et al. Resistance trends among clinical isolates in China reported from CHINET surveillance of

bacterial resistance, 2005-2014. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016; 22: S9–S14.

- Moon SY, Peck KR, Chang HH, et al. Clinical experience of tigecycline treatment in infections caused by extensively drugresistant Acinetobacter spp. *Microb Drug Resist* 2012; 18: 562–566.
- Prasad P, Sun J, Danner RL, et al. Excess deaths associated with tigecycline after approval based on noninferiority trials. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 54: 1699–1709
- 31. Wang J, Pan Y, Shen J, et al. The efficacy and safety of tigecycline for the treatment of bloodstream infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 2017; 16: 24.