Open Access

Efficacy of a second TNF blocker, when the first one failed, in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA)

A Salmaso^{*1}, A Lurati², I Pontikaki¹, V Gerloni¹, M Gattinara¹, B Teruzzi¹ and F Fantini¹

Address: ¹Gaetano Pini Institute Chair of Rheumatology, Milan, Italy and ²Fornaroli Hospital Rheumatology Unit, Magenta, Italy * Corresponding author

from 15th Paediatric Rheumatology European Society (PreS) Congress London, UK. 14–17 September 2008

Published: 15 September 2008

Pediatric Rheumatology 2008, 6(Suppl 1):P44 doi:10.1186/1546-0096-6-S1-P44

This abstract is available from: http://www.ped-rheum.com/content/6/S1/P44 © 2008 Salmaso et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Objectives

To determine the efficacy of a second treatment with a different TNF blocker in JIA when the first one failed.

Methods

All JIA patients prospectively followed at our Centre, who failed a first TNF blocker and switched to a second one were enrolled. For each patient the DAS, ACR Ped30, ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70 responses were evaluated at baseline and after a period ranging from 3 to 6 months of each treatment.

Results

Out of 60 JIA patients enrolled, 40 (37 f, 3 m) were evaluated: 10 systemic arthritis, 2 persistent oligoarthritis, 11 extended oligoarthritis, 9 RF negative polyarthritis, 4 RF positive polyarthritis, 1 ERA (enthesitis related arthritis), 3 psoriatic arthritis. With a standard Chi square model, we didn't find a significant difference in the ACR and DAS response rates between the first and the second anti TNF treatment (p > 0.1). Stratifying the population for the type of the shift (Etanercept to Infliximab/Adalimumab, Infliximab to Etanercept/Adalimumab) we didn't find a significant difference in the ACR and DAS response rates between the first and the second anti TNF treatment (p > 0.1). Our data show that failure of an anti-TNF therapy in patients with JIA does not preclude a response to a second anti-TNF agent of a different class.