

Corrigendum: Bioengineered Platforms for Chronic Wound Infection Studies: How Can We Make Them More Human-Relevant?

OPEN ACCESS

Approved by:

Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Karishma Surendra Kaushik karishmaskaushik@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

> Received: 16 December 2019 Accepted: 17 December 2019 Published: 04 February 2020

Citation:

Kadam S, Nadkami S, Lele J, Sakhalkar S, Mokashi P and Kaushik KS (2020) Corrigendum: Bioengineered Platforms for Chronic Wound Infection Studies: How Can We Make Them More Human-Relevant? Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7:449. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00449 Snehal Kadam¹, Shivani Nadkarni^{2†}, Janhavi Lele^{2†}, Savani Sakhalkar^{2†}, Pratiksha Mokashi^{2†} and Karishma Surendra Kaushik^{1*}

¹ Institute of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India, ² Abasaheb Garware College, Pune, India

Keywords: chronic wounds, wound infection, wound models, biofilms, bioengineered platforms, in vitro, ex vivo

A Corrigendum on

Bioengineered Platforms for Chronic Wound Infection Studies: How Can We Make Them More Human-Relevant?

by Kadam, S., Nadkarni, S., Lele, J., Sakhalkar, S., Mokashi, P., and Kaushik, K. S. (2019) Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7:418. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00418

In the original article, there was a mistake in the legend for **Figure 2** as published. The legend incorrectly cites a reference for Figure 2(A) as "modified from." The figure was in fact made by the authors. The correct legend appears below.

Figure 2. (A) Typical representation of the chronic wound bed microenvironment. (B) Key features of the chronic wound bed-capillary interface. From a bioengineering standpoint, the microenvironment can be represented by a two-compartment system, where the upper compartment consists of the "infected wound bed" with host cells, matrix and microbial biofilms and the lower compartment represents the capillary interface (endothelial cells) with immune components. (C) A simplified representation of key interactions between chronic wound biofilms and other key components of the chronic wound microenvironment, which can be suitably dissected on human-relevant bioengineered platform.

Additionally, there was a mistake in **Table 1** as published. The last row of the table had an incorrect placement of the figures. The corrected **Table 1** appears below.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

1

TABLE 1 | Key features of current bioengineered platforms, in vitro and ex vivo, developed for chronic wound infection studies.

Platform	Components	Platforms and their key features	References
In vitro	Microbes + Host Cells	Human Skin cells with biofilm or biofilm-conditioned media Study the effects of wound colonizing bacteria by co-culturing human skin cells such as keratinocytes and fibroblasts with biofilms. It recapitulates host-microbe interactions in the wound bed resulting in changes in host cell migration, proliferation, and gene expression. Human Skin Equivalents (HSEs) 3D structures that mimic human skin layers and recapitulate bacterial attachment and biofilm formation under conditions close to native architecture.	Holland et al., 2008, 2009; Charles et al., 2009; Kirker et al., 2009, 2012; Seco et al., 2011; Haisma et al., 2013; Tankersley et al., 2014; Alves et al., 2018
	Microbes + Immune Cells	Infection-immunity interface on a microfluidic platform Study interactions between the wound pathogen <i>S. aureus</i> (not specific for biofilms) and neutrophils across two compartments, enabling the study of neutrophil recruitment, migration, and engulfment.	Brackman and Coenye, 2016
	Microbes + Extracellular Matrix	 Polymer surface coated with gel-like collagen matrix Study the role of matrix in biofilm formation and structure using comparisons between coated and uncoated surfaces. Collagen mold model with transwell inserts Biofilms embedded in collagen and structured as a void, recapitulating biomimetic effects such as antibiotic diffusion distance through the matrix. 	Werthén et al., 2010; Price et al., 2016
	Microbes + Wound fluid	Lubbock model (Bolton broth) and its variants Widely-used to mimic the wound infection state. It enables the study of biofilms and interspecies interactions and has been used to study the effects of antibiotics and other antimicrobial compounds on biofilms. Simulated sweat and serum media Enables the study of growth and biofilm formation under wound-relevant nutritional and chemical conditions.	Sun et al., 2008, 2014; Dalton et al. 2011; DeLeon et al., 2014; Dowd et al., 2014; Sojka et al., 2016
Ex vivo		Biological skin tissue from pigs: A high degree of anatomic and physiological similarity to human skin and immune system. Enables the actual creation of a wound (thermal injuries, infected state). Biological tissue supports biofilm growth. Enables testing of immune parameters such as cytokine responses. Can be leveraged to test therapeutics under closely human-relevant conditions.	Steinstraesser et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013; Thet et al., 2016

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Platform	Components	Platforms and their key features	References
	\frown	Biological tissue from human skin:	Misic et al., 2014;
		Can faithfully recapitulate biomimetic	Schaudinn et al.,
		features of the chronic wound infection	2017; Ashrafi
		state.	et al., 2018
		Demonstration of biofilm formation and	
		critical host immune factors including	
		cellular and cytokine responses.	
		Can be leveraged to test therapeutics	
		under human-relevant conditions.	
	Human skin		

REFERENCES

- Alves, P. M., Al-Badi, E., Withycombe, C., Jones, P. M., Purdy, K. J., and Maddocks, S. E. (2018). Interaction between *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is beneficial for colonisation and pathogenicity in a mixed biofilm. *Pathog Dis.* 76:fty003. doi: 10.1093/femspd/fty003
- Ashrafi, M., Novak-Frazer, L., Bates, M., Baguneid, M., Alonso-Rasgado, T., Xia, G., et al. (2018). Validation of biofilm formation on human skin wound models and demonstration of clinically translatable bacteria-specific volatile signatures. *Sci. Rep.* 8:9431. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-27504-z
- Brackman, G., and Coenye, T. (2016). In vitro and in vivo biofilm wound models and their application. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 15–32. doi: 10.1007/5584_2015_5002
- Charles, C. A., Ricotti, C. A., Davis, S. C., Mertz, P. M., and Kirsner, R. S. (2009). Use of tissue-engineered skin to study *in vitro* biofilm development. *Dermatol. Surg.* 35, 1334–1341. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4725.2009.01238.x
- Dalton, T., Dowd, S. E., Wolcott, R. D., Sun, Y., Watters, C., Griswold, J. A., et al. (2011). An *in vivo* polymicrobial biofilm wound infection model to study interspecies interactions. *PLoS ONE* 6:e27317. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027317
- DeLeon, S., Clinton, A., Fowler, H., Everett, J., Horswill, A. R., and Rumbaugh, K. P. (2014). Synergistic interactions of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in an *in vitro* wound model. *Infect. Immun.* 82, 4718–4728. doi: 10.1128/IAI.02198-14
- Dowd, S. E., Sun, Y., Smith, E., Kennedy, J. P., Jones, C. E., and Wolcott, R. (2014). Effects of biofilm treatments on the multi-species Lubbock chronic wound biofilm model. *J. Wound Care* 18, 508–512. doi: 10.12968/jowc.2009.18.12.45608
- Haisma, E. M., Rietveld, M. H., Breij, A., Van Dissel, J. T., El Ghalbzouri, A., and Nibbering, P. H. (2013). Inflammatory and antimicrobial responses to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in an *in vitro* wound infection model. *PLoS ONE* 8:e82800. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082800
- Holland, D. B., Bojar, R. A., Farrar, M. D., and Holland, K. T. (2009). Differential innate immune responses of a living skin equivalent model colonized by *Staphylococcus epidermidis* or *Staphylococcus aureus*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 290, 149–155. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01402.x
- Holland, D. B., Bojar, R. A., Jeremy, A. H. T., Ingham, E., and Holland, K. T. (2008). Microbial colonization of an *in vitro* model of a tissue engineered human skin equivalent–a novel approach. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 279, 110–115. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.01021.x
- Kirker, K. R., James, G. A., Fleckman, P., Olerud, J. E., and Stewart, P. S. (2012). Differential effects of planktonic and biofilm MRSA on human fibroblasts. *Wound Repair Regen.* 20, 253–261. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2012.00769.x
- Kirker, K. R., Secor, P. R., James, G. A., Fleckman, P., Olerud, J. E., and Stewart, P. S. (2009). Loss of viability and induction of apoptosis in human keratinocytes exposed to *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms *in vitro*. *Wound Repair Regen*. 17, 690–699. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2009.00523.x
- Misic, A. M., Gardner, S. E., and Grice, E. A. (2014). The wound microbiome: modern approaches to examining the role of microorganisms in impaired chronic wound healing. *Adv. Wound Care* 3, 502–510. doi: 10.1089/wound.2012.0397

- Price, B. L., Lovering, A. M., Bowling, F. L., and Dobson, C. B. (2016). Development of a novel collagen wound model to simulate the activity and distribution of antimicrobials in soft tissue during diabetic foot infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 60, 6880–6889. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01064-16
- Schaudinn, C., Dittmann, C., Jurisch, J., Laue, M., Günday-Türeli, N., Blume-Peytavi, U., et al. (2017). Development, standardization and testing of a bacterial wound infection model based on *ex vivo* human skin. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0186946. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0186946
- Secor, P. R., James, G. A., Fleckman, P., Olerud, J. E., McInnerney, K., and Stewart, P. S. (2011). *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm and planktonic cultures differentially impact gene expression, mapk phosphorylation, and cytokine production in human keratinocytes. *BMC Microbiol.* 11:143. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-143
- Sojka, M., Valachova, I., Bucekova, M., and Majtan, J. (2016). Antibiofilm efficacy of honey and bee-derived defensin-1 on multispecies wound biofilm. J. Med. Microbiol. 65, 337–344. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000227
- Steinstraesser, L., Sorkin, M., Niederbichler, A. D., Becerikli, M., Stupka, J., Daigeler, A., et al. (2010). A novel human skin chamber model to study wound infection *ex vivo*. Arch Dermatol Res. 302, 357–365. doi: 10.1007/s00403-009-1009-8
- Sun, Y., Dowd, S. E., Smith, E., Rhoads, D. D., and Wolcott, R. D. (2008). In vitro multispecies Lubbock chronic wound biofilm model. Wound Repair Regen. 16, 805–813. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2008.00434.x
- Sun, Y., Smith, E., Wolcott, R., and Dowd, S. E. (2014). Propagation of anaerobic bacteria within an aerobic multi-species chronic wound biofilm model. J. Wound Care 18, 426–431. doi: 10.12968/jowc.2009.18.10. 44604
- Tankersley, A., Frank, M. B., Bebak, M., and Brennan, R. (2014). Early effects of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm secreted products on inflammatory responses of human epithelial keratinocytes. *J. Inflamm.* 11:17. doi: 10.1186/1476-9255-11-17
- Thet, N. T., Alves, D. R., Bean, J. E., Booth, S., Nzakizwanayo, J., Young, A. E. R., et al. (2016). Prototype development of the intelligent hydrogel wound dressing and its efficacy in the detection of model pathogenic wound biofilms. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8, 14909–14919. doi: 10.1021/acsami.5b07372
- Werthén, M., Henriksson, L., Jensen, P. Ø., Sternberg, C., Givskov, M., and Bjarnsholt, T. (2010). An *in vitro* model of bacterial infections in wounds and other soft tissues. *APMIS* 118, 156–164. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0463.2009. 02580.x
- Yang, Q., Phillips, P. L., Sampson, E. M., Progulske-Fox, A., Jin, S., Antonelli, P., et al. (2013). Development of a novel *ex vivo* porcine skin explant model for the assessment of mature bacterial biofilms. *Wound Repair Regen*. 21, 704–714. doi: 10.1111/wrr.12074

Copyright © 2020 Kadam, Nadkarni, Lele, Sakhalkar, Mokashi and Kaushik. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.