Expression of the qepA1 gene is induced under antibiotic exposure

Gerrit Brandis 💿 ¹, Jonas Gockel¹, Linnéa Garoff¹, Lionel Guy¹ and Diarmaid Hughes 💿 ¹*

¹Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Biomedical Center, Uppsala University, Box 582, Uppsala, Sweden

*Corresponding author. E-mail: diarmaid.hughes@imbim.uu.se

Received 26 November 2020; accepted 28 January 2021

Background: The *qepA1* gene encodes an efflux pump that reduces susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. Little is known about the regulation of *qepA1* expression.

Objectives: To assess the potential role of ciprofloxacin and other antibiotics in the regulation of *qepA1* gene expression. To identify the promoter that drives *qepA1* expression and other factors involved in expression regulation. To assess whether the identified features are universal among *qepA* alleles.

Methods: A translational *qepA1-yfp* fusion under the control of the *qepA1* upstream region was cloned into the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. Expression of the fusion protein was measured in the presence of various antibiotics. Deletions within the upstream region were introduced to identify regions involved in gene expression and regulation. The *qepA1* coding sequence and upstream region were compared with all available *qepA* sequences.

Results: Cellular stress caused by the presence of various antibiotics can induce *qepA1* expression. The *qepA1* gene is fused to a class I integron and gene expression is driven by the Pc promoter within the integrase gene. A segment within the integron belonging to a truncated *dfrB4* gene is essential for the regulation of *qepA1* expression. This genetic context is universal among all sequenced *qepA* alleles.

Conclusions: The fusion of the *qepA1* gene to a class I integron has created a novel regulatory unit that enables *qepA1* expression to be under the control of antibiotic exposure. This setup mitigates potential negative effects of QepA1 production on bacterial fitness by restricting high-level expression to environmental conditions in which QepA1 is beneficial.

Introduction

Fluoroquinolones, including ciprofloxacin, are a synthetic class of antimicrobial drugs with very good activity against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.^{1–3} Ciprofloxacin binds to DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV and inhibits re-ligation of cleaved DNA.4-7 The accumulation of DNA breaks is thought to lead to cell death.⁸ Escherichia coli, a major cause of urinary tract infections and invasive septicaemia, is commonly treated using ciprofloxacin.⁹ However, many clinical isolates of E. coli have evolved resistance.^{10,11} Evolution of resistance to ciprofloxacin in *E. coli* is a complex process that almost always includes multiple mutations in genes encoding DNA gyrase (gyrA, gyrB) and topoisomerase IV (parC, parE).^{12,13} Additionally, mutations in genes encoding regulatory proteins of efflux pumps (marR, acrR and soxR) can lead to increased drug efflux¹³ and mutations in transcription and translation-related genes (e.g. RNA polymerase and tRNA synthetase genes) can reduce susceptibility to ciprofloxacin by inducing global changes in bacterial protein synthesis.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

Horizontally acquired genes also contribute to the development of ciprofloxacin resistance. The *qnr* genes (*qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrC*, *qnrD*, *qnrS* and *qnrVC*) encode proteins that bind to the drug targets and protect the enzymes from ciprofloxacin.^{17–22} A variant of an aminoglycoside acetyltransferase [encoded by *aac*(6')-*Ib-cr*] acetylates ciprofloxacin, thus reducing its activity,²³ and two efflux pumps (encoded by *qepA* and *oqxAB*) reduce cellular ciprofloxacin concentrations.^{24–27} Clinically observed plasmid-mediated ciprofloxacin resistance genes generally supplement the level of resistance caused by chromosomal mutations in drug target genes.²⁸ An exception is an *E. coli* isolate that acquired resistance by a combination of horizontally acquired genes (*qnrS* and *oqxAB*) and chromosomal mutations that increase drug efflux.²⁹ Additionally, it has been shown that overexpression of *qnrS* in *E. coli* can result in ciprofloxacin resistance levels above the clinical breakpoint.³⁰

Since their discovery, 11 distinct *qepA* alleles have been identified (*qepA1-qepA11*). These alleles differ in one to five amino acids from *qepA1*.³¹ The genetic context of the alleles *qepA1*,^{24,27} *qepA2*,³² *qepA3*,³³ *qepA4*³⁴ and *qepA7*³⁵ shows that the *qepA*

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

genes are linked to a truncated class I integron.³⁶ It is unclear whether the *qepA* genes are part of the truncated integron and whether the integron plays a role in expression of *qepA*. Here, we identify two novel *qepA* alleles, *qepA12* and *qepA13*, and show that 10 of the 13 *qepA* alleles share an identical upstream region consisting of a truncated integrase gene (*int11*) and an ORF containing a partial *dfrB4* gene (upstream sequence is unavailable for *qepA6*, *qepA10* and *qepA11*). Using a translational fusion of the *qepA1* gene to a *yfp* gene [encoding yellow fluorescence protein (YFP)] we show that expression of *qepA1* is driven by the PCW_{TGN-10} promoter within the class I integron. Furthermore, expression of *qepA1* was induced by cellular stress caused by several antibiotics, including ciprofloxacin, and we were able to identify a region of around 250 nt that is essential for *qepA1* expression.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains were derived from *E. coli* K12 MG1655 (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at *JAC* Online). Bacteria were grown at 37°C in LB broth (10 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L tryptone, 10 g/L NaCl) or on LA plates (LB solidified with 1.5% agar, Oxoid). Strains harbouring temperature-sensitive plasmids were grown at 30°C. Antibiotics were from Sigma–Aldrich (Stockholm, Sweden) and added to induction assays as described. When added to growth medium, concentrations were: chloramphenicol, 25 mg/L; ciprofloxacin, 0.1 mg/L; kanamycin, 50 mg/L; and tetracycline, 15 mg/L. Sucrose counterselection was performed on salt-free LA supplemented with 5% sucrose.

Strain constructions

A 1.1 kb segment containing the first 600 nt of the *qepA1* gene and 546 nt of the upstream region were amplified from a clinical *E. coli* isolate (CH459)³⁷ and inserted into the *galK* gene of WT *E. coli* MG1655 (CH1464) using lambda-red recombineering^{38,39} and sucrose counterselection.⁴⁰ The translational fusion of *yfp* to the *qepA1* coding sequence was constructed by inserting a *yfp* gene that is transcriptionally fused to a kanamycin resistance gene. Segmental deletions were constructed by inserting a *cat-sacB* selectable/counterselectable cassette upstream of *qepA1* followed by lambda-red recombineering using ssDNA oligonucleotides⁴¹ to delete various segments. All oligonucleotides are listed in Table S2. The *gyrA* S83L D87N and *lexA^{Ind-}* alleles were moved into strains using P1 *virA*-mediated transduction.⁴²

PCR and local sequencing

DNA fragments used for recombineering were amplified using Phusion High Fidelity $2 \times$ PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, USA) and all other DNA amplifications were performed using $2 \times$ PCR Mastermix (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the protocols of the manufacturers. Amplification products were purified using SureClean Plus (Bioline, Germany) and sequencing of purified PCR products was performed by Macrogen (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Sequences were analysed using CLC Main Workbench 20.0.4 (CLCbio, QIAGEN, Denmark).

Induction assay

One millilitre cultures in LB and LB containing subMIC antibiotics were initiated with $\sim 10^6$ cfu from overnight cultures and incubated for ~ 18 h at 37° C with 200 rpm shaking. Grown cultures were diluted 200-fold in PBS and *qepA* expression measured with a MACSQuant VYB (Miltenyi Biotec) as the average YFP fluorescence level of 30 000 cells. Each strain was grown in at least three biological replicates per antibiotic concentration. Mean fluorescence levels and 95% CIs for each strain were determined using a *t*-test comparing fluorescence of biological replicates that carry the *qepA1-yfp* fusion with the fluorescence level of biological replicates lacking the *qepA1-yfp* fusion and grown in identical conditions.

Identification and comparison of qepA alleles

qepA alleles were identified with a $BLAST^{43}$ search of the *qepA1* nucleotide sequence against the NCBI nucleotide collection database (nr/nt). Coding sequences and upstream regions of all sequences with >90% nucleotide identity were compared using the alignment tool of CLC Main Workbench 20.0.4 (CLCbio, QIAGEN).

qepA origin analysis

The protein sequence of *qepA* (WP 012372821.1) was aligned to three protein databases at NCBI (nr, refseg protein and refseg select prot; alignment performed on 19 November 2020) using blastp.⁴³ After inspection of the taxonomic distribution of hits, the results of the alignment to refseq protein was selected. Records with less than 50% identity or less than 80% query coverage were discarded, resulting in a dataset of 418 proteins. These sequences and the 22 sequences in Figure 4 of Yamane et al.²⁴ were aligned with MAFFT v7.471,⁴⁴ using the L-INS-i algorithm. Upon inspection of the alignment with SeaView v5.0.4,45 six partial sequences were discarded, resulting in an alignment comprising 434 sequences. The alignment was trimmed with trimAl v1.4.rev22,⁴⁶ discarding sites with >50% gaps. The trimmed alignment was used to infer a maximum-likelihood tree with IQ-TREE v2.1.2,⁴⁷ drawing 1000 ultrafast bootstraps with the UFBoot2 algorithm⁴⁸ with the bnni option to reduce the risk of overestimating branch supports. The integrated ModelFinder⁴⁹ determined that the best fitting model was LG + F + R10, thus using the LG substitution matrix, empirical state frequency as observed from the data, and determining rate heterogeneity with the FreeRate model with 10 categories. The resulting tree was visualized with FigTree v1.4.4.⁵⁰

Identification of functional sequence element

Potential transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) were identified using the FIMO tool⁵¹ from the MEME suite v5.3.0,⁵² using default settings, including a threshold of 10^{-5} . We used the latest version available for download of PRODORIC⁵³ (release 8.9) as a TFBS database. The presence of rho-independent terminators was tested using the web tool ARNold,⁵⁴ screening both strands. We also used two tools of the RNAstructure package v6.0.1⁵⁵ to predict the presence of other secondary structures in the 247 nt segment: FOLD⁵⁶ and MaxExpect.⁵⁷ All algorithms were run with default settings, activating the DNA option.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R, v3.5.0.58

Results and discussion

Expression of qepA1 is induced under cellular stress conditions

We previously identified a *qepA1* gene within an *E. coli* isolated from a urinary tract infection.³⁷ To study expression levels of *qepA1* in the absence of other resistance factors present within the clinical isolate, we cloned a 1.1 kb segment containing the first 600 nt of the *qepA1* gene and 546 nt of the upstream region into the chromosome of WT *E. coli* MG1655. Subsequently, a *yfp* gene (encoding YFP) was translationally fused to the *qepA1* coding sequence. This setup enables the use of YFP fluorescence



Figure 1. Induction analysis. (a) A translational fused *qepA1-yfp* gene under the control of the *qepA1* upstream region was cloned into the *E. coli* chromosome. Cultures were grown overnight in the presence of various antibiotic concentrations followed by YFP fluorescence level measurements. (b) YFP expression as a function of ciprofloxacin concentration in a WT (blue) and *gyrA* S83L D87N (red) background. (c–e) YFP expression in the presence of increasing concentrations of trimethoprim (TMP; c), ampicillin (AMP; d) and chloramphenicol (CHL; e). The MICs of the antibiotics were 0.016 mg/L ciprofloxacin, 0.5 mg/L trimethoprim, 16 mg/L ampicillin and 8 mg/L chloramphenicol. Each point is the average of at least three biological repeats. Error bars indicate 95% CIs.

measurements to indirectly measure *qepA1* expression levels (Figure 1a). We initially tested whether *qepA1* expression is induced by ciprofloxacin since gepA1 is a guinolone resistance determinant. For this, cultures were grown in the presence of various subMIC concentrations of ciprofloxacin and fluorescence levels were measured. Fluorescence levels increased as a function of ciprofloxacin concentration indicating that *gepA1* expression is induced in the presence of ciprofloxacin with a 2.4-fold increase of expression in the presence of 0.008 mg/L ciprofloxacin, corresponding to $0.5 \times MIC_{CIP}$ of the WT (Figure 1b, blue line). We next tested whether *qepA1* induction is the direct effect of ciprofloxacin (e.g. by binding to the promoter) or an indirect effect (e.g. due to DNA damage). To test this, we moved a gyrA S83L D87N allele into the strain containing the translational *gepA1-yfp* fusion and measured expression in various ciprofloxacin concentrations. The gyrA allele reduces susceptibility to ciprofloxacin (MIC_{CIP} WT: 0.016 mg/ L; gyrA S83L D87N: 0.38 mg/L) without affecting the intracellular ciprofloxacin concentration. If expression of *qepA1* is a direct effect of the presence of ciprofloxacin then the gyrA S83L D87N allele should not affect ciprofloxacin-dependent *gepA1* expression. Any indirect effects of ciprofloxacin such as DNA damage should be reduced by the gyrA S83L D87N allele so that higher ciprofloxacin concentrations would be required for induction of gepA1

expression. The results of the induction assay showed no increase in *qepA1* expression in the presence of 0.008 mg/L ciprofloxacin compared with the 2.4-fold increase in the strain without the *gyrA* S83L D87N allele. Further increases in ciprofloxacin concentration resulted in increased expression with a 2.5-fold increase in the presence of 0.24 mg/L ciprofloxacin (Figure 1b, red line). This indicates that ciprofloxacin indirectly induces *qepA1* expression. To test whether expression of *qepA1* is exclusively induced by quinolones we measured expression levels in the presence of subMIC trimethoprim, ampicillin and chloramphenicol. Trimethoprim and ampicillin each caused ~4-fold induction of *qepA1* expression whereas chloramphenicol did not increase expression (Figure 1c-e). Taken together, the expression analysis indicates that cellular stress caused by the presence of various, but not all, antibiotics can induce *qepA1* expression.

qepA1 is fused to an inactive class I integron

The *qepA1* gene used in this study is preceded by an ORF that is a fusion of a truncated *dfrB4* gene (45 nt) and a segment of unknown origin (144 nt). Both genes (*orf* and *qepA1*) are located downstream of the attachment site (*attI1*) of a truncated integrase gene (*intI1*). The integron contains a PcW promoter with a 'TGN' extended –10 motif (PcW_{TGN-10})⁵⁹ that can drive expression



Figure 2. Analysis of the *qepA1* upstream region. (Top) Protein coding sequences are indicated in yellow, promoter sequences and ribosomal binding sites (RBSs) in green, PcW transcription start site indicated as +1, the attachment site of the integron in red and the LexA binding site in grey. (Bottom left) Relative *qepA1-yfp* expression in strains carrying a deletion of the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter (deletion is indicated above) or the non-inducible LexA^{Ind-} allele in the presence of 0.008 mg/L ciprofloxacin ($0.5 \times MIC_{CIP}$). (Bottom right) Relative *qepA1-yfp* expression in strains carrying increasing deletions of the region between the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter and the *qepA1* coding sequence. The starting point of all deletions is indicated by the dotted line above the *y*-axis and the endpoint of each deletion is indicated by the corresponding grey line. Each expression value is the average of at least three biological repeats. Error bars indicate 95% CIs.

of the integrated genes and an inactive P2 promoter (P2*)⁶⁰ (Figure 2). A BLAST analysis of the 400 nt that contain the integrase gene and truncated segment of the *dfrB4* gene show that it is 99.5% identical to the corresponding segment of an integron found on the Aeromonas caviae pAeca2 plasmid (accession number CP039628). The integron found in A. caviae contains five resistance genes (dfrB4, catB3, aadA, gacE Δ 1 and sul1), which are expressed by a PcH1 promoter. The PcH1 promoter in the A. caviae integron differs in two nucleotides from the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter of the gepA1 integron. These two nucleotide differences are the only differences within the segments containing the integrase and truncated dfrB4 gene. This sequence comparison indicates that the gepA1 region investigated in this study can be divided into three distinct regions: (i) an inactive class I integrase containing the seament from the truncated *intI1* agene to the *attI1* site (Figure 2, green background); (ii) the 5' segment of a dfrB4 gene including a 56 nt upstream region that was originally integrated into the class I integron (Figure 2, purple background); and (iii) the *gepA1* gene including 146 nt upstream sequence, of which 144 nt form a fused ORF with the truncated dfrB4 gene (Figure 2, red background). This general structure agrees with previously described *aepA* alleles.^{24,27,32–35} That *dfrB*⁴ is truncated indicates that qepA1 was not inserted by integrase but is rather the result of an integrase-independent recombination event as previously proposed.³²

The qepA gene was probably acquired from Betaproteobacteria

Since the genetic context indicates an unusual fusion of *qepA1* to an inactive integron, we decided to determine the evolutionary origin of QepA. The similarity between QepA and MFS-type efflux pumps has previously been described.²⁴ To extend the evolutionary analysis of QepA, we searched the refseq_protein database of NCBI for sequences similar to QepA. We found 418 proteins at least

50% similar to QepA and covering at least 80% of its sequence. A phylogenetic analysis of these and 22 sequences from Yamane et al.²⁴ reveals that all QepA variants cluster in a well-supported clade (Figures 3 and S1). The phylogenetic analyses also show that MFS homologues form clusters with genomes from the same taxon, suggesting these proteins spread mostly by vertical inheritance, or horizontal gene transfer between related species. A notable exception is QepA, exclusively found in Enterobacterales, which branches inside a large clade constituted of Betaproteobacteria, mostly from Burkholderiales, but also from Nitrosomonadales. The clade most closely related to the QepA proteins contains proteins belonging to six species of Comamonadaceae (one Variovorax and five Pseudorhodoferax) and to a Pseudomonas asiatica strain MY680.⁶¹ Pseudorhodoferax is a recently described genus of the Comamonadaceae.⁶² The aenomes in which the MFS transporter is found were isolated from soil (*Pseudorhodoferax soli*),⁶² groundwater (Pseudorhodoferax aquiterrae)⁶³ and Arabidopsis thaliana leaves (other Pseudorhodoferax strains). These sequences represent all the available genomes of *Pseudorhodoferax*, suggesting that the last common ancestor of the genus already harboured the MFS transporter. The phylogenetic placement of the QepA clade as sister to the clade containing all Pseudorhodoferax, within a clade containing mostly Comamonadaceae, is consistent with a scenario where the MFS transporter was horizontally transferred from an ancestor of the Pseudorhodoferax genus to Enterobacterales.

Expression of qepA1 is driven by the $\text{PcW}_{\text{TGN}-10}$ promoter

We next focused on the analysis of the region upstream of *qepA1*. A potential promoter outside the integron has previously been described for the *qepA2* allele.³² We noticed that the potential -10 promoter sequence of the *qepA2* gene (TGTCGT) differed from the corresponding sequence of the *qepA1* gene used in our



Figure 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of the QepA protein and its close homologues. The phylogeny is based on an alignment of 418 proteins found through a blastp⁴³ search for proteins at least 50% similar to QepA and covering at least 80% of its sequence, using the refseq_protein as a database, and of 22 proteins from Yamane *et al.*²⁴ The tree is rooted with the 17 more distantly related sequences found to be homologous to QepA by Yamane *et al.*²⁴ In the labels, Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria are shortened to Alphas and Gammas, respectively. The position of the QepA variants (A1–A10) is indicated with a larger, bold font. Numbers between parentheses in the labels indicate the number of sequences in the collapsed node. The highlighted areas indicate sequences belonging to larger taxonomic groups (see legend on the figure). Numbers over branches represent the percentage of bootstrap support of that branch. Bootstrap supports <70% are not represented. The scale represents the average number of substitutions per site. A complete, non-collapsed tree is available in Figure S1.

study, which contains an additional C nucleotide (TCGTCGT). We asked which of these two potential promoter sequences is more common among *qepA* variants. A BLAST of *qepA1* nucleotide sequences revealed a total of 59 *qepA* sequences available in the NCBI nucleotide database (Table S3). Interestingly, we identified two *qepA* alleles not previously described, hereafter referred to as *qepA12* (as *qepA1* with E394G) and *qepA13* (as *qepA1* with Δ 627–663) (Table S4). The most frequent *qepA* allele was *qepA1* (39%, 23/59) and most other *qepA* alleles (9/12) were only represented by 1–3 sequences (Figure 4a). We also identified a synonymous mutation (G496G, GGG to GGA) within *qepA9* that was also present in a single *qepA4* allele (hereafter named *qepA4'*). Fourteen of the

59 *qepA* sequences contained only the *qepA* coding sequence with no or little (100 nt) of the upstream sequence (Table S3). The upstream regions of the other 45 *qepA* sequences were compared with the sequence of the *qepA1* gene used in our study. We found that 67% (30/45) of the sequences were identical to the upstream region of the *qepA1* gene used in our study. Almost all of the remaining sequences differed only within the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter sequences (10/15) or in the PcW_{TGN-10} and P2* promoter sequences (2/15) (Table S3). The nucleotide variants found within PcW_{TGN-10} change the sequence to the PcW or PcH1 promoter (Figure 4b, Table S3). The changes identified within the P2* promoter increase the spacer length, potentially activating it but at



Figure 4. Analysis of the *qepA* coding and associated Pc promoter sequences available within the NCBI nucleotide sequence database. (a) Phylogenetic relationship of all available *qepA* allele sequences. Differences relative to the *qepA1* sequence are indicated above the branches. The number of individual sequences available for each *qepA* allele is indicated in parentheses. (b) Sequences of the three Pc promoters identified upstream of *qepA* alleles within the database. Nucleotides that differ relative to the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter sequence are underlined. (c) Distribution of promoter sequences associated with the *qepA* alleles available within the NCBI database.

the same time altering the -10 sequence so that it is not possible to determine from the sequence whether the promoter is active or not (Table S3).⁶⁰ The upstream region of *qepA2* was the only sequence that contained the previously identified potential -10 promoter sequence.³² This sequence comparison shows that the *qepA1* segment used in this study contains the most commonly found gepA variant (23/59 available sequences) with the most commonly found upstream region (30/45 available sequences). The lack of the -10 sequence found in the potential promoter of gepA2, coupled with the finding that almost all nucleotide variations found in the various gepA upstream sequences were located in the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter sequence, strongly suggests that the Pc promoter within the integron is important for *gepA* expression. We tested this by deleting the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter in our *qepA1*yfp fusion construct. Expression analysis showed that deletion of the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter abolished gene expression (Figure 2). These data indicate that expression of most gepA alleles is driven by the Pc and potentially the P2 promoters within the integrase gene (Figure 4c).

The dfrB4 fragment is essential for qepA1 expression

Previous studies have shown that the bacterial SOS response is triggered by exposure to fluoroquinolones, β -lactams and trimethoprim but not by chloramphenicol.^{64–66} We found that the *qepA1* gene was induced by the three antibiotics that trigger the SOS response but not by chloramphenicol (Figure 1). This suggests that *qepA1* might be under the regulation of the SOS response as shown for the ciprofloxacin resistance gene *qnrB2*.⁶⁷ The promoter of the *intI1* gene contains a LexA binding site and expression of the integrase gene is induced by the SOS response.^{68,69} However, mutational alteration of the LexA binding site to prevent inhibition of the integrase promoter has shown that this specific LexA binding site has no effect on the transcription from any of the Pc promoter variants.⁷⁰ To test whether LexA binding to an alternative, so-far-unknown, binding site is involved in regulation of *qepA1* expression,

we introduced a $lexA^{Ind-}$ allele into a strain that contains the qepA1-yfp fusion. The $lexA^{Ind-}$ allele carries a G80D mutation that prevents cleavage of the resulting LexA protein thus inhibiting induction by the SOS response.⁷¹ If LexA is part of the qepA1 regulation then a strain carrying the $lexA^{Ind-}$ allele should not exhibit qepA1 induction in the presence of ciprofloxacin. We measured expression of the qepA1-yfp fusion protein in the presence of 0.008 mg/L ciprofloxacin (0.5 × MIC_{CIP}) and found that the $lexA^{Ind-}$ allele did not influence induction of qepA1 relative to the WT lexA allele (P = 0.18, t-test). This indicates that ciprofloxacin-dependent induction of the qepA1 gene is not dependent on the SOS response (Figure 2).

We next asked whether the region between the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter and the *gepA1* gene is involved in the regulation of gene expression. For this, we removed increasingly larger segments of the region downstream of the PcW_{TGN-10} promoter and measured ciprofloxacin-dependent induction (Figure 2). We found that removal of the segment belonging to the integrase (including P2* and PintI1 promoters, LexA binding site and attI1 site) had no effect on the induction of the *gepA1* gene (Figure 2, green background). However, any deletion that included sequence from the truncated dfrB4 segment significantly inhibited qepA1 induction (Figure 2, purple background). We searched the region upstream of *gepA1* (247 nt, from the end of the *attIl* site, corresponding to position 300 on Figure 2) for the presence of functional elements such as TFBSs and possible hairpin structures, including transcription terminators. No TFBS or rho-independent transcription terminator could be identified in that region. The DNA secondary structure analysis revealed a complex structure comprising eight stems and six loops (Figures S2 and S3). However, the probabilities associated with the stems were, for the most part, lower than 80%. These data indicated that induction of the qepA1 gene is independent of LexA and at least partly dependent on the sequence that was not originally part of the genetic context of the gepA1 gene but we were so far not able to identify a functional element within this sequence (Figure 2, red background).

Conclusions

Here, we analysed the regulation of *qepA1* gene expression. We found that the *gepA1* gene is fused to a class I integron and that gene expression is driven by the Pc promoter within the integrase gene. Cellular stress caused by the presence of several, but not all, antibiotics can induce *gepA1* expression, and the segment within the integron belonging to a truncated dfrB4 gene is essential for expression regulation. This genetic context is universal among all gepA alleles with available sequence data. Expression of efflux pumps is often tightly regulated since overexpression can be associated with large fitness costs to bacteria.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ The acquisition of the gepA gene by horizontal gene transfer from Betaproteobacteria and fusion to an inactive class I integron has created a novel regulatory unit that enables *gepA* expression to be under the control of ciprofloxacin exposure. This setup mitigates potential negative effects of QepA production on bacterial fitness by restricting high-level expression to environmental conditions in which QepA is beneficial.

Funding

This work was supported by grants to Diarmaid Hughes from Vetenskapsrådet (the Swedish Research Council) (grant number 2017-03593) and from the Scandinavian Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (grant numbers SLS-693211, SLS-876451). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

Supplementary data

Figures S1 to S3 and Tables S1 to S4 are available as Supplementary data at JAC Online.

References

1 Hooper DC, Wolfson JS. The fluoroquinolones: pharmacology, clinical uses, and toxicities in humans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1985; **28**: 716–21.

2 Wolfson JS, Hooper DC. The fluoroquinolones: structures, mechanisms of action and resistance, and spectra of activity *in vitro*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1985; **28**: 581–6.

3 Appelbaum PC, Hunter PA. The fluoroquinolone antibacterials: past, present and future perspectives. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2000; **16**: 5–15.

4 Drlica K, Malik M, Kerns RJ *et al.* Quinolone-mediated bacterial death. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 385–92.

5 Hooper DC, Wolfson JS, Ng EY *et al*. Mechanisms of action of and resistance to ciprofloxacin. *Am J Med* 1987; **82**: 12–20.

6 Khodursky AB, Zechiedrich EL, Cozzarelli NR. Topoisomerase IV is a target of quinolones in *Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995; **92**: 11801–5.

7 Kampranis SC, Maxwell A. The DNA gyrase-quinolone complex. ATP hydrolysis and the mechanism of DNA cleavage. *J Biol Chem* 1998; **273**: 22615–26.

8 Drlica K, Hiasa H, Kerns R *et al.* Quinolones: action and resistance updated. *Curr Top Med Chem* 2009; **9**: 981–98.

9 Croxen MA, Finlay BB. Molecular mechanisms of *Escherichia coli* pathogenicity. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010; **8**: 26–38. **10** Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF *et al.* Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2016; **352**: i939.

11 Fasugba O, Gardner A, Mitchell BG *et al.* Ciprofloxacin resistance in community- and hospital-acquired *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015; **15**: 545.

12 Huseby DL, Pietsch F, Brandis G *et al*. Mutation supply and relative fitness shape the genotypes of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Biol Evol* 2017; **34**: 1029–39.

13 Hooper DC, Jacoby GA. Topoisomerase inhibitors: fluoroquinolone mechanisms of action and resistance. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2016; **6**: a025320.

14 Pietsch F, Bergman JM, Brandis G *et al.* Ciprofloxacin selects for RNA polymerase mutations with pleiotropic antibiotic resistance effects. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 75–84.

15 Brandis G, Granström S, Leber AT *et al.* Mutant RNA polymerase can reduce susceptibility to antibiotics via ppGpp-independent induction of a stringent-like response. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; **76**: 606–15.

16 Garoff L, Huseby DL, Praski Alzrigat L *et al.* Effect of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase mutations on susceptibility to ciprofloxacin in *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; **73**: 3285–92.

17 Martinez-Martinez L, Pascual A, Jacoby GA. Quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid. *Lancet* 1998; **351**: 797–9.

18 Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Machuca J, Cano ME *et al.* Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: two decades on. *Drug Resist Updat* 2016; **29**: 13–29.

19 Hooper DC, Jacoby GA. Mechanisms of drug resistance: quinolone resistance. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2015; **1354**: 12–31.

20 Tran JH, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC. Interaction of the plasmid-encoded quinolone resistance protein QnrA with *Escherichia coli* topoisomerase IV. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005; **49**: 3050–2.

21 Tran JH, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC. Interaction of the plasmid-encoded quinolone resistance protein Qnr with *Escherichia coli* DNA gyrase. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005; **49**: 118–25.

22 Tran JH, Jacoby GA. Mechanism of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; **99**: 5638–42.

23 Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Jacoby GA *et al.* Fluoroquinolone-modifying enzyme: a new adaptation of a common aminoglycoside acetyltransferase. *Nat Med* 2006; **12**: 83–8.

24 Yamane K, Wachino J, Suzuki S *et al*. New plasmid-mediated fluoroquinolone efflux pump, QepA, found in an *Escherichia coli* clinical isolate. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; **51**: 3354–60.

25 Hansen LH, Johannesen E, Burmolle M *et al.* Plasmid-encoded multidrug efflux pump conferring resistance to olaquindox in *Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004; **48**: 3332–7.

26 Sorensen AH, Hansen LH, Johannesen E *et al.* Conjugative plasmid conferring resistance to olaquindox. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; **47**: 798–9.

27 Perichon B, Courvalin P, Galimand M. Transferable resistance to aminoglycosides by methylation of G1405 in 16S rRNA and to hydrophilic fluoroquinolones by QepA-mediated efflux in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; **51**: 2464–9.

28 Strahilevitz J, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC *et al*. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: a multifaceted threat. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2009; **22**: 664–89.

29 Sato T, Yokota SI, Uchida I *et al.* Fluoroquinolone resistance mechanisms in an *Escherichia coli* isolate, HUE1, without quinolone resistance-determining region mutations. *Front Microbiol* 2013; **4**: 125.

30 Garoff L, Yadav K, Hughes D. Increased expression of Qnr is sufficient to confer clinical resistance to ciprofloxacin in *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; **73**: 348–52.

31 Ruiz J. *In silico* analysis of transferable QepA variants and related chromosomal efflux pumps. *Rev Esp Quimioter* 2018; **31**: 537–41.

32 Cattoir V, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance pump QepA2 in an *Escherichia coli* isolate from France. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 3801–4.

33 Wang D, Huang X, Chen J *et al.* Characterization of genetic structures of the QepA3 gene in clinical isolates of Enterobacteriaceae. *Front Microbiol* 2015; **6**: 1147.

34 Manageiro V, Felix D, Jones-Dias D *et al*. Genetic background and expression of the new *qepA4* gene variant recovered in clinical TEM-1- and CMY-2-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Front Microbiol* 2017; **8**: 1899.

35 Park YJ, Yu JK, Kim SI *et al.* Accumulation of plasmid-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance genes, *qepA* and *qnrS1*, in *Enterobacter aerogenes* coproducing RmtB and class A β -lactamase LAP-1. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 2009; **39**: 55–9.

36 Partridge SR, Tsafnat G, Coiera E *et al.* Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2009; **33**: 757–84.

37 Nazir H, Cao S, Hasan F *et al.* Can phylogenetic type predict resistance development? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **66**: 778–87.

38 Yu D, Ellis HM, Lee EC *et al*. An efficient recombination system for chromosome engineering in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; **97**: 5978–83.

39 Datta S, Costantino N, Court DL. A set of recombineering plasmids for gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* 2006; **379**: 109–15.

40 Gay P, Le Coq D, Steinmetz M *et al.* Positive selection procedure for entrapment of insertion sequence elements in gram-negative bacteria. *J Bacteriol* 1985; **164**: 918–21.

41 Ellis HM, Yu D, DiTizio T *et al.* High efficiency mutagenesis, repair, and engineering of chromosomal DNA using single-stranded oligonucleotides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; **98**: 6742–6.

42 Thomason LC, Costantino N, Court DL. *E. coli* genome manipulation by P1 transduction. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 2007; **Chapter 1**: Unit 1.17.

43 Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W *et al*. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 1990; **215**: 403–10.

44 Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013; **30**: 772–80.

45 Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O. SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol Biol Evol* 2010; **27**: 221–4.

46 Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 2009; **25**: 1972–3.

47 Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A *et al.* IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 2015; **32**: 268–74.

48 Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A *et al.* UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 2018; **35**: 518–22.

49 Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF *et al.* ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* 2017; 14: 587–9.
50 Rambaut A. FigTree. https://github.com/rambaut/figtree/.

51 Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS. FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. *Bioinformatics* 2011; **27**: 1017–8.

52 Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA *et al.* MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009; **37**: W202–8.

53 Munch R, Hiller K, Barg H *et al.* PRODORIC: prokaryotic database of gene regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003; **31**: 266–9.

54 Naville M, Ghuillot-Gaudeffroy A, Marchais A *et al.* ARNold: a web tool for the prediction of rho-independent transcription terminators. *RNA Biol* 2011; **8**: 11–3.

55 Reuter JS, Mathews DH. RNAstructure: software for RNA secondary structure prediction and analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; **11**: 129.

56 Mathews DH, Disney MD, Childs JL *et al.* Incorporating chemical modification constraints into a dynamic programming algorithm for prediction of RNA secondary structure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004; **101**: 7287–92.

57 Wu Y, Shi B, Ding X *et al.* Improved prediction of RNA secondary structure by integrating the free energy model with restraints derived from experimental probing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015; **43**: 7247–59.

58 R Development Core Team 2020. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.r-project.org/.

59 Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F *et al.* Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. *PLoS Genet* 2010; **6**: e1000793.

60 Levesque C, Brassard S, Lapointe J *et al.* Diversity and relative strength of tandem promoters for the antibiotic-resistance genes of several integrons. *Gene* 1994; **142**: 49–54.

61 Tohya M, Tada T, Watanabe S *et al.* Emergence of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas asiatica* producing NDM-1 and VIM-2 metallo- β -lactamases in Myanmar. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2019; **63**: e00475–19.

62 Bruland N, Bathe S, Willems A *et al. Pseudorhodoferax soli* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Pseudorhodoferax caeni* sp. nov., two members of the class Betaproteobacteria belonging to the family Comamonadaceae. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2009; **59**: 2702–7.

63 Chen WM, Lin YS, Young CC *et al. Pseudorhodoferax aquiterrae* sp. nov., isolated from groundwater. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2013; **63**: 169–74.

64 Shaw KJ, Miller N, Liu X *et al.* Comparison of the changes in global gene expression of *Escherichia coli* induced by four bactericidal agents. *J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol* 2003; **5**: 105–22.

65 Miller C, Thomsen LE, Gaggero C *et al.* SOS response induction by β -lactams and bacterial defense against antibiotic lethality. *Science* 2004; **305**: 1629–31.

66 Ysern P, Clerch B, Castano M *et al.* Induction of SOS genes in *Escherichia coli* and mutagenesis in *Salmonella typhimurium* by fluoroquinolones. *Mutagenesis* 1990; **5**:63–6.

67 Da Re S, Garnier F, Guerin E *et al*. The SOS response promotes *qnrB* quinolone-resistance determinant expression. *EMBO Rep* 2009; **10**: 929–33.

68 Guerin E, Cambray G, Sanchez-Alberola N *et al*. The SOS response controls integron recombination. *Science* 2009; **324**: 1034.

69 Little JW, Mount DW. The SOS regulatory system of *Escherichia coli. Cell* 1982; **29**: 11–22.

70 Guerin E, Jove T, Tabesse A *et al*. High-level gene cassette transcription prevents integrase expression in class 1 integrons. *J Bacteriol* 2011; **193**: 5675–82.

71 Lin LL, Little JW. Isolation and characterization of noncleavable (Ind–) mutants of the LexA repressor of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J Bacteriol* 1988; **170**: 2163–73.

72 Praski Alzrigat L, Huseby DL, Brandis G *et al.* Fitness cost constrains the spectrum of *marR* mutations in ciprofloxacin-resistant *Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 3016–24.

73 Praski Alzrigat L, Huseby DL, Brandis G *et al.* Resistance/fitness trade-off is a barrier to the evolution of MarR inactivation mutants in *Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; **76**: 77–83.

74 Hillen W, Berens C. Mechanisms underlying expression of Tn10 encoded tetracycline resistance. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 1994; **48**: 345–69.