### SHORT COMMUNICATION

# Neoadjuvant Biologic Therapy in the Surgical Management of Patients with Hidradenitis Suppurativa: A Cohort Study

Luis SALVADOR-RODRIGUEZ<sup>1,2</sup>, Carlos CUENCA-BARRALES<sup>3</sup>, Salvador ARIAS-SANTIAGO<sup>1,2</sup> and Alejandro MOLINA-LEYVA<sup>1,2,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinic, Dermatology, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, Ibs Granada, <sup>3</sup>Dermatology Department, Hospital Universitario San Cecilio, Granada, Spain, <sup>4</sup>Dermatology Department, Granada School of Medicine, Granada University and <sup>5</sup>European Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundation (EHSF), Dessau-Roßlau, Germany. E-mail: salvadorarias@ugr.es Accepted Aug 13, 2020; Epub ahead of print Aug 19, 2020

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic skin disease characterized by recurrent flares of nodules, abscesses and fistulae, predominantly in the apocrine gland-bearing areas. These symptoms progress to scarring if not adequately treated, leading to functional limitations (1). Disease management is challenging, the 2 mainstays of treatment being biologic drugs and surgery. However, scientific evidence regarding the combined use of both therapies is scarce and is limited to a few studies (2–4). A clinical trial (SHARPS Study) is in progress on the safety and efficacy of peri-surgically administered adalimumab, but the results are not yet available (5).

The objectives of this study were: to assess the clinical features of patients with HS undergoing surgery with neoadjuvant biologic treatment; to explore the complications of this combined approach; and to compare recurrence rates at 24 weeks after surgery between patients on concomitant biologic treatment and those who are not on biologic treatment.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS (see Appendix S1<sup>1</sup>)

#### **RESULTS**

The study included 59 patients: 21 in the biologic cohort (17 patients on adalimumab 40 mg weekly, 2 patients on ustekinumab 90 mg every 12 weeks and 2 patients on infliximab 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks) and 38 patients in the non-biologic cohort. Baseline characteristics of the groups prior to surgery are shown in Table SI¹. Structural and inflammatory disease features were more severe and there was a longer history of the disease and higher proportion of males in the biologic vs non-biologic cohort. Antibiotic use was more frequent among the non-biologic cohort.

Data on post-surgical complications are shown in Table SII¹. Only one case of post-surgical infection was detected in the biological cohort and none in the surgery-only cohort, and there was no between-group difference in post-surgical pain score. The risk of a bleeding emergency was higher and the time to complete healing was longer in the biologic cohort. Multivariate logistic regression analysis found no increased risk of bleeding due to the presence of the biological drug, but showed an association with younger age, Hurley stage III, and a trend toward statistical significance of male sex. Biologic treatment

and the size of the excised area were both associated with a longer time to complete healing.

Although a lower surgical recurrence rate was observed in the biologic cohort, the difference did not reach statistical significance, as shown in Table SII<sup>1</sup>. However, a difference was found in recurrences, which were mainly abscesses and inflammatory nodules in the biologic cohort vs abscesses and draining tunnels in the non-biologic cohort.

#### **DISCUSSION**

This prospective study compared outcomes and adverse effects between HS treatment by a combination of surgery with neoadjuvant biologic treatment and by surgery alone. Only one case of post-surgical wound infection was observed in the biologic cohort, and there was no difference between the 2 groups in post-surgical pain or bad odour episodes. The patients receiving both surgery and biologic treatment showed a longer time to healing and more frequent bleeding emergencies, although multivariate analysis revealed that the latter were probably not influenced by the biologic treatment. Recurrences involved less severe lesions in the combined therapy cohort, and the recurrence rate at 24 weeks was lower, although this difference did not reach statistical significance.

The patients assigned to the biologic cohort had a longer history of the disease, which was more severe, with greater structural damage and increased inflammatory load. They were also predominantly male, which is associated with greater disease severity (6). In addition, the surface area excised was larger than in the cohort receiving surgery alone, and although the difference was not statistically significant, a similar finding has been reported previously (3, 4) and reflects the more extensive surgery required for Hurley stage III disease. Patients with Hurley stage III have more severe disease and are more likely to receive biologic treatment.

This study found a statistically significant difference in the pre-surgical antibiotic treatment between the 2 cohorts, with a higher prevalence in the non-biological cohort. This is due to the fact that this antibiotic therapy represents the main systemic anti-inflammatory treatment for these patients. No differences in the post-surgical use of antibiotics were found between the 2 groups.

Only one case of post-surgical wound infection was observed among both cohorts, similar to previous findings of virtually no cases of infection after surgery and with no increased risk in patients receiving adjuvant biologic

¹https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-3616

treatment (4). The more frequent bleeding emergencies in those receiving adjuvant biologic therapy may be attributable to various factors: the higher prevalence of Hurley stage III, which requires more extensive surgery; their younger age, implying less rigorous adherence to resting recommendations; and the predominance of the male sex, associated with more severe forms of the disease needing more complex surgery.

A longer time to complete healing in the biologic cohort was reported previously by Prens et al., who also observed a significant association between healing time and the surface area of the wound (3). Besides their larger wounds, the majority of patients in the present biologic cohort and that studied by Prens et al. were treated with tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors. TNF alpha is one of the cytokines involved in stimulating connective tissue production (7, 8), and its inhibition would contribute to a delay in wound closure.

Despite the more severe disease in patients in the biologic cohort, recurrences were milder (abscesses, inflammatory nodules) than in those receiving surgery alone (abscesses, draining tunnels), and the rate of recurrence tended to be lower in the biologic cohort. These results are in line with the findings of DeFazio et al. (4) on the synergistic effect of surgery and biologic therapy in the control of HS.

The limitations of this study include the small sample size and the aforementioned differences between groups inherent to the observational nature of the study. Based on the results of previous studies, sample size was calculated to detect a larger difference in the proportion of recurrences (4). Despite this limitation, we believe that the results of the current study show a clear trend towards statistical significance and are clinically meaningful. They are applicable in the daily clinical practice of patients with HS and could serve as a basis to design future studies on this field. The follow-up period was also limited, although the median time to recurrence has been reported to be 6 months (9), and our objective was to compare differences in the proportion of recurrences between the cohorts, not to assess their incidence.

Study strengths include the protocolized treatment procedure and the application in all patients of excision with secondary intention healing, which is associated with a lower recurrence rate, improved functionality, and greater patient satisfaction (10, 11). All patients in the biologic cohort were in the maintenance phase of their treatment, which was not interrupted (12–14). DeFazio et al. (4) closed wounds after the presence of infection had been ruled out, delaying the biologic therapy until 2 weeks post-surgery, while Prens et al. (3) discontinued the biologic treatment one week before surgery. Finally, this observational study was carried out in a real-life clinical setting, including patients with very severe disease and/or comorbidities who might be excluded from a clinical trial.

In conclusion, surgery in combination with biologic treatment appears to be a safe approach to the management of patients with moderate-to-severe HS, with a similarly low rate and severity of adverse effects to those observed

with surgery alone. There is no need to discontinue drug treatment before the procedure, and there is no increase in the frequency of recurrences, which are less severe than in surgery alone. However, surgery in combination with biologic treatment may be associated with a longer time to complete wound healing compared with surgery alone.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank Richard Davies for editing the English language in our paper.

The results of this study form part of the PhD thesis of LS-R.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Jemec GB. Clinical practice. Hidradenitis suppurativa. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 158–164.
- Shanmugam VK, Mulani S, McNish S, Harris S, Buescher T, Amdur R. Longitudinal observational study of hidradenitis suppurativa: impact of surgical intervention with adjunctive biologic therapy. Int J Dermatol 2018; 57: 62–69.
- Prens LM, Huizinga J, Janse IC, Horvath B. Surgical outcomes and the impact of major surgery on quality of life, activity impairment and sexual health in hidradenitis suppurativa patients: a prospective single centre study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2019; 33: 1941–1946.
- 4. DeFazio MV, Economides JM, King KS, Han KD, Shanmugam VK, Attinger CE, et al. Outcomes after combined radical resection and targeted biologic therapy for the management of recalcitrant hidradenitis suppurativa. Ann Plast Surg 2016; 77: 217–222.
- Nct (2016) Safety and efficacy of Humira (adalimumab) for hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) peri-surgically (SHARPS Study) (SHARPS). (accessed on February 16, 2020) Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02808975.
- Schrader AM, Deckers IE, van der Zee HH, Boer J, Prens EP. Hidradenitis suppurativa: a retrospective study of 846 Dutch patients to identify factors associated with disease severity. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014; 71: 460–467.
- 7. Kovacs EJ. Fibrogenic cytokines: the role of immune mediators in the development of scar tissue. Immunol Today 1991; 12: 17–23.
- 8. Sporn MB, Roberts AB. Peptide growth factors are multifunctional. Nature 1988; 332: 217–219.
- Deckers IE, Dahi Y, van der Zee HH, Prens EP. Hidradenitis suppurativa treated with wide excision and second intention healing: a meaningful local cure rate after 253 procedures. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2018; 32: 459–462.
- Mehdizadeh A, Hazen PG, Bechara FG, Zwingerman N, Moazenzadeh M, Bashash M, et al. Recurrence of hidradenitis suppurativa after surgical management: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol 2015; 73: S70–S77.
- Kohorst JJ, Baum CL, Otley CC, Roenigk RK, Schenck LA, Pemberton JH, et al. Surgical management of hidradenitis suppurativa: outcomes of 590 consecutive patients. Dermatol Surg 2016; 42: 1030–1040.
- Zouboulis CC, Desai N, Emtestam L, Hunger RE, Ioannides D, Juhász I, et al. European S1 guideline for the treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015; 29: 619-644.
- Alikhan A, Sayed C, Alavi A, Alhusayen R, Brassard A, Burkhart C, et al. North American clinical management guidelines for hidradenitis suppurativa: a publication from the United States and Canadian Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundations: Part II: Topical, intralesional, and systemic medical management. J Am Acad Dermatol 2019; 81: 91–101.
- 14. Zouboulis CC, Bechara FG, Dickinson-Blok JL, Gulliver W, Horváth B, Hughes R, et al. Hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa: a practical framework for treatment optimization systematic review and recommendations from the HS ALLIANCE working group. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2019; 33: 19–31.