In vitro antibiotic activity against intraosteoblastic Staphylococcus aureus: a narrative review of the literature

Florian C. Marro^{1,2,3}*†, Lélia Abad^{1,3,4}†, Ariel J. Blocker², Frédéric Laurent^{1,3,4,5}, Jérôme Josse^{1,3,5}‡ and Florent Valour^{1,3,5,6}‡

¹CIRI—Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, Inserm, U1111, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR5308, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Univ Lyon, F-69007 Lyon, France; ²Evotec ID Lyon, In Vitro Biology, Infectious Diseases and Antibacterials Unit, Gerland, 69007 Lyon, France; ³Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France; ⁴Laboratoire de bactériologie, Institut des Agents Infectieux, French National Reference Center for Staphylococci, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France; ⁵Centre de Référence pour la prise en charge des Infections ostéo-articulaires complexes (CRIOAc) Lyon, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France; ⁶Service des maladies infectieuses et tropicales, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

> *Corresponding author. E-mail: florian.marro@evotec.com †These authors contributed equally to this work. ‡These authors contributed equally to this work.

Staphylococcus aureus – a major aetiological agent of bone and joint infection (BJI) – is associated with a high risk of relapse and chronicity, in part due to its ability to invade and persist in non-professional phagocytic bone cells such as osteoblasts. This intracellular reservoir protects *S. aureus* from the action of the immune system and most antibiotics. To date, the choice of antimicrobial strategies for BJI treatment mostly relies on standard susceptibility testing, bone penetration of antibiotics and their 'antibiofilm' activity. Despite the role of intracellular persistent *S. aureus* in the development of chronic infection, the ability of antibiotics to target the *S. aureus* intraosteoblastic reservoir is not considered in therapeutic choices but might represent a key determinant of treatment outcome. This review provides an overview of the intracellular pharmacokinetics of antistaphylococcal drugs used in the treatment of BJI and of their ability to target intraosteoblastic *S. aureus*. Thirteen studies focus-ing on the intraosteoblastic activity of antibiotics against *S. aureus* were reviewed, all relying on *in vitro* models of osteoblast infection. Despite varying incubation times, multiplicities of infection, bacterial strains, and the types of infected cell lines, rifamycins and fluoroquinolones remain the two most potent antimicrobial classes for intraosteoblastic *S. aureus* eradication, consistent with clinical data showing a superiority of this combination therapy in *S. aureus* orthopaedic device-related infections.

Introduction

Bone joint infections (BJI) are polymorphic infections, ranging from native joint septic arthritis to difficult-to-treat chronic osteomyelitis and orthopaedic device-related infections. Apart from the risk of functional sequelae, a major concern are chronic and/or device-associated infections, resulting in a rate of relapse approaching 20% despite complex and costly medical and surgical management.^{1–3} Implicated in up to 30% of cases,^{4,5} *Staphylococcus aureus* is associated with particularly difficult-to-treat BJI, due to persistent phenotypes facilitating chronic infection and relapse.⁶ Among these, internalization and persistence in non-professional phagocytic cells such as osteoblasts represent a well-described interaction of *S. aureus* with its human host.^{6,7} After an active cellular process controlled by the actin cytoskeleton, bacteria are found in an endosome from which they can escape before lysosomal fusion or survive within the phagolysosome,^{8–14} and multiply in the

cytoplasm or vacuoles.^{12,14,15} *S. aureus* infection can also induce osteoblast death, due to intracellular expression of virulence factors such phenol-soluble modulins (PSMs) or protein A.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In addition to participating in bone destruction, cell lysis allows bacterial release into the extracellular medium, and thus the infection of new host cells and persistence of the infection.^{10,13} Additionally, *S. aureus* phenotype switching to small colony variants (SCVs) and persistence due to their reduced virulence, have been observed intracellularly.^{9,19,20}

This intracellular reservoir allows bacteria to escape the action of the host's immune system and most antibiotics. Consequently, the intrinsic antistaphylococcal activity, antibiofilm efficacy and bone/joint penetration of antimicrobials might not be sufficient to predict their efficacy in BJI: their ability to eradicate the intracellular bacterial reservoirs could represent an essential determinant of treatment outcome. After the description of intracellular

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com pharmacokinetics parameters, we present a review of the *in vitro* intraosteoblastic activities of antibiotics against *S. aureus*.

The in vitro model of osteoblast infection

Antibiotic activity against intraosteoblastic S. aureus has mostly been studied using a conserved lysostaphin/gentamicin protection assay presented in Figure 1. The specific details of the co-culture model design vary slightly among the different studies reviewed. Hence, each step is subject to adaptations that might influence the recorded results (Figure 1, Table 1). Briefly, confluent eukaryotic cells (mostly human osteoblastic primary cells or osteoblastic cell lines) are infected with S. aureus (mostly MSSA laboratory strains) at a defined inoculum [multiplicity of infection (moi) ranging from 0.5-500 bacteria per cell. 100:1 being the most frequent]. After a variable co-incubation time allowing bacterial adhesion and internalization, a non-permeant drug—either gentamicin or lysostaphin-is added to the culture medium to exclusively kill the remaining extracellular bacteria. Extracellular pressure with lysostaphin or gentamicin can then be maintained to prevent bacteria released by cell lysis infecting neighbouring cells.¹⁰ Tested antibiotics are then added into the culture medium for a determined period. Time before treatment—defining 'acute' (early treatment after cell infection) or 'chronic' (treatment delayed for several days) infection models—is an important parameter, as bacterial wall modifications after long-term intracellular persistence can affect antimicrobial efficacy.²¹ Importantly, the tested doses are mostly multiples of the MIC to allow comparisons, or the clinical bone concentration, but cellular pharmacokinetic/

pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) assessments are lacking (except in one study²²), limiting interpretation of results. Finally, remaining intracellular bacteria are numerated by plating cell lysates, and compared with untreated infected cells. Of note, this technique cannot account for viable but non-growing bacteria, despite the phenotypical heterogeneity of the surviving intracellular bacterial population.^{23,24} To overcome this limitation, flow cytometry or microscopy assays have been proposed. Furthermore, monitoring the delay in appearance and the size of colonies helps to evaluate the ratio of SCV in the surviving population.²⁵⁻²⁷ Infection-induced cytotoxicity can also be recorded by MTT reduction, propidium iodide incorporation or lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)-release assays.^{26,28-31} One study additionally investigated the impact of antimicrobials on intraosteoblastic PSM secretion.³¹

Pharmacokinetics and intraosteoblastic activity of antistaphylococcal antibiotics

Knowing both the intraosseous and intracellular PK parameters of antibiotics is a prerequisite to understanding their potential activity against *S. aureus* subcellular reservoirs. All PK parameters relevant for the interpretation of intraosteoblastic activity of antimicrobials are reported in Table 2.

Bone concentrations of antimicrobials achieved in clinical practice are unclear, due to the absence of standardized conditions for PK parameter measurement (dose, number of administrations before sampling), the heterogeneity of antimicrobial penetration between cancellous and cortical bone, and impact of local



Figure 1. Principles of the gentamicin/lysostaphin assays evaluating the efficacy of antimicrobials against intraosteoblastic *S. aureus* eradication, focusing on experimental variable conditions. This figure appears in colour in the online version of *JAC* and in black and white in the print version of *JAC*.

		Time of antibiotic	incubation (h)	12, 24 and 48	24	20 and 40	2	4 and 24	4 and 24	24	48
		Concentration (clinically	mimicking)	1×MIC	0.01 to 100 mg/L	10 mg/L 10 mg/L 7 mg/L (serum and tissue)	45 mg/L for both (not specified)	30, 100 and 200 mg/L 7.5 mg/L (serum and tissue)	200 mg/L 128 mg/L 32 mg/L 32 mg/L 32 mg/L 701 specified)	10, 4, 4, 6, 3, 5, 8, 2, 6 and 0.3 mg/L re- spectively. Concentrations 3 times higher and lower were also investigated. (intraosseous)	40 mg/L 50 mg/L 10 mg/L 30 mg/L
		Antibiotic	MIC (mg/L)	Erythromycin (8) Rifampicin (20) Clindamycin (4)	Linezolid (2 and 16) Radezolid (0.5–1 and 2)	Tigecycline (ND) Gentamicin (ND) Rifampicin (ND)	Clindamycin (ND) Cefazolin (ND)	Gentamicin (ND) Rifampicin (ND)	Gentamicin (ND) Vancomycin (ND) Rifampicin (ND) Rifabutin (ND) Rifapentine (ND) Rifaximin (ND)	Oxacillin (0.094) Ceftaroline (0.19) Clindamycin (0.032) Fosfomycin (2) Vancomycin (1.5) Teicoplanin (1.5) Daptomycin (0.19) Linezolid (1) Ofloxacin (0.5) Rifampicin (0.004) Tigecycline (0.125)	Cefuroxime (ND) Vancomycin (ND) Rifampicin (ND) Moxifloxacin (ND) Flucloxacillin (ND)
	Time of	co-incubation and extracellular killing before antibiotics	addition (37°C)	3.75 h or 15.75 h	۲ ۲	30 min at RT then 3 h	4 h	30 min	30 min at 4°C then 2 h	30 min at 4°C then 3 h	3.5 h or 7 days
	- - - L	Extracellular bacterial killing agent/ concentration	and time	Gentamicin 25 mg/L (Sustained)	Gentamicin (Not specified)	Lysostaphin 20 mg/L (Not specified)	Lysostaphin 50 mg/L (Not sustained, 2 h	Lysostaphin 2 × 10 ⁴ mg/L (Not sustained, time not specified)	Gentamicin 200 mg/L (Not sustained, 1 h	Lysostaphin 10 mg/L (Sustained)	Lysostaphin 20 mg/L (Sustained)
•		Bacterial	state	Stationary phase	Exponential phase	Stationary phase	Exponential phase	Exponential phase	Not specified	Stationary phase	Stationary phase
		Multiplicity	of infection ⁶	125	0.5	100	500	30	ial 50 1C	100	50
	strain		MRSA		5A238 5A238L				AMMC-58 Clinic isolate (Pulse field type BAM type 15)		
	Prokaryotic		MSSA	UAMS-1 (ATCC 49230, CDC 587)		Cowan I (ATCC 12598, NCTC 8530) Septic arthritis isolate UAMS-1 (ATCC 49230, DAMS-1 (ATCC 49230, CDC 587) Chronic osteomyelitis isolate	One Clinical isolate (ampicillin, cefoxitin and penicillin resistant)	EDCC5055 Wound in- fection isolate Cowan I (ATCC 12598, NCTC 8530)	~,	HG001 (NCTC8325, rsbU+) Sepsis isolate	6850 SH1000 Two clinical osteomye- litis isolates
•		Eukaryotic	model	Clonetics normal human NHOst and primary mouse osteoblasts	Clonetics normal human osteoblasts NHOst	Primary human osteoblast	Rat osteoblastic cell line UMR-106 (CRL-1661)	SAOS-2 osteoblast- like cell line	i Primary human osteoblast	Human osteoblastic cell line MG63 (CRL-1427)	Primary human osteoblast
			Reference	Ellington 2006 ²¹	Lemaire 2010 ²²	Kreis 2013 ⁵⁴	Noore 2013 ⁴⁴	Mohamed 2014 ⁷⁴	Sanchez 2015	Valour 2015 ²⁶	Tuchscherr 2015 ²⁵

Table 1. Summary of the reviewed studies focusing on the experimental parameters influencing the assessment of intraosteoblastic activity of antimicrobials

Continued

Reference Eukaryotic model MSA MRS Dupieux Human osteoblastic mecA:kana LUG359 LUG359 (C 2017 ⁴⁰ Human osteoblastic mecA:kana LUG359 LUG359 (C 2017 ⁴⁰ Human osteoblastic mecA:tana LUG359 LUG359 (C Abad 2018 ³⁰ Human osteoblastic mecA:tana LUG359 LUG359 (C Abad 2018 ³⁰ Human osteoblastic 6850 / Mendelez Human osteoblastic 6850 / Otopita (CRL-1427) 1, 221-1, 111-1, 804-1, 201-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 401-1, 122-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 401-1, 122-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 100-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 122-1, 12	Mı MRSA of i		Extracellular	co-incubation			
DupieuxHuman osteoblasticmccA::kana LUG359LUG359 (C201740cell line MG63(COL)LUG359LUG359 (C201330Human osteoblastic6850//Abad 2018 ³⁰ Human osteoblastic6850//RendelezTwo clinical isolates///2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG63Two clinical isolates/2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG63Two clinical isolates/2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG631, 201-1, 401-1, 201-1, 401-2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG631, 203-1, 104-1)Seattle 1945 (ATCCAbad 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic685025923)Abad 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic6850cell line MG63Abad 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic6850CRL-1427)Cell line MG63Two clinical isolates		ultiplicity Bacterial nfection ^a state	bacterial killing agent/ concentration and time	and extracellular killing before antibiotics addition (37°C)	Antibiotic MIC (mg/L)	Concentration (clinically mimicking)	Time of antibiotic incubation (h)
DupieuxHuman osteoblasticmecA::Kana LUG359LUG359 (C2017 ⁴⁰ cell line MG63(COL)(COL)(CRL-1427)CRL-1427)Two clinical isolates/Abad 2018 ³⁰ Human osteoblastic6850//(CRL-1427)Two clinical isolates//MendelezHuman osteoblastic6850//2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG63Two clinical isolates/2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG63(117-1, 116-1, 804-2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG63(117-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 401-2019 ²⁸ Cell line MG63(117-1, 104-1)2019 ²⁹ Cell line MG63(1221-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 401-2019 ²⁷ Cell line MG63(1221-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 401-2019 ²⁸ Cell line MG63(721-1, 104-1)2019 ²⁹ Cell line MG63(7127-1, 104-1)2019 ²⁹ Cell line MG63Two clinical isolatesAbad 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic6850Cell line MG63Two clinical isolates(CRL-1427)Two clinical isolates					Linezolid (ND) Daptomycin (ND) Clindamycin (ND) Fosfomycin (ND) Gentamicin (ND)	20 mg/L 60 mg/L 20 mg/L 250 and 500 mg/L 20 and 140 mg/L	
Abad 2018 30Human osteoblastic6850/cell line MG63Two clinical isolates (CRL-1427)Ten clinical isolate (CRL-1427)/MendelezHuman osteoblasticTen clinical isolate (17-1, 116-1, 804- 1, 221-1, 101-1)201927cell line MG63(117-4, 116-1, 804- 1, 221-1, 101-1)201927Cell line MG631, 221-1, 104-1) 306-1, 201-1, 401- 1, 203-1, 104-1)Abad 202031Human osteoblastic6850 cell line MG63Two clinical isolates (CRL-1427)	JG359 (COL) 10() Stationary phase	Lysostaphin 10 mg/L (Sustained)	Ч	Daptomycin (0.064 and 0.19 at pH 7) 0xacilin (0.25 and >256 at pH 7) Ceftaroline (0.125 anc 0.25 at pH 7)	(serum) 5 mg/L 10 mg/L 4 mg/L (intraosseous)	24
MendelezHuman osteoblasticTen clinical isolate201927cell line MG63(117-1, 116-1, 804- 1, 221-1, 111-1, 806-1, 201-1, 401- 1, 203-1, 104-1)Roda 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic6850 (CRL-1427)Abad 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic6850 (CRL-1427)	100) Stationary phase	Lysostaphin 10 mg/L (Sustained)	а н	Linezolid (1.5) Tedizolid (0.25)	2.5, 10 and 40×MIC for both (intraosseous and plasma)	24
Abad 2020 ³¹ Human osteoblastic 6850 cell line MG63 Two clinical isolates (CRL-1427)	10		Lysostaphin 10 mg/L (Sustained)	ц м	Rifampicin (0.016, 0.012, 0.008, 0.008 0.008, 0.012, 0.008 0.008, 0.008 0.012 respectively) Levofloxacin (0.19, 0.19, 0.13, 0.19, 0.25, 0.13, 0.19, 0.25, 0.13, 0.25, respectively)	2.5 and 5 mg/L , 3 and 6 mg/L , (cortical and can- cellous intraosseous)	24
	10	D Stationary phase	Lysostaphin 10 mg/L (Sustained)	3 h or 7 days	Rifebutin (0.031, 0.031, 0.062 respectively) Rifepentin (0.062, 0.031, 0.062) Rifempici, 0.016, 0.008,0.031	0.1, 1, 10 and 100× MIC for al (intraosseous)	24
Yu 2020 ²⁸ RFP-labelled mouse GFP-labell osteoblast cell line USA30C MC3T3-E1 (ATCC E	FP-labelled 10 USA300 (ATCC BAA-171)	Exponential phase	Not treated	ч	Vanconycin (ND) Cefazolin (ND) Cephalexin (ND) Gentamicin (ND) Rifampicin (ND)	10 mg/L 100 mg/L 100 mg/L 50 mg/L 4 mg/L (arbitrary from studies investi- gating concen- tration range)	1 to 14

3094

Table 1. Continued

	Class/ antibiotic	C _{bone} /C _{plasma}	C _{bone} (mg/L)	C/E	Sub-cellular localization	Intraosteoblastic bacterial load decreased (C _{used})	Intraosteoblastic activity ^a	Intraosteoblastic activity in 'chronic' model	Small Colony Variant phenotype switching
	β-Lactams Penicillins Oxacillin Fluctoxacillin	0.17 ²⁶ 0.12-1.2 ³²	4-10 ^{26,33} 7.2-89,5 ^{33,85,86}	<1 ^{34,36,38,62}	Cytosol ^{83,84}	24%43% (10 mg/L) 75% (30 mg/L)	Moderate Moderate	- Lost	Decreased Not affected
	Cephalosporins Ceftaroline Cefuroxime Cefuroxime	0.19 ²⁶ 0.18 ³² 0.04-0.08 ³²	4 ²⁶ 75.4 ³³ -			30% (4 mg/L) 2% (45 mg/L) 50% (40 mg/L)	Moderate Low Moderate	- - Lost	Decreased - Not affected
	Macroliaes Erythromycin Azithromycin	0.18-0.28 ³² 2.5-6.3 ³²	1 1	2-13.3 ^{38,53,59} 90-140 ^{59,60}	2/3 Lysosomes 1/3 Cytosol ^{52,87}	0% (8mg/L) -	None	Lost -	1 1
Armopycostes Armopycostes Gundanycin $16 - 4,6^{4}$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$ $(-1,4^{5})$	Fluoroquinolones Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin Ofloxacin	0.36-1.0 ³² 0.33-1.05 ³² 0.09-1.04 ³²	4.6-10 ³³ 2.8 ³³ 2 ²⁶	4-10 ^{55,68-71}	Cytosol ⁷⁰	>99% (3 mg/L) 75% (30 mg/L) >99.9% (2 mg/L)	Good Moderate Good	- Conserved	- - Decreased
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Aminoglycosides Gentamicin	0.17-33 ⁸⁵	1.6-4.6 ⁸⁵	<1-4 ^{38,53}	Lysosomes ⁵³	0%-99% (10-200 mg/L)	Moderate	Conserved	Increased
Optimes Tiggocycline 0.3. ³ 64 ⁶ - 99.9% (0.3 mg/t) Good - Decreased Decreased (norside depending (norside depending (norside depending) Rifonpticin 0.08-056 ³ 13-6.5 ³ 2-10 ^{6,2,72} Probobly Phogosomes ⁹ 60%-99.9% (0.8-32.mg/L) Good - Decreased (norside depending on the studies) Rifonbutin - - - - - - - Decreased (norside depending on the studies) Rifonbutin - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Lincosamides Clindamycin	0.21–0.45 ³²	6.9 ³³	5-20 ^{35,38,61}	Lysosomes Cytosol ^{84,88}	23%–99.9% (1.33–42 mg/L)	Good (variable de- pending on the studies)	Lost	Increased
Micrimytins Rifemptin $0.08-0.56^3$ $1.3-6.53^3$ $2-10^{62.73}$ Probably phagosomes ⁶⁹ $60\%-999\%$ $60\%-999\%$ 60% 60% $60\%-999\%$ 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 1	Cyclines Tigecycline	0.35-1.95 ³²	0.3 ²⁶	64 ⁶⁶	I	99.9% (0.3 mg/L)	Good	I	Decreased
Rifepentine $ 60-87^{3}$ $45\%-85\%$ ModerateConservedConservedInterstatesRifebutin $ -$ <td< td=""><td>kıfamycıns Rifampicin</td><td>0.08-0.56³²</td><td>1.3-6.5³³</td><td>2-10^{62,72}</td><td>Probably phagosomes⁸⁹</td><td>60%-99.9% (0.8-32 mg/L)</td><td>Good</td><td>Conserved</td><td>Increased/Decreased (variable depending</td></td<>	kıfamycıns Rifampicin	0.08-0.56 ³²	1.3-6.5 ³³	2-10 ^{62,72}	Probably phagosomes ⁸⁹	60%-99.9% (0.8-32 mg/L)	Good	Conserved	Increased/Decreased (variable depending
Rifabutin	Rifapentine	I	I	60-87 ⁷³		45%-85%	Moderate	Conserved	on the studies) Increased
Glycopeptides Teromorycin0.05-0.6732 3263.8-4.533 3261.22->10 ^{49,50} 33-60 ^{46,49,30} Lysosomes ⁴⁵ 0% (3 mg/L)0%-75% (6-128 mg/L) NoneModerate ConservedNon effected conservedNot affected conservedVaccolidiones Oxzolidiones0.05-0.5732 0.05-0.51323.8-4.533 3261.22->10 ^{49,50} 33-60 ^{46,49,30} Lysosomes ⁴⁵ 0% (3 mg/L)0%-75% (6-128 mg/L) NoneNot affected conservedNot affected conservedVaccolidiones Oxzolidiones0.4-0.5132 6.3-9.1901.67 1.7Lysosomes, cytosol91 (2.67-20 mg/L)0%-99.9% (2.67-20 mg/L)GoodConserved conservedDecreased/Not affected (variable depending on the studies)Tedizolid0%-56% (0.6.55-10 mg/L)ModerateRadezolid0%-56% (0.6.55-10 mg/L)ModerateRadezolid0%-56% (1 mg/L)Moderate	Rifabutin	I	I	I		(3.1 × 10 ⁻ -32 mg/L) 55%-95% (3.1 × 10 ⁻³ -32 mg/L)	Good	Conserved	Increased
Vozolationes Linezolid0.4-0.51 ³² 6.3-9.1 ⁹⁰ 1 ⁶⁷ Lysosomes, cytosol ⁹¹ 0%-99.9% (2.67-20 mg/L)GoodConservedDecreased/Not affected (variable depending on the studies)TedizolidTedizolid0%-56%ModerateRadezolid0%-56%ModerateRadezolid9.7 ²² 50% (1 mg/L)Moderate	Glycopeptides Vancomycin Teicoplanin	0.05-0.67 ³² 0.05-0.85 ³²	3.8-4.5 ³³ 3 ²⁶	1.22->10 ^{49,50} 3.9-60 ^{46,49,50}	Lysosomes ⁴⁵	0%-75% (6-128 mg/L) 0% (3 mg/L)	Moderate None	Conserved	Not affected -
Tedizolid - - - - Studies) Tedizolid - - 0%-56% Moderate - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Uxazoliainones Linezolid	0.4–0.51 ³²	6.3–9.1 ⁹⁰	1 ⁶⁷	Lysosomes, cytosol ⁹¹	0%-99.9% (2.67-20 mg/L)	Good	Conserved	Decreased/Not affected (variable depending on the
Radezolid – – 9.7 ²² 50% (1 mg/L) Moderate – – –	Tedizolid	I	I	I		0%-56% (0.625-10.ma/l.)	Moderate	I	studies) -
	Radezolid	I	I	9.7 ²²		50% (1 mg/L)	Moderate	1	I

JAC

pər
ntinu
0
e 2.
Labl

lass/ Intihiotic		C _{bone} (ma/l)	C/F	Sub-cellular Ioralization	bacterial load	Intraosteoblastic activity ^a	chronic' model	vuriurit phenotype switching
)ther		11.0.1	5			6		
Fosfomycin	0.13-0.45 ³²	4 ²⁶	1.8^{48}	1	>99% (4 mg/L)	Good	Lost	Increased/Decreased (Variable dependin on the studies)
Daptomycin	0.08 ⁹²	3.3–3.4 ⁹²	<1 ^{49,50}	I	0%-75% (1.7-60 mg/L)	Moderate	Lost	Decreased/Not affected (Variable
								depending on the studies)

inflammation/infection on final tissue concentrations. 32,33 Values proposed here represent the best estimates presented to date. 32,33

Almost all studies of cellular pharmacokinetics of antibiotics have been conducted on professional phagocytic cells in *in vitro* models, generally using fluorescently labelled molecules. Results are expressed by the ratio between cellular and extracellular drug concentration (C/E), which defines low (C/E < 1), intermediate (C/E = 1) and good (C/E > 1) cellular penetration. Again, values presented here constitute estimates, but actual cellular accumulation may vary according to the antimicrobial PK profile, including linearity of drug accumulation over time and according to extracellular concentration, protein binding, and possible active influx/efflux transporters.

Antibiotics targeting the bacterial wall

β -Lactams

Penicillins diffuse weakly into eukaryotic cells (C/E < 1),^{34–38} with the exception of cloxacillin with a C/E of 4.7.³⁹ Oxacillin showed bactericidal activity and decreased the intracellular load of MSSA.⁴⁰ Surprisingly, it also reduced MRSA intraosteoblastic load, possibly due to local conditions. Indeed, low pH in intracellular organelles allows a conformational change of PBP2a, restoring the activity of β -lactams against MRSA.^{41–43} Of note, a total loss of flucloxacillin activity was observed in a chronic infection model.²⁵ Similarly impeded by a low C/E ratio, cefazolin showed a weak intracellular activity,⁴⁴ and cefuroxime lost its activity on long-term intracellular-persisting bacteria.²⁵ Among new generation anti-MRSA cephalosporins, ceftaroline exhibited bacteriostatic intraosteoblastic activity and reduced the intracellular bacterial load by 30%.^{26,40}

Glycopeptides and lipoglycopeptides

Vancomycin shows slow and modest accumulation in macrophages.⁴⁵ Teicoplanin, a more lipophilic compound, has a higher and faster accumulation (C/E = 60).⁴⁶ However, they were both ineffective or only bacteriostatic toward intraosteoblastic *S. aureus* at clinically relevant concentrations.^{26,28} At higher concentrations, vancomycin was shown to be efficacious, including in a chronic infection model.^{25,29} Dalbavancin decreases intraosteoblastic load by 50% at intraosseous concentrations, with no difference compared with vancomycin.⁴⁷

Fosfomycin

Fosfomycin displays a moderate intracellular accumulation, with a reported C/E ratio of 1.8.⁴⁸ It exhibited bactericidal activity with a significant intracellular load decrease within osteoblasts but lost its activity in the chronic infection model, even at high concentrations.^{25,26}

Daptomycin

Daptomycin penetrates weakly in eukaryotic cells (C/E = 0.7),^{49,50} and was poorly effective against MSSA and MRSA intracellular load at clinical concentrations during short incubation periods.^{26,40} Daptomycin was however more efficient when used at

higher concentration during 24 h or 48 h of incubation,²⁶ and lost its activity in the chronic infection model.²⁵

Antibiotics acting on bacterial protein synthesis

Aminoglycosides

Aminoglycosides have often been described as extracellular antimicrobials (C/E < 1).^{34,51,52} However, studies on macrophages and fibroblasts have shown that prolonged cell exposure allowed an increase in the aminoglycosides' C/E ratio up to 2 to 4.⁵³ A time-dependent activity of gentamicin at 10 mg/L on *S. aureus* intracellular load was consequently highlighted,⁵⁴ sustained in the chronic model.²⁵ Because gentamicin is not able to diffuse into cells, its ability to target intracellular *S. aureus* could be due to infection-related pinocytosis allowing gentamicin to penetrate concomitantly in the same subcellular compartment as the bacteria. If aminoglycosides are not used in the long-term treatment of BJI, these results do not support the use of gentamicin for extracellular bacteria killing in these models—and especially not as sustained extracellular anti-biotic pressure.

Macrolides

Macrolides show high C/E ratios in most cell types.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸ However, the ratio varies considerably depending on molecules, from 4 for erythromycin to 140 for azithromycin. The absorption and efflux of macrolides is generally rapid (3 to 15 min), with the notable exception of azithromycin.^{59,60} Erythromycin showed a bacteriostatic activity in an acute infection model, but rapidly lost its activity against persisting bacteria.²¹

Lincosamides

Clindamycin accumulated well in eukaryotic cells, with $C/E > 5.^{35,38,61}$ Clindamycin used at relevant clinical concentrations impeded the growth of intraosteoblastic *S. aureus* when added early after infection, while no effect was recorded later.²¹ However, conflicting results have been observed, probably due to differences between the models.^{25,26,44}

Cyclines

The ability of tetracyclines to accumulate in eukaryotic cells is moderate (C/E = 1–7),^{62–65} with a superiority of tigecycline and minocycline, with C/Es up to 64.⁶⁶ Tigecycline allowed a significant decrease of intraosteoblastic *S. aureus* load,²⁶ enhanced by prolonged exposure time.⁵⁴

Oxazolidinones

Linezolid has been shown to reach poor intracellular concentrations $(C/E \sim 1)$.^{22,67} Used at bone or human serum concentration, linezolid significantly reduced the intraosteoblastic bacterial load and maintained its activity in 'chronic' infection models.^{25,26} Additionally, linezolid and tedizolid displayed a strain-dependent activity against intraosteoblastic *S. aureus*, as highlighted in different clinical isolates despite similar MICs.³⁰ Of note, radezolid, a more lipophilic oxazolidinone, has better intracellular accumulation (C/E = 11) and was more potent than linezolid against intraosteoblastic bacteria, making it a promising alternative in BJI treatment.²²

Antibiotics acting on nucleic acid production

Fluoroquinolones

Cellular concentrations of fluoroquinolones are generally 4 to 10 times higher than in extracellular environment.^{55,68-71} Used at clinical concentrations, ofloxacin and levofloxacin had bactericidal activity with a decrease of >99.5%, while moxifloxacin reduced the intracellular load of *S. aureus* by 75%.²⁵⁻²⁷ Moxifloxacin remained active in chronic infection models, with about 90% decrease of the intraosteoblastic bacterial load.²⁵

Rifamycins

They represent the most potent antimicrobials to eradicate intraosteoblastic S. aureus. Rifampicin accumulates intracellularly with a C/E ranging from 2 to 10, while rifapentine has higher C/E ratios from 60 to 80.^{62,72,73} In the acute infection model, rifampicin killed more than 99.9% of intraosteoblastic bacteria in a timedependent manner after 20-48 h,^{21,26,54,74} even though lessimpressive results have been reported in another study.²⁷ In the study by Ellington *et al.*,²¹ efficacy of rifampicin rapidly decreased as the bacterial intracellular persistence time increased. However, maintained rifampicin efficacy in the chronic infection model has been reported.²⁵ Some studies compared rifampicin with the other members of the rifamycin family (rifabutin, rifapentine and rifaximin) showing similar excellent efficacy.^{29,31} Further, rifabutin was the only rifamycin able to significantly decrease the intraosteoblastic bacterial load at low concentration ($0.1 \times MIC$) in the 'acute' and 'chronic' models.³¹ This higher activity is probably due to its 100-fold higher oil/water partition coefficient compared with rifampicin and it might be a clinical alternative to rifampicin in the BJI setting.

Inter-class comparison

The main challenge when aiming to compare the intraosteoblastic activity of antibiotics from different classes against S. aureus lies in the choice of tested concentrations. Indeed, clinical concentrations (i.e. intraosseous or plasma concentrations for example) are sometimes very different. The use of multiple of MICs that include clinically relevant concentrations appears to be the more relevant for direct comparison. Additionally, the possible inter-strain variability and other variable experimental conditions discussed above make comparisons even more difficult. Nevertheless, a trend of antibiotic activity against intraosteoblastic S. aureus may be extrapolated (Figure 2, Table 2). Daptomycin and vancomycin appeared to be the least-potent drugs, having at best a bacteriostatic effect with concentrations similar to the clinical intraosseous one. Cefazolin, ceftaroline and teicoplanin have bacteriostatic activity at osseous concentrations. Drugs having significant bactericidal activity toward intraosteoblastic S. aureus at clinically relevant concentration are fosfomycin, oxazolidinones, tigecycline, fluoroquinolones, rifamycins and clindamycin. Among these, rifamycins, fluoroquinolones and clindamycin were the most efficient with activity recorded even at the minimal concentration tested, including against long-term persisting S. aureus for rifampicin and moxifloxacin.

Antibiotic combinations

Given that combination therapies—and especially rifampicinbased ones^{75,76}—have shown a clinical superiority in the treatment of *S. aureus* BJI, the evaluation of antimicrobials alone or in combination with regard to their intraosteoblastic activity against *S. aureus* appears relevant.

Rifampicin has been evaluated in combination with levofloxacin, tigecycline and vancomycin, with no clear advantages of combinations.^{27,28,54} This observation might be due to the already optimal activity of rifampicin alone in this model.

Despite the unclear clinical application, gentamicin has been evaluated in combination with tigecycline, vancomycin and cefalexin, showing no clear benefits.^{28,54}

Combination of daptomycin with oxacillin was significantly more potent than either antibiotic alone against MSSA and MRSA isolates. Moreover, the combination of daptomycin and ceftaroline was significantly more effective than daptomycin alone against MSSA (but not MRSA) to eradicate intraosteoblastic bacteria.⁴⁰

Antibiotics and osteoblast survival

Beyond the ability of antimicrobials to eradicate intracellular *S. aureus*, their capacity to prevent infection-induced cytotoxicity represents another important specific effect in BJI.

First, few studies assessed the toxicity of antibiotics themselves toward uninfected osteoblasts. Using an LDH release assay, Valour *et al.*²⁶ tested a large panel of antistaphylococcal molecules, and found no antibiotic-induced cytotoxicity at high concentration ($3 \times$ the clinical bone concentration). Conversely, rifampicin, rifabutin, rifapentine and rifaximin induced >40% cell death after 24 h but used at doses largely above bone concentrations (\geq 64 mg/L) using an MTT-reduction assay.²⁹ Also based on MTT cleavage, linezolid and tedizolid had no significant impact on osteoblast viability.³⁰

Regarding the impact of antimicrobials on osteoblast infectioninduced cytotoxicity, these studies showed that molecules reducing the intracellular *S. aureus* load consequently decreased infection-induced cellular damage.^{28,30} The greatest reduction in cytotoxicity was observed with vancomycin and rifampicin in combination.

Surprisingly, antimicrobial treatment was sometimes associated with an increase of viable cells even in conditions where no impact was observed on intracellular bacterial counts, as for rifapentine at $0.1 \times MIC$ or both linezolid and tedizolid,^{30,31} suggesting an impact on intracellular toxin secretion. This hypothesis was further investigated for rifamycins, highlighting an antibiotic-driven reduction of the intracellular expression of PSMs.³¹ However, there is no doubt that such protein-synthesis-inhibiting antimicrobials impede other intracellular toxin secretions and thus prevent infection-induced cytotoxicity. Rifabutin had the highest preventing effect compared with both rifapentine and rifampicin and this effect was already maximal at $0.1 \times MIC.^{31}$

Antibiotics and intracellular formation of drug-tolerant bacteria

Prolonged intracellular persistence of *S. aureus* led to a decrease in efficacy of some antibiotics, as highlighted in the chronic infection models. The specific physiology of the bacteria at chronic stages



Figure 2. Intraosteoblastic activity of antistaphylococcal drugs. This figure appears in colour in the online version of JAC and in black and white in the print version of JAC.

might consequently impede the efficacy of antibiotics. Of note, none of the tested drugs eradicated the entire intracellular *S. aureus* population under any of the tested conditions within either the 'acute' or 'chronic' models.

While all the antibiotics tested using human serum concentrations were significantly effective in reducing the intracellular bacterial load using the 'acute' cell infection model, only rifamycins, gentamicin, moxifloxacin, linezolid and vancomycin retained this ability in the 'chronic' stage.²⁵ In contrast, cefuroxime, flucloxacillin, daptomycin, fosfomycin, erythromycin and clindamycin lost their ability to kill long-term intraosteoblastic-persisting S. aureus becoming antibiotic specificly drug-tolerant.²⁵ Using a transmission electron microscopy approach, Ellington et al.²¹ showed that the cell surface of intraosteoblastic S. aureus was altered compared with extracellular bacteria. Actually, the thickness of the bacterial surface increased after intraosteoblastic passage, depending on intracellular life duration, since the proportion of the bacterial population possessing this altered capsular material increased with exposure time. This phenotypic change might explain the appearance of drug-tolerance.

A significant emergence of SCVs in the 'chronic' cell infection model was observed in the absence of treatment.²⁵ Challenging infected cells with ofloxacin, rifampicin and daptomycin at bone concentrations significantly reduced the percentage of SCVs. Likewise, oxacillin, ceftaroline, linezolid, fosfomycin, and tigecycline decreased the emergence of SCVs only at the highest concentration used.²⁶ In contrast, gentamicin, fosfomycin at 500 mg/L and clindamycin significantly enhanced the emergence of intraosteoblastic SCVs after 48 h of treatment.²⁵ Moreover, a significant increase of SCV formation after treatment with rifapentine, rifabutin and rifampicin alone or in combination with levofloxacin at cortical and cancellous bone concentrations was demonstrated.^{27,31}

The increase of drug-tolerance during the 'chronic' stage appears to be drug-dependent and further investigations remain to be performed to elucidate its underlying mechanism.

Conclusions

This review provides the first comparative analysis of the available literature regarding antimicrobial ability to eradicate the intraosteoblastic reservoir of *S. aureus*, which might represent a key determinant of BJI treatment outcome, given the importance of bacterial internalization within bone cells for BJI chronicity and relapse. The heterogeneity of experimental conditions—especially moi, duration of intracellular bacterial persistence before treatment and antimicrobial concentrations used—advocates for a more standardized approach, using clinically relevant settings. These results emphasize that beyond cellular penetration of antimicrobials, intraosteoblastic activity has more complex and multifactorial determinants, including their subcellular distribution and impact of local biochemical conditions (pH).

Among the antimicrobials used in the clinical setting, rifamycins (and especially rifabutin) and fluoroquinolones appear to be the more potent drugs for intraosteoblastic *S. aureus* reservoir eradication, reflecting the superiority of these combinations in the treatment of orthopaedic device-associated infections.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ However, total eradication of intracellular bacteria is never achieved. Bacterial colonies are observed on agar plates when lysates from antibiotic-challenged osteoblasts are seeded, highlighting the

presence of drug-tolerant intracellular bacteria that could participate in infection relapse. Among those, SCVs and persisters can emerge, for which results are more controversial due to the absence of consensual definitions of these phenotypes, and to the balance between antimicrobial efficacy against these phenotypes and their emergence under antimicrobial pressure. Combination therapies might limit these potential sources of infection persistence, but rifampicin-based combination therapies failed to show superiority compared with rifampicin alone, probably due to the already optimal activity of rifampicin alone in the experimental conditions. On the contrary, the weak intracellular activity of daptomycin might be enhanced by its combination with a β -lactam antibiotic, especially oxacillin. Another striking aspect is the ability of antimicrobials to reduce infection-induced cytotoxicity. The reduction of osteoblast damage induced by protein synthesis inhibitors (and especially rifamycins and oxazolidinones), even in the absence of antibacterial activity, suggests their impact on intracellular bacterial toxin secretion.

Finally, some innovative approaches have been suggested to improve antibiotic efficacy intracellularly. For example, the use of bacterial efflux pump inhibitors can be considered for vancomycin.⁷⁹ Given the impact of the intracellular vacuole acidic pH on antibiotic activity, alkalinizing agents can also be promising, as shown for coxiellosis.^{80,81} For example, an antibody-antibiotic conjugate allowing release of drugs after opsonized *S. aureus* internalization by intracellular proteases is also under investigation.⁸²

Funding

This study was carried out as part of our routine work.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

References

1 Grammatico-Guillon L, Baron S, Gettner S *et al*. Bone and joint infections in hospitalized patients in France, 2008: clinical and economic outcomes. *J Hosp Infect* 2012; **82**: 40–8.

2 Laurent E, Gras G, Druon J *et al.* Key features of bone and joint infections following the implementation of reference centers in France. *Med Mal Infect* 2018; **48**: 256–62.

3 Weston V, Jones A, Bradbury N *et al.* Clinical features and outcome of septic arthritis in a single UK Health District 1982-1991. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1999; **58**: 214–9.

4 Titécat M, Senneville E, Wallet F *et al.* Épidémiologie bactérienne des infections ostéo-articulaires dans un centre de référence: étude sur 10 ans. 2013. *Rev Chir Orthopedique Traumatol* 2013; **99**: 543–9.

5 Triffault-Fillit C, Ferry T, Laurent F *et al*. Microbiologic epidemiology depending on time to occurrence of prosthetic joint infection: a prospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019; **25**: 353–8.

6 Josse J, Velard F, Gangloff SC. *Staphylococcus aureus* vs. osteoblast: relationship and consequences in osteomyelitis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2015; **5**: 85.

7 Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2015; **28**: 603–61.

8 Giese B, Dittmann S, Paprotka K *et al.* Staphylococcal alpha-toxin is not sufficient to mediate escape from phagolysosomes in upper-airway epithelial cells. *Infect Immun* 2009; **77**: 3611–25.

9 Schröder A, Kland R, Peschel A *et al.* Live cell imaging of phagosome maturation in *Staphylococcus aureus* infected human endothelial cells: small colony variants are able to survive in lysosomes. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 2006; **195**: 185–94.

10 Ellington JK, Harris M, Webb L *et al.* Intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus*. A mechanism for the indolence of osteomyelitis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2003; **85**: 918–21.

11 Hudson MC, Ramp WK, Nicholson NC *et al.* Internalization of *Staphylococcus aureus* by cultured osteoblasts. *Microb Pathog* 1995; **19**: 409–19.

12 Kubica M, Guzik K, Koziel J *et al.* A potential new pathway for *Staphylococcus aureus* dissemination: the silent survival of *S. aureus* phagocytosed by human monocyte-derived macrophages. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**: e1409.

13 Jubrail J, Morris P, Bewley MA *et al.* Inability to sustain intraphagolysosomal killing of *Staphylococcus aureus* predisposes to bacterial persistence in macrophages. *Cell Microbiol* 2016; **18**: 80–96.

14 Flannagan RS, Heit B, Heinrichs DE. Intracellular replication of *Staphylococcus aureus* in mature phagolysosomes in macrophages precedes host cell death, and bacterial escape and dissemination. *Cell Microbiol* 2016; **18**: 514–35.

15 Olivier AC, Lemaire S, Van Bambeke F *et al.* Role of rsbU and staphyloxanthin in phagocytosis and intracellular growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in human macrophages and endothelial cells. *J Infect Dis* 2009; **200**: 1367–70.

16 Rasigade J-P, Trouillet-Assant S, Ferry T *et al.* PSMs of hypervirulent *Staphylococcus aureus* act as intracellular toxins that kill infected osteoblasts. *PloS One* 2013; **8**: e63176.

17 Davido B, Saleh-Mghir A, Laurent F *et al.* Phenol-soluble modulins contribute to early sepsis dissemination not late local USA300-osteomyelitis severity in rabbits. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0157133.

18 Claro T, Widaa A, O'Seaghdha M *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* protein A binds to osteoblasts and triggers signals that weaken bone in osteomyelitis. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e18748.

19 Tuchscherr L, Heitmann V, Hussain M *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* smallcolony variants are adapted phenotypes for intracellular persistence. *J Infect Dis* 2010; **202**: 1031–40.

20 Peyrusson F, Varet H, Nguyen TK *et al.* Intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* persisters upon antibiotic exposure. *Nat Commun* 2020; **11**: 2200.

21 Ellington JK, Harris M, Hudson MC *et al*. Intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* and antibiotic resistance: implications for treatment of staphylococcal osteomyelitis. *J Orthop Res* 2006; **24**: 87–93.

22 Lemaire S, Kosowska-Shick K, Appelbaum PC *et al.* Cellular pharmacodynamics of the novel biaryloxazolidinone radezolid: studies with infected phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells, using *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Legionella pneumophila*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 2549–59.

23 Helaine S, Cheverton AM, Watson KG *et al*. Internalization of salmonella by macrophages induces formation of nonreplicating persisters. *Science* 2014; **343**: 204–8.

24 Manina G, Dhar N, McKinney JD. Stress and host immunity amplify *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* phenotypic heterogeneity and induce nongrowing metabolically active forms. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015; **17**: 32–46.

25 Tuchscherr L, Kreis CA, Hoerr V *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* develops increased resistance to antibiotics by forming dynamic small colony variants during chronic osteomyelitis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016; **71**: 438–48.

26 Valour F, Trouillet-Assant S, Riffard N *et al*. Antimicrobial activity against intraosteoblastic *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; **59**: 2029–36.

27 Meléndez-Carmona MÁ, Muñoz-Gallego I, Viedma E *et al.* Intraosteoblastic activity of levofloxacin and rifampin alone and in combination against clinical isolates of meticillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* causing prosthetic joint infection. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2019; **54**: 356–60.

28 Yu K, Song L, Kang HP *et al.* Recalcitrant methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection of bone cells: intracellular penetration and control strategies. *Bone Joint Res* 2020; **9**: 49–59.

29 Sanchez CJ, Shiels SM, Tennent DJ *et al.* Rifamycin derivatives are effective against staphylococcal biofilms in vitro and elutable from PMMA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015; **473**: 2874–84.

30 Abad L, Tafani V, Tasse J *et al.* Evaluation of the ability of linezolid and tedizolid to eradicate intraosteoblastic and biofilm-embedded *Staphylococcus aureus* in the bone and joint infection setting. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2019; **74**: 625–32.

31 Abad L, Josse J, Tasse J *et al.* Antibiofilm and intraosteoblastic activities of rifamycins against *Staphylococcus aureus*: promising in vitro profile of rifabutin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2020; **75**: 1466–73.

32 Landersdorfer CB, Bulitta JB, Kinzig M *et al.* Penetration of antibacterials into bone: pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and bioanalytical considerations. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2009; **48**: 89–124.

33 Thabit AK, Fatani DF, Bamakhrama MS *et al.* Antibiotic penetration into bone and joints: an updated review. *Int J Infect Dis* 2019; **81**: 128–36.

34 Jacobs RF, Wilson CB. Intracellular penetration and antimicrobial activity of antibiotics. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1983; **12** Suppl C: 13–20.

35 Hand WL, King-Thompson NL. Uptake of antibiotics by human polymorphonuclear leukocyte cytoplasts. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1990; **34**: 1189–93.

36 Koga H. High-performance liquid chromatography measurement of antimicrobial concentrations in polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1987; **31**: 1904–8.

37 Hand WL, King-Thompson N, Holman JW. Entry of roxithromycin (RU 965), imipenem, cefotaxime, trimethoprim, and metronidazole into human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1987; **31**: 1553–7.

38 Prokesch RC, Hand WL. Antibiotic entry into human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1982; **21**: 373–80.

39 Barcia-Macay M, Seral C, Mingeot-Leclercq M-P *et al.* Pharmacodynamic Evaluation of the Intracellular Activities of Antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Model of THP-1 Macrophages. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006; **50**: 841–51.

40 Dupieux C, Trouillet-Assant S, Camus C *et al.* Intraosteoblastic activity of daptomycin in combination with oxacillin and ceftaroline against MSSA and MRSA. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 3353–6.

41 Lemaire S, Van Bambeke F, Mingeot-Leclercq M-P *et al.* Role of acidic pH in the susceptibility of intraphagocytic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains to meropenem and cloxacillin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; **51**: 1627–32.

42 Lemaire S, Fuda C, Van Bambeke F *et al.* Restoration of susceptibility of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to β-lactam antibiotics by acidic pH: role of penicillin-binding protein PBP 2a. *J Biol Chem* 2008; **283**: 12769–76.

43 Baudoux P, Bles N, Lemaire S *et al.* Combined effect of pH and concentration on the activities of gentamicin and oxacillin against *Staphylococcus aureus* in pharmacodynamic models of extracellular and intracellular infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; **59**: 246–53.

44 Noore J, Noore A, Li B. Cationic antimicrobial peptide LL-37 is effective against both extra- and intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; **57**: 1283–90.

45 Beauchamp D, Gourde P, Simard M *et al.* Subcellular localization of tobramycin and vancomycin given alone and in combination in proximal tubular cells, determined by immunogold labeling. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1992; **36**: 2204–10.

46 Maderazo EG, Breaux SP, Woronick CL *et al.* High teicoplanin uptake by human neutrophils. *Chemotherapy* 1988; **34**: 248–55.

47 Chauvelot P, Dupieux-Chabert C, Abad L *et al.* Intraosteoblastic activity of dalbavancin during treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* bone and joint infection. *European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Paris, France, 2020.* Abstract P2440.

48 Höger PH, Seger RA, Schaad UB *et al.* Chronic granulomatous disease: uptake and intracellular activity of fosfomycin in granulocytes. *Pediatr Res* 1985; **19**: 38-44.

49 Van der Auwera P, Matsumoto T, Husson M. Intraphagocytic penetration of antibiotics. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1988; **22**: 185–92.

50 Van der Auwera P, Prinz G, Petrikkos G. Activity of intracellular antibiotics. *Infection* 1991; **19** Suppl 4: S216–223.

51 Vaudaux P, Waldvogel FA. Gentamicin antibacterial activity in the presence of human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1979; **16**: 743–9.

52 Carlier MB, Zenebergh A, Tulkens PM. Cellular uptake and subcellular distribution of roxithromycin and erythromycin in phagocytic cells. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1987; **20** Suppl B: 47–56.

53 Tulkens P, Trouet A. The uptake and intracellular accumulation of aminoglycoside antibiotics in lysosomes of cultured rat fibroblasts. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1978; **27**: 415–24.

54 Kreis CA, Raschke MJ, Roßlenbroich SB *et al.* Therapy of intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* by tigecyclin. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013; **13**: 267.

55 Carryn S, Van Bambeke F, Mingeot-Leclercq M-P *et al.* Comparative intracellular (THP-1 macrophage) and extracellular activities of β -lactams, azithromycin, gentamicin, and fluoroquinolones against Listeria monocytogenes at clinically relevant concentrations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002; **46**: 2095–103.

56 Tyteca D, Van Der Smissen P, Mettlen M *et al.* Azithromycin, a lysosomotropic antibiotic, has distinct effects on fluid-phase and receptor-mediated endocytosis, but does not impair phagocytosis in J774 macrophages. *Exp Cell Res* 2002; **281**: 86–100.

57 Tyteca D, Van Der Smissen P, Van Bambeke F *et al.* Azithromycin, a lysosomotropic antibiotic, impairs fluid-phase pinocytosis in cultured fibroblasts. *Eur J Cell Biol* 2001; **80**: 466–78.

58 Ouadrhiri Y, Scorneaux B, Sibille Y *et al.* Mechanism of the Intracellular Killing and Modulation of Antibiotic Susceptibility of Listeria monocytogenes in THP-1 Macrophages Activated by Gamma Interferon. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; **43**: 1242–51.

59 Montenez JP, Van Bambeke F, Piret J *et al.* Interactions of macrolide antibiotics (Erythromycin A, roxithromycin, erythromycylamine [Dirithromycin], and azithromycin) with phospholipids: computer-aided conformational analysis and studies on acellular and cell culture models. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 1999; **156**: 129–40.

60 Van Bambeke F, Gerbaux C, Michot JM *et al.* Lysosomal alterations induced in cultured rat fibroblasts by long-term exposure to low concentrations of azithromycin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1998; **42**: 761–7.

61 Easmon CS, Crane JP. Cellular uptake of clindamycin and lincomycin. *Br J Exp Pathol* 1984; **65**: 725–30.

62 Hand WL, Corwin RW, Steinberg TH *et al.* Uptake of antibiotics by human alveolar macrophages. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984; **129**: 933–7.

63 Najar I, Oberti J, Teyssier J *et al.* Kinetics of the uptake of rifampicin and tetracycline into mouse macrophages. In vitro study of the early stages. *Pathol Biol (Paris)* 1984; **32**: 85–9.

64 Laufen H, Wildfeuer A. Kinetics of the uptake of antimicrobial agents by human polymorphonuclear leucocytes. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1989; **39**: 233–5.

65 Walters JD. Characterization of minocycline transport by human neutrophils. *J Periodontol* 2006; **77**: 1964–8.

66 Ong CT, Babalola CP, Nightingale CH *et al*. Penetration, efflux and intracellular activity of tigecycline in human polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs). *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2005; **56**: 498–501.

67 Pascual A. Uptake and Intracellular Activity of Linezolid in Human Phagocytes and Nonphagocytic Cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002; **46**: 4013–5.

68 García I, Pascual A, Ballesta S *et al.* Uptake and intracellular activity of ofloxacin isomers in human phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2000; **15**: 201–5.

69 Memin E, Panteix G, Revol A. Is the uptake of pefloxacin in human blood monocytes a simple diffusion process? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1996; **38**: 787–98.

70 Carlier MB, Scorneaux B, Zenebergh A *et al.* Cellular uptake, localization and activity of fluoroquinolones in uninfected and infected macrophages. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1990; **26** Suppl B: 27–39.

71 Seral C, Carryn S, Tulkens PM et al. Influence of P-glycoprotein and MRP efflux pump inhibitors on the intracellular activity of azithromycin and ciprofloxacin in macrophages infected by *Listeria monocytogenes* or *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003; **51**: 1167–73.

72 Höger PH, Vosbeck K, Seger R *et al.* Uptake, intracellular activity, and influence of rifampin on normal function of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1985; **28**: 667–74.

73 Pascual A, Tsukayama D, Kovarik J *et al.* Uptake and activity of rifapentine in human peritoneal macrophages and polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* 1987; **6**: 152–7.

74 Mohamed W, Sethi S, Domann E *et al*. Intracellular proliferation of *S. aureus* in osteoblasts and effects of rifampicin and gentamicin on *S. aureus* intracellular proliferation and survival. *Eur Cell Mater* 2014; **28**: 258–68.

75 Lora-Tamayo J, Murillo O, Iribarren JA *et al.* A large multicenter study of methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* prosthetic joint infections managed with implant retention. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; **56**: 182–94.

76 Senneville E, Joulie D, Legout L *et al.* Outcome and predictors of treatment failure in total hip/knee prosthetic joint infections due to *Staphylococcus aureus. Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **53**: 334–40.

77 Puhto A-P, Puhto T, Niinimäki T *et al.* Predictors of treatment outcome in prosthetic joint infections treated with prosthesis retention. *Int Orthop* 2015; **39**: 1785–91.

78 Becker A, Kreitmann L, Triffaut-Fillit C *et al.* Duration of rifampin therapy is a key determinant of improved outcomes in early-onset acute prosthetic joint infection due to Staphylococcus treated with a debridement, antibiotics and implant retention (DAIR): a retrospective multicenter study in France. *J Bone Joint Infect* 2020; **5**: 28–34.

79 Dusane DH, Kyrouac D, Petersen I *et al.* Targeting intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* to lower recurrence of orthopaedic infection. *J Orthop Res* 2018; **36**: 1086–92.

80 Maurin M, Benoliel AM, Bongrand P *et al.* Phagolysosomal alkalinization and the bactericidal effect of antibiotics: the Coxiella burnetii paradigm. *J Infect Dis* 1992; **166**: 1097-102.

81 Abad L, Chauvelot P, Audoux E *et al*. Lysosomal alkalization to potentiate eradication of intra-osteoblastic *Staphylococcus aureus* in the bone and joint infection setting. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021; S1198-743X(21)00218-4.

82 Lehar SM, Pillow T, Xu M *et al.* Novel antibody–antibiotic conjugate eliminates intracellular *S. aureus. Nature* 2015; **527**: 323–8.

83 Carryn S, Chanteux H, Seral C *et al*. Intracellular pharmacodynamics of antibiotics. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2003; **17**: 615–34.

84 Tulkens PM. Intracellular distribution and activity of antibiotics. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1991; **10**: 100–6.

85 Torkington MS, Davison MJ, Wheelwright EF *et al.* Bone penetration of intravenous flucloxacillin and gentamicin as antibiotic prophylaxis during total hip and knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2017; **99-B**: 358-64.

86 Parsons R, Beavis J, Laurence M *et al.* Plasma, bone, hip capsule and drain fluid concentrations of ampicillin and flucloxacillin during total hip replacement after intravenous bolus injection of magnapen. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1978; **6**: 135–43.

87 Carlier MB, Garcia-Luque I, Montenez JP *et al.* Accumulation, release and subcellular localization of azithromycin in phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells in culture. *Int J Tissue React* 1994; **16**: 211–20.

88 Klempner MS, Styrt B. Clindamycin uptake by human neutrophils. *J Infect Dis* 1981; **144**: 472–9.

89 Solberg CO, Halstensen A, Digranes A *et al*. Penetration of antibiotics into human leukocytes and dermal suction blisters. *Clin Infect Dis* 1983; **5**: S468-73.

90 Lovering AM. Penetration of linezolid into bone, fat, muscle and haematoma of patients undergoing routine hip replacement. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002; **50**: 73–7.

91 Lemaire S, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke F. Cellular pharmacokinetics of the novel biaryloxazolidinone radezolid in phagocytic cells: studies with macrophages and polymorphonuclear neutrophils. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 2540–8.

92 Montange D, Berthier F, Leclerc G *et al.* Penetration of daptomycin into bone and synovial fluid in joint replacement. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; **58**: 3991–6.