Hepatitis B virus X protein up-regulates C4b-binding protein α through activating transcription factor Sp1 in protection of hepatoma cells from complement attack

Guoxing Feng¹, Jiong Li¹, Minying Zheng¹, Zhe Yang¹, Yunxia Liu¹, Shuqin Zhang¹, Lihong Ye², Weiying Zhang¹, Xiaodong Zhang¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemical Biology, Department of Cancer Research, College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, P.R. China

²State Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemical Biology, Department of Biochemistry, College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, P.R. China

Correspondence to: Xiaodong Zhang, email: zhangxd@nankai.edu.cn Weiying Zhang, email: zhwybao@nankai.edu.cn

Keywords: HBx, C4BP, complement attack, HCC

Received: November 24, 2015 Accepted: March 14, 2016

Published: March 30, 2016

ABSTRACT

Hepatitis B virus X protein (HBx) plays crucial roles in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). We previously showed that HBx protected hepatoma cells from complement attack by activation of CD59. Moreover, in this study we found that HBx protected hepatoma cells from complement attack by activation of C4b-binding protein α (C4BP α), a potent inhibitor of complement system. We observed that HBx were positively correlated with those of C4BP α in clinical HCC tissues. Mechanistically, HBx activated the promoter core region of C4BP α , located at -1199/-803nt, through binding to transcription factor Sp1. In addition, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays showed that HBx was able to bind to the promoter of C4BP α , which could be blocked by Sp1 silencing. Functionally, knockdown of C4BP α obviously increased the deposition of C5b-9, a complex of complement membrane attack, and remarkably abolished the HBxinduced resistance of hepatoma cells from complement attack in vitro and in vivo. Thus, we conclude that HBx up-regulates C4BP α through activating transcription factor Sp1 in protection of liver cancer cells from complement attack. Our finding provides new insights into the mechanism by which HBx enhances protection of hepatoma cells from complement attack.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the five most common malignancies and the third leading cause of cancer related deaths worldwide [1]. The chronic infection of hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a major risk factor in the development of HCC [2]. HBV-associated HCC is associated with the multifactorial process that largely depends on the efficacy of the host immune response to the virus [3]. Hepatitis B virus X protein (HBx) plays crucial roles in the viral pathogenesis and carcinogenesis [4–6]. It has been reported that HBx was able to bind to various transcription factors or components of signal transduction pathways to stimulate transcription, signal transduction, and cell cycle progress [7]. Our laboratory reported that HBx promoted the development of hepatoma through YAP. HBx was able to up-regulate Lin28A/Lin28B through activation of transcription factor Sp1. HBx up-regulated the oncogene Rab18, resulting in the dysregulation of lipogenesis and proliferation of hepatoma cells. In addition, HBx functionally accelerated hepatocarcinogenesis with its partner survivin [8–11]. Interestingly, we showed that HBx up-regulated CD59 and or CD46 to inhibit complement activation and protected hepatoma cells from complement attack in the development of HCC [12, 13]. However, the mechanism by which HBx induces escape of hepatoma cells from immune surveillance is not clearly documented.

The complement system displays a central role in innate immunity and serves as a highly effective way for the destruction of invading microorganisms and for immune complex elimination [14]. It defends the host against infections, bridges innate and adaptive immunity and disposes of immune complexes and apoptotic cells. Complement activation occurs via three routes, such as the classical, the lectin and the alternative pathway. Irrespective of the pathway involved, the activation of the complement system leads to the cleavage of C3 and C5, generating the potent chemo-attractants C3a and C5a as well as the C3b and C5b fragments. The latter initiates the assembly of the C5b-9 membrane attack complex (MAC), a lipophilic complex which forms pores in cell membranes, leading to cell lysis [15, 16]. Membrane complement regulatory proteins (mCRPs), including CD46, CD55 and CD59, are widely expressed in almost all cell types, and their inhibitory effect on complement activation is extremely important for protecting normal tissues against immunopathology [17]. It has been reported that mCRPs enables tumor cells to evade complementdependent cytotoxicity (CDC) and antibody-dependent killing mechanisms. MCRPs are involved in various malignant tumors, including ovarian cancer, endometrial cancer, breast cancer, lung cancer, chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and liver cancer [18-24].

C4b-binding protein (C4BP) are characterized by convertase decay-acceleration activity, an ability to accelerate convertase disassembly, as well as cofactor activity, as they act as cofactors supporting cleavage by factor I (FI) of the activated complement components C3b and or C4b necessary for convertase formation [25]. C4BP has three isoforms with different subunit composition, in which C4BPa and C4BPB subunits are mainly expressed in the liver. C4BPa has the binding site for C4b. However, C4BPβ is not essential for the binding of C4BPa to C4b. C4BP interferes directly with the formation of C3 convertase and enhances the natural decay of this enzymatic complex [26-28]. In addition, C4BP compensates the down-regulation of mCRPs on apoptotic cells and protects the cells from excessive complement activation and lysis [29]. Cancer cells avoid the immune system attack through disguising themselves from normal counterparts, making them to escape immune recognition or activation [30-32]. However, the mechanism of complement system regulation in liver cancer is not well understood.

In the present study, to better understand the mechanism by which HBx accelerates the protection of liver cancer cells from complement attack, we investigated the effect of HBx on C4BP α in the event. Interestingly, our data indicated that HBx was able to up-regulate C4BP α via activation of transcription factor Sp1 in promoting the resistance of CDC in HCC. Our findings provide new insights into the mechanism of HBx-activated immune escape in the development of HCC.

RESULTS

The expression levels of HBx are positively correlated with those of C4BPα in clinical HCC tissues

According to the reports that HBx-induced resistance of hepatoma cells from complement attack in HCC and expression of C4BP was increased in HBxtransfected hepatic LO2 cells using cDNA microarray analysis [12, 13, 33], we are interested in whether HBx activates C4BP in the protection of hepatoma cells from complement attack in HCC. Then, we evaluated the relationship between HBx and C4BP in clinical liver tissues. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis showed that the positive rate of C4BP was 87.4% (125/143), in which the strong positive rate of C4BP was 30.1% (43/143), in HCC tissues using tissue microarray containing 8 normal liver and 143 liver tumor cores (Figure 1A; Supplementary Table S1). In addition, we tested the expression of C4BP by IHC in formalin-fixed paraffin embedded pellets of breast cancer tissues. Our data showed the expression of C4BP was negative (Figure S1 G-I), suggesting that the specificity of C4BP staining is good. It has been noted that C4BP α has the binding site for C4b, while C4BP β is not essential for the binding of C4BPa to C4b. Thus, we focused on the investigation of C4BPa in our study. Real-time PCR revealed that the mRNA levels of C4BPa were significantly increased in 30 HCC tissues relative to their adjacent noncancerous tissues (P < 0.01, Wilcoxon's signed-rank test, Figure 1B). Strikingly, the mRNA levels of HBx were positively correlated with those of C4BPa in above 30 clinical HCC tissues (r = 0.696, P < 0.01, Pearson's correlation, Figure 1C), suggesting that HBx might up-regulate C4BPa in hepatoma cells. Therefore, we conclude that the expression of HBx is positively correlated with that of C4BPa in clinical HCC tissues.

HBx up-regulates C4BPa in hepatoma cells

Next, we attempted to validate the effect of HBx on C4BPa in hepatoma cells. Our data demonstrated that the overexpression of HBx was able to up-regulate C4BPa at the levels of mRNA in hepatoma HepG2 and H7402 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A and 2B). Moreover, HBx small interference RNA (siRNA) significantly decreased the mRNA levels of C4BPa in HepG2-X/H7402-X cells with stably expression of HBx (Figure 2C and 2D). According to the report that C4BPa is a plasma glycoprotein [34], we further tested the levels of C4BP α in the conditioned media of hepatoma cells. ELISA assays showed that the expression levels of C4BPa protein were markedly increased in the conditioned media of HepG2/H7402 cells treated with HBx in a dosedependent manner. In contrast, the expression levels of C4BPa protein were decreased in HepG2-X/H7402-X

cells treated with HBx siRNA. Thus, we conclude that HBx is able to up-regulate C4BP α in hepatoma cells.

HBx is able to activate the core region -1199/-803nt in promoter of C4BPα

To identify the mechanism by which HBx upregulates C4BP α , we examined the effect of HBx on promoter of C4BP α in hepatoma cells. To screen the core region in promoter of C4BP α , we cloned the different fragments of C4BP α 5'-flanking region, including -1500/+100nt (pGL3-C4BP-P1), -1500/-803nt (pGL3-C4BP-P2), -802/+100nt (pGL3-C4BP-P3), -1199/-803nt (pGL3-C4BP-P4) and -1500/-1200nt (pGL3-C4BP-P5). Then, the plasmids were transiently transfected into the HepG2 and H7402 cells. The luciferase reporter gene assays indicated that the vector of pGL3-C4BP-P4 containing fragment -1199/-803nt exhibited the maximum luciferase activities (Figure 3A), suggesting that the fragment might be the core region of C4BPa promoter. Then, we examined the effect of HBx on C4BPa promoter. The results indicated that HBx was able to activate the luciferase activities of pGL3-C4BP-P2 in HepG2 and H7402 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3B). In contrast, HBx siRNA abolished the luciferase activities of pGL3-C4BP-P2 in HepG2-X and H7402-X cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3C). Moreover, HBx was able to activate the luciferase activities of pGL3-C4BP-P4 in HepG2 and H7402 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3D). In contrast, HBx siRNA abolished the luciferase activities of pGL3-C4BP-P4 in HepG2-X and H7402-X cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3E).





Thus, we conclude that HBx is able to activate the core region -1199/-803nt in promoter of C4BPa.

HBx activates C4BP promoter through transcription factor Sp1

Next, we predicted the transcription factor binding sites in the core region -1199/-803nt of C4BPa promoter

using WWW Promoter Scan (http://www-bimas.cit.nih.gov/ molbio/proscan/). Interestingly, we observed that there were many different binding elements of transcription factors in the promoter region -1199/-803nt of C4BPα, such as Sp1 (Figure 4A). We previously reported that HBx activated Lin28A/Lin28B through Sp1/c-Myc in hepatoma cells [8]. Therefore, we hypothesized that HBx might stimulate C4BPα promoter through activation of transcription factor



Figure 2: HBx up-regulates C4BPa in hepatoma cell. A, B. The mRNA levels of HBx and C4BPa were tested by RT-PCR analysis in HepG2 (or H7402) cells transiently transfected with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **C, D.** The expression levels of HBx and C4BPa were examined by RT-PCR analysis in HepG2-X cells (or H7402-X) treated with HBx siRNA. **E.** The protein levels of C4BP were examined by ELISA in the supernatant of HepG2 (or H7402) cells treated with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **F.** The protein levels of C4BP were examined by ELISA in the supernatant of HepG2-X (or H7402-X) cells treated with HBx siRNA. *****p<0.05, **p<0.01, Student's *t*-test. All experiments were performed at least three times.



Figure 3: HBx is able to activate the core region -1199/-803nt in promoter of C4BPa. A. The relative activities of different regions of C4BPa promoter were examined by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2 (or H7402) cells. **B.** The relative activities of the core promoter (-1500/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2 cells or H7402 cells transiently transfected with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **C.** The relative activities of the core promoter (-1500/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2 cells or H7402 cells transiently transfected with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **C.** The relative activities of the core promoter (-1500/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2 cells or H7402 cells transiently transfected with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **E.** The relative activities of the core promoter (-1199/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2 cells or H7402 cells transiently transfected with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **E.** The relative activities of the core promoter (-1199/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter (-1199/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2 cells or H7402 cells transiently transfected with pCDNA3.1-HBx. **E.** The relative activities of the core promoter (-1199/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter (-1199/-803nt) of C4BPa were detected by luciferase reporter gene assays in HepG2.X cells (or H7402-X) transiently transfected with HBx siRNA. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, Student's *t*-test. All experiments were performed at least three times.

Sp1. Interestingly, the promoter activities of C4BP α could be abolished when the binding site of Sp1 in C4BP α promoter was mutated in HepG2-X and H7402-X cells (Figure 4B). Furthermore, the elevated promoter activities of C4BP α induced by HBx could be disrupted by Sp1 siRNA in the cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4C), suggesting that HBx might activate C4BP α promoter through transcription factor Sp1. ELISA assays further validated that Sp1 siRNA could block the HBx-increased expression levels of C4BP α in the supernatant of HepG2-X and H7402-X cells (Figure 4D). Meanwhile, the RNA interference efficiency was validated by Western blot





analysis in the cells (Figure S2). We previously reported that HBx was able to transactivate Sp1 to activate transcription of Lin28A/Lin28B [8, 10]. Our data validated that Sp1 could be immunoprecipitated by anti-HBx antibody in the cells and vice versa (data not shown). Moreover, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays showed that HBx was able to bind to the fragment -1199/-803nt of C4BP α promoter in HepG2-X cells. Interestingly, Sp1 siRNA abolished the binding of HBx to the region (Figure 4E). Taken together, we conclude that HBx activates C4BP α promoter through transcription factor Sp1.

Down-regulation of C4BPα increases the sensitivity of hepatoma cells to CDC

Next, we examined the effect of C4BPa on protection of hepatoma cells from complement attack activated by HBx. We previously reported that HBx activated CD59 in protection of hepatoma cells from complement attack [12]. Accordingly, we determined the effect of C4BPa on the complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) in HepG2-X and H7402-X cells. We first evaluated the efficiency of RNA interference for C4BPa using ELISA assays in HepG2-X cells (Figure 5A). CDC assays showed that the silencing of C4BPa using siC4BPa-1 resulted in the increased sensitivity of HepG2-X (or H7402-X) cells to CDC relative to HepG2 (or H7402) cells (Figure 5B and 5C). Moreover, the addition of C4BP α antibody led to the enhanced sensitivity of HepG2-X (or H7402-X) cells and HepG2 (or H7402) cells to CDC (Figure 5D), supporting that C4BP α contributes to the escape of hepatoma cells from complement attack induced by HBx. It has been reported that C5b-9 membrane attack complex (MAC) is involved in the cell lysis [35]. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of C4BPa on deposition of C5b-9 in hepatoma cells by ELISA assays. Our data showed that the deposition of C5b-9 was decreased on the surface of HepG2-X compare to HepG2 (or H7402-X to H7402) cells. In contrast, the deposition of C5b-9 was increased by C4BPa siRNA on the surface of HepG2 and HepG2-X (or H7402 and H7402-X) cells (Figure 5E; Figure S3). In addition, we validated that Sp1 siRNA increased the sensitivity of HepG2-X and H7402-X cells to CDC using CDC analysis, whereas overexpression of C4BPa could abolish the increase (Figure 5F), supporting that Sp1 is involved in the escape of hepatoma cells from complement attack induced by C4BPa. Collectively, we conclude that downregulation of C4BPa increases the sensitivity of hepatoma cells to CDC.

SiC4BPa results in suppression of growth of hepatoma cells through inducing sensitivity of hepatoma cells to CDC *in vivo*

Given that HBx-elevated CD59 depressed the growth of hepatoma cells through protection of hepatoma

cells from complement attack [12, 13]. Then, we further investigated the effect of C4BPa on the growth of hepatoma cells in vivo. Our data demonstrated that the volume and weight of the tumor were significantly lower by the treatment with siC4BPa in mice (Figure 6A–6C). It has been reported that the complement system leads to insertion of terminal complement complexes (C5b-9) into the cell membrane, which may induce cytolysis. C5b-9 is involved in induction of apoptosis via a caspase-dependent pathway [35]. Accordingly, we evaluated the levels of caspase3, an apoptosis biomarker, in the tumor tissues from mice. Interestingly, the expression of caspase3 was increased in HepG2-X group treated with siC4BPa relative to controls (Figure 6D). Meanwhile, the expression levels of Ki67, a cell proliferation marker, were also significantly decreased in the system (Figure 6D), suggesting that siC4BPa induces apoptosis and depresses the proliferation of hepatoma cells. Thus, we conclude that siC4BP α is able to suppress the growth of hepatoma cells through inducing sensitivity of hepatoma cells to CDC in vivo.

DISCUSSION

Abundant evidence strongly indicates that HBx contributes to the hepatocarcinogenesis [36–38]. The complement system displays a central role in innate immunity and bridges innate and adaptive immune responses in the development of liver cancer [39]. C4BP is mainly expressed in liver and plays an important role of regulatory of complement balance [39]. We previously found that HBx increased the complement regulation proteins such as CD46 and CD59 to protect hepatoma cells from complement attack [12, 13]. In this study, we are interested in whether HBx stimulates C4BP in protection of hepatoma cells from complement attack.

Given that the expression of C4BP was upregulated in HBx-transfected hepatic LO2 cells using cDNA microarray analysis [33]. Therefore, we supposed that C4BP might be involved in the escape of hepatoma cells from immune surveillance activated by HBx. We found that HBx increased C4BP α through activating transcription factor Sp1 in protection of liver cancer cells from complement attack in a nude mouse model. In addition, siC4BP α markedly inhibited depositions of C5b-9 on the cell surface and caused a significant CDC in the HepG2-X or H7402-X cells. These results confirm that C4BP α maintains its functional attributes, which were initially gleaned from *in vitro* studies [40], in a complementary immunocompetent xenograft HCC model.

It has been reported that C4BP has three isoforms with different subunit composition. Functionally, C4BP α has the binding site for C4b, however, C4BP β is not essential for the binding of C4BP α to C4b. Thereby, we focused on the investigation of C4BP α in our system. Interestingly, real-time PCR showed the expression levels of C4BP α were elevated in HCC tissues relative to



Figure 5: Down-regulation of C4BPa increases the sensitivity of hepatoma cells to CDC. A. The efficiency of C4BPa siRNA was examined by ELISA in the supernatant of HepG2-X cells. **B, C.** The effect of C4BPa siRNA on CDC was determined by Trypan blue absorbance assays in HepG2, HepG2-X (or H7402, H7402-X) cells treated with different dilutions of normal human serum. **D.** The effect of antibody against C4BPa on CDC was examined by Trypan blue absorbance assay in HepG2, HepG2-X (or H7402, H7402-X) cells treated with 45% of normal human serum. **E.** The deposition of C5b-9 was measured by ELISA in HepG2, HepG2-X (or H7402, H7402-X) cells treated with 45% of normal human serum. **E.** The effect of Sp1 siRNA or overexpression of C4BPa on CDC was examined by Trypan blue absorbance assays in HepG2. X (or H7402, H7402-X) cells treated with C4BPa siRNA. **F.** The effect of Sp1 siRNA or overexpression of C4BPa on CDC was examined by Trypan blue absorbance assays in HepG2-X (or H7402-X) cells treated with 45% of normal human serum. **p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01, Student's *t*-test. All experiments were performed at least three times.



D



Figure 6: SiC4BPa results in suppression of growth of hepatoma cells through inducing sensitivity of hepatoma cells to CDC *in vivo*. A, B. HepG2-X cells treated with C4BPa siRNA were transplanted into the nude mice. The growth curve and average weight of tumors were shown. C. The image of dissected tumors from nude mice (n = 6). D. The expression of caspase3 and Ki67 was tested by IHC analysis in the tumor tissues from nude mice. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, Student's *t*-test.

their adjacent noncancerous tissues. Thus, we validated that HBx up-regulated C4BP α in hepatoma cells. It suggests that C4BP α might be involved in the escape of hepatoma cells from immune surveillance induced by HBx.

Next, we sought to identify the underlying mechanism by which HBx up-regulated C4BP α in hepatoma cells. We investigated the effect of HBx on the promoter activities of C4BPa in HBx-positive cell lines. It has been reported that HBx does not directly bind to DNA, it functions through protein-protein interaction [41]. To demonstrate whether HBx activate the C4BPa promoter in the cells, we identified that the fragment -1199/-803nt was the core region of C4BP promoter. Interestingly, we revealed that HBx was able to activate the fragment -1199/-803nt of C4BP promoter. Then, we predicted that the region contained one binding site of transcription factor Sp1. Sp1 is a member of the Sp/KLF family of transcription factors and many genes encoding various cell growth regulatory proteins contain Sp1 sites in their promoters [42]. Sp1 is overexpressed in a variety of cancers including liver, breast, colon, pancreas, bladder and prostate cancer, and plays an important role in cell proliferation by activating the expression of several cell cycle regulatory proteins [43]. Interestingly, we have reported that HBx activates Lin28A/Lin28B through Sp1/ c-Myc in hepatoma cells [8]. Then, we validated that the transcription factor Sp1 might be involved in the activation of C4BP promoter stimulated by HBx in hepatoma cells. Our finding is consistent with our report that HBx was able to activate Sp1 in up-regulation of Lin28A/Lin28B in hepatoma cells [8]. Thus, we conclude that HBx is able to up-regulate C4BP through transcription factor Sp1 in hepatoma cells.

It has been reported that C4BP as the key inhibitor disrupts the formation of C3 convertase and enhances the natural decay of this enzymatic complex in the complement activation [26-28, 44]. HBx is involved in the modulation of the immune response [12, 13]. Accordingly, we are interested in whether C4BPa contributes to the HBx-enhanced resist of hepatoma cells to CDC. Interestingly, our data showed that HBx decreased the sensitivity of hepatoma cells and the depositions of C5b-9 on the cell surface. It has been reported that C5b-9 is involved in induction of apoptosis via a caspase-dependent pathway. Interestingly, we observed that the expression of caspase3 was increased in HepG2-X cells treated with siC4BPa relative to controls. It suggests that C4BPa contributes to the HBx-enhanced cell avoiding CDC in vivo. This finding is consistent with our report that HBx inhibits complement activation in nude mice, protecting hepatoma cells from complement attack in liver cancer through up-regulating CD46 and CD59 [12, 13]. Thus, we conclude that HBx is able to attenuate the sensitivity of hepatoma cells and hepatic cells to CDC through C4BPa. Therapeutically, HBx may serve as a target in HBV-related liver cancer.

In summary, in this study we report a novel mechanism by which HBx protects hepatoma cells from complement attack through activation of C4BPa. Our data show that HBx is able to up-regulate C4BPa through activation of transcription factor Sp1 in the promoter of C4BPa. C4BPa is involved in the escape of hepatoma cells from complement attack induced by HBx. Thus, our finding provides further insights into the mechanism by which HBx increases resistance of complement attack involving the complement system in the development of HCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient samples

Thirty pairs of liver cancer tissues with their paratumor tissues utilized in this study were immediately obtained from Tianjin Cancer Hospital (Tianjin, China) after surgical resection. Written consents approving the use of their tissues for research purposes after the operation were obtained from each patient. The study protocol was approved by the institute research ethics committee at Nankai University (Tianjin, China).

Cell lines and cell culture

Liver cancer cell lines, HepG2, HepG2-X (a stable HBX transfected cell line of HepG2), H7402, H7402-X (a stable HBX transfected cell line of H7402) [45, 46], were cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY), 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin in humidified 5% CO2 at 37°C. HepG2-X and H7402-X maintained with G418 200 µg/ml.

Plasmid construction and small interference RNA (siRNA)

The full-length C4BP α was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector to generate the pcDNA3.1-C4BP α . The 5'-flanking region (from -1500 to + 100nt) of C4BP α gene was inserted into the NheI/HindIII site in the upstream of the luciferase gene in the pGL3-Basic vector, including -1500/+100nt (pGL3-C4BP-P1), -1500/-803nt (pGL3-C4BP-P2), -802/+100nt (pGL3-C4BP-P3), -1199/-803nt (pGL3-C4BP-P4) and -1500/-1200nt (pGL3-C4BP-P5). Mutant of C4BP α promoter carried a series substitution of nucleotides within Sp1 binding site was constructed. SiRNAs duplexes targeting HBx, C4BP α and negative control (NC) were synthesized by RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). All primers and siRNA sequence were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

RNA extraction, RT-PCR and real-time PCR

Total RNA of cells (or liver cancer tissues from patient tumors) was extracted using Trizol reagent (Takara, Dalian, China). First-strand cDNA was synthesized by Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. To examine the mRNA levels of C4BP α and HBx, real-time PCR was performed by a FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (ROX) Kit (Indianapolis, IN, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Double-stranded DNA specific expression was tested by the comparative Ct method using $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ [47]. All primers were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was carried out with previously protocols [48]. Primary antibodies were rabbit anti-Sp1 (Proteintech Group, USA), mouse anti-HBx (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) and mouse anti- β -actin (Sigma, Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). All experiments were repeated at least 3 times.

Luciferase reporter gene assays

For luciferase reporter gene assays, the liver cancer cells were transfected with plasmids encoding pcDNA3.1-HBx (or siRNAs of HBx) by lipofectamine 2000. The luciferase activities were determined 48 h after transfection, and the results are the average of 3 independent repeats. The luciferase activities in the cell lysates were measured by a dual luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega, Madison, USA), and the luciferase activities were normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. pRL-TK, pGL3-Basic vectors (Promega).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays

The chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (ChIP) was performed using the EpiQuikTM chromatin immunoprecipitation kit from Epigentek Group Inc according to the published methods. Protein-DNA complexes were immunoprecipitated with HBx antibodies, with mouse IgG as a negative control antibody and antipolymerase II as positive control. DNA collected by these antibodies was subjected to PCR analysis, followed by sequencing. Amplification of soluble chromatin prior to immunoprecipitation was used as an input control. All primers were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Co-immunoprecipitation

HepG2-X cells (2×10^6) were harvested and lysed in a lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM protease inhibitor PMSF, 15% glycerol). The lysates were incubated with anti-HBx or anti-Sp1 antibody and protein G conjugated agarose beads at 4°C for 3 h. The precipitates were washed six times with ice-cold lysis buffer, resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and resolved by SDS–PAGE followed by Western blot analysis.

Complement-dependent cytotoxicity assays

Complement-dependent cytotoxicity assays were performed using Trypan blue absorbance assays [49]. The above cells were planted onto 24-well plates (1×10^5 cells per well). Following overnight incubation, the media was removed, and cells were exposed either to normal human serum (NHS) in different dilutions (15, 30 and 45%) or to an anti-C4BPα antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, USA) that blocks function (10 µg/ml) in the presence of NHS to a final concentration of 45%. After 1h incubation, a 0.4% solution of Trypan blue was added to each well to a final concentration of 0.05% and further incubated at 37°C for 15 min. Next, the medium was removed, and the cells were gently washed with ice-cold PBS (3×750) μ l). The cells were then lysed with 200 μ l of 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and gently triturated. Finally, 175 µl of the SDS/Trypan blue solution was transferred to a 96-well plate, and the absorbance was read with a Multiskan Ascent enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reader (Thermo Electron Corporation, Dreieich, Germany) at 590 nm as reported previously [50]. Each test was assayed in triplicate.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)

The amounts of C5b-9 (a product of functional MAC) were determined by human C5b-9 ELISA kit (RD Scientific, Minneapolis, MN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cells were incubated in 6-well plates (2×10^5 cells each) for 12 h. After treatment, the cells were washed with PBS three times and incubated with 45% NHS or 45% C5-depleted NHS as a negative control for 2 h. The cells were then lysed as reported previously [12].

Tumor formation in nude mice

Experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication No. 80-23, revised 1996) and were performed according to the institutional ethical guidelines for animal experiments. BALB/c nude mice were employed for tumorigenicity analysis. The tumorigenicity of HepG2-X cells were measured as reported previously. Cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed twice with sterile PBS and resuspended at 2×10^7 cells/ml. Then, 0.2 ml aliquots were injected subcutaneously into 4-week-old female BALB/c nude mice with healthy complement system (n = 6, in each

group). The mice received HepG2-X cells pretreated with siRNA Ctronl or C4BP α siRNA were observed at periodic intervals. Tumor growth was measured after 7 days from injection and then every 4 days. At 30 days after injection, mice were killed and tumors were weighted after necropsy. Tumor volume (V) was monitored by measuring the length (L) and width (W) with calipers and calculated with the formula (L× W²)/2.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Liver cancer tissue array (No. 08C03), comprising 149 liver tumors, was purchased from Xi'an Aomei Biotechnology (Xi'an, China). Breast cancer tissue were immediately obtained from Tianjin Cancer Hospital (Tianjin, China) after surgical resection. IHC staining assay was performed as described previously [8]. The slides were incubated with rabbit anti-C4BPa antibody (1:250 dilution Proteintech Group, Chicago, USA), anti-Ki67 antibody (1:200 dilution, Proteintech Group, Chicago, USA), anti-caspase3 antibody (1:200 dilution, Proteintech Group, Chicago, USA) at 4°C for overnight. Then, the slides were incubated with horseradish peroxidase labelled secondary antibody for 30 min at room temperature. Immunostaining was developed by using chromogen 3, 3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB), and counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin (ZSBG-BIO, Beijing, China). The staining levels of C4BP were classified into three groups using a modified scoring method based on the intensity of staining (- negative; + low; ++Middle; +++ high; ++++super high).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software v.13.0 (Chicago, IL). Each experiment was repeated at least three times. The expression levels of C4BP α in liver cancer tissues and their corresponding nontumorous tissues were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlation between HBx and C4BP α in clinical HCC tissues. Statistical significance was assessed by comparing the mean values (±SD) using a Student's *t*-test.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the grants of the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, No. 2015CB553905, 2015CB553703), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81372186, 81272218, 31470756), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program, 2014AA020903) and Tianjin Natural Science Foundation (No. 14JCZDJC32800).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Torre LA, Freddie B, Siegel RL, Jacques F, Joannie LT, Ahmedin J. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015; 65:87-108.
- 2. Zhang X, Zhang H, Ye L. Effects of hepatitis B virus X protein on the development of liver cancer. The Journal of laboratory and clinical medicine. 2006; 147:58-66.
- Slagle BL, Andrisani OM, Bouchard MJ, Lee CGL, Ou JHJ, Siddiqui A. Technical Standards for Hepatitis B Virus X Protein (HBx) Research. Hepatology. 2015; 61:1416-1424.
- Zhang T, Zhang JP, You XN, Liu Q, Du YM, Gao Y, Shan CL, Kong GY, Wang YL, Yang X, Ye LH, Zhang XD. Hepatitis B Virus X Protein Modulates Oncogene Yes-Associated Protein by CREB to Promote Growth of Hepatoma Cells. Hepatology. 2012; 56:2051-2059.
- Yoo YG, Na TY, Seo HW, Seong JK, Park CK, Shin YK, Lee MO. Hepatitis B virus X protein induces the expression of MTA1 and HDAC1, which enhances hypoxia signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Oncogene. 2008; 27:3405-3413.
- Benhenda S, Cougot D, Buendia MA, Neuveut C. Hepatitis B Virus X Protein: Molecular Functions and Its Role in Virus Life Cycle and Pathogenesis. Advances in Cancer Research, Vol 103. 2009; 103:75-109.
- Zhu M, Guo J, Li W, Lu Y, Fu S, Xie X, Xia H, Dong X, Chen Y, Quan M, Zheng S, Xie K, Li M. Hepatitis B virus X protein induces expression of alpha-fetoprotein and activates PI3K/mTOR signaling pathway in liver cells. Oncotarget. 2015; 6:12196-12208. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.2906.
- You X, Liu F, Zhang T, Lv N, Liu Q, Shan C, Du Y, Kong G, Wang T, Ye L, Zhang X. Hepatitis B virus X protein upregulates Lin28A/Lin28B through Sp-1/c-Myc to enhance the proliferation of hepatoma cells. Oncogene. 2014; 33:449-460.
- Zhang T, Zhang J, You X, Liu Q, Du Y, Gao Y, Shan C, Kong G, Wang Y, Yang X, Ye L, Zhang X. Hepatitis B virus X protein modulates oncogene Yes-associated protein by CREB to promote growth of hepatoma cells. Hepatology. 2012; 56:2051-2059.
- Zhang W, Lu Z, Kong G, Gao Y, Wang T, Wang Q, Cai N, Wang H, Liu F, Ye L, Zhang X. Hepatitis B virus X protein accelerates hepatocarcinogenesis with partner survivin through modulating miR-520b and HBXIP. Molecular cancer. 2014; 13:1-14.
- 11. You X, Liu F, Zhang T, Li Y, Ye L, Zhang X. Hepatitis B virus X protein upregulates oncogene Rab18 to result in the dysregulation of lipogenesis and proliferation of hepatoma cells. Carcinogenesis. 2013; 34:1644-1652.

- 12. Shan C, Zhang S, Cui W, You X, Kong G, Du Y, Qiu L, Ye L, Zhang X. Hepatitis B virus X protein activates CD59 involving DNA binding and let-7i in protection of hepatoma and hepatic cells from complement attack. Carcinogenesis. 2011; 32:1190-1197.
- Zhang S, Shan C, Cui W, You X, Du Y, Kong G, Gao F, Ye L, Zhang X. Hepatitis B virus X protein protects hepatoma and hepatic cells from complement-dependent cytotoxicity by up-regulation of CD46. Febs Lett. 2013; 587:645-651.
- 14. Zhu Y, Thangamani S, Ho B, Ding JL. The ancient origin of the complement system. Embo J. 2005; 24:382-394.
- Merle NS, Church SE, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Roumenina LT. Complement system part I - molecular mechanisms of activation and regulation. Frontiers in immunology. 2015; 6:1-30.
- Merle NS, Noe R, Halbwachs-Mecarelli L, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Roumenina LT. Complement system part II: role in immunity. Frontiers in immunology. 2015; 6:1-26.
- 17. Kim DD, Song W-C. Membrane complement regulatory proteins. Clin Immunol. 2006; 118:127-136.
- Kesselring R, Thiel A, Pries R, Fichtner-Feigl S, Brunner S, Seidel P, Bruchhage KL, Wollenberg B. The complement receptors CD46, CD55 and CD59 are regulated by the tumour microenvironment of head and neck cancer to facilitate escape of complement attack. Eur J Cancer. 2014; 50:2152-2161.
- Cui W, Zhao Y, Shan C, Kong G, Hu N, Zhang Y, Zhang S, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Ye L. HBXIP upregulates CD46, CD55 and CD59 through ERK1/2/NF-kappaB signaling to protect breast cancer cells from complement attack. Febs Lett. 2012; 586:766-771.
- Geis N, Zell S, Rutz R, Li W, Giese T, Mamidi S, Schultz S, Kirschfink M. Inhibition of membrane complement inhibitor expression (CD46, CD55, CD59) by siRNA sensitizes tumor cells to complement attack in vitro. Current cancer drug targets. 2010; 10:922-931.
- Cui W, Zhang Y, Hu N, Shan C, Zhang S, Zhang W, Zhang X, Ye L. miRNA-520b and miR-520e sensitize breast cancer cells to complement attack via directly targeting 3'UTR of CD46. Cancer biology & therapy. 2010; 10:232-241.
- Okroj M, Corrales L, Stokowska A, Pio R, Blom AM. Hypoxia increases susceptibility of non-small cell lung cancer cells to complement attack. Cancer immunology, immunotherapy. 2009; 58:1771-1780.
- Zell S, Geis N, Rutz R, Schultz S, Giese T, Kirschfink M. Down-regulation of CD55 and CD46 expression by anti-sense phosphorothioate oligonucleotides (S-ODNs) sensitizes tumour cells to complement attack. Clinical and experimental immunology. 2007; 150:576-584.
- 24. Spiller OB, Criado-Garcia O, Rodriguez De Cordoba S, Morgan BP. Cytokine-mediated up-regulation of CD55 and CD59 protects human hepatoma cells from complement

attack. Clinical and experimental immunology. 2000; 121:234-241.

- Blom AM, Villoutreix BO, Dahlback B. Complement inhibitor C4b-binding protein - friend or foe in the innate immune system? Molecular immunology. 2004; 40:1333-1346.
- Rawal N, Pangburn MK. Role of the C3b-binding site on C4b-binding protein in regulating classical pathway C5 convertase. Molecular immunology. 2007; 44:1105-1114.
- Leung E, Blom AM, Clemenza L, Isenman DE. The complement regulator C4b-binding protein (C4BP) interacts with both the C4c and C4dg subfragments of the parent C4b ligand: Evidence for synergy in C4BP subsite binding. Biochemistry-Us. 2006; 45:8378-8392.
- Leung E, Blom AM, Clemenza L, Isenman DE. The complement regulator C4b-binding protein (C4BP) interacts with both the C4c and C4dg subfragments of the parent C4b ligand: Evidence for synergy in C4BP subsite binding (vol 45, pg 8378, 2006). Biochemistry-Us. 2007; 46:3594-3594.
- 29. Trouw LA, Bengtsson AA, Gelderman KA, Dahlback B, Sturfelt G, Blom AM. C4b-binding protein and factor h compensate for the loss of membrane-bound complement inhibitors to protect apoptotic cells against excessive complement attack. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:28540-28548.
- 30. Escape from Innate Immune Effectors Is Necessary for Tumor Recurrence. Cancer discovery. 2014; 4:14-14.
- 31. Siddle H, Kreiss A, Tovar C, Pearse AM, Hamede R, Jones ME, Skjodt K, Woods GM, Kaufman J. Immune escape strategies of a recently emerged contagious cancer, Devil Facial Tumour Disease. Immunology. 2013; 140:79-80.
- Bird L. Tumour immunology Another way to escape the immune system's watchful eye. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005; 5:673-673.
- 33. Zhang WY, Cai N, Ye LH, Zhang XD. Transformation of human liver L-O2 cells mediated by stable HBx transfection. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2009; 30:1153-1161.
- 34. Mohlin FC, Blom AM. Purification and functional characterization of C4b-binding protein (C4BP). Methods in molecular biology. 2014; 1100:169-176.
- Nauta AJ, Daha MR, Tijsma O, Bob VDW, Tedesco F, Roos A. The membrane attack complex of complement induces caspase activation and apoptosis. Eur J Immunol. 2002; 32:783-792.
- 36. Tang SH, Hu W, Hu JJ, Wu SL, Li JF, Luo YH, Cao MR, Zhou HK, Jiang XW. Hepatitis B virus X protein promotes P3 transcript expression of the insulin-like growth factor 2 gene via inducing hypomethylation of P3 promoter in hepatocellular carcinoma. Liver International. 2015; 35:608-619.
- Ye H, Zhang C, Wang BJ, Tan XH, Zhang WP, Teng Y, Yang X. Synergistic function of Kras mutation and HBx in initiation and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma in mice. Oncogene. 2014; 33:5133-5138.

- 38. Yang SL, Yu C, Jiang JX, Liu LP, Fang XF, Wu C. Hepatitis B virus X protein disrupts the balance of the expression of circadian rhythm genes in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncol Lett. 2014; 8:2715-2720.
- Ramaglia V, Daha MR, Baas F. The complement system in the peripheral nerve: Friend or foe? Molecular immunology. 2008; 45:3865-3877.
- Martinez APG, Ruiz AS, Breda LCD, Fraga TR, Valencia MMC, Barbosa AS, Isaac L. Pasteurella pneumotropica evades the human complement system by acquisition of the regulators factor H and C4BP and by the direct cleavage of complement proteins. Molecular immunology. 2014; 61:264-264.
- 41. Kanda T, Yokosuka O, Nagao K, Saisho H. State of hepatitis C viral replication enhances activation of NF-kBand AP-1-signaling induced by hepatitis B virus X. Cancer letters. 2006; 234:143-148.
- 42. Safe S, Abdelrahim M. Sp transcription factor family and its role in cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2005; 41:2438–2448.
- 43. Karen Man-Fong S, Kris Lai-Ting W, Glanice Kin-Yan C, Joyce Man-Fong L, Tai-On Y, Irene Oi-Lin N. Loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog enhances cell invasion and migration through aKT/Sp-1 transcription factor/matrix metalloproteinase 2 activation in hepatocellular carcinoma and has clinicopathologic significance. Hepatology. 2011; 53:1558–1569.
- Dimitrios Morikis, John D. Lambris, Dinesh C. Soares, Paul N. Barlow, Gérard J. Arlaud, Christine Gaboriaud, Nicole M. Thielens, Lynn A. Gregory, Jordi Juanhuix, Véronique

Rossi, Juan C. Fontecilla-Camps, Yuanyuan Xu, Karthe Ponnuraj, et al. Structural biology of the complement system. In Dimitrios Morikis, John D. Lambris editors. The building blocks of the complement System. Boca Raton. CRC press taylor & francis group. 2005; 1-18.

- 45. Zhang X, Dong N, Zhang H, You J, Wang H, Ye L. Effects of hepatitis B virus X protein on human telomerase reverse transcriptase expression and activity in hepatoma cells. The Journal of laboratory and clinical medicine. 2005; 145:98-104.
- Zhang X, Dong N, Yin L, Cai N, Ma H, You J, Zhang H, Wang H, He R, Ye L. Hepatitis B virus X protein upregulates survivin expression in hepatoma tissues. J Med Virol. 2005; 77:374-381.
- Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C-T method. Nat Protoc. 2008; 3:1101-1108.
- 48. Kong G, Zhang J, Zhang S, Shan C, Ye L, Zhang X. Upregulated microRNA-29a by hepatitis B virus X protein enhances hepatoma cell migration by targeting PTEN in cell culture model. PloS one. 2011; 6:1-10.
- 49. Louis KS, Siegel AC. Cell viability analysis using trypan blue: manual and automated methods. Methods in molecular biology. 2011; 740:7-12.
- 50. Uliasz TF, Hewett SJ. A microtiter trypan blue absorbance assay for the quantitative determination of excitotoxic neuronal injury in cell culture. Journal of neuroscience methods. 2000; 100:157-163.