



# Article Evaluation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Smoked Cheeses Made in Poland by HPLC Method

Magdalena Polak-Śliwińska <sup>1,\*</sup>, Beata Paszczyk <sup>1</sup> and Mariusz Śliwiński <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Commodity Science and Food Analysis, Faculty of Food Science, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Plac Cieszyński 1, 10-726 Olsztyn, Poland
- <sup>2</sup> Dairy Industry Innovation Institute Ltd., Kormoranów 1, 11-700 Mragowo, Poland
- \* Correspondence: m.polak@uwm.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-89-523-45-84; Fax: +48-89-523-35-54

Abstract: Smoked cheeses are particularly popular among consumers for their flavor and aroma. Of interest, therefore, is the health aspect related to the likelihood of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which are known carcinogens found in smoked products. Thus, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the occurrence of 15 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in smoked and non-smoked cheeses purchased in Poland to monitor their safety. The level of selected PAHs in cheese samples was determined using the HPLC-DAD-FLD method. Most of the cheeses tested met the maximum level of benzo[a]pyrene (2  $\mu$ g/kg) and the sum of benz[a]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[b]fluoranthene and benzo[a]pyrene ( $12 \mu g/kg$ ) established for these products. However, all the cheeses studied in this work had relatively low amounts of the sum of these compounds compared to the information available in the cheese literature, ranging from <LOD to 24.5 µg/kg. This amount does not pose a health risk to consumers. The predominant PAHs found were naphthalene, phenanthrene, fluorene and acenaphthene. Benzo[a]pyrene, the marker compound representing carcinogenic PAHs, was found in 100% and 0% of Polish smoked and non-smoked cheeses, respectively. Although there are currently no regulations for smoked cheeses and maximum concentrations of PAHs in this type of food product, control of PAHs content in cheeses is important due to the mutagenic and carcinogenic potential of these chemicals.

**Keywords:** European Union priority polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; smoked cheeses; organic contaminants; food analysis

## 1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a group of organic compounds with a structure consisting of carbon and hydrogen atoms that have more than two fused aromatic rings. Most PAHs have carcinogenic effects on animal or humans and induce various cancers. They are given priority concern because of their mutagenic and carcinogenic effects [1–3]. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are a consequence of environmental pollutants, imperfect burning or pyrolysis of organic substances during industrial processing [4–6]. Additionally, foods can be contaminated during their processing and preparation through different heat treatments. The carcinogenicity of PAHs varies from the potent to moderately carcinogenic PAHs which include 3-methylcholanthrene, benzo[a]pyrene, dibenz[a,h]anthracene, 5-methylchrysene, and dibenz[a,j]anthracene, whereas benzo[e]pyrene, dibenz[a,c]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[c]phenanthrene and fluoranthene are relatively weak or inactive carcinogens [7]. PAHs are classified according to the number of carbon rings into "heavy PAHs" with five or more aromatic rings or "light PAHs" with less than five rings [8]. Cooking processes have been found to be a major source of PAHs in foods. Although PAHs can also be formed during curing and processing of raw food prior to cooking, several researchers in recent years have shown that the major dietary sources of PAHs are fish and meat especially where there is high consumption of meat cooked over



Citation: Polak-Śliwińska, M.; Paszczyk, B.; Śliwiński, M. Evaluation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Smoked Cheeses Made in Poland by HPLC Method. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 6909. https:// doi.org/10.3390/molecules27206909

Academic Editor: Andrea Salvo

Received: 4 September 2022 Accepted: 12 October 2022 Published: 14 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). an open flame [7]. Several procedures and methods have been developed recently to assess and detect PAHs in foods and more recently, bio-monitoring procedures have also been developed to assess human exposure to PAHs [7]. Numerous organizations such as the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IACR), the Scientific Committee on Food (SCF), the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), the International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) have been involved in evaluating the occurrence and toxicity of PAHs [7].

The SCF reviewed the presence and toxicity of PAHs in food and issued an opinion on 4 December 2002 [9]. The SCF concluded that benzo[a]pyrene may be used as a marker of occurrence and effect of the carcinogenic PAHs in food [10]. Afterward, the Commission asked Member States to monitor PAHs (and, in particular, the 15 priority substances identified by SCF as potentially genotoxic and carcinogenic to humans) in the Commission Recommendation 2005/108/EC [11,12]. In 2008, to highlight the strongly carcinogenic PAHs, the European Union (EU) has defined 16 priority pollutants (15 and 1 EU priority PAHs) that should commonly be monitored in foods [13,14]. In general, heavy PAHs tend to be more stable and toxic than lighter ones [15].

The EFSA collected the data submitted in the framework of this recommendation and issued a report where it was stated that the conclusion made by the SCF that benzo[a]pyrene is a good indicator for PAHs occurrence could not be demonstrated by the monitoring data from the Member States [10]. This statement was based on the fact that benzo[a]pyrene was not detected in about 30% of the samples where other PAHs among the 15 SCF priority ones were detected. Therefore, benzo[a]pyrene alone is not considered a suitable indicator for the occurrence and toxicity of genotoxic and carcinogenic PAHs [10,16]. PAHs have been monitored in a variety of foodstuffs, i.e., in traditionally smoked cheeses [17], in milk and other dairy products [18], in fruits and vegetables [19], in fried meats and fish [20–22], in fried foods based on wheat flour [23,24] and in vegetable oils [25–28]. Taking into consideration the sources of PAHs generation, adequate process and quality control of the processed foods could be a veritable mean to reduce PAHs ingestion in foods. The results of Sun at al. [29] indicated that the number of studies on PAHs in foods has been growing at an average annual rate of 13% [29]. The high yield of PAHs-related research in Europe may be attributed to concerns related to olive oil and smoked meats. Besides, other studies included the formation of PAHs in food processing, the concentration of PAHs in edible oils and smoked products, and the GC/MS method for detecting PAHs. Based on articles we have analysed, it is worth mentioning that determination of PAHs and derivatives still needs improvement. Moreover, with collective efforts from all researchers, there is hope that more progress will be made in the limit standards and toxicological evaluation for PAHs derivatives, as well as methods for the green removal of PAHs in food [29].

The purpose of this research was to determine the occurrence of European priority polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (EU PAHs) in smoked and unsmoked cheeses purchased on the Polish market in order to control their safety.

## 2. Results and Discussion

As mentioned above, Table 1 shows that the most abundant compounds in the studied Polish cheeses are the light PAHs, among which naphthalene, acenaphthylene, or phenanthrene can be cited. This correlates well with the results of previous studies on the occurrence of PAHs in other types of European smoked cheeses [30–32]. Recently, the European Food Safety Authority Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain suggested that four PAHs (sum of benzo[a]pyrene, chrysene, benzo[a]anthracene, and benzo[b]fluoranthene) is the most suitable indicator for overall evaluation of PAHs level in food [16]. The maximum values for different groups of food (oils and fats, cocoa beans, coconut oil, meats and smoked fish, smoked sprats, molluscs, cereals, infant food, and infant formula) have been established through the European Communities Regulation 835/2011 [16]. In 2015, amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in cocoa fibre, banana chips, food supplements, dried herbs, and dried spices have been established through the European Communities Regulation 2015/1933 [33,34]. The EFSA panel evaluated multiple substance groupings (PAH<sub>2</sub> [B(a)P and Chr], PAH<sub>4</sub> [B(a)P, Chr, B(a)A, and B(b)F] and PAH<sub>8</sub> [B(a)P, Chr, B(a)A, B(b)F, B(k)A, B(ghi)P, DB(ah)A, and I(1,2,3-cd)P] for an exposure calculation to determine safe margins for exposure. The measured concentrations of PAHs in smoked cheeses are in line with previous findings reported by other research groups [35–38].

For smoked cheese samples, the concentrations of B[a]A, Chr, B[b]F, and B[a]P as  $PAH_4$  in the present study were ND–2.2  $\mu$ g/kg, ND–0.9  $\mu$ g/kg, ND, and <LOD–0.9  $\mu$ g/kg, respectively. The concentrations of PAHs measured in a study performed by Suchanová et al. [39] were similar to those found in this study.

A big part of analysed compounds in smoked and unsmoked cheese samples were Phe, Fln, Naph and Ace while the others were present at relatively low levels. The sum of 9 PAHs (Ace, Ant, B[a]A, B[k]F, B[ghi]P, B[a]P, DB[ah]A, Naph, and Pyr) and sum of 5 carcinogenic PAHs (B[a]A, B[k]F, B[ghi]P, B[a]P, DB[ah]A) are presented in Table 1. Results showed that sum of 9 PAHs and 5 carcinogenic PAH concentrations in smoked cheese samples ranged from 8.9 to 25.8  $\mu$ g/kg and from 0.7 to 2.9  $\mu$ g/kg, respectively. The sum of 9 PAHs concentrations in unsmoked cheese samples ranged from 9.9 to  $19.4 \,\mu g/kg$ . The sum of 5 carcinogenic PAHs in unsmoked cheese samples was not detected. Suchanová et al. [40] demonstrated that the sum of 12 PAHs was significantly lower in commercial smoked cheese (2.3 to 57  $\mu$ g/kg) than in home-made cheese (73 to 114  $\mu$ g/kg). Higher contamination may be attributed to the deposition of solid particles (from smoke during the smoking process) containing PAHs on the cheese surface [39]. Additionally, in the traditional smoking treatment, the smoke comes in direct contact with cheese surface due to uncontrolled conditions [40]. In the industrial process, smoke used is purified from hazardous compounds of wood pyrolysis, and controlled production conditions are provided for the duration of cheese smoking [39].

B[*a*]P is the most important among PAHs in all of the food samples because of its toxicity [2,3,41,42]. The B[*a*]P contents of traditional and industrial smoked cheeses were determined as 0.69 and 0.25  $\mu$ g/kg, respectively (*p* < 0.05). The B[*a*]P contents of Diavoletto cheese (a typical Italian smoked cheese) smoked with a mix of wood shavings were 0.1 and 0.28  $\mu$ g/kg in semi-industrial and traditional cheeses, respectively [40]. The B[*a*]P contents determined in both traditional and industrial unsmoked Circassian cheese samples were clearly lower than those of smoked cheese.

The B[*a*]P levels in all cheese samples were lower than the maximum tolerable limits (5  $\mu$ g/kg for smoked meat) set by Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006 dated 19 December 2006 [33]. The concentrations of B[*a*]P reported for similar smoked cheese from Italian, Spanish, and Czech markets were also lower than this limit [32,38–41,43–45].

The method validation parameters are presented in Table 2. The LODs of individual analytes ranged from 0.05–0.10 µg/kg (Table 2). The lowest LOD was found for B[*ghi*]P (0.04 µg/kg), while the other compounds had higher LODs at 0.05–0.10 µg/kg. The linearity test showed that for each compound tested, the R<sup>2</sup> value was greater than or equal to the critical value of 0.9995. The coefficient of variation (CV %) value for all analytes was  $\leq$ 0.9% (Table 3). The highest CV was observed for B[*a*]F (0.9%), while for the other compounds it was in the range of 0.6–0.8%. The LOQ was 0.15 µg/kg. Recovery averaged 94.10% (level of 5.0 µg/kg) and 87.20 (level of 50.0 µg/kg). The example HPLC chromatogram is presented in Figure 1.

Sample Code	Sum of PAH5	Sum of PAH <sub>9</sub>	Naph	Ace	Fln	Phe	Ant	Flt	Pyr	B[a]A	Chr	B[b]F	B[k]F	B[a]P	DB[ah]A	B[ghi]P	I[1,2,3-cd]P
UC-1	ND	9.9	9.1	0.3	1.2	2.1	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.5	ND	ND	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<>	ND	ND	ND
UC-2	ND	19.4	8.8	0.7	1.1	3.2	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.4	ND	ND	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<>	ND	ND	ND
UC-3	ND	13.1	11.9	0.5	1.6	4.1	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.7</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.7</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.7	ND	ND	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<>	ND	ND	ND
UC-4	ND	10.7	10.2	0.2	2.1	3.6	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.3</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.3</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.3	ND	ND	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<>	ND	ND	ND
UC-5	ND	13.4	12.3	0.5	1.1	4.2	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.4	ND	ND	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td></lod<>	ND	ND	ND
SC-1	0.7	8.9	2.1	1.2	5.6	15.4	1.1	2.2	1.1	0.1	0.2	ND	ND	0.6	ND	ND	ND
SC-2	0.9	10.6	3.7	1.1	5.0	11.7	4.3	3.1	1.3	0.2	0.1	ND	ND	0.7	ND	ND	ND
SC-3	0.7	21.9	11.3	5.6	5.3	17.3	3.7	1.3	1.2	0.1	0.3	ND	ND	0.6	ND	ND	ND
SC-4	0.7	11.8	5.1	3.7	10.1	8.6	1.2	1.7	1.7	0.1	0.1	ND	ND	0.6	ND	ND	ND
SC-5	1.3	15.1	9.4	2.3	4.8	8.8	1.7	1.3	1.4	0.2	0.1	ND	ND	0.9	ND	ND	ND
SC-6	1.8	25.8	8.5	8.6	1.1	4.1	4.6	3.7	2.8	1.3	0.5	ND	ND	0.5	ND	ND	ND
SC-7	1.4	19.0	2.1	8.7	1.1	18.2	5.8	3.1	1.3	1.1	0.9	ND	ND	0.3	ND	ND	ND
SC-8	2.2	14.6	1.5	2.7	1.2	7.3	5.6	4.2	3.1	1.7	0.5	ND	ND	0.5	ND	ND	ND
SC-9	2.8	21.2	3.8	2.1	11.8	4.1	8.4	3.4	2.6	2.1	0.5	ND	ND	0.7	ND	ND	ND
SC-10	2.9	16.8	2.9	7.1	4.9	24.5	1.2	5.7	3.4	2.2	0.7	ND	ND	0.7	ND	ND	ND
Mean-UC			10.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.4 <sup>a</sup>	3.4 <sup>a</sup>	-	_	0.5 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
$\pm$ SD			$\pm 0.53$	$\pm 0.11$	$\pm 0.13$	$\pm 0.21$			$\pm 0.11$								
min.			8.8	0.2	1.1	2.1	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
max.			12.3	0.7	2.1	4.3	-	-	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean-SC			5.0 <sup>b</sup>	4.3 <sup>b</sup>	5.1	12.0 <sup>b</sup>	3.8	3.0	3.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.9	0.4	_	_	0.6	_	_	_
$\pm SD$			$\pm 0.54$	$\pm 0.25$	$\pm 0.56$	$\pm 0.64$	$\pm 0.67$	$\pm 0.51$	$\pm 0.63$	$\pm 0.11$	$\pm 0.10$			$\pm 0.13$			
min.			1.5	1.1	1.1	4.1	1.1	1.3	1.1	0.1	0.1	-	-	0.3	-	-	-
max.			11.3	8.7	11.8	24.5	8.4	5.7	3.4	2.2	0.9	-	-	0.9	-	-	-

**Table 1.** PAHs content in reference unsmoked and smoked cheese samples (n = 3; mean value) ( $\mu g/kg$ ).

Explanation: ND–not detected,  $\pm$ SD–standard deviation, <LOD–below the limit of detection (LOD values in Table 2), <sup>a,b</sup>—mean values with the different letter are significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

Analyta	Reco	overy (%)	CV	LOD	LOQ	Linearity R <sup>2</sup>	
Analyte	Level I (5.0 µg/kg)	Level II (50.0 µg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)		
Naph	82.50	90.40	0.7	0.06	0.15	0.9998	
Ace	93.40	83.80	0.8	0.06	0.15	0.9997	
Fln	85.20	85.10	0.7	0.06	0.15	0.9996	
Phe	90.50	80.20	0.6	0.10	0.15	0.9995	
Ant	93.30	88.30	0.7	0.08	0.15	0.9998	
Flt	86.40	87.60	0.8	0.06	0.15	0.9997	
Pyr	92.60	88.50	0.7	0.10	0.15	0.0006	
B[a]A	87.50	90.10	0.7	0.06	0.15	0.9997	
Chr	80.30	92.50	0.6	0.05	0.15	0.9996	
B[b]F	81.60	82.10	0.9	0.08	0.15	0.9996	
<b>B</b> [ <i>k</i> ] <b>F</b>	92.70	84.80	0.6	0.05	0.15	0.9995	
B[a]P	90.10	91.50	0.8	0.05	0.15	0.9997	
DB[ah]A	88.20	90.80	0.7	0.05	0.15	0.9998	
B[ghi]P	90.60	85.10	0.6	0.04	0.15	0.9998	
I[1,2,3-cd]P	84.20	87.60	0.7	0.07	0.15	0.9996	

# **Table 2.** The method validation parameters.

Explanation: LOQ-limit of quantification, LOD-limit of detection (based on a S/N = 3) were determined using PAH standard mixtures in acetonitrile injected directly onto the HPLC column, CV-coefficient of variation.

Sample Code <sup>1</sup>	Category of Cheese Producer/Source	Cheese Commercial Name	Weight Of Single Cheese Package (g)
UC-1		Gouda	250
UC-2		Edam	250
UC-3	Samples collected from	Gouda	150
UC-4	retailed market	Gouda	150
UC-5		Ser królewski	230
SC-1	Industrial	Gouda wędzona	1500
SC-2	Industrial	Salami wędzone	1000
SC-3	Industrial	Rolada ustrzycka	500
SC-4	Industrial	Salami królewskie	500
SC-5		Gouda wędzona	250
SC-6		Zakopiańskie specjały–mini gołka zakopiańska	160
SC-7	Samples collected from	Rolada ustrzycka	300
SC-8	retailed market	Radamer wędzony	250
SC-9		Włoszczowski wędzony	250
SC-10		Ser królewski wędzony	200

Table 3. The origin of smoked and unsmoked cheese samples examined in the present study.

<sup>1</sup> Sample code: UC-unsmoked cheeses, SC-smoked cheeses.



**Figure 1.** An example HPLC chromatogram showing the order of PAHs elution. Elution order: Nat, Ace, Fln, Phe, Ant, Flt, Pyr, B[*a*]A, Chr, B[*b*]F, B[*k*]F, B[*a*]P, DB[*ah*]A, B[ghi]P, I [1,2,3-*cd*]P. The sample was a calibration standard with a concentration of 50 ng/mL of each target PAH analyte.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

# 3.1. Cheese Samples

For this study, the materials were commercial smoked (n = 10) and unsmoked (n = 5) cheese samples produced with cow milk. Smoked and unsmoked cheese samples were randomly acquired in a local market or industrial source (Table 3). The samples came from various Polish producers: SM Mlekpol (samples Nos. SC 1–4, Grajewo, Poland), Hochland Polska Ltd., (sample No. SC-5, Węgrów, Poland), SM Mlekovita (samples Nos. SC 6–7, Wysokie Mazowieckie, Poland), SM Spomlek (sample No. SC-8, Radzyń Podlaski, Poland), OSM Włoszczowa (sample No. SC-9, Włoszczowa, Poland) and OSM Sierpc (sample No. SC-10, Sierpc, Poland). The unsmoked cheese products (sample Nos. UC 1–5) obtained from the common market were used as the reference samples. When supplied, samples were stored at -18 °C for short duration until analysis.

## 3.2. Chemicals and Reagents

The standards of PAHs were purchased from Sigma (Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). Water was purified with a Milli-Q ultra-pure water system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) with high resistivity (>18.0 M·cm) throughout the experiments. Acetonitrile, cyclohexane, dichloromethane, potassium hydroxide, ethanol and methanol (all of HPLC grade, 99–99.9%) were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Solid-phase extraction (SPE) was performed with Discovery<sup>®</sup> DSC-18 (silica 500 mg/3 mL) cartridges obtained from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). Mobile phase was filtered through a Millipore 0.22  $\mu$ m membrane filter before use.

## 3.3. PAHs Standards

The PAHs standards used were the following: a commercial mixture of PAHs standards–PAHs Calibration Mix (*Trace*CERT<sup>®</sup>, certified reference material, 10 µg/mL each component in acetonitrile from Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA), containing 16 priority PAHs: acenaphthene (Ace), fluorene (Fln), anthracene (Ant), pyrene (Pyr), benz[*a*]anthracene (B[*a*]A), chrysene (Chr), benzo[*b*]fluoranthene (B[*b*]F), benzo[*k*]fluoranthene (B[*k*]F), benzo[*a*]pyrene (B[*a*]P), indeno [1,2,3-*cd*]pyrene (I [1,2,3-*cd*]P), dibenz[*ah*]anthracene (DB[*ah*]A), benzo[*ghi*]perylene (B[*ghi*]P), phenanthrene (Phe), fluoranthene (Flt), naphthalene (Naph). This Certified Reference Material (CRM) was produced and certified in accordance with ISO 17034 [46] and ISO/IEC 17025 [47].

Working standard solutions (concentrations in the range 0.01, 0.1, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, 50.0 and 70.0 ng/mL) were prepared in acetonitrile and stored at -20 °C. Before use, all glassware was washed with detergent, rinsed with distilled water and acetone and then dried at 220 °C. All the above-mentioned standards were used in the identification and quantification of the PAHs present in the samples.

#### 3.4. Determination of PAHs Content

# 3.4.1. Extraction and Clean-Up

PAHs were measured by HPLC-FLD method according to Anastasio et al. [35] with some modifications. Cheese samples were prepared after a cleaning procedure. For this purpose, essentially, 1 g cheese sample after homogenization was weighed into 50 mL teflon centrifuge tubes, and 5 mL of 1 M KOH ethanolic solution was added and then placed for 2 h in a water bath at 80 °C. After cooling to room temperature, 5 mL of distilled water and 10 mL of cyclohexane were added, and the mixture was vortexed for 10 min. After centrifugation at 4000 g for 15 min, the supernatant layer was re-extracted with 10 mL of cyclohexane as previously described. The two cyclohexane phases were collected in a volumetric flask and concentrated by a rotary vacuum evaporator at 40 °C, followed by drying of the extract under a nitrogen stream. The residue was dissolved in 2 mL of acetonitrile, which was applied to an SPE Discovery<sup>®</sup> DSC-18 cartridge. The clean-up method used in this study was based on the method described by Wegrzyn et al. [36]. The SPE cartridge was previously activated by the passage of 10 mL of ultrapure water and 10 mL of methanol. The cartridge was then dried. After loading the 2 mL of eluate, the cartridge was left to dry by air for 1 min, and PAHs were eluted with 10 mL of dichloromethane. Prior to HPLC analysis, the collected eluate was evaporated to near dryness in a water bath (40 °C) under a nitrogen stream. Finally, the PAHs fraction was diluted with 1.5 mL acetonitrile before the HPLC-DAD-FLD determinative step, and the solution was filtered using a 0.45 µm membrane syringe filter. This solution was transferred into a 2 mL amber vial.

#### 3.4.2. Chromatographic Analysis

The extracts of PAHs were analysed using an HPLC system from Shimadzu LC-10A (Kyoto, Japan), consisting of a fluorescence detector (FLD) RF-10XL, a diode array detector (DAD) SPD-M20A, quaternary pump LC-20AT, degassing device DGU-20A, col-umn oven CTO-10A and auto-injector SIL-10A. The HPLC column was a Supelcosil<sup>®</sup> LC-PAH (250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.; 5  $\mu$ m) column with the guard column Supelcosil<sup>®</sup> LC-18 (20 mm × 4.0 mm i.d., 5  $\mu$ m; Supelco, Bellefonte, USA) to protect the analytical column that was used for the analysis of sample extracts. The HPLC conditions were the following: gradient elution (mobile phase: 0 min–55% acetonitrile +45% water, 20 min–100% acetonitrile, 30.1 min–55% acetonitrile) with a 1 mL/min flow rate. The monitoring of PAHs was performed by using a FLD detector (excitation/emission wavelength in nm) with the following FLD settings for the detection of PAHs and a diode array detector (DAD).

The excitation and emission wavelengths were selected based on available literature. The separation parameters are shown in Table 4.

<b>Chromatografic Condition</b>					
Parameter	Value				
Analytical column	Supelcosil <sup>®</sup> LC-PAH (250 mm $\times$ 4.6 mm i.d.; 5 µm) column with the guard column Supelcosil <sup>®</sup> LC-18 (20 mm $\times$ 4.0 mm i.d., 5 µm; Supelco)				
Mobile phase/Gradient	(A) Water; (B) Acetonitrile;				
	0 min—55% B at 1 mL/min 5 min–55% B20 min–100% B 30 min–100% B 30.1 min–55% B				
Injection volume	50 $\mu$ L, needle washed for 3 s with acetonitrile				
Temperature of the column	35 °C				
Diode Array Detector (DAD)	254 nm, band width 4 nm, reference 400 nm, reference band width 100 nm, 10 Hz				
Fluorescence Detector (FLD)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Multisignal acquisition, T1 = 216/336 for 7.1-10.7 min} \\ (Naph); T2 = 240/320 for 10.7-11.1 min (Ace, Fln); \\ T3 = 248/368 for 11.1-12.2 min (Phe); T4 = 248/404 for \\ 12.2-13.2 min (Ant); T5 = 232/448 for 13.2-14.3 min \\ (Flt); T6 = 270/388 for 14.3-16.0 min (Pyr); \\ T7 = 270/388 for 16.0-19.3 min (B[a]A, Chr); \\ T8 = 250/430 for 19.3-23.6 min (B[b]F, B[a]P); \\ T9 = 295/405 for 23.6-25.8 min (DB[ah]A, B[ghi]P); \\ T10 = 248/484 for 25.8-30.5 min (I [1,2,3-cd]P;19.45 Hz; \\ PMT 10 \end{array}$				

Table 4. Separation parameters of HPLC-DAD-FLD.

PAHs standard were injected into HPLC prior to any cheese sample (50  $\mu$ L) injections. The PAHs profile in the sample was identified and quantified by the software program LC Solution (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) by using the amount and peak area of standards and peak area of PAHs in the cheese sample, and the amount of PAHs in a cheese sample was estimated. The average amount of PAHs in all cheese samples was obtained and compared with the Polish standards. The external standard method was used for quantitative analysis. The quantitative and qualitative interpretation of the obtained chromatograms was carried out on the basis of the comparison of the retention time and the size of the area of PAHs peaks in standard samples of known concentration, retention time, and the size of the analyte peak area in the test samples.

#### 3.4.3. Recovery Studies

The HPLC-DAD-FLD method for the quantification of PAHs was validated for parameters such as linearity, limit of quantification (LOQ), limit of detection (LOD) and recovery. Linearity was determined by regression analysis, where calibration curves for individual PAHs were constructed by plotting the average peak area against concentration and generating a regression equation. The limits of LOD and LOQ were defined as the lowest concentration of the sample determined by the analytical method to obtain signal-to-noise ratios of 3:1 and 10:1, respectively. LOD and LOQ were calculated using the following formulas: LOD = Cm + 3SD and LOQ = Cm + 6SD, where Cm is the mean value of the PAH concentration in the blank, and SD is the standard deviation. The measurement procedure included preparation and analysis of blank samples with unknown PAH concentrations and two samples containing calibration solutions (5.00 and 50.00  $\mu$ g/kg). The spiked and unspiked samples were analyzed under the same conditions to prevent matrix effects on

peak positions on the chromatogram, as well as to evaluate the percentage recovery of PAHs. Results were expressed in micrograms per kilogram of cheese ( $\mu$ g/kg). Recovery values were determined by performing the entire analytical procedure for the determination of PAHs in smoked and unsmoked cheese samples labeled with a mixture of PAH standards at concentrations of 5.00  $\mu$ g/kg and 50.00  $\mu$ g/kg in triplicate. Based on the results, recovery values were calculated.

## 3.4.4. Data Analysis

All analyses were conducted in triplicate and the data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Duncan test for comparison of means. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 12.5 PL software (StatSoft, Kraków, Poland). All calculations were performed at a  $p \leq 0.05$  significance level.

#### 4. Conclusions

This research paper highlights one of the major contaminants found in food processing, namely PAHs, which have been under monitoring for years. The ever-increasing number of articles on the presence of PAHs in food has attracted worldwide attention due to their ubiquity and the resulting health risks that can result from ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact with PAHs. Using HPLC-DAD-FLD, the presence of PAHs was confirmed in samples of smoked and non-smoked cheeses that raise health concerns. The cheeses contained trace amounts of benzo[a]pyrene. The low levels of benzo[a]pyrene and the sum of benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[a]anthracene, benzo[b]fluoranthene and chrysene in the analyzed cheeses were most likely due to mild smoking in warm smoke. This type of research is necessary to know the magnitude of harmful compounds that may be present in the tested products, which are popularly consumed by humans. The lack of clear regulations for smoked cheeses is necessary due to the mutagenic and carcinogenic potential of these compounds, and to ensure that the PAH content in food is maintained at a level that does not threaten the health of consumers.

**Author Contributions:** M.P.-Ś.: Writing–Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Visualization, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Resources. B.P.: Writing–review & editing, Formal analysis. M.Ś.: Visualization, Resources. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Project financially supported by the Minister of Education and Science under the program entitled "Regional Initiative of Excellence" for the years 2019–2023, Project No. 010/RID/2018/19, amount of funding 12.000.000 PLN.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Boffetta, P.; Jourenkova, N.; Gustavsson, P. Cancer risk from occupational and environmental exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Cancer Causes Control.* 1997, 8, 444–472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). Some traditional herbal medicines, some mycotoxins, naphthalene and styrene. In *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans;* IARC: Lyon, France, 2002; Volume 82.
- 3. IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). Some non-heterocyclic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and some related exposures. In *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans*; IARC: Lyon, France, 2010; Volume 92.
- 4. Aygun, F.S.; Kabadayi, F. Determination of benzo[*a*]pyrene in charcoal grilled meat samples by HPLC with fluorescence detection. *Inter. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2005**, *56*, 581–585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 5. Ciecierska, M.; Obiedzinski, M.W. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in infant formulae, follow-on formulae and baby foods available in the Polish market. *Food Control.* **2010**, *21*, 1166–1172. [CrossRef]
- Ishizaki, A.; Saito, K.; Hanioka, N.; Narimatsu, S.; Kataoka, H. Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in food samples by automated on-line in-tube solid-phase microextraction coupled with high-performance liquid chromatography-fluorescence detection. J. Chromatogr. A 2010, 1217, 5555–5563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Adeyeye, S.A.O. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in foods: A critical review. Cur. Nutr. Food. Sci. 2020, 16, 866–873. [CrossRef]
- Bansal, V.; Kumar, P.; Kwon, E.E.; Kim, K.H. Review of the quantification techniques for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in food products. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2017, 57, 3297–3312. [CrossRef]
- SCF (Scientific Committee on Food); European Commission. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on the Risks to Human Health of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Food; Report SCF/CS/CNTM/PAH/29 Final 4 December 2002; European Commission: Brussels, Belgium, 4 December 2002.
- Guillén, M.D.; Palencia, G.; Ibargoitia, M.L.; Fresno, M.; Sopela, P. Contamination of cheese by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in traditional smoking. Influence of the position in the smokehouse on the contamination level of smoked cheese. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2011, 94, 1679–1690. [CrossRef]
- 11. EC European Commission. Commission Recommendation 2005/108/EC of 4 February 2005 on the further investigation into the levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in certain foods. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **2005**, *L34*, 43–45.
- 12. EC European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) No 208/2005 of 4 February 2005 amending Regulation (EC) No 466/2001 as regards polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **2005**, *L34*, 3–5.
- 13. EFSA. European Food Safety Authority. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in food-scientific opinion of the panel on contaminants in the food chain (Question N° EFSA-Q-2007-136). *EFSA J.* **2008**, *6*, 724.
- 14. Wu, P.; Zhang, L.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, N.; Wang, L.; Zhao, Y. Contamination of 15+1 European Union polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in various types of tea and their infusions purchased on Hangzhou city market in China. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* **2020**, *37*, 1621–1632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Yebra-Pimentel, I.; Fernandez-Gonzalez, R.; Martinez-Carballo, E.; Simal-Gandara, J. A critical review about the health risk assessment of PAHs and their metabolites in foods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2015**, *55*, 1383–1405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. EC European Commission. Commission Regulation (EU) No 835/2011 of 19 August 2011 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in foodstuffs. *Off. J. Eur. Union L* 2011, 215, 4–8.
- 17. Pluta-Kubica, A.; Filipczak-Fiutak, M.; Domagała, J.; Duda, I.; Migdał, W. Contamination of traditionally smoked cheeses with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and biogenic amines. *Food Control.* **2020**, *112*, 107–115. [CrossRef]
- 18. Amirdivani, S.; Khorshidian, N.; Ghobadi Dana, M.; Mohammadi, R.; Mortazavian, A.M.; Quiterio de Souza, S.L.; Barbosa Rocha, H.; Raices, R. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in milk and dairy products. *Int. J. Dairy Technol.* **2019**, *72*, 120–131. [CrossRef]
- Camargo, M.C.; Toledo, M.C. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Brazilian vegetables and fruits? *Food Control.* 2003, 14, 49–53. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, D.; Wang, G.; Li, L.; Wang, X.; Li, W.; Li, X. Occurrence, dietary exposure, and health risk estimation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in grilled and fried meats in Shandong of China. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2018, 6, 2431–2439. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ledesma, E.; Rendueles, M.; Díaz, M. Contamination of meat products during smoking by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Processes and prevention. *Food Control.* **2016**, *60*, 64–87. [CrossRef]
- Olatunji, O.S.; Fatoki, O.S.; Opeolu, B.O.; Ximba, B.J. Benzo[*a*]pyrene and Benzo[*k*]fluoranthene in some processed fish and fish products. *Int. J. Environ Res. Public Health* 2015, 12, 940–951. [CrossRef]
- 23. Li, G.; Wu, S.M.; Wang, L.; Akoh, C.C. Concentration, dietary exposure and health risk estimation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in youtiao, a Chinese traditional fried food. *Food Control.* **2016**, *59*, 328–336. [CrossRef]
- Orecchio, S.; Papuzza, V. Levels, fingerprint and daily intake of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in bread baked using wood as fuel. J. Hazard Mater. 2009, 164, 876–883. [PubMed]
- Ciecierska, M.; Obiedziński, M.W. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in vegetable oils from unconventional sources. *Food Control.* 2013, 30, 556–562. [CrossRef]
- Hyunjeong, J.; Byungjoo, K.; Jeongkwon, K.; Song-Yee, B. Development of candidate reference method for accurate determination of four polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in olive oil via gas chromatography/high-resolution mass spectrometry using 13Clabeled internal standards. *Food Chem.* 2020, 309, 125–139.
- Rojo Camargo, M.C.; Ramos, A.P.; Vicente, E. Evaluation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons content in different stages of soybean oils processing. *Food Chem.* 2012, 135, 937–942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tfouni, S.A.V.; Padovani, G.R.; Reis, R.M.; Furlani, R.P.Z.; Camargo, M.C.R. Incidence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in vegetable oil blends. *Food Control.* 2014, 46, 539–543. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Y.; Wu, S.; Gong, G. Trends of research on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in food: A 20-year perspective from 1997 to 2017. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, 83, 86–98. [CrossRef]
- Guillén, M.D.; Sopelana, P. Occurrence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in smoked cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 2004, 87, 556–564. [CrossRef]
- 31. Guillén, M.D.; Palencia, G.; Sopelana, P.; Ibargoitia, M.L. Occurrence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in artisanal Palmero cheese smoked with two types of vegetable matter. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2007, *90*, 2717–2725. [CrossRef]

- 32. Migdał, W.; Walczycka, M.; Migdał, Ł. The Levels of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Traditionally Smoked Cheeses in Poland. *Polycycl. Aromat. Comp.* **2022**, *42*, 1391–1403.
- EC Commission Regulation. No. 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Off. J. Eur. Union. 2006, L364, 5.
- EC European Commission. Commission Regulation (EU) No 1933/2015 of 27 October 2015 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in cocoa fibre, banana chips, food supplements, dried herbs and dried spices. Off. J. Eur. Union L 2015, 282, 11–13.
- 35. Anastasio, A.; Mercogliano, R.; Vollano, L.; Pepe, T.; Cortesi, M.L. Levels of benzo[*a*]pyrene (BaP) in "Mozzarella di Bufala Campana" cheese smoked according to different procedures. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 4452–4455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Węgrzyn, E.; Grześkiewicz, S.; Popławska, W.; Głód, B.K. Modified analytical method for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, using SEC for sample preparation and RP-HPLC with fluorescence detection. Application to different food samples. *Acta Chromatogr.* 2006, 17, 233–249.
- 37. Garcia Falcon, S.M.; Gonzalez Amigo, S.G.; Lage Yusty, M.A.; Lopez de Alda Villaizan, M.J.; Simal Lozano, J. Enrichment of benzo[*a*]pyrene in smoked food products and determination by high-performance liquid chromatography-fluorescence detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1996**, *753*, 207–215. [CrossRef]
- Gul, O.; Dervişoğlu, M.; Mortaş, M.; Aydemir, O.; İlhan, E.; Cakiroglu, K. Evaluation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Circassian cheese by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J. Food Compost. Anal.* 2015, 37, 82–86. [CrossRef]
- 39. Suchanová, M.; Hajšlová, J.; Tomaniová, M.; Kocourek, V.; Babička, L. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in smoked cheese. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2008**, *88*, 1307–1317. [CrossRef]
- 40. Pagliuca, G.; Gazzotti, T.; Zironi, E.; Serrazanetti, G.P.; Mollica, D.; Rosmini, R. Determination of high molecular mass polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a typical Italian smoked cheese by HPLC-FLD. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 5111–5115. [CrossRef]
- 41. Bukowska, B.; Mokra, K.; Michałowicz, J. Benzo[*a*]pyrene—Environmental Occurrence, Human Exposure, and Mechanisms of Toxicity. *Inter. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 6348. [CrossRef]
- Świt, P.; Orzeł, J.; Maślanka, S. Monitoring of PAHs in simulated natural and artificial fires by HPLC-DAD-FLD with the application of Multi-Component Integrated Calibration Method toimprove quality of analytical results. *Measure* 2022, 196, 111242. [CrossRef]
- 43. De Martin, S.; Matcovich, P.; Nanut, D.; Zulian, S.; Siardi, V. Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in smoked foods. *Boll. Chim. Igien. Parte Sci.* **1998**, *49*, 177–181.
- 44. Michalski, R.; Germuska, R. The content of benzo[a]pyrene in Slovakian smoked cheese. Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci. 2003, 12, 33–37.
- 45. Naccari, C.; Cristani, M.; Licata, P.; Giofre, F.; Trombetta, D. Levels of benzo[*a*]pyrene and benzo[*a*]anthracene in smoked "Provola" cheese from Calabria (Italy). *Food Addit. Contam. Part B* **2008**, *1*, 78–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. ISO 17034:2016; General Requirements for the Competence of Reference Material Producers. ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
- 47. ISO/IEC 17025:2017; General Requiment for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories. ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.