

SPEAKER PRESENTATION**Open Access**

Target versus non-target lesions in determining disease progression: analysis of 545 patients

S Raskin*, E Klang, M Amitai

From International Cancer Imaging Society Meeting and 15th Annual Teaching Course (ICIS 2015)
London, UK. 5-7 October 2015

Aim

RECIST and other methodologies emphasise tracking the diameters of target lesions (TLs) for the determination of progressive disease (PD) in randomised clinical trials. However, RECIST 1.1 also allows for the use of non TL lesions (NTL) to determine PD. We sought to determine whether the final assessment of PD was more likely to be determined by the set of target lesions with PD (TL-PD) or by the set of non-target lesions with PD (NTL-PD).

the design of new methodologies for the assessment of tumour response.

Published: 2 October 2015

doi:10.1186/1470-7330-15-S1-S8

Cite this article as: Raskin et al.: Target versus non-target lesions in determining disease progression: analysis of 545 patients. *Cancer Imaging* 2015 **15**(Suppl 1):S8.

Methods

We evaluated the formal RECIST evaluations for consecutive patient enrollments in randomised clinical trials at our institution from 2012 to 2014. Data were grouped as TL-PD or NTL-PD, and the groups were compared. PD was further divided as to whether lesions were new or demonstrated unequivocal progression.

Results

Of 545 cases, 341 received a final assessment of PD. Of these, TL-PD analysis by itself accounted for 180 (53%) patients, and NTL-PD analysis itself accounted for 287 (84%). In the NTL-PD group, 142 (42%) had either Partial Response (PR) or Stable Disease (SD) according to TL analysis. Among all instances of NTL-PD, new, measurable disease was the most common determinant of PD, as seen in 210 (73%) instances.

Conclusion

In this series of patients enrolled in clinical trials, NTL analysis was more likely to result in a determination of PD than tracking TL diameters, and the development of new, measurable disease was the most common determinant of PD. These findings may have relevance for

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



* Correspondence: stephen.raskin@sheba.health.gov.il
Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel